Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ray J. Butcher,^a* H. S. Yathirajan,^b H. G. Anilkumar,^b B. K. Sarojini^c and B. Narayana^d

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Howard University, 525 College Street NW, Washington, DC 20059, USA, ^bDepartment of Studies in Chemistry, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore 570 006, India, ^cDepartment of Chemistry, P. A. College of Engineering, Nadupadavu, Mangalore 574 153, India, and ^dDepartment of Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri 574 199, India

Correspondence e-mail: raymond.butcher@nrl.navy.mil

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 366 KMean $\sigma(\text{C-C}) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.024 wR factor = 0.054 Data-to-parameter ratio = 22.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.


© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved 2-Bromo-1-(4-methylphenyl)-3-[4-(methylsulfanyl)phenyl]prop-2-en-1-one

The title compound, $C_{17}H_{15}BrOS$, crystallizes in a noncentrosymmetric space group and shows significant nonlinear optical activity. Received 10 March 2006 Accepted 28 March 2006

Comment

The present-day demand is for large and high quality ferroelectric, piezoelectric single crystals with minimum defects and inhomogenities. The important goal of crystal growth is the improvement of microscopic and macroscopic homogeneity, which is a necessity for any application. Different types of crystals being used are semiconductor crystals, oxide crystals, alkali halide crystals, and nonlinear optical (NLO) crystals. The NLO effect in organic molecules originates from a strong donor-acceptor intermolecular interaction, a delocalized π electron system, and also the ability to crystallize in noncentrosymmetric space groups. Among several organic compounds reported for NLO properties, chalcone derivatives are notable materials for their excellent blue light transmittance and good crystallizability. They provide a necessary configuration to show an NLO property with two planar rings connected through a conjugated double bond (Goto et al., 1991; Uchida et al., 1998; Tam et al., 1989; Indira et al., 2002). Substitution on either of the phenyl rings greatly influences the non-centrosymmetric crystal packing (Butcher et al., 2006). It is speculated that, in order to improve activity, more bulky substituents should be introduced to increase the spontaneous polarization of a non-centrosymmetric crystal (Fichou *et al.*, 1988). The molecular hyperpolarizability, β , is strongly influenced not only by the electronic effect, but also by the steric effect of the substituent (Cho et al., 1996). Bromo substituents can obviously improve the molecular first-order hyperpolarizabilities and can effectively reduce the dipoledipole interactions between the molecules (Zhao et al., 2002). α -Bromochalcones are used to synthesize triazolothiadiazines which are found to be anticancer agents (Holla et al., 2001). Prompted by this, and in a continuation of our quest to synthesize newer materials which can find use in the photonics industry (Butcher et al., 2006), we have synthesized a new α bromochalcone and have found it to possess SHG efficiency. Its quantitative estimation is yet to be done. In view of the importance of the title compound, (I), the crystal structure is reported here.

The metrical parameters of (I) are similar to those observed in other chalcone derivatives (Butcher *et al.*, 2006). The title compound crystallizes in the polar space group $P2_1$ but contains no chiral centers. The source of the chirality of this compound lies in the fact that the two dissimilar rings are not coplanar. The two rings are significantly twisted with respect to each other [dihedral angle between ring planes is 68.15 (6)°]. There are also two weak intermolecular $C-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2) which contribute to the packing.



Experimental

A mixture of 2,3-dibromo-1-(4-methylphenyl)-3-[4-(methylsulfanvl)phenvl]propan-1-one (0.42 g, 0.001 mol) and triethylamine (0.5 g, 0.005 mol) in dry benzene (50 ml) was stirred for 24 h. The precipitated triethylamine hydrochloride was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and allowed to cool. The precipitated solid was filtered, dried and recrystallized from an acetone-toluene mixture (9:1) (yield: 85%, m.p. 406 K). Calculated for C₁₇H₁₅BrOS; C 58.93, H 4.35%; found C, 58.90, H, 4.35%.

 $D_x = 1.564 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 6302

reflections

 $\theta = 2.4 - 30.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.92 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 366 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.022$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.8^\circ$

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 11$

 $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$

 $l = -24 \rightarrow 24$

Chunk, colorless $0.65 \times 0.30 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

4028 independent reflections

3821 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

C ₁₇ H ₁₅ BrOS
$M_r = 347.26$
Monoclinic, $P2_1$
a = 7.9708 (9) Å
b = 5.5031 (6) Å
c = 16.9923 (18) Å
$\beta = 98.291 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 737.56 (14) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
diffractometer
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min} = 0.230, \ T_{\max} = 0.482$
8184 measured reflections

Refinement

. .

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2)]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.055$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
S = 0.95	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.17 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
4028 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.52 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
183 parameters	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
H-atom parameters constrained	1516 Friedel pairs
	Flack parameter: 0.029 (6)

Table 1			
Selected	geometric param	eters (Å,	°).

Br-C9	1.889 (2)	C5-C8	1.463 (2)
S-C2	1.7613 (18)	C8-C9	1.344 (2)
S-C1	1.803 (2)	C9-C10	1.502 (3)
O-C10	1.220 (2)	C10-C11	1.489 (3)
C2-S-C1	102.45 (9)	O-C10-C11	121.15 (17)
C8-C9-Br	124.68 (15)	O-C10-C9	120.26 (19)
C10-C9-Br	113.62 (13)		





Figure 1

View of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



The molecular packing, viewed down the c axis. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{c} \hline C13-H13A\cdots O^{i}\\ C17-H17B\cdots O^{ii} \end{array}$	0.93	2.51	3.392 (2)	159
	0.96	2.51	3.420 (3)	158

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$.

All H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier map. The methyl H atoms were then constrained to an ideal geometry, with C-H distances of 0.98 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$, but each group was allowed to rotate freely about its C-C or C-S bond. All other H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C-H distances in the range 0.95–1.00 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The highest electron-density peak is located 0.81 Å from the Br atom.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

BKS thanks AICTE, Government of India, New Delhi, for financial assistance under the project Career Award for Young Teachers (CAYT) scheme and HGA thanks the University of Mysore for permission to carry out the research work.

References

Bruker (1998). SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Bruker (1999). SAINT-Plus and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Butcher, R. J., Yathirajan, H. S., Mithun, A., Narayana, B. & Sarojini, B. K. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 01629-01630.
- Cho, B. R., Je, J. T., Kim, H. S., Jean, S. J., Song, O. K. & Wang, C. H. (1996). Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 17, 693–695.
- Fichou, D., Watanabe, T., Takeda, T., Miyata, S., Goto, Y. & Nakayama, M. (1988). Jpn J. Appl. Phy. 27, 429–430.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Goto, Y., Hayashi, A., Kimura, Y. & Nakayama, M. (1991). J. Cryst. Growth, 108, 688–698.
- Holla, B. S., Sarojini, B. K., Rao, B. S., Akbarali, P. M., Kumari, N. S. & Shetty, V. (2001). *Il Farmaco*, 56, 565–570.
- Indira, J., Karat, P. P. & Sarojini, B. K. (2002). J. Cryst. Growth, 242, 209-214.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Tam, W., Guerin, B., Calabrese, J. C. & Stevenson, S. H. (1989). Chem. Phys. Lett. 154, 93–96.
- Uchida, T., Kozawa, K., Sakai, T., Aoki, M., Yoguchi, H., Abduryim, A. & Watanabe, Y. (1998). Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 315, 135–140.
- Zhao, B., Lu, W.-Q., Zhou, Z.-H. & Wu, Y. (2002). J. Mater. Chem. 10, 1513– 1517.