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## (5) Supporting Information


#### Abstract

Influenza is an infectious disease that represents an important public health burden, with high impact on the global morbidity, mortality, and economy. The poor protection and the need of annual updating of the anti-influenza vaccine, added to the rapid emergence of viral strains resistant to current therapy make the need for antiviral drugs with novel mechanisms of action compelling. In this regard, the viral RNA polymerase is an attractive target that allows the design of selective compounds with reduced risk of resistance. In previous studies we showed that the inhibition of the polymerase acidic protein-basic protein 1 (PA-PB1) interaction is a promising strategy for the development of anti-influenza agents. Starting  from the previously identified 3 -cyano-4,6-diphenyl-pyridines, we chemically modified this scaffold and explored its structure-activity relationships. Noncytotoxic compounds with both the ability of disrupting the PA-PB1 interaction and antiviral activity were identified, and their mechanism of target binding was clarified with molecular modeling simulations.


## INTRODUCTION

Despite the efforts in antiviral research, influenza (Flu) is an infectious disease that still represents an important public health burden affecting $5-10 \%$ of the World's population, resulting in 3-5 million severe cases and in 250-500 thousands deaths each year. ${ }^{1}$ It is caused by the ribonucleic acid (RNA) viruses of the Orthomyxoviridae family, and they are classified in $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C according to their antigenic differences. ${ }^{2-4}$ Among these, influenza A virus (FluA) infects a variety of animals as well as humans. Hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) are the two major viral antigenic glycoproteins, and the $18 \mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 18)$ and 11 NA (N1N11) identified in FluA virus result in the generation of distinct viral subtypes. ${ }^{5}$ Some of them are responsible for severe upper respiratory diseases in humans that occur in both seasonal
epidemics and sporadic pandemics associated with high morbidity and mortality. Millions of deaths were recorded in the most important pandemics of the last century. ${ }^{6,7}$ In chronological order, these were the Spanish Flu (H1N1 strain, in 1918), ${ }^{8,9}$ the Asian Flu (H2N2 strain, in 1957), ${ }^{9}$ the Hong Kong Flu (H3N2 strain, in 1968), ${ }^{9}$ and the "swine" Flu (H1N1 strain, in 2009). ${ }^{10}$ Recently, the zoonotic infection caused by the "avian" FluA subtype H7N9 registered a fatality rate of $25 \%$ of the confirmed cases. In this outbreak, it was proven that most of the patients were directly infected from poultry, although the existence of limited human-to-human spread could not be excluded. However, the possibility of sustained

[^0]acquisition of human-to-human transmission capabilities by this pathogen could result in a devastating worldwide health problem, raising much concern for its pandemic potential. ${ }^{11}$

Reassortments of the genetic material between different strains allow the virus to avoid host detection systems and the emergence of the extremely aggressive viruses responsible for the pandemics. These, antigenic drift in particular, are complicating factors hampering the development of an effective and universal anti-Flu vaccine, and thus, the development of anti-influenza drugs is essential for the fight of future Flu outbreaks. ${ }^{12,13}$ Clinical therapy relies only on two classes of drugs that inhibit either the M2 ion channel (amantadine and rimantadine) or NA (e.g., oseltamivir and zanamivir). ${ }^{14,15}$ M2 ion channel inhibitors were the first anti-influenza drugs approved. However, they are no longer recommended due to their serious side effects on the central nervous system (CNS) and the very rapid emergence of drug-resistant influenza strains. ${ }^{14-16}$ Furthermore, drug-resistant FluA viruses are also emerging for the current first line therapy (NA inhibitors), making the discovery of novel antiviral strategies essential. ${ }^{14,15,17,18}$

A new molecular target that was identified in the past few years is FluA RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), a protein complex essential for the viral replication and pathogenesis. Indeed, it is responsible for viral RNA replication and an endonuclease activity for the "cap-snatching", which is necessary for both transcription initiation and translation. ${ }^{19}$ Importantly, FluA RdRp is significantly different from human polymerases and it is highly conserved among the different Flu subtypes, facilitating the design of selective compounds with reduced probability of virus resistance development. RdRp is a complex of three virus-encoded subunits: the polymerase acidic protein (PA), the polymerase basic protein 1 (PB1), and the polymerase basic protein (PB2). Only the correct assembly of the three subunits allows the correct functioning of the enzyme. ${ }^{19-23}$ Thus, an attractive mechanism of FluA RdRp inhibition is the disruption of the PA-PB1 protein-protein interaction. This is a very promising strategy for anti-influenza drug discovery, as demonstrated by the emergence of proteinprotein interaction (PPI) small-molecule inhibitors in recent years. ${ }^{14,15,24-36}$ Among these, a hit compound disrupting the PA-PB1 interaction was identified through a high-throughput docking as a potential FluA polymerase inhibitor and from this encouraging result a small library of purchased analogues was evaluated. With $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the micromolar range, the activity related to the 3 -cyano-4,6-diphenyl-pyridine scaffold was confirmed as being responsible for the disruption of the PA-PB1 complex through the interaction of these molecules with the C-terminal domain of PA, mimicking the hydrophilic N-terminal portion of PB1 (residues 1-4). ${ }^{35}$ In order to obtain a better understanding of the structure-activity relationship (SAR) of this promising class of compounds and aiming the improvement of their activity, a hit optimization process was performed in the study presented here.

## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hit Exploration. Starting from a simplified structure (compound 1) of the novel class of inhibitors identified in the previous virtual screening campaign, ${ }^{35}$ a series of analogues was designed for a better understanding of the underlying structure-activity relationships. The 3 -cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridine scaffold was systematically modified by either removing or replacing molecule portions. Furthermore,
attempts to increase compound solubility (e.g., 2), to address the possibility of a covalent interaction between the protein and the thioether (e.g., 5 and 6), and to increase the synthetic feasibility (e.g., 14 and 15) were considered. All the synthesized compounds can be found in Table 1.

Chemistry. Due to the removing and replacing strategy adopted in the design of the molecules, several synthetic routes were applied. However, 2-chloro-4,6-diphenylnicotinonitrile (16) was used as a common precursor for the synthesis of several compounds ( $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3 - 8}$, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ ), as shown in Scheme 1. Of these, $1,3,4,7$, and 8 were obtained by nucleophilic aromatic substitution using the appropriate nucleophile (compounds 17a-e). Reaction conditions differed according to the reactant properties, and in several cases, temperature control was important in order to avoid the formation of a highly fluorescent ring-closed side product. Extensive analysis was performed to confirm the regiochemistry of these reactions. An efficient Heck coupling reaction between $N$-( $m$-tolyl)acrylamide (18) and precursor 16 was performed for the synthesis of compound 6 , which has a trans stereochemistry as indicated by the large $J$ constant between the two vinylic protons ( 14.8 Hz ). This alkene was then reduced to the saturated analogue 5 . Compound 10 was synthesized by hydrogenolysis of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond of compound 16.

Precursors 17 d and 17 e were prepared through the neat acylation of 3 -methyl-aniline (19) with either mercaptopropionic acid (20) or dihydrothiophen-2(3H)-one (21), respectively (Scheme 2). Intermediate $\mathbf{1 8}$ was obtained through the acylation of 3-methyl-aniline (19) with acryloyl chloride (22, Scheme 2).

Final products 12, 13, 14, and 15 were synthesized by aromatic nucleophilic substitution on the appropriate aromatic electrophile 23a-d with the nucleophile 2 -mercapto- $N$-( $m$ tolyl)acetamide (17a) in acetonitrile, as shown in Scheme 3.

A different synthesis approach was required for compound 11. As shown in Scheme 4, the pyridone 24 was chlorinated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ to yield 2-chloro-4,6-diphenylpyridine (25), which then reacted with thiol (17a) through a Cu-catalyzed aromatic nucleophilic substitution, giving the final product (11).

Scheme 5 reports the synthetic strategy used for compounds 2 and 9. The precursor 2-chloro-1-morpholinoethanone (28) was synthesized through the reaction of morpholine (26) with chloroacetyl chloride (27). Then, 4,6-diphenylpyridine-2-thiol (29) was used as a nucleophile in the nucleophilic substitution on 28 or iodomethane for the synthesis of the final products 2 and 9 , respectively.

Biological Evaluation of the Compounds. All the synthesized molecules were evaluated for their inhibitory activity against the PA-PB1 interaction. The abilities of the test compounds to inhibit the physical interaction between PA and PB1 and to block Flu virus replication were tested in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and in plaque reduction assays (PRA) in Mardin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells infected with FluA virus (A/PR/8/34 strain), respectively. In order to exclude the possibility that the observed antiviral activity might be due to toxic effects on the infected cells, compound cytotoxicity was also assessed in two cell lines (MDCK and human embryonic kidney, HEK 293T) by the MTT assay. In these studies, the $\mathrm{PB1}_{(1-15)}$-Tat peptide ${ }^{37}$ was used as a positive control for inhibition in the ELISA, while the broad-spectrum inhibitor of RNA viruses polymerases Ribavirin (RBV) ${ }^{38}$ was used as a positive control in the PRA as it inhibits viral polymerases, even though its mechanism of

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compounds 1, 3-8, and $10^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, rt, 1 h (for 1); MeCN, CuI, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, MWO $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$ (for 3); DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}($ for 4 ); $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ then: rt, 3 h (for 7 and 8 ); (ii) DMF, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{TBAB},(o \text {-tol })_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, 145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) EtOAc, H , $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, rt, 11 h ; (iv) $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 36 \mathrm{~h}$.
action does not involve the inhibition of PA-PB1 formation. Moreover, the first line therapeutic NA inhibitor Oseltamivir (OSV) ${ }^{39}$ was included as a positive control in the PRA. The results of the experiments are shown in Table 1. Most importantly, data revealed for the first time not only that the chemical scaffold studied in this hit optimization process is able to inhibit the formation of the FluA PA-PB1 complex with comparable $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ with respect to the control ( $\mathrm{PBl}_{(1-15)}$-Tat peptide), but also that it exhibits a good cytotoxicity profile and a low micromolar antiviral activity, comparable to RBV. Despite less efficient antiviral activity was recorded for our compound family with respect to OSV, the family of compounds presented here inhibits a target that is less-prone to mutations than NA, for which resistance has frequently already emerged.

Lower activity in the ELISA experiments compared to the PRA could be observed, in particular for compounds $1,4,14$, and 15 . Compounds 1 and 14 exhibited a low-micromolar $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value in PRA ( 9.2 and $3.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) and a 19-47-fold higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value in ELISA ( 175 and $165 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively), while $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ presented an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ around $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in PRA (28.6 and $26.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) and complete loss of the ability to disrupt the PA-PB1 interaction in ELISA. In order to investigate whether this could be due to the assay conditions, the ELISA was repeated for all molecules with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values below $30 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 4}$, and 15). In this assay (henceforth mentioned as "Modified ELISA PA-PB1 Interaction Assay"), compounds were dissolved in a similar medium to the one used in the cell-based assays instead of the PBS buffer (see Experimental Section). Similarly to the previous ELISA PAPB1 Interaction Assay, the $\mathrm{PB} 1_{(1-15)}$-Tat peptide ${ }^{37}$ was used as

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Precursors 17d, 17e, and $18^{a}$




${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) rt, 1 h , then MWO, $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) MWO $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 28 h ; (iii) TEA, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2.5 h .
a positive control for inhibition. As reported in Table 2, a significant improvement in the inhibitory activity was obtained mainly for 1 and 15 , which were more soluble in the DMEM serum-free buffer with respect to the PBS one, while more modest improvements were obtained for 11 and 14 . The same $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were registered for compounds 4 and 8 . As expected, comparable $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were also obtained for the PB1 $1_{(1-15)}$-Tat peptide in the two assay conditions, suggesting that the medium was affecting the behavior of some of our compounds rather than the accessibility of the target site.

Data presented in both Table 1 and Table 2 are of great value for the understanding of the general mechanism of action of the 3-cyano-4,6-diphenyl-pyridine scaffold. A significant decrease in

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compounds 12, 13, 14, and $15^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, rt, 30 min (for 12); $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{NaOAc}$, rt, 18 h then $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~d}$ (for $\mathbf{1 3}$ ); $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}(f o r$ 14); $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2.5 h (for 15 ).
activity was observed in the attempts of substituting the sulfur atom (3, 4, 5, and 6), indicating the importance of this heteroatom. Only for compound 4 the antiviral activity was partially retained. The whole sulfur-containing side chain appears to be also essential as its complete (10) or its partial (9) removal resulted in a reduction or a complete loss of activity. Nevertheless, a mild antiviral activity was observed for compound 10. However, considering its significant cytotoxicity in MDCK cells and the high $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value in ELISA, its antiviral activity in PRA might be related to cell toxicity rather than to the inhibition of PA-PB1 interaction. This hypothesis is in keeping with the observation that the compound 10 lacks of one of the interaction with a relevant hydrophobic area within the PA binding region. Only one methylene group should be placed between the sulfur atom and the carbonyl moiety, as the extension of the chain (7 and 8) increased cytotoxicity. Furthermore, the 3-methyl substituted phenyl ring cannot be replaced by a more hydrophilic group, as indicated by the increased $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of compound 2. Given the inactivity and the higher cytotoxicity of 12 and 13, the two phenyl rings directly attached to the pyridine ring (in positions 4 and 6 ) appear to be essential in the molecular scaffold. Interestingly, the removal of the cyano moiety linked to the same central core (11) did not affect activity. Good antiviral activity was observed also with the substitution of the pyridine ring with a pyrimidine one (14), but a lower ability to dissociate the PA-PB1 complex and a higher cytotoxicity were detected as well. However, this toxicity decreased with the introduction of two electron withdrawing $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups in the structure (15). For this compound, a better $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was obtained in the modified ELISA, but a worse antiviral activity profile in respect to compounds $1,8,11$, and 14 was also detected.
From these results, compounds 1, 11, and 15 emerged as the most promising molecules since they display micromolar inhibition of the PA-PB1 interaction (with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 35.2 to $64.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), interesting antiviral activity, and a good cytotoxicity profile with a selectivity index (SI) higher than 9.4. Among the other compounds, 4 showed an antiviral activity comparable to $\mathbf{1 5}$ and a good cytotoxicity profile (SI > 8.7), but no inhibition of the PA-PB1 interaction. Thus, aiming to better understand the mechanism of action of these compounds, the ability of $1,4,11$, and 15 to inhibit FluA RNA-dependent RNA polymerase ( RdRp ) enzymatic activity in a cellular context was assessed by minireplicon assays in transfected HEK 293T cells, using $\mathrm{RBV}^{38}$ as a reference inhibitor. The results are presented in Table 3. All of the tested compounds were found to inhibit the viral polymerase activity, with encouraging $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values for compounds 11 and 15 (22.0 to $30.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in particular. Furthermore, from the results it emerges that probably compound $\mathbf{1}$, in addition to the
inhibition of the PA-PB1 interaction, might be involved in other mechanisms of action not related to the viral polymerase activity because its low $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value in the PRA assay $(9.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ does not reflect completely the activity in the minireplicon assay ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 86.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compound 4 inhibited the catalytic activity of the viral RdRp in the 293 T cells $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} 46.3 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, indicating that this molecule might exert its antiviral effects by inhibiting the viral $\operatorname{RdRp}$ activity, but through a mechanism of action that likely does not involve the PA-PB1 complex disruption.

The ability of these compounds to inhibit the polymerase activity in cells as well as the PA-PB1 interaction and the formation of viral plaques is a substantial indication that the most promising 4,6-diphenylpyridine (or pyrimidine) molecules exert their anti-influenza activity through the disruption of the PA-PB1 complex.

Molecular Modeling. In order to rationalize the SARs, all the synthesized compounds were examined by means of docking simulations within the PB1-binding site of PA in order to produce their putative binding poses.

The 3-cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridine series was discovered with a previous high-throughput docking study performed on a homology model built using the FluA H1N1 sequence and two PA-PB1 complexes templates (PDB IDs: $2 \mathrm{ZNL}^{20}$ and $\left.3 \mathrm{CM} 8^{21}\right) .{ }^{35}$ Subsequent to the publication of the manuscript by Tintori et al., ${ }^{35}$ a novel X-ray structure of FluA H17N10 RdRp was published (PDB ID: 4WSB) ${ }^{23}$ and comprised the whole PA in complex with PB1, PB2, and a viral RNA promoter. Since the C-terminal portion of PA (PAC) in 4WSB is more complete than the structures used to build the PA-PB1 complex in silico (2ZNL and 3CM8), the two PAC were compared in order to assess the quality of the homology model. The two structures have $71.21 \%$ identity in sequence alignment, and they superpose very well (RMSD 1.09 Å). Remarkably, the superposition is even better in the PB1binding site region (RMSD of $0.537 \AA$ ), validating the reliability of the homology model. The backbone superposition of the homology model and PDB ID 4WSB is shown in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. Since biological evaluations were performed on the FluA H1N1 strain and since the homology model was assessed of good quality, for consistency purposes, the homology model was used for the docking simulations.

Similarly to the procedure applied in the previous study, ${ }^{35}$ docking simulations were carried out with two widely used programs (Glide ${ }^{40}$ and GOLD ${ }^{41}$ ) and the binding poses were then compared. Most importantly, only the active compounds reproduced the already reported binding model ${ }^{35}$ of the 3-cyano-4,6-diphenyl-pyridine derivatives, confirming the proposed mode of binding of this class of inhibitors. The pose obtained for compound $\mathbf{1}$ is shown in Figure 1 as an example.

## Table 1. Structure and Biological Activity of the Synthesized Compounds

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | Z | ELISA PA-PB1 <br> Interaction Assay $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | PRA in MDCK cells | Cytotoxicity <br> (MTT assay) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | $\mathbf{S I}{ }^{\text {r }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HEK 293T <br> cells | MDCK cells |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{E C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{CC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{CC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {e }}$ |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | CCN | $175 \pm 22$ | $9.2 \pm 0.7$ | >250 | >250 | >27.2 |
| 2 |  |  |  | CCN | $177 \pm 20$ | $100 \pm 9$ | $184 \pm 14$ | $>250$ | $>2.5$ |
| 3 |  |  |  | CCN | $>200$ | $93.9 \pm 7.8$ | $>250$ | $>250$ | $>2.7$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | CCN | $>200$ | $28.6 \pm 4.9$ | $>250$ | $>250$ | $>8.7$ |
| 5 |  |  |  | CCN | >200 | >100 | $140.0 \pm 7.8$ | >250 | NC |
| 6 |  |  |  | CCN | $190 \pm 31$ | $70.7 \pm 5.7$ | >250 | >250 | $>3.5$ |
| 7 |  |  |  | CCN | $122 \pm 19$ | $>10^{\text {g }}$ | $150 \pm 14$ | $13.9 \pm 2.0$ | NC |
| 8 |  |  |  | CCN | $140 \pm 22$ | $8.2 \pm 1.1$ | $95.6 \pm 15.8$ | $41.5 \pm 5.4$ | 5.1 |
| 9 |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | CCN | $195 \pm 15$ | $>50^{\text {B }}$ | $144 \pm 22$ | $125 \pm 16$ | NC |
| 10 |  |  | H | CCN | $116 \pm 26$ | $45.1 \pm 3.0$ | >250 | $105 \pm 7$ | 2.3 |
| 11 |  |  |  | CH | $52.6 \pm 9$ | $7.3 \pm 1.3$ | >250 | $150 \pm 19$ | 20.5 |
| 12 | H |  |  | CCN | >200 | $>10^{8}$ | $32.0 \pm 3.6$ | $7.1 \pm 1.1$ | NC |
| 13 |  | H |  | CCN | >200 | $>10^{\text {g }}$ | $180 \pm 5$ | $41.5 \pm 4.2$ | NC |
| 14 |  |  |  | N | $165 \pm 18$ | $3.5 \pm 0.5$ | $22.3 \pm 1.8$ | $10.1 \pm 1.7$ | 2.9 |
| 15 |  |  |  | N | >200 | $26.5 \pm 4.3$ | $>250$ | >250 | >9.4 |
| RBV |  |  |  |  | ND | $12.8 \pm 2.1$ | >250 | >250 | $>19.5$ |
| OSV |  |  |  |  | ND | $0.015 \pm 0.006$ | >250 | $>250$ | >16000 |
| PB1 $_{(1-1)}$ peptide |  |  |  |  | $35.9 \pm 5.6$ | $49.7 \pm 5.1$ | >250 | $>250$ | $>5.0$ |

${ }^{a}$ Activity of the compounds in the ELISA PA-PB1 interaction assays. Values represent the compound concentration (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) that reduces the interaction between PA and PB1 by $50 \%\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right) .{ }^{b}$ Antiviral activity of the compounds in plaque reduction assays against the Flu $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{PR} / 8 / 34$ strain. Values represent the compound concentration (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) that inhibits $50 \%$ of plaque formation $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$. ${ }^{c}$ Cytotoxicity of the compounds exhibited in the MTT assays. Values represent the compound concentration (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) that causes a $50 \%$ decrease in cell viability $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50}\right)$. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{CC}_{50}$ assessed in HEK 293 T cells. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{CC}_{50}$ assessed in MDCK cells. ${ }^{f}$ Selectivity index, defined as the ratio between the $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value assessed in MDCK cells and the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value. ${ }^{g}$ Compound concentrations higher than those reported could not be tested in PRA due to cytotoxicity. All values represent the averages $\pm$ SD of data derived from at least three independent experiments in duplicate. ND: not determined. NC: not calculated because of cytotoxicity or absence of antiviral activity at tested concentrations.

In this model, two hydrogen bonds between the amide portion of the ligands and residues Gln408 and Val621 resemble PB1PA interactions. When present in the ligand, a third hydrogen bond occurs between the CN nitrogen of the compound and

Lys643 side chain amine. The three phenyl rings are accommodated in three hydrophobic areas indicated as I (Val621, Phe411, and Cys415), II (Pro620, Val628, and His713), and III (Phe658, Leu666, Phe707, and Phe710) in

## Scheme 4. Synthesis of Compound $11^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, reflux, 4 d ; (ii) DME, CuI, L-proline, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, reflux in sealed ampule, 30 h .
Scheme 5. Synthesis of Compounds 2 and $9^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 1 h ; (ii-a) DMF, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, TBAI, rt, 1 h ; (ii-b) $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h .

Table 2. Inhibitory Activity of Selected Compounds on the PA-PB1 Interaction in the Modified ELISA

| compd | modified ELISA PA-PB1 <br> interaction assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $35.2 \pm 5.1$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $>200$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $145 \pm 24.0$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $40.5 \pm 15.6$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $113 \pm 15$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $64.5 \pm 5.4$ |
| PB1 $_{(\mathbf{1 - 1 5 )}}$-Tat peptide | $31.7 \pm 10.8$ |

${ }^{a}$ Ability of the compounds to inhibit the PA-PB1 interaction in the ELISA performed with the DMEM serum-free medium in place of the PBS buffer. Values represent the compound concentration (in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) that reduces the interaction between PA and PB1 by $50 \%\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$. Values represent the averages $\pm S D$ of data derived from at least two independent experiments in duplicate.
the figure. A further hydrophobic interaction involves Trp706 and the pyridine (or pyrimidine) ring of the small molecule.

Two examples of bad ligand placements within the binding site are shown in Figure 2, where the conformations of 12 and 3 (cyan sticks) are compared with that of compound $\mathbf{1}$ (orange sticks). As previously discussed, compounds lacking of important portions of compound $\mathbf{1}$ were not able to reproduce fundamental protein-ligand interactions in the docking simulations. In particular, the increase of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of molecules lacking of either one of the phenyl groups that interact with the protein regions II and III could be explained

Table 3. Activity of the Selected Compounds in the Minireplicon Assay

| compd | minireplicon assay $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $86.6 \pm 15.0$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $46.3 \pm 8.7$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $30.8 \pm 5.3$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $22.0 \pm 4.1$ |
| $\mathbf{R B V}$ | $23.8 \pm 4.5$ |

${ }^{a}$ The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values represent the compound concentration that reduces by $50 \%$ the activity of FluA virus RNA polymerase in HEK 293T cells. All values represent the averages $\pm$ SD of data derived from at least two independent experiments in duplicate.
by the impossibility to form any of the important interactions, most of the time presenting a completely different binding mode, as exemplified in panel A in Figure 2. With the substitution of the sulfur atom (compounds 3-5) and with the introduction of a double bond (6) the effective interactions were sometimes partially reproduced (exemplified in panel B in Figure 2) or completely lost. The different geometry properties of the atoms substituting the sulfur atom and of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ double bond do not allow the small molecule to assume a suitable conformation for correct binding, resulting in a bad docking pose and explaining the inactivity of these molecules.

Compounds 11, 14, and 15, all lacking the nitrile group, were able to reproduce all the important interactions, except the hydrogen bond with Lys643, thus overlapping very well with the binding pose of $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure 3). The overlapping binding


Figure 1. Binding pose for compound 1 (orange sticks).


Figure 2. Examples of bad binding of inactive compounds. In both images compound $\mathbf{1}$ (orange sticks) is used as a reference. (A) Binding pose of compound 12 (cyan sticks). (B) Binding pose of compound 3 (cyan sticks).
poses of compounds 11,14 , and 15 can be found in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. From the binding model, we expected that the lack of the hydrogen bond with Lys 643 would result in a less favorable binding of the small molecules to PA, with a decrease in the ability to disrupt the PA-PB1 interaction, but this was not confirmed by the biological data. Thus, the PA-compound complexes for the most promising compounds (1, 11, and 15) were further investigated by means of molecular dynamics (MD) using Schrödinger Desmond. ${ }^{42}$


Figure 3. Binding pose of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ (orange sticks) and compound 11 (cyan sticks) from docking simulations.

After extensive equilibration, a 14 ns MD simulation was performed for each complex starting from the most representative docking pose. The evolution in time of the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) calculated on the backbone atoms of the protein with respect to the starting structure is presented in panel A of Figure 4. After an initial increase due to further equilibration of the complex, the RMSD values were less variable in both simulations, in particular for the compound 11 in complex with PA, whose RMSD stabilized around $3.19 \AA$ after 3 ns of simulation. For the molecular system containing compound $\mathbf{1}$ the average RMSD calculated between 2 and 8 ns was $2.61 \AA$, while it increased up to $3.44 \AA$ (at 12 ns ) after this time period. RMSD average values for the PA- $\mathbf{1 5}$ complex were 2.15 and $2.77 \AA$ between 3 and 8 ns and after 8 ns , respectively. The root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSFs) of the backbone atoms were then evaluated on the whole trajectories. As shown in panel B of Figure 4, the RMSF trends were the same for the three systems. Predictably, high fluctuations were recorded for the protein portions (residues $349-353,372-388,389-396$, and $550-557$ ) that were not solved in the original crystal structure (PDB ID 2ZNL). Higher values generally corresponded to random coils and did not include residues interacting with the ligands (highlighted in purple), suggesting that the structure of PB1-binding site within PA was stable during the production MD. This was further supported by cluster analysis that indicated high similarity of the binding site conformation between the clusters. Figure 5 shows the superposition of the average structures of the ten clusters obtained for compound 1, 11, and 15 complexes (panels A, B, and C, respectively). In all panels, one structure of the ligand (in sticks) is shown for a better identification of the binding site.

For a more detailed analysis of the stability of the PA-ligand complexes, the interactions between the protein and the small molecules were investigated on the whole 14 ns simulation time. Occupancy percentages were also calculated and are listed in Table 4. Hydrogen bonds and ionic interactions were the most stable contacts between PA and the ligand, with occupancy higher than $60 \%$, whereas hydrophobic interactions were generally weaker (occupancy less than 30\%). An exception was the hydrophobic interaction with Trp706 that resulted to be stable and important, particularly for compound 11 (65.90\% occupancy) and second for compound 15 (50.72\% occupancy). Predictably, the ionic contact between Lys643 side


Figure 4. (A) Time evolution of the backbone atoms RMSD values for the complexes between PA and compounds $\mathbf{1}$ (blue line), 11 (red line), and 15 (green line). (B) RMSF evaluation of the backbone atoms for the complexes between PA and compounds $\mathbf{1}$ (blue line), 11 (red line), and $\mathbf{1 5}$ (green line). Ligand contacts are highlighted in purple.


Figure 5. Superposition of the average structures of the 10 clusters obtained by cluster analysis. Protein backbone is shown as ribbon and the ligand structure in sticks. (A) Clusters for the PA-compound 1 complex. (B) Clusters for the PA-compound 11 complex. (C) Clusters for the PAcompound 15 complex.
chain amine group and the compounds was much more important in the complex between the protein and $\mathbf{1}$, where the interaction involved the CN group of the small molecule. Differently, negligible or weak ionic contacts occurred between Lys643 and the sulfur atom of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 15, respectively. Not predicted by the docking simulation, a $\pi$-cation contact between Lys643 side chain amine and the phenyl moiety in
position 4 in the pyridine core of the ligands was detected, and it appeared more stable for compound 1 ( $74.54 \%$ versus $31.30 \%$ occupancy for 11). This interaction was, however, negligible for compound 15 ( $3.54 \%$ occupancy), where the $\pi$ cation contact was compensated by a stronger ionic interaction of Lys643 with the sulfur atom ( $25.88 \%$ occupancy) and by a weak hydrogen bond between Lys643 and the carbonyl oxygen

Table 4. Ligand Interaction Occupancies for 1, 11, and 15 in Complex with PA

|  |  |  | occupancy (\%) $^{c}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ligand <br> atom/ <br> group | type of <br> contact $^{b}$ |  | compd 1 | compd 11 |
| residue atom |  |  |  |  |  | compd 15

${ }^{a}$ Only for hydrogen bonds and ionic contacts, the interacting atom is reported in brackets using the following abbreviations: $\mathrm{O}=$ backbone oxygen; $\mathrm{HE}=$ hydrogen of the side chain amide; $\mathrm{NZ}=$ nitrogen of the side chain amine; $\mathrm{HZ}=$ hydrogen side chain. ${ }^{b}$ Type of interaction between the protein and the ligand. Abbreviations used: $\mathrm{bbHB}=$ hydrogen bond with residue backbone; $\mathrm{scHB}=$ hydrogen bond with residue side chain; $\mathrm{I}=$ ionic interaction; Pcat $=\pi$-cation interaction; Hyd $=$ hydrophobic interaction; $\mathrm{PP}=\pi-\pi$ stacking; $\mathrm{WB}=$ water bridge. ${ }^{c}$ Percentage of trajectory frames in which the interaction was recorded. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{~N} 1=$ pyrimidine nitrogen in position Z in the compound, according to Table 1 numeration; NA $=$ not applicable.
of the ligand ( $15.78 \%$ occupancy). Importantly, Lys643 was also involved in a water-bridge contact with the pyrimidine nitrogen in position Z (according to Table 1 ; henceforth named N1) of compound 15 with $46.09 \%$ occupancy, while this type of interaction is not possible for compounds $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{1 1}$.

Remarkably, hydrogen bonds between the amide portion of compound 11 and Val621 backbone oxygen and Gln408 side chain amide hydrogen presented occupancy values close to $100 \%$; while the same interactions in the other PA-compound complexes were much weaker, with occupancy lower than $30 \%$. From the visualization of the trajectories a change of conformation of 1 and of 15 were observed. In the PAcompound 1 trajectory, while the interaction between the ligand's CN group and Lys643 occurred during the whole duration of the simulation, the hydrogen bonds with Val621 and Gln 408 broke after approximately 4 ns . An approximately $1.7 \AA$ shift of the pyridine core of $\mathbf{1}$ weakened the interaction of $\operatorname{Trp} 706$, placed the phenyl ring in position 4 in the pyridine in a more suitable area for the $\pi$-cation contact with Lys643, and enhanced the hydrophobic interactions with the areas II and III, explaining the higher occupancy values for these contacts (Lys643 $\pi$-cation contact and Phe707 and Phe 710 hydrophobic interaction in particular). Furthermore, lacking the constraints given by the hydrogen bonds involving the ligand's amide moiety, the methyl-substituted phenyl ring was able to give weak T-shaped $\pi-\pi$ stacking with Phe411 in the
hydrophobic area I. As an example of this change in conformation, panel A of Figure 6 shows the superposition of


Figure 6. (A) Change in protein-ligand interactions during the MD simulation of 1 in complex with PA. The ligands and the protein residues discussed in the text are shown as sticks and as lines, respectively. Carbon atoms of the starting complex structure are colored in orange; while the ones of the saved MD trajectory frame are in light blue. Lighter shades of the same color were used for protein carbon atoms. Hydrogen bonds are indicated in red. (B) Superposition of the PA-compound 1 and PA-compound 15 complexes extracted after 4 ns of simulation. Similar representation to panel A was used here. Carbon atoms of compound 1 are colored in light blue; while the ones of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ are in pink. The same color was used for protein carbon atoms. The water molecule mentioned in the text is represented in sticks.
a frame saved from the trajectory after 4.8 ns of simulation with the starting structure of the PA-compound 1 complex. Similarly to what was observed for the system containing compound 1, after approximately 3.7 ns , a $2.3 \AA$ shift of the central aromatic ring occurred in the PA- $\mathbf{1 5}$ simulation. Also in this case, the change in binding mode weakened the interaction with Trp706, increased the hydrophobic interactions with the areas II and III, with residues Phe411 and Phe707 in particular, and allowed the establishment of a weak T-shaped $\pi-\pi$ stacking with Phe411. However, in this simulation Lys643 did not give a $\pi$-cation interaction with the phenyl moiety, but it interacted with compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ through a direct hydrogen bond (with the carbonyl oxygen) and a water bridge (with the pyrimidine N1 nitrogen), mainly after the compound repositioning. Interestingly, the water molecule that bridges the interaction between Lys 643 and N1 overlaps very well the CN nitrogen of $\mathbf{1}$, when the structures of the two complexes extracted after 4 ns simulation are superposed (panel B of

Figure 6). Thus, in the case of $\mathbf{1 5}$, the water molecule had the same role of the CN group of $\mathbf{1}$ in the interactions with the protein, and this explains why the MD simulation for the PA15 system was more similar to the one containing 1 than to the one containing 11.

Taken together, the results from the MD simulations suggest that the decrease in binding affinity caused by the lack of the ionic contact between the CN group and Lys643 side chain amine is compensated by CN -lacking compounds that display an interesting activity in two possible ways according to the central scaffold. In the case of a pyrimidine central ring (15), N1 allows the establishment of a water bridge that mimics the CN group. For pyridine scaffolds (11) that do not allow this interaction a more stable binding conformation with stronger interactions with other critical residues (Val621, Gln 408, and Trp706 in particular) explains the comparable activity exhibited by the two compounds.

## - CONCLUSIONS

The increasing resistance for the current therapy against influenza infection makes the discovery of novel drugs with a different mechanism of action compelling. ${ }^{15,43}$ The viral RNA polymerase is not only essential for the viral genome replication and transcription but also less prone to genetic variability than the current therapeutic targets. Its activity requires the formation of the PA-PB1 complex, making the inhibition of this protein-protein interaction an attractive antiviral strategy. In this work, a hit optimization process was applied to previously identified small molecules with a 3 -cyano-4,6diphenylpyridine scaffold that were identified as promising PA-PB1 PPI. ${ }^{35}$ The molecular scaffold was systematically modified, and synthetic strategies were developed. The biological evaluation of the 15 synthesized compounds allowed the determination of structure-activity relationships and showed for the first time that this novel class of molecules with a 4,6 -diphenylpyridine (or pyrimidine) nucleus is able to inhibit the viral polymerase through the disruption of the PAPB1 interaction and that this can result in an antiviral effect with a good toxicity profile. The biological assay results indicated that the two phenyl rings in positions 4 and 6 are essential for antiviral activity, while the removal of the CN moiety at position 3 does not affect compound activity. Furthermore, the modification of the sulfur-containing side chain produces a decrease or complete loss in the inhibition of the PA-PB1 interaction. Interestingly, some of these compounds retain some antiviral activity, indicating a possible change in the mechanism of action for them. SAR indications were rationalized by means of docking and molecular dynamics simulations that confirmed that compounds bind to the PB1binding site within the C-terminal portion of PA and that the most important interactions involve Lys643, Gln408, Val621, Trp706, and three hydrophobic areas (I, II, and III).

Notably, three compounds (1, 11, and 15) that act on a target that is less prone to mutations than the ones exploited in current therapy were identified in this work. These are promising anti-influenza molecules that will be further developed in the future, using the binding model and the identified SAR.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Computational Details. Protein Preparation. The homology model prepared for the previous study ${ }^{35}$ was used as the structure for the influenza A PA-PB1 complex. Briefly, the available structures of
the C-terminal portion of H1N1 and H5N5 influenza A virus PA (PAC) in complex with the N-terminal PA-binding region of PB1 (PB1N, residues 1-25) were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: $2 \mathrm{ZNL}^{20}$ and $3 \mathrm{CM} 8,^{21}$ respectively). Since both structure present gaps, a more complete PAC was obtained by means of homology modeling using the Prime tool of the Schrödinger suite. ${ }^{44}$ The model was built of the FASTA sequence of the protein (influenza A virus, strain A/Wilson-Smith/1993 H1N1), using PDB IDs 2ZNL as the first template and 3CM8 as the second one. When possible, the missing portions of 2 ZNL were thus filled using 3CM8 corresponding coordinates, while the missing residues in both structures were modeled by the program according to the FASTA sequence. In order to remove unfavorable contacts, the model was then energy minimized using the all-atom OPLS force field and Polak-Ribiere conjugate gradient method. Water was used as a solvent, applying a continuum solvation method. Convergence was set to $0.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, and extended cut-offs were used.

Protein Structure Comparison. The X-ray crystal structure of the Influenza A virus H17N10 PA-PB1-PB2 trimer in complex with the viral RNA promoter was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 4WSB). ${ }^{23}$ The C-terminal portion of PA (PAC) was used for comparison with that of the homology model. PyMOL ${ }^{45}$ was used for structure superposition. The alignment of the residue sequences saved from the superposition was assessed with Clustal Omega web server. ${ }^{46}$

Ligand Preparation. All small molecules were built with the Schrödinger Maestro graphical interface. ${ }^{47}$ The structures were then processed with Schrödinger LigPrep tool ${ }^{48}$ generating for each ligand all feasible tautomers and assigning with Epik all possible protonation states at $\mathrm{pH} 7.0 \pm 2.0$, using all atom OPLS force field.

Docking Simulations. Docking simulations were performed with two programs: Glide ${ }^{40}$ and GOLD. ${ }^{41}$ In the docking computed with Glide, the receptor grid was built on the PA-PB1 complex and centered on the PB1 peptide derived from the crystal structure. The dimensions of the box were set to $12 \AA$. Default settings with flexible SP mode were chosen for the docking simulation. Generated poses were minimized after docking and a maximum of five low energy poses were saved for each entry. The docking simulations with GOLD were performed using GoldScore and ChemScore fitness functions. The search efficiency was set to $200 \%$, and results differing less than $1.5 \AA$ in ligand all-atom RMSD were clustered together. Also in this case five poses were saved for each entry. All poses were visually inspected, and consensus poses were used for SAR determination.

Molecular Dynamic Simulation (MD). The molecular dynamics simulations were performed on the protein-ligand complexes involving compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 1}$, and $\mathbf{1 5}$. Starting structures were retrieved from the most representative docking poses results. The simulation setup was performed by means of the System Builder of Schrödinger Desmond. ${ }^{42}$ The simulation box was orthorhombic with the minimum possible volume. A TIP4P water model and the all atom OPLS force field were used. The system was neutralized and a salt ( NaCl ) concentration of 0.15 M was added to the system.

The simulations were performed in PBC conditions. Prior to production, a total of 4 ns of equilibration was performed. The first step of equilibration was a 1 ns NVT Brownian simulation at 10 K temperature, restraining the solute heavy atoms. All the following steps used the Langevin algorithm. The second step of equilibration was performed restraining solute heavy atoms in NVT conditions for 0.5 ns at 10 K with Berendsen temperature coupling, using a 0.1 coupling constant. In the third stage, solute heavy atoms were restrained in NPT conditions for 0.5 ns at 10 K and 1 atm with Berendsen temperature and pressure coupling, using 0.1 and 50.0 coupling constants for temperature and pressure, respectively. Then, solute heavy atoms were restrained in NPT conditions for 1 ns at 300 K and 1 atm with Berendsen temperature and pressure coupling, using 0.1 and 2.0 coupling constants for temperature and pressure, respectively. No restraints and NPT conditions were set for the last equilibration step that lasted 1 ns at 300 K and 1 atm with Berendsen temperature and pressure coupling, using 0.1 and 2.0 coupling constants for temperature and pressure, respectively. All restraints were applied with
a force of $50.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. A 14 ns NPT production MD simulation was performed with a 2 fs time step, collecting energy and trajectory data every 1.2 and 4.8 ps , respectively. The temperature was maintained at 300 K , and pressure was maintained at 1 atm using Langevin method with coupling constant of 2.0 and 1.0 for pressure and temperature, respectively. The PME method with 9.0 nm cutoff radius was used for Coulombic interactions.

The MD analysis was performed with the Desmond Simulation Quality Analysis and the Simulation Interaction Diagram tools. ${ }^{42}$ Cluster analysis was computed with the python script trajectory_clusters.py available in the Schrödinger suite. Ten clusters were identified, confronting all trajectory frames.

Chemistry. Chloride 16 was either bought from Advanced Chemical Intermediates Ltd. or synthesized by us through a known procedure. ${ }^{49}$ Thiol 17a, alcohol 17 b , and amine 17 c were obtained from Otava Ltd. Chlorides 23a and 23b were purchased from Fluorochem Ltd. Chloropyrimidine 23c, pyridone 24, and thiol 29 were prepared following literature protocols. ${ }^{50-52}$ All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. THF, toluene, DME, and DCM were distilled freshly from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, all other solvents were used as received. Unless indicated otherwise, all reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere. TLC analyses were performed with Merck F254 Alumina Silica Plates using UV visualization or staining. Microwave reactions were carried out on a Biotage Initiator. Column purifications were carried out manually using Silicycle Ultra Pure Silica Gel, Fluka silica gel $60(0.04-0.063 \mathrm{~mm})$, or automatically using the Biotage equipment. All HRMS spectra were recorded on Bruker micrOTOF MS using ESI in positive ion mode. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-, and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 200, 250, 400, 500, or 600 MHz spectrometer. Systematic names for molecules were generated using ChemBioDraw Ultra 14. Unless specified otherwise, all final compounds have an LC purity $\geq 95 \%$ at 254 nm . This was determined using a Shimadzu HPLC/MS workstation with a LC20AD pump system, SPD-M20A diode array detection, and a LCMS2010 EV Liquid Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer. Compound purities were calculated as the percentage peak area of the analyzed compound by UV detection at 254 nm . The buffer used is a $0.4 \%$ (w/ v) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ solution in water, adjusted to pH 8.0 with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The column used is an Xbridge C18 $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Solvents used in this paragraph: Solvent B $=90 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / 10 \%$ buffer; Solvent A $=90 \%$ water/ $10 \%$ buffer. The analysis was conducted using a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, start $5 \% \mathrm{~B}$, linear gradient to $90 \%$ B in 4.5 min , then 1.5 min at $90 \% \mathrm{~B}$, then linear gradient to $5 \%$ in 0.5 min , then 1.5 min at $5 \% \mathrm{~B}$, total run time of 8 min .

2-((3-Cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridin-2-yl)thio)-N-(m-tolyl)acetamide (1, VUF14465). To a stirred solution of chloride 16 ( $0.291 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added thiol $17 \mathrm{a}(0.326 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.207 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h and then quenched with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was filtered and dissolved in DCM. The solution was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography (gradient starting from $5 \%$ ( $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) in heptane to $40 \%\left(1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / E t \mathrm{OAc}\right)$ in heptane). This yielded the product as a solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.5,162.6,159.6,155.5,138.8,137.7,137.2$, 135.8, 131.3, 130.6, 129.6, 129.4, 128.9, 128.5, 127.7, 125.1, 120.3, 117.4, 116.7, 115.2, 104.3, 35.2, 21.5. LCMS purity: 98+ \%. HRMS [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 436.1478; found, 436.1467.

2-((2-Morpholino-2-oxoethyl)thio)-4,6-diphenyInicotinonitrile (2, $B A S-88)$. A mixture of thiol $29(173 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(195$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.599 \mathrm{mmol})$, TBAI $(222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.601 \mathrm{mmol})$, and anhydrous DMF $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 1 h at rt . After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, chloride $28(147$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.899 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in DMF ( 0.4 mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 2 h . After dilution with cold water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extraction with DCM $(3 \times 20$ mL ), the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (acetone/DCM 5/95) to obtain the product as white solid (75
$\mathrm{mg}, 30 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-$ $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150.92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.2,162.2,158.8,154.8,137.4$, 136.0, 130.7, 130.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.4, 127.4, 116.5, 115.4, 103.8, 66.8, 66.6, 46.6, 42.7, 32.9. LCMS purity: $95+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calc, 416.1427; found, 416.1434.

2-((3-Cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridin-2-yl)oxy)-N-(m-tolyl)acetamide (3, VUF14467). A microwave tube was charged with chloride 16 (0.15 g, 0.52 mmol ), alcohol $\mathbf{1 7 b}(0.077 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, CuI ( $8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.229 \mathrm{~g}, 0.704 \mathrm{mmol})$. The tube was thoroughly flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was exposed to $\mu \mathrm{W}$ radiation $\left(120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}\right)$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The remaining solids were subjected to extraction with EtOAc/water $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/ heptane $2: 8$ and then $\left(E t O A c / E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ 99:1)/heptane 25:75. This afforded the product as a solid ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-$ $7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 166.1,163.3,157.0$, 156.6, 138.5, 138.0, 136.3, 135.8, 130.8, 130.2, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, $127.5,124.2,120.0,116.6,115.2,114.2,92.3,65.7,21.2$, two carbon signals likely overlap. LCMS purity: $95+\%$ HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 420.1707; found, 420.1702.

2-((3-Cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridin-2-yl)amino)-N-(m-tolyl)acetamide (4, VUF14466). To a stirred solution of chloride 16 (0.210 $\mathrm{g}, 0.722 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added amine $17 \mathrm{c}(0.214 \mathrm{~g}, 1.30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 18 h at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then quenched with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Water and DMF were evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in DCM. This solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography (gradient starting from $10 \%\left(1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ in heptane to $80 \%\left(1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} /\right.$ EtOAc ) in heptane). The product was collected and again subjected to the same purification procedure. This yielded the product as a solid $(14 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-8.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.1,159.1$, $158.8,155.7,139.0,137.6,137.5,136.8,130.7,130.2,129.2,129.1$, 129.0, 128.3, 127.5, 125.3, 120.7, 117.1, 116.9, 111.4, 89.9, 47.4, 21.5. LCMS purity: 99+ \%. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 419.1866; found, 419.1861.

3-(3-Cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridin-2-yl)-N-(m-tolyl)propanamide (5, VUF14519). A mixture of $6(63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere (1 bar) at rt. After 6 h , more $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{mg})$ was added, and hydrogenation was continued for 5 h . The black suspension was filtered over Hyflo. The filtrate was concentrated to obtain the product $(44 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.12$ (br s, 1H), 8.03 $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.6,163.9,159.2,154.6,138.9,138.2,137.8,136.4,130.7$, $130.2,129.2,128.9,128.6,127.8,124.9,120.3,118.8,116.7,116.6$, 106.2, 34.7, 32.0, 21.6, two carbon signals likely overlap. LCMS purity 95\%. HRMS [M + H ] ${ }^{+}$calc, 418.1914; found, 418.1909.
(E)-3-(3-Cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridin-2-yl)-N-(m-tolyl)acrylamide (6, VUF14518). A mixture consisting of chloride 16 (192 mg, 0.66 mmol), acrylamide $18(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaOAc}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79$ $\mathrm{mmol}), ~ n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}(234 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}),(o-\mathrm{Tol}){ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DMF}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 18 h at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The green/brown suspension was diluted with satd. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ soln. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (3 $\times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried
$\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in heptane) to give the product $(173 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.36-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ 165.3, 160.8, 157.1, 156.1, 140.0, 139.8, 138.7, 137.8, 136.6, 131.9, $131.8,131.2,130.1,130.1,129.8,129.8,128.8,126.4,121.8,121.5$, 118.5, 117.1, 107.6, 21.6. LCMS purity $98+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 416.1763; found, 416.1762.

3-((3-Cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridin-2-yl)thio)-N-(m-tolyl)propanamide (7, VUF14520). To a stirred solution of thiol 17d (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added chloride 16 $(298 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(142 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then 3 h at rt. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography (gradient starting from $5 \%\left(1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ in heptane to $80 \%$ ( $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) in heptane). This afforded the product ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.11$ (dd, $J=$ 6.4, $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $2.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.1,163.5,158.9,154.9,139.1,137.7,137.5,136.3,130.9$, 130.3, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 128.5, 127.5, 125.4, 120.7, 117.1, 116.2, 115.7, 104.3, 37.7, 26.5, 21.6. LCMS purity: $98+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calc, 450.1635 ; found, 450.1638 .

4-((3-Cyano-4,6-diphenylpyridin-2-yl)thio)-N-(m-tolyl)butanamide (8, VUF14523). To a stirred solution of thiol 17e (120 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.573 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added chloride 16 $(167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.573 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3 h at rt. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography (gradient starting from $5 \%\left(1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ in heptane to $80 \%$ ( $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) in heptane). This afforded the product ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13-8.04(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 7.65-7.59 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.51 (m, 4H), 7.50-7.46 (m, 3H), $7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.2,163.5,158.7,154.6,138.9,137.7,137.3$, 136.2, 130.6, 130.1, 129.1, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 127.4, 125.1, 120.4, 116.8, 115.9, 115.9, 103.9, 36.0, 30.0, 24.8, 21.5. LCMS purity: $95+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 464.1791; found, 464.1785

2-(Methylthio)-4,6-diphenylnicotinonitrile (9, BAS-85). To a stirred solution of thiol 29 ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 0.499 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 6 mL ) were added $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TBAI $(185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.501 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt . After cooling to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, MeI ( $0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in DMF $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was introduced via syringe. The mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for an additional 2 h . After quenching with cold water ( 20 mL ), the mixture was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvents removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1$ ) yielding the product as a white solid ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.14-8.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150.92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 164.5,158.4,154.3,137.5,136.3,130.6$, 130.0, 129.0, 129.0, 128.4, 127.4, 115.9, 115.5, 103.7, 13.7. LCMS purity: 97+ \%. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 303.0950; found, 303.0939.

4,6-Diphenylnicotinonitrile (10, BAS-87). $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a degassed suspension of chloride $16(290 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.00 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(165 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The heterogeneous mixture was stirred under hydrogen $(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ at rt. After 36 h , the suspension was filtered and the precipitate was carefully washed with methanol $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic filtrates were evaporated under reduced pressure, and DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue. The resulting solution was filtered through a thin pad of silica gel, which was washed with an additional portion of DCM. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 4:1), affording the target compound
as white solid ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.01$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),, 7.59-7.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(150.92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.5,154.0,153.0$, 137.5, 135.9, 130.6, 130.2, 129.2, 129.1, 128.4, 127.5, 120.4, 117.2, 106.6. LCMS purity: $99+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 257.1073; found, 257.1073.

2-((4,6-Diphenylpyridin-2-yl)thio)-N-(m-tolyl)acetamide (11, BAS-86). A glass tube was charged with chloride 25 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol})$, L-proline ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(210 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The tube was evacuated and filled with argon ( 3 cycles). Thiol 17a ( $0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.825 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in anhydrous DME ( 2 mL ) was introduced via syringe. The tube was sealed and heated under reflux for 30 h while stirring. After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was partitioned between DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and satd. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\operatorname{DCM}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 6:0.1), affording the target compound as white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 9.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.08-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.68-7.64 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.48 (m, 6H), $7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.07-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.07 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150.92 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.1,158.2,158.0$, 150.6, 138.7, 138.4, 138.2, 137.6, 129.8, 129.6, 129.3, 129.2, 128.6, 127.2, 127.2, 124.4, 119.9, 118.6, 116.4, 116.1, 35.1, 21.1. LCMS purity: $99+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 411.1526; found, 411.1529.

2-((3-Cyano-6-phenylpyridin-2-yl)thio)-N-(m-tolyl)acetamide (12, VUF14469). To a stirred solution of chloride $23 \mathrm{a}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.466$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark were added thiol 17a ( $0.093 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.097 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at rt and then concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (using a gradient starting from $15 \%\left(1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ in heptane to $80 \%\left(1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} /\right.$ EtOAc) in heptane). This provided the product as a solid ( 17 mg , $10 \%$ ). Note: All of this work, including the column chromatography, has to be done in the dark as the compound is photolytically unstable (as proven by NMR and LCMS studies after exposing the compound to day light). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 163.7, 163.6, 155.2, 139.4, 136.9, 134.2, 131.49, 129.5, 129.4, 129.2, 126.2, 120.9, 118.8, 117.3, 114.1, 112.2, 80.2, 37.9, 21.6. LCMS purity: $95+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calc, 360.1165 ; found, 360.1166.

2-((3-Cyano-4-phenylpyridin-2-yl)thio)-N-(m-tolyl)acetamide (13, VUF14468). To a stirred mixture of chloride $\mathbf{2 3 b}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.466$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaOAc}(57.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.699 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added thiol 17 a ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred overnight at rt after which the temperature was raised to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 d, the solvent was evaporated and the remaining solids were subjected to extraction with DCM $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ then brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (using a gradient from 1:9 EtOAc/heptane to 5:5 EtOAc/ heptane). This yielded the product as a solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.03(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-$ $7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.5,162.9,154.8,151.3,139.2,137.8,135.3$, 130.7, 129.4, 129.0, 128.6, 125.4, 120.8, 120.5, 116.9, 114.8, 106.5, 35.2, 21.7. LCMS purity: $98+\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 360.1165 ; found, 360.1167 .

2-((4,6-Diphenylpyrimidin-2-yl)thio)-N-(m-tolyl)acetamide (14, VUF14477). To a stirred solution of chloride $23 \mathrm{c}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.562$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added thiol $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ ( 112 mg , $0.619 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.844 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 5 h while being allowed to warm to rt. The solvent was partially evaporated, and the resulting mixture was filtered. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and subjected to column
chromatography ( $100 \%$ EtOAc). This yielded the product as a solid ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.14-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 171.1, 167.4 (split), 165.6, 138.6, 137.9 (split), 136.3, 131.6, 129.2, 128.7, 127.4, 124.8 (split), 120.1 (split), 116.5 (split), 109.2, 35.9 (split), 21.3, splitting of some peaks was observed. LCMS purity: 99+ $\%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 412.1484; found, 412.1489.

2-((4,6-Bis(4-trifluoromethyl)phenyl) pyrimidin-2-yl)thio)- N -( m tolyl)acetamide (15, VUF14525). Thiol $17 \mathrm{a}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirred solution of chloride $23 \mathrm{~d}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 2.5 h while being allowed to warm to rt . The solvent was partially evaporated, and the resulting mixture was filtered. The residue was purified by column chromatography (heptane/EtOAc 4:1) to yield the compound as a white solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.4,166.6,164.5,139.3,138.9$, $137.5,133.3\left(q^{2},{ }^{2} J=32.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.9,127.9,126.2\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 125.2, $123.7\left(q^{1}{ }^{1} J=272.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.2,116.6,109.6,36.0,21.3$. LCMS purity: $95 \%$. HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc, 548.1226 ; found, 548.1216 .

3-Mercapto- N -(m-tolyl)propanamide (17d). 3-Mercaptopropanoic acid $(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was combined with $m$-toluidine $(1.093 \mathrm{~mL}$, 10.0 mmol ). After 1 h of stirring at rt , the mixture was heated in the microwave for 1 h at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified using column chromatography ( $25 \%$ EtOAc in heptane). This afforded the product ( $1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 169.2, 139.3, 137.7, 129.1, 125.6, 120.8, 117.2, 41.6, 21.7, 20.6. LCMS purity: $95+\%$.

4-Mercapto- N -(m-tolyl)butanamide (17e). Dihydrothiophen$2(3 \mathrm{H})$-one ( $0.866 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was mixed with $m$-toluidine $(1.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was heated in the microwave ( 3 h at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 1 h at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and finally 24 h at 180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), resulting in partial conversion. The mixture was purified using column chromatography ( $25 \%$ EtOAc in heptane). This afforded the product ( $375 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.4,139.2,137.8,129.0,125.3,120.6,117.0,35.8$, 29.3, 24.2, 21.6. LCMS purity: $95+\%$.
$N$-(m-Tolyl)acrylamide (18). Acryloyl chloride $(0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of $m$-toluidine $(0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.89 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The orange suspension was stirred for 2.5 h and poured onto brine (10 mL ). The mixture was extracted with $t \mathrm{BuOMe}(2 \times)$. The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $25 \%$ EtOAc in heptane) to obtain the product as a transparent oil $(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ (br, 1H), $7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=16.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.34 (s, 3H). LCMS purity: $97 \%$.

2-Chloro-4,6-diphenylpyrimidine (23c). Prepared according to a published procedure. ${ }^{50}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.19-8.12$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 167.7, 162.1, 135.7, 131.7, 129.1, 127.5, 111.0. LCMS purity: $95+\%$.

2-Chloro-4,6-bis(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pyrimidine (23d). 2,4,6-Trichloropyrimidine ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylboronic acid ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(428 \mathrm{mg}, 3.10$ mmol , dissolved in a minimum amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were mixed with 1,2 dimethoxyethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was covered with aluminum foil. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the mixture. The mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The
solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue subjected to extraction with DCM and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was washed three times with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by three successive column chromatography operations (heptane/EtOAc 6:1, then $\mathrm{PhMe} / \mathrm{MeCOMe}$ 8:1, and $\mathrm{PhMe} /$ heptane $4: 1$ ). This yielded the product as a clear oil, which slowly crystallized ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.28$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS purity: $95 \%$.

2-Chloro-4,6-diphenylpyridine (25). A mixture of pyridone 24 $(370 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 4 d . After cooling to rt the crude product was poured onto crushed ice. The mixture was neutralized with cold $15 \%$ aq. KOH , and the precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dissolved in DCM. The resulting solution was washed once more with diluted KOH and water. After drying the organic layer over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (DCM) yielding the target compound as white solid ( $213 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.02-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

2-Chloro-1-morpholinoethan-1-one (28). To a stirred solution of morpholine ( $435 \mathrm{mg}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 14 mL ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was introduced. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COCl}(678 \mathrm{mg}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in THF ( 3 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h . After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated affording the target compound as a viscous oil with $95 \%$ purity according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.08$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Biological Assays. Compounds and PB1 Peptide. RBV (1-D-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide) and oseltamivir carboxylic acid, the active form of oseltamivir [( $3 R, 4 R, 5 S$ )-4-acetamido-5-amino-3-(1-ethylpropoxy)-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylic acid] were purchased from Roche. Test compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Since photochemical degradation of compound 12 under daylight conditions was observed during compound synthesis, all solutions containing $\mathbf{1 2}$ were protected from daylight, and all experiments with this compound were performed in the dark. The PB1 $1_{(1-15)}$-Tat peptide was synthesized and purified by the Peptide Facility of CRIBI Biotechnology Center (University of Padua, Italy). This peptide contains the first 15 N -terminal amino acids of PB1 protein fused to the C-terminal sequence of HIV Tat protein (amino acids 47-59).

Plasmids. Plasmids pcDNA-PB1, pcDNA-PB2, pcDNA-PA, and pcDNA-NP, containing cDNA copies of the influenza $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{PR} / 8 / 34$ virus PB1, PB2, PA, and NP genes, respectively, were created as described elsewhere ${ }^{53}$ and kindly provided by P. Digard (Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom). Plasmid pPolI-Flu-ffluc, which contains an influenza virus-based luciferase minireplicon vRNA under the control of the human RNA polymerase I promoter, was provided by L. Tiley (University of Cambridge, United Kingdom). Plasmid pRL-SV40 expressing the Renilla luciferase was purchased from Promega.

Cells and Virus. Human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK 293T) and MDCK cells were cultivated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Life Biotechnologies) supplemented with $10 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Life Technologies) and antibiotics ( $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, Life Technologies). The cells were maintained at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ humidified atmosphere. Influenza A/PR/8/34 virus (PR8 strain, H1N1, Cambridge lineage) was obtained from P. Digard (Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom).

PA-PB1 Interaction Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). The PA-PB1 interaction was detected as described by Muratore et al. ${ }^{29}$ with some modifications. Briefly, microtiter plates (Nuova Aptca) were incubated with 400 ng of 6 His-PA (239-716) for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then blocked with $2 \%$ BSA (Sigma) in PBS for 1 h at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After washing, 200 ng of GST-PB1 $1_{(1-25)}$ dissolved in PBS, or GST as a control, in the presence of test compounds at various concentrations or DMSO, were added and incubated overnight at
room temperature. E. coli-expressed, purified $6 \mathrm{His}^{-\mathrm{PA}_{(239-716)}}$, GST, and GST-PB1 $1_{(1-25)}$ proteins were obtained as previously described. ${ }^{29,54}$ After washing, the interaction between $6 \mathrm{His}-\mathrm{PA}_{(239-716)}$ and $\mathrm{GST}-\mathrm{PBl}_{(1-25)}$ was detected with an anti-GST monoclonal antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Gen-Script). After the final wash step, the substrate 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB, KPL) was added, and the optical density was determined at 450 nm by an ELISA plate reader (Tecan Sunrise). Values obtained from the samples treated with only DMSO were used to set as $100 \%$ of PA-PB1 interaction. The $\mathrm{PB} 1_{(1-15)}$-Tat peptide was included in all experiments as the reference inhibitor.

In the modified PA-PB1 interaction ELISA, the assay was performed as described above, except that GST-PB1 $1_{(1-25)}$, or GST as a control, along with test compounds at various concentrations or DMSO were dissolved in serum-free DMEM instead of PBS. The PB1 $1_{(1-15)}$-Tat peptide was included in all experiments as a positive control for inhibition.

Plaque Reduction Assay (PRA). A confluent monolayer of MDCK cells was prepared in 12 -well plates. Cells were infected with FluA virus (PR8 strain) at $40 \mathrm{PFU} /$ well in DMEM supplemented with 1 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of TPCK-treated trypsin (Worthington Biochemical Corporation) and $0.14 \%$ BSA in the presence of various concentrations of test compounds for 1 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Medium containing $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of TPCK-treated trypsin, $0.14 \%$ BSA, $1.2 \%$ Avicel, and test compounds at the same concentrations was then added. After 2 days of incubation, cell monolayers were fixed with $4 \%$ formaldehyde and stained with $0.1 \%$ toluidine blue, and viral plaques were counted. Ribavirin and Oseltamivir were included in all experiments as reference compounds.

Cytotoxicity Assay. Cytotoxicity of test compounds was assessed in MDCK and HEK 293T cells by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) method, as previously reported. ${ }^{29,55}$ Briefly, MDCK cells $\left(5 \times 10^{3}\right.$ cells/well on 96-well plates) and HEK 293T ( $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well on 96 -well plates) cells were incubated with compound at 2 -fold serial dilutions from $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$. After 24 or 48 h for HEK 293 T and MDCK cells, respectively, MTT solution ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS) was added to each well, and plates were incubated for 4 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solubilization solution was added to lyse cells. After 3 h of further incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, absorbance was read at 620 nm using an ELISA plate reader (Tecan Sunrise). Values obtained from the wells treated with only DMSO were used to set as $100 \%$ of viable cells.

Minireplicon Assay. HEK 293T cells were seeded at a density of 2 $\times 10^{5}$ per well into 24 -well plates. After 24 h , cells were transfected with pcDNA-PB1, pcDNA-PB2, pcDNA-PA, and pcDNA-NP plasmids ( $100 \mathrm{ng} /$ well of each) along with pPolI-Flu-ffLuc plasmid ( $50 \mathrm{ng} /$ well). The transfection mixture also contained pRL-SV40 plasmid ( $50 \mathrm{ng} /$ well) to normalize variations in transfection efficiency. Transfections were performed using calcium phosphate protocol in the presence of the test compounds or DMSO. Cell medium was replaced 4 h post-transfection with DMEM containing compounds or DMSO. At 24 h post-transfection, cells were harvested and both firefly luciferase and Renilla luciferase expression were determined using the Dual Luciferase Assay Kit from Promega. Ribavirin was included in all experiments as the reference compound.

Interference Compounds Assessment. The structure of all tested compounds ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 1 5 )}$ was examined for known classes of assay interference compounds with the online FAF-Drug ${ }^{3}$ (Free ADME-Tox Filtering Tool) program. ${ }^{56}$ Almost all the compounds passed the Pan Assay Interference Compounds (PAINS) ${ }^{57}$ filter, increasing the confidence in the presented results. Only compound 6 failed to pass the PAINS filter. However, since this molecule did not display good activity in any of the performed in vitro assays, no further investigations were performed.
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