# 5 GHz OBSERVATIONS OF SMALL-SCALE STRUCTURE IN DR2I 

Stella Harris<br>(Communicated by P. F. Scott)

(Received 1973 March 20)

## SUMMARY

The H II region DR2I has been observed with the Cambridge $5-\mathrm{km}$ telescope at 5.00 GHz . It is resolved into four compact components of high density and small linear dimensions, surrounded by diffuse envelopes of lower density. The condensations may be at a higher electron temperature than the envelopes.

## INTRODUCTION

$\mathrm{DR}_{21}$, which lies within the extended $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region $\mathrm{W}_{75}$, is the most intense of the radio sources located by Downes \& Rinehart (1966) in their 5 GHz survey of the Cygnus X region. It was observed over a wide range of frequencies by Ryle \& Downes ( 1967 ) who found it to be a thermal source of small angular diameter, its thermal nature being later confirmed by the detection of recombination line emission (Mezger et al. 1967). It was thus the first compact H in region to be recognized as such, although unlike most such regions it is not closely associated with OH emission, the nearest OH source, $\mathrm{W}_{75}(\mathrm{~S})$, being 3 arc min distant. Later 5 GHz observations with the Cambridge One-Mile telescope (Wynn-Williams 1971) showed the source to consist of two main components surrounded by a diffuse envelope, and further structural detail has been revealed in a map by Balick (1972) with a resolution of about $3 \mathrm{arc} \sec$ at $8 \cdot \mathrm{r} \mathrm{GHz}$. This paper reports new 5 GHz observations of DR2I with the Cambridge 5 - km telescope.

## RESULTS

The operation of the telescope, which consists of four fixed and four movable dishes, is described elsewhere (Ryle 1972); the half-power widths of the synthesized beam are 2.0 arcsec in R.A. and 3.0 arcsec in declination for DR2I. The results are based on a 12 -hr run (1973 January 2) with 16 spacings and were calibrated using the source $3^{2} \mathrm{C} 147$, assumed to have a flux density at 5.00 GHz of $8.2 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$.

The map obtained (Fig. I) shows more detailed structure than has been observed hitherto. The integrated flux density is $18.5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$; this is slightly lower than the previous values at the same frequency of about $2 \mathrm{I} \cdot 0 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ (Downes \& Rinehart 1966; Reifenstein et al. 1970; Wynn-Williams 1971) as expected in view of the fact that the spacing increment with a single $12-\mathrm{hr}$ observation allows a proper mapping only of structure extending to a radius of 20 arcsec . The One-Mile observations show that there is in fact


Fig. 1. The distribution of brightness temperature of $D R_{21}$ at 5 GHz . The contour interval is 392 K. The shaded ellipse shows the half-power beam area.
structure on a larger scale; the difference of flux density could be accounted for by an outer extension with an area double that of the lowest contour shown and with a brightness temperature of 98 K (i.e. $\frac{1}{4}$ the contour interval). The composite map obtained by the addition of this outer contour has been used in the subsequent analysis.

## DISCUSSION

The distance of DR2I is uncertain (Thompson, Colvin \& Hughes 1969) but a value of 3 kpc has been adopted for the purpose of these calculations. It is apparent from the map that the southern component is now resolved into three very compact condensations which, at this assumed distance, are of the order of $0 \cdot 1 \mathrm{pc}$ across. They are surrounded by a diffuse envelope with a still more diffuse envelope surrounding both this and the compact northern component. These will be referred to as the inner and outer envelopes respectively, with the compact condensations labelled A, B, C, D, in order of increasing R.A. as shown in Fig. I.

If a uniform electron temperature is assumed throughout the nebula the distribution of brightness at 5 GHz can be used to calculate the total flux at any
other frequency. The method is described in detail by Martin (1973). It consists of using the relation:

$$
T_{\mathrm{b}_{\nu}}=T_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{e}^{-\tau_{\nu}}\right)
$$

and the fact that the optical depths at different frequencies are related by:

$$
\frac{\tau_{\nu_{\mathrm{i}}}}{\tau_{\nu_{\mathrm{j}}}}=\left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{i}}}{\nu_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)^{-2 \cdot 1}
$$

to derive the contours at different frequencies. The total flux at each frequency is then obtained from:

$$
S_{\nu}=\frac{2 k}{\lambda^{2}} \int T_{\mathrm{b}_{1},} d \Omega
$$

The calculation was performed for a range of values of $T_{\mathrm{e}}$ and the results are compared in Fig. 2 with the integrated flux densities observed over a wide range of frequency; the best fit to these observations is obtained for an electron temperature $T_{\mathrm{e}}=8000 \pm 2000 \mathrm{~K}$. This agrees well with Hiog $\alpha$ recombination line values based on the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium, namely, $T_{\mathrm{e}}=7600 \pm 350 \mathrm{~K}$ (Mezger et al. 1967) and $T_{\mathrm{e}}=7200 \pm 780 \mathrm{~K}$ (Reifenstein et al. 1970). On the assumption that the electron temperature is 8000 K the optical depth and central emission measure of each component at 5 GHz were derived from its observed peak brightness temperature. These temperatures are given in the first column of Table I and the central emission measures $E$ in column 2. The flux densities contributed by the individual components (column 3) were estimated


Fig. 2. Calculated spectra for DR21. The electron temperatures are $6000,8000,10000$, 12000 K. References for the data points are given by the initials of the authors. An error of 10 per cent in the flux has been assumed where none was quoted. References for the data points: $B, W$-Burke $\mathcal{E}$ Wilson (1967); $D —$ Dent (1972); $D, M, R$-Downes, Maxwell $\mathcal{E}$ Rinehart (1970); D, R—Downes $\mathcal{E}$ Rinehart (1966); H, J—Hobbs $\mathcal{E}$ Johnston (1971); $H, M, M-H o b b s, M o d a l i ~ E \delta ~ M a r a n ~(1971) ; ~ R, ~ W, ~ B, ~ M, ~ A-R e i f e n s t e i n, ~ W i l s o n, ~$ Burke, Mezger $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$ Altenhoff (1970); R, $E-$ Riegel $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$ Epstein (1968); R, D-Ryle $\mathcal{O}^{\circ}$ Downes (1967); S, M—Schraml $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}$ Mezger (1969); W, A—Webster $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$ Altenhoff (1970); W-Wendker (1970); W-W-Wynn-Williams (1971).
Table I




Condensation
A
B
C
D
D
from the map on the basis of a simple spherical model for each, the corresponding angular and linear diameters being given in columns 4 and 5 . The electron density, mass of ionized gas, and excitation parameter for each component (columns 6-8) could then be derived from the formulae of Schraml \& Mezger (1969). The estimated error in electron temperature corresponds to errors of about io per cent in the masses and rather less in the other parameters.

The electron densities within the condensations are significantly higher than those previously obtained for this source (Wynn-Williams 1971) and are very much lower in the envelopes. Although a uniform electron temperature has been adopted for the purpose of the calculations, there is some indication from the spectra in Fig. 2 that the compact condensations are at a higher electron temperature than the envelopes. At low frequencies the former become optically thick so that the flux at these frequencies is dominated by the contribution from the diffuse envelopes. These points appear from the figure to indicate a lower electron temperature than that for the higher frequencies. Since the longer wavelength observations are likely to include a larger area of sky and hence give relatively larger flux densities, the effect apparent in Fig. 2 is probably underestimated.

The total rate of emission of Lyman continuum photons ( $L_{\mathbf{c}}$ ) from within each condensation (Table I, column 9) and also from the source as a whole has been derived on the basis of the formula given by Rubin (1968). According to his figures, the total $L_{\mathrm{c}}$ of $18.5 \times 10^{48}$ photons $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ could be accounted for by the presence of one star of spectral type $\mathrm{O}_{7}-\mathrm{O} 8$ in each of the condensations $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}$ and one of spectral type $\mathrm{O}_{5-\mathrm{O}}$ in condensation C. If DR 2 I is density bounded this value of $L_{\mathrm{c}}$ is a lower limit. It should be noted, however, that the relationship between spectral type and excitation parameter given by Prentice \& ter Haar (1969) suggests that the excitation could be by O-B stars of later type.
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