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#### Abstract

We present a catalogue of new spectral types for hot, luminous stars in the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC). The catalogue contains 4161 objects, giving an order-of-magnitude increase in the number of SMC stars with published spectroscopic classifications. The targets are primarily B- and A-type stars ( 2862 and 853 objects respectively), with one Wolf-Rayet, 139 O-type and 306 FG stars, sampling the main sequence to $\sim$ mid-B. The selection and classification criteria are described, and objects of particular interest are discussed, including UV-selected targets from the Ultraviolet Imaging Telescope (UIT) experiment, Be and B[e] stars, 'anomalous A supergiants' and composite-spectrum systems. We examine the incidence of Balmer-line emission, and the relationship between $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent width and absolute magnitude for BA stars.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Since the seminal study of the solar neighbourhood stellar initial mass function (IMF) by Salpeter (1955), there have been numerous observational and theoretical studies of the IMF in the Galaxy, the Magellanic Clouds and beyond (cf. Gilmore \& Howell 1998). One of the principal tools used in the investigation of the IMF is the comparison of observed Hertzsprung-Russell diagrams (HRDs) with those obtained from population syntheses built on stellar evolution models. The construction of observational HRDs for large samples of roughly equidistant stars is most easily accomplished in the colour-magnitude plane. However, optical observations only sample the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of the spectral energy distribution of O- and early B-type stars; thus for these objects optical photometric colours alone do not provide adequate discrimination in temperature (nor, therefore, in luminosity) for satisfactory transformation between the observed and theoretical HRDs.

The Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) is of particular interest as the nearest system with a well-established, substantial (factor of $\sim 5$ ) underabundance of metallic elements compared with those found in the Milky Way. This makes it an attractive target for investigating the role of metallicity in star formation and evolution, as well as a

[^0]variety of other topics in stellar and galactic astrophysics. With this in mind, we have undertaken a spectroscopic survey of the hot, luminous stars of the SMC, using the multi-fibre 2-degree Field (2dF) instrument of the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT), primarily in order to investigate directly the massive-star IMF.

The basic data of the 2 dF spectroscopic survey are presented in this paper, wherein catalogue entries are identified by ' 2 dFS ' numbers for convenience. Target selection, observations and data reduction are described in Section 2, while the criteria used for spectral and luminosity classification of the sample are discussed in Sections 3 and 4. Some aspects of the photometry are discussed in Sections 5 and 6. Observations of stars of particular interest are presented in Section 7, and catalogue contents are summarized in Appendix A. An investigation of the IMF of the SMC, based in part on the catalogue, will be presented elsewhere.

## 2 DATA ACQUISITION

### 2.1 Target selection: input catalogue

Our initial aim was to use photometry to isolate those targets for which spectroscopy was required for accurate placement in the HRD. The actual targets were then to be drawn from this input catalogue.

At the start of the project, the best available source for both largescale photometry and accurate astrometry (essential for the 2 dF
observations) was scans of UK Schmidt $B_{\mathrm{J}}{ }^{1}$ and $R$ photographic survey plates made with the Automatic Plate Measuring (APM) machine. Field \#29 in the Schmidt survey covers the greater part of the SMC and was used to compile the input catalogue of potential targets. The densest regions (e.g. NGC 330 and 346) were too crowded for reliable APM scans and are largely excluded from our work.

For our investigation of the upper part of the IMF (and to avoid contamination by foreground stars) the APM targets making up the input catalogue for spectroscopy were restricted to bright blue stars, with cuts of $\left(B_{\mathrm{J}}-R\right)<0.1$ (corresponding, notionally, to O and B spectral types) and $B_{\mathrm{J}}<17.5$. As the survey and analysis progressed, it became evident both that additional selection effects were in play (most importantly, systematic rejection of the brightest stars as 'non-stellar'), and that the photographic photometry had rather larger uncertainties than anticipated. These additional sampling effects will be discussed in our forthcoming analysis of the IMF (and a more detailed discussion of photometry is given in Section 5), but one consequence is that the colour cut-offs were not as effective as expected in isolating only the hottest stars. As a result, some relatively red stars were included in the observed 2 dF sample, including foreground objects. (The expected surface density of foreground blue stars is negligibly small - about 1 per square degree.) Fortunately, although our 2 dF observations were not generally optimized for radial-velocity measurements, they are quite sufficient to discriminate between typical Galactic and SMC velocities ( $\Delta v \sim$ $170 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Radial-velocity measurements identified 171 stars, mostly in the spectral range G0-K3, as probable foreground objects. These targets were discarded for the purposes of the spectroscopic catalogue.

### 2.2 2dF observations

The 2 dF system is a dual-spectrograph, multi-fibre instrument which allows up to 400 intermediate-dispersion spectra to be obtained simultaneously across a $2^{\circ}$-diameter field of view (Lewis et al. 2002). We used 2 dF to obtain blue-region spectra in 18 overlapping SMC fields over 1998 September 25-28 and on 1999 September 30 and October 1 (Table 1). The Moon was below the horizon for the majority of the observations, the exceptions being fields $1,4,5,9$ and 10 (Moon before first quarter) and 18 (barely gibbous Moon just risen, $\sim 100^{\circ}$ from the SMC). In a typical observation approximately 30 'sky' fibres ( $\sim 15$ for each spectrograph) were assigned, to ensure good definition of the sky signal.

In order to construct a spectroscopic catalogue that is statistically representative of the SMC population of hot, luminous stars, targets were selected from the input catalogue essentially at random. ${ }^{2}$ The main selection criterion was, therefore, simply the set of physical constraints imposed in configuring 2 dF (e.g. avoidance of too-close fibre heads, large angular deflections of fibres, etc.), rather than any astrophysical characteristics of the stars beyond those chosen in constructing the input catalogue. Usable spectra were obtained for $\sim 15$ per cent of the input catalogue, with a reasonably representative spatial sampling (Fig. 1).

We used gratings ruled at 1200 lines $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$, which gave coverage of the $\sim 3900-4800 \AA$ A region ( $\mathrm{H} \beta$ is included in about half of

[^1]Table 1. 2dF field centres. The fields were observed in 1998 (September 25-28, fields 1-12) and 1999 (September 30/ October 1, fields 13-18).

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Field } \\ \text { No. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Field centre (J2000) } \\ \alpha\end{array}$ |  | $\delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Integration <br>

time (min)\end{array}\right]\)


Figure 1. Spatial distribution of the input catalogue (upper panel, every fifth star, observed fields shown as $2^{\circ}$ circles) and the spectroscopically observed targets (all targets, lower panel).
the spectra) at a resolution of $\sim 2.75 \AA$ (FWHM of arc lines; $R \simeq$ 1500), which corresponds to 2.5 pixels on the detectors. Individual exposures were normally 1800 s , and the median continuum signal-to-noise ratio in the region $\lambda \lambda 4395-4460$ is $\sim 45$, ranging from $\sim 20$ to 150 .

Two 2dF data sets were obtained in addition to this main spectroscopic sample. First, usable red ( $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ ) spectra were obtained for 1091 targets from the main sample during the 1999 run (September 28 and 29), again using gratings with 1200 lines $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}(R \simeq 2500)$.

Secondly, in 2001 September, when conducting the programme of observations of SMC binaries described by Harries, Hilditch \&

Howarth (2003), we were able to allocate 'spare' fibres to targets of interest, with essentially the same instrumental setup as in 1999. We chose to observe UV-selected targets from the UIT catalogue (Cornett et al. 1997; Parker et al. 1998) because of their potential interest as an important component of the hot-star population of the SMC. Because of the different selection criteria applied, the 107 targets so observed are separately numbered in the catalogue: 2dFS\#5001-5107.

### 2.3 2dF data reduction

The bulk of the data reduction was performed using the 2DFDR software (Lewis et al. 2002). The main steps include bias subtraction, extraction of the spectra from the CCD image, optional division by a normalized flat-field, wavelength calibration, calibration of the fibre throughputs, and subtraction of the scaled median sky spectrum. The software was still undergoing active development at the time of our reductions, and had been most extensively tested on data sets quite different from ours (namely, the 2 dF quasar and galaxy survey data), so that a number of manual checks and interventions were necessary.

### 2.3.1 Flat-fielding

At the time of the reductions flat-fielding in 2DFDR was suboptimal, because any flexure in the spectrographs led to the extraction of different flat-field pixels compared with those used in the object frame. Given the reasonable cosmetic quality of the detectors (and our familiarity with 'known' instrumental features), we elected not to flat-field our spectra.

### 2.3.2 Throughput calibration

Depending on the configuration, the throughput of individual fibres is known to vary at the $\sim 10$ per cent level (separately to the issue of targets being well centred on the fibre), probably because of changing stresses within the fibres. Accurate throughputs are necessary for reliable subtraction of the sky signal (which is scaled from sky-only fibres by the relative fibre transmission factors).

At the time of our observations, the normal method of obtaining the relative throughputs was to take offset-sky exposures in dithered triplets (to minimize contamination from astrophysical sources) for each fibre setup. Observatory recommendations for offset-sky integrations in 1998 ( 300 s) yielded a rather low signal-to-noise ratio for our setup, so longer exposures were used in 1999 ( 450 s), notwithstanding the larger overheads, together with twilight throughput frames. Twilight frames were acquired in 1998 for only two fields (of course, only the first and last configurations each night can use these flats for throughputs), the conventional wisdom at that time (when 2 dF observing procedures were still under development) being that the sky brightness varied significantly across the $2^{\circ}$ field of 2 dF .

We found the twilight frames to be entirely consistent with the offset (dark) skies, with a much better signal-to-noise ratio. This conclusion is retrospectively supported by the observations of Chromey \& Hasselbacher (1996), who measured the relative brightness gradient of the twilight sky as a function of angle from the horizon point nearest the Sun. The 2 dF twilight frames were taken with a maximum zenith distance of $30^{\circ}$, i.e. the angle between the solar horizon and the observed region of twilight sky is between $60^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$. In this range Chromey \& Hasselbacher found that the largest observed relative gradient is $\pm 2$ per cent per degree of sky, which is negligible for our sky-correction purposes.

### 2.3.3 Final spectra

In a number of cases we have repeat observations of a given target (usually to build up the signal-to-noise ratio). The 2DFDR algorithm for combining spectra from separate exposures resulted in nonsensical results in some cases (e.g. negative fluxes from the sum of positive fluxes). 2DFDR has since undergone development to remove some of these problems, but we simply summed the net signals, while looking for (and rejecting) discrepant points; this proved particularly effective at removing cosmic ray signatures.

Once combined, the spectra were roughly rectified using a script that fits a polynomial to pre-defined continuum regions and then divides the spectrum through by the fit. This automated rectification gives significant time savings and still allows accurate spectral classification. Final manual rectifications were conducted as necessary.

### 2.4 Long-slit observations

A limited number of supplementary observations of 2dF targets were obtained in conventional long-slit mode, using the Royal Greenwich Observatory (RGO) Spectrograph at the AAT in 2001 July. Ruled 1200 lines $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ gratings were used to obtain blue ( $\sim 3700-5500 \AA$ ) and red ( $\sim 5250-7050 \AA$ ) spectra. The mean resolution element, as defined by the comparison arcs, was $1.6 \AA$ FWHM, equivalent to 3.7 pixels. Standard 'optimal' extractions were performed on these spectra, which generally have continuum signal-to-noise ratios of $\sim 100$ or better.

Table 2. Primary temperature-sequence classification criteria applied to the 2 dF sample (see Section 3 for references). Only some O subtypes are given here, others being interpolated.

| Type | Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| O6 | Не II $^{\text {I }} 42000 \sim$ He I + II $\lambda 4026$ |
| 07 | Не II $^{\text {I }} 45441 \sim$ He I $\lambda 4471$ |
| O8.5 | Не II $\lambda 4541 \sim$ He I $\lambda 4387$ |
| O9 | Не ІІ $\lambda 4200 \sim$ He I $\lambda 4143$ |
| B0 | Не II $\lambda \lambda 4686,4541$ present, $\lambda 4200$ weak |
| B0.5 | Не if $\lambda \lambda 4200,4541$ absent, $\lambda 4686$ weak |
| B1 | He if $\lambda 4686$ absent, Si iv $\lambda \lambda 4088,4116$ present |
| B1.5 | Siiv $\lambda 4116$ absent, Si iv $\lambda 4088<$ Oif $^{\text {If }}$ |
| B2 | Si iv, Si if absent, Si ili $\lambda 4553>\mathrm{Mg}$ II $\lambda 4481$ |
| B2.5 | Si III $\lambda 4553 \sim \mathrm{Mg}$ II $\lambda 4481$ |
| B3 | Si iII $\lambda 4553$ < Mg II $\lambda 4481$ |
| B5 | Si III absent, Si II $\lambda 4128 / 4132<$ He I $\lambda 4121$ |
| B8 | He ${ }_{\text {I }} 4121<\mathrm{Si}_{\text {II }}<$ He I $\lambda 4143$ |
|  | Mg ${ }_{\text {II }} \lambda 4481 \leqslant$ He I $\lambda 4471$ |
| B9 | Mg II $\lambda 4481>$ He I $\lambda 4471$ |
|  | Fe II $\lambda 4233<$ Si il $\lambda$ 4128/4132 |
| A0 | $\mathrm{Ca} K /(\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H)<0.33$ |
| A2 | $0.33<\mathrm{Ca} K /(\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H)<0.53$ |
| A3 | $0.53<\mathrm{Ca} K /(\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H)<0.75$ |
| A5 | $0.75<\mathrm{Ca} K /(\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H)<0.85$ |
| A7 | $0.85<\mathrm{Ca} K /(\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H)<0.95$ |
| F0 | $\mathrm{Ca} K /(\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H) \sim 1$ |
| F5 | Clear presence of CH $G$-band |
| F8 | $G$-band $/ \mathrm{H} \gamma=0.5-0.75$ |
| G0 | $G$-band/ $/ \gamma=0.75-0.9$ |
| G2 | $G$-band $\sim \mathrm{H} \gamma ; \mathrm{H} \gamma>\mathrm{Fe}$ I $\lambda 4325$ |
| G5 | $\mathrm{H} \gamma \sim \mathrm{Fe}$ I $\lambda 4325$ |
| G8 | $\mathrm{H} \gamma<\mathrm{Fe}$ I $\lambda 4325$ |



Figure 2. 2 dF SMC spectra - I: O-dwarf spectral sequence. Stars are identified by 2 dFS catalogue number. The luminosity class for the B0 star is assigned using the hybrid scheme described in Section 3.2.2. The spectral lines identified in 2dFS\#0171 are, from left to right by species, He I $\lambda \lambda 4026,4143,4388,4471$; Не II $\lambda \lambda 4200,4541,4686$. The Balmer lines $\mathrm{H} \epsilon \lambda 3970, \mathrm{H} \delta \lambda 4101$ and $\mathrm{H} \gamma \lambda 4340$ are not explicitly identified. Note the weak N III $\lambda 4634-40-42$ emission and strong He II $\lambda 4686$ absorption in $2 \mathrm{dFS} \# 1971$, giving rise to the '((f))' suffix. Successive spectra are vertically offset by 0.75 continuum units.

The purpose of these observations was not only to obtain repeat spectra of selected stars of interest, but also to provide a check on the 2 dF data characteristics. This was considered important in view of the limited experience of observing 'bright', absorptionline objects with 2 dF at the time of our observations, and because the spectra were extracted with development versions of 2DFDR. As discussed in Section 6.2.2, the long-slit observations disclose no obvious problems with the fibre spectra.

## 3 SPECTRAL CLASSIFICATION

The 2 dF spectra (which consist primarily of B- and A-type stars, with a minority of O-, F- and G-types) were classified primarily by
visual inspection, guided by the temperature sequence classification criteria summarized in Table 2.

### 3.1 O-type spectra

Digital spectra are widely available for O-type stars, and the principal reference that we used for the $O$ stars observed with the 2 dF was Walborn \& Fitzpatrick (1990). The primary classification criteria are the ratios of He I to He II, so the spectral types are largely unaffected by metallicity effects. Luminosity classes were assigned using the precepts given by Walborn \& Fitzpatrick (1990), with reference to the SMC spectra presented by Walborn et al. (2000). Examples of 2dF O-dwarf spectra are shown in Fig. 2.


Figure 3. 2 dF SMC spectra - II: B spectral sequence. Stars are identified by 2 dFS catalogue number. The parenthesized luminosity classes indicate their origin in the hybrid scheme described in Section 3.2.2. The spectral lines identified in 2dFS\#1685 are, from left to right, Ca II $\lambda 3933$ (Ca $K$ ), He I $\lambda \lambda 4026,4471$ and $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }} \lambda 4481$. The silicon feature identified in \#3329 is $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {II }} \lambda 4128 / 4132$ and the additional lines in \#1271 are He I $\lambda \lambda 4121,4143$ and $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {III }} \lambda \lambda 4553$, 4568 . Successive spectra are vertically offset by 0.75 continuum units.

### 3.2 B-type spectra

### 3.2.1 Temperature sequence

For the B-type stars the principal reference that we adopted was Lennon's (1997) study of SMC B-type supergiants; the primary classification criteria used here are included in Table 2, and, excepting the very earliest subtypes, use metal lines.

The overall strength of the metallic lines in B-type spectra is related to the luminosity: the more luminous the star, the stronger the lines (e.g. Walborn \& Fitzpatrick 1990). The majority of the

2 dF targets are substantially less luminous than those in Lennon's sample; thus the metal lines in the 2dF B-star spectra are weak both because of the reduced metallicity of the SMC and because of their relatively low luminosity. As a consequence, while some of the 2 dF spectra can be precisely classified following Lennon's scheme, the large majority require coarser classification bins, even where the data quality is moderately good.

By way of illustration, consider a mid-B giant where the Si III $\lambda 4553$ line is undetectable. This may be because it is B5 or later, or because it is slightly hotter (e.g. B3) but, because of luminosity effects, the Si III line is weak and in the noise. These problems mainly


Figure 4. 2 dF SMC spectra - III: examples of B-type spectra without precise classifications; cf. Section 3.2 .1 for details. Stars are identified by 2 dFS catalogue number. The parenthesized luminosity classes indicate their origin in the hybrid scheme described in Section 3.2.2 The wavelengths of the identified lines are given in Figs 2 and 3 with the addition of Не I $\lambda 4009$ in 2dFS\#3354 and the О II $\lambda 4317-19$ and 4650 blends in \#1608. Successive spectra are vertically offset by 0.75 continuum units.
arise in the $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 5$ range, where the primary criteria involve the ratios of $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }} \lambda 4481$ and Si III. Inspection of Lennon's data also reveals that the $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }} \lambda 4415-17,4640-50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }} \lambda 4631$ features are undetectable at B3 and later; when observed, these features therefore allow the spectral type to be more closely bracketed, to B1-B3.
In Lennon's scheme, type B1 is characterized by weak or absent He II $\lambda 4686$; however, the 2 dF spectra are at lower resolution than his data ( $\sim 2.5-3$ versus $1.2 \AA$ ), and with a poorer signal-to-noise ratio. The framework adopted here uses the intermediate B0.5 type for those stars where He II $\lambda \lambda 4200,4541$ are absent and weak $\lambda 4686$ is seen.

Examples of precisely classified 2dF B-type spectra are shown in Fig. 3; further examples, where precise classification was not possible (even at fairly good $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ), are shown in Fig. 4. The spectrum of 2dFS\#2195 is included in Fig. 4 as an example of 2dF data with a low signal-to-noise ratio; the star is clearly of early-B type (given the absence of strong $\mathrm{He}_{\text {II }}$ lines and the strength of the $\mathrm{He}_{\text {I }}$ lines), but cannot be more accurately classified. A similar classification is applied to the spectrum of 2dFS\#1492, even though the data are of substantially better quality. It is not possible to be confident that the weak He II lines seen in e.g. 2dFS\#0482 are absent in \#1492, and the metal lines necessary for unique classification in the early B stars are not seen (as in 2dFS\#1931).

Many of the B-type spectra display emission in one or more of the Balmer lines (see Section 7.1). Where double-peaked or resolved Balmer emission is evident, an 'e' qualifier is adopted (see Section 7.2).

Table 3. B-star luminosity class criteria: boundary values of the parameter $B+0.3 W_{\lambda}$ (cf. Section 3.2.2). Parentheses are used to indicate that these are not true morphological luminosity classes, but are based on both spectroscopic and photometric criteria.

| Sp. <br> Type | (Ia) | (Iab) | (Ib) | (II) | (III) | (IV) | (V) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B0 | 12.27 | 12.92 | 13.35 | 13.96 | 14.80 | 15.57 |  |
| B0.5 | 12.30 | 12.95 | 13.82 | 14.43 | 14.82 | 15.60 |  |
| B1 | 12.34 | 13.12 | 14.00 | 14.92 | 15.76 | 16.47 |  |
| B1.5 | 12.09 | 13.14 | 14.09 | 15.27 | 16.35 | 17.07 |  |
| B2 | 12.10 | 13.15 | 14.23 | 15.54 | 16.63 | 17.41 |  |
| B2.5 | 12.16 | 13.20 | 14.28 | 15.73 | 16.81 | 17.72 |  |
| B3 | 12.48 | 13.39 | 14.40 | 16.18 | 17.72 | 18.63 |  |
| B5 | 12.71 | 13.49 | 14.69 | 16.99 | 18.86 | 19.51 |  |
| B8 | 13.11 | 13.88 | 15.26 | 18.19 | 20.54 | 21.18 |  |
| B9 | 13.22 | 14.37 | 15.96 | 18.91 | 21.37 | 21.90 |  |
| A0 | 13.37 | 14.60 | 16.86 | 20.10 | 22.16 | 22.76 |  |



Figure 5. 2 dF SMC spectra - IV: examples of A-type spectra. Stars are identified by 2 dFS catalogue number. The luminosity class for the A 0 star is assigned using the hybrid scheme described in Section 3.2.2. Successive spectra are vertically offset by one continuum unit.

### 3.2.2 Luminosity classification

In principle, luminosity class assignments can be made using combinations of features, as for Galactic stars, modified to account for the systematic differences in metal-line strengths (e.g. Walborn 1977). We attempted to classify the B-type stars in our sample using this approach, but were handicapped by the generally inadequate signal-to-noise ratio of our data. We were therefore forced to fall back on the use of the Balmer lines alone, and particularly $\mathrm{H} \gamma$. Notwithstanding potential pitfalls (cf. Jaschek \& Jaschek 1990), this provides the simplest criterion for luminosity classification of B (and A) stars.

We measured the $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent widths, $W_{\lambda}$, in the entire 2 dF sample 'by hand', and by using an automated Gaussian-fitting procedure. In addition to $W_{\lambda}$, the Gaussian fits yield the actual line width, characterized by full width at half-depth. Excepting a small minority of pathological cases (e.g. stars with strong linecore emission, where the automated fits give erratic results), all three measures lead to essentially identical conclusions in respect of classifications; in particular, the automated and 'by hand' equivalent widths are in excellent agreement. For reasons of objectivity and reproducibility, we generally utilize the Gaussian fit equivalent widths.

Ideally, our luminosity class assignments should be based exclusively on spectral morphology. Unfortunately, the spread in $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent width is fairly large at given $B$ magnitude (as discussed
further in Section 6); equivalently, the range in $M(B)$ at given $W_{\lambda}$ is considerable. Thus our $W_{\lambda}$ data, which are not particularly accurate because of the moderate signal-to-noise ratio in the spectra, are of rather limited utility in predicting absolute magnitude and, by inference, luminosity class, particularly at early B types, where stars with the same $W_{\lambda}$ are found at all luminosity classes (cf. Fig. 10, later; B0 V and B0I stars differ in $W_{\lambda}$ by only $\sim 2 \AA$, comparable to the spread in the measurements). Faced with this difficulty, and after considerable experimentation, we reluctantly abandoned the principled position of luminosity classification based solely on morphology in favour of a more pragmatic approach incorporating absolute magnitude information.

The (ad hoc) formulation we adopted is that the boundaries between luminosity classes are defined by loci of constant $B+0.3 W_{\lambda}$, as listed in Table 3. To define the boundaries, we adopted the relationship between $M(V)$ and luminosity class given by Humphreys \& McElroy (1984), augmented by Schmidt-Kaler (1982) as necessary, and converted to $B$ by adopting $(B-V)_{0}$ colours from Fitzgerald (1970) and an apparent distance modulus of 19.2, from Harries et al. (2003). The equivalent width corresponding to each $B$ magnitude was then determined directly from the data (cf. Section 6).

Clearly, the resulting 'luminosity classes' are not true, MKprocess, morphological types, and to make this clear the luminosity class assignments derived through the hybrid photometric/ spectroscopic approach are given in parentheses in the catalogue,

Table 4. Galactic A-type stars observed for calibration purposes. Most of these are discussed by Evans \& Howarth (2003); new stars are identified by lower-case spectral type sources.

| HD | Spectral <br> type | Source | HD | Spectral <br> type | Source |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1404 | A2 V | GG7 | 175687 | A0 II | c69 |
| 1457 | A9 Ib-II | g01 | 186177 | A5 II | g01 |
| 3283 | A3 II | GG9 | 187983 | A1 Iab | M55 |
| 3940 | A1 Ia | M55 | 192514 | A3 III | S54 |
| 8538 | A5 V | M53 | 195324 | A1 Ib | C69 |
| 10845 | A8 III | GG9 | 196379 | A9 II | M73 |
| 12216 | A1 V | S54 | 197345 | A2 Ia | M73 |
| 12279 | A0 V | GG7 | 197489 | A5 II | g01 |
| 12953 | A1 Ia | M55 | 201935 | A5 II | g01 |
| 13041 | A4 V | GG9 | 202240 | A8 II | g01 |
| 13476 | A3 Iab | M55 | 203280 | A7 IV-V | M53 |
| 14433 | A1 Ia | m55 | 205835 | A5 V | GG9 |
| 14489 | A2 Ia | M55 | 207260 | A2 Ia | M55 |
| 14535 | A2 Ia p: | m55 | 207673 | A2 Ib | M55 |
| 15316 | A3 Iab | M55 | 210221 | A3 Ib | M55 |
| 17378 | A5 Ia | M55 | 211868 | A5 Ib-II | g01 |
| 148743 | A7 Ib | G01 | 212511 | A3 II | g01 |
| 161695 | A0 Ib | C69 | 213558 | A2 V | S54 |
| 164514 | A5 Ia | m55 | 213973 | A9 III | A81 |
| 165784 | A2 Ia | m55 | 216701 | A7 IV | GG9 |
| 167356 | A0 Ia | m55 | 220770 | A5 Ib | m55 |
| 172167 | A0 V | M73 | 222275 | A5 III | A85 |
| 173880 | A3 V | GG9 | 223385 | A3 Ia | M55 |

Sources of spectral types are (in order of preference): M73, Morgan \& Keenan (1973); M55, Morgan, Code \& Whitford (1955); M53, Morgan, Harris \& Johnson (1953); S54, Slettebak (1954); GG7, Gray \& Garrison (1987); GG9, Gray \& Garrison (1989); A81, Abt (1981); A85, Abt (1985); G01, Gray et al. (2001); C69, Cowley et al. (1969).

Table 5. Adopted luminosity class criteria: luminosity class for $\mathrm{A} 2-\mathrm{A} 7$ stars as a function of $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent width, in $\AA$ (cf. Section 3.2.2).

| Ia | Iab | Ib | II | III |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<3$ | $3-4$ | $4-5$ | $5-10$ | $10-15$ |

and throughout this paper. None the less, the hybrid classifications do appear to be broadly consistent with results from other sources (Section 4.1), although for spectra where $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ is filled in by emission our assigned luminosity classes are liable to be too bright. For stars of particular interest, investigators should therefore refer to the notes on Balmer emission that we provide for every star (Section 7.1; Appendix A).

### 3.3 A-type stars

### 3.3.1 Temperature sequence

The A-type stars were classified on the basis of the $\mathrm{Ca} K / \mathrm{H} \epsilon$ line ratio, as discussed by Evans \& Howarth (2003). Reliance on the calcium line ratios is not without its problems (see discussion in Evans \& Howarth), but it is quick to apply and does not rely on weak metal lines. The classification criteria from Evans \& Howarth are included in Table 2. Fig. 5 illustrates a spectral sequence, and Section 7.4 discusses a handful of peculiar A stars.

### 3.3.2 Luminosity classification

Evans \& Howarth (2003) describe observations of a number of Galactic BAF stars acquired for comparison and calibration purposes. We have supplemented those observations with some new data, giving a total of 46 A-type stars (Table 4). We measured $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ in these Galactic standards in the same way as in our 2 dF sample, in order to calibrate line strength and luminosity class as a function of spectral subtype. All the available data are consistent with the simple luminosity class-equivalent width scheme summarized in Table 5, which is independent of A-type subclass for the observed Galactic standards.

The adopted luminosity class bins are broadly consistent with the calibrations given by Balona \& Crampton (1974) and Azzopardi (1987), except that Azzopardi's equivalent widths are $1-2 \AA$ ( $\sim 30-$ 50 per cent) larger than ours for late-A supergiants. This discrepancy appears to arise because Azzopardi's (mainly interpolated) late-A values are influenced by $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent widths for F -type stars that are larger than we find (Section 6.1). Although late-A, bright supergiants are not well represented in either sample, our data set is more extensive, and of better quality, than his.

In our SMC data set, the $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent widths at given $B$ magnitude are smaller for A0 stars than for later A types. We applied the Galactic calibration to A2-A7 subtypes (thereby assigning supergiant luminosity classes to some rather faint stars - see Fig. 11, later), while treating A0 stars in the same way as B-type stars (Table 3).

### 3.4 Later types

The remaining 'late'-type spectra with radial velocities consistent with SMC membership were classified using the criteria listed by Evans \& Howarth (2003). Briefly, F- and G-type spectra are characterized by increasing metal-line strength as the temperature decreases; for completeness, the criteria are included here in Table 2. A spectral sequence is shown in Fig. 6.

Since our work is primarily concerned with the OBA domain, we have not explored luminosity class diagnostics in later-type stars in the sample, although from their physical luminosities these objects are expected to be in luminosity classes $\mathrm{Ib}-\mathrm{II}$ for the most part.

## 4 CLASSIFICATION CHECKS

### 4.1 Comparison with other sources

Nine of the stars in the 2 dF sample were observed by Lennon (1997). Lennon's are high-quality classifications, and provide an external check on the current work. Table 6 shows the 2 dF types compared with Lennon's classifications. With the exception of AzV 490, both the spectral and luminosity types agree to within one subtype; the differences for AzV 490 are astrophysical (Section 7.7.3).

Table 6 also compares the 2 dF spectral types with those given by Massey et al. (1995) for 30 stars in common. The differences in spectral types are again generally not larger than one subtype, exceptions being AzV 261, 436 and 491, where our types are significantly later. Re-examining the 2 dF spectra of these stars (Fig. 7), we could not justify revising our results. Neither AzV 261 nor 491 shows $\mathrm{He}_{\text {II }}$ in our data, and, while the two 2 dF spectra of AzV 436 at our disposal have a low signal-to-noise ratio, Не I $\lambda 4144$ appears stronger than $\mathrm{He}_{\text {II }} \lambda 4200$ in both, implying a type later than O9.

Massey (2002) presents a compilation of spectral classifications for 436 stars, drawn from a variety of sources (but omitting Lennon's


Figure 6. 2 dF SMC spectra - V: F- and G-star spectral sequence. Stars are identified by 2 dFS catalogue number. The spectral lines identified in $2 \mathrm{dFS} \# 0490$ are $\mathrm{Ca} K(\lambda 3933)$, the $\mathrm{Ca} H$ ( $\lambda 3969$ ) \& $\mathrm{H} \epsilon$ blend, CaI $\lambda 4226$, the $\mathrm{CH} G$-band (around $\lambda 4300$ ), $\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{I} \lambda \lambda 4325,4383$, and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$. Successive spectra are vertically offset by one continuum unit.
work); of these, 230 have slit spectra, the remainder being objective prism results. We have 2 dF classifications for 158 stars from the full listing; comparison with the long-slit types shows agreement to within, normally, 1-2 subtypes. There are two notable exceptions, namely AzV 133 and 336 (2dFS\#5060 and 1776). Garmany, Conti \& Massey (1987) classified AzV 133 as B0n+O8: with the comment that broad, possibly double lines of the Balmer series were seen; in the 2 dF data (Fig. 7) the Balmer lines appear unremarkable, and we classify the spectrum as $06.5 \mathrm{~V}((\mathrm{f}))$. Massey (2002) gives the spectral type for AzV 336 as WN2+abs [cf. B2 (III) from the 2dF spectrum]; the WN star is actually the separate object AzV 336A (see Massey \& Duffy 2001).

### 4.2 Multiple observations

50 targets were observed with 2 dF in both 1998 and 1999. These spectra were classified entirely independently, and test the internal consistency of the classifications. In all instances the spectral types
from the two seasons agree to within one spectral subtype at the appropriate classification resolution. The quality of the data is such that small differences are not unexpected, especially in cases where the line ratios are near the edges of the classification bins.

The long-slit spectra from 2001 provide a further consistency check. The spectral types again agree with the 2 dF results to within one spectral subtype.

Overall, therefore, we believe that, notwithstanding the limitations of our data, in general our classifications are consistent to within a spectral subtype at the (sometimes coarse) classification bins adopted.

## 5 PHOTOMETRY AND ASTROMETRY

Initial construction of the 2 dF input catalogue was necessarily performed using APM photographic photometry for colour-magnitude selection. Subsequently, three important catalogues of CCD-based photometry of stars in the SMC have been published: OGLE (Udalski et al. 1998), MCPS (Zaritsky et al. 2002) and Massey

Table 6. Comparisons of 2 dF spectral types with those by Lennon (1997, first nine entries) and Massey et al. (1995). Parenthesized 2 dF luminosity classes were assigned using the hybrid scheme described in Section 3.2.2

| 2dFS\# | AzV\# | 2 dF | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0577 | 10 | B2.5 (Iab) | B2.5 Ia |
| 0801 | 96 | B1.5 (Iab) | B1.5 Ia |
| 1352 | 215 | B0 (Ib) | BN0 Ia |
| 1550 | 268 | B2 (Ib) | B2.5 Iab |
| 2174 | 404 | B2.5 (Iab) | B2.5 Iab |
| 2538 | 445 | B5 (Iab) | B5 Iab |
| 2773 | 462 | B2 (Iab) | B1.5 Ia |
| 2907 | 472 | B2 (Iab) | B2 Ia |
| 3235 | 490 | O9.7 Ia+ | O9.5 II |
| 0668 | 28 | B1-2 (II) | B1 I |
| 0764 | 73 | B0 (III) | 08.5 V |
| 0786 | 84 | B0.5 (IV) | B1 V |
| 0836 | 114 | O8 V | O7.5 V |
| 1271 | 196 | B1 (II) | B0.5 III |
| 1324 | 209 | B0.5 (IV) | B1 V |
| 1357 | 217 | B1-3 (II) | B1 III |
| 1527 | 261 | B2 (Ib)e | O8.5 I |
| 1545 | 266 | B1 (Iab) | B1 III |
| 1550 | 268 | B2 (Ib) | B2.5 V |
| 1654 | 302 | O9 III | O8.5 V |
| 1741 | 326 | B0 (IV) | O9 V |
| 1759 | 328 | B0 (III) | B0 V |
| 1766 | 334 | O9.5 III | O8.5 V |
| 1858 | 346 | B1-5 (II) | B2 V |
| 1879 | 351 | B1-2 (Ib)e | B0 V |
| 1904 | 354 | B1-3 (II) | B1.5 V |
| 1972 | 376 | B1-2 (Iab) | Be |
| 2033 | 386 | B1-2 (II) | B1.5 V |
| 2102 | 395 | B3 (II)e | B1 III |
| 2139 | 402 | O9.7 Iab | Be |
| 2201 | 409 | B0.5 (Ib) | Be |
| 2319 | 423 | B0 (II) | O9.5 V |
| 2413 | 436 | B0 (II)e | O7.5 Ve |
| 2717 | 456 | O9.5 Ib | O9.5 V |
| 2720 | 457 | B1-3 (II)e | Be |
| 2905 | 471 | B0 (III) | B0.5 V |
| 3047 | 480 | O4-7 Ve | Oe |
| 3249 | 491 | B1-3 (III) | O7 III: |
| 3530 | 503 | B0-5 (II)e | Be |

(2002). We have used these catalogues to refine and extend photometry for our targets, and to characterize the input material.

We sought positional matches between the 2 dF catalogue and the photometric catalogues, initially by coincidence within a circle of radius 3 arcsec. We then adjusted the catalogue coordinates by the (subarcsecond) median offsets in RA and declination, and then sequentially reduced the adopted 'match' radius while further adjusting the offset as necessary. (Using the median rather than the mean offset ensured that this process converged in zero or one iteration.)

This comparison is limited to the main catalogue of 40542 dF targets, as we have incomplete APM data for the supplementary UIT targets, for which OGLE astrometry was used for spectrograph configuration (cf. Harries et al. 2003).

### 5.1 OGLE

The final adopted 'coincidence' radius was 1.2 arcsec, generating 1395 matches, plus a further 290 duplicates (cf. 1461, 9108 at 5 arc-
$\mathrm{sec})$. The duplicates were resolved by selecting the OGLE star closest in $B$ magnitude to APM $B_{\mathrm{J}}$ (after applying the small zero-point correction to $B_{\mathrm{J}}$ discussed below). This proved very effective; spot checks of a few dozen duplicates showed that in every case there was one 'obvious' candidate (invariably the brightest OGLE star in the coincidence radius).

### 5.2 MCPS

The same procedures were adopted for the MCPS, with a 1.2 -arcsec search radius yielding 3231 matches plus 67 duplicates, again resolved by magnitude matching. (Increasing to 5 arcsec adds a further 158 matches and 5797 duplicates.) This includes 15 stars where Massey photometry has been adopted for the MCPS (see Zaritsky et al. 2002).

### 5.3 Massey

Massey's survey was aimed at obtaining photometry for the brighter MC stars in particular. It has, accordingly, a brighter magnitude limit, allowing a larger plate scale than the other CCD-based surveys (2.3 versus $\sim 0.7$ arcsec pixel ${ }^{-1}$ ). None the less, Massey's astrometry is sufficiently good that a 1.5 -arcsec coincidence radius proved satisfactory, yielding 3115 matches, plus 47 duplicates (cf. 3211, 90 at 5 arcsec).

We found that all the duplicates appear to be double entries in Massey's catalogue; we simply adopted results for the first entry. Further examination of his catalogue shows 4379 cases where two or more entries are spatially coincident to within $2.3 \operatorname{arcsec}$ ( 3503 pairs with $\Delta B<0.2,2694$ with $\Delta B<0.1) ; 250(183,111)$ cases where three entries match; and $20(13,8)$ where four entries match. The mean $B$-band photometric offset for these coincidences is 0.00 $\pm 0.27 \mathrm{mag}(0.00 \pm 0.08,0.00 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{mag})$, and the mean positional offset is $1.17 \pm 0.61 \operatorname{arcsec}(1.07 \pm 0.56,1.03 \pm 0.55 \mathrm{arcsec}$; all quoted errors are standard deviations), suggesting that $\sim 5$ per cent of objects in the catalogue may be the result of spurious multiple entries.

### 5.4 Adopted results

### 5.4.1 Astrometry

We conclude that the astrometry for all the data sets considered is internally consistent to better than 0.5 arcsec rms . The offsets between the APM astrometry and that from the photometric catalogues average $\Delta \alpha \simeq+0.4 \operatorname{arcsec}, \Delta \delta<0.1 \mathrm{arcsec}$, APM minus other. ${ }^{3}$ For reference, the APM sampling interval - 'pixel size' - is 0.5 arcsec, and the 2 dF fibres project to 2 -arcsec diameter. We adopt the APM astrometry for the main 2 dF catalogue.

### 5.4.2 Photometry

A comparison of photometric results for the stars in the spectroscopic survey is shown in Fig. 8. The distribution of APM photometric residuals is highly asymmetric for all reference catalogues, with an extended tail where the APM magnitudes are brighter than the comparison catalogue values. This asymmetry renders the arithmetic mean offset a statistic of limited utility; even the median is skewed by the moderately large fraction of these large residuals.
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Figure 7. 2 dF spectra for stars whose classifications disagree with literature values (see Section 4.1 for details). Successive spectra are vertically offset by 0.5 continuum units.

The mode therefore gives the most meaningful average measure of the offsets for the APM photometry.

We made a small $(\mathcal{O}[0.1 \mathrm{mag}])$ zero-point adjustment to the original $B_{\mathrm{J}}$ photometry to bring the modal offset from MCPS $B$ to zero (colour terms being negligible). After this correction, the modal offset for OGLE is -0.03 mag (APM fainter), and for Massey it is -0.13 mag. For matches within the 2 dF sample, we also find median offsets MCPS-OGLE $=+0.02 \mathrm{mag}$ ( 1265 stars, excluding those for which Massey photometry is substituted in the MCPS), MCPS - Massey $=+0.13 \mathrm{mag}$ (2396), and OGLE-Massey $=+0.15 \mathrm{mag}$ (1137). On the basis of these comparisons, we conclude that the APM $B$ magnitudes have a zero-point uncertainty of the order of 0.1 mag. Characterizing the (large) statistical uncertainty in the APM photometry in a useful way is complicated by the asymmetric nature of the distribution of magnitude differences. As an indicator, we find that 68 per cent of matches have $B$-magnitude offsets in the range $\sim+0.6 \mathrm{mag} /-0.4 \mathrm{mag}$ of the mode.

The OGLE and MCPS results are in good mutual agreement, with Massey's results $\sim 0.1$ mag brighter than OGLE/MCPS for this sample. [All three data sets are tied to Landolt (1992) standards.] Massey (2002) notes essentially the same offset in a comparison with traditional photoelectric photometry. For our present purposes, very precise photometry is not required, but for specificity (e.g. for diagrams), we generally adopted results from the multi-epoch, high-redundancy MCPS or OGLE surveys for preference (taking the better match to $B_{\mathrm{J}}$ where necessary, to minimize mismatches). The adopted main-catalogue photometry has 2513 values from MCPS, 747 from OGLE, 646 from Massey and 147 from APM. The UIT-
target photometry comes from MCPS and OGLE (97 and 10 stars, respectively). The complete list of cross-matches with photometric catalogues is given in Table A1 (Appendix A), available in full online, and a comparison of APM $B_{\mathrm{J}}$ magnitudes with adopted $B$ magnitudes is made in Fig. 9.

## 6 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN H $\gamma$ AND ABSOLUTE MAGNITUDE

### 6.1 Overview

Figs 10 and 11 show the relationship between $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent widths, $W_{\lambda}$, and adopted $B$ magnitudes, as a function of spectral subtype. As expected, there is a clear general trend of increasing $W_{\lambda}$ with decreasing brightness, with $\partial W_{\lambda} / \partial B \simeq+1 \AA \mathrm{mag}^{-1}$ (for all BA subtypes, $B \simeq 13.5-17.5$ ). At $B \sim 14.5$, line strength increases slowly through the $B$ spectral sequence, from $\sim 2.5 \AA$ at $B 0-B 3$ to $\sim 4.5 \AA$ at $\mathrm{B} 9 ; W_{\lambda} \simeq 7-8 \AA$ for all A subtypes, excepting the transitional subtype A0, where $W_{\lambda} \simeq 6 \AA$ (with considerable scatter), and A7, where there is a suggestion that $W_{\lambda}$ starts to fall off. These results are in general agreement with previous work (Hutchings 1966; Balona \& Crampton 1974; Azzopardi 1987), although based on better-sampled, higher-quality material. The exception to this generalization is that $W_{\lambda}$ begins to decline at A7/F0 in our data, whereas Azzopardi (1987) finds monotonically increasing $W_{\lambda}$ from early B through early F subtypes, with $W_{\lambda} \simeq 8 \AA$ at F 0 Ib (at $B \simeq$ 15).

The insensitivity of $W_{\lambda}$ to A spectral subtype, throughout the magnitude range that we sample, provides justification for the


Figure 8. Comparisons of photometric results for stars in the 2 dF survey, from APM, Massey, MCPS and OGLE (labelled A, M, Z and O, respectively, in this figure, with the $x$-axis data set listed first).
subtype-independent luminosity classification scheme adopted in Section 3.3.2 (although $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent widths are more strongly subtype-dependent at fainter luminosity classes).

### 6.2 The dispersion

There is significant scatter in the equivalent widths at a given subtype and magnitude. Observational errors could contribute to this scatter in several ways, including photometric errors, equivalent-width errors and classification errors.

### 6.2.1 Photometric errors?

Although the formal photometric uncertainties in the CCD data are generally negligible compared with the observed dispersion, arbitrarily large errors can be introduced if the photometric catalogue star is mismatched with the spectroscopic catalogue entry. As we have shown, the APM photometry is also subject to relatively large uncertainties. To investigate these issues, we examined the residual data sets after exclusion of data for which the CCD results differ from the APM photometry by 1 mag or more (thereby reducing the


Figure 9. Comparison of input APM $B_{\mathrm{J}}$ photometry and adopted $B$ photometry.
probability of cross-catalogue mismatches), or for which we have only APM photometry. Excluding these arguably questionable data reduces the scatter slightly, but the dispersion is large even for the remaining CCD results (Figs 10 and 11).

### 6.2.2 Equivalent-width errors?

Because the sky backgrounds in the 2 dF spectra are not determined directly, but are scaled from separate sky fibres, the accuracy of background subtraction (and hence equivalent widths) is a source of concern. In practice, the continuum sky background (typically, equivalent to a $\sim 20-\mathrm{mag}$ star at $B$ ) is unlikely to exceed $\sim 10$ per cent of the gross signal, even in relatively poor cases. None the less, to check this point we made measurements on the conventional long-slit spectra described in Section 2.4. The comparison of equivalent widths is made in Fig. 12; agreement is good (although the comparison is limited to relatively bright stars).

A small number of the brightest stars in our 2 dF survey are in common with the Azzopardi (1987) survey of $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ line strengths in SMC supergiants. We compare our equivalent widths with his in Fig. 12. Agreement is generally acceptable, excepting three outliers, for which our equivalent widths are noticeably greater than his. Two of those three outliers were included in the long-slit observations (cf. Fig. 12), and our measurements suggest that it is the newer data that are the more reliable. (Azzopardi's measurements were made on photographic objective prism spectra obtained with a resolving power perhaps half that of the 2 dF observations.)

Although the evidence therefore suggests that our measurements are generally satisfactory, the tendency for some equivalent widths to appear 'too small' (Figs 10 and 11) does leave open the possibilities that $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ may, in those cases, be contaminated by nebular emission at a level too small to be obvious at our resolution, or that an overcorrection for the background signal has been applied. The former issue is partially addressed by the identification in Table A1 of stars associated with catalogued nebulosities, and by scrutiny of the Balmer-line spectra (Section 7.1); and the latter by the preceding discussion.

### 6.2.3 Classification errors?

Since the mean equivalent width of $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ varies as a function of spectral subtype at given $B$ magnitude, errors in subtype assignments could introduce scatter. The scatter is noticeably large at spectral type A0, where the rate of change of $W_{\lambda}$ with spectral type is greatest. Late-B stars have significantly smaller equivalent widths, on average, than the early-A stars, so late-B stars misclassified as early-A stars could explain the tail of 'low- $W_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$ A0 stars.

To investigate this further, and more generally, we measured the ratio of $\mathrm{Ca} K$ to $\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H$ using a simple constrained Gaussian fit. The equivalent-width ratio ${ }^{4}$ is plotted against $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent width in Fig. 13. There is very good separation between A- and B-type stars, except for the A0 stars, which in this plane are mingled with both earlier and later types. In effect, for both B-type and A0 stars the $K / \mathrm{H}$ ratio is just 'small', while the $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent width is influenced by luminosity as much as by temperature.

The primary criterion for resolving the B9/A0 ambiguity is the presence of He I $\lambda 4471$ in the earlier type. A plausible explanation of the scatter in $W_{\lambda}$, at A 0 in particular, is therefore that B 9 spectra have been misclassified in poor-quality spectra with the signal-tonoise ratio too low to allow the helium line (weak at this subtype) to be identified. An attempt to improve A0-B9 separation using automated measurements of $4471 / 4481$ proved fruitless, because of the low signal-to-noise ratio of the data. Outliers in the $\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}-B$ plane were therefore identified and the spectra re-inspected for evidence of any anomalies. For the earlier spectral types, an anomalous position in this plane correlates with Balmer-line emission (stellar or nebular); and at later types, stars with emission are also displaced. However, most mid- to late-A outliers appear unexceptional (other than in respect of their Balmer-line weakness) at our dispersion and signal-to-noise ratio.

A further possibility is that unresolved companions may cause or exacerbate the spread in the $W_{\lambda}-B$ plane. This must be a factor at some level, but comparison of the $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent widths (at a given spectral type) for cluster members and field stars reveals no greater dispersion for the former, such as might be expected if blends were important. Furthermore, any close binary companions to BA giants and supergiants are not expected to be significant contaminators of the optical spectra, in general. We conclude that the dispersion in $W_{\lambda}$ most probably arises primarily from a combination of measurement errors, unresolved nebular emission and minor classification errors, with astrophysical effects (such as multiplicity and intrinsic emission) as secondary contributors.

## 7 OBJECTS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

### 7.1 Balmer-emission statistics

The blue-region spectra at our disposal are, for the most part, inadequate to distinguish reliably between any intrinsic narrow Balmer emission and nebular emission. None the less, in a number of cases resolved or structured Balmer emission is identifiable. As a rough guide to objects likely to be of interest, we therefore categorized all observed Balmer lines as showing absorption; narrow (i.e. unresolved, probably nebular) emission; resolved emission; doublepeaked emission; or P Cygni profiles.
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Figure 10. H $\gamma$ equivalent width as a function of $B$ magnitude for $B$ stars in the 2 dF spectroscopic catalogue. Only stars with 'precise' classifications (uncertainty $\pm 1$ subtype) are shown. The diagonal lines of constant ( $B-W_{\lambda}$ ) (running lower left to upper right) are intended solely to provide a point of reference for the reader, and have no physical significance; the lines of constant $\left(B+0.3 W_{\lambda}\right)$ show the adopted boundaries between supergiants (luminosity class Ib and brighter), giants (II and III) and main-sequence (IV and V) stars. The open circles show stars for which we have only APM photometry, or for which the CCD results differ by more than 1 mag from APM measurements (cf. Section 6.2.1). The brightest B8 star shown (just outside the main data frame) is AzV 72 , which has previously been noted as a member of the class of SMC supergiants supposedly having anomalously strong hydrogen lines. It appears unexceptional in our data set (cf. Section 7.5).

Stars were categorized rather conservatively (i.e. only reasonably definite cases were categorized as 'resolved' rather than 'narrow', or as 'double' rather than 'resolved'). Each Balmer line was categorized independently for each star (4161 targets have $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ observed, $2095 \mathrm{H} \beta$, and $1091 \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ). After reviewing a handful of anomalies (and consequently revising $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ ' $r$ ?' to ' $n$ '), all stars having red spectra and showing intrinsic $\mathrm{H} \beta$ emission (or suspected intrinsic emis-
sion) also show $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission, and all stars showing intrinsic $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ emission show $\mathrm{H} \beta$ and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission, where data exist.

197 stars with usable red-region 2 dF spectra ( 18 per cent) show intrinsic $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission of some sort; 161 stars with $\mathrm{H} \beta$ spectra ( 8 per cent) show $\mathrm{H} \beta$ emission; and 154 stars ( 4 per cent) show $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ emission. The targets for the red-region spectra were biased towards objects with 'interesting' blue spectra; correcting for this


Figure 11. H $\gamma$ equivalent width as a function of $B$ magnitude for $A$ stars in the 2 dF spectroscopic catalogue. Only stars with 'precise' classifications (uncertainty $\pm 1$ subtype) are shown. The diagonal dotted lines of constant ( $B-W_{\lambda}$ ) are intended solely to provide a point of reference for the reader, and have no physical significance. The open circles show stars for which we have only APM photometry, or for which the CCD results differ by more than 1 mag from APM measurements (cf. Section 6.2.1). The brightest A0 star shown (just outside the main data frame) is Sk 1, which has previously been noted as a member of the class of SMC supergiants supposedly having anomalously strong hydrogen lines. It appears unexceptional in our data set (cf. Section 7.5).
bias, $\sim 14$ per cent of stars in the input catalogue show $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission. To a good approximation, in our data $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ is twice as effective as $\mathrm{H} \beta$ in disclosing intrinsic Balmer emission, and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ half as effective.

In the majority of cases ( $\sim 80$ per cent), blue-region Balmer emission consists of resolved (or marginally resolved), undisplaced (or only moderately displaced) narrow emission, without obvious emission in any other lines. Emission features in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ spectra are invariably substantially broader, or clearly structured. Table 7 includes
a summary of the distribution of Balmer emission by spectral type, peaking at early $B$.

### 7.2 Be-type spectra

BA stars reliably exhibiting double-peaked emission in at least one Balmer line (or suspected double-peaked $\mathrm{H} \beta$ accompanied by $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission) are listed in Table 8, and illustrative spectra are shown in Fig. 14. The stars with double-peaked emission show a spread in


Figure 12. Comparison of $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent widths measured in 2 dF and RGO spectra, and by Azzopardi (1987). The circled points in each panel show measurements for the same two stars, AzV 401 and 431 ; the agreement between 2 dF and RGO measurements suggest that it is Azzopardi's measurements that are discrepant for these two stars.


Figure 13. Ratio of $\mathrm{Ca} K$ to $\mathrm{H} \epsilon+\mathrm{Ca} H$ as a function of $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ equivalent width (in $\AA$ ). The diagonal line is drawn 'by hand' to separate stars classified as B (solid black circles, lower left) from those classified as A2-A7; these two groups are well separated in this plane. The displaced inset shows the A0 stars. The circled points are the possible 'composite-spectrum' stars (Section 7.4).
absolute magnitude from $M(B) \simeq-5$ to the cut-off of our survey $[M(B) \simeq-1]$, with a mode of about $M(B) \simeq-3$, and all are classified (usually rather coarsely) as early B , and so are likely to represent the bright tail of the distribution of classical Be stars, if we adopt the Hipparcos-based absolute magnitude scale for Galactic Be stars given by Wegner (2000). Because of their relative brightness, these 2 dF stars are attractive targets for e.g. investigation of shortterm line-profile variability (cf. Baade et al. 2002).

Encouraged by our referee, we have also listed stars whose spectra display single-peaked, resolved emission at either $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ or $\mathrm{H} \beta$ as 'Be'-type in Table A1. Many or most of these stars may be clas-
sical Be stars, but our primary motivation in adding the 'e' suffix to the catalogue spectral types is to alert users to the qualifying supplementary emission-line information.
None of the stars in Table 8 has been explicitly identified as a Be star in the literature, but several other previously known objects are included in the 2 dF catalogue: one from the sample of Be stars studied by Hummel et al. (1999), 2dFS\#1115 (NGC 330 KWB Be 258, Grebel 9), and a further four potential Be stars listed by Keller, Wood \& Bessell (1999; 2dFS\#1077 = KWB Be 278; \#1087 = 522; \#1277 $=122$; and \#1326 = 355). Of these five stars, $2 \mathrm{dFS} \# 1077$ and \#1277 show marginally resolved (i.e. broadened) emission at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ (only

Table 7. Numbers of stars by spectral type from the APM- and $U I T$-selected samples. In the latter case, totals are given for both the pure $U I T$-selected sample and (in square brackets) other $U I T$ targets which were matched in the 2 dF catalogue. 'Em' lists the percentage of stars that show emission at $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ in each spectral bin (Section 7.1). 'AF' is used for the possible 'composite-spectrum' stars (Section 7.4).

| Spectral Type | APM |  | UIT |  |  |  | Total $N$ | Em. per cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | per cent | $N$ | per cent | $N$ | per cent |  |  |
| O+W | $121+1$ | 3 | 18 | 17 | [21+1] | 6 | 139+1 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{B}<5$ | 2454 | 61 | 72 | 67 | [274] | 79 | 2526 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{B} \geqslant 5$ | 327 | 8 | 9 | 8 | [30] | 9 | 336 | 14 |
| A<5 | 593 | 15 | 7 | 7 | [17] | 5 | 600 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{A} \geqslant 5$ (+ 'AF') | $233+19$ | 6 | $0+1$ | 1 | [1+2] | 0 | $223+20$ | 4 |
| FG | 306 | 8 | 0 | 0 | [1] | 1 | 306 | 0 |
| Total | 4054 |  | 107 |  | 347 |  | 4161 |  |

2dFS\#1326 has $\mathrm{H} \beta$ observed), while 2dFS\#1277 and \#1326 have red spectra, both showing strong, single-peaked $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission.

### 7.3 B[e] stars

2dFS\#2837 has previously been catalogued as LHA 115-N82, Lin 495 and MA93-1750 (Henize 1956; Lindsay 1961; Meyssonnier \& Azzopardi 1993), and was reported as a B[e] star by HeydariMalayeri (1990). It is the only previously reported B[e] star in our sample. We observed it in 1998 with 2 dF , and again in 2001 with the RGO Spectrograph. The two spectra are indistinguishable (the RGO spectrum is shown in Fig. 17, later), and similar to that shown by Heydari-Malayeri if allowance is made for the differences in resolution.

The only other star in the 2 dF data set that shows similarities to \#2837, and in particular the same [ $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II }}$ ] emission lines, is $2 \mathrm{dFS} \# 1804$. Unfortunately, we have only a single, blue-region, observation of this star (Fig. 17), but, like \#2837, it has been repeatedly catalogued as an $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission object: LHA115-S38, Lin 418 and MA93-1405 (Henize 1956; Lindsay 1961; Meyssonnier \& Azzopardi 1993). This relatively bright star ( $B \simeq 14.0$ ) is clearly worthy of further study.

### 7.4 Composite-spectrum targets

Our examination of 18 of the 2 dF spectra indicated an $\sim$ A-type classification from $\mathrm{Ca} K$, while other metal lines (including the $G$ band) suggested spectral type $\sim \mathrm{F}$. These targets form a distinct group in the SMC survey; the spectra are superficially suggestive of Galactic Am stars in several cases, but classical Am stars would be too faint to feature in our survey. Our targets are unlikely to be foreground objects, both because of the observed radial velocities and because the surface density is implausibly high. We also provisionally rule out contamination by the solar (i.e. twilight or bright-Moon) spectrum; most of these stars were observed in dark skies when the Moon was below the horizon (and similar features are not seen in any B-star targets).

We conclude that many of these observations may represent composite spectra. The rate of incidence is $\mathcal{O}(1$ per cent $)$, similar to Galactic values, but these cannot be exact counterparts of Galactic composite-spectrum systems, which typically consist of a near-main-sequence A star with a G giant; our targets are somewhat more luminous. They are, however, 'too blue' in $(U-B)$ and/or 'too red' in ( $B-V$ ) for the most part (Fig. 15), consistent with a generic composite-spectrum interpretation. Unfortunately, the photometric anomalies are not sufficiently clear-cut for these stars to be uniquely or unambiguously identifiable from colours alone. In the $\mathrm{H} / K$ plane

Table 8. Presumed classical Be stars: B stars showing double-peaked emission in the Balmer lines. The last three columns encode the spectral appearance in $\mathrm{H} \gamma, \mathrm{H} \beta$ and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$. Here ' a ' means absorption, ' n ' means narrow (possibly nebular) emission, 'r' means resolved (although narrow) emission, 'd' means double-peaked emission, and 'e' means broad single-peaked emission. The luminosity classes assigned to these stars should be regarded with caution, as Balmer-line emission is likely to result in a 'too bright' classification with our 'hybrid' methodology (Section 3.2.2).

| 2dFS | Other | $B$ | Sp. | Balmer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0080 |  | 17.18 | B0-5 (V)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 0113 |  | 15.64 | B1-2 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 0306 |  | 14.35 | B0-5 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ ? | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 0922 |  | 16.00 | B0-5 (V)e | $\gamma \mathrm{d}$ ? | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 1306 |  | 16.62 | B0-5 (IV)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 1496 | MA93-1216 | 15.77 | B0.5 (V)e | $\gamma \mathrm{d}$ ? |  | $\alpha \mathrm{e}$ |
| 1551 |  | 16.51 | B0-5 (IV)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 1558 |  | 17.44 | B0-5 (V)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 1932 | MA93-1490 | 15.32 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta$ a | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2043 | MA93-1539 | 15.99 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta$ d | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2196 |  | 16.21 | B0-5 (IV)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{a}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2267 | MA93-1621 | 15.84 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{d}$ ? |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2316 | AzV 422, MA93-1637 | 13.97 | B1-5 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 2360 |  | 16.96 | B0-5 (V)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 2598 | MA93-1707 | 16.25 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2613 | MA93-1711 | 15.65 | B0-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ ? | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2786 |  | 17.53 | B1-5 (V)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2794 |  | 15.02 | B1-5 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta$ a | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2802 |  | 15.66 | B0-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{n}$ | $\beta \mathrm{r}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 2971 | MA93-1775 | 15.83 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{e}$ |
| 2986 |  | 15.07 | B1-3 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta$ a | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3087 | MA93-1813 | 15.78 | B1-3 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{d}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3325 |  | 16.07 | B0-5 (IV)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3413 | MA93-1868 | 14.96 | B5 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3426 | MA93-1871 | 16.15 | B0-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 3436 |  | 15.93 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ ? | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3479 | MA93-1881 | 15.82 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3512 | MA93-1886 | 14.82 | B3 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3573 | MA93-1894 | 15.88 | B1-3 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{r}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3628 |  | 14.67 | B1-3 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{d}$ ? |  | $\alpha \mathrm{e}$ |
| 3716 |  | 14.97 | B1-5 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3730 |  | 16.99 | B0-5 (V)e | $\gamma \mathrm{d}$ ? | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 3795 |  | 15.15 | B1-3 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{r}$ ? |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3825 |  | 15.19 | B0 (IV)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{e}$ |
| 3928 |  | 15.36 | B1-5 (II)e | $\gamma \mathrm{d}$ ? | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 3970 |  | 15.48 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 3998 |  | 15.49 | B0-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |
| 4021 |  | 15.49 | B1-5 (III)e | $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | $\beta \mathrm{d}$ ? | $\alpha \mathrm{d}$ |



Figure 14. Spectra of selected Be stars. Each spectrum is vertically offset by 1.0 continuum units. The luminosity classes assigned to these stars should be regarded with caution, as Balmer-line emission is likely to result in a 'too bright' classification with our 'hybrid' methodology (Section 3.2.2).
(Fig. 13) these stars are also outliers, falling at the small- $W_{\lambda}(\mathrm{H} \gamma)$ end of the A-star distribution (which could, however, be construed as a consequence of incorrect background subtraction).
R.O. Gray has extensive experience with spectral classification in the relevant part of the HRD (e.g. Gray, Napier \& Winkler 2001), and very kindly volunteered to examine some of these spectra. He was able to re-interpret three of the targets as having spectra similar to normal or near-normal Galactic F-type stars, within the bounds of the data quality (although the radial velocities argue against this interpretation), but confirmed apparent abnormalities in several other
cases. We have no repeat spectroscopy for any of these stars, and so are unable to rule out some instrumental, rather than astrophysical, peculiarity; attempts to obtain new spectra were thwarted by bad weather. Good-quality, long-slit spectra of just one or two of these targets could go a long way towards clarifying their true nature.

### 7.5 The 'anomalous A supergiants'

Following earlier work on Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) stars by Sanduleak (1972) and Fehrenbach \& Duflot (1972), Azzopardi


Figure 15. The two-colour diagram for late-B, A and F stars (lower left to upper right), based on photometry from Massey (2002). The possible 'composite-spectrum' stars (Section 7.4) are shown as circled dots, and apparently normal A stars in a different shade from the BF stars.
(1982) and Humphreys (1983) noted a population of 'anomalous A-type supergiants' in the SMC, characterized by unusually large Balmer-line strengths and red $(U-B)$ colours. In our sample (for which the great majority of targets are substantially fainter and less luminous than those studied by Azzopardi and by Humphreys) there is very little evidence for any stars having these characteristics (cf. Figs 10,11 and 15).

We have only two targets among those listed by Humphreys (1983, her table 1C) as anomalous: Sk 1 (2dFS\#0109) and AzV 72 (Sk 37, 2dFS\#0765). Our classifications [A0 (Ia) and B8 (Iab), respectively] are in excellent agreement with hers (A0I and B8I), but neither star is exceptional in the $W_{\lambda}-B$ plane in the context of our data set $^{5}$ (Figs 10 and 11). This is consistent with an unpublished study by E. L. Fitzpatrick (private communication), in which he argues, persuasively in our view, that many reported anomalies in Magellanic Cloud A supergiants can be attributed to the lack of a proper reference framework of normal stars at Cloud metallicities [cf. Lennon (1997) for a related critique of metal-line luminosity classification of SMC B-type supergiants].

Humphreys, Kudritzki \& Groth (1991) proposed a physical explanation for the strength of the Balmer lines in the supposedly anomalous stars. They suggested that these stars may be post-redsupergiants, with enhanced surface helium abundances leading to increased atmospheric pressure at given temperature. By itself, this is a plausible argument, but standard evolutionary models suggest that post-red-supergiant BA supergiants should have around half the mass of pre-red-supergiants at the same luminosity and $T_{\text {eff }}$. The reduction in $\log g$ would be expected to lead to reduced Balmer-line strengths (which may be a contributory factor to the small- $W_{\lambda}$ stars in our sample).

[^4]
### 7.6 The UIT targets

Classifications for the 107 UIT-selected targets are summarized in Table 7. As for the main catalogue, roughly three-quarters of the sample are B-type stars, but the UV-selected sample is uncontaminated by FG stars, and features a much smaller proportion of A-type stars than the APM, $(B-R)$-selected sample. We also searched the main APM catalogue for UIT stars. There are 347 matches with separations of less than $2 \operatorname{arcsec}$ (cf. 386 for $5 \operatorname{arcsec}$ and 223 for 1.2 arcsec ), and the distribution of spectral types closely matches that of the UIT-selected sample (Table 7), albeit with a somewhat smaller fraction of O stars.

Parker (2002) discusses whether there was a hitherto undiscovered population of early-type field stars in the Clouds, well away from obvious OB associations. The UIT-selected sample is clearly more efficient in identifying candidate O-type stars than is using the APM data as an input catalogue. However, the UV-selected sample does not appear to reveal a new and distinct O-star population; a significant majority of the UIT targets observed with 2dF are early-B-type stars.

### 7.7 Individual objects

### 7.7.1 2dFS\#0936

The spectrum of star \#0936 has narrow, strong He II $\lambda 4686$ emission with an equivalent width of $-3.5 \AA( \pm 0.2)$, and weaker $\mathrm{N}_{\text {III }}$ and C iII lines (see Fig. 17). The spectrum is classified here as 06.5 f ?p, a category first introduced by Walborn (1972). The principal defining characteristic of Of?p stars is $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 4647-50-51$ emission comparable in intensity to $\mathrm{N}_{\text {III }} \lambda 4634-40-42$, although the relative intensities of $\mathrm{N}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{He}_{\text {II }}$ emission vary significantly in several well-observed examples (Nazé, Vreux \& Rauw 2001; Walborn et al. 2003). Aside from the weaker C III emission, the spectrum of \#0936 is similar to that of AzV 220 (Walborn et al. 2000); in common with $\mathrm{AzV} 220, \# 0936$ is too faint [with $M(B) \simeq-5.0]$ for a 'normal' supergiant, when compared with the calibration given by Walborn (1973). The spectrum also displays Balmer emission (unresolved at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ ), the origins of which are not clear; the star is in H35 (Hodge 1985), which Bica \& Schmitt (1995) list as type 'AN - an association which shows some traces of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ emission.'

Further observations of this star would be useful to distinguish between intrinsic and nebular emission, and to monitor for spectral variations. In the course of their survey for WR stars, Massey \& Duffy (2001) observed 2dFS\#0936 (their 'Anon-1'), assigning a spectral type of O 5 f ?p. The 2 dF spectrum (which has greater wavelength coverage) is not consistent with this classification, since $\mathrm{He}_{\text {I }}$ $\lambda 4026$ is stronger than He II $\lambda 4200$. At the current time it is unclear whether this difference is attributable to differences in data quality or to intrinsic spectral variability.

### 7.7.2 2dFS\#0999: SMC-WR 9

Star 2dFS\#0999 (Fig. 17) was the ninth Wolf-Rayet (WR) star discovered in the SMC (Morgan, Vassiliadis \& Dopita 1991). The strong $\mathrm{N} v$ emission, in combination with an absence of Niv, gives the WN2.5 type (van der Hucht 1996), and the absorption component is consistent with an O 3 companion, based on the absence of He I $\lambda 4471$. The spectrum has previously been classified as WN2.5+O5: (Morgan et al. 1991) and WN3+O3-4 (Massey \& Duffy 2001). The 2 dF spectrum has resolution comparable to Morgan et al.'s data, and a comparison shows that the $\mathrm{N} v$ to He iI $\lambda 4686$ ratio has apparently increased.


Figure 16. 2 dF SMC spectra - VI: examples of possible composite-spectrum targets. Stars are identified by 2 dFS catalogue number. Successive spectra are vertically offset by one continuum unit.

### 7.7.3 2dFS\#3235: AzV 490/Sk 160

The 2 dF spectrum of $2 \mathrm{dFS} \# 3235$ [AzV 490; Sk 160 in the catalogue of Sanduleak (1968)] shows strong He II emission at $\lambda 4686$. This is the optical counterpart of SMC X-1. The optical spectrum has long been known to be variable (e.g. Osmer \& Hiltner 1974), and our RGO spectrum shows differences from the 2 dF spectrum (e.g. He II $\lambda 4686$ double-peaked in the former, single-peaked in the latter). Our 2 dF spectrum is very similar to that of HDE 269896 in the LMC, classified as ON9.7 Ia+ (Walborn 1977; Walborn \& Fitzpatrick 1990).

### 7.7.4 2dFS\#3472, \#2073, \#2423

2dFS\#3472 (A3 Ia) is an emission-line object, previously catalogued as Lin 530 and MA93-1879 (Lindsay 1961; Meyssonnier \& Azzopardi 1993). RGO ( $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ ) and 2dF (blue-region) spectra are shown in Fig. 17. Although Balmer-line emission is not particularly rare in luminous Galactic A supergiants, the P Cygni $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line in 2dFS\#3472 is exceptionally strong, with an emission equivalent width of $\sim 40 \AA$.
$2 \mathrm{dFS} \# 2423$ [A0 (Ib)] is the only other A-type star in our catalogue showing clear P Cygni emission at $\mathrm{H} \beta$, albeit rather weaker than in
\#3472, while 2dFS\#2073 (A3 Ia) shows probable P Cygni emission at $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, together with strong $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ P Cygni emission (Fig. 17).
7.7.5 2dFS\#3975: Sk 190

The spectrum of star \#3975 (Fig. 17) is classified here as O8n(f)p. The primary requirement for membership of this class is a composite emission and absorption structure in the Нe II $\lambda 4686$ line (Walborn 1973). The 2 dF spectrum was both noisy and near the edge of the engineering-grade chip in the 1998 observations, introducing assorted cosmetic features; subsequent observation with the RGO Spectrograph confirms the classification. This is only the second Onfp spectrum seen in the SMC, after AzV 80 (Walborn et al. 2000). Sk 190 has previously been classified as O8 Iaf (Massey 2002), suggesting the possibility of time variability in the intensity and morphology of the He II $\lambda 4686$ line.
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## APPENDIX A: CATALOGUE DESCRIPTION

The full catalogue of spectral types for the 2 dF sample is available electronically through the online edition of Monthly Notices (http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/products/journals/suppmat/ $\mathrm{mnr} / \mathrm{mnr} 8096 / \mathrm{mnr} 8096 \mathrm{sm} . \mathrm{htm}$ ), and at the Centre de Données astronomiques de Strasbourg $\left(\mathrm{CDS}^{6}\right)$. The data are assembled in two tables, one containing the basic observational data from the spectroscopic survey, and one containing cross-identifications.

Table A1 shows an extract from the data catalogue, to illustrate the format. For convenience, we assign a four-digit 2 dFS number to each star; entries 1-4054 are the main, APM-selected, targets, sorted by RA, and entries 5001-5107 are the UIT-selected targets, separately sorted by RA. There are no catalogue entries $4055-5000$. For each entry we give the adopted coordinates and $B$ magnitude (Section 5.4.2). Coordinates are quoted from the sources used for spectrograph fibre configuration, i.e. APM for 2 dFS entries 1-4054, OGLE for entries 5001-5107 (cf. Harries et al. 2003). We then list the adopted 2 dF spectral type, and notes on Balmer-line emission for $\mathrm{H} \alpha, \mathrm{H} \beta$ and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, as available (Section 7.1). The overall distribution of spectral types is summarized in Table 7. Note that parenthesized luminosity classes are not based solely on morphological considerations and therefore are not strict ' MK ' types.

The supplementary catalogue of cross-identifications includes full photometric results from Massey, OGLE and MCPS (Section 5), and cross-identifications with the UIT, Sanduleak, AzV and MA93 catalogues (Cornett et al. 1997; Sanduleak 1968, 1972; Azzopardi \& Vigneau 1982; Meyssonnier \& Azzopardi 1993). The catalogue also includes pertinent information from the catalogue of SMC clusters by Bica \& Dutra (2000), which is, essentially, the catalogue of Bica \& Schmitt (1995), with the inclusion of new clusters identified in the OGLE survey (Pietrzynski et al. 1998). Our purpose in including this information is to provide an indication of whether a given star is likely to be a cluster or association member, or a field star (relevant to the interpretation of the HRD).

There are 49 Sk stars matched in the 2 dFS catalogue, 172 AzV stars, 219 MA93 stars and 454 UIT stars (including 107 UITselected targets). These correspondences are to be understood to be simply positional matches for the photometric, UIT and MA93 catalogues (which list coordinates to the nearest arcsecond or better), but are actual cross-identifications for the Sk and AzV catalogues, using published finder charts.

[^5]Table A1. An illustrative section of the online 2 dF catalogue. Sources of adopted $B$ magnitudes are APM, Massey, OGLE and Zaritsky et al. (coded A, $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{O}$ and Z ; see Section 5.4.2). The 'Balmer notes' summarize lines in blue ( $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{H} \gamma, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{H} \beta$ ) and red ( R : $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ ) spectra, coded as a (absorption); n [narrow (nebular?) emission]; r [resolved (but narrow) emission]; d (double-peaked emission); p (P Cygni profile). The full catalogue is available at http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/products/journals/suppmat/mnr/mnr8096/mnr8096sm.htm

| 2dFS\# | $\alpha$ (J2000) | $\delta$ (J2000) | $B$ | Source | Spectral type | Balmer notes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0600 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 23.13$ | $-72^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} .4$ | 16.36 | O | A3 II | B[ga] | $\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{n}]$ |
| 0601 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 28.15$ | $-72^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} 3$ | 16.63 | O | B8 (III) | B [ga bn] |  |
| 0602 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 28.40$ | $-73^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} .0$ | 15.15 | Z | B1-5 (II) | B [gn] | $\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{n}]$ |
| 0603 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 30.53$ | $-72^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} .4$ | 16.84 | Z | B1-5 (IV) | B[ga] |  |
| 0604 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 32.90$ | $-72^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} 8$ | 16.53 | Z | B0.5 (V) | B[ga] |  |
| 0605 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 33.08$ | $-72^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 39 .!3$ | 16.86 | Z | B0-5 (V) | B[ga] |  |
| 0606 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 33.47$ | $-73^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} .8$ | 13.34 | M | B8 (Ib) | B [ga ba] |  |
| 0607 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 33.86$ | $-73^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} 7$ | 17.60 | Z | B0-5 (V) | B[ga ba] |  |
| 0608 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 35.12$ | $-72^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} 2$ | 15.93 | O | B3 (III) | B [ga ba] |  |
| 0609 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 38.10$ | $-73^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 7$ | 14.31 | Z | B0.5 (IV) | B [ga] |  |
| 0610 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 40.19$ | $-73^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} 9$ | 14.17 | Z | O7-8 V | B [gn bn] |  |
| 0611 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 41.70$ | $-74^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} 0$ | 17.11 | Z | B0-5 (V) | B [gn bn] |  |
| 0612 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 43.21$ | $-73^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} .4$ | 16.46 | O | B1-5 (III) | B [ga bn] | $\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{n}]$ |
| 0613 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 46.20$ | $-73^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 2$ | 16.35 | Z | B1-5 (III) | B [ga] |  |
| 0614 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 47.00$ | $-73^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} 7$ | 17.42 | Z | A3 II | B [ga ba] |  |
| 0615 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 47.38$ | $-72^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} 7$ | 17.37 | Z | F8 | $\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{g}]$ |  |
| 0616 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 47.72$ | $-72^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} .8$ | 17.10 | Z | B0-5 (V) | B[ga] | $\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{n}]$ |
| 0617 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 48.07$ | $-73^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 6$ | 16.88 | Z | A2 III | B[ga ba] |  |
| 0618 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 48.95$ | $-73^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} 3$ | 16.66 | O | B8-A0 (II) | B [gn bn] |  |
| 0619 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 50.81$ | $-72^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 9$ | 15.78 | Z | B0-5 (II) | $\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{gr}]$ |  |
| 0620 | $00^{\mathrm{h}} 46^{\mathrm{m}} 50.88$ | $-73^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} 5$ | 14.57 | Z | B2 (III) | B[ga] |  |


[^0]:    *E-mail: cje@ing.iac.es (CJE); idh@star.ucl.ac.uk (IDH); mike@ast.cam.ac.uk (MJI); awxb@star.ucl.ac.uk (AWB); th@astro.ex.ac.uk (TJH)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1} B_{\mathrm{J}}$ indicates the use of Eastman Kodak IIIa-J emulsion ( + GG495 filter); $B_{\mathrm{J}} \simeq B-0.28(B-V)$ (Blair \& Gilmore 1982).
    ${ }^{2}$ In 1999, some effort was made to redress systematic under-representation of bright stars in the 1998 run.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The APM system currently available on-line has been transformed to the Tycho-2 system.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ This quantity is closely related to, but not the same as, the $K / \mathrm{H}$ ratio used in the visual classifications. There is reasonable, although not perfect, separation of individual A subtypes in this plane.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ For AzV 72 we have only APM photometry: $B=12.43$. Azzopardi \& Vigneau (1975) and Ardeberg (1980) report concordant $U B V$ measurements giving a somewhat fainter $B \simeq 12.88$, strengthening the normality of this star in the $W_{\lambda}-B$ plane.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{ftp}: / / \mathrm{cdsarc} . \mathrm{u}$-strasbg.fr/pub/cats/J/MNRAS/353/601

