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#### Abstract

In this paper we describe a new method for autoratic extraction and anatomic labelling of the cortical urface in Magnetic Resonance (MR) images of the uman brain. Our algorithm consists of a series of zorphological operations which automatically find the ortical surface and detect sulci in an MR volume imge. The extracted surface points are labelled as "sulus" or "not sulcus".


## Introduction

In our work, we want to obtain an accurate, deailed description of an individual's brain from three imensional (3-D) MR images. This type of brain urface description can provide valuable information 1 clinical and research studies. For example, the isualization of complex neuroanatomical structures 3.g. cortical sulci and gyri) in MR brain images is nportant to brain researchers. Other problems reuire more than just visualization of anatomy. For istance, by measuring the magnetic field outside the ead, magnetoencephalography (MEG) noninvasively 1onitors brain activity. MEG can be used to estimate urrent distributions inside the brain, and knowledge om MR images, about location and orientation of ortical folds, can dramatically improve an MEG curent source estimate [2]. Anatomically labelled MR nages provide necessary information for these brain esearch problems.
Automatic cortical labelling can be viewed as a omputer vision task in which the system interprets given image using a priori knowledge in the form f a model. In most of the existing labelling schemes he model is an anatomical atlas which is elastically $r$ rigidly transformed to fit the subject's brain [3]. In eneral these techniques are interactive,requiring the ser to manipulate the atlas or data to obtain a fit etween the atlas and subject images. In [1] an elastic
matching scheme is implemented. Although it does not require user interaction, this process may be inadequate for matching structures that are small or have complex shapes.

The labelling procedure described in this paper is an application of 3-D binary mathematical morphology, which is a powerful set theoretic method of image analysis [4]. In contrast to existing techniques which label regions of a brain image that correspond to a physical model, such as an anatomical atlas, our algorithm labels image regions which remain after filtering by morphological transformations. More detailed labels can be assigned to the extracted regions, using an image to model matching approach such as deformable atlas matching. Model matching and morphological processing complement each other well, since a preprocessed image is required to derive accurate results with deformable models.

## 2 A Morphological Algorithm

We use standard notation from mathematical morphology to describe the steps of our algorithm. In binary morphology we denote a binary image as a set $X$ in N -dimensional discrete space $\mathbf{Z}^{\boldsymbol{N}}$; the set complement $X^{c}$ denotes the image background. We transform the image by performing operations defined between $X$ and a set $B \subseteq \mathrm{Z}^{N}$, the set structuring element. A dilation of $X$ by $B$ is denoted $X \oplus B$, and erosion is represented by $X \ominus B$. Morphological opening and closing are denoted by $X \circ B$ and $X \bullet B$ repspectively, and finally we denote a set difference operation between sets $X$ and $Y$ by $X \backslash Y$.

We have developed a 3-D morphological algorithm to automatically extract and label the cortex in an MR brain image. The algorithm requires a binary volume, which we generate by modifying a Marr Hildreth edge detected image. This edge image is already in a form close to our requirement, since voxels
are either black or white depending on whether or not they represent region boundaries. A Marr Hildreth detector always creates closed contours - regions belonging to the head (including skull, scalp, and brain) appear as white areas completely surrounded by black boundaries. When we color the background of an edge detected image black, we arrive at a binary volume, which consists of a white object in a black background.

We represent a binary head image by a 3-D set, denoted $X$. Set $X$ represents other anatomical regions, not just the brain. The brain is a connected 3-D subset of $X$, where any two points in this subset can be joined by a 3-D path entirely contained within the brain volume. And, because of noise, the partial volume effect, or true anatomical connections, $X$ may contain voxels that link brain regions to extraneous surrounding structures, such as dura mater or skin. To sever unwanted connections and extract only the brain from an image, we first perform an erosion, which shrinks the brain volume and eliminates all regions smaller than the structuring element. We have chosen a 3-D rhombus structuring element of discrete size 1 (R1), which is a 3-D digital cross 3 voxels wide in the $x, y$, and z directions. Therefore, transforming by R1 will eliminate regions which have a size of 2 voxels or less in any direction and shrink a majority of the brain surface by 1 voxel. This element deletes narrow connections without globally damaging or distorting an image.

After erosion we carry out a 3-D flood filling operation. This step is necessary because although erosion eliminates undesirable connections, non-brain regions, such as eyeballs or skull, still remain. We want to select only brain voxels. A 3-D flood filling routine finds all voxels connected to a seed point in the brain. Specification of an arbitrary seed point is the only user interaction required for our entire labelling procedure; every other step is automatic. We will denote this eroded and flood filled set of brain voxels as $X_{E B r a i n}$.

Since erosion shrinks the brain surface and widens holes in the volume, $X_{\text {Ebrain }}$ is not a true representation of an entire brain. We fill holes in $X_{\text {Ebrain }}$ by closing the set with an octagon structuring element of size 2, denoted O2. This isotropic element, which is a digital approximation to a sphere in Euclidean space, has a width of 9 voxels. We choose size 2 because an octagon of size 1 is only 5 voxels wide and may not be large enough to close all holes that were widened by erosion. Besides filling image holes, a closing operation replaces portions of an object's boundary with the structuring element's boundary. Therefore, this operation will result in a brain volume in which boundaries
are smooth and all holes less than 9 voxels wide are closed (see figure 1 (b)). We will denote the result of this operation $X_{C B r a i n}$ :

$$
X_{C \text { Brain }}=X_{\text {EBrain }} \bullet O 2
$$

Set $X_{C B r a i n}$ is a smooth image that serves as a template on which we can reintroduce fine details from the original binary volume, $X$. That is, when we take the difference $X_{C B r a i n} \backslash X$ we obtain a set which represents all gaps and holes belonging to the brain (see figure 1 (c)). We denote this set $X_{\text {Holes }}$. A difference operation between the closed brain and its set of holes yields our final brain volume:

$$
X_{\text {Brain }}=X_{C B r a i n} \backslash X_{\text {Holes }}
$$

Figure 2 (a) shows a slice from a brain extracted by this morphological processing.

Below, we summarize six processing steps which we require for extracting a brain from a head image. These steps comprise the first part of our automated algorithm:

1. Color the background of an edge detected image to arrive at a binary image $X$.

2: $X_{I n t}=X \ominus R 1$.
3. Flood-fill $X_{I n t}$ to arrive at $X_{E B r a i n}$.
4. $X_{C B r a i n}=X_{E B r a i n} \bullet O 2$.
5. $X_{\text {Holes }}=X_{C B r a i n} \backslash X$.
6. $X_{\text {Brain }}=X_{C B r a i n} \backslash X_{\text {Holes }}$.

The algorithm's next portion labels elements of $X_{\text {Brain }}$ corresponding to cortical regions. A cortex is a convoluted structure whose anatomy is defined by deep grooves (sulci) which cross its surface. Each sulcus is surrounded by ridges (gyri) and is assigned an anatomical label according to its location. The cortical surface is the outer contour of our binary brain image, $X_{\text {Brain }}$, and sulci appear as regions bordering holes and gaps in $X_{\text {Brain }}$. By tracing this outer contour one 2-D slice at a time, we find portions of $X_{\text {Brain }}$ corresponding to the cortical surface (the outer contour of a binary object is found with a simple boundary following routine). Because the brain has a convoluted surface, finding its outline a slice at a time simplifies cortex-tracing procedures. However, we must take into account the brain's complexity as a 3-D object if we are to process it successfully on a 2-D basis. For example, on certain 2-D slices, deep sulci make
the brain appear to be comprised of disconnected regions. Therefore, the outer contour of every patch of connected pixels on every 2-D slice is traced and labelled as part of the cortical surface. We will call the set of surface points found by this procedure $X_{\text {cortex }}$. Furthermore, because the cortex folds over on itself, on some 2-D slices the cortical surface may appear to be interior to the brain; the contour tracing routine will miss these regions, since it traces only exterior boundaries. Interior regions are found by further morphological processing steps, and the extracted surface is labelled as "sulcus" or "non-sulcus".

In step five of our algorithm, we created $X_{\text {Holes, }}$ a set representing all gaps and holes in a brain image. A portion of these holes are due to sulci, but others are created by noise or anatomical structures. A feature which differentiates a sulcus opening from any other is that given any point in this opening, we can find a connected path of voxels from that point to the image background which lies outside the brain. If we compare corresponding slices of $X_{\text {Holes }}$ and $X_{C B r a i n}$, we find that sulci openings intersect the boundary of $X_{\text {CBrain }}$, the closed brain image, somewhere in the volume. We conclude this section by providing a detailed list of necessary steps to find all sulci openings in the cortex. These steps form the second part of our automated algorithm.

1. Trace the boundary of $X_{C B r a i n}$, and initialize the next processing steps on the first slice of $X_{C B r a i n}$. In addition, initialize a set called $X_{\text {All sulci }}$ which starts out as an empty set, but after this part of the algorithm is complete, it will contain all voxels corresponding to sulci openings.
2. Find a point on $X_{\text {Cbrain's }}$ boundary that intersects $X_{\text {Holes }}$, and mark it as a sulcus point.
3. Find all voxels in $X_{\text {Holes }}$ connected to the sulcus point found in step 2 (we denote this set of connected voxels $X_{\text {Sulcur }}$ ). As in the first part of our algorithm, we use a 3-D flood filling routine to find all voxels connected to a particular point.
4. Perform the following set union: $X_{\text {Allsulci }}=$ $X_{\text {Allsulci }} \cup X_{\text {Sulcus. }}$. That is, add $X_{\text {Sulcus }}$ to the set of all brain sulci.
5. Redefine $X_{\text {Holes }}$ as: $X_{\text {Holes }}=X_{\text {Holes }} \backslash X_{\text {Sulcus }}$. That is, remove $X_{\text {sulcu }}$ from $X_{\text {Holes }}$.
6. Return to step 2, and continue processing in this manner until $X_{C B r a i n}$ volume has been traversed in its entirety.
7. Redefine the set of cortical surface locations as: $X_{\text {Cortex }}=X_{\text {Cortex }} \bigcup X_{\text {Allsulci }}$.
8. Find the intersection: $X_{\text {Cortex }} \cap X_{\text {AllSulci, }}$, and label those surface points in the intersection as cortical sulci.

Once this processing is complete, we arrive at a set $X_{\text {Cortex }}$ which is a point by point description of the cortical surface. Also, $X_{\text {Cortex }}$ is labelled as to which surface points correspond to sulci. Figure 2 (b) shows one slice through $X_{\text {Cortex }}$, the cortical surface found by the above processing steps; part (c) shows points that the morphological processing labels as sulci these points are superimposed on the original gray scale image of the slice.

The algorithm was able to successfully locate the cortical surface and differentiate sulci from other brain openings. An advantage to our approach is that once an arbitrary point inside the brain has been specified, the processing is entirely automatic. Also, this pointwise surface description can be sampled and the samples used to find a closed-form, analytic description of the surface, which is useful for determining geometric properties such as surface normals. Future work will concentrate on methods for sampling the convoluted surface and for assigning final anatomical labels to each sulcus.
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Figure 1: (a) A transverse slice through an MR volume. (b) The same transverse slice through the morphologically closed image. (c) Results of the set difference between the closed and the original binary brain images.


Figure 2: (a) Transverse slice through extracted binary brain image. (b) The extracted cortical surface. (c) The sulci detected by the morphological algorithm

