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#### Abstract

We consider $n$-bit permutations with differential uniformity of 4 and null nonlinearity. We first show that the inverses of Gold functions have the interesting property that one component can be replaced by a linear function such that it still remains a permutation. This directly yields a construction of 4 -uniform permutations with trivial nonlinearity in odd dimension. We further show their existence for all $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$ based on a construction in Alsalami (Cryptogr. Commun. 10(4): 611-628, 2018). In this context, we also show that 4-uniform 2-1 functions obtained from admissible sequences, as defined by Idrisova in (Cryptogr. Commun. 11(1): 21-39, 2019), exist in every dimension $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$. Such functions fulfill some necessary properties for being subfunctions of APN permutations. Finally, we use the 4 -uniform permutations with null nonlinearity to construct some 4-uniform 2-1 functions from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ which are not obtained from admissible sequences. This disproves a conjecture raised by Idrisova.
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## 1 Introduction

It is well known that an APN function, i.e., a differentially 2-uniform function, must have non-trivial nonlinearity (see, e.g., [3, Prop. 13]). For functions with slightly worse differential properties, this does not necessarily need to hold. In particular, there exist differentially 4 -uniform permutations with trivial nonlinearity of 0 . Although this is not a new result of ours, we think that it is worth highlighting and studying such functions in more detail. For example, one possible application would be to construct other 4 -uniform permutations, but

[^0]with higher nonlinearity. In particular, one can reduce any permutation with trivial nonlinearity to a 2-1 function of the same uniformity and extend it back to a permutation in many possible ways.

Having a function with differential uniformity $d$, replacing one component by a linear function trivially yields a function with differential uniformity at most $2 d$ and null nonlinearity. However, the crucial part is that the function constructed in that way is again a permutation. We were therefore interested in the following question: Can we find APN permutations for which one component can be replaced by a linear function such that it still remains a permutation?

In the first part of this work, we show that the inverses of Gold functions (see [7, 9]), i.e., the inverses of power permutations $x \mapsto x^{2^{i}+1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1$, have such a property. Thus, they yield a construction of 4 -uniform permutations with null nonlinearity. We remark that this observation directly leads to the construction of the APN function CCZequivalent to $x \mapsto x^{2^{i}+1}$ and EA-inequivalent to any power function constructed in [2]. Since the Gold functions are permutations only in odd dimension, we further observe that the differentially 4-uniform 2-1 function constructed in [1], which is defined in even and odd dimension (except for $n=4$ ), can also be extended by a linear coordinate in order to obtain a 4 -uniform permutation. By showing that such a 2-1 function exists for all $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$, we therefore conclude that 4 -uniform permutations with trivial nonlinearity exist for all $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$.

In the second part of the paper we focus on 2-1 subfunctions of permutations, that are obtained by discarding one coordinate function. In [8], Idrisova has shown a necessary property on the subfunctions of APN permutations. In particular, for a subfunction $S: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ of an APN permutation, she showed that, for all non-zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, the following two conditions hold:

1. If $\{S(x), S(x+\alpha)\}=\{S(y), S(y+\alpha)\}$, then either $x=y$ or $x=y+\alpha$.
2. If $S(x)=S(x+\alpha)$ and $S(y)=S(y+\alpha)$, then either $x=y$ or $x=y+\alpha$.

We show that the above mentioned 4 -uniform 2-1 function family constructed in [1], which is defined for $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$, always fulfills this necessary property. Therefore, and interestingly, 4-uniform 2-1 functions from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}}$ fulfilling this property do not exist only for those $n$ for which we know (at the time of writing) that no APN permutation exists. In her work, Idrisova conjectured that all 4-uniform 2-1 functions from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}}$ fulfill this property. By using the 4 -uniform permutations with null nonlinearity constructed in the first part, we provide counterexamples to that conjecture in the final part of the paper.

### 1.1 Notation and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ denote the field with two elements and let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ denote its extension field of dimension $n$. By Tr , we denote the trace function over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ relative to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \mapsto$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}, x \mapsto x+x^{2}+x^{2^{2}}+\cdots+x^{2^{n-1}}$. Note that the trace function is $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-linear.

A function $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ is called differentially d-uniform if $d$ is the smallest number such that, for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ and every $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$, the equation $F(x)+F(x+a)=b$ has at most $d$ solutions for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. A differentially 2-uniform function is called Almost Perfect Nonlinear (APN). The nonlinearity of $F$, denoted $\mathrm{nl}(F)$, is defined as the minimum Hamming distance of any non-trivial component function to all affine Boolean functions.

There are several well-known equivalence relations on vectorial Boolean functions. The function $G: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ is called affine equivalent to $F$ if there exist affine permutations
$A: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $B: \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ such that $F \circ A=B \circ G$. The function $G$ is called extended affine equivalent (EA-equivalent) to $F$ if there exist affine permutations $A: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $B: \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ and an affine function $C: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ such that $F \circ A=B \circ(G+$ $C)$. We finally recall the notion of CCZ-eqivalence. Let $\Gamma_{F}:=\left\{(x, F(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}$ be the function graph of $F$. The functions $F$ and $G$ are called CCZ-equivalent (see [2, 4]), if there exist an affine permutation $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ such that $\Gamma_{G}=\mathcal{L}\left(\Gamma_{F}\right)$. The differential uniformity and the nonlinearity are invariant under all of the above equivalence relations.

## 2 Some 4-uniform permutations

In this section, we give two example families of differentially 4-uniform permutations with trivial nonlinearity.

### 2.1 Inverses of gold functions: the case of $\boldsymbol{n}$ odd

An interesting construction can be obtained by the inverses of quadratic APN power permutations. For those, it is possible to replace a component function by a linear function and still obtain a permutation.

Proposition 1 Let $n \geq 3$ be odd, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha)=1$, and let $d=\left(2^{i}+1\right)^{-1}$ $\bmod 2^{n}-1$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1$. Then, the mapping

$$
G_{\alpha, d}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, \quad x \mapsto x^{d}+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x^{d}+x\right)
$$

is a differentially 4-uniform permutation with null nonlinearity. The inverse can be given as

$$
G_{\alpha, d}^{-1}: x \mapsto x^{2^{i}+1}+\left(x^{2^{i}}+x+1\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)
$$

Proof To show that $G_{\alpha, d}$ is a permutation, we show that the mapping

$$
G_{\alpha, d}^{\prime}(x):=G_{\alpha, d}\left(x^{2^{i}+1}\right)=x+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)
$$

is an involution. Indeed, we can write $G_{\alpha, d}^{\prime}\left(G_{\alpha, d}^{\prime}(x)\right)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2^{i}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)\right)^{2^{i}+1}\right) \\
= & x+\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2^{i}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2^{i}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)\right) \\
= & x+\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(1) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)=x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Tr}(1)=\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha)=1$ for odd $n$. The expression for the inverse of $G_{\alpha, d}$ follows because it can be given as $G_{\alpha, d}^{-1}(x)=$ $G_{\alpha, d}^{\prime}(x)^{2^{i}+1}$.

The 4-uniformity follows because $x \mapsto x^{d}$ is APN as the inverse of the APN permutation $x \mapsto x^{2^{i}+1}$ (see [9]). To see that $\operatorname{nl}\left(G_{\alpha, d}\right)=0$, we observe that $\operatorname{Tr}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha \cdot G_{\alpha, d}(x)\right)$.

Remark 1 If we define $F_{d}(x):=x+\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{d}+x\right)$, the function $H_{d}(x):=F_{d}\left(G_{1, d}^{-1}(x)\right)$ is CCZ-equivalent to $x \mapsto x^{d}$ by construction via the involution

$$
\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, \quad(x, y) \mapsto(y+\operatorname{Tr}(y)+\operatorname{Tr}(x), x+\operatorname{Tr}(x)+\operatorname{Tr}(y))
$$

operating on the function graph of $x \mapsto y=x^{d}$. By using the fact that $H_{d}(x)=$ $F_{d}\left(G_{1, d}^{\prime}(x)^{2^{i}+1}\right)$, one can easily see that $H_{d}(x)=x^{2^{i}+1}+\left(x^{2^{i}}+x\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(x+x^{2^{i}+1}\right)$, which is equal to the function CCZ-equivalent to $x \mapsto x^{2^{i}+1}$ and EA- inequivalent to any power function, constructed in [2].

Remark 2 The existence of differentially 4-uniform permutations with trivial nonlinearity is not a new result. In particular, it was shown in [6] that the mapping

$$
P_{n}: x \mapsto x+x^{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}-1}+x^{2^{n}-2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}+1}
$$

is a permutation in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ for odd $n \geq 3$. It was shown in [10] that this permutation is differentially 4-uniform. Although that, to the best of our knowledge, the null nonlinearity of $P_{n}$ was not mentioned in previous work, it is trivial to observe. It simply holds because $P_{n}$ is of the form $x \mapsto x+x^{d-1}+\left(x^{d-1}\right)^{d}$ for $d=2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ and thus, $\operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{n}(x)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(x)$. Note that $2^{\frac{n+1}{2}-1}$ is the multiplicative inverse of $2^{\frac{n+1}{2}+1}$ modulo $2^{n}-1$, so this construction is also related to Gold functions.

### 2.2 A construction covering the case of $\boldsymbol{n}$ even

In [1] Alsalami presented the following family of 4-uniform 2-1 functions, constructed by the finite field inversion.

Proposition 2 (Alsalami [1]) Let $n \geq 3$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}}, \gamma \notin\{0,1\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)=1$. The function

$$
S_{\gamma}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}}, \quad\left(x, x_{n}\right) \mapsto \gamma^{x_{n}} x^{2^{n-1}-2}
$$

is a differentially 4-uniform 2-1 function.
Note that such a function $S_{\gamma}$ does not exist for $n=4$, because there is no element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{3}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)$. More generally, Idrisova remarked in [8] that no 4-uniform 2-1 function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3}}$ exists. However, $S_{\gamma}$ exists for all other dimensions $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$ as shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 1 For $m=2$ and $m \geq 4$, there exist an element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)=1$.

Proof We first consider the case of even $m$. Since no element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ is self-inverse, $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ can be partitioned into $2^{m-1}-1$ sets of the form $\left\{\gamma, \gamma^{-1}\right\}$. Since exactly half of the elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ have trace 1 and since $\operatorname{Tr}(0)=\operatorname{Tr}(1)=0$, there are $2^{m-1}$ elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ with trace 1 . From the pigeonhole principle, there is at least one such set $\left\{\gamma, \gamma^{-1}\right\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)=1$.

Let now $m$ be odd. Let us define the Boolean functions

$$
\iota: \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}, x \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2^{m}-2}\right) \quad \kappa: \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}, x \mapsto \begin{cases}x & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{F}_{2} \\ \operatorname{Tr}(x)+1 & \text { if } x \notin \mathbb{F}_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Suppose there do not exist $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)$, then, $\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash$ $\{0,1\}$, it is $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)+1$ and therefore $\iota=\kappa$ because of the definitions of the above functions. However, it is $\mathrm{nl}(\kappa) \leq 2$, since $\kappa$ has Hamming distance 2 from the affine
function $x \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(x)+1$. Further, it is well known that $\mathrm{nl}(\iota) \geq 2^{m-1}-2^{\frac{m}{2}}-2$ (see [3, p. 50], [5]). This is a contradiction if $m \geq 5$ and thus, there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)=0$. Similarly as in the case of even $m$, we can partition $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\left\{0,1, \gamma, \gamma^{-1}\right\}$ into $2^{m-1}-2$ sets of the form $\left\{\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\right\}$. Since exactly half of the elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ have trace 1 and since $\operatorname{Tr}(0) \neq \operatorname{Tr}(1)$, there are $2^{m-1}-1$ elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\left\{0,1, \gamma, \gamma^{-1}\right\}$ with trace 1 . From the pigeonhole principle, there is at least one such set $\left\{\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\right\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{\gamma})=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\right)=1$.

The 2-1 functions $S_{\gamma}$ as given in Proposition 2 can trivially be extended to permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Let $f: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ be a Boolean function with $|\operatorname{supp}(f)|=2^{n-1}$ and $S_{\gamma}(\operatorname{supp}(f))=$ $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}}$, the function

$$
R_{\gamma, f}: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, \quad x \mapsto\left(S_{\gamma}(x), f(x)\right)
$$

is a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. By choosing $f(x)=x_{n}$, we obtain a 4-uniform permutation with a linear component, i.e., $\mathrm{nl}\left(R_{\gamma, f}\right)=0$.

## 3 APN admissible functions

Let $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ be a vectorial Boolean function defined by its coordinates $S_{i}: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. For $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we define $S_{(j)}=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{j-1}, S_{j+1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ as the subfunction from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ of $S$ obtained by omitting the $j$-th coordinate. In [8], necessary properties on the subfunctions of APN permutations were given in terms of so-called admissible sequences. We slightly reformulate this definition by directly considering the properties of functions and not sequences.

Definition 1 (see [8]) A 4-uniform 2-1 function $S: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ is called APN admissible, if, for all non-zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, the following two conditions hold:

1. If $\{S(x), S(x+\alpha)\}=\{S(y), S(y+\alpha)\}$, then either $x=y$ or $x=y+\alpha$.
2. If $S(x)=S(x+\alpha)$ and $S(y)=S(y+\alpha)$, then either $x=y$ or $x=y+\alpha$.

The following fact for APN permutation was shown by Idrisova.
Proposition 3 (Prop. 5 of [8]) Let $S$ be a subfunction of an APN permutation, i.e., $S=T_{(j)}$ for an APN permutation $T: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. Then $S$ is APN admissible.

### 3.1 The existence of APN admissible functions

If we have an APN permutation in $n$ bit, one directly obtains an APN admissible function according to Proposition 3 by removing one coordinate. One can ask whether APN admissible functions exist in dimensions for which we don't know APN permutations. For $n=4$, APN admissible functions do not exist. In the following, we show that APN admissible functions exist for all $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$ by showing that $S_{\gamma}$ is APN admissible.

Proposition 4 The function $S_{\gamma}$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}} \backslash\{0,1\}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)=1$ is APN admissible.

Proof Since $S_{\gamma}$ is 2-1 and 4-uniform, we only need to show that the two conditions of Definition 1 are met. We first show Condition 1 . Let $x, y, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}}$ and $x_{n}, y_{n}, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ with $\left(\alpha, \alpha_{n}\right) \neq(0,0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{S_{\gamma}\left(x, x_{n}\right), S_{\gamma}\left(x+\alpha, x_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right)\right\}=\left\{S_{\gamma}\left(y, y_{n}\right), S_{\gamma}\left(y+\alpha, y_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x=0$, then $S_{\gamma}\left(x, x_{n}\right)=0$. Since the only preimages of 0 are $(0,0)$ and ( 0,1 ), Eq. (1) implies $y=0$ or $y+\alpha=0$. It can easily be derived that $\left(y, y_{n}\right)=\left(0, x_{n}\right)$ or $\left(y, y_{n}\right)=$ $\left(\alpha, x_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right)$ from the fact that $S_{\gamma}\left(z, z_{n}\right)=S_{\gamma}\left(z, z_{n}+1\right)$ only holds if $z=0$. Thus, Condition 1 is met for $x=0$. A similar argument holds for $y=0, x+\alpha=0$, and $y+\alpha=0$. Let us therefore assume that $x \notin\{0, \alpha\}$ and $y \notin\{0, \alpha\}$. Equation (1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{x(x+\alpha) y(y+\alpha) S_{\gamma}\left(x, x_{n}\right), x(x+\alpha) y(y+\alpha) S_{\gamma}\left(x+\alpha, x_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right)\right\} \\
= & \left\{x(x+\alpha) y(y+\alpha) S_{\gamma}\left(y, y_{n}\right), x(x+\alpha) y(y+\alpha) S_{\gamma}\left(y+\alpha, y_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\left\{\gamma^{x_{n}}(x+\alpha) y(y+\alpha), \gamma^{x_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}} x y(y+\alpha)\right\}=\left\{\gamma^{y_{n}} x(x+\alpha)(y+\alpha), \gamma^{y_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}} x(x+\alpha) y\right\} .
$$

This holds if either

$$
\gamma^{x_{n}} y=\gamma^{y_{n}} x \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma^{x_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}}(y+\alpha)=\gamma^{y_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}}(x+\alpha),
$$

or

$$
\gamma^{x_{n}}(y+\alpha)=\gamma^{y_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}} x \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma^{x_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}} y=\gamma^{y_{n}}(x+\alpha)
$$

In both of the above cases, by distinguishing all eight cases of ( $\alpha_{n}, x_{n}, y_{n}$ ), one can derive that either $\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\left(y, y_{n}\right)$ or $\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\left(y+\alpha, y_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right)$.

To show Condition 2, let $x, y, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n-1}}$ and $x_{n}, y_{n}, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ with $\left(\alpha, \alpha_{n}\right) \neq(0,0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}\left(x, x_{n}\right)=S_{\gamma}\left(x+\alpha, x_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad S_{\gamma}\left(y, y_{n}\right)=S_{\gamma}\left(y+\alpha, y_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition 2 is trivially met when $x \in\{0, \alpha\}$ or $y \in\{0, \alpha\}$. Let therefore, again, $x, y \notin$ $\{0, \alpha\}$. Equation (2) is equivalent to

$$
\gamma^{x_{n}}(x+\alpha)=\gamma^{x_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}} x \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma^{y_{n}}(y+\alpha)=\gamma^{y_{n} \oplus \alpha_{n}} y .
$$

For $\alpha_{n}=0$, it follows that $\alpha=0$, which is a contratiction to $\left(\alpha, \alpha_{n}\right) \neq(0,0)$. For $\alpha_{n}=1$, one can easily derive that $\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\left(y, y_{n}\right)$ or $\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\left(y+\alpha, y_{n}+\alpha_{n}\right)$ by checking all four cases for $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.

### 3.2 Idrisova's conjecture

Idrisova conjectured that every 4-uniform 2-1 function from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ is APN admissible [8, Conjecture 2]. That conjecture was experimentally verified for the case $n \leq 4$. We now use the 4 -uniform permutations with null nonlinearity defined above to construct counterexamples to that conjecture. The constructions are based on the following observation.

By $e_{i}$ we denote the $i$-th unit vector in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, i.e., $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$, where the 1 is set at position $i$.

Proposition 5 Let $S$ be an n-bit permutation with a linear or affine component $\langle\gamma, S\rangle$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. Then, for $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, if the vectors

$$
e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{j-1}, e_{j+1}, e_{j+2}, \ldots, e_{n}, \gamma
$$

are linearly independent, the subfunction $S_{(j)}$ is 2-1 and the differential uniformity of $S_{(j)}$ is equal to the differential uniformity of $S$.

Proof W.l.o.g., let $j=n$. It is obvious that $S_{(n)}$ is 2-1. Let $T:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i} S_{i}$, which is linear or affine, i.e., there exists an $\epsilon \in\{0,1\}$ such that, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, T(x)+T(y)=$ $T(x+y)+\epsilon$. Now, let $x, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{(n)}(x)+S_{(n)}(x+\alpha) \\
= & \left(S_{1}(x), \ldots, S_{n-1}(x)\right)+\left(S_{1}(x+\alpha), \ldots, S_{n-1}(x+\alpha)\right)=\beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

This holds if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S_{1}(x), \ldots, S_{n-1}(x), T(x)\right)+\left(S_{1}(x+\alpha), \ldots, S_{n-1}(x+\alpha), T(x+\alpha)\right) \\
= & (\beta, T(\alpha)+\epsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, \gamma$ are linearly independent, the function $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n-1}, T\right)$ is linear equivalent to $S$. It follows that the uniformity of $S_{(n)}$ must be equal to the uniformity of $S$.

Example 1 Let $n=5$ and consider the function $G_{1,3}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{5}} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_{2^{5}}$. By representing $\mathbb{F}_{2^{5}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] /_{\left(X^{5}+X^{2}+1\right)}$, a representation of $G_{1,3}$ can be given by the look-up table

$$
\begin{aligned}
G= & {[00,01,19,0 \mathrm{~A}, 06,0 \mathrm{E}, 0 \mathrm{~B}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 03,0 \mathrm{D}, 05,1 \mathrm{~B}, 13,1 \mathrm{D}, 11,02,} \\
& 14,1 \mathrm{E}, 10,1 \mathrm{~A}, 0 \mathrm{~F}, 17,12,07,15,09,08,16,18,1 \mathrm{~F}, 0 \mathrm{C}, 04] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this example, $\langle(0,1,0,0,1), G\rangle$ is linear, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{(2)}= & {[0,1,9,2,6,6,3, \mathrm{C}, 3,5,5, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, 9,2,} \\
& \text { C, E, 8, A, 7, F, A, 7, D, 1, 0, E, 8, F, 4, 4] }
\end{aligned}
$$

is a differentially 4 -uniform 2-1 function according to Proposition 5. However, it is $\left\{G_{(2)}(02), G_{(2)}(02+01)\right\}=\left\{G_{(2)}(0 \mathrm{E}), G_{(2)}(0 \mathrm{E}+01)\right\}=\{02,09\}$, so it is not APN admissible. This is a counterexample to Conjecture 2 of [8].

Example 2 Let $n=6$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{5}}$ be represented as $\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] /{ }_{\left(X^{5}+X^{2}+1\right)}$. Let $\gamma=\alpha+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{5}}$, where $\alpha$ is a root of $X^{5}+X^{2}+1$. By choosing $f(x)=x_{n}$, the function $R_{\gamma, f}$ has a linear component by construction. It is linear equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
R= & {[00,23,13,3 \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~B}, 17,2 \mathrm{E}, 34,1 \mathrm{~F}, 24,39,15,27,31,2 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mathrm{D},} \\
& 3 \mathrm{D}, 18,22,02,1 \mathrm{E}, 0 \mathrm{~B}, 38,05,11,3 \mathrm{E}, 1 \mathrm{~A}, 3 \mathrm{~F}, 25,33,14,08, \\
& 20,21,12,01,09,1 \mathrm{C}, 32,0 \mathrm{C}, 36,2 \mathrm{C}, 0 \mathrm{E}, 30,29,0 \mathrm{~F}, 06,37, \\
& 2 \mathrm{~B}, 0 \mathrm{D}, 26,1 \mathrm{D}, 07,3 \mathrm{~A}, 28,2 \mathrm{~F}, 16,0 \mathrm{~A}, 35,04,03,10,19,1 \mathrm{~B}],
\end{aligned}
$$

which has the linear component $\langle(1,1,1,1,1,1), R\rangle$. Considering the linear equivalent permutation $R$ allows us to remove an arbitrary coordinate function in order to obtain a 4-uniform 2-1 function by Proposition 5. In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{(6)}= & {[00,11,09,1 \mathrm{E}, 1 \mathrm{D}, 0 \mathrm{~B}, 17,1 \mathrm{~A}, 0 \mathrm{~F}, 12,1 \mathrm{C}, 0 \mathrm{~A}, 13,18,15,16,} \\
& 1 \mathrm{E}, 0 \mathrm{C}, 11,01,0 \mathrm{~F}, 05,1 \mathrm{C}, 02,08,1 \mathrm{~F}, 0 \mathrm{D}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, 12,19,0 \mathrm{~A}, 04, \\
& 10,10,09,00,04,0 \mathrm{E}, 19,06,1 \mathrm{~B}, 16,07,18,14,07,03,1 \mathrm{~B}, \\
& 15,06,13,0 \mathrm{E}, 03,1 \mathrm{D}, 14,17,0 \mathrm{~B}, 05,1 \mathrm{~A}, 02,01,08,0 \mathrm{C}, 0 \mathrm{D}]
\end{aligned}
$$

is differentially 4 -uniform and 2-1, but

$$
\left\{R_{(6)}(01), R_{(6)}(01+02)\right\}=\left\{R_{(6)}(10), R_{(6)}(10+02)\right\}=\{11,1 \mathrm{E}\},
$$

so it is not APN admissible. This is another counterexample to the Conjecture.
We expect that similar counterexamples can be constructed for all $n \geq 5$.

## 4 Conclusion

We have seen that 4-uniform permutations with null nonlinearity exist for all $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$, where an interesting construction can be given by the inverses of Gold functions. Moreover, 4-uniform 2-1 functions obtained from admissible sequences, as defined by Idrisova, exist for all $n=3$ and $n \geq 5$. It is interesting to observe that $n=4$ defines a special case for which none of the above exist.

For future work it would be interesting to find more constructions of 4-uniform permutations with null nonlinearity and use them to construct 4-uniform permutations (or even APN permutations) with high nonlinearity. Such a construction can be achieved by the following procedure: Let $F$ be a 4-uniform permutation in $n$ bit with trivial nonlinearity.

1. Choose a permutation $G$ affine equivalent to $F$.
2. Discard a coordinate of $G$ to obtain a 4 -uniform 2-1 function $G^{\prime}$ from $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ by Proposition 5.
3. Choose an $n$-bit Boolean function $f$ with $|\operatorname{supp}(f)|=2^{n-1}$ for which $G^{\prime}(\operatorname{supp}(f))=$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n-1}$ and construct the permutation $H: x \mapsto\left(G^{\prime}(x), f(x)\right)$.

Note that Step 2 and 3 of the above procedure were already suggested in [8]. However, starting from a 4 -uniform permutation with trivial nonlinearity allows more freedom to obtain a 4-uniform 2-1 function. For $n \in\{6,7,8\}$ we checked all the constructions of Proposition 2 whether they can be extended to an APN permutation by Step 3 of the above algorithm. The answer is negative in all cases. We used an exhaustive tree search for constructing the last coordinate function.
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