2-ADIC BEHAVIOR OF NUMBERS OF DOMINO TILINGS

HENRY COHN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA cohn@math.harvard.edu

Dedicated to my grandparents Garnette Cohn (1907–1998) and Lee Cohn (1908–1998)

ABSTRACT. We study the 2-adic behavior of the number of domino tilings of a $2n \times 2n$ square as n varies. It was previously known that this number was of the form $2^n f(n)^2$, where f(n) is an odd, positive integer. We show that the function f is uniformly continuous under the 2-adic metric, and thus extends to a function on all of \mathbb{Z} . The extension satisfies the functional equation $f(-1 - n) = \pm f(n)$, where the sign is positive iff $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$.

Kasteleyn [K], and Temperley and Fisher [TF], proved that the number of tilings of a $2n \times 2n$ square with 1×2 dominos is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(4\cos^2 \frac{\pi i}{2n+1} + 4\cos^2 \frac{\pi j}{2n+1} \right).$$

Although it is by no means obvious at first glance, this number is always a perfect square or twice a perfect square (see [L]). Furthermore, it is divisible by 2^n but no higher power of 2. This fact about 2-divisibility was independently proved by several people (see [JSZ], or see [P] for a combinatorial proof), but there seems to have been little further investigation of the 2-adic properties of these numbers, except for [JS].

Write the number of tilings as $2^n f(n)^2$, where f(n) is an odd, positive integer. In this paper, we study the 2-adic properties of the function f. In particular, we will prove the following theorem, which was conjectured by James Propp:

Theorem 1. The function f is uniformly continuous under the 2-adic metric, and its unique extension to a function from \mathbb{Z}_2 to \mathbb{Z}_2 satisfies the functional equation

$$f(-1-n) = \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0,3 \pmod{4}, \text{ and} \\ -f(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1,2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

John and Sachs [JS] have independently investigated the 2-adic behavior of f, and explicitly determined it modulo 2^6 . Their methods, as well as ours, can be used to write formulas for f modulo any power of 2, but no closed form is known.

The proof of Theorem 1 will not make any use of sophisticated 2-adic machinery. The only non-trivial fact we will require is that the 2-adic absolute value extends uniquely to each finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . For this fact, as well as basic definitions and concepts, the book [G] by Gouvêa is an excellent reference.

It is helpful to keep in mind this more elementary description of what it means for f to be uniformly continuous 2-adically: for every k, there exists an ℓ such that if $n \equiv m \pmod{2^{\ell}}$, then $f(n) \equiv f(m) \pmod{2^k}$. In particular, we will see that for our function f, the condition $n \equiv m \pmod{2}$ implies that $f(n) \equiv f(m) \pmod{2}$, and $n \equiv m \pmod{4}$ implies that $f(n) \equiv f(m) \pmod{4}$.

Date: Submitted December 17, 1998; accepted February 18, 1999.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A15, 11A07.

The author was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship.

As a warm-up in using 2-adic methods, and for the sake of completeness, we will prove that that number of tilings of a $2n \times 2n$ square really is of the form $2^n f(n)^2$, assuming Kasteleyn's theorem. To do so, we will make use of the fact that the 2-adic metric extends to every finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , in particular the cyclotomic extensions, which contain the cosines that appear in Kasteleyn's product formula. We can straightforwardly determine the 2-adic valuation of each factor, and thus of the entire product.

Let ζ be a primitive (2n + 1)-st root of unity, and define

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \zeta^i + \zeta^{-i} + \zeta^j + \zeta^{-j}.$$

Then the number of domino tilings of a $2n \times 2n$ square is

(1)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (4 + \alpha_{i,j}).$$

To determine the divisibility by 2, we look at this number as an element of $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta)$. Because 2n + 1 is odd, the extension $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is unramified, so 2 remains prime in $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta)$. We will use $|\cdot|_2$ to denote the unique extension of the 2-adic absolute value to $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta)$.

Lemma 2. For $1 \le i, j \le n$, we have

$$|4 + \alpha_{i,j}|_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \neq j, \text{ and} \\ 1/2 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The number $4 + \alpha_{i,j}$ is an algebraic integer, so its 2-adic absolute value is at most 1. To determine how much smaller it is, first notice that

$$\alpha_{i,j} = (\zeta^i + \zeta^j)(\zeta^{i+j} + 1)\zeta^{-i}\zeta^{-j}.$$

In order for $4 + \alpha_{i,j}$ to reduce to 0 modulo 2, we must have

$$\zeta^i \equiv \zeta^{\pm j} \pmod{2}.$$

However, this is impossible unless $i \equiv \pm j \pmod{2n+1}$, because ζ has order 2n+1 in the residue field. Since $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, the only possibility is i = j.

In that case, $4 + \alpha_{i,i} = 2(2 + \zeta^i + \zeta^{-i})$. In order to have $|4 + \alpha_{i,i}|_2 < 1/2$, the second factor would need to reduce to 0. However, that could happen only if $\zeta^i \equiv \zeta^{-i} \pmod{2}$, which is impossible.

By Lemma 2, the product (1) is divisible by 2^n but not 2^{n+1} . The product of the terms with i = j, divided by 2^n , is

(2)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (2 + \zeta^{i} + \zeta^{-i}),$$

which equals 1, as we can prove by writing

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (2+\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+\zeta^{i})(1+\zeta^{-i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+\zeta^{i})(1+\zeta^{2n+1-i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1+\zeta^{i}) = 1;$$

the last equality follows from substituting z = -1 in

$$z^{2n+1} - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{2n} (z - \zeta^i).$$

Thus, the odd factor of the number of tilings of a $2n \times 2n$ square is

$$f(n)^2 = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (4 + \alpha_{i,j})^2$$

We are interested in the square root of this quantity, not the whole odd factor. The positive square root is

$$f(n) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (4 + \alpha_{i,j})$$

(notice that every factor is positive). It is clearly an integer, since it is an algebraic integer and is invariant under every automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, we have shown that the number of tilings is of the form $2^n f(n)^2$, where f(n) an odd integer.

In determining the 2-adic behavior of f, it seems simplest to start by examining it modulo 4. In that case, we have the formula

$$f(n) \equiv \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \alpha_{i,j} \pmod{4},$$

and the product appearing in it can actually be evaluated explicitly.

Lemma 3. We have

$$\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \alpha_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1, 3 \pmod{4}, \text{ and} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In this proof, we will write ζ^* to indicate an unspecified power of ζ . Because the product in question is real and the only real power of ζ is 1, we will in several cases be able to see that factors of ζ^* equal 1 without having to count the ζ 's.

Start by observing that

$$\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \alpha_{i,j} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\zeta^{i+j} + 1)(\zeta^{i-j} + 1)\zeta^{-i}$$
$$= \zeta^* \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\zeta^{i+j} + 1)(\zeta^{2n+1+i-j} + 1)$$
$$= \zeta^* \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{s=2i+1}^{2n} (\zeta^s + 1).$$

(To prove the last line, check that i + j and 2n + 1 + i - j together run over the same range as s.)

In the factors where i > n/2, replace $\zeta^s + 1$ with $\zeta^s(\zeta^{2n+1-s} + 1)$. Now for every *i*, it is easy to check that

$$\prod_{s=2i+1}^{2n} (\zeta^s + 1) \prod_{s=2(n-i)+1}^{2n} (\zeta^{2n+1-s} + 1) = \prod_{s=1}^{2n} (\zeta^s + 1) = 1.$$

When n is odd, pairing i with n - i in this way takes care of every factor except for a power of ζ , which must be real and hence 1. Thus, the whole product is 1 when n is odd, as desired.

In the case when n is even, the pairing between i and n - i leaves the i = n/2 factor unpaired. The product is thus

(3)
$$\zeta^* \prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} (\zeta^s + 1).$$

Notice that

$$\left(\prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} (1+\zeta^s)\right)^2 = \prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} \zeta^s (1+\zeta^{2n+1-s}) \prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} (1+\zeta^s)$$
$$= \prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} \zeta^s$$
$$= \zeta^*.$$

Hence, since every power of ζ has a square root among the powers of ζ (because 2n + 1 is odd),

$$\prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} (\zeta^s + 1) = \pm \zeta^*.$$

Substituting this result into (3) shows that the product we are trying to evaluate must equal ± 1 , since the ζ^* factor must be real and therefore 1. All that remains is to determine the sign.

 $\prod_{s=n+1}^{2n} (1+\zeta^s)$

Since

and

$$\prod_{t=1}^{n} (1+\zeta^t)$$

are reciprocals, it is enough to answer the question for the second one (which is notationally slightly simpler). We know that it is plus or minus a power of ζ , and need to determine which. Since $\zeta = \zeta^{-2n}$, we have

$$\prod_{t=1}^{n} (1+\zeta^{t}) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} (1+\zeta^{-2nt}) = \zeta^{*} \prod_{t=1}^{n} (\zeta^{nt} + \zeta^{-nt}).$$

The product

 $\prod_{t=1}^{n} (\zeta^{nt} + \zeta^{-nt})$

is real, so it must be ± 1 ; to determine which, we just need to determine its sign. For that, we write

$$\zeta^{nt} + \zeta^{-nt} = 2\cos\left(t\pi - \frac{t\pi}{2n+1}\right),\,$$

which is negative iff t is odd (assuming $1 \le t \le n$). Thus, the sign of the product is negative iff there are an odd number of odd numbers from 1 to n, i.e., iff $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ (since n is even).

Therefore, the whole product is -1 iff $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and is 1 otherwise.

Now that we have dealt with the behavior of f modulo 4, we can simplify the problem considerably by working with f^2 rather than f. Recall that proving uniform continuity is equivalent to showing that for every k, there exists an ℓ such that if $n \equiv m \pmod{2^{\ell}}$, then $f(n) \equiv f(m) \pmod{2^k}$. If we can find an ℓ such that $n \equiv m \pmod{2^{\ell}}$ implies that $f(n)^2 \equiv f(m)^2 \pmod{2^{2k}}$, then it follows that $f(n) \equiv \pm f(m) \pmod{2^k}$, and our knowledge of f modulo 4 pins down the sign as +1. The same reasoning applies to the functional equation, so if we can show that f^2 is uniformly continuous 2-adically and satisfies $f(-1-n)^2 = f(n)^2$, then we will have proved Theorem 1. We begin by using (1) to write

$$2^{n} f(n)^{2} = \left(\prod_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j}\right) \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{4}{\alpha_{i,j}}\right)$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j}\right) \sum_{k \ge 0} 4^{k} E_{k}(n),$$

where $E_k(n)$ is the k-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the $1/\alpha_{i,j}$'s (where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$). We can evaluate the product

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j}$$

by combining Lemma 3 with the equation

$$\prod_{t=1}^{n} (\zeta^{t} + \zeta^{-t}) = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor},$$

which can be proved using the techniques of Lemma 3: it is easily checked that the product squares to 1, and its sign is established by writing

$$\zeta^t + \zeta^{-t} = 2\cos\frac{2t\pi}{2n+1},$$

which is positive for $1 \le t < (2n+1)/4$ and negative for $(2n+1)/4 < t \le n$. This shows that

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j} = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} 2^n$$

so we conclude that

(4)
$$f(n)^{2} = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k \ge 0} 4^{k} E_{k}(n).$$

The function $n \mapsto (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$ is uniformly continuous 2-adically and invariant under interchanging n with -1 - n, so to prove these properties for f^2 we need only prove them for the sum on the right of (4).

Because $\alpha_{i,j}$ has 2-adic valuation at most 1, that of $E_k(n)$ is at least -k, and hence $2^k E_k(n)$ is a 2-adic integer (in the field $\mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta)$). Thus, to determine $f(n)^2$ modulo 2^k we need only look at the first k + 1 terms of the sum (4).

Define

$$S_k(n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\alpha_{i,j}^k}.$$

We will prove the following proposition about S_k .

Proposition 4. For each k, $S_k(n)$ is a polynomial over \mathbb{Q} in n and $(-1)^n$. Furthermore,

$$S_k(n) = S_k(-1-n).$$

We will call a polynomial in n and $(-1)^n$ a quasi-polynomial. Notice that every quasi-polynomial over \mathbb{Q} is uniformly continuous 2-adically.

In fact, S_k is actually a polynomial of degree 2k. However, we will not need to know that. The only use we will make of the fact that S_k is a quasi-polynomial is in proving uniform continuity, so we will prove only this weaker claim. Given Proposition 4, the same must hold for E_k , because the E_k 's and S_k 's are related by the Newton identities

$$kE_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} S_i E_{k-i}.$$

It now follows from (4) that f^2 is indeed uniformly continuous and satisfies the functional equation. Thus, we have reduced Theorem 1 to Proposition 4.

 $\frac{2n}{2}$ 1

Define

and

$$T_k(n) = \sum_{i,j=0} \overline{\alpha_{i,j}^k},$$

$$R_k(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \frac{1}{\alpha_{i,0}^k}.$$

Because $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{-i,j} = \alpha_{i,-j} = \alpha_{-i,-j}$, we have

$$T_k(n) = 4S_k(n) + 2R_k(n) - \frac{1}{\alpha_{0,0}^k}.$$

To prove Proposition 4, it suffices to prove that T_k and R_k are quasi-polynomials over \mathbb{Q} , and that $T_k(-1-n) = T_k(n)$ and $R_k(-1-n) = R_k(n)$.

We can simplify further by reducing T_k to a single sum, as follows. It is convenient to write everything in terms of roots of unity, so that

$$T_k(n) = \sum_{\zeta,\xi} \frac{1}{(\zeta + 1/\zeta + \xi + 1/\xi)^k}$$

where ζ and ξ range over all (2n + 1)-st roots of unity. (This notation supersedes our old use of ζ .) Then we claim that

$$T_k(n) = \left(\sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{(\zeta + 1/\zeta)^k}\right)^2.$$

To see this, write the right hand side as

$$\left(\sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{(\zeta+1/\zeta)^k}\right) \left(\sum_{\xi} \frac{1}{(\xi+1/\xi)^k}\right) = \sum_{\zeta,\xi} \frac{1}{(\zeta\xi+1/(\zeta\xi)+\zeta/\xi+1/(\zeta/\xi))^k},$$

and notice that as ζ and ξ run over all (2n+1)-st roots of unity, so do $\zeta\xi$ and ζ/ξ . (This is equivalent to the fact that every (2n+1)-st root of unity has a unique square root among such roots of unity, because that implies that the ratio ξ^2 between $\zeta\xi$ and ζ/ξ does in fact run over all (2n+1)-st roots of unity.)

We can deal with R_k similarly: as ξ runs over all (2n+1)-st roots of unity, so does ξ^2 , and hence

$$R_k(n) = \sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{(2+\zeta+1/\zeta)^k} = \sum_{\xi} \frac{1}{(2+\xi^2+1/\xi^2)^k} = \sum_{\xi} \frac{1}{(\xi+1/\xi)^{2k}}$$

Define

$$U_k(n) = \sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{(\zeta + 1/\zeta)^k}$$

Now everything comes down to proving the following proposition:

Proposition 5. The function U_k is a quasi-polynomial over \mathbb{Q} , and satisfies

$$U_k(-1-n) = U_k(n).$$

Proof. The proof is based on the observation that for any non-zero numbers, the power sums of their reciprocals are minus the Taylor coefficients of the logarithmic derivative of the polynomial with those numbers as roots, i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} (x - r_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{x - r_i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{-1/r_i}{1 - x/r_i}$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{x}{r_i^2} + \frac{x^2}{r_i^3} + \dots\right).$$

To apply this fact to U_k , define

$$P_n(x) = \prod_{\zeta} (x - (\zeta + 1/\zeta))$$

=
$$\prod_{j=0}^{2n} (x - 2\cos(2\pi j/(2n+1)))$$

=
$$2(\cos((2n+1)\cos^{-1}(x/2)) - 1)$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dx}\log P_n(x) = \frac{2n+1}{2\sqrt{1-x^2/4}} \frac{\sin((2n+1)\cos^{-1}(x/2))}{\cos((2n+1)\cos^{-1}(x/2)) - 1}$$

This function is invariant under interchanging n with -1 - n (equivalently, interchanging 2n + 1 with -(2n+1)), so its Taylor coefficients are as well. By the observation above, the coefficient of x^k is $-U_{k+1}(n)$. Straightforward calculus shows that these coefficients are polynomials over \mathbb{Q} in n, $\sin((2n+1)\pi/2)$, and $\cos((2n+1)\pi/2)$. Using the fact that $\cos((2n+1)\pi/2) = 0$ and $\sin((2n+1)\pi/2) = (-1)^n$ completes the proof.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to James Propp for telling me of his conjecture, to the anonymous referee for pointing out a sign error in the original manuscript, and to Karen Acquista, Noam Elkies, Matthew Emerton, and Vis Taraz for helpful conversations.

References

- [G] F. Gouvêa, p-adic Numbers: An Introduction, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [JS] P. John and H. Sachs, On a strange observation in the theory of the dimer problem, preprint, 1998.
- [JSZ] P. John, H. Sachs, and H. Zernitz, Problem 5. Domino covers in square chessboards, Zastosowania Matematyki (Applicationes Mathematicae) XIX 3-4 (1987), 635-641.
- [K] P. W. Kasteleyn, The statistics of dimers on a lattice, I. The number of dimer arrangements on a quadratic lattice, Physica 27 (1961), 1209–1225.
- [L] L. Lovász, Combinatorial Problems and Exercises, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [P] L. Pachter, Combinatorial approaches and conjectures for 2-divisibility problems concerning domino tilings of polyominoes, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 4 (1997), #R29.
- [TF] H. N. V. Temperley and M. E. Fisher, Dimer problem in statistical mechanics—an exact result, Phil. Mag. 6 (1961), 1061–1063.