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#### Abstract

A series of 3-aryl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamines and related 2-ureas were prepared and evaluated as inhibitors of the FGF receptor-1 tyrosine kinase. Condensation of 4,6-diaminonicotinaldehyde and substituted phenylacetonitriles gave intermediate naphthyridine-2,7diamines, and direct reaction of the monoanion of these (NaH/DMF) with alkyl or aryl isocyanates selectively gave the 2 -ureas in varying yields (23-93\%). For the preparation of more soluble 7 -alkylamino-2-ureas, a number of protecting groups for the 2 -amine were evaluated (phthal oyl, 4-methoxybenzyl) following selective blocking of the 7-amine (trityl), but these were not superior to the (required) 2-tert-Bu-urea group itself. Direct alkylation of the anion of the (unprotected) 7-amino group with excess 4-(3-chloropropyl)morpholine in DMF gave low (10\%) yields of the desired product, but alkylation of the 7-acetamido anion, followed by mild alkaline hydrolysis, raised this to 64\%. 3-Phenyl anal ogues were nonspecific inhibitors of isol ated c-Src, FGFR, and PDGFR tyrosine kinases, whereas 3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl) anal ogues were most effective against c-Src and FGFR, and 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl) derivatives showed high selectivity for FGFR alone. A water-soluble (7-morpholinylpropylamino) anal ogue retained high FGFR potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 31 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and selectivity. Pairwise comparison of the 1,6-naphthyridines and the corresponding known pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine anal ogues showed little differences in potency or patterns of selectivity, suggesting that the 1-aza atom of the latter is not important for activity. A 7-acetamide derivative inhibited the growth of F GFR-expressing tumor cell lines and was particularly potent against HUVECs ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4 \mathrm{nM}$ ). This compound was also a very potent inhibitor of HUVEC microcapillary formation ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.01 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and Matrigel invasion ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ 7 nM ) and showed significant in vivo antitumor effects in a highly vascularized mammary adenocarcinoma 16/c model at nontoxic doses. The compounds are worthy of further evaluation as antiangiogenesis agents.


## Introduction

Angiogenesis is essential for the growth and survival of sol id tumors. ${ }^{1}$ Fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) are major angiogenic factors for some tumors. ${ }^{2,3}$ The inhibition of FGF receptor (FGFR) tyrosine kinases may therefore be an effective strategy to prevent this inappropriate vascularisation. ${ }^{4,5}$ Overexpression of FGFRs, their ligands, or other aberrant kinase function has been implicated in various diseases, including psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, atherosclerosis, ${ }^{6}$ and restenosis, ${ }^{7}$ as well as several human tumors ${ }^{8,9}$ (e.g. breast, pancreatic, ovarian, and prostate cancers), and in some cases this correlates with poor survival. ${ }^{10}$
The FGF receptor-1 tyrosine kinase is the most predominant FGFR subtype in vascular cells, ${ }^{11}$ and its

[^0]abnormal expression has been shown to accelerate the tumorigenicity of prostate epithelial cells in vivo. ${ }^{12}$ Crystal structures of this receptor alone or in complex with ATP or with inhibitors of the kinase have been published. ${ }^{9,13}$ To date, few selective inhibitors of FGFR tyrosine kinases have been reported. ${ }^{13,14}$ One of the members of the thioindole class prepared in our earlier studies, PD 145709 (1), specifically inhibited bFGFmediated tyrosine phosphorylation with moderate potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) as well as FGFR autophosphorylation and was a potent inhibitor of protein synthesis. ${ }^{15}$ Recently two compounds from the indoli inone class were reported to have moderate activity against the FGF receptor-1 tyrosine kinase ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~S}$ of $10-20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), but only one of these (2) displayed some selectivity against other kinases. ${ }^{9}$ The Parke-Davis group has described ${ }^{16}$ studies on the 1-oxo-3-aryl-1H-indene-2-carboxylic acid derivatives which are also selective inhibitors (e.g. 3, $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 5.1$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$.


Recently the Parke-Davis group has also reported ${ }^{17}$ the discovery (through compound library screening) of the novel pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine urea PD 089828 (4) as a potent broad-spectrum inhibitor of the PDGFR, FGFR, EGFR, and c-Src tyrosine kinases. Further structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies ${ }^{18}$ resulted in the identification of PD 166866 (5), as a potent and very selective ATP competitive inhibitor of the FGF receptor-1 tyrosine kinase, ${ }^{11}$ and devel oped compounds with improved potency, solubility, and bioavailability. ${ }^{13,19,20}$ In studies designed to determine the importance of the pyrimidine ring aza atoms, we describe here the synthesis and biological evaluation of 3-aryl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamines and related 2-ureas (as 1-deaza analogues of the pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines) (620). Among these, the 7-acetamido derivative $\mathbf{1 7}$ shows potent in vivo activity. Also reported are synthetic strategies aimed at the preparation of more soluble derivatives (e.g., the 7-[(3-morpholinylpropyl)amino] derivative 20).

## Chemistry

The 3-aryl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamines and related 2-ureas were prepared by the general method shown in Scheme 1. To start, the known ${ }^{21} 4,6$-diaminonicotinal dehyde (21) (derived from Raney nickel reduction of the corresponding nitrile ${ }^{22}$ ) was condensed with substituted phenylacetonitriles in refluxing 2-ethoxyethanol under basic conditions (the alkoxide generated from addition of sodium to the solvent) as previously reported ${ }^{21-23}$ to give the corresponding 3-aryl-1,6-naph-thyridine-2,7-diamines 6, 9, and 12.

Various conditions were then examined for the introduction of the urea substituents (Table 1). In general (method A), reaction of the diamines with sodium hydride in dry DMF, followed by a solution of the isocyanate in DMF at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-24 \mathrm{~h}$, selectively gave 2-mono-ureas in moderate yield, together with starting material and small amounts of bis-ureas. The latter were generally not isolated, but 19 was obtained (2\% yield) from a larger-scale preparation of 15. In the 3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl) series, the tert-butylurea 11 was formed in much lower yield (23\%) than was the corresponding tert-butylurea 15 in the 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl) series (51\%), presumably due to the increased steric hindrance in the former case. The structure of phenylurea 16 was conclusively proved by 2-D NMR (HMQC, HMBC) experiments from long-range correlations between the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}-8$ resonances and between the

## Scheme $1^{\text {a }}$


a (i) $\mathrm{XPhCH} 2 \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{Na} / 2-\mathrm{EtO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH} / 135^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$ (ref 23); (ii) $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$, then RNCO/DMF or RNCO/0-20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1-24 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaH} /$ DMSO, then RNCO/DMSO/ $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

Table 1. Synthetic Yields for the Preparation of N -(7-Amino-3-aryl-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl)-N'-(alkyl or aryl)ureas (Table 2) from Diamines 6, 9, and $\mathbf{1 2}$ by Various Methods

| diamine | isocyanate | method $^{\text {a }}$ | scale <br> (g) | time <br> (h) | product | yield <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | EtNCO | B | 0.10 | 24 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 66 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | tBuNCO | A | 0.10 | 4 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 66 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | EtNCO | B | 0.11 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $<37^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | EtNCO | C | 0.13 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 50 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | tBuNCO | A | 0.10 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 23 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | MeNCO | A $^{\text {c }}$ | 0.11 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 47 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | EtNCO | B | 0.10 | 20 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 56 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | tBuNCO | A | 0.07 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 51 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | tBuNCO | A | 0.25 | 18 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | tBuNCO | B | 0.14 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 89 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | tBuNCO | B | 5.0 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 5}(+\mathbf{1 9 )}$ | $93(2)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | PhNCO | A | 0.10 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 45 |

a Method A: NaH (1.0-1.2 equiv)/DMF/20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~min}$, then RNCO (1.0-1.2 equiv)/DMF/20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ /time. Method B: NaH (1.21.3 equiv)/DMF/20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10-15 \mathrm{~min}$, then RNCO (1.1-1.25 equiv)/ $0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ time. Method $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{NaH}\left(1.4\right.$ equiv)/DMSO/40-50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~min}$, then RNCO ( 1.1 equiv)/DMSO/20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ time. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Impure. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 0.6$ equiv of MeNCO added, to minimize bis-urea formation.
acylated NH and both C-2 and C-3 resonances. Selectivity toward urea formation at the more hindered C-2 amine position was seen in all three 3-aryl-1,6-naph-thyridine-2,7-diamines examined and has also been reported for the corresponding pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines, ${ }^{19}$ suggesting the formation of a thermodynamically preferred anion.

Method B, similar to that described by Hamby, ${ }^{19}$ employed the addition of the neat isocyanate at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the anions generated with sodium hydride in DMF at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This method usually gave higher yields (up to 93\%) but failed for the 3-(2,6-diCIPh)ethylurea 10, giving a low yield ( $<37 \%$ ) and an inseparable bis-urea impurity. A further modification (method C), forming the anion from excess sodium hydride in DMSO at higher temperature, then adding a solution of the isocyanate in DMSO at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, mostly overcame these difficulties, giving 10 in 50\% purified yield.

Various routes were considered for the preparation of a soluble 7-alkylamino derivative of the FGFRselective lead compound 15 . We have shown ${ }^{23}$ that selective diazotization of diamines 6 and 9 in concentrated HCl or $50 \% \mathrm{HBF}_{4}$, followed by amine displace-

## Scheme $2^{\text {a }}$


a (i) $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$, then phthaloyl dichloride/ $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaH} /$ DMF, then $4-\mathrm{OMePhCH} 2 \mathrm{Cl} / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) TFA/ $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mathrm{~min}$; (iv) $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$, then $\mathrm{TrCl} / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 24 \mathrm{~h}$ or $\mathrm{TrCl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF} / 50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 3$ days; (v) $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) silica gel/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 3-4$ days; (vii) $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$, then $4-\mathrm{OMePhCH} 2 \mathrm{Cl} / 20$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (viii) TFA/70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~h}$ or $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 20 \mathrm{~h}$.
ment of the resulting 7-halides, is a useful synthetic route to 7 -alkylamino derivatives. However, we encountered considerable difficulty with diazotization of the more electron-rich diamine 12, due to competing nitrosation/oxidation reactions. ${ }^{23}$ We therefore considered selective alkylation of the $\mathbf{7}$-amino group of $\mathbf{1 2}$, by first selectively protecting the 2-amine, which forms an anion preferentially (see above). The various protecting group strategies examined are summarized in Scheme 2.

Phthaloylation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ under anionic conditions ( $\mathrm{NaH} /$ DMF, then ca. 0.45 equiv of phthaloyl dichloride) was explored by analogy with the urea chemistry above. This gave a crude mixture in which the desired 22 was a major product, but there was much recovered starting material, and both the 2 - and 7 -phthalimides ( 22 and 23) were isolated in extremely low yield (5\%). Furthermore, surprisingly, purified 22 was relatively unstable (on silica gel and on standing in sol ution), and this route was therefore not suitable.
A second strategy used 4-methoxybenzyl (PMB) protecting groups, seeking initial double protection of both amino groups, followed by selective deprotection at the less hindered 7 -position. Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with excess PMB chloride gave $\mathbf{2 4}$ in moderate yield ( $62 \%$ ), but treatment of this with TFA gave nonselective cleavage at both positions to form $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ as the major products. Use of the bulky trityl group to selectively block the 7-position was then investigated. Tritylation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ under anionic conditions ( $\mathrm{TrCl} / \mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$ ) unexpectedly gave the DMF-derived 7-tritylamino-2-formamide derivative $\mathbf{2 7}$ as a significant byproduct along

## Scheme ${ }^{3}$


a (i) $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$, then $\mathrm{Mel} / \mathrm{DMF} / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) 4-(3-chloropropyl)morpholine•HCI/NaH/DMF/20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 7$ days; (iii) TFAA/py/20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) $\mathrm{AcCl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF} / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8$ days or $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{py} / 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water $/ 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (vi) step ii $/ 52^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 26 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) step $\mathrm{v} / 43 \mathrm{~h}$.
with the desired $\mathbf{2 8}$ (but $\mathbf{2 7}$ was easily hydrol yzed to $\mathbf{2 8}$ in good yield). Column chromatography of these compounds on silica gel resulted in considerable detritylation, as reported for trityl ethers. ${ }^{24}$ Tritylation under more typical conditions (e.g. $\mathrm{TrCl}_{1 / E t_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF} \text { ) followed }}$ by flash chromatography on silica gel gave $\mathbf{2 8}$ in good yield ( $78-80 \%$ ), along with small amounts of the ditritylated derivative $\mathbf{2 9}$. The structure of $\mathbf{2 8}$ was confirmed by comparison of its NMR data with that for the 2-tritylamino derivative 30, obtained in low yield from the ditritylated derivative $\mathbf{2 9}$ by partial detritylation on silica gel. ${ }^{24}$

Alkylation of $\mathbf{2 8}$ with PMB chloride as above gave $\mathbf{3 1}$ in good yield ( $83 \%$ ), and selective removal of the trityl group with silica gel ${ }^{24}$ gave 32 ( $69 \%$ after two cycles). However, removal of the PMB groups unexpectedly proved impossible. Many reagents (TFA, $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, CAN, $\mathrm{H}_{2} / 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ ) removed one PMB group to give 26, but only refluxing $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and hydrogenolysis gave the diamine 12 (in low yield as part of a complex mixture). Therefore this route was also abandoned in favor of a more direct approach, using the readily accessible 2-t-Bu-urea group as the only protection for the 2 -amine (Scheme 3).

Methylation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DMF}$, then Mel/DMF) gave many products, the major one being 33 ( $36 \%$ yield),

Table 2. Structure and Kinase Inhibitory Activities of 1,6-Naphthyridines as FGFR Inhibitors


| no. | Fm | X | Y | $1 \mathrm{C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FGFa | PDGFa | c-Srca |
| 6 | A | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 17 | 17 | 23 |
| 7 | A | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHEt | 1.3 | 7.7 | 5.1 |
| 8 | A | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHtBu | 1.9 | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| 9 | B | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 2.8 | 35 | 0.68 |
| 10 | B | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHEt | 0.15 | 11 | 0.10 |
| 11 | B | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHtBu | 0.12 | 1.8 | 0.17 |
| 12 | C | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.20 | >50 | > 50 |
| 13 | C | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHMe | 0.095 | >50 | > 50 |
| 14 | C | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHEt | 0.029 | >50 | > 50 |
| 15 | C | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHtBu | 0.042 | 38 | > 50 |
| 16 | C | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | NHCONHPh | 0.51 | > 50 | > 50 |
| 17 | C | NHAc | NHCONHtBu | 0.025 | 4.7 | 3.8 |
| 18 | C | $\mathrm{NHCOCF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.061 | > 50 | > 50 |
| 19 | C | NHCONHtBu | NHCONHtBu | 0.16 | > 50 | > 50 |
| 20 | C | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ morph ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | NHCONHtBu | 0.031 | 45 | > 50 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ : concentration of drug $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ to inhibit the phosphorylation of a random glutamate-tyrosine (4:1) copolymer by FGFR, PDGFR, or c-Src proteins. For active compounds, values are an average of two or more separate determinations; variation was generally $\pm 30 \%$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}$-Morpholinyl.
resulting from $\mathrm{N}^{2}$-methylation and cleavage of the urea group. The structure of 33 was deduced from the similarity of its NMR to that of the 2-PMB-amino derivative $\mathbf{2 6}$ above and by HSQC and HMBC 2-D NMR (long-range correlations between the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}-8$ resonances, between the alkylated NH and both $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ resonances, and between the $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$ resonances). Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with excess 4-(3-chloropropyl)morpholine hydrochloride/NaH/DMF gave a low yield of the desired $\mathbf{2 0}(10 \%)$, together with the $\mathrm{N}-1$ alkylated product 34 (1.5\%) and recovered $\mathbf{1 5}(48 \%)$. The structure of 34 is proposed from its distinctive UV spectrum and strong upfield shifts in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, similar to those observed for 1,6-naphthyridin-2(1H)-ones ${ }^{22}$ in comparison to the corresponding $2-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$-ureas. This was further supported by the observation that strong base hydrolysis of $\mathbf{3 4}$ did not yield a 2-NH-alkyl derivative (TLC, NMR), whereas loss of the 2 -urea to give 33 above was evidently very facile.

These alkylation results are consistent with those reported for reactions on 2-aminopyridine by Dome, ${ }^{25}$ where mixtures of mono- and dibenzylated products were obtained in moderate yield ( $35 \%$ and $23 \%$, respectively), and by Whitmore, ${ }^{26}$ who prepared the 2 -(morpholinylpropyl)amino derivative (18\%) by heating the preformed anion with 0.5 equiv of the alkyl chloride in toluene. However, sel ective monoalkylation of 2-aminopyridine in better yield has been reported ${ }^{27,28}$ via the corresponding 2 -formamide or acetamide (whereas 2-sulfonamides reportedly ${ }^{29}$ gave alkylation on the ring nitrogen).

Trifluoroacetylation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with TFAA/pyridine gave one major product, $\mathbf{1 8}(78 \%)$, which had lost the 2-t-Buurea functionality (possibly due to acylation, which could activate it toward hydrolysis). Because $\mathbf{1 8}$ was also somewhat unstable, we instead examined the more stable acetamide. Acetylation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with $\mathrm{AcCl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF}$ gave a mixture of the 7-acetamido and 7-diacetylamino derivatives 17 and 35 in good yield, and mild alkaline
hydrolysis ${ }^{30}$ converted the mixture cleanly to the 7 -acetamide $\mathbf{1 7}$ ( $72 \%$ yield overall). Reactions involving $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or NaH gave undesired products, probably due to deprotonation of the 2-urea. However, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{pyridine}$ gave an excellent yield of the desired product 17 directly (92\%).

Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 7}$ using excess 4 -(3-chloropropyl)morpholine hydrochloride/NaH/DMF gave a 1:1 mixture of the 7-NH- and 7-NAc-alkyl derivatives $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 6}$ in good yield ( $70 \%$ ). This demonstrates high selectivity for alkylation of the assumed dianion (from deprotonation of the 2 -urea and 7 -acetamide) at the least hindered (7$N$ ) position. Mild alkaline hydrolysis of this mixture selectively cleaved the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-disubstituted acetamide in the presence of the 2-urea to give $\mathbf{2 0}$ in $64 \%$ overall yield. However, alkylation of $\mathbf{1 7}$ appears to be very sensitive to traces of moisture, since repetition (on twice the scale) gave a much lower yield of 20 ( $16 \%$ ) and no 36, together with the 7,7-bis derivative 37 (14\%), a mixture of $\mathbf{1 7}$ and the 7 -amino derivative $\mathbf{1 5}$ (ca. $32 \%$ ), and more polar components.

## Results and Discussion

The compounds listed in Table 2 were evaluated for their ability to prevent phosphorylation of a model glutamate-tyrosine copolymer substrate by isolated avian c-Src, human FGF receptor-1 (FGFR), and mouse PDGF- $\beta$ receptor (PDGFR) tyrosine kinase enzymes, using published methods. ${ }^{11,17,31}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were defined as the concentration of inhibitor to reduce by $50 \%$ the level of ${ }^{32 P}$ (from added [32P]ATP) incorporated into the copolymer substrate. The 3-phenyl compounds 6-8 were nonspecific inhibitors, with about equal activity in the three assays, similar to the pattern seen with the corresponding pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines. ${ }^{19}$ Formation of the 2-urea increased potency but not selectivity. The corresponding 3-(2,6-dichl orophenyl) anal ogues 9-11 were significantly more potent than 6-8 for inhibition of the FGFR and c-Src kinases only but

Table 3. Comparison of the Kinase Inhibitory Activities of 1,6-Naphthyridines (A) and Pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines (B)


| no. | Fm | X | R | $1 \mathrm{C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FGFa | PDGFa | c-Srca |
| 8 | A | H | NHCONHtBu | 1.9 | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| $38{ }^{\text {b }}$ | B | H | NHCONHtBu | 3.7 | 4.7 | $>50$ |
| 9 | A | 2,6-diCl | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 2.8 | 35 | 0.68 |
| $39{ }^{\text {c }}$ | B | 2,6-diCl | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 21 | 0.21 |
| 10 | A | 2,6-diCl | NHCONHEt | 0.15 | 11 | 0.10 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | B | 2,6-diCl | NHCONHEt | 0.13 | 1.3 | 0.08 |
| 11 | A | 2,6-diCl | NHCONHtBu | 0.12 | 1.8 | 0.17 |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | B | 2,6-diCl | NHCONHtBu | 0.13 | 1.1 | 0.22 |
| 12 | A | 3,5-diOMe | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.20 | > 50 | $>50$ |
| $41^{\text {b }}$ | B | 3,5-diOMe | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.23 | > 50 | $>50$ |
| 15 | A | 3,5-diOMe | NHCONHtBu | 0.042 | 38 | $>50$ |
| $5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | B | 3,5-diOMe | NHCONHtBu | 0.060 | > 50 | $>50$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ As for Table 2. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ref 19. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Data from ref 21.
Table 4. Growth Delay and in Vitro Antiangiogenesis
Activities of 1,6-Naphthyridines and Related
Pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| no. | $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | A90 $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | HUVEC $^{\mathrm{d}}$ | microcape | invasione |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $>25$ | 15 | 0.58 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 8.9 | 17 | 0.82 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 8.0 | 9.3 | 0.17 |  | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 8.5 | 1.2 | 0.0055 | 0.1 | 0.007 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 0.65 | 12 | 0.004 | 0.00001 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 9.0 | 17 | 4.9 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 13 | 11 | 0.017 | 0.01 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 3.1 | 0.92 | 0.085 | 0.001 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ : concentration of drug $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ to inhibit in vitro cell growth or HUVEC microcapillary formation or invasion. For active compounds, values are an average of two or more separate determinations. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ PDGF-dependent rat glioma. c FGFR overexpressing human ovarian carcinoma. ${ }^{\text {d FGF-dependent }}$ human umbilical vein endothelial cells. e Matrigel assay.
remained nonselective between these two kinases. In contrast, the 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl) derivatives 1220 showed both high potency and excellent selectivity for FGFR versus the other kinases. Both PDGFR and in particular c-Src activity was completely lost, resulting in c-Src/F GFR selectivities of >1000-fold for many of the compounds. The three alkyl ureas 13-15 did not differ markedly in potency, but the phenyl urea $\mathbf{1 6}$ was significantly less effective. This is consistent with results reported by Hamby et al. ${ }^{19}$ for the related pyrido[2,3d]pyrimidines. Acetamide $\mathbf{1 7}$ was the most potent FGFR inhibitor ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 25 \mathrm{nM}$ ) but was less selective against PDGFR and c-Src. The bis-urea 19 was less potent, but the more soluble analogue $\mathbf{2 0}$ retained high potency and selectivity. Table 3 provides some pairwise comparisons of 1,6-naphthyridines and pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines. Overall no significant differences can be seen between the two chromophore series, either in absol ute potency or in patterns of selectivity.

Certain of the FGFR-selective 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl) derivatives were evaluated for growth inhibition in a variety of serum-stimulated cell lines, together with the pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine 5 (Table 4). A comparison of 5 and its direct 1,6-naphthyridine analogue 15
showed that the naphthyridine was considerably more potent in all the lines. Overall, the compounds displayed similar, modest potencies toward both the PDGFdependent C6 rat glioma line ${ }^{32}$ (expressing moderate FGFR levels ${ }^{33}$ ) and the FGFR-overexpressing human ovarian A90 line ${ }^{34}$ but much higher potencies toward human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), whose growth has been shown to be FGF-dependent. ${ }^{35}$ The 7-acetamide 17 was particularly potent against HUVECs ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 4 \mathrm{nM}$ ), and this compound was also an extremely effective inhibitor of HUVEC microcapillary formation and Matrigel invasion (Table 4).

One compound (17) was selected for in vivo evaluation against three murine tumor model systems: mammary adenocarcinoma 16/c, M5076 reticulum cell sarcoma, and Lewis lung carci noma. These model s were sel ected due to their high degree of vascularization and have been used by a number of other investigators in the analysis of angiogenesis inhibitors. While we expected that $\mathbf{1 7}$ would produce measurable antitumor effects in all three models, it was effective only against the mammary adenocarcinoma 16/c (Table5). Although the drug had to be administered as a suspension, enough was apparently absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract following oral dosing to produce good antitumor activity. Similar results were obtained for both once and twice daily treatment schedules, and at the doses tested, there was no toxicity or significant clinical signs (animal weight loss was minimal). The reasons for the differences in the effectiveness of $\mathbf{1 7}$ among the three highly vascularized tumor models are not known. It could be due to redundancy in the tyrosine kinase receptor signaling pathways with respect to angiogenesis in these tumor models and is an area of current investigation.

## Conclusions

The broadly similar SARs found above for the 1,6naphthyridines and the previously reported ${ }^{19}$ pyrido-[2,3-d]pyrimidines suggest a very similar binding mode to the enzymes, with the 1-aza atom of the pyrido[2,3d]pyrimidines not being required for this binding. In both series, substituents on the appended phenyl group have a major impact on the pattern of inhibition of different kinases, suggesting that the geometry of this ring is a critical factor in binding site selectivity. ${ }^{19}$ Computer modeling studies ${ }^{36}$ and crystal structure data ${ }^{13}$ of the closely related 6-phenylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine 7-ureas show the need for bifurcated H bonds between the $\mathrm{N}-2$ exocyclic and $\mathrm{N}-3$ endocyclic atoms and a residue (Ala564) on the extended coil stretch of the FGFR enzyme. The 7-NHR 1,6-naphthyridine-2-ureas also contain this required structural motif. The 3-(3,5dimethoxyphenyl) compounds showed particular selectivity for FGFR over PDGFR and c-Src, presumably because the methoxy residues fit better into the larger hydrophobic pocket of FGFR. ${ }^{13}$ The potent inhibition by 17 of HUVEC growth and microcapillary formation and invasion suggests that these compounds are worthy of further evaluation as antiangiogenesis agents. It is notable that the in vivo tumor growth delay values obtained for 17 against mammary 16/c were approximately equal to the duration of therapy (9 days), which suggests that this compound is cytostatic rather than cytotoxic under these test conditions. It remains to be

Table 5. In Vivo Activity of $\mathbf{1 7}$ against Murine Tumor Models

| tumor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | dose (mg/kg) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | schedule ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | wt change (g) | T/C (\%) on last therapy day ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | T-C (days) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mammary 16/c | 80 hdt | po, q12hx2, days 1-9 | -0.7 | 0 | 10.8 |
| mammary 16/c | 200 hdt | po, days 1-9 | + | 0 | 11.3 |
| M5076 sarcoma | 200 hdt | po, days 8-16 | + | 78 | 1.0 |
| Lewis lung | 200 hdt | po, days 4-12 | -0.4 | 109 | 0.0 |

a The indicated tumor fragments were implanted sc into the right axilla of mice on day $0 .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ hdt, highest dose tested. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Compound was administered orally on the indicated schedules. d Ratio of median treated tumor mass/median control tumor mass $\times 100 \%$. ${ }^{\text {e The difference }}$ in days for the treated (T) and control (C) tumors to reach 750 mg .
determined if more prolonged therapy would have cytotoxic effects in vivo, resulting in the regression of established tumors.

## Experimental Section

Analyses were performed by the Microchemical Laboratory, University of Otago, Dunedin, NZ. Melting points were determined using an Electrothermal model 9200 digital melting point apparatus and are as read. NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker DRX-400 spectrometer and referenced to Me4Si. Mass spectra were recorded on a Varian VG-70SE spectrometer at nominal 5000 resolution.

N-(7-Amino-3-phenyl-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl)-N'-tert-butylurea (8): Example of General Method A. A solution of 3-phenyl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine ${ }^{23}$ (6) (103 mg, 0.436 mmol) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( 20 mg , 0.50 mmol ); then the reaction flask was immediately sealed with a rubber septum, degassed (water pump vacuum) and filled with dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (balloon), and the mixture stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . A solution of tert-butyl isocyanate ( $58 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.509$ mmol ) in dry DMF ( 1 mL , then 1 mL to rinse) was added (dropwise via syringe); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The resulting mixture was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with 25-30\% EtOAcllight petroleum gave foreruns; then further elution with 33-40\% EtOAc/light petroleum gave 8 ( 97 mg , $66 \%): m p\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane $) 174-175.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $\left.)_{2}\right)_{2}$ SO] $\delta 10.26$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.67 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-4), 7.58\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H-4'), 7.50 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime} \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ), 6.91 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.49 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 6.37$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 1.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 160.36$ (s, C-7), 152.45, 151.99 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CONH}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 151.49 (d, C-5), 149.42 (s, C-8a), 137.73 (d, C-4), 135.48 (s, C-1'), 129.42, 129.11 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \times 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 128.52 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 121.25 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 113.34 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 95.73 (d, C-8), 49.93 ( s , $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.64\left(\mathrm{q}, 3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Further elution of the column with EtOAc gave a mixture; then further elution with $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude recovered 6 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ) as an oil.

N-[7-Amino-3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]-N'-tert-butylurea (11). Similar reaction of a stirred solution of 3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine ${ }^{23}$ (9) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.328 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then with a solution of tert-butyl isocyanate ( $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.395$ mmol ) in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , followed by chromatography of the resulting product on silica gel (eluting with $0.25-0.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave 11 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ): mp $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane $) 165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 10.35$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.95 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.65 (d, J = $\left.8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 7.53$ (dd, J $=8.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ ), 7.43 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.48 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.44 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 1.40 (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 160.69$ (s, C-7), 152.45, 152.37 (2 s, CONH , C-2), 151.60 (d, C-5), 149.80 (s, C-8a), 139.48 (d, C-4), 135.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 132.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ ), 131.51 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 128.77 (d, 2 C, C-3', $5^{\prime}$ ), 116.34 (s, C-3), 112.87 (s, C-4a), 95.57 (d, C-8), $49.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.64\left(\mathrm{q}, 3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Further elution of the column with $0.5-2 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a mixture; then further elution with $2-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude recovered 9 ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as an oil.

N-[7-Amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]-N'-methylurea (13). Similar reaction of a stirred solution of 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine ${ }^{23}$ (12) ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.358 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 4 mL ) with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then with a solution of methyl isocyanate ( $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , followed by chromatography of the resulting product on silica gel (eluting with $1.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave $\mathbf{1 3}$ ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane) $134-$ $137{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 9.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH), 6.63 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.62$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.31 (br s, 2 H , $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 161.09$ (s, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ ), 160.23 (s, C-7), 154.12, 152.11 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CONH}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 151.39 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}-5$ ), 149.66 (s, C-8a), 137.51 (d+s, 2 C, C-4, 1'), 121.14 (s, C-3), 113.36 (s, C-4a), 107.07 ( $d, 2$ C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 100.16 ( $\left.d, C-4^{\prime}\right), 96.26$ ( $d, C-8$ ), 55.37 ( $q, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $26.09\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 1.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H,N.

Further elution of the column with $2-4 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a mixture; then further elution with $4-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude recovered $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as an oil.
N-[7-Amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]-N'-phenylurea (16). Similar reaction of a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.338 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) with $60 \%$ $\mathrm{NaH}\left(13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.325 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then with a solution of phenyl isocyanate ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.322 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , followed by chromatography of the resulting product on silica gel (eluting with $0.25-1 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave 16 ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane) $205-207^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 12.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH ), 8.73 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.12$ (t, J $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}$ ), 6.72 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.69 (d, J $\left.=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.41$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 161.12 (s, 2 C, C-3', 5'), 160.50 (s, C-7), 152.09 (s, C-2), 151.75 ( $d, C-5$ ), 151.08 ( $s$, CONH), 149.14 ( $s, C-8 a$ ), 138.31 ( $s, C-1^{\prime \prime}$ ), 138.19 (d, C-4), 137.26 (s, C-1'), 129.07 (d, 2 C, C-3", $5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 123.28 (d, C-4"), 121.40 (s, C-3), 119.07 (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 113.53$ (s, C-4a), 107.19 (d, 2 C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 100.25 ( $d, C-4^{\prime}$ ), 95.87 ( $d, C-8$ ), 55.41 (q, $2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Further elution of the column with $2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a mixture; then further elution with $5-8 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude recovered 12 ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as an oil.
N-[7-Amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]-N'-tert-butylurea (15) and N -(tert-Butyl)-N'-[7-(3-tert-butylureido)-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyri-din-2-yl]urea (19): Example of General Method B. A solution of $12(5.01 \mathrm{~g}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 100 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.83 \mathrm{~g}, 20.8 \mathrm{mmol})$; then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . tert-Butyl isocyanate (2.40 $\mathrm{mL}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added (dropwise via syringe); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day. The resulting mixture was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(500$ mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $10 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $33 \%$ EtOAc/light petroleum gave first N -(tert-butyl)-N'-[7-(3-tert-butylureido)-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl ]urea (19) (186 $\mathrm{mg}, 2 \%): \mathrm{mp}$ (EtOAclight petroleum) 208-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $\left.)_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO} \delta 10.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.04$ (br s, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right)$,
8.87 (s, 1 H, H-5), 8.16 (s, 1 H, H-4), 7.83 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.27 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.15 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.68 (d, J $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), $6.66\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 1.41 (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 161.15$ (s, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ ), $153.45,153.07,152.81,151.77(4 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CONH}$, C-2,7), 150.39 (d, C-5), 149.09 (s, C-8a), 137.15 (d, C-4), 136.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ ), 124.13 (s, C-3), 115.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 107.08 (d, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 102.04 (d, C-8), $100.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 55.43\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.03$, $49.51\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.87,28.64\left(2 \mathrm{q}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; C: calcd, 63.1; found, 63.6.

Further elution of the column with 40-50\% EtOAc/light petroleum gave N -[7-amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naph-thyridin-2-yll-N'-tert-butylurea (15) ( $6.19 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane $) 127-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 10.24$ (br s, 1 H, NH ), 8.66 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.99 (s, 1 H, H-4), 7.01 (br s, 1 H, NH ), 6.63 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 6.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.36 (br s, 2 $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 161.11$ (s, 2 C, C-3', $5^{\prime}$ ), 160.35 (s, C-7), 152.34, 152.02 ( 2 s , CONH, C-2), 151.47 (d, C-5), 149.42 (s, C-8a), 137.41 (d, C-4), 137.37 (s, C-1'), 121.16 (s, C-3), 113.18 (s, C-4a), 107.11 (d, 2 C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 100.19 (d, C-4'), 95.74 (d, C-8), 55.39 (q, 2 C, $\left.2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 49.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.66$ (q, $\left.3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0 \cdot 25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(7-Amino-3-phenyl-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl)-N'-ethylurea (7). Similar reaction of a stirred solution of $6(102 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.432 mmol ) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55$ mmol) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then (upon cooling to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with ethyl isocyanate ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.544 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day, followed by chromatography of the resulting product on silica gel (eluting with $0.9-1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), then further chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $50-75 \%$ EtOAd light petroleum) gave 7 ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ): mp ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane) $181-182.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 9.94$ (br m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 8.67 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.57 ( t , J $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H-3', $5^{\prime}$ ), 7.51 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 7.10 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.59 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.34 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.30 (qd, J $=7.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 1.19 (t, J $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 160.28(\mathrm{~s}$, C-7), 153.36, 152.32 (2 s, CONH, C-2), 151.45 (d, C-5), 149.62 (s, C-8a), 137.82 (d, C-4), 135.61 (s, C-1'), 129.41, 129.10 (2 d, $2 \times 2$ C, C-2', $\left.3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 128.48$ (d, C-4'), 121.32 (s, C-3), 113.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), $96.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-8), 34.10\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 15.04\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
N-[7-Amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]-N'-ethylurea (14). Similar reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.338$ mmol ) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.425 \mathrm{mmol})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and then (upon cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with ethyl isocyanate ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.379 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h, followed by chromatography of the resulting product on silica gel (eluting with $1-1.25 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave 14 ( 70 $\mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ /hexane) $149.5-151.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 9.93\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H-5), 8.00 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.21 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.63 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 6.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.33 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.30\left(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 1.19(\mathrm{t}$, J $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 161.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 160.28$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 153.37, 152.21 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CONH}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 151.45 (d, C-5), 149.60 (s, C-8a), 137.50 (d, C-4), 137.48 (s, C-1'), 121.19 (s, C-3), 113.33 (s, C-4a), 107.08 (d, 2 C, C-2', 6'), 100.17 (d, C-4'), 96.12 (d, C-8), $55.38\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 34.09\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 15.04$ (q, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, H, N.

N-[7-Amino-3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]-N'-ethylurea (10): Example of General Method C. A solution of $9(133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.436 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMSO ( 5 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at 40-50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and then at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . A solution of ethyl isocyanate ( $38 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.481 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMSO ( 1 mL , then $2 \times$ 0.5 mL to rinse) was added (dropwise via syringe); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day. The resulting mixture was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (50 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-0.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $0.5-1.25 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
gave $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane) $210-212$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 10.06\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right.$ ), 8.66 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.96 (s, 1 H, H-4), 7.81 (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.64 (d, J $\left.=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H-4'), 6.58 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.41 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.29 (qd, J $=$ $7.1,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $1.19\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 160.61$ (s, C-7), 153.81, 152.44 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CONH}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 151.56 (d, C-5), 149.99 (s, C-8a), 139.54 (d, C-4), 135.50 ( $s, 2$ C, C-2', 6'), 132.74 (s, C-1'), 131.40 (d, C-4'), 128.73 (d, 2 C, C-3', $5^{\prime}$ ), 116.49 (s, C-3), 113.01 (s, C-4a), 95.95 (d, C-8), 34.07 (t, NCH 2 ), 14.98 (q, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-[7-Amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (22) and 2-[2-Amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-7-yl]-1H-isoin-dole-1,3(2H)-dione (23). A solution of 12 (102 mg, 0.345 mmol) in dry DMF ( 6 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( 15 mg , 0.375 mmol ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Phthaloyl dichloride ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 'practical', ca. 0.156 mmol ) was added directly (dropwise via syringe); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The resulting mixture was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 50$ mL ). The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-1 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $1 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave 2-[2-amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-7-yl]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H )-dione (23) (7 mg, 5\%): mp (DMSO/water) $270-271.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta$ 8.95 (s, 1 H, H-5), 8.07 (s, 1 H, H-4), 8.02, 7.95 ( $2 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \times 2 \mathrm{H}$, H-3", $4^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}$ ), 7.49 (s, 1 H, H-8), 6.90 (br s, 2 H, NH2), 6.68 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), $6.60\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right.$ ), 3.82 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 166.66$ (s, 2 C, 2C=O), 160.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ ), 159.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 151.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 150.71 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}-5$ ), 143.98 (s, C-8a), 138.39 (s, C-1'), 135.36 (d, C-4), 134.90 (d, 2 C, C-4", $5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 131.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}, 6 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ ), 126.54 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 123.59 (d, 2 C, C-3", $6^{\prime \prime}$ ), 119.33 (s, C-4a), 116.94 (d, C-8), 106.52 (d, 2 C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 100.46 (d, C-4'), 55.27 (q, $2 \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$427.1406, found 427.1401. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Further elution of the column with $1-1.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave 2-[7-amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2yl ]-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (22) (8 mg, 5\%): mp $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane) $213-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 9.06$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.48 (s, 1 H, H-4), 7.95 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 4^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}$ ), 6.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.64 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.43 (d, J $=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), $6.40\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 166.46$ (s, 2 C, 2C=O), 160.26 (s, C-7), 160.22 (s, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ ), 153.38 (d, C-5), 151.00, 147.35 (2 s, C-2,8a), 139.49 (d, C-4), 138.47 (s, C-1'), 135.42 (d, 2 C, C-4", $5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 130.79 (s, 2 C, C-2a",$\left.6 a^{\prime \prime}\right), 128.98$ (s, C-3), 123.93 (d, 2 C, C-3", $6^{\prime \prime}$ ), 116.55 (s, C-4a), 105.82 (d, 2 C, C-2', 6'), 99.79 (d, C-4'), 96.39 (d, C-8), 54.99 ( $q$, $2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH} 3$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Further elution of the column with $1.5-2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a mixture; then further elution with $3.5-6 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude recovered $\mathbf{1 2}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as an oil.
3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{2}, \mathbf{N}^{2}, \mathbf{N}^{7}, \mathbf{N}^{7}$-tetrakis(4-meth-oxybenzyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (24). A solution of $\mathbf{1 2}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.172 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$; then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . 4-M ethoxybenzyl chloride ( $0.183 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added; then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day. The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $6 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $50-75 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ light petroleum gave foreruns; then further elution with $80 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /light petroleum and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave $\mathbf{2 4}(83 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%)$ : mp ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane) $143.5-145.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 8.74$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.91 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}-$ $\left.2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.78$ (d, J $\left.=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), 6.68$ (d, J $\left.=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.46\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right)$, $6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 4.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 4.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$,
3.74, 3.72, $3.69\left(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \times 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right.$. $\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N ${ }^{2}$, $\mathbf{N}^{7}$-bis(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (25). A solution of 24 (0.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in TFA ( 1 mL ) was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min in a sealed vial. The solvent was removed by blowing with dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; then the residue was treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (30 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then purified by preparative silica gel TLC, developed twice in $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, to give two bands, which were each eluted with $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The more polar component was identified as 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{2}$-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,6-naphthyri-dine-2,7-diamine (26) ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ) (see below), while the major, less polar component was 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)$\mathrm{N}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}^{7}$-bis(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (25) ( $0.4 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ), isolated as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 8.43$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), $7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, H-2", $\left.2^{\prime \prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), 6.92$ (br t, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 6.88 (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$, 6.65 (br t, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $6.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.52\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 4.53$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$, $3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.72,3.70\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 537.2502$, found 537.2500 .

3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N7-trityl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (28). Method A: A solution of $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.172$ mmol ) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( 14 mg , 0.35 mmol ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min . Trityl chloride ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 0.688$ mmol ) was added; then the mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day (TLC almost no starting material). The resulting mixture was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50$ mL ). The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $67-80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ light petroleum gave foreruns; then further elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave an oil ( 24 mg ), which, upon crystallization from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, gave 3-(3,5-dimethoxy-phenyl)-7-(tritylamino)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-ylformamide (27) ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 201-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $\left.)_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO} \delta 9.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCHO}), 9.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCHO}), 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4)$, 7.49 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.38 (d, J $\left.=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.31$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $6.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ ), 6.26 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 3.76 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 162.65 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NCHO}$ ), 160.61 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 157.67$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 151.19 (s, C-2), 150.74 (d, C-5), 149.40 (s, C-8a), 144.75 (s, 3 C, 3C-1"), 138.13 (d, C-4), 137.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ ), 128.67, 127.76 ( 2 d , $\left.2 \times 6 \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 126.56$ (d, 3C, 3C-4"), 123.09 (s, C-3), 115.43 (s, C-4a), 107.27 (d, 2 C, C-2', 6'), 100.35 (d, C-8), 99.71 (d, C-4'), $70.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}\right), 55.22\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0_{2} 25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Further elution of the column with $0-1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N ${ }^{7}$-trityl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7diamine (28) ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane) $166-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4)$, 7.37 (d, J $\left.=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.97$ (br s, 1 H , NH), $6.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H, H-4'), 6.31 (br s, 2 H, NH2), 5.86 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 3.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 160.62$ (s, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ ), 158.10 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 156.95 (s, C-7), 151.33 (s, C-8a), 149.44 (d, C-5), 144.87 (s, 3 C, 3C-1"), 139.20 (s, C-1'), 135.48 (d, C-4), 128.63, 127.74 ( 2 d , $\left.2 \times 6 \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 126.53$ (d, 3 C, 3C-4"), 121.56 (s, C-3), 113.61 (s, C-4a), 106.49 (d, 2 C, C-2', 6'), 99.73 (d, C-4'), 99.42 (br d, C-8), $70.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}\right), 55.14\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Further elution of the column with $2-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude 12 ( 28 mg ) as an oil.

Method B: A solution of $\mathbf{1 2}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 5 mL ) was treated with trityl
chloride ( $301 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Further $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, trityl chloride ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry THF ( 5 mL ) were added; then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure (to ca. 1 mL ) and then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then rapidly (total time ca. 40 min ) flash chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-0.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave an oil ( 95 mg ) which upon crystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane gave $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). The remaining liquors ( 22 mg ) contained ditrityl derivative 29 (see below).

3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}^{7}$-ditrityl-1,6-naphthyri-dine-2,7-diamine (29) and 3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ trityl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (30). The mother Iiquors from the crystallization of $\mathbf{2 8}$ above (method B) were combined with similar material from a repeat reaction (total 45 mg ) and loaded onto a narrow column of silica gel ( 12 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then allowed to stand at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1,2 and 3 days the column was eluted with small amounts of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(<10$ mL ); then, after 4 days, further elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}^{7}$-ditrityl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7diamine (29) ( 4.5 mg ) as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $\left.\left.)_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 8.27$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.4-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}-$ $\left.2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}, 4^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.97$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.66 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.56$ (t, J $\left.=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.43$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 5.68 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 3.76 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 781.3543$, found 781.3547.
Further elution of the column with $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave an oil ( 16 mg ) which was further chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $10-15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave 3 -(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N22-trityl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (30) ( 6.5 mg ): mp (DMSO/water) $115-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $)_{2} 2^{-}$ SO] $\delta 8.38$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.68 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.26 (m, 12 H , $\left.3 \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.17$ (tt, J = 6.8, $\left.1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.73$ (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ ), 5.84 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 5.80 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.77 ( s , $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 161.03$ (s, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ ), 159.36 ( s , C-7), 154.07 (s, C-2), 150.97 (s, C-8a), 150.31 (d, C-5), 145.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime \prime}$ ), 139.07 (s, C-1'), 134.69 (d, C-4), 128.39, 127.44 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \times 6 \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}$ ), 126.31 (d, 3 C, 3C-4"), 121.88 (s, C-3), 112.72 (s, C-4a), 106.55 (d, 2 C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 100.16 (d, $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 96.58 (d, C-8), 70.44 (s, C(Ph) $)_{3}$ ), $55.25\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ); HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 539.2447$, found 539.2460.

Hydrolysis of Formamide 27. A solution of $\mathbf{2 7}(12 \mathrm{mg}$, $21.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{NaOH}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$; then the mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . A solution of aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added and the mixture extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were evaporated and crystallized as above to give $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ).

3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}^{2}$-bis(4-methoxybenzyl)-$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{3}}$-trityl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (31). A solution of 28 ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 0.268 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min . $4-\mathrm{Meth}-$ oxybenzyl chloride ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.738 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added; then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to give a solid, which was isolated by filtration, washing with water and light petroleum. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ); then the extracts were combined with the solid above, evaporated to dryness and the residue was rapidly (total time ca. 5 min ) flash chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude 31 ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as an oil, which was used directly. An analytical sample was obtained by crystallization: mp ( $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water $) 136-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 8.47$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ),
$7.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.98$ (d, J = 8.5 Hz, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right)$, 6.61 (d, J $\left.=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ $\left.\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.18$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 4.13 (s, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 3.72,3.71$ (2 $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \times 6 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 160.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 159.47$ (s, C-2), 158.13 (s, 2 C, 2C-4"), 157.37 (s, C-7), 149.85 (s, C-8a), 149.67 (d, C-5), 145.08 (s, 3 C, 3C-1"'), 142.07 (s, C-1'), 138.40 (d, C-4), 129.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime \prime}$ ), 129.36 (d, $\left.4 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 128.67$, 127.69 ( 2 d, $2 \times 6$ C, 3C-2"' $, 3^{\prime \prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ), 126.42 (d, 3 C, ЗC$\left.4^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), 124.18$ (s, C-3), 114.24 (s, C-4a), 113.50 (d, 4 C, 2C-3", $\left.5^{\prime \prime}\right)$, 105.29 (d, 2 C, C-2', 6'), 100.13 (d, C-8), 99.38 (d, C-4'), 70.14 (s, C(Ph) $)_{3}$, 55.13, $54.90\left(2 \mathrm{q}, 2 \times 2 \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.56$ (t, 2 C , $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0 \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{2}, \mathbf{N}^{2}$-bis(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (32). Crude 31 (153 mg, 0.197 mmol ) was loaded onto a narrow column of silica gel $(12 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and allowed to stand at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 and 2 days, the column was eluted with small amounts of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $<10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ); then, after 3 days, elution with $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave an oil $(0.16 \mathrm{~g})$ which was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-0.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave recovered 31 (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ). Further elution with $1-1.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave 32 (63 mg, 60\%): mp (MeOH/water) 86-91 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $\left.\left.)_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.06$ (dt, J $\left.=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.82(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ '), 6.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 6.07 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 4.22 (s, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 3.75,3.70\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 6 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 160.68 (s, 2 C, C-3', $5^{\prime}$ ), 159.84, 159.76 (2 s, C-2,7), 158.16 (s, 2 C, 2C-4"), 150.89 (d+s, C-5,8a), 142.24 (s, C-1'), 138.64 (d, C-4), 130.10 (s, 2 C, 2C-1"), 129.29 (d, 4 C, 2C-2", $6^{\prime \prime}$ ), 123.32 (s, C-3), 113.86 (s, C-4a), 113.55 (d, 4 C, 2C-3" $5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 105.24 (d, 2 C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 99.41 (d, C-4'), 96.50 (d, C-8), 55.14, 54.89 (2 q, $2 \times 2$ C, $4 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $51.56\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$; HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$537.2502, found 537.2486. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Further elution of the column with $1.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude 3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{2}$-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,6-naph-thyridine-2,7-diamine (26) ( $2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$ ) as an oil (see below).

F urther elution with $1.5-2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a mixture; then elution with $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave crude $\mathbf{1 2}$ (4.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 7 \%)$ as an oil.

Treatment of the recovered $31(35 \mathrm{mg})$ on silica gel for 4 days, as above, followed by chromatography as above gave further 32 ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ ).

3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N22-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (26). Method A: A solution of 32 ( $1.3 \mathrm{mg}, 2.43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in TFA ( 1 mL ) was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The solvent was removed under a stream of dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; then the residue was treated with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then purified by preparative silica gel TLC, developed first in $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then in $1.3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The major band was recovered and eluted with $7 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give the crude product ( 0.8 mg ), which was further purified by preparative silica gel TLC, developed in $90 \%$ EtOAc/light petroleum, to give 26 ( $0.6 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ) as an oil (see below).

Method B: A solution of 32 ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 99\% $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then added slowly to a stirred mixture of ice and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $1-1.5 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave 26 ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}(\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water) 85$89.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-4), 7.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.66\left(b r t, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 2.3 Hz, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.53\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 5.86\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} N \mathrm{NR}$ $\delta 160.69$ (s, 2 C, C-3',5'), 159.64 (s, C-7), 157.90 (s, C-4"), 156.02 (s, C-2), 152.32 (s, C-8a), 150.07 (d, C-5), 139.00 (s, C-1'), 134.99 (d, C-4), 132.35 (s, C-1"), 128.72 (d, 2 C, C-2" $\mathbf{C l}^{\prime \prime}$ ), 121.59 (s, C-3), 113.41 (d, 2 C, C-3", $5^{\prime \prime}$ ), 112.92 (s, C-4a), 106.70 (d, 2 C, $\left.\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 99.82$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ ), 96.46 (d, C-8), 55.12 ( $\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $54.89\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 43.29\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right) ;$ HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$417.1927, found 417.1923. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{2}$-methyl-1,6-naphthyridine-2,7-diamine (33). A solution of 15 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.127 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was treated with $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.825$ mmol); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . A solution of $\mathrm{Mel}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.161$ mmol) in dry DMF ( 1 mL , then 1 mL to rinse) was added (dropwise via syringe); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with 0-90\% EtOAc/light petroleum gave minor mixtures; then further elution with $0-2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ gave an oil (18 mg), which was further chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with 75\% EtOAc/light petroleum and EtOAc, to gi ve 33 ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ): mp (DMSO/water) $80-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $)_{2}$ SO] $\delta 8.38$ (s, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5\right), 7.57$ (s, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right), 6.55$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.54\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right)$, 6.36 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), $6.27\left(\mathrm{br} q, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 5.84$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 160.65$ (s, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} 5^{\prime}$ ), 159.63 (s, C-7), 156.96 (s, C-2), 152.57 (s, C-8a), 150.01 (d, C-5), 139.07 (s, C-1'), 134.56 (d, C-4), 121.84 (s, C-3), 112.74 (s, C-4a), 106.80 (d, 2 C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 99.75 (d, C-4'), 96.53 (d, C-8), $55.15\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 28.33 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ); HREIMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 310.1430, found 310.1425.

N-[2-Amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-7-yl]-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (18). A solution of $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( 27 mg , 0.068 mmol $)$ in dry pyridine ( 3 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was treated with a solution of trifluoroacetic anhydride ( $65 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 2 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; then the mixture was stirred at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then added slowly to a stirred mixture of ice and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The resulting suspension was extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ); then the combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with 25$33 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{light} \mathrm{petroleum} \mathrm{gaveforeruns;} \mathrm{then} \mathrm{further} \mathrm{elution}$ with $33 \%$ EtOAc/light petroleum gave 18 (21 mg, 78\%): mp $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text { /hexane) } 221-222{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text {; }{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR [(CD3 }\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 11.97$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.82 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), $7.98,7.97(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,8)$, 6.80 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.65 (d, J $\left.=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.58(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \delta 160.77$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ ), $159.12(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2), 155.01$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{F}=39 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=$ O), 152.21 (s, C-7), 149.78 (d, C-5), 148.45 (s, C-8a), 138.53 (s, C-1'), 135.33 (d, C-4), 125.42 (s, C-3), 117.83 (s, C-4a), 115.65 $\left(q, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=289 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 107.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-8), 106.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right)$, 100.33 (d, C-4'), 55.25 ( $q, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) C, H, N.
N-[2-[[(tert-B utylamino)carbonyl]amino]-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-7-yl]acetamide (17). Method A: A solution of 15 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.253 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 5 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was treated with $\mathrm{AcCl}(23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.323 \mathrm{mmol})$; then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. Further $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 7 mL ) were added; then the resulting solution was cooled in ice and further $\mathrm{AcCl}(75 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added (dropwise); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days. The resulting mixture was then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(6 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$; then the combined extracts were evaporated to dryness to give an oil $(0.16 \mathrm{~g})$. A subsample ( 3.3 mg ) was purified by preparative silica gel TLC (developed twice in 50\% EtOAc/light petroleum) to give two components (each recovered by elution with 8\% $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The less polar compound was crude N -acetyl-N-[2-[[(tert-butylamino)carbonyl ]amino]-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphen-
yl)-1,6-naphthyridin-7-yl ]acetamide (35) ( 1.2 mg ) as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD3) $)_{2} \mathrm{SO} \delta 9.86$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 9.19 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.41 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.81 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 7.33 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.72 ( $\left.\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right)$, $3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

The more polar component was N-[2-[[(tert-butylamino)carbonyl ]amino]-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-7yl ]acetamide (17) ( 1.4 mg ) as an oil (see below).

The remaining product mixture ( 157 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(45 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and water ( 5 mL , added dropwise); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The resulting solution was treated with excess aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, concentrated under vacuum, then extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then elution with $1 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave N -[2-[[(tert-butylamino)carbonyl ]amino]-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-7-yl]acetamide (17) ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ hexane) $148-151{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [(CD $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] 10.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 10.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.97$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.33, 8.23 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4,8$ ), 7.21 (br s, 1 H , NH), 6.69 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), $6.67(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4{ }^{\prime}$ ), $3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 169.43$ (s, CONH), 161.16 (s, 2 C , C-3', $5^{\prime}$ ), 152.84, 151.75, 151.46 ( $3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CONH}, \mathrm{C}-2,7$ ), 150.65 (d, C-5), 148.96 (s, C-8a), 136.99 (d, C-4), 136.77 (s, C-1'), 125.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 116.94 (s, C-4a), 107.08 (d, $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ), 105.07 (d, $\mathrm{C}-8$ ), $100.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 55.43\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 28.57 ( $q, 3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ), 23.93 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$. $\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Method B: A solution of $\mathbf{1 5}(4.83 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine ( 100 mL ) was treated (dropwise) with acetic anhydride ( 11.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 122 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); then the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day. The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then added slowly to a stirred mixture of ice and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, keeping the pH at 8 with excess $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The resulting suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAC}(4 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$; then the combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue crystallized directly (from warm $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ light petroleum) to give 17 ( $4.91 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ).

N-(tert-Butyl)-N'-[3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-7-[[3-(4-morpholinyl) propyl]amino]-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl]urea (20). Method A: A solution of $\mathbf{1 5}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.127 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was treated with 4-(3-chloropropyl)morphol ine hydrochloride ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. Further 4 -(3-chloropropyl)morpholine hydrochloride ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(100 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.5 mmol ) were added and the mixture sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days. The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave recovered 15 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ). Further elution with 3-10\% $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a crude oil ( 33 mg ), which was further chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with EtOAc gave foreruns; then further elution with $2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / E t O A c$ gave an oil ( 2.2 mg ), which was further purified by preparative silica gel TLC, developed in $0.75 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / E t O A c$. Elution of the major band with $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave N -[7-amino-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-[3-(4-morpholinyl)propyl]-1,6-naphthyri-din-2(1H)-ylidene]-N'-tert-butylurea (34) (1 mg, 1.5\%) as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$ ] $\delta 11.34$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.57 (s, 1 H , $\mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.90 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 6.71 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 6.45 $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 6.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.53\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.26$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right.$ ), 1.72 (pentet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.42 (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$; HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) 523.3033$, found 523.3022.

Further elution of the second column above with $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ EtOAc gave material which was treated with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{-}$
$\mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give N -(tert-butyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-[3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-7-[[3-(4-morphol inyl)propyl ]amino]-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl ]urea (20) ( $6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ) as an oil (see below).
Method B: (1) A solution of $\mathbf{1 7}$ ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was treated with 4-(3-chloropropyl)morpholine hydrochloride ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(85 \mathrm{mg}, 2.13$ mmol ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and then at $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 26 h . The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 50$ mL ). The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $4-6 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave an oil ( 55 mg ) (a mixture of 20 and 36). This oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 19.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water (2 mL , added dropwise); then the mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 43 h . The resulting solution was treated with excess $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in ice-water ( 100 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness; then crystallization of the residue from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane gave 20 ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ): mp ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane) $120-121.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 10.21$ (br s, 1 H , NH), 8.69 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 7.98 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.03 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.93 (br t, J $=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $6.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ ), $6.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 3.80$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 1.73$ (pentet, J $\left.=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 161.11$ (s, $\left.2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right)$, 159.54 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 152.38 , 152.00 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CONH}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 151.35 (d, C-5), 149.34 (s, C-8a), 137.43 ( $s+d, 2$ C, C-4, 1'), 121.06 (s, C-3), 113.11 ( $s, C-4 a$ ), 107.07 (d, 2 C, C-2', 6'), 100.17 (d, C-4'), 94.88 (br d, C-8), 66.15 ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 55.99\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 55.39\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 53.33$ (t, $\left.2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 49.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 39.57\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 28.64$ ( q , $\left.3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 25.68\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
The mother liquors were further purified by chromatography on silica gel. Elution with $0-2 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further elution with $3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave material which was treated with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give further $\mathbf{2 0}(17 \mathrm{mg}$, 23\%).
(2) A solution of $\mathbf{1 7}$ ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF (10 mL ) was treated with 4-(3-chloropropyl) morphol ine hydrochloride ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.665 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(173 \mathrm{mg}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); then the mixture was sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (as above) and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and then at $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 h . The resulting solution was cooled in ice, then treated with ice/aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave foreruns; then further el ution with $4 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave material which was treated with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 50$ mL ). The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness; then crystallization of the residue from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane gave $\mathbf{2 0}$ (24 $\mathrm{mg}, 16 \%)$.

Further elution with $5-6 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave N -[7-[bis-[3-(4-morphol inyl) propyl ]amino]-3-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,6-naphthyridin-2-yl ]-N'-tert-butylurea (37) ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ ) as an oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 10.21$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.75 (s, 1 H , $\mathrm{H}-5), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.06$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $6.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 6.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-8), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$, $3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 2.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 1.76 (pentet, J $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 161.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 157.80$ (s, C-7), 152.42, 151.99 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CONH}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 150.95 (d, C-5), 149.54 (s, C-8a), 137.37 (s, C-1'), 137.25 (d, C-4), 121.29 (s, C-3), 112.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 107.05 (d, 2 C, C-2', $6^{\prime}$ ), 100.13 (d, C-4'), 94.16 (d, C-8), $66.14\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 55.55\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, $55.38\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 53.28$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 49.93$ ( s , $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 46.35\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right), 28.60\left(\mathrm{q}, 3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 23.90$
(t, 2 C, 2CH 2 ); HRFABMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$ 650.4030, found 650.4036.

HUVEC, C6, and A90 Cellular Proliferation Assays. Tissue culture plates ( 96 well) were seeded with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cells in rows $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{G}$, with row H remaining empty as a blank. HUVECs (Clonetics) were grown in EGM media (Clonetics) containing $2 \%$ fetal bovine serum. The cell seed density for HUVECs was $20000 / \mathrm{mL}$. C6 cells (ATCC) were seeded at $6000 / \mathrm{mL}$ in F 10 medium supplemented with $15 \%$ horse serum, $2.5 \%$ fetal bovine serum, and 6.0 mL of 200 mM glutamine per 600 mL of medium. A90 cells (Dr. Kent Crickard, SUNY/ AB Medical School) were also seeded at 6000/mL but grown in RPMII640 plus 10\% fetal bovine serum. Unless noted otherwise, tissue culture media and components were from GIBCO. Cells were allowed to incubate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $100 \%$ relative humidity for $16-24 \mathrm{~h}$.

Stock 5 mM solutions of compounds in DMSO were diluted to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in EGM medium and serially diluted in duplicate wells of the previously prepared cell plates, which were then incubated as above for an additional 4 days. Media were then removed and the cells were fixed using 10\% trichloroacetic acid for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plates were then washed with distilled water ( $5 \times$ ), and the wells were treated with sulforhodamine B ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.75 \%$ in $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ ). Following staining, excess stain was removed, the plates were washed with $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ $(4 \times)$ and air-dried, and bound dye was solubilized with unbuffered TRIS base ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM per well). Absorbance was measured on a 96 -well plate reader at 540 nm , using a reference filter wavelength of 630 nm . The concentration of compound needed to suppress $50 \%$ of cell proliferation ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) was determined from the absorbance measurements.

HUVEC Microcapillary Assay. Matrigel (Becton Dickenson) was used to coat 24 -well cluster plates (Costar) (0.3 $\mathrm{mL} /$ well). After polymerization of the Matrigel at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , the compounds were added in 0.5 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{EGM}$ media (Clonetics) at a $2 \times$ concentration. TheHUVECs (Clonetics; 105cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) were then added suspended in 0.5 mL of $10 \%$ EGM media. After 18 h in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator, $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-di phenyltetrazolium bromide, thiazolyblue) was added, and incubation continued for 1 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Images were captured with Image Analysis, and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by minimum dose effect.

HUVEC Invasion Assay. Polycarbonate filters (Costar; $8-\mu \mathrm{m}$ pore size) were coated with Matrigel ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{insert}$; Beckton Dickenson) and placed in a culture hood to dry for 18-20 h . The inserts were rehydrated with serum-free EBM media (Clonetics) for 2 h at room temperature. HUVECs were harvested and washed twice with serum-free EBM media containing $0.2 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and adjusted to $3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{mL}$. Cells ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added to the top of each insert; then $10 \%$ EGM media ( 0.5 mL ) was added as attractant to the bottom of each well. Compounds were made up as a $200 \times$ stock solution in DMSO and added to the cells and the attractant. The plates were then placed in a 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator for 18-20 h. After incubation, the top of each insert was wiped with a cotton swab and the bottom of each well was aspirated, then rinsed once with Hank's balanced salt solution. Cal cein (Am M olecular Probes; $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added to the bottom of each well and the plates were then incubated in the dark for 45 min . The number of cells that invaded the other side of the insert was counted using a fluorescence microscope and image analysis.

In Vivo Chemotherapy. Mice werehoused in microisolator cages within a barrier facility on a 12-h light/dark cycle and received food and water ad libitum. Animal housing was in accord with AAALAC guidelines. All experimental protocols involving animals were approved by the institutional animal care and use committee. Tumors were maintained and anticancer efficacy determined in the inbred strain of tumor origin: C3H for mammary adenocarcinoma 16/c, C57BL/6 for Lewis lung carcinoma, and M5076 reticulum cell sarcoma.

In each experiment for anticancer activity evaluation, test mice weighing $18-22 \mathrm{~g}$ were randomized and implanted with tumor fragments in the region of the right axilla on day 0.

Animals were treated orally with test compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ on the basis of average cage weight ( $6 \mathrm{mice} / \mathrm{dose}$ group). Treatment was initiated on the day indicated in Table 5 and was continued for 9 consecutive days. Compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ was suspended in $0.5 \%$ methylcellulose in water due to its low aqueous solubility, with dosing suspensions being prepared for 5 days at a time. Host body weight change data are reported as the maximum treatment related weight loss in these studies. Calculation of tumor growth inhibition (\% T/C) and tumor growth delay ( $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{C}$ ) was performed as described previously. ${ }^{37-39}$
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