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#### Abstract

For a finite undirected graph $G(V, E)$ and a non empty subset $\sigma \subseteq V$, the switching of $G$ by $\sigma$ is defined as the graph $G^{\sigma}\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ which is obtained from $G$ by removing all edges between $\sigma$ and its complement $V-\sigma$ and adding as edges all non-edges between $\sigma$ and $V-\sigma$. For $\sigma=\{v\}$, we write $G^{v}$ instead of $G^{\{v\}}$ and the corresponding switching is called as vertex switching. We also call it as $|\sigma|$-vertex switching. When $|\sigma|=2$, it is termed as 2 -vertex switching. If $G \cong G^{\sigma}$, then it is called self vertex switching. A subgraph $B$ of $G$ which contains $G[\sigma]$ is called a joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ if $B-\sigma$ is connected and maximal. If $B$ is connected, then we call $B$ as a $c$-joint and otherwise a $d$-joint. A graph with no cycles is called an acyclic graph. A connected acyclic graph is called a tree. In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph $G$, for which $G^{\sigma}$ at $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ to be connected and acyclic when $u v \in E(G)$ and $u v \notin E(G)$. Using this, we characterize trees with a 2 -vertex self switching.
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## 1. Introduction

For a finite undirected simple graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V(G)|=p$ and a non-empty set $\sigma \subseteq V$, the switching of $G$ by $\sigma$ is defined as the graph $G^{\sigma}\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ which is obtained from $G$ by removing all edges between $\sigma$ and its complement, $V-\sigma$ and adding as edges all non-edges between $\sigma$ and $V-\sigma$. Switching has been defined by Seidel [1,4] and is also referred to as Seidel switching. We also call it as $|\sigma|$-vertex switching. When $|\sigma|=2$, we call it as 2 -vertex switching [5]. Two graphs are said to be switching equivalent if they belong to the same switching class [2]. A graph $G$
is said to be a connected graph if every pair of vertices are joined by a path in $G$. A maximal connected subgraph of $G$ is called a connected component or simply a component of $G$. A graph $G$ is called disconnected if it is not connected. Clearly, a graph $G$ is disconnected if and only if $G$ has more than one component. The number of components of a graph $G$ is represented by $k(G)$. A graph which contains no cycles is called an acyclic graph. A connected acyclic graph is called a tree. Any graph without cycles is a forest. Thus the components of a forest are trees.

In [6] the concept of branches and joints in graphs were introduced. A subgraph $B$ of $G$ which contains $G[\sigma]$ is called a joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ if $B-\sigma$ is connected and maximal. If $B$ is connected, then we call $B$ as a c-joint and otherwise a $d$-joint. $B$ is called a total joint if $B$ is the join of $\sigma$ and $B-\sigma$, that is $B=\sigma+(B-\sigma)[3,6]$.

For the graph $G$ given in Figure 1.1, $G^{\sigma}$ is given in Figure 1.2, $G[\sigma]$ is given in Figure 1.3 and $G-\sigma$ is given in Figure 1.4, where $\sigma=\{u, v\}$. The c-joint, d-joint and the total joint are given in Figures 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7, respectively.


Figure 1.1. $G$


Figure 1.2. $G^{\sigma}$


Figure 1.3. $G[\sigma]$


Figure 1.5. c-joint


Figure 1.6. d-joint


Figure 1.7. Total joint

## 2-Vertex Switching of Acyclic joints in Graphs

Now, consider the following results, which are required in the subsequent sections.
Theorem 1.1 ([|6|). If $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$ are the distinct joints at $\sigma$ in $G$ such that $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}$ where $k \geq 2$, then $G^{\sigma}=\bigcup_{i=1} B_{i}^{\sigma}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([5]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $p \geq 3$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \in E(G)$. Let $B$ be a $c$-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $B^{\sigma}$ is a $c$-joint and acyclic at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ if and only if $B-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic and $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-1,|V(B)|-2\}$.

Theorem 1.3 ([5]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $p \geq 4$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G)$. Let $B$ be a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $B^{\sigma}$ is a $c$-joint and acyclic if and only if $B-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic, $|V(B)| \geq 4$ and $d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=|V(B)|-3$.

Theorem 1.4 ([5]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $p \geq 3$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G)$. Let $B$ be a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $B^{\sigma}$ is a d-joint and acyclic if and only if $B-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic and either $d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=|V(B)|-2$ or $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$.

Theorem 1.5 ([5]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $p \geq 3$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G)$. Let $B$ be a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $B^{\sigma}$ is a c-joint and acyclic at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ if and only if $B=3 K_{1}$.

Theorem 1.6 ([5]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $p \geq 3$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G)$. Let $B$ be a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $B^{\sigma}$ is a d-joint and acyclic at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ if and only if $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$.

Theorem 1.7 ([5]). If $\sigma=\{u, v\} \subseteq V$ is a 2 -vertex self switching of a graph $G$, then

$$
d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)= \begin{cases}p & \text { if } u v \in E(G) \\ p-2 & \text { if } u v \notin E(G) .\end{cases}
$$

## 2. Main Results

## 2-Vertex Self Switching of Trees

Observation 2.1. If $G$ is a connected graph and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \in E(G)$. If $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, \ldots, B_{k}$ are the $k$ joints at $\sigma$ in $G$, then each joint $B_{i}$ at $\sigma$ in $G$ is a $c$-joint, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Consider the graph $G$ given in Figure 2.1. The graph $G-\sigma$ is the union of three components $K_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ which is given in Figure 2.2. The three joints $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ are given in Figures $2.3,2.4$ and 2.5, respectively. Clearly, $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ are c-joints.


Figure 2.1. $G$


Figure 2.2. $G-\sigma$


Figure 2.3. $B_{1}$


Figure 2.4. $B_{2}$


Figure 2.5. $B_{3}$

Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $p \geq 3$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \in E(G)$. Then $G^{\sigma}$ is connected and acyclic if and only if $B-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic and $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-1\}$ for all joints $B$ at $\sigma$ in $G$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \in E(G)$. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}, \ldots, B_{k}$ be the $k$ joints at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}$ and $G^{\sigma}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}^{\sigma}$. Since $G$ is connected, by Observation 2.1, each $B_{i}$ is connected and hence a c-joint for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Suppose $G^{\sigma}$ is connected and acyclic. Then each $B_{i}^{\sigma}$ is connected and hence a c-joint and acyclic for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By Theorem 1.2, each $B_{i}-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic and $\left\{d_{B_{i}}(u), d_{B_{i}}(v)\right\}=\left\{\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|-1,\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|-2\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Conversely, let $B-\sigma$ be connected, acyclic and $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-1,|V(B)|-2\}$ for all joints $B$ at $\sigma$ in $G$. By Theorem 1.2, each $B^{\sigma}$ is a c-joint and acyclic. Since $G^{\sigma}=\cup B^{\sigma}$ and $u v \in E\left(G^{\sigma}\right), G^{\sigma}$ is connected and acyclic.

Observation 2.3. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G)$. If $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$ are the $k$ joints at $\sigma$ in $G$, then each $B_{i}$ is either a c-joint or a d-joint for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Consider the graph $G$ given in Figure 2.6. The graph $G-\sigma$ is the union of $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ which is given in Figure 2.7. The joints $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ at $\sigma$ are given in Figure 2.8 and Figure 2.9, respectively. Here $B_{1}$ is a d-joint and $B_{2}$ is a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$.


Figure 2.6. $G$


Figure 2.7. $G-\sigma$


Figure 2.8. $B_{1}$


Figure 2.9. $B_{2}$

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $p \geq 4$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G)$. Let $k \geq 1$ be the number of joints at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $G^{\sigma}$ is connected and acyclic if and only if there exists at least one c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G, B-\sigma$ is connected and acyclic for each joint $B$ at $\sigma$ in $G, d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=|V(B)|-3$ and $|V(B)| \geq 4$ for exactly one $c$-joint $B=B^{*}$, $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$ for all c-joints $B \neq B^{*}$ and $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ for all d-joints $B$, if exists, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$ such that $u v \notin E(G)$. By Observation 2.3, $G$ is connected implies that each joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ is either a c-joint or a d-joint. Let $B_{C_{1}}, B_{C_{2}}, \ldots, B_{C_{m}}$ be the $m$ c-joints and $B_{d_{1}}, B_{d_{2}}, \ldots, B_{d_{n}}$ be the $n$ d-joints at $\sigma$ in $G$ so that $m+n=k$. Then by Theorem 1.1. $G=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} B_{c_{i}}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_{d_{j}}\right)$ and $G^{\sigma}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} B_{c_{i}}^{\sigma}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} B_{d_{j}}^{\sigma}\right)$. By Observation 2.3, each $B_{c_{i}}^{\sigma}$ is either a c-joint or a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and
each $B_{d_{j}}^{\sigma}$ is either a c-joint or a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Without loss of generality, let $B_{c_{1}}^{\sigma}, B_{c_{2}}^{\sigma}, \ldots, B_{c_{r}}^{\sigma}, B_{d_{1}}^{\sigma}, B_{d_{2}}^{\sigma}, \ldots, B_{d_{s}}^{\sigma}$ be the c-joints at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ and $B_{c_{r+1}}^{\sigma}, B_{c_{r+2}}^{\sigma}, \ldots, B_{c_{m}}^{\sigma}, B_{d_{s+1}}^{\sigma}$, $B_{d_{s+2}}^{\sigma}, \ldots, B_{d_{n}}^{\sigma}$ be the d-joints at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$.
Case 1. $B$ is a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ and $B^{\sigma}$ is a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$.
Then $B=B_{c_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq r$. By Theorem $1.3, B-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic, $|V(B)| \geq 4$ and $d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=|V(B)|-3$. If $r>1$, then there exist c-joints $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ at $\sigma$ in $G$ such that $d_{B_{1}}(u)=d_{B_{1}}(v)=\left|V\left(B_{1}\right)\right|-3$ and $d_{B_{2}}(u)=d_{B_{2}}(v)=\left|V\left(B_{2}\right)\right|-3 . d_{B_{1}}(u)=\left|V\left(B_{1}\right)\right|-3$ implies that $u$ is non-adjacent to only one vertex, say $a$, of $V\left(B_{1}\right)-\sigma$ in $B_{1}$ and hence $u$ is adjacent to the unique vertex $a$ in $B_{1}^{\sigma}$. In a similar argument, $v$ is adjacent to the unique vertex, say $b$, in $B_{1}^{\sigma}$, $u$ is adjacent to the unique vertex, say $c$, in $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ and $v$ is adjacent to the unique vertex, say $d$, in $B_{2}^{\sigma}$. Since $B_{1}-\sigma$ and $B_{2}-\sigma$ are connected, there exist paths $a-b$ and $c-d$ in $B_{1}-\sigma$ and $B_{2}-\sigma$, respectively and hence in $B_{1}^{\sigma}$ and $B_{2}^{\sigma}$, respectively. Now, the edge $u a$, the path $a-b$, the edge $b v$ is a $u-v$ path $P$ in $B_{1}^{\sigma}$ and hence in $G^{\sigma}$ Also, the edge $u c$, the path $c-d$ and the edge $d v$ form a $u-v$ path $P^{\prime}$ in $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ and hence in $G^{\sigma}$. Thus $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are two distinct $u-v$ paths in $G^{\sigma}$ and hence $G^{\sigma}$ contains a cycle, which is a contradiction to $G^{\sigma}$ is acyclic. Therefore, $r=1$ and hence $d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=|V(B)|-3$ and $|V(B)| \geq 4$ for exactly one c-joint $B=B^{*}$ at $\sigma$ in $G$.

Case 2. $B$ is a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ and $B^{\sigma}$ is a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$
Here $B=B_{c_{i}}, 2 \leq i \leq m$. By Theorem 1.4, B $-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic and either $d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=$ $|V(B)|-2$ or $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$. If $d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=|V(B)|-2$, then both $u$ and $v$ are isolated vertices in $B^{\sigma}$ since $u v \notin E(G)$. This implies that $B-\sigma$ is a component of $G^{\sigma}$ which is a contradiction to $G^{\sigma}$ is connected. Hence $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$.

Case 3. $B$ is a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ and $B^{\sigma}$ is a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$.
In this case, $B=B_{d_{j}}^{\sigma}, 1 \leq j \leq s$. By Theorem 1.5, $B=3 K_{1}$. This implies that $K_{1}$ is a component of $G$ which is a contradiction to $G$ is connected. Hence there do not exist any joint $B$ at $\sigma$ in $G$.
Case 4. $B$ is a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ and $B^{\sigma}$ is a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$.
Here $B=B_{d_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq n$. By Theorem 1.6, $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$.
From Cases 1, 2, 3 and 4, we see that $B-\sigma$ is connected and acyclic for all joints $B$ at $\sigma$ in $G, d_{B}(u)=d_{B}(v)=|V(B)|-3$ and $\left|V\left(B^{*}\right)\right| \geq 4$ for exactly one c-joint $B=B^{*},\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=$ $\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$ for all c-joints $B \neq B^{*}$ and $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ for all d-joints $B$, if exists, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$.
Conversely, let $B-\sigma$ be connected and acyclic for each joint $B$ at $\sigma$ in $G, d_{B}^{*}(u)=d_{\boldsymbol{B}}(v)=|V(B)|-3$ and $|V(B)| \geq 4$ for exactly one c-joint $B=B^{*},\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$ for all c-joints $B \neq B^{*}$ and $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ for all d-joints $B$, if exists, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$. By Theorem 1.3, $B^{* \sigma}$ is an acyclic c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$. Hence there exists a $u-v$ path in $B^{*}$. Let $B \neq B^{*}$ be a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then $\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$. By Theorem 1.4, $B^{\sigma}$ is a d-joint and acyclic at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$. This implies that either $d_{B^{\sigma}}(u)=0$ or $d_{B^{\sigma}}(v)=0$. If $B$ is a d-joint, then $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$. Now, $B^{\sigma}=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$ and hence a d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$.

Each $B^{\sigma}$ is acyclic, exactly $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ is a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ and all other joints at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ are d-joints implies that $G^{\sigma}$ is acyclic. $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ is a c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ implies that there exists a $u-v$ path in $G^{\sigma}$. To prove $G^{\sigma}$ is connected. Let $x$ and $y$ be any two vertices in $G^{\sigma}$. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1. $\{x, y\} \neq\{u, v\}$.
Subcase 1.1. $x$ and $y$ are in different joints at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$.
Let $B_{1}^{\sigma}$ and $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ be two joints at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$ such that $x \in V\left(B_{1}^{\sigma}\right)$ and $y \in V\left(B_{2}^{\sigma}\right)$. Since $B^{* \sigma}$ is the only c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$, we have the following possibilities:

Subcase 1.1.a. $B_{1}^{\sigma}$ is a c-joint and $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ is an d-joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$.
Then $B_{1}^{\sigma}=B^{*^{\sigma}}$. The paths $x-u$ and $u-v$ in $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ and either the $v-y$ path in $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ if $d_{B_{2}^{\sigma}}(u)=0$ or the $u-y$ path in $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ if $d_{B_{2}^{\sigma}}(v)=0$ form $a x-y$ walk in $G^{\sigma}$ and hence there is a $x-y$ path in $G^{\sigma}$.

Subcase 1.1.b. $B_{1}^{\sigma}$ and $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ are d-joints at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$
If $d_{B_{1}^{\sigma}}(u)=0$ and $d_{B_{2}^{\sigma}}(u)=0$, then $x-v$ and $v-y$ form a $x-y$ path in $G^{\sigma}$.
If $d_{B_{1}^{\sigma}}(v)=0$ and $d_{B_{2}^{\sigma}}(u)=0$, then the $x-u$ path in $B_{1}^{\sigma}, u-v$ path in $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ and the $v-y$ path in $B_{2}^{\sigma}$ form a $x-y$ path in $G^{\sigma}$.

Subcase 1.2. $x$ and $y$ are in the same joint at $\sigma$ in $G^{\sigma}$
Let $x, y \in V\left(B_{i}^{\sigma}\right), 1 \leq i \leq k$. Clearly $x, y \in V\left(B_{i}^{\sigma}\right)-\sigma$. Since $B_{i}^{\sigma}-\sigma$ is connected, there is a $x-y$ path in $B_{i}^{\sigma}-\sigma$ and hence in $B_{i}^{\sigma}$.
Case 2. $\{x, y\}=\{u, v\}$.
Then $x, y \in V\left(B^{*^{\sigma}}\right)$. Since $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ is connected, there is a $x-y$ path in $B^{* \sigma}$ and hence in $G^{\sigma}$.
Case 3. $x=u$ and $y \neq v$.
Then $x \in V\left(B^{* \sigma}\right)$. Since $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ is connected, there is a $x-v$ path in $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ and hence in $G^{\sigma}$. Since $y \neq v, y \in V(B)$ such that $B$ may be a c-joint or a d-joint.

Subcase 3.a. $B$ is a c-joint
Then there exist a $v-y$ path in $B^{\sigma}$ and hence a $x-y$ path in $G^{\sigma}$.
Subcase 3.b. $B$ is a d-joint
Here $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$. If $K_{1}=u=x$, then $K_{2}$ is the edge $v y$. Now $B^{\sigma}=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ where $K_{1}$ is $v$ and $K_{2}$ is the edge $u y$ which is same as $x y$ and hence there is $a x-y$ path in $G^{\sigma}$.
If $K_{1}=v$, then $K_{2}$ is the edge $x y$. This implies that $B^{\sigma}=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ where $K_{1}$ is $x=u$ and $K_{2}$ is $v y$. Now $x-v$ path in $B^{*^{\sigma}}$ and $v y$ edge form a $x-y$ path in $G^{\sigma}$.
From Cases 1,2 and $3, G^{\sigma}$ is connected. Hence the theorem is proved.
Notation 2.5. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\} \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G\left[\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right]=P_{n}$ and each edge of $P_{n}$ is a bridge in $G$. Without loss of generality, let $P_{n}$ be $v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n}$. Let $B_{i_{1}}, B_{i_{2}}, \ldots, B_{i_{r_{i}}}$ be the $r_{i}(>0)$ branches at $v_{i}$ in $G, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We denote the
graph $G$ by $P_{n\left(v_{1}-v_{n}\right)}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{1}} B_{1_{i}}, \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{2}} B_{2_{i}}, \ldots, \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{n}} B_{n_{i}}\right)$. If there is no branch at $v_{j}$, then we put 0 in the place $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{1}} B_{j_{i}}$.
Example 2.6. Consider the graph $G$ given in Figure 2.10. It can be denoted by $P_{6(u-v)}\left(2 P_{2}, C_{3}, P_{2}\right.$, $\left.C_{4} \cup P_{2}, 2 P_{2} \cup P_{3}\right)$ or $P_{6(u-w)}\left(2 P_{2}, C_{3}, P_{2}, C_{4} \cup P_{2}, 2 P_{2}, P_{2}\right)$ or $P_{7(u-x)}\left(2 P_{2}, C_{3}, P_{2}, C_{4} \cup P_{2}, 2 P_{2}, 0,0\right)$.


Figure 2.10. $G$

Theorem 2.7. Let $G$ be a connected acyclic graph of order $p \geq 4$ and let $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$. Then $G$ has a 2-vertex self switching at $\sigma$ in $G$ if and only if one of the following holds:
(i) $G=B_{m, n}$ where $m+n=p-2$ is the number of $c$-joints at $\sigma$ in $G$, $u v$ is an edge in $G$ and $u$ and $v$ are the central vertices of $G$.
(ii) $G$ is either $P_{4(u-v)}\left(m P_{2}, 0,0, n P_{2}\right)$ or $P_{3(u-v)}\left(m P_{2}, P_{2}, n P_{2}\right)$ where $p-4=m+n$ is the number of d-joints at $\sigma$ in $G, u$ and $v$ are end vertices of both $P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ and $u v$ is not an edge of $G$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a connected acyclic graph and $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ be a subset of $V(G)$. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$ be the $k$ joints at $\sigma$ in $G$. Then by Theorem 1.1, $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}$ and $G^{\sigma}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}^{\sigma}$. Let $\sigma$ be a 2 -vertex self switching of $G$. Then $G \cong G^{\sigma}$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $u v \in E(G)$.
Since $G$ is connected and $u v \in E(G)$, by Observation 2.1, each $B_{i}$ is a c-joint, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $G$ is acyclic, each $B_{i}$ is acyclic, $1 \leq i \leq k$. By Theorem 1.2, $B_{i}-\sigma$ is connected, acyclic and $\left\{d_{B_{i}}(u), d_{B_{i}}(v)\right\}=\left\{\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|-1,\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|-2\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Without loss of generality, let $B_{1}$ be a joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ such that $d_{B_{1}}(u)=\left|V\left(B_{1}\right)\right|-1$ and $d_{B_{1}}(v)=\left|V\left(B_{1}\right)\right|-2$.
If $\left|V\left(B_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$, then $d_{B_{1}}(u) \geq 3$ and $d_{B_{1}}(v) \geq 2$. $u v \in E(G)$ and $d_{B_{1}}(u) \geq 3$ implies that there exist at least two vertices, say $a$ and $b$, in $V\left(B_{1}\right)-\sigma$ such that $u$ is adjacent to $a$ and $b$ in $B_{1}$. Since $B_{1}-\sigma$ is connected, there is an $a-b$ path in $B_{1}-\sigma$ and hence in $B_{1}$. Now the edge $u a$, the path $a-b$ and the edge $b u$ form a cycle in $B$, which is a contradiction to $G$ is acyclic. Therefore, $\left|V\left(B_{1}\right)\right|=3$. This implies that $d_{B_{1}}(u)=2$ and $d_{B_{1}}(v)=1$ and hence $B_{1}=P_{3}$.
Let $B_{2}$ be a joint at $\sigma$ in $G$ such that $d_{B_{2}}(u)=\left|V\left(B_{2}\right)\right|-2$ and $d_{B_{2}}(v)=\left|V\left(B_{2}\right)\right|-1$. Then as before $B_{2}=P_{3}$ where $d_{B_{2}}(u)=2$ and $d_{B_{2}}(v)=1$. Hence, each $B_{i}=P_{3}$ in which $\left\{d_{B_{i}}(u), d_{B_{i}}(v)\right\}=\{1,2\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and hence either $u$ or $v$ is an end vertex of $P_{3}$. Therefore, $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} P_{3}=B_{m, n}$, where
$m+n=k$ and $u$ and $v$ are central vertices of $G$. Clearly, $d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)=m+n+2$. By Theorem 1.7, $d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)=p$. This implies that $m+n=p-2$, which is the number of c-joints at $\sigma$ in $G$. Thus (i) is proved.


Figure 2.11. $G=B_{4,5}$

Case 2. $u v \notin E(G)$.
Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{r}$ the r c-joints and $B_{r+1}, B_{r+2}, \ldots, B_{k}$ be the ( $k-r$ ) d-joints at $\sigma$ in $G$. Since $G \cong G^{\sigma}$ and $G^{\sigma}$ is connected and acyclic, $G$ is also connected. By Theorem 2.4, there exist at least one c-joint at $\sigma$ in $G, B-\sigma$ is connected and acyclic for each joint $B$ at $\sigma$ in $G, d_{\boldsymbol{B}}(u)=d_{\boldsymbol{B}}(v)=$ $|V(B)|-3$ and $|V(B)| \geq 4$ for exactly one c-joint $B=B^{*},\left\{d_{B}(u), d_{B}(v)\right\}=\{|V(B)|-2,|V(B)|-3\}$ for all c-joints $B \neq B^{*}$ and $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ for all d-joints $B$, if exists, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$. Let $B_{1}=B^{*}$. If $|V(B *)| \geq 5$, then $d_{B^{*}}(u)=d_{B^{*}}(v) \geq 2$. This implies that there exists at least two vertices, say $a$ and $b$, in $V\left(B^{*}\right)-\sigma$ which are adjacent to $u$ in $B^{*}$ and at least two vertices, say $c$ and $d$, in $V\left(B^{*}\right)-\sigma$ which are adjacent to $v$ in $B^{*}$. Since $B^{*}-\sigma$ is connected, there exist paths $a-b$ and $c-d$ in $B^{*}-\sigma$ and hence in $B^{*}$. Then the edge $u a$, the path $a-b$ and the edge $b u$ form a cycle in $B^{*}$ and hence in $G$, which is a contradiction to $G$ is acyclic. Hence $\left|V\left(B^{*}\right)\right|=4$. This implies that $d_{B^{*}}(u)=d_{B^{*}}(v)=1$. All possible connected acyclic graphs on four vertices are given in Figure 2.12 and Figure 2.13. Clearly, $P_{4}^{\sigma} \cong P_{4}$ and $K_{1,3}^{\sigma} \cong K_{1,3}$ where $u$ and $v$ are end vertices and hence $P_{4}$ and $K_{1,3}$ are self switching joints at $\sigma$.


Figure 2.12. $B^{*}=P_{4}$


Figure 2.13. $B^{*}=K_{1,3}$

Now, $\left\{d_{B_{i}}(u), d_{B_{i}}(v)\right\}=\left\{\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|-2,\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|-3\right\}, 2 \leq i \leq r$. If $\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right| \geq 4$, then either $d_{B_{i}}(u) \geq 2$ and $d_{B_{i}}(v) \geq 1$ or $d_{B_{i}}(u) \geq 1$ and $d_{B_{i}}(v) \geq 2$. If either $d_{B_{i}}(u) \geq 2$ or $d_{B_{i}}(v) \geq 2$ then as before we get a cycle in $G$, which is a contradiction to $G$ is acyclic. If $\left|V\left(B_{i}\right)\right|=3$, then either $d_{B_{i}}(u)=1$ and $d_{B_{i}}(v)=0$ or $d_{B_{i}}(u)=0$ and $d_{B_{i}}(v)=1$. This implies that $B=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$, where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$ and hence $B_{i}$ is a d-joint which is a contradiction to $B_{i}$ is a c-joint, $2 \leq i \leq r$.
Thus there exists exactly one c-joint $B^{*}$ at $\sigma$ in $G$ which is either $P_{4}$ or $K_{1,3}$, where $u$ and $v$ are the end vertices and each of the remaining ( $k-1$ ) d-joints is $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ where $K_{1}$ is either $u$ or $v$. Let $m$ be the number of d-joints with $K_{1}$ as $u$ and $n$ be the number of d-joints with $K_{1}$ as $v$ so
that $m+n=k-1$. Clearly, $d_{G}(u)=m+1$ and $d_{G}(v)=n+1$ and hence $d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)=m+n+2$. By Theorem 1.7, $d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)=p-2$ which implies that $m+n=p-4$, the number of d-joints. Therefore, $G$ is either $P_{4(u-v)}\left(m P_{2}, 0,0, n P_{2}\right)$ or $P_{3(u-v)}\left(m P_{2}, P_{2}, n P_{2}\right)$ where $m+n=p-4$ is the number of d-joints at $\sigma$ in $G$ and $u$ and $v$ are end vertices of $P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$.


Figure 2.14. $P_{4(u-v)}\left(4 P_{2}, 0,0,5 P_{2}\right)$


Figure 2.15. $P_{3(u-v)}\left(7 P_{2}, P_{2}, 6 P_{2}\right)$
Thus from Cases 1 and 2, if $u v \in E(G)$, then $G=B_{m, n}$ where $n+m=p-2$ is the number of c-joints at $\sigma$ in $G$ and $u$ and $v$ are central vertices of $G$ and if $u v \notin E(G)$, then $G$ is either $P_{4(u-v)}\left(n P_{2}, 0,0, m P_{2}\right)$ or $P_{3(u-v)}\left(m P_{2}, P_{2}, n P_{2}\right)$, where $n+m=p-4$ is the number of d-joints at $\sigma$ in $G$ and $u$ and $v$ are end vertices of both $P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$.
Conversely, let $G=B_{m, n}$ where $n+m=p-2$ is the number of c-joints at $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ in $G$, where $u$ and $v$ are central vertices and $u v \in E(G)$ or $G$ is either $P_{4(u-v)}\left(n P_{2}, 0,0, m P_{2}\right)$ or $P_{3(u-v)}\left(m P_{2}, P_{2}, n P_{2}\right)$, where $n+m=p-4$ is the number of d-joints at $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ in $G$, where $u$ and $v$ are end vertices of both $P_{4}$ and $P_{3}$ and $u v \notin E(G)$. Then each case leads to $G$ has a 2 -vertex self switching at $\sigma$ in $G$.

## 3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have given necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph $G$, for which $G^{\sigma}$ at $\sigma=\{u, v\}$ to be connected and acyclic when $u v \in E(G)$ and $u v \notin E(G)$. Finally, we characterized trees with a 2 -vertex self switching.
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