Portland State University PDXScholar

Dissertations and Theses

Dissertations and Theses

Winter 3-19-2013

A 30-Year Record of the Isotopic Composition of Atmospheric Methane

Doaa Galal Mohammed Teama Portland State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/open_access_etds

Part of the Environmental Sciences Commons, and the Other Physics Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Teama, Doaa Galal Mohammed, "A 30-Year Record of the Isotopic Composition of Atmospheric Methane" (2013). *Dissertations and Theses*. Paper 642. https://doi.org/10.15760/etd.642

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dissertations and Theses by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. Please contact us if we can make this document more accessible: pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

A 30-Year Record of the Isotopic Composition of Atmospheric Methane

by

Doaa Galal Teama

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Applied Physics

Dissertation Committee: Andrew L. Rice, Chair Linda George Christina Hulbe M. Aslam K. Khalil Drake Mitchell

Portland State University 2013

©2013 Doaa Galal Teama

Abstract

Methane (CH₄) is one of the most important greenhouse gases after water vapor and carbon dioxide due to its high concentration and global warming potential 25 times than that of CO₂ (based on a 100 year time horizon). Its atmospheric concentration has more than doubled from the preindustrial era due to anthropogenic activities such as rice cultivation, biomass burning, and fossil fuel production. However, the rate of increase of atmospheric CH₄ (or the growth rate) slowed from 1980 until present. The main reason for this trend is a slowdown in the trend of CH₄ sources. Measuring stable isotopes of atmospheric CH₄ can constrain changes of CH₄ sources. The main goal of this work is to interpret the CH₄ trend from 1978-2010 in terms of its sources using measurements of CH₄ mixing ratio and its isotopes.

The current work presents the measurements and analysis of CH₄ and its isotopes $(\delta^{13}C \text{ and } \delta D)$ of four air archive sample sets collected by the Oregon Graduate Institute (OGI). CH₄ isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}C$ and δD) were measured by a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer technique developed at PSU. The first set is for Cape Meares, Oregon which is the oldest and longest set and spans 1977-1999. The integrity of this sample set was evaluated by comparing between our measured CH₄ mixing ratio values with those measured values by OGI and was found to be stable. Resulting CH₄ seasonal cycle was evaluated from the Cape Meares data. The CH₄ seasonal cycle shows a broad maximum during October-April and a minimum between July and August. The seasonal cycles of $\delta^{13}C$ and δD have maximum values in May for $\delta^{13}C$ and in July for δD and

minimum values between September-October for δ^{13} C and in October for δ D. These results indicate a CH₄ source that is more enriched January-May (e.g. biomass burning) and a source that is more depleted August-October (e.g. microbial). In addition to Cape Meares, air archive sets were analyzed from: South Pole (SPO), Samoa (SMO), Mauna Loa (MLO) 1992-1996. The presented δ D measurements are unique measured values during these time periods at these stations.

To obtain the long-term in isotopic CH₄ from 1978-2010, other datasets of Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude sites are included with Cape Meares. These sites are Olympic Peninsula, Washington; Montaña de Oro, California; and Niwot Ridge, Colorado. The seasonal cycles of CH₄ and its isotopes from the composite dataset have the same phase and amplitudes as the Cape Meares site. CH₄ growth rate shows a decrease over time 1978-2010 with three main spikes in 1992, 1998, and 2003 consistent with the literature from the global trend. CH_4 lifetime is estimated to 9.7 yrs. The $\delta^{13}C$ trend in the composite data shows a slow increase from 1978-1987, a more rapid rate of change 1987-2005, and a gradual depletion during 2005-2010. The δD trend in the composite data shows a gradual increase during 1978-2001 and decrease from 2001-2005. From these results, the global CH₄ emissions are estimated and show a leveling off sources 1982-2010 with two large peak anomalies in 1998 and 2003. The global average $\delta^{13}C$ and δD of CH_4 sources are estimated from measured values. The results of these calculations indicate that there is more than one source which controls the decrease in the global CH₄ trend. From 1982-2001, δ^{13} C and δ D of CH₄ sources becomes more depleted due to a decrease in fossil and/or biomass burning sources relative to microbial sources.

From 2005-2010, δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources returns to its 1981 value. There are two significant peaks in δ^{13} C and δ D of CH₄ sources in 1998 and 2003 due to the wildfire emissions in boreal areas and in Europe.

Dedication

To my loving husband Dr. Mohammed Hegazy and my sweethearts Rawdah Hegazy, Ahmed Hegazy, and Tarek Hegazy for their support and love.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank all those people who made this thesis possible and an unforgettable experience for me.

First of all, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr Andrew Rice, who offered his continuous advice and encouragement throughout the course of this thesis. I thank him for the systematic guidance and great effort he put into training me in the scientific field.

I would like to thank the members of my Dissertation Committee; Dr. Linda George, Dr. Christina Hulbe, Dr. M. Aslam K. Khalil and Dr. Drake Mitchell for their full support, fruitful discussions and suggestions.

Gratitude also goes to all my dear friends and colleagues Ashgan Mohammed, Salma Abed AlRahman, Nermin Khalil, Doaa Hussien, Rehab Soliman, Hanan El Kady, Fatma Mohammed, Dr. Rania Huessin, Noha El Foaty and Nabwia Shaban, Erica Hanson, Gregory Bostrom, Mariela Brooks, Ellynne Kutschera, and special thanks to Lucas Hill for their continual support and encouragement.

Finally, deepest thanks and gratitude go to my father Mr. Galal Teama, my mother Mrs. Asrar Mustafa, my uncle Dr. Rizk Teama, my brother Mr. Mohamed Teama and his wife Mrs Wafaa El kholy and my niece Nadeen Teama and my nephew Galal Teama for their prayers and encouragement.

Table of Contents	Page
Abstract	i
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgements	V
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
$ \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Chapter 1. Introduction} \\ 1.1. Atmospheric CH_4 \\ 1.2. Measurement of atmospheric CH_4 \\ 1.3. CH_4 \mbox{ sources and sinks} \\ 1.3.1. CH_4 \mbox{ Sources} \\ 1.3.2. CH_4 \mbox{ Sources} \\ 1.3.2. CH_4 \mbox{ Sinks} \\ 1.4. \mbox{ Isotopic composition of CH_4} \\ 1.4.1. \mbox{ The use of isotopes to study atmospheric CH_4} \\ 1.4.2. \mbox{ Seasonal cycle of } \delta^{13} \mbox{C} \mbox{ and } \delta \mbox{D} \mbox{ of atmospheric CH_4} \\ 1.4.3. \mbox{ Ice core measurements of } \delta^{13} \mbox{C} \mbox{ and } \delta \mbox{D} \mbox{ of atmospheric CH_4} \\ \end{array} $	1 1 2 3 3 6 9 9 9 19 20
 Chapter 2. Experiment and Methodology 2.1. Air archived samples 2.2. Methane isotopic analytical method 2.2.1. Isotope calibration 2.3. Methane concentration analytical method 2.4. Recent Air sampling from Cape Meares (March, 2012-Septemeber, 2012) 	23 24 25 33 34 37
 Chapter 3. Results of Analyses at PSU 3.1 Cape Meares CH₄ mixing ratio Results 3.1.1. CH₄ mixing ratio results measured at PSU 3.1.2. A comparison of CH₄ mixing ratios at Cape Meares air 3.2. Atmospheric δ¹³C -CH₄ and its seasonal trend 3.3. Atmospheric δD-CH₄ and its seasonal trend 3.4. Discussion of seasonal cycles of CH₄, δ¹³C, and δ D at Cape Meares 3.5. Recent measurements at Cape Meares, Oregon (March 2012-Sentemeber 2012) 	41 42 42 50 52 56 58 64
3.5.1. Atmospheric CH ₄ mixing ratios (2012) 3.5.2. Isotope Ratios	65 66

3.6. Archived samples from South Pole, Mauna Loa, and Samoa	67
3.6.1. South Pole (90° S)	68
3.6.2. Samoa (14.1° S, 170.6° W)	72
3.6.3. Mauna Loa (21.08° N, 157.2° W)	76
Chapter 4. Analysis and Discussions	80
4.1. Composite data from Cape Meares and other locations	81
4.1.1. Atmospheric CH ₄ mixing ratio	82
4.1.2. Atmospheric δ^{13} C-CH ₄	85
4.1.3. Atmospheric δD -CH ₄	88
4.2. Statistical Methods	90
4.2.1. LOWESS	90
4.2.2. Bootstrap	92
4.3. CH4, δ^{13} C, and δ D Seasonal Cycles of CH ₄ of the composite	93
datasets	
4.3.1. Atmospheric CH ₄ seasonal cycle for the composite Northern hemisphere dataset	94
4.3.2. Atmospheric δ^{13} C -CH ₄ seasonal cycle for the composite Northern hemisphere dataset	96
4.3.3. Atmospheric δD -CH ₄ seasonal cycle for the composite Northern hemisphere dataset	97
4.3.4. Discussion of seasonal cycles of CH ₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C and δD for the composite mid-latitude datasets	98
4.4 The secular and interannual variability in the composite dataset for	104
CH4, δ^{13} C and δ D	101
4.4.1. Atmospheric CH_4 and its growth rate	107
4.4.2. Atmospheric δ^{13} C -CH ₄ and its time rate of change	111
4.4.3. Atmospheric δD -CH ₄ and its time rate of change	114
4.4.4. Discussion about the time rates of change of CH ₄ , δ^{13} C and δ D of the composite data	116
4.5. The CH ₄ sources 1978-2010	119
4.5.1 Total CH ₄ emissions 1978-2010	120
4.5.2. The δ^{13} C of the CH ₄ sources 1978-2010	123
4.5.3. The δ D of CH ₄ sources 1978-2005	128
Chapter 5. Summary and Conclusion	134
References	140
Appendices	
A. Tables	157

B. Figures	169
C. Recent Air Sampling at Cape Meares (March 2012- October 2012)	193

List of Tables

#	Caption	Page
1.1	CH ₄ budget from different sources with their δ^{13} C and δ D signatures.	11
1.2	Summary of ${}^{13C}\alpha_i$ and ${}^{D}\alpha_i$.	12
1.3	Summary of δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH ₄ measurements at fixed sites by location	18
3.1	Samples with 10 ppb or more difference between measured values of CH ₄ mixing ratios at OGI and PSU and their measured δ^{13} C values	54
4.1	Summary of the annual CH ₄ , δ^{13} C, δ D and their growth	119
4.2	The annual total CH ₄ total emissions, δ^{13} C and δ D of CH ₄ sources	121

List of Figures

#	Caption	Page
1.1	Contribution of individual sources to the total anthropogenic CH ₄ emissions (Figure is generated using data from Khalil, [2000]).	4
1.2	Contribution of individual natural sources to the total natural CH ₄ emissions (Figure is generated using data from Khalil, [2000]).	5
1.3	Contribution of individual sinks to the total CH ₄ sink (Figure is generated using data from [IPCC, 2007]).	7
2.1	Schematic view of continuous-flow gas chromatography isototopic ratio mass spectrometer system for measurements of $\delta^{13}C$ and δD of atmospheric CH ₄	26
2.2	Light and heavy ions are moved under the magnetic field and their deflection	29
2.3	The different isotopic ions of hydrogen and carbon dioxide are focused in the collectors (Faraday cups).	30
2.4	The output of δ^{13} C running air sample. Ion trace shows m/z =44, 45, 46.	30
2.5	Schematic view of the analytical system of gas chromatography to measure the concentration of atmospheric CH ₄ in air samples	35
2.6	The display of CH ₄ peaks in the same acquisition. The third peak of each set is CH ₄ .	36
2.7	Field sampling system configuration	38
2.8	The location at Cape Meares where air is collected.	40
3.1	The atmospheric CH ₄ mixing ratio measurements from air archive samples at Cape Meares, Oregon collected 1 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. The CH ₄ mixing ratio is expressed on the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) scale. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ (standard deviation) from six determinations for each sample. The peak height method (as explained in Chapter 2) is used to determine the CH ₄ mixing ratio	42
3.2	Seasonal trend of atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio from air archive samples. The residual CH_4 mixing ratio is expressed on NIST scale. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error	44
3.3	CH_4 mixing ratio measurements of Cape Meares air archive samples at OGI (green star) and at PSU (red circle). Both measurements are on the NIST scale.	45
3.4	Comparison between CH ₄ concentrations measured at OGI and at PSU using (GC-FID).	46
3.5	The difference in CH ₄ mixing ratio between OGI and PSU in [ppb] with the collected date after removing the outlier value.	47
3.6	(a). Gaussian distribution of the differences of Cape Meares samples between OGI and PSU. (b). Gaussian distribution of a simulated dataset generated by a random sampling. (c). The difference between	49
		X

xi

Gaussian distributions in Q-Q Plot.

- 3.7 Atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio measurements at Cape Meares from 51 different datasets. The average monthly mixing ratios of Cape Meares measured by OGI (green star) [Khalil et al.1993] between 1979 and 1992 and by NOAA (blue cross) [Dlugokencky et al. 2012], which spans (1983-1998), and at PSU (red circle) during 1977-1999. The first and third datasets have been adjusted to be on NOAA04 scale.
- 3.8 Seasonal trend of atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio at Cape Meares from 52 three different datasets.
- 3.9 The δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ observed at Cape Meares, Oregon from 1 53 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. Error bars are $\pm 1\sigma$ from the average of multiple runs of each sample.
- 3.10 Seasonal trend of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares from 1 55 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. The error bars represent ± 1 standard error.
- 3.11 The δD of atmospheric CH₄ observed at Cape Meares, Oregon from 1 57 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. Error bars are $\pm 1\sigma$ from the average of multiple measurements of each sample.
- 3.12 Seasonal trend of δD of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares 1 October 57 1977 to 24 August 1999. The error bars represent ± 1 standard error.
- 3.13 Seasonal cycles of (a) atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio, (b) δ^{13} C, and (c) 62 δ D at Cape Meares based on the measurements from air archive samples collected from 1 October 1977 to 24 Aug 1999. Error bars represent ±1 standard error.
- 3.14 Phase ellipse for CH₄ at Cape Meares, Oregon, made by plotting (a) 63 residual of δ^{13} C-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio and (b) residual of δ D-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio. The black stars are mean monthly residual values of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D. The results of KIE of both ^{13C} α and ^D α are 1.006±0.004 and 1.19±0.09, respectively
- 3.15 The seasonal trend of CH_4 mixing ratio starting from 28 March 2012 to 65 October 2012. The measured CH_4 mixing ratio is on the NOAA04 scale. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$.

The seasonal trend of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ starting from 28 March

- 3.16 2012 to October 2012. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error.
- 3.17 (a) CH₄ mixing ratio (b) δ^{13} C-CH₄, and (c) δ D-CH₄ measurements for 71 South Pole from January 1992 to February 1995. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$. CH₄ mixing ratio measurements at PSU were adjusted to be in NOAA scale.
- 3.18 (a) CH₄ mixing ratio (b) δ¹³C-CH₄, and (c) δD-CH₄ measurements at 75 Samoa from February 1995 to April 1996. Error bars in represent ±1σ. CH₄ mixing ratio (a) measurements at PSU were adjusted to be in NOAA scale.

xii

66

- 3.19 (a) CH₄ mixing ratio (b) δ^{13} C-CH₄, and (c) δ D-CH₄ measurements at 79 Mauna Loa from February 1995 to December 1995. Error bars in represent ±1 σ . CH₄ mixing ratio measurements at PSU were adjusted to be on the NOAA04 scale.
- 4.1 (a) atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios for mid-latitude Northern 84 hemisphere sites (1977-2010) after adjusting them to NOAA04 scale. Error bars represent ±1σ. (b) Atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios for midlatitude Northern hemisphere sites (1977-2010) after adjusting for the latitude of each dataset based on CM site. Error bars represent ±1σ.
- 4.2 (a) δ^{13} C record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern 87 hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2010). All of the results are reported relative to VPDB. Errors bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$. (b) δ^{13} C record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2010) after adjusting the latitude of each dataset based on the CM site.
- 4.3 (a) δD record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern 89 hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2005). All of the results are reported relative to VSMOW. Errors bars represent ±1σ. (b) δD record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2005) after adjusting both datasets based on CM site.
- 4.4 Seasonal trend of CH_4 mixing ratio from datasets in the Northern 95 hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2010) from data in figure 4.1(b). Error bars represent \pm one standard error
- 4.5 δ^{13} C seasonal trend from datasets in the Northern hemisphere midlatitudes (1977-2010) from data in figure 4.2(b). Error bars represent ± one standard error
- 4.6 δD seasonal trend from datasets in the Northern hemisphere midlatitudes (1977-2005) from data in figure 4.3(b). Error bars represent \pm one standard error
- 4.7 Seasonal cycles of (a) atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio,(b) δ^{13} C, and (c) 100 δ D from mid-latitude sites in the Northern hemisphere. Error bars represent ±1 standard error
- 4.8 Phase ellipse for the composite datasets, made by plotting (a) residual 102 of δ^{13} C-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio and (b) residual δ D-CH₄ versus residual CH₄ mixing ratio. The black stars are mean monthly residual values of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D. The results of KIE of both ^{13C} α and ^D α_i are 1.004±0.002 and 1.21±0.06, respectively
- 4.9 (a) Residual of δ^{13} C-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio as in 103 figure 4.8(a) but with datasets individually and aggregated.(b) Residual of δ D-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio as in figure 4.8(b) with datasets individually and aggregated.
- 4.10 The composite data before and after removing the seasonal trend for (a) 106 CH_4 mixing ratio (b) $\delta^{13}C$ -CH⁴ and (C) δ D-CH₄

xiii

- 4.11 The instantaneous atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio (1978-2010) with 107 mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ by smoothing the deseasonalize data in figure 4.10(a).
- 4.12 The instantaneous CH_4 growth rate (1978-2010) calculated from the 109 derivative of the black line in figure 4.11 with respect to time $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$.
- 4.13 The annual increases CH₄ growth rate for the composite data with 110 black circles during 1978-2010 measured in ppb/vr. Green stars are the annual CH₄ growth rate calculated from NOAA Global Cooperative Air Sampling Network measurements (values are taken from figure 1.(b) [Dlugokencky et al. 2011])
- The instantaneous δ^{13} C trend during 1978-2010 with mean values 4.14 112 (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculate from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing the deseasonalize data in figure 4.10(b).
- The instantaneous δ^{13} C growth rate of composite data during 1978-4.15 113 2010. Red dots are \pm standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly value calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.
- 4.16 114 The instantaneous δD trend (1978-2005) with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculate from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing the deseasonalized data in figure 4.10(c)
- 4.17 The instantaneous δD time rate of change of composite data during 115 1978-2005. Red dots are \pm standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly values calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.
- The annual time rate of change of (a) CH_4 (b) $\delta^{13}C(c)$ δD of composite 4.18 118 data
- Annual CH₄ emission during 1978-2010 using the mass balance 4.19 122 equation (4.10) and based on a CH₄ lifetime of 9.7 yrs.
- The annual δ^{13} C of the CH₄ sources during 1978-2010. 4.20 126 131
- 4.21 The annual δD of the CH₄ source during 1978-2005
- 4.22 δ^{13} C-CH₄ source vs. δ D-CH₄ source for Microbial, Fossil Fuel, and 133 Biomass Burning with the results obtained from figure 4.20 and figure 4.21 during 1978-2005.
- The annual values of δ^{13} C-CH₄ sources vs. the annual values δ D-CH₄ 4.23 133 sources from figure 4.20 and figure 4.21 during 1978-2005.

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1. Atmospheric CH₄

Methane (CH₄) is the most abundant hydrocarbon in the atmosphere [Wubbles and Hayhoe, 2002; Tyler et al, 2007]. It is also one of the most abundant greenhouse gases in the Earth's atmosphere after water vapor (H₂O) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). It absorbs infrared radiation strongly at 7.66 μ m, a spectral region where CO₂ and H₂O absorb weakly [Nelson et al., 1948; Goody and Robinson, 1951]. Therefore, CH₄ has a direct effect on the earth's radiation budget; not only in the troposphere but also in the stratosphere [Donner and Ramanathan, 1980; Ramanathan et al., 1985]. The contribution of CH₄ emissions to radiative forcing is 25 times that of CO₂ on a per molecule basis, based on a 100 year time scale [IPCC, 2007]. It is understood that increasing the atmospheric CH₄ concentrations will cause global temperature to increase [Lashof and Ahuja, 1990].

CH₄ plays an important role in tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry [Tyler, 1986; Dlugokencky et al., 1994]. In the troposphere, CH₄ is oxidized primarily by hydroxyl radicals (OH), which is the major atmospheric oxidant [Cicerone et al., 1988]. CH₄ consumes about 25% of OH and therefore CH₄ strongly controls the concentration of OH in the troposphere. It is also a source of tropospheric ozone (O₃), carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrogen (H₂) [Thompson, 1992]. In the stratosphere, CH₄ oxidation is a major source of the upper stratospheric H₂O vapor. CH₄ is also a primary sink for Cl radical,

which terminates the O₃-destroying catalytic chain mechanism in the stratosphere [Ehhalt, 1974; Stolarski and Cicerone, 1974; Tyler, 1986; Cicerone and Oremland, 1988].

1.2. Measurement of atmospheric CH₄

The direct systematic measurements of atmospheric CH₄ using gas chromatography (GC) did not begin until 1978 [Rasmussen and Khalil, 1981; Craig and Chou, 1982; Steel et al., 1987; 1992]. The trend of atmospheric CH_4 from these direct time series measurements has been observed to increase with different rates over different time periods (e.g. 2%/yr [Rasmussen and Khalil, 1981], 1.3%/yr [Khalil and Rasmussen, 1983], 0.8%/yr [Steele et al., 1987], and 1%/yr [Blake and Rowland, 1988]). Information about the mixing ratio of atmospheric CH_4 for older atmospheres was obtained by measuring methane concentration in air bubbles trapped in polar ice [Legrand et al, 1988]. Measurements of CH_4 in air samples extracted from Antarctic and Greenland ice cores showed that the atmospheric CH₄ during the last ice age (~ 30.000 years ago) was 300-400 ppb [Stauffer et al., 1988; Brook et al., 1996] and the preindustrial era (around 1750) was ~700 ppb [Craig and Chou, 1982; IPCC, 2007]. It then increased dramatically (more than doubling) in the industrial era. The global average methane concentration from a network of surface air sampling sites was 1774 ± 1.8 ppb in 2005 [IPCC, 2007] and 1794 ppb in 2009 [Dlugokencky et al.2011].

The increase of atmospheric CH₄ since the preindustrial era has been attributed to the increase in world population [Blunier et al., 1993] and intensified human activities such as fossil fuel extraction/consumption [Khalil et al., 1993(a)], biomass burning [Seiler and Crutzen, 1980], and agriculture activities [Khalil and Rasmussen, 1987].

The growth rate of CH_4 in the atmosphere averaged 1%/year between 1960 and 1981 [Etheridge et al., 1998]. In fact, the rate of increase of atmospheric CH_4 has been steadily slowing down since the beginning of NOAA's global record in 1983. It dramatically dropped to zero in 1992 [Francey et al., 1999] and reached its first negative value in 2000 [Dlugokencky et al., 2003 and 2009; Khalil et al., 2007]. More recently, the growth rate has been increasing again (up to 10 ppb/ yr) since the beginning of 2007 [Rigby et al., 2008]. The reasons of the interannual variability in the growth rate were explained due to the changes in emissions from biomass burning and wetlands [Dlugokencky et al., 2001] and changes in the concentration of OH [Dlugokencky et al., 1996].

Neither the rapid increase nor the slowdown of the growth rate of atmospheric CH_4 is fully understood but they are related to the imbalance between CH_4 sources and sinks [IPCC, 2007]. Despite considerable research conducted on this topic, there are large uncertainties in the magnitude and spatial distribution of identified CH_4 sources and sinks [Miller et al., 2002; Dlugokencky et al., 2003; Bousquet et al., 2006].

1.3. CH₄ sources and sinks

1.3.1. CH₄ Sources

 CH_4 is not only emitted from natural sources such as wetlands, lakes, and oceans but is also emitted from anthropogenic sources, which act together to influence the global burden of atmospheric CH_4 [Rasmussen and Khalil, 1981]. Anthropogenic emissions come from biogenic sources due to anaerobic decomposition and reduction of organic material by bacteria in rice paddies [Cao et al., 1966], in animal ruminants [Johnson et al., 2000], in waste disposal systems [Thorneloe et al., 2000]. Non-anaerobic sources are also important such as CH₄ emitted in biomass burning and from the extraction, transportation, and use of fossil fuels such as natural gas, coal and petroleum [Crutzen and Andreae, 1990]. The contribution of annual anthropogenic CH₄ emissions is shown in figure 1.1 [Khalil, 2000].



Anthropogenic Methane Emission(~358 Tg/yr)

Figure 1.1. Contribution of individual sources to the total anthropogenic CH₄ emissions (Figure is generated using data from Khalil, [2000]).

Natural sources of CH₄ arise from wetlands [Bartlett and Harris, 1993], termites [Sanderson, 1996], wild ruminant animals [Crutzen et al., 1986], oceans [Bates et al., 1996], geological sources and marine sediments [Judd, 2000], and wild fires [Kasischke and Bruhwiler, 2003]. The contribution of each natural source to the total annual natural CH₄ source is shown in figure 1.2 [Khalil, 2000].



Figure 1.2. Contribution of individual natural sources to the total natural CH₄ emissions (Figure is generated using data from Khalil, [2000]).

Estimates of the global magnitudes of emission (flux) from each CH₄ source (either anthropogenic or natural) have been made by measuring the emission rates from unit source elements and multiplied by the global distribution of sources. This method was used to estimate the CH₄ emission from termites, wetlands, oceans, biomass burning, landfills, and rice agriculture. Although this method is direct, it has many uncertainties due to spatial and temporal dependences of emission sources as well as source-specific parameters. For example, CH₄ emission from the widely distributed wetlands depends on their soil type, vegetation type and cover, water depth, and soil temperature [Dlugokencky et al., 1994; Tyler et al., 1994]. For the case of rice paddies, CH₄ emission depends on water management, fertilizer, type of rice plants and additives [Tyler et al., 1994; Khalil et al., 1998].

1.3.2. CH₄ Sinks

The number of known CH₄ sinks is fewer than the number of its known sources. The main known three sinks of atmospheric CH₄ are tropospheric OH, soil uptake, and transport to the stratosphere as shown in figure 1.3 [IPCC, 2007]. Tropospheric OH sink of CH₄ constitutes about 88% of the total CH₄ sink [IPCC, 2007], which makes OH concentration the most important factor of CH₄ removal from the atmosphere. A smaller contribution to atmospheric CH₄ removal is through dry soil-oxidation in "aerobic soils" (~5%) while the rest of CH₄ removal is due to transport to the stratosphere [IPCC, 2007]. Finally, marine boundary layer oxidation by Cl radicals is a potential sink of CH₄ [Allan et al., 2007].

OH can be formed through different mechanisms; the primary of which is the photodissociation of tropospheric O_3 in the presence of sunlight and H_2O , as shown in the following reactions

$$0_3 + h\nu \xrightarrow{\text{Sunlight}} 0(^1\text{D}) + 0_2 \tag{R1}$$

$$(0 \ ^{1}D) + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{\text{yields}} 2OH$$
 (R2)

In addition to CH₄ oxidation, OH is also the primary oxidant for some tropospheric pollutants such as NO_x, SO₂, and CO [Zellner and Ehhalt, 1999]. The rate of CH₄ destruction depends on temperature [Vaghijani and Ravishankara, 1991] as well as OH levels and CH₄ burden. The rate of CH₄ destruction can be written as $\frac{d[CH_4]}{dt} = -k_1[OH][CH_4]$ (1.1) where k_1 is the rate coefficient for the reaction is given as a function of $T(^{\circ}K)$ as

$$k_1(T) = (2.45 * 10^{-12})e^{\frac{-1775}{T}} cm^3 molecule^{-1}s^{-1}$$
 (1.2)

and [OH] and [CH₄] are the concentrations of OH and CH₄ respectively.



Methane Sinks

Figure 1.3. Contribution of individual sinks to the total CH₄ sink (Figure is generated using data from [IPCC, 2007]).

A few measurements for OH concentration have been made at certain locations using long path absorption spectroscopy. The measured OH concentrations in a moderately polluted location has a range $(2.5 \text{ to } 8) \times 10^6$ molecules /cm³[Hard et al.1992; Poppe et al.1995]. However, the actual global concentration of OH is not known yet due to the short lifetime of OH in the atmosphere [George et al.1999]. Most estimates of global OH concentration have been obtained through measuring the concentration of a tracer molecule such as methylcholoform (CH₃CCl₃) since OH is the only sink of this compound while its known sources are only anthropogenic [Prinn et al.,1992]. By measuring the CH₃CCl₃ emissions at different locations over certain time intervals, information about the destruction rate (due to OH) can be deduced and therefore the concentration of OH can be calculated. According to Prinn et al., (1992), the average OH concentration from 1978-1990 was $8.1(\pm 0.9) \times 10^{5}$ /cm³. They also noticed the lower tropical troposphere showed positive OH trend because of the changes in OH and O₃ concentrations driven by tropical urbanization, biomass burning, land use changes, and long-term warming. In 2001, Prinn et al. calculated the global OH levels between 1978 and 2000. Their analysis showed global OH levels increase from 1978 to 1988 and then began to decline after 1988. The global average OH between 1978 and 2000 was $9.4(\pm 1.3) \times 10^5$ /cm³ [Prinn et al., 2001].

The lifetime of CH_4 can be calculated from the knowledge of the average hydroxyl radical concentrations (from CH_3CCl_3 concentrations), the OH rate constant, the observed temperatures, and the global concentration of CH_4 . CH_4 lifetime was calculated to be 9.6 (+2.2,-1.5) yrs [Prinn et al., 1987], 11.3 yrs [Fung et al., 1991], 8.9 yrs [Prinn et al., 1995], 10 yrs [Dlugokencky et al., 1998], 7.9 yrs [Lelieveld et al., 1998], and 12 yrs [IPCC, 2007]. Changes in the abundance of OH in the atmosphere will result in changes in the CH_4 lifetime and its global concentrations [Prather, 1996]. Consequently, long-term changes in OH will have a feedback on climate.

1.4. Isotopic composition of CH₄

1.4.1. The use of isotopes to study atmospheric CH₄

The isotopic species of both carbon and hydrogen in CH₄, i.e. 12 CH₄, 13 CH₄, 14 CH₄ and CH₃D can be used to study the different CH₄ sources. Each CH₄ source has its

own characteristic ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ and D/H, which will depend on the mechanisms of CH₄ formation and consumption before its release to the atmosphere. Some sources are relatively enriched with respect to the atmospheric value while others are relatively depleted [Tyler, 1986].

Small variations in the ratios of ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ and D/H in CH₄ sources are due to changes in the vibrational energy states of the isotopic species. The vibrational zero point energy $E_0(v)$ is proportional to the frequency of that vibration. Wolfsberg (1972) defined vibrational frequency, v, as

$$\nu_{i} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{\mu_{i}}} \tag{1.3}$$

where μ_i is the reduced mass of the molecular bond and *k* is the force constant. Increasing the mass of the isotopologue (for example, by changing carbon from ¹²CH₄ to ¹³CH₄), will act to decrease the zero point energy and to increase the strength of chemical bonds. Therefore, molecules containing heavy isotope ¹³CH₄ are more stable, and consequently have higher dissociation energy than lighter molecules with the lighter isotope ¹²CH₄. Hence, the reaction rate of ¹³CH₄ (k₁₃) is slower than that of ¹²CH₄ (k₁₂).

The most commonly measured isotopes (or isotopologues) of atmospheric CH_4 are $^{13}CH_4$ and CH_3D . Because the variations that occur are on the order of one part in a thousand and smaller, they are denoted using the delta notation by $\delta^{13}C$ and δD where

$$\delta(\%)) = \left[\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1\right] \ 1000 \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$R = \frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C} \text{ or } \frac{D}{H}$$
(1.5)

9

Isotopic ratios are reported relative to Vienna Peedee Belemnite (VPDB) for ¹³C/¹²C and Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) for D/H as established by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in Vienna, Austria [IAEA Tec Doc 825, 1995].

Different CH₄ sources have different levels of ¹³C and D depletion. For example, sources of biogenic origin have $\delta^{13}C \sim -60$ ‰ and $\delta D \sim -300$ ‰, whereas for nonbiogenic sources CH₄ is less depleted with $\delta^{13}C \sim -40$ ‰ and $\delta D \sim -200$ ‰ [Bräunlich et al., 2001]. The values of $\delta^{13}C$ and δD from different sources such as wetlands, biomass burning, rice paddies, and marshes have been measured [Stevens and Rust, 1982; Tyler, 1986; Quay et al., 1991]. The global budget of CH₄ known sources and their isotopic signatures are shown in table 1.1 [Tyler et al., 2007].

Source	Emission Strength	δ ¹³ C	δD
	(Tg CH ₄ /yr)	(‰,PDB)	(‰,SMOW)
Tundra	10	-65	-317 ^a
Lakes(bogs, fens, and lakes)	75	-59	-322
Swamps and marshes	106	-56	-322
Rice paddies	80	-59	-323
Animals	95	-62	-305
Landfills	42	-50	-293
Gas venting and leakage	54	-38	-175
Oceans(costal margins)	10	-40	-220 ^b
Coal mining	27	-37	-175
Biomass burning	45	-26	-210
Termites	20	-57	-390 ^b
Gas hydrates	10	-52	-240 ^b
Atmospheric Background(NH)		-47.4 ^a	-96 ^a
Atmospheric Background(SH)		-47.2 ^a	-86 ^a
Mean Source		-52	-287

Table 1.1 CH₄ budget from different sources with their δ^{13} C and δ D signatures

^a see [Stevens and Whalen, 2000]

^b see [Whiticar, 2000]

The δ^{13} C and δ D of the mean CH₄ sources (in table 1.1) are calculated according to the following equation

$$\bar{\delta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} E_i * \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i}$$
(1.6)

where δ_i is either $\delta^{13}C$ or δD for source i (‰) and E_i is the emission of the source i (Tg/yr).

Sink processes also affect the isotopic composition of CH₄ through differences in the rate constants between isotopic species, i.e., kinetic isotopic effects. Kinetic isotope effects (KIE or α) of CH₄ oxidation are measured in controlled laboratory experiments [e.g., Cantrell et al., 1990; Tyler et al., 2000]. KIE is defined as the ratio of the reaction rate constant of the abundant isotope to the rate of reaction of the rare isotope. In the case of CH₄, the carbon KIE is defined as

$$\alpha_{j} = \frac{k_{j}(^{12}CH_{4})}{k_{j}(^{13}CH_{4})}$$
(1.7)

and the hydrogen KIE is defined as

$${}^{\mathrm{D}}\alpha_{\mathrm{j}} = \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{CH}_{4})}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{D})} \tag{1.8}$$

where *j* is an arbitrary sink reaction, i.e. OH, Cl, or O(¹D) and k_j is the rate coefficient for the reaction of ¹²CH₄, ¹³CH₄, ¹²CH₃D with OH, Cl, or O(¹D). Because of a lower zero point energy from the larger molecular mass of ¹³CH₄. k_j (¹³CH₄) is smaller than k_j (¹²CH₄). Consequently, ^{13C} $\alpha_j \ge 1$ and therefore CH₄ will become enriched in¹³CH₄ as a reaction proceeds. Similarly, because k_j (CH₃D) is typically smaller than k_j (CH₄), then ^D α_j ≥ 1 and CH₄ will become enriched in CH₃D as a reaction proceeds . Table 1.2 summarizes the values of ${}^{13C}\alpha_j$ and ${}^{D}\alpha_j$ of CH₄ reactions with OH, Cl, and O(1 D).

Reaction	$^{13C}\alpha_{j}$	^D α _j	Ref.
CH ₄ +OH	1.0054±0.0009		Cantrell et al.,1990
	1.0039 ± 0.0004	1.294 ± 0.018	Saueressig et al.,2001
CH ₄ +Cl	1.0621±0.0001	1.474 ± 0.02	Tyler et al.,2000
$CH_4+O(^1D)$	1.013 ± 0.0006	1.06 ± 0.011	Saueressig et al.,2001
CH ₄ +soils	1.022 ± 0.004		Tyler et al.,1994
(grassland)	1.0173 ± 0.001	1.099 ± 0.030	Snover and Quay,2000
(forest)	1.0181 ± 0.0004	1.066 ± 0.007	

Table 1.2. Summary of ${}^{13C}\alpha_j$ and ${}^{D}\alpha_j$

Typically, the fractionation factor is defined as (Miller et al., 2002) $\varepsilon_j(\infty) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_j} - 1\right) * 1000$ (1.9)

The knowledge of ε_j (or α_j) is important since the characterization of the CH₄ isotopic fractionation by different sinks (such as OH, Cl, and O (¹D)) is needed to constrain the estimation of the global CH₄ budget [Quay et al., 1991]. The fraction factor (ε_j) is convenient because at steady state the source δ value is depleted by ~ ε of the sinks.

Cantrell et al. [1990] used a simple equation to connect between δ_{source} and α_j (in two-sink processes: tropospheric OH and soil) by the steady state formula

$$\delta_{\text{source}} = \delta_{\text{atm}} + \left(F_{\text{atm}} \frac{(1 - \alpha_{\text{atm}})}{\alpha_{\text{atm}}} + F_{\text{soil}} \frac{(1 - \alpha_{\text{soil}})}{\alpha_{\text{soil}}} \right) * \left(1 + \frac{\delta_{\text{atm}}}{1000} \right) * 1000$$
(1.10)

where F_{atm} is the fraction of CH₄ that is removed chemically by tropospheric OH ($F_{atm} = 0.88$ from figure 1.3), F_{soil} is the fraction removed by soils($F_{soil} \approx 0.05$). If F_{soil} is negligible, α_{atm} is 1.0054 (for tropospheric OH), and δ_{atm} is -47.7‰, hence $\delta_{source} \approx -52.2\%_0$.

The measurements of the isotopic composition of atmospheric CH₄ with CH₄ sources provide a major constraint on CH₄ sources and sink processes [Steven and Rust, 1982; Tyler et al., 1994; Francey et al., 1999; Tyler et al., 2007] and consequently can be used to reduce uncertainties in the CH₄ budget (e.g. Table 1.1). The δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ depend on the flux weighted average source strength.

Using the approach of Quay et al. (1999), the sources and sinks of methane are related through the mass balance equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{dC}}{\mathrm{dt}} = \mathrm{S} - \left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{\tau}}\right] \tag{1.11}$$

where C is the global burden of CH₄ (Tg), S is the total CH₄ emission in (Tg/yr), and τ is the methane life time (yr), which could be calculated from

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{OH}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{soil}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{strato}}}$$
(1.12)

 $\tau_{OH}, \tau_{soil},$ and τ_{strato} are the lifetimes of CH_4 due to OH, soils uptake, and stratosphere.

At steady state
$$(\frac{dC}{dt} = 0)$$
, equation (1.11) will be reduced to

$$S = \left[\frac{C}{\tau}\right]$$
(1.13)

More generally, equations (1.11) and (1.13) could be written in the following forms

$$\frac{\mathrm{dC}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{dt}} = \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} - \left[\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\tau_{\mathrm{i}}}\right] \tag{1.14}$$

and

13

$$S_i = \frac{C_i}{\tau_i} \tag{1.15}$$

where the subscript i refers to one the CH_4 isotopes such as ${}^{12}CH_4$, ${}^{13}CH_4$, or CH_3D . In that equation S_i , C_i , and τ_i are the global source, burden, and the lifetime of that isotopic species.

The global atmospheric value for δ^{13} C of atmospheric methane (in case of 13 CH₄) will depend on the δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources and the fractionation effect during CH₄ loss [Quay et al., 1999]

$$\frac{d}{dt} [{}^{13}CH_4] = S * \left(\frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C}\right)_s - \frac{[CH_4]}{{}^{\tau*}{}^{13}C_{\alpha}} * \left(\frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C}\right)$$
(1.16)

At steady state, equation (1.16) will be

$$S * \left(\frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C}\right)_{S} = \frac{[CH_{4}]}{\tau * {}^{13}C_{\alpha}} * \left(\frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C}\right)$$
(1.17)

where $({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)_s$ is the average ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ of the CH₄ sources, $({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)$ is ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ of the atmospheric CH₄, ${}^{13C}\alpha$ was defined by equation (1.7). From equation (1.16), the $\delta^{13}C$ of atmospheric CH₄ depends on the total source strength (S), the average ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ of the CH₄ sources, CH₄ concentration [CH₄], lifetime of CH₄ (τ), and the fractionation effect during CH₄ loss (${}^{13C}\alpha$). Similarly for δD in case of ${}^{12}CH_3D$

$$S * \left(\frac{D}{H}\right)_{S} = \frac{[CH_{4}]}{\tau^{*} D_{\alpha}} * \left(\frac{D}{H}\right)$$
(1.18)

where $(D/H)_s$ is the average D/H of the CH₄ sources, (D/H) is D/H of the atmospheric CH₄, ^D α was defined by equation (1.8).

The $\delta^{13}C$ of atmospheric CH₄ has been measured by some groups around the world. In the beginning of 1988, Stevens reported the first measurements of $\delta^{13}C$ of atmospheric CH₄ in some locations of Northern and Southern hemispheres in the period from 1978 to 1987 (see table 1.3). He deduced that δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ is more enriched in the Southern hemisphere due to the increased CH₄ flux from biomass burning associated with the rapid deforestation in that hemisphere [Stevens, 1988]. More recent work has shown that the average interhemispheric gradient in δ^{13} C of CH₄ is ~0.2 % [Quay et al., 1999] or 0.3‰ [Miller et al., 2002] and ~10‰ for δD . Additionally, these studies determined that it is, in fact, the sink effects that are responsible for the enrichment in the Southern hemisphere. Since the majority of CH₄ sources are located in the Northern Hemisphere (75% of CH₄ emissions are from Northern Hemisphere [Fung et al, 1991]), CH_4 in the Southern hemisphere has had more time to react with OH, providing for the enriched values of δ^{13} C and δ D of CH₄ in the Southern hemisphere. Typical values for δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ are -47.4‰ and -91‰ in the Northern Hemisphere and -47.2‰ and -81‰ in the Southern hemisphere [Quay et al., 1999].

Different global averages of δ^{13} C have been reported by several groups. Table 1.3 summarizes some of these measurements. The global δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ has changed from -47.6‰ in 1978 to -47.1‰ in 1987 according to samples collected from Cape Meares, North Illinois, North Minnesota, Key largo, Mendocino, Potage, Greenland, Pacific, South Pole, Samao, Tasmonia, and Canberra [Stevens, 1988]. Later, Quay et al. reported the global δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ -47.2‰ from 1987 to 1989 according to air samples collected from Point Barrow, Olympic Peninsula, Mauna Loa and Cape Grim [Quay et al., 1991], and -47.3‰ from 1990 to 1995 according to samples collected from Point Barrow, Olympic Pennsuila, Mauna Loa, American Samoa, Cape Grim, and Baring Head [Quay et al., 1999]. In 2002, Miller et al. reported the global δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ was -47.1‰ from 1998 to 1999 according to air samples collected from Point Barrow, Niwot Ridge, Mauna Loa, American Samoa, Cape Grim, and South Pole [Miller et al., 2002].

Table 1.3 also shows that δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ has been considerably studied in different locations while this is not the case for δ D of atmospheric CH₄. This is primarily due to the complexity of δ D measurements. Quay et al. (1999) was the first group to measure δ D of atmospheric CH₄ and reported δ D of atmospheric CH₄ is -96‰ in the Northern Hemisphere and -86‰ in the Southern hemisphere during the time period between 1989 and 1995 [Quay et al.1999]. Bergmaschi et al. (2000) measured the isotopic composition of CH₄ and found significant seasonal cycle for δ D (as will be discussed in section 1.4.2) and also investigated the significant influence of bogs and rice paddies on δ D at Izaña, Tenerife (28°N, 16°W, 2370m above sea level). Yamada et al. (2003) measured δ D at Yokohama, Japan (35.5°N, 139°W) during November 2001 and reported that δ D fluctuated throughout the day from -96‰ to -118‰ and this was correlated to CH₄ concentration. Tyler et al. (2007) compared δ D for two locations; one mid continental: Niwot Ridge, Colorado (40°N, 105°W) and the other on the Pacific coast: Montaña de Oro, California (35°N, 121°W). They found that δ D is more depleted for the coastal site than for the mid continental site during the same time. They also noted a strong anticorrelation between δD and atmospheric CH₄ concentration.

The first global value of δD of atmospheric CH₄ was reported by Quay et al. (1999) as -86 ‰ based on air samples collected on board ocean going vessels in Northern and Southern hemisphere between 1989 and 1995 (table 1.3).

Locations	Period	δ ¹³ C (‰)	δD (‰)	Ref.
Rural, IL	1980(May-	-47.0±0.3		Stevens and
	July)			Rust,1982
*N.H {Cape Meares,OR;	1978-1983	-47.7±0		Stevens,1988
North llinoia,;North	1983-1987	-47.6 ± 0.38		
Minnesota; Key largo, FL;	1978-1982	-47.6 ± 0.35		
Mendocino,CA;	1982-1987	-47.6 ± 0.55		
Potage,AK;Greenland; and				
Pacific}				
*S.H. {Pacific; South Pole;				
Samao; Tasmonia; and				
Canberra}				
Baring Head, New	1989(July)-	-47.14±0.03		Lassey et al.,1993
Zealand(S.H.)	1992(june)			
* N.H. {Point Barrow,AK;	1990-1995	-47.4	-96	Quay et al.,1999
Olympic Pennsuila,WA; and				
Mauna Loa,HI}				
*S.H. {American Samoa; Cape	1990-1995	-47.2	-86	
Grim, Australia; and Baring				
Head ,New Zealand}				
Izana, Tenerife (N.H.)	1996-1998	-47.3 ± 0.1	-81±2	Bergmaschi et
				al.,2000
*N.H{Point	1998-1999	-47.28		Miller et al.2002
Barrow,AK;Niwot Ridge,CO;				
and Mauna Loa,HI}		-46.93		
*S.H. {American Samoa; Cape				
Grim, Australia; and South				
Pole}				
Baring Head, New	1989	-47.13±0.2		Lowe et al.,1991
Zealand(S.H.)				
Suva, Fiji(S.H.)	1994-2002	-47.2		Lowe et al.,2004
Ny Ålesund,Svalbard(N.H.)	1996-2004	-47.2±0.21		Morimoto rt al.,2006
Independence Pass and	1984,1985	-46.5 ± 0.4		Tyler,1986
Wolcott, CO and Denver, CO				
N.H.(Niwot Ridge,CO;	1995-2001	-47.22±0.13	(-93.1±3)*	Tyler et al.,2007
Montaña de Oro,CA)		-47.26±0.17	$(-97.3\pm3.7)^{**}$	

Table 1.3. Summary of δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ measurements at fixed sites by location.

N.H.= Northern hemisphere location, S.H.= Southern hemisphere location *measured in the period (1999-2001) ** measured in the period (2000-2001)

1.4.2. Seasonal cycle of δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄

The seasonal cycle of δ^{13} C and δ D has been characterized in several studies. Quay et al. (1991 and 1999) reported the amplitude of the seasonal cycle of δ^{13} C to be ~0.4‰ in the Northern hemisphere located at Point Barrow, Alaska (71°N, 156°W). This is higher than ~0.1‰ measured in the Southern hemisphere at American Samoa (14°S, 170°W). The highest Northern latitudes had the strongest seasonal cycle of δ^{13} C. The seasonal cycle of δ^{13} C was determined to be ≈180° "out of phase" with the seasonal cycle in the CH₄ concentrations, where the minimum δ^{13} C values are in the fall and maximum values are in the summer.

Lassey et al. (1993) reported δ^{13} C data from Baring Head, New Zealand (41°25' S, 174°52' E) from 1989 to 1992. Their data showed a large seasonal cycle (0.3‰ peak to peak). Their model explained the seasonality in the observed δ^{13} C as due to seasonal burning of biomass during the Southern hemisphere spring.

Later in 2000, Bergmaschi et al. studied δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ at Izaña, Tenerife (28°N, 16°W, 2370 m) from 1996-1998 and found the seasonal cycle of δ^{13} C and δ D to be 0.2‰ and 3.5‰, respectively. The seasonal cycle of δ D was found to be out of phase with the mixing ratio CH₄. They also found δ^{13} C wasn't perfectly shifted by 6 months with CH₄ mixing ratios. This indicates that δ^{13} C is good indicator of sources while δ D is more sensitive to sinks due to their large KIE.

Lowe et al. (1991) reported the δ^{13} C seasonality for Baring Head, New Zealand (41°25' S, 174°52' E) during 1989. They explained that seasonality due to OH variations

and local meteorology. Later in 1997, Lowe et al. (1997) investigated the δ^{13} C seasonality at New Zealand (41°25' S, 174°52' E) and Scott Base, Antarctica (77° 51' S, 166° 45' E) from 1989-1996. Their amplitudes were between 0.1 and 0.3‰, and there were no difference in the phase of the seasonal cycle for the two sites. Moreover in 2004, Lowe et al. found the seasonal cycles at Suva, Fiji (18° S, 178° W) were smaller and more irregular than Baring Head, New Zealand (41°25' S, 174°52' E) from 1994 to 2002. These cycles were driven by OH and Cl in tropical regions. They also noticed that the summer (1999) variability of δ^{13} C at Suva, Fiji (18°S, 178°W) is larger than further southern locations during the same time period. Such variability was correlated to the La Niña conditions (1998-2000).

In 2007, Tyler et al. reported that the seasonal cycles in δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ at Montaña de Oro, California (35°N, 121°W) were larger than those observed at Niwot Ridge, Colorado (40°N, 105°W). They also found a poor correlation between CH₄ mixing ratios and δ^{13} C, and a strong anticorrelation between CH₄ mixing ratios and δ^{13} C, and a strong anticorrelation between CH₄ mixing ratios and δ^{13} C.

1.4.3. Ice core measurements of δ^{13} C, and δ D of atmospheric CH₄

Studying old records of atmospheric CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D can give a better understanding of the changes in CH₄ sources and sinks in the past. Such understanding will allow for better predictions of the current and future trends of the CH₄ sources and sinks. Using the air trapped in the polar ice and firn air provides us an analysis of the long –term behavior of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D over the past 2000 years.
Bräunlich et al. (2001) measured δ^{13} C and δ D in firn air for two Antarctica sites; Dronning Maud Land (77° S, 10° W; 2300 m above sea level) and Dome Concordia (75° S, 123° E; 3233 m above sea level) then reconstructed the trends of δ^{13} C and δ D via Monte Carlo approach using a firn air model. They found a positive trend in δ^{13} C over 50 years (1950-2000). δ D had a declining trend from 1950 and reached a minimum value in 1975 before staring a gradual increase (1975-2000). The minimum value of δ D reflects the non-equilibrium state between atmospheric CH₄ and its sources and sinks during changes in the budget of CH₄.

In 2005, Ferretti et al. (2005) generated the first high resolution record δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ over the last 2000 years. The record showed that δ^{13} C between 0 and 1500 A.D. had similar value to the present. After 1500 A.D., δ^{13} C was depleted by about 2‰ and reached to a minimum value ~ -49‰. This steady state value lasted for about 2 centuries then it became enriched until the present value of ~ -47‰.

The reason for such enrichment of atmospheric δ^{13} C (between 0 and 1500 A.D.) was thought to be due to biomass burning while its depletion (between 1500 and 1700 A.D.) was due to the decrease of biomass burning associated with the decrease in the population in central and South America [Ferretti et al., 2005]. Another explanation for the trend of the δ^{13} C over the past two millennia was proposed by Houwelling et al. (2008). They assumed that the enriched value of δ^{13} C was due to the elevated emissions of aerobic methane from plants. The depletion of δ^{13} C of CH₄ such as rice cultivation, domestic ruminants, and waste treatment compared to the emissions from enriched

sources of δ^{13} C of CH₄ such as fossil fuel and biomass burning. After 1700 A.D., the rapid enrichment of δ^{13} C of CH₄ was due to increasing emissions from fossil fuel since the start of industrialization.

Mischler et al. (2009) published the measurements of δ^{13} C of CH₄ and δ D of CH₄ (900-1730 A.D.) from Antarctica, ice core (WDC05A). Their measurements showed that the trend of δ^{13} C was consistent with δ D. Both δ^{13} C and δ D were relatively stable and close to the present-day values from ~1000 to ~1500 A.D. They started to decrease at 1460 A.D while CH₄ began to rise. They continued to decrease as CH₄ reversed its trend and suddenly decreased starting in the 1580 A.D. CH₄ remained low while δ^{13} C and δ D reached their lowest values. From the late of the 18th century, CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D increased exponentially to the present-day values. Mischler et al. (2009) were first group to show the measurements of δ D during this time period. To confirm the fidelity of their results, they compared between δ^{13} C of their results and of Ferretti et al. (2005). There is a good agreement between the two δ^{13} C data sets.

Chapter 2

Experiment and Methodology

The first section in this chapter introduces information about the air archive samples acquired from OGI and measured at PSU. The main archive from Cape Meares includes 211 samples. The other air archives were collected from South Pole, Samoa, and Mauna Loa. The analytical method used to measure the isotopes of CH_4 ($\delta^{13}C$ and δD) of the above samples is described in section 2.2. A detailed description of the analytical system used to measure the CH₄ mixing ratio is found in section 2.3. Finally, the system configuration and procedure of field sampling air from Cape Meares is presented in section 2.4.

2.1. Air archived samples

A full set of 211 archived samples were collected at Cape Meares, Oregon (45.5° N, 124° W, 30 m) from 1977 till 1998 by Prof. R. A. Rasmussen (Department of Environmental and Bimolecular Systems, Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Engineering) and Prof. M. A. Khalil (Physics Department, Portland State University). The samples were collected using air liquefaction to compress 1000 L of air (STP) to 450 psi into 33L electropolished stainless steel canisters. Those samples represent one of the most complete and longest record of historical archived air samples from anywhere in the world. Cape Meares station also represents one of six locations (three in each hemisphere in polar, middle and tropical latitudes) that were monitored for the trends of some gases (e.g., CO₂, CH₄, CO, N₂O) in the atmosphere over a 20 year period by OGI. Sample collection date and canister number are Tabulated in Table A.1. in Appendix A.

Three other locations South Pole, Samoa, and Mauna Loa also have modest sized archives. The air archive samples from these sites are not as long and continuous as Cape Meares. There are some uncertainties associated with these samples; for example most of the samples are from different samples collected over the same month of collection that were mixed into one canister. Additionally, the fractional weighting for each individual date is unknown. The second challenge is a few of these samples had unknown collection dates due to lost tags on theses samples. NOAA reported the CH₄ mixing ratios at these locations from 1983, but the measurements of δ^{13} C did not begin until 1998. Our measurements of δ^{13} C and δ D add unique data during these times periods at these stations.

The first location at the South Pole (90°S) consists of 15 archived samples collected from January 1992 to February 1995. Three samples in the archive have unknown collection dates and two here from a single date of collection. The second location of Samoa (14.1° S, 170.6° W) has 14 samples collected from March 1995 to April 1996, with a few missing months during this time interval. The third location at Mauna Loa (21.08° N, 157.2° W) has 11 samples collected from February 1995 to December 1995.

2.2. Methane isotopic analytical method

Because the isotopic composition of atmospheric CH₄ (δ^{13} C, δ D) provides us with important information about the sources and sinks of atmospheric CH₄, it is very important to measure them with high accuracy and precision. One of the primary techniques used today is gas chromatography isotope ratio mass spectrometry or (GC-IRMS), which has been developed since 1978 [Matthews and Hayes, 1978]. The GC separates the individual compounds in the air sample (N₂, O₂, CH₄, and other hydrocarbons), then CH₄ is either oxidized to CO₂ or pyrolyzed to H₂ at high temperature and the IRMS will determine the relative abundance ratio of ¹³C/¹²C as CO₂ or D/H as H₂. Continuous flow GC-IRMS to measure δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ was performed by Merritt et al. [1995] and then modified by Rice et al. [2001].

The advantages of the modifications done by Rice et al. [2001]:

(1) allowed the measurement of not only $\delta^{13}C$ but also of δD of atmospheric CH₄,

(2) improved the precision of δ^{13} C-CH₄ and provided good accuracy and precision for δ D-CH₄,

(3) enhanced simplicity and speed to run a large number of samples within a shorter time while small amounts ($0.1 \text{ cm}^3 < \text{sample size} < 125 \text{ cm}^3$) of samples are consumed. The time for each run at PSU is about 15 minutes.



Figure 2.1. Schematic view of continuous-flow gas chromatography isototopic ratio mass spectrometer system for measurements of δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄.

The analytical system used to measure δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ in our lab has been modified from Rice et al. [2001] as shown in figure 2.1. The analytical system is composed of three parts: preconcentration system, GC and interface, and IRMS.

(i) The preconcentration system: Initially 125 cm³ of air sample is purged through a 25 cm³ sample loop through connection 1 in the normal position of valve (V₁). V₁ is then turned so that the air sample is swept up from the

sample loop by a He carrier at 50 cc/min to pass through stainless steel precolumn packed with Hayesep D (0.3 m, 3.17 mm o. d.) cooled at -125°C in a bath of ethanol chilled using liquid nitrogen (LN₂) for 9 minutes. At this temperature, the majority of molecules in the air sample (such as N₂, O₂) will pass through, however CH₄ is retained in the precoulmn. After 9 minutes, the sample is then cryofocused by warming the precloumn from -125°C to room temperature and transferring CH₄ in the air sample to the PoraPLOT Q cryofocus loop (0.6m,0.32mm i.d.) immersed in LN₂ by a He carrier at 5 cm³/min. This step furthers the removal of N₂ and O₂ and other molecules and retains CH₄ and focuses the CH₄ on a small section of tubing. After 3 minutes, valve (V₂) is switched to inject CH₄ in the sample to GC separation column.

(ii) The GC and interface: CH₄ in the air sample is released to the separation column from V₂. The separation column is a (30m, 0.32mm i.d.) PoraPLOT Q column. The temperature of GC column (30°C), pressure (17 psi), and flow rate (1 cm³/min) are regulated by a Thermo Scientific Trace Ultra GC. The GC allows further separation of air molecules such as O₂, N₂, Ar, CO and hydrocarbons that aren't removed during preconcentration or cryofocus and that may otherwise interfere with isotopic measurements. As CH₄ elutes from the separation column, it is either: (1) quantitatively oxidized to CO₂ and H₂O for δ^{13} C analysis in a combustion alumina tube (0.3 m, 1.59 mm o.d.) packed with CuO, NiO, and Pt wires at 960°C, or (2) quantitatively pyrolyzed to H₂ and C for δ D analysis in open alumina tube at 1400°C. The CO₂ or H₂ sample

is swept through a Nafion dryer to remove H_2O entrained in the carrier flow and introduced into the IRMS via a Thermo Scientific Combustion III open split interface through a capillary leak at a rate of 0.4ml/ min. The viscousflow in the capillary- crimp prevents isotopic fractionation of the gas as it enters the high vacuum (~ 10⁻⁶ mbar) mass spectrometer.

(iii) Isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS): The basic components of IRMS are an inlet system, ion source, a flight tube, an ion collector, and recording system. Both open split (in continuous flow) and capillary- crimp (in dual inlet mode) work as the inlet system which introduce the CO₂ gas or H₂ gas of air sample to the ion source. When the sample gas is introduced to the ion source, molecules (M) are ionized molecules (M⁺) by bombarding them with electrons which come from a heated filament creates a positively ionized molecule:

$$M + e^{-} \xrightarrow{\text{yields}} 2e^{-} + M^{+}$$
(R4)

In the case of CO₂ gas, the three resulting ions are primarily ${}^{12}C^{16}O_2^+$, ${}^{13}C^{16}O_2^+$, ${}^{12}C^{17}O^{16}O^+$, and ${}^{12}C^{18}O^{16}O^+$ which correspond to a mass to charge ratio (m/z) 44, 45, and 46. In case of H₂ gas, the two resulting ions are ${}^{1}H_2^+$ and ${}^{2}H^{-1}H^+$ which correspond to (m/z) 2 and 3 (${}^{2}H$ is called deuterium D). These molecules are then accelerated to the mass analyzer by high voltage potential (3kV) and collimated into a coherent beam. The beam passes through a strong magnetic field that deflects the ions in a circular trajectory according to their m/z. From Lorentz force, when a particle moves into a magnetic field it

will follow a circular path where the magnetic force provides the centripetal force of that particle in this circle with radius r so that

$$F_{\rm B} = zvB = \frac{mv^2}{r} \tag{2.1}$$

So that the radius of particle will be given as

$$r = \frac{mv}{zB}$$
(2.2)

where m is the mass of the particle (kg), and v is the velocity of that charge(m/s), z is the electric charge of the particle (in Coulomb), and B is the magnetic field (in Tesla). Heavy ions (larger m) are deflected to a lesser degree than lighter ions (smaller m) of the same charge as shown in figure 2.2.



Figure 2.2. Light and heavy ions are moved under the magnetic field and their deflection.

The beam of ions is then converted into an electrical signal by the Faraday cups. From the ratios of these, the isotopic ratios of H_2 and CO_2 can be deduced as shown in figure 2.3.



Figure 2.3. The different isotopic ions of hydrogen and carbon dioxide are focused in the collectors (Faraday cups).

The electric current from the isotope is proportional to the partial pressure of the respective component in the gas. These electrical signals are then stored in the computer, and displayed as shown in figure 2.4. Measurements of peak area are interpreted and connected to 8 values by comparison to reference peaks run at close intervals.



Figure 2.4. The output of δ^{13} C running air sample. Ion trace shows m/z =44,45, 46.

In case of CO₂, we have

$$I_{44} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{12}C^{16}O^{16}O \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.3)

$$I_{45} = 2 * \left[{}^{12}C^{16}O^{17}O \right] + \left[{}^{13}C^{16}O^{16}O \right]$$
(2.5)

$$I_{46} = 2 * \left[{}^{12}C^{18}O^{16}O \right] + 2 * \left[{}^{13}C^{16}O^{17}O \right] + \left[{}^{12}C^{17}O^{17}O \right]$$
(2.6)

Now

$${}^{45}R = \frac{I_{45}}{I_{44}} = \frac{2*[{}^{12}C^{16}O^{17}O] + [{}^{13}C^{16}O^{16}O]}{[{}^{12}C^{16}O^{16}O]} = 2 * {}^{17}R + {}^{13}R$$
(2.7)

$${}^{46}R = \frac{I_{46}}{I_{44}} = \frac{2*[{}^{12}C^{18}O^{16}O] + 2*[{}^{13}C^{16}O^{17}O] + [{}^{12}C^{17}O^{17}O]}{[{}^{12}C^{16}O^{16}O]} = 2{}^{18}R + 2{}^{13}R^{17}R + {}^{17}R^2$$
(2.8)

where ¹³R,¹⁷R, and ¹⁸R are corresponding to the ratios ¹³C/¹²C, ¹⁷O/¹⁶O, and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O respectively. From Equation (2.7) and (2.8), ⁴⁵R and ⁴⁶R are known however ¹³R, ¹⁷R, and ¹⁸R are unknown. Santrock et al. [1985] developed a technique to find ¹³R, ¹⁷R, and ¹⁸R with high accuracy. They used the following procedures

(1)
$${}^{17}R=0 \rightarrow (\text{from equation } (2.7)) {}^{45}R={}^{13}R.$$

- (2) from equation (2.8), calculate ${}^{18}R$ from ${}^{46}R$.
- (3) calculate 17 R, using

$$\frac{{}^{17}R_{\text{unkown}}}{{}^{17}R_{\text{standard}}} = \sqrt{\frac{{}^{18}R_{\text{unkown}}}{{}^{18}R_{\text{standard}}}}$$
(2.9)

- (4) insert ${}^{17}R$ into equation (2.7) to and recalculate ${}^{13}R$
- (5) repeat steps (2) and (3) and (1) until ^{13}R , ^{17}R , and ^{18}R converge

The values obtained for the isotopic composition of the sample are automatically corrected on the VPDB scale for ¹³C and ¹⁸O. Measurements of isotopic CH₄ (δ^{13} C and δ D) are made relative to reference peaks of CO₂ and H₂. The first three peaks in figure

2.4 are for the reference cylinder of ultra high purity (UHP) CO₂ at PSU (δ^{13} C= -40.76 ±0.01‰ and δ^{18} O = -40.05±0.01‰ versus VPDB).

In the case of δ^{13} C measurement, the fourth peak (chromatograph peak) is the CO₂ that came from CH₄ in the sample. A similar display (as in figure 2.4) will appear in case of δ D where the reference gas cylinder is UHP of H₂ (δ D= -185 ±1‰ versus VSMOW). In this case, the first three peaks are for the reference gas and the fourth peak (chromatograph peak) is H₂ that came from CH₄ in the sample.

2.2.1. Isotope calibration

Continuous-flow isotope reference gases were calibrated against our dual inlet reference gases which are a suite of three gas phase CO₂ and three H₂ aliquots stored in 1-L cylinders prepared and certified by Oztech Gas Company. These were calibrated to the VPDB (δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O) and VSMOW (δ D) scales using NBS 19 (RM 8544, δ^{13} C= +1.95‰ and δ^{18} O= -2.2 ‰versus VPDB) and VSMOW (RM 8535, δ D = 0‰).

The main calibration gas of δ^{13} C and δ D of CH₄ is based on a 12 L (STP) aliquot of air collected at (12° 51'S, 159° 8'W) and analyzed by the Stable Isotope Laboratory supervised by Professor Stanley Tyler at the University of California, Irvine (δ^{13} C =-46.90±0.04-‰ and δ D=-84 ±1.93‰ referred to as ST-438). The other calibrated gas that we use daily is a high pressure (~800 PSI) 30 L aluminum cylinder contains a whole air sample collected at Cheeka Peak, Washington (48°N, 124°W, δ^{13} C= - 47.24±0.07‰ and δ D=-94.8 ±1.9 ‰ referred to as ALM-032668). This latter gas was calibrated via ST-438.

Since there is a drift in the GC-IRMS analytical system from day to day, we measure ALM-032668 gas at the beginning of each day 3 to 5 times, at the middle of the day 2 to 3 times, and at the end of the day 2-3 times. To know the deviation of IRMS from actual values, we first calculate the average value of measured $\delta^{13}C$ denoted by $(\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}_{standard}$. The deviation in $(\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}_{measured}$ will be

$$\Delta(\delta^{13}C)^{ALM} = (\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}_{actual} - (\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}_{measured}$$
(2.10)

where $(\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}_{actual}$ is calculated by comparing values of ALM-032668 to ST-438. For example, we measure the ST-438 (4 times), then ALM-032668 (4 times), repeating the process several times. We calculate the deviation of GC-IRMS as, e.g.,

$$\Delta(\delta^{13}C)_{\text{Standard}}^{\text{ST}} = (\delta^{13}C)_{\text{actual}}^{\text{ST}} - (\delta^{13}C)_{\text{measured}}^{\text{ST}} = -46.90 + 45.276 = -1.62\%$$

herefore

Therefore

$$(\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}_{Actual} = \Delta(\delta^{13}C)^{ST}_{Standard} + (\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}_{measured} = -1.62 - 45.62 = -47.24\%_{00}$$

For the sample, we calculate the average value of $(\delta^{13}C)_{measured}^{Sa}$ and the actual value of the sample will be

$$(\delta^{13}C)_{actual}^{Sa} = \overline{(\delta^{13}C)_{measured}^{Sa}} + \Delta(\delta^{13}C)^{ALM}$$
(2.11)

And standard deviation of the sample (actual value) will be given as

$$\sigma(\delta^{13}C_{actual}^{Sa}) = \sqrt{\left[\sigma(\delta^{13}C_{measured}^{ALM})\right]^2 + \left[\sigma(\delta^{13}C_{measured}^{Sa})\right]^2}$$

2.3. Methane concentration analytical method

The stability of the air samples stored in canisters from the time collected until now is a primary concern. Measuring the concentration of air samples allows us to verify that there has been no drift in CH_4 over the storage period and can be done in either one of two ways:

(1) From the GC-IRMS measurement, we obtain the δ^{13} C and peak area of (m/z=44) for ¹²CH₄. Since the concentration of ALM-032668 is known well (1830 ppb) and the peak area is calculated based on ion current (from GC-IRMS) the concentration for each sample can be calculated using

$$C_{Actual}^{Sa} = \frac{\frac{(Peak Area)_{measured}}{Sameasured}}{(Peak Area)_{measured}} * C_{Actual}^{Stand}$$
(2.12)

where C_{Actual}^{Sa} and C_{Actual}^{Stand} are the actual value concentrations of the sample and standard, $\overline{(Peak Area)}_{measured}^{Sa}$ and $\overline{(Peak Area)}_{measured}^{Stand}$ are the average peak areas measured for the sample and standard. Precision using this method is ~1% (20ppb if C=2011ppb)

(2) Using gas chromatography- flame ionization detector(GC-FID)

Our gas chromatography system is based on the analytical methodology of Dlugokencky et al. [2005)]. A schematic of the analytical system is shown in figure 2.5. This system is composed of: a mutiposition stream selection valve for sample selection (16 ports); a two position valve (four-port stream selection valve which two ports are

plugged and used as off positions); a six-port valve for injection of gas to the GC column; a Hewlett-Packard 5890 gas chromatography with flame ionization detector (FID); Peak Simple software (SRI) and A/D board hardware for valve control and chromatogram data acquisition and peak integration.



Figure 2.5. Schematic view of the gas chromatography analytical system to measure the concentration of atmospheric CH_4 in air samples.

Initially, 50 cm³ of air sample or standard is flushed through the multiposition valve (V_M) to the 2 cm³ sample loop for 30 s. The flow is stopped by switching V_M to off position. 15 s is allowed for sample loop contents to relax to atmospheric pressure before the air in the sample loop is injected through the six-port valve. A N₂ carrier gas

(99.9995%) transfers the air sample from six-port valve to the GC column. GC column (3.2mm O.D. x 3m long 80/100 mesh HaySep Q) is held at 40°C. This column is used to separate CH₄ from the air. The FID flame is fueled by H₂ (99.999%) and ZeroAir. At the end of the run, the six-port valve is switched to the load position to prepare for the next injection. The total time for one run is \sim 3 minutes. In the same acquisition, we have six runs. Therefore, the total time to measure the concentration of a sample (or standard) is 18 min. The output of an acquisition is shown in figure 2.6.



Figure 2.6. The display of CH₄ peaks in the same acquisition. The third peak of each set is CH₄.

Each three peaks represent one run where the first peak is due to the switch of the 6-port injection valve, the second and third peaks represent O_2 and CH_4 peaks in the air sample. The output from these peaks is retention time (the time at which the peak appears), peak area, and peak height. To calculate the concentration of the sample, we use CH_4 in ultrapure air from Scott Marrin Inc. It has a pressure 2000 PSI and its concentration is 2011±20 ppb, traceable to NIST SRM 1659a. The standard gas is always

measured before and after each sample from which average peak area and average peak height of the standard is calculated. We use equation (2.12) (either for peak area or for peak height), average peak height of the standard, and C_{Actual}^{Stand} to calculate C_{Actual}^{Sa} .

To calculate the actual concentration of any sample, we first calculated the average peak height of the standard (Peak height)^{Stand}_{measured} and its standard deviation $\sigma((\text{Peak height})^{\text{standard}}_{\text{measured}})$ before and after the sample. We then calculate the average peak height of the sample (Peak height)^{Sa}_{measured} and its standard deviation $\sigma((\text{Peak height})^{\text{Sa}}_{\text{measured}})$. Using equation (2.12), we calculate the concentration of the sample (where $C^{\text{Stand}}_{\text{Actual}}$ = 2011ppb) and calculate its standard deviation using

$$\sigma(C_{actual}^{Sa}) = \frac{c_{actual}^{Sa}}{(Peak height)_{measured}^{Sa}} * \sigma((Peak height)_{measured}^{Sa})$$
(2.13)

Precision is 0.3 % ($1\sigma \sim 6$ ppb if C=2011ppb) on average using this method including uncertainties in standard and sample added in quadrate.

2.4. Recent Air sampling from Cape Meares (March, 2012 - September, 2012)

We have been collecting air samples from Cape Meares between March 2012 and September 2012. Two air samples are collected each time. Before sampling, the wind trajectory at Cape Meares is checked as will be described in section 3.5 and the maps of these trajectories are recorded in Appendix C. The canisters are evacuated before sampling and leak checked for their ability to preserve their vacuum pressures.



Figure 2.7. Field sampling system configuration

Air samples are collected using the field sampling system shown in figure 2.7. This system consists of a tripod, a sample inlet, a water trap, a 12V battery, a pump with a particle filter, a pressure gauge, and two canisters. The sample inlet consists of synflex tubing (type 1300, 6.35 mm O.D.), which is supported on a tripod and directed into the prevailing wind. The other end is connected to a water trap, which is stainless steel tubing (length=254 mm, 6.35 mm O.D.) filled with magnesium perchlorate, Mg(ClO₄)₂, and held in place on both sides with glass wool. The purpose of Mg(ClO₄)₂ in the tube is to absorb water vapor during sampling. The other side of the H₂O trap is connected to a stainless steel (all-welded in line 0.5 micron pore size) filter through synflex. The purpose of this filter is to remove large aerosols that are present in air and prevent their collection in the canister. The synflex and filter are then connected to the pump inlet. The pump is a 12V teflon diaphragm pump (KNF Neuberger, mode; N05STI). The outlet of

the pump is connected to a canister through a pressure gauge to measure the canister pressure.

The following procedures are followed each time we collect air:

- 1) Connect the system as shown in figure 2.7; finger tight first then using wrenches
- 2) Connect one side of the pressure gauge to the canister
- Verify that the pump is providing air flow through the connection line between the pressure gauge and the canister
- 4) Purge the system for 3 minutes
- 5) Tighten connections using wrench and open the main valve of the canister. The pressure should drop very quickly since the canisters are evacuated. It takes several minutes to increase the pressure until it is on-scale
- 6) Fill the canister with air until 25 psig has been reached and purge the canister by slightly loosing the fitting to the canister.
- 7) Repeat steps 5 and 6 two times
- 8) Collect the air into the canister during the final and third time and fill it to 34 psig
- 9) Close the main valve of the canister and disconnect the battery from the pump.
- 10) Breakdown the sampling system by disconnecting sections.



Figure 2.8. The location at Cape Meares where air is collected.

Chapter 3

Results of Analyses at PSU

This Chapter will include results from measurements made at PSU and their analysis. The first section in this chapter (3.1) will show the results obtained from measuring the CH₄ mixing ratio from Cape Meares (45.5°N, 124° W) air archive samples collected from 1977 to 1999. These measurements will also show the stability of air samples over the time and the seasonal cycle in mixing ratio at this site. This section will also present a comparison of CH₄ mixing ratios from Cape Meares archive samples with those of a continuous measurement by gas chromatography measured by Oregon Graduate Institute and flask samples measured by NOAA. The results of measurements of the δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ in Cape Meares air archive samples will be presented in section (3.2) and section (3.3), respectively. Their seasonal cycles will be discussed. The correlation between these seasonal cycles in CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D will be discussed in section (3.4). The results of recent measurements of Cape Meares air collected from March 2012 until October 2012 will be shown in section (3.5). Section (3.6) will present other measurement made at Portland State University from the OGI archive for three locations: South Pole (90° S), Samoa (14.1° S, 170.6° W), and Mauna Loa (21.08° N, 157.2° W).

3.1 Cape Meares CH₄ mixing ratio Results



3.1.1. CH₄ mixing ratio results measured at PSU

Date

Figure 3.1. The atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio measurements from air archive samples at Cape Meares, Oregon collected 1 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. The CH_4 mixing ratio is expressed on the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) scale. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ (standard deviation) from six determinations for each sample. The peak height method (as explained in Chapter 2) is used to determine the CH_4 mixing ratio.

The trend of atmospheric CH₄ for Cape Meares, Oregon from 1977 to 1999 is shown in figure 3.1. Tabulated values appear in table A.1 in Appendix A. It shows an average increase of CH₄ mixing ratio in the atmosphere by 12.89 ± 0.65 ppb/yr (growth rate \pm 1 standard error) during 1977 to 1999. The rate of increase during 1977-1988 (16.38±1.32 ppb/yr) is faster than during 1988-1999 (5.57±1.84 ppb/y), in general agreement with the slowdown discussed in section 1.2. To calculate the seasonal trend, the CH_4 data in figure 3.1 was smoothed using the localized linear regression technique LOWESS with a wide span in (0.3) (more details about LOWESS will be discussed in section 4.2.1) to get the long term trend without seasonality and neglecting the inter-annual variability. The smoothed data produced by LOWESS was then subtracted from the observed value of CH_4 mixing ratio to get the residual for each data point. Resulting residuals were then graphed by month of collection and the average of residual, its standard deviation, and its standard error was calculated, whatever the year of collection. These values appear in a table A.2 in Appendix A.

The seasonal trend of atmospheric CH₄ based on monthly observations at Cape Meares, Oregon from air archive samples collected from 1 October 1977 to 24 August 1999 is shown in figure 3.2. This figure shows that atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio has a broad maximum from October until April. It reaches to the lowest level between July and August. After August, it increases again. Maximum residual values of CH₄ mixing ratio occur in March at 8 ppb and minimum values of -25 ppb occur in late of August. The peak to peak amplitude is 33 ppb. This seasonal trend is very close to the one obtained from continuous measurements of CH₄ mixing ratios at Cape Meares from 1979 to 1992 (see section 3.1.2) [Khalil et al., 1993]. The phase and amplitude of the seasonal cycle may change from year to year [Khalil et al., 1993; Dlugokencky et al., 1995].



Figure 3.2. Seasonal trend of atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio from air archive samples. The residual CH_4 mixing ratio is expressed on NIST scale. Error bars represent ±1 standard error.

The seasonal trend in atmospheric CH₄ for any location gives us some indications about CH₄ sources and sinks [Khalil et al., 1983; 1993]. When the CH₄ mixing ratio is high, it means the effect of sink is low and/or emissions from sources are high. Based on the CH₄ mixing ratio measurements and its seasonal trend, Khalil et al. [1993] found using different CH₄ lifetimes 8, 10, and 12 years the highest CH₄ emissions occur during the late summer and early fall. In terms of sinks, they deduced that the observed CH₄ mixing ratio seasonal cycle was not driven by reaction of CH₄ with OH or transport. Therefore, a significant seasonal cycle of emissions is required to explain the CH₄ seasonal cycle from some sources such as wetlands, cattle and rice agriculture. The measurements of the stable isotopes δ^{13} C and δ D, their seasonal trend, and the correlation with the seasonal trend of CH₄ mixing ratio put more constraints to determine which source and/or sink causes this behavior [Tyler et al., 2007].



Figure 3.3. CH_4 mixing ratio measurements of Cape Meares air archive samples at OGI (green star) and at PSU (red circle). Both measurements are on the NIST scale.

The stability of the air samples was checked by GC-FID (as mentioned in Chapter 2) by comparing the records of OGI (from measurements in 1992, 1993, and 1994) with the results measured by GC-FID at PSU (in 2010 and 2011). Both records are on the NIST calibration scale and so may be directly compared. Figure 3.3 shows the stability of air samples by comparing between measurements done at OGI (green star) and measurements done at PSU (red circle) of 211 samples.



Figure 3.4. Comparison between CH₄ concentrations measured at OGI and at PSU using GC-FID.

The OGI did not measure the CH_4 mixing ratio of air samples collected after July 20th 1994, so that there is no comparison after this date (27 samples). In addition, there are 3 samples measured at OGI against standards of unknown calibration scales.

The high degree of correlation ($r^2=0.994$) between PSU and OGI measurements is shown in figure 3.4. and indicates an agreement between PSU measurements and OGI measurements and that sample integrity is good in storage. There are only 6 samples that differ by more than 10 ppb and 1 sample that differs by >20 ppb. This sample was collected 16 December 1981 (Tank# 192) has a PSU mixing ratio 46 ppb higher than expected from the OGI record. The reason for the discrepancy is unknown. Figure 3.5 shows the difference in CH₄ mixing ratio measurements between OGI and PSU with the collected date. The best fit line has a slope 0.0004 ± 0.0002 from 1977 to 1994 showing that CH₄ stability is not linked to storage time. However, there is a small difference between OGI and PSU dataset of an average of 3.5 ppb. The lack of trend in figure 3.5 suggests that the 3.5 ppb average difference between PSU and OGI measurements is due to small calibration offset between the laboratories rather than stabilizing issue.



Figure 3.5. The difference in CH_4 mixing ratio between OGI and PSU in [ppb] with the collected date after removing the outlier value.

Finally, differences between PSU and OGI determined concentrations are expected due to measurement uncertainty. Therefore, we generated a random dataset based on the average standard deviations of OGI and PSU measurements where this random dataset has a mean value of 0 and standard deviation defined by

$$\sigma = \sqrt{(\sigma_{\rm PSU})^2 + (\sigma_{\rm OGI})^2} \tag{3.1}$$

Sampling from a Gaussian distribution 181 times generates the random distribution shown in figure 3.6(b). This can be compared with the observed distribution shown in figure 3.6(a).

The distribution in figure 3.6(b) is normalized about the mean value zero rather than in figure 3.6(a) which was about 3.5 ppb. We used a T-test to measure the difference between OGI and PSU dataset (before random sampling) and the average value 0 (used for random sampling) and the p-value was much less than 0.01, showing a high level of confidence. Additionally, there were 3 data points that have a difference in CH₄ between PSU and OGI greater than 12.5 ppb (as shown in figure 3.6(c)) that didn't exist in the random distribution. However, aside from these 3 points and the 3.5 ppb offset between datasets the distributions show remarkable similarity (figure 3.6(a) and figure 3.6(b)) For this reason, and the lack of trend in the 3.5 ppb offset (figure 3.5), we attribute this difference to calibration differences between the two laboratories.



Figure 3.6 (a). Gaussian distribution of the differences of Cape Meares samples between OGI and PSU. (b). Gaussian distribution of a simulated dataset generated by a random sampling. (c). The difference between Gaussian distributions before in Q-Q Plot.

3.1.2. A comparison of CH₄ mixing ratios at Cape Meares air

In this section, a comparison is made between the mixing ratio results from the archived samples measured at PSU with two data sets. The first is a continuous measurement dataset by Professors Khalil and Rasmusssen of OGI (available at http://cdiac.ornl.gov/ndps/db1007.html), which covers 1979 to 1992 and is reported on the Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory (cmdl83) scale [Khalil et al., 1993]. The other dataset is from the NOAA ESRL laboratory composed of flask samples (available at ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg), covers 1983 to 1998 and is reported in the (NOAA04) scale [Dlugokencky et al., 2012]. 1.0124 and 0.998 are conversion factors used to transfer from "cmdl83" and "NIST" scales, respectively to (NOAA04) scale [Dlugokencky et al., 2005]. The measurements of atmospheric CH₄ for each dataset are shown in figure 3.7.



Figure 3.7 Atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio measurements at Cape Meares from different datasets. The average monthly mixing ratios of Cape Meares measured by OGI (green star) [Khalil et al.1993] between 1979 and 1992 and by NOAA (blue cross) [Dlugokencky et al. 2012], which spans (1983-1998), and at PSU (red circle) during 1977-1999. The first and third datasets have been adjusted to be on NOAA04 scale.

The same procedures were followed to calculate the seasonal trend of these datasets as in section 3.1.1. Figure 3.8 represents the seasonal trend in CH₄ mixing ratio observed at Cape Meares based on these different sets. This figure shows a good agreement between the three datasets in all but one month (April). In this figure, monthly means overlap with one standard error of the PSU dataset with the lowest level between July and August and a broad maximum from October through April. The peak to peak amplitude for the continuous measurements, flask samples, and air archive samples are 31, 37, and 33 ppb, respectively. The values of the average residual per month are tabulated in table A.3 in Appendix A.



Figure 3.8 Seasonal trend of atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio at Cape Meares from three different datasets.

3.2. Atmospheric δ^{13} C-CH₄ and its seasonal trend

The results for δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ in all air samples from Cape Meares (1977-1999) are shown in figure 3.9. Additionally, measured values are listed in table A.1 in Appendix A. Average precision obtained is 0.08‰ from all the measured samples and each sample measured three times. The average value of measured δ^{13} C is -47.6±0.2 (± σ)‰ with a minimum value -48.0‰ which occurred on 22 November 1978 and a maximum value -47.1‰ which occurred on 10 May 1995. The average rate of increase was 0.018±0.001 ‰/yr for 1977-1999. δ^{13} C increased by 0.014±0.004 ‰/yr and

 0.021 ± 0.005 ‰/yr for 1977-1988 and 1988-1999, respectively, showing a distinct trend from CH₄ mixing ratio. The secular trend in δ^{13} C will be discussed in section 4.4.2



Figure.3.9 The δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ observed at Cape Meares, Oregon from 1 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. Error bars are $\pm 1\sigma$ from the average of multiple runs of each sample.

There is one sample outlier in this dataset which is shown in figure 3.9. This outlier measurement belongs to a tank number O-223 which was collected on 11 August 1982. Its measured δ^{13} C value is -46.8±0.1‰. Based on its δ^{13} C value, it is expected that this sample would show unstable mixing ratio in storage, but the measurement of CH₄ mixing ratio showed a reasonable stability, with the OGI value higher by 8.5 ppb. Comparing between the measured δ^{13} C of this sample with the average δ^{13} C of other

samples collected in the same month (August, -47.5 $\pm 0.1\%$), the sample O-223 is > +3 σ from average. Therefore, this value is rejected as an outlier for further analysis.

The outlier samples from measured CH_4 mixing ratios, which have more than 10 ppb difference between OGI and PSU (see section 3.1.1), show no discernible difference with other samples collected over the same month or the same year as shown in table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Samples with 10 ppb or more difference between measured values of CH_4 mixing ratios at OGI and PSU and their measured $\delta^{13}C$ values

Collected date	Tank No.	PSU mixing ratio [ppb]		OGI mixing ratio[ppb]		Diff [ppb]	δ ¹³ C [‰]	
					Std.			
		Ave.	Std. Dev	Ave.	dev	OGI-PSU	Ave.	Std. Dev
4/29/1980	O-087	1650.9	3.51	1665.4	1.2	14.5	47.7	0.20
3/11/1981	O-138	1640.4	2.23	1659.6	0.8	19.2	47.6	0.06
12/16/1981	O-192	1671.1	2.60	1625.0	1	-46.1	-47.8	0.12
12/8/1982	O-238	1682.7	1.40	1701.9	2.9	19.2	47.7	0.06
1/17/1989	R 346B	1770.2	1.70	1782.2	1.2	12.0	47.5	0.05
10/25/1993	CO 435	1825.3	2.28	1835.6	1.3	10.3	47.7	0.04
5/10/1994	CO 442	1813.1	4.02	1825.0	2	11.9	47.1	0.03

The seasonal trend of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ was obtained by following the same procedures used to obtain the seasonal trend of CH₄ mixing ratio: using a localized linear regression (LOWESS) with wide span ~(0.3) to get the long term trend; Calculating the residual which results from the subtraction of the predicted value of δ^{13} C from the measured value; Aggregating the residuals by month; Calculating the average, standard deviation and standard error of the residual by month of collection. The result of these procedures is shown in figure 3.10. Their values are tabulated in table A.4 in Appendix A.



Figure 3.10. Seasonal trend of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares from 1 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. The error bars represent ± 1 standard error.

The seasonal trend of δ^{13} C in figure 3.10 shows that it becomes increasingly enriched in the atmosphere from January and reaches its highest value of (0.1‰) in May. Subsequently, values become gradually depleted during June-August then rapidly falling to minimum (-0.12‰) between September and October. δ^{13} C then gradually becomes enriched through the end of the year. Peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude for δ^{13} C at Cape Meares is 0.22 ‰. A comparison between the seasonal cycles of CH₄ in figure 3.2 and δ^{13} C in figure 3.10 at Cape Meares will show a poor correlation between of δ^{13} C with CH₄ seasonal cycle (see section 3.4).

3.3. Atmospheric δD -CH₄ and its seasonal trend

The results for δD of atmospheric CH₄ in all air samples from Cape Meares (1977-1999) are shown in figure 3.10. Tabulated values are found in table A.1 in Appendix A. The average δD of CH₄ from these results is -107.07±5.06‰ with a minimum value (-117.17‰) on 21 July 1981 and a maximum value (-91.16‰) on 31 July 1996. The δD of atmospheric CH₄ increased at average rate of 0.68±0.03 ‰/yr for 1977-1999. The rates of change were 0.44±0.08 ‰/yr and 1.07±0.1 ‰/yr during 1977-1988 and 1988-1999, respectively, similar in behavior to that observed in $\delta^{13}C$. The secular rate of change in δD will be discussed in more details in section 4.4.3.

The seasonal trend of δD is shown in figure 3.12. The values in this figure are tabulated in table A.5 in Appendix A. Average precision obtained is 2.3‰ and each sample measured three times. Beginning in January, the δD of atmospheric CH₄ gradually increases in the atmosphere and reaches to the highest level (2.4‰) between July and August. During August, it drops rapidly reaching its lowest level (-1.7‰) by October through December. Peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude for δD is (4.1‰). From the seasonal cycles of CH₄ in figure 3.2 and δD in figure 3.12, it is noticed that CH₄ seasonal cycle shows an anti-correlation with the δD seasonal cycle. More details about the relation between the seasonal cycles of CH₄, $\delta^{13}C$, and δD and how their behaviors give information about CH₄ sources and sinks will be discussed in the next section 3.4.


Figure 3.11. The δD of atmospheric CH₄ observed at Cape Meares, Oregon from 1 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. Error bars are $\pm 1\sigma$ from the average of multiple measurements of each sample.



Figure 3.12 . Seasonal trend of δD of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares 1 October 1977 to 24 August 1999. The error bars represent ± 1 standard error.

3.4. Discussion of seasonal cycles of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D at Cape Meares

This discussion examines two main effects. First, we explain the behaviors of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D in terms of sources and sinks. Second, we use the correlation between isotopic compositions and mixing ratio to determine the kinetic isotopic effects for the atmospheric loss process, 13 C α and ${}^{D}\alpha$.

Bergamaschi et al. [2000] and Allan et al. [2001] describe a method to extract information about the isotopic signatures of the sources or the kinetic isotopic effects (KIE) of the sinks. They construct a phase ellipse to relate the seasonal change in the isotopic ratio either δ^{13} C or δ D to CH₄ mixing ratio. They derive the following equation

$$\frac{\Delta\delta}{\Delta C} \sim \frac{\varepsilon \left(1 + \delta_0\right)}{C_0} \tag{3.2}$$

where ε is the kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) defined in section 1.4 and related to α from equation (1.9) for δ^{13} C and for δ D. δ_0 and C_o are the mean values of δ and mixing ratio over a short time interval Δt (here taken to be one year). The left hand side of equation (3.2) can be obtained by plotting a correlation between the changes in the isotopic signatures of the atmosphere with the change in the mixing ratio of the atmosphere. The slope of the line is called "KIE line". According to equation (3.2), the slope of this line is determined by the magnitude of KIEs in CH₄ sink reactions. This line should be exactly a straight line with negative slope if only the sinks control the relation between the isotope and mixing ratio. When the sources are important to seasonal changes of mixing ratio and isotope ratio, the points will fall off this line and will be distributed around that line in an ellipse. Therefore, plotting the observed mean residual monthly value of the isotopic composition of the atmosphere with the mean residual value of mixing ratio will give an ellipse shape. In a modeling study, Allan et al. [2001a] found that increasing source dominance of the seasonality will increase the eccentricity of the ellipse.

Figures 3.14 (a) and (b) show the residual of δ^{13} C and δ D plotted versus the residual of CH₄ mixing ratio at Cape Meares. For figure 3.14 (a), the slope is (-0.0036±0.0004)‰/ppb and has r²=0.2 (n=211). The slope in figure 3.14(b) is (-0.0905±0.0092)‰/ppb and has r²=0.4 (n=209). The uncertainty of the slopes represents the standard errors with 95% confidence interval. From these results, we note that δ D has a stronger antiorrelation with CH₄ mixing ratio than δ^{13} C. This is thought to result from a considerably larger kinetic isotopic effect in CH₃D reactions in sink processes (i.e. ^D $\alpha >>$ ^{13C} α). From these figures we deduce from the following calculations of ^{13C} α and ^D α .

We choose 1980 to calculate C_o (the mean mixing ratio), since this year has almost one sample for each month except for June, $(\delta^{13}C)_0$, and $(\delta D)_0$ which are 1637 ppb, -47.65‰, and -110.8‰, respectively. The results of $^{13C}\alpha$ and $^{D}\alpha$ from regression lines in figure 3.14(a) and 3.14(b) are 1.006±0.0007 and 1.19±0.02, respectively. Again, the confidence interval for these results is 95%.

To check if this value agrees with theory, the expected value for the carbon atmosphere KIE can be calculated from

$${}^{13C}\alpha_{ave} = f_{OH}{}^{13C}\alpha_{OH} + f_{soil}{}^{13C}\alpha_{soil} + f_{strato}{}^{13C}\alpha_{strato}$$
(3.3)

where f_{OH} , f_{soil} , and f_{strato} are the fractional contribution of OH sink, soil sink and stratospheric sink, respectively. Their values are $f_{OH}=0.88$, $f_{soil}=0.05$ and $f_{strato}=0.07$ [IPCC, 2007]. Inserting ${}^{13C}\alpha_{OH}=1.0039\pm0.0004$ [Saueressig et al., 2001], $^{13C}\alpha_{soil}$ =1.0181±0.0004 [Snover and Quay, 2000], $^{13C}\alpha_{strato}$ =1.0156± 0.0004 [Rice et al., 2003], the weighted value of carbon KIE is $^{13C}\alpha_{ave}$ =1.0054±0.0007.

The calculated value of KIE for carbon (1.0054) is lower than the value obtained from figure 3.14(a) (1.006). This discrepancy may be due to the effect of marine boundary layer Cl as one of the sinks for atmospheric CH₄ which is not taken into account in the calculations in equation (3.3). The Cl sink has ^{13C} α_{Cl} =1.0621 [Tyler et al., 2000]. This effect will cause to increase atmospheric carbon KIE [Gupta et al., 1996; Allan et al., 2001(a)]. However, the differences between expected and observed ^{13C} α agree within the error of measurement and may not be real.

An equation similar to equation (3.3) is used to calculate the expected hydrogen atmospheric KIE. It has the following form

$${}^{D}\alpha_{ave} = f_{OH} {}^{D}\alpha_{OH} + f_{soil} {}^{D}\alpha_{soil} + f_{strato} {}^{D}\alpha_{strato}$$
(3.4)

 f_{OH} , f_{soil} , and f_{strato} are defined as for the carbon KIE and have the same values. Inserting ${}^{\mathbf{D}}\alpha_{OH}=1.294\pm0.018$ [Saueressig et al., 2001], ${}^{\mathbf{D}}\alpha_{soil}=1.066\pm0.007$ [Snover and Quay, 2000], ${}^{\mathbf{D}}\alpha_{strato}=1.157\pm0.008$ [Rice et al., 2003], the average value of hydrogen KIE is ${}^{\mathbf{D}}\alpha_{ave}=1.27\pm0.02$. The hydrogen KIE value obtained from figure 3.14(b) is less than the KIE value obtained from equation (3.4).

Tyler et al. [2007] calculated the KIEs for both isotopes for Niwot Ridge, Colorado (40° N, 105° W) (NWR) and Montaña de Oro, (35° N, 12° W) California (MDO) which both are northern hemisphere mid-latitude locations. For the carbon KIE, they obtained $1.009\pm$ 0.003 and $1.010\pm$ 0.003 for NWR and MDO, respectively. Their values for the hydrogen

KIE for NWR and MDO are 1.30 ± 0.03 and 1.29 ± 0.07 , respectively. Their carbon and hydrogen KIE for both locations are higher than the obtained values for Cape Meares. Bergamashi et al. [2000] calculated the KIE of carbon and hydrogen for Izaña, Tenerife (28° N, 16° W). They obtained 1.009 ± 0.003 and 1.23 ± 0.04 for KIE of carbon and hydrogen, respectively, again higher but within uncertainty of Cape Meares values.

The elliptical behavior of figure 3.14 reflects seasonal changes in CH₄ sources. Early season (February-May) off-axis distortion show a significant enriched source of CH₄, likely the result of biomass burning (δ^{13} C= -26‰ and δ D= -210‰). In contrast, late season (August-October) sources are depleted and are likely microbial in nature (δ^{13} C= -60‰ and δ D= -330‰). The seasonal cycle of δ D-CH₄ is more sensitive to the sinks, due to the large KIE. However, the changes of δ D with CH₄ coincide with the changes of δ^{13} C with CH₄ mixing ratio and reflect an enriched source during Feburary-May and a depleted source during August-October.



Figure 3.13. Seasonal cycles of (a) atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio, (b) δ^{13} C, and (c) δ D at Cape Meares based on the measurements from air archive samples collected from 1 October 1977 to 24 Aug 1999. Error bars represent ±1 standard error.



Figure 3.14. Phase ellipse for CH₄ at Cape Meares, Oregon, made by plotting (a) residual of δ^{13} C-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio and (b) residual of δ D-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio. The black stars are mean monthly residual values of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D. The results of KIE of both ^{13C} α and ^D α are 1.006±0.004 and 1.19±0.09, respectively.

3.5. Recent measurements at Cape Meares, Oregon (March 2012-October 2012)

Starting March 28th, 2012 monthly field work was initiated to collect air samples at the Cape Meares site. The main reason for these trips is to check the current values of atmospheric CH₄ and its isotopes and to confirm the seasonal variability of the three measured parameters. Checking the forecast and more critically the wind trajectory is one of the important factors to collect air on the selected sampling days. The HYSPLIT forecast back trajectory NOAA ARL (http://www.ready.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT traj.php [Draxler and Rolph, 2012]) is used to evaluate days of sample collection at Cape Meares (45.5° N, 124° W). A backward trajectory is run to predict the zonal wind direction that will hit Cape Meares on the day of collection for 72 hours prior to collection. From model run, we choose a day with wind prevailing from westerly directions to avoid sampling effects from regional continental sources. For measured meteorology information on the day of collection such as wind direction (WDIR), wind speed (WSPD), wind gust (GST) atmospheric pressure (PRES), and air temperature (ATMP), a NOAA National weather service Northwest station is used in Tillamook bay (45°33' N, 123°55' W) (http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/station page.php?station=TLBO3) is used which measures all the parameters mentioned. This station is the closest Northwest station to Cape Meares. Detailed information about the wind trajectory and all the measured parameters at Tillamook Bay for each sample collected is included in Appendix C.

3.5.1. Atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios (2012)

The recent measurements of CH₄ mixing ratios had a maximum measured value on 28 March 2012 which was 1891 ppb. After March, the atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio decreases and reaches the lowest value late July, 1823 ppb. During August, CH₄ mixing ratio increases again to 1871ppb. From these measured values, the amplitude of the cycle is 68 ppb, though a full season has not been measured. The tabulated data for the measurements is found in table A.6 in Appendix A. The phase of recent seasonal cycle in figure 3.15 confirms the phase obtained from measured values of archive air samples in figure 3.2.



Figure 3.15. The seasonal trend of CH₄ mixing ratio starting from 28 March 2012 to October 2012. The measured CH₄ mixing ratio is on the NOAA04 scale. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$.

3.5.2. Isotope Ratios

 δ^{13} C of recently collected air samples at Cape Meares were measured. The measured values are shown in figure 3.16. δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ becomes gradually enriched after March and reaches its highest value (-47.14‰) in July. Subsequently, δ^{13} C becomes rapidly depleted and falling to its minimum value (-47.7‰) during late September. From these measurements, the amplitude of the cycle is 0.56‰. From figure 3.10 and figure 3.16, the highest value of δ^{13} C is shifted from May to July. However, the minimum values of δ^{13} C occur in September as observed in the archive. The measured values are tabulated in table A.6 in Appendix A.



Figure 3.16. The seasonal trend of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ starting from 28 March 2012 to October 2012. Error bars represent ±1standard error.

 δD of recently collected air samples at Cape Meares have yet to be measured. However, we plan to commence these measurements in the coming months which will confirm seasonal trend in δD from the archive.

3.6. Archived samples from South Pole, Mauna Loa, and Samoa

Additional samples collected at South Pole, Mauna Loa, and Samoa were available from the OGI archive and were measured for their CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C and δ D at PSU. One complication regarding these samples was that, for each location and month, all the samples that were collected over the same month were mixed into one canister. In contrast to the Cape Meares archive, these samples represent a mix of air and could present a significant source of uncertainty. Further, the fractional weighting for each individual date is unknown. NOAA began reporting average mixing ratios at these locations in 1983 [Dlugokencky, et al., 2012]. However, δ^{13} C measurements at these locations didn't start until 1998 [White and Vaughn, 2011]. Our measurements provide some information on δ^{13} C and δ D during these periods at these selected stations. Records of δ^{13} C are available for Mauna Loa and Samoa by Quay et al. [1999]. However, there is no recorded data available for δ D during the period of collection at any of these locations.

3.6.1. South Pole (90° S)

There are 15 samples in the air archive collected from the South Pole during the period January 1992 to February 1995. Three of them had unknown collection dates. With the exception of discrete two samples, the samples are of mixed dates (i.e. samples are from different days during the month of collection are mixed in one canister). The measurements of CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C, and δ D are shown in figure 3.16. Tabulated values can also be found table A.7 in Appendix A.

The average value of mixing ratio of South Pole samples is 1689±22 ppb with a minimum measured value of 1663 ppb in March, 1992 and a maximum measured value of 1740 ppb in October, 1992.

Although there are not full data for one year, the measurements during 1992 show that the seasonal trend in CH₄ mixing ratio has a minimum value during March and reaches a maximum in October and their values mentioned above. A comparison between these measurements and measurements made by NOAA-ESRL [Dlugokencky et al., 2012] is shown in figure 3.17 (a). According to NOAA measurements, the maximum and minimum values of mixing ratio occur during September and October, respectively. During 1992, the maximum value of mixing ratio observed by NOAA is 1703 ppb, and the minimum value is 1672 ppb. Overall, our archived samples follow the seasonality of NOAA data with a few exceptions. The June 1992 and October 1992 samples are ~23 ppb and ~40 ppb higher than expected, respectively. The cause of these deviations is unknown but may reflect differences in air masses sampled. All other samples are within $\pm 2\sigma$ measurement error bars.

The δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ record from South Pole archived samples is shown in figure 3.17(b). The average value of these measurements is -47.06±0.09 (1 σ) ‰. From these measurements, the maximum observed value is in February, 1992 (-46.88‰) and the minimum observed value is in September, 1992 (-47.22‰). Measurements of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ at South Pole by NOAA didn't begin until 1998. However, the seasonal trend of δ^{13} C for high latitude southern hemisphere locations has been discussed in the literature [Lowe et al., 1991; 1994; 1997; 2004; Miller et al., 2002]. Based on the measurements of atmospheric CH₄ and its carbon isotope from 1989 to 1996 at Baring Head, New Zealand (41° S and 174° E) and at a Scott Base, Antarctica (77.85° S, 166.75° E), Lowe et al. [1997] found that the seasonal cycles of δ^{13} C from both sites are approximately 6 months out of phase with mixing ratio [Lowe et al., 1997].

Miller et al. [2002] showed that, during 1998-1999, δ^{13} C seasonal cycle at South Pole (SPO) has a lower amplitude than those at Cape Grim (CGO) (40.7° S, 144.7° E) and Samoa (SMO) (13.8° S, 172° W). The amplitudes of seasonal cycles for SPO, CGO, and SMO during 1998 are 0.17‰, 0.28‰, and 0.39‰, respectively. In case of South Pole, the seasonal amplitudes of CH₄ and δ^{13} C are thought to be completely controlled by OH destruction. During the summer in Southern hemisphere, the OH has the highest concentration and CH₄ will decrease the CH₄ concentration and enrich the ¹³CH₄ in the atmosphere. Therefore, the seasonal cycle of δ^{13} C will have 6 months out of phase, CH₄ will have maximum values in September and minimum values in February.

The δD of atmospheric CH₄ for South Pole over the same period is shown in figure 3.17(c). The mean value of these results is -90.4±3.2 (1 σ) ‰. The minimum value is found to be -96.5‰ during May 1992 and maximum value of -84.2‰ is found during February 1995. From the measurements of δD during 1992, the most enriched value is during August (-88.1‰) and the most depleted value occurs in May (-96.4‰).

Because the measurements of δD are not as common as $\delta^{13}C$, there is no other data available to compare these results with. In fact, these are the only known measurements of δD of atmospheric CH₄ from the southern hemisphere during this time. However, based on the sink-driven seasonality we anticipate δD to be in phase with $\delta^{13}C$ with minimum values during September and maximum values during February.



Figure 3.17 (a) CH₄ mixing ratio (b) δ^{13} C-CH₄, and (c) δ D-CH₄ measurements for South Pole from January 1992 to February 1995. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$. CH₄ mixing ratio measurements at PSU were adjusted to be in NOAA scale.

3.6.2. Samoa (14.1° S, 170.6° W)

There are 14 samples from this location which were part of OGI archive and collected from March 1995 to April 1996, with few missing months during this period. Figure 3.18 shows the results obtained from Samoa archived samples measured at PSU. The measurements of CH_4 mixing ratio and its isotopic composition for these samples are tabulated in table A.8 in Appendix A.

The average measured CH₄ mixing ratio in Samoa over this period is 1698 ± 8 (1 σ) ppb. The CH₄ mixing ratio changes from a minimum value of 1684 ppb in March 1995 to a maximum value of 1710 ppb in September 1995. The amplitude of the seasonal cycle is ~26 ppb. Comparison between these measurements and others measured by NOAA [Dlugokencky et al., 2012] is shown in figure 3.18(a). Their data, from March 1995 to April 1996, show that there are two minimum values of the CH₄ mixing ratio in March 1995 and April 1996 with values of 1698 ppb and 1699 ppb, respectively. Their maximum values of mixing ratio occur during September 1995 and February 1996 with values of 1714 ppb and 1725 ppb, respectively. All measurements performed at PSU from the archive agree within ($\pm 2\sigma$) with NOAA measurements. However, there are 3 samples that are more than 10 ppb lower than measured by NOAA. These samples were collected March 1995, August 1995, and January 1996. With the exception of two of these samples, the seasonal trend observed here in mixing ratio is consistent with the NOAA record.

The δ^{13} C results for Samoa over the period are shown in figure 3.18(b). The average value of these measurements is $-47.03\pm0.07~(\pm1\sigma)$ % with a minimum -47.19% occurring January 1996 and a maximum value of -46.93‰ occurring October 1995. A comparison between these measurements and measurements done by Quay et al. [1999] is shown on the same figure. Their measurements show, from February 1995 and April 1996, the highest values δ^{13} C occur during March 1995, September 1995, and April 1996 with values of -47.2‰, -47‰, and -46.4‰, respectively. There are quite depleted values of δ^{13} C from the Quay et al. dataset which occur during November 1995 and March 1996 with values of -47.3‰ and -47.5‰, respectively. However, we do not have samples during these months. All monthly values overlap within $\pm 2\sigma$ error except one value during November, 1995. The seasonal cycle in our measurements has amplitude of ~ 0.26 % similar to the 0.2% based on the measurements at Samoa from 1988 to 1995 from Quay et al. [1999]. They also assumed that the primary reason for the δ^{13} C seasonal trend of atmospheric CH_4 could be explained by the primary destruction of CH_4 by OH. They found, based on the measurements from 1988 to 1995, that the δ^{13} C minimum value occurs in February with a value of -47.29‰ and the δ^{13} C maximum value occurs in October with a value of -47.16%. The δ^{13} C measured at PSU for Samoa is on the Tyler δ^{13} C of CH₄ scale; however the Quay et al. [1999] data for Samoa is on the Quay δ^{13} C of CH₄ scale. Using a t-test, we compare between measured values of Samoa at PSU and Quay et. [1999] and find no high degree of statistical difference between both measurement sets suggesting that isotope scales are close.

The δD results from Samoa archive samples are shown in figure 3.17(c). The mean value of these results is -90.9±2.5 (±1 σ) ‰ with a minimum value of -94.3‰ during April 1995 and a maximum value of -86.7‰ during May 1995. It is difficult to discern any seasonal behavior in the δD data. These are some of the only δD data known for the southern hemisphere during this decade.



Figure 3.18 (a) CH₄ mixing ratio (b) δ^{13} C-CH₄, and (c) δ D-CH₄ measurements at Samoa from February 1995 to April 1996. Error bars in represent ±1 σ . CH₄ mixing ratio (a) measurements at PSU were adjusted to be in NOAA scale.

3.6.3. Mauna Loa (21.08° N, 157.2° W)

There were 11 samples as part of OGI archive from February, 1995 to December, 1995 collected from Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Figure 3.18 shows the results obtained from the Mauna Loa archive samples measured at PSU. The tabulated results of these samples are in table A.9 in Appendix A.

The results for CH₄ mixing ratio at Mauna Loa are shown in figure 3.19(a). The average value of these results is 1758±9 (1 σ) ppb with a minimum value (1747 ppb) in August and a maximum value (1775 ppb) in November. Figure 3.19(a) also shows measurements made by NOAA at Mauna Loa over the same period [Dlugokencky et al., 2012]. Their data show, during 1995, the mixing ratio reaches 1764 ppb in March and starts to decrease in subsequent months and reaches the lowest value during July with a value of 1750 ppb. The mixing ratio increases and reaches to its maximum value through the year in December with a value of 1773 ppb. The PSU measurements overlap within ±1 σ with these of NOAA except for two measurements: October and December which are lower at PSU.

The δ^{13} C measurements at Mauna Loa during 1995 are shown in figure 3.19(b). The mean value of these measurements is -47.2±0.1 (1 σ) ‰ with a minimum of -47.32‰ in October and a maximum value -47.02‰ in November. The data of Quay et al. [1999] are also shown in the same figure. Their data show, during 1995, δ^{13} C becomes enriched after January and reaches its highest value of -47.1‰ during May-June. Subsequently, it becomes depleted in July -47.3‰ through the end of the year. The lowest of δ^{13} C is observed during November -47.4‰. Based on Quay et al. [1999] for Mauna Loa, during 1988-1995, the highest value of δ^{13} C occurs during June-August, and the lowest values occurs in November. Overall, agreement with Quay et al data is quite good with values within ±1 σ except in two cases. Our November data, in particular, appears quite enriched relative to data in October and December, and values from Quay et al.. In addition, our July value is enriched 0.2‰ compared that of Quay et al. However, it is consistent with overall annual trend and observed seasonality over a longer period by Quay et al. The significant difference between PSU measurements and Quay et al. [1999] has been checked using t-test. We found no statistical difference between the two datasets and scales (p- value is 0.4).

The δD results from Mauna Loa archive samples are shown in figure 3.19(c). The mean value of these results is -92.4±4.6 (1 σ) ‰ with a minimum value -99.6‰ during December 1995 and a maximum value -82.5‰ during April 1995. As with South Pole and Samoa, these are the only δD of CH₄ values reported from Mauna Loa

We can also compare our Mauna Loa data with that from Bergamashi et al. [2000] who measured CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D from late 1996 to the end of 1998 at Izaña, Tenerife (26° N,16° W). They found that δ^{13} C and δ D seasonal cycle have their maximum occurring in June and August while the minimum seasonal cycle of CH₄ occurs in August. Similar to Izaña, Mauna Loa has a minimum CH₄ mixing ratio in July while the maximum of seasonal cycles of both δ^{13} C and δ D are in between April to June, respectively. Therefore, the seasonal cycles of δ^{13} C and δ D of Mauna Loa are similarly controlled by both the effect of KIE of the sinks and the emission of sources. In particular, high values of δ^{13} C and δ D observed during spring indicate a biomass burning source signature and low values of δ^{13} C and δ D during October-November indicate a microbial CH₄ source signature. This is similar to that observed at Cape Meares (section 3.3).



Figure 3.19 (a) CH₄ mixing ratio (b) δ^{13} C-CH₄, and (c) δ D-CH₄ measurements at Mauna Loa from February 1995 to December 1995. Error bars in represent ±1 σ . CH₄ mixing ratio measurements at PSU were adjusted to be on the NOAA04 scale.

Chapter 4

Analysis and Discussions

In this chapter, additional datasets from three mid-latitude sites are added to the Cape Meares dataset in order to describe the trend of CH₄ and its isotopes from 1978 till 2010. Before including such data, some adjustments are done to match scales and to remove latitudinal-dependence. LOWESS and Bootstrap statistical methods are described briefly in section 4.2. The seasonal cycles of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D calculation from the composite dataset during 1978-2010 and the calculations of the hydrogen and carbon kinetic isotopic effects are described in section 4.3. After removing the seasonality from the measured variables, the secular and interannual variability are described in section 4.4 using LOWESS and Bootstrap methods. The growth rate of CH₄ mixing ratio and the time rate of change of δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ are also obtained in this section. In section 4.5, we calculate the annual total emission of CH₄ from 1978-2010 based on a CH₄ lifetime 9.7 yrs. This section also includes the results of the average weighted δ^{13} C and δ D of CH₄ sources. These calculations provide insight how CH₄ sources have changed over the 30 year period 1978-2010.

4.1. Composite data from Cape Meares and other locations

To understand the long trend of atmospheric CH₄ from 1978 through 2010 in terms of its sources, a composite record from a single latitudinal zone of CH₄ and its isotopes (δ^{13} C and δ D) for the northern hemisphere is created. In order to include data collected by different groups at different locations, it is important to ensure that:

- 1) All the measured data is on the same reference scale, e.g. in case of CH_4 concentration, all the measured data should be on the NOAA04 scale. For $\delta^{13}C$ calibrations are relative to VPDB and for δD calibrations are relative to VSMOW.
- 2) The dependence on latitude of each dataset is removed. In our case, this step is achieved by comparing between Cape Meares data and each other data set during the time period of intersection.
- The seasonality from the datasets is removed which can reduce the fluctuations in CH₄ and its isotope tracers that are regular.

Four locations were chosen here: Cape Meares, Oregon (45.5° N, 124° W), Olympic Peninsula, Washington (48° N, 123.62° W), Montaña de Oro, California (35° N, 121° W), and Niwot Ridge, Colorado (40° N, 105° W). The main reasons for using these datasets are:

1) They have available measurements for CH_4 mixing ratios, $\delta^{13}C$ and/or δD over periods of several years that either intersect with Cape Meares measurements in time (such as Olympic Peninsula data) or give more details about the trends of these variables after 1999 (such as Montaña de Oro and Niwot Ridge).

2) All sites are mid-latitude northern hemisphere which minimize the effects of latitude on seasonality and interannual variability. Cape Meares, Olympic Peninsula, and Montaña de Oro are all coastal sites located in the continental US and receive similar airmasses. Niwot Ridge is a mid continental site, but has a well established record for CH₄ mixing ratios and its isotopes which has been compared with the coastal sites (e.g. Tyler et al., 2007). Comparisons of CH₄ mixing ratios, its isotopes, and their seasonal trends between Cape Meares and Niwot Ridge are shown from Figures B.1 to Figure B. 6 in Appendix B.

4.1.1. Atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio

The data we include in the CH₄ mixing ratio are five datasets. The first record is from the Cape Meares archive (1977-1999) and was measured at Portland state University during 2010 and 2011. Samples were measured on the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) scale (SRM 1659a). The second time series used is from Olympic Peninsula, Washington from 1985 to 1990 and measured on the Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory 1983 scale (CMDL83) from Quay et al. [1999]. The third time series is from Montaña de Oro, California from 1996 to 2005 and is also measured on the CMDL83 scale from Tyler et al. in 2007 [Tyler et al., 2007]. Niwot Ridge, Colorado data from 1994-2005 is also taken from Tyler et al. and measured on the CMDL83 scale [Tyler et al., 2007]. The final set is also from Niowt Ridge, Colorado measured by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and reported on the NOAA04 scale and covers 1983 to 2010 [Dlugokencky et al.,2012]. The relations between NIST and CMDL83 scales with respect to NOAA04 scale are defined [Dlugokencky et al.,2005] as follows

$$[CH_4](NOAA04 \text{ scale}) = 1.0124 * [CH_4](CMDL83 \text{ scale})$$
(4.1)

$$[CH4](NOAA04 scale) = 0.998 * [CH4](NIST scale)$$
(4.2)

Figure 4.1(a) represents the atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio trend from 1977 to 2010 from Cape Measres, Olympic Peninsula, Montaña de Oro, and Niwot Ridge datasets after adjusting them to be on the NOAA04 scale using equations (4.1) and (4.2).

The next step is to adjust all of these measurements to remove the latitudinal dependence. This process was done by comparing the CH_4 mixing ratio measured at each location with the Cape Meares (CM) dataset during the collected time period of overlap. For example, figure 4.1(a) shows marginally higher concentrations observed at Olympic Peninsula consistent with known latitudinal trend in CH_4 [Quay et al.,1999]. For Olympic Peninsula (OP) a comparison with Cape Meares during period of overlap (April 1985 to May 1990) finds that:

$$[CH_4](CM) = \frac{[CH_4](OP)}{1.0038}$$
(4.3)

The same procedures were used to get the ratios for Montaña de Oro and Niwot Ridge. These ratios are 1.0135 and 1.001 for and Montaña de Oro and Niwot Ridge, respectively. Figure 4.1(b) represents the CH_4 mixing ratio of the four locations after making the latitude independent based on the 45° CM site.



Figure 4.1 (a) atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios for mid-latitude Northern hemisphere sites (1977-2010) after adjusting them to NOAA04 scale. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$. (b) Atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios for mid-latitude Northern hemisphere sites (1977-2010) after adjusting for the latitude of each dataset based on CM site. Error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$.

The data set in figure 4.1(b) includes a long term trend which describes how the CH_4 mixing ratio changes from 1977 to 2010, seasonal trend which describes how the CH_4 mixing ratio changes over one year from one season to another and inter-annual variability that spans one to several years. To isolate each trend, a smoothing technique based on LOWESS is used within the data analysis program MATLAB (2010a). This technique will be explained in section 4.2.

4.1.2. Atmospheric δ^{13} C- CH₄

The data included in the composite record δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ is from the same sites as our composite CH₄ mixing ratio record from same locations. The first is from the Cape Meares (CM) archive was measured at Portland State University during 2009 to 2011 and described in section 3.2. The samples are from 1977 to 1999. The second dataset is from Olympic Peninsula (OP), Washington from 1988 to 1996 [Quay et al., 1999]. The third dataset is from Montaña de Oro (MDO), California and spans 1996 to 2005 [Tyler et al., 2007]. Niwot Ridge (NWR), Colorado data from 1994-2005 is also taken from Tyler et al. [2007] .The final dataset is from to Niowt Ridge, Colorado and covers 1998 to 2010 [White and Vaughn, 2011]. All of these measurements were reported relative to Vienna Peedee Belemnite (VPDB). The measurements from CM, MDO and NWR are calibrated relative to the Tyler CH₄ isotope scale (see e.g. Tyler et al., 2007). The difference between this scale and Quay et al. [1999] scale was not statistically significant based on the t-test on data for Samoa and Mauna Loa combined p-value is 0.07 [see sections 3.6.2 and 3.6.3].

Figure 4.2(a) represents the δ^{13} C record of atmospheric CH₄ from October 1977 to December 2010 from these datasets. To remove the dependence of the δ^{13} C datasets on latitude and site, we compared the intersecting time periods between Cape Meares and each location separately. For Olympic Peninsula, the comparison extends from 1988 to 1995. To convert measurements from Olympic Peninsula to the Cape Meares latitude, a factor of 0.02 ‰ was added to Olympic Peninsula measurements. For Montaña de Oro and Niwot Ridge, the factors were -0.02 ‰ and -0.12‰, respectively.

Figure 4.2(b) represents the δ^{13} C record shown in figure 4.2(a) after adjusting the datasets for latitude independence. The datasets in figure 4.2(b) will be used later to get the seasonal cycle, secular trend and inter-annual variability of δ^{13} C.



Figure 4.2 (a) δ^{13} C record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2010). All of the results are reported relative to VPDB. Errors bars represent ±1 σ . (b) δ^{13} C record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2010) after adjusting the latitude of each dataset based on the CM site.

4.1.3. Atmospheric δD- CH₄

There is considerably less δD of atmospheric CH₄ data to use in this composite dataset. Here, we use: δD data from Cape Meares archive measurements which extend from 1977 to 1999; δD data from Montaña de Oro, California from 2000 to 2005 from Tyler et al. [2007]; and δD data from Niwot Ridge, Colorado is from 1998-2005 also taken from Tyler et al. [2007]. No data is available from Olympic Peninsula and from the NOAA record. Calibration of each of these sites is on the Tyler CH₄ isotope scale so few inter-calibration issues are assumed to exist. All of these measurements were reported relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW).

Figure 4.3(a) represents the δD record of atmospheric CH₄ from October 1977 to December 2005 from these datasets. As with $\delta^{13}C$ and CH₄ mixing ratio, to remove the dependence of the datasets in latitude we compared the intersection time period between Cape Meares and each location separately. For Niwot Ridge, the comparison was from 1998 to 1999 and to convert these measurements from the Niwot Ridge site to the Cape Meares site, a factor of -0.408 ‰ is added to Niwot Ridge measurements. For Montaña de Oro (MDO), there is no time intersected with Cape Meares therefore a comparison with Niwot Ridge is made and then data is converted to the Cape Meares latitude. The resulting conversion factor between MDO and CM was 1.28‰. Figure 4.3(b) represents the δD record after adjusting the datasets for latitude and site independence.



Figure 4.3 (a) δD record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2005). All of the results are reported relative to VSMOW. Errors bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$. (b) δD record of atmospheric CH₄ from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2005) after adjusting both datasets based on CM site.

4.2. Statistical Methods

To get the seasonal, secular, and inter-annual trends and their uncertainty, LOWESS and Bootstrap techniques are used. This section describes in some detail how these methods are applied to our analyses.

4.2.1. LOWESS

In 1988, Cleveland and Devlin introduced a procedure for fitting a regression surface to data through multivariate smoothing based on locally weighted regression (LOWESS) [Cleveland and Devlin, 1988]. In case of the datasets used here, we define the collected date (the independent variable) as x_i and the corresponding variable as y_i for i=1 to n, where n is the number of measurements. LOWESS regression curve, $\hat{g}(x)$, is a smoothing of y at a given x. The estimation of \hat{g} at x uses the q measurements, where q is an integer where $1 \le q \le n$, whose x_i values are closest to x. Therefore, a neighborhood in the space of the independent variables y_i is defined according to the weighting of each point. Points close to x have large weight while far points have smaller weight.

The weight function is defined as a tri-cube function [Cleveland et al.1990]

$$W(u) = \begin{bmatrix} (1-u^3)^3 & \text{for } 0 \le u \le 1\\ 0 & \text{for } u \ge 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.4)

The weight for any measurement x_i will be defined as

$$w_{i}(x) = W\left(\frac{|x_{i}-x|}{\lambda_{q}(x)}\right)$$
(4.5)

90

where $\lambda_q(\mathbf{x})$ is the distance of the qth farthest \mathbf{x}_i from \mathbf{x} .

If the fitted function for the observations x_i and y_i is linear and defined as

$$y = a + bx \tag{4.6}$$

where a is the intercept and b is the slope of regression. In case of weighted least square in LOWESS, it does two jobs:

1- Determining these parameters by minimize the sum of squares between the observed and predicted

2- Weighting each observed point according to how it is far or close from the predicted value

These could be written as

$$E_{w} = \sum_{i} w_{i} (y_{i} - y)^{2} = \sum_{i} w_{i} [(y_{i} - (a + bx_{i})]^{2}$$
(4.7)

where w_i is defined in equation 4.5.

Therefore, $w_i(x)$ has a maximum weight for x_i close to x and decrease as x_i far away from x. The weight of any measurement $w_i(x)$ becomes 0 at the qth farthest point. Instead of q, the number of points in the neighborhood, the fraction of points in the neighborhood q/n is used. In MATLAB, q/n is defined as a span, which in some versions define q/n as a percentage (%) and in others (as the one used in our calculations R2010a) define q/n as a fraction that varies from 0 to 1. As the span increases, the smoothing surface $\hat{g}(x)$ becomes smoother. Reducing the span, however, makes the smoothing surface follow the data more closely and the hence amplifying data variability.

LOWESS is initially used in the current calculations to estimate the seasonal cycles of CH₄ mixing ratio and its isotopes (δ^{13} C and δ D) by choosing a wide span. Therefore, the resulting datasets describe the trend of these variables without seasonality. The fitted values of LOWESS are given for each point in the datasets. The residuals of the measurements are calculated by subtracting the fitted value (resulting from LOWESS) from the measured ones. The averages of the residuals, their standard deviations, and their standard errors are calculated based on the month of collection to obtain the seasonal cycles in CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D (as discussed in section 4.3). Subsequently, after removing the seasonal trend LOWESS is again used with a smaller span to get the secular trend and interannual variability (as discussed in section 4.4).

4.2.2. Bootstrap

Bootstrap is one of the two techniques used in the analysis of the composite dataset for the CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C, and δ D. In 1979, Erfon introduced the Bootstrap method [Efron, 1979]. Bootstrap is a method for estimating the sampling distribution of an estimator by re-sampling with replacement from the original sample [Diaconis and Erfon, 1983]. This can be done by creating an artificial list by randomly picking up elements from the original dataset. Some elements may be chosen more than once. This artificial list can be repeated for many times. Additionally, the mean, standard deviation, standard error, and confidence interval can be calculated from the distribution. Therefore,
Bootstrap is a good method to get information about the distribution of a sample when the sample size is small or the distribution of the sample can't be described trivially.

Bootstrapping has been used to calculate the uncertainty of the CH₄ growth rate over long time period since 1992 (e.g. Steele et al., 1992; Dlugokencky et. 1994; 2001; 2009; and 2011).

In our analysis, Bootstrap is used after LOWESS to calculate statistical parameters such as the standard deviation, standard error, median, and others for the distribution of each variable as described later.

4.3. CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D Seasonal Cycles of CH₄ of the composite datasets

Initially, in order to look at the seasonality of CH₄ and its isotopes (δ^{13} C and δ D), we use LOWESS to smooth data set with a wide span of 0.3 in the case of CH₄ mixing ratio and δ^{13} C and 0.34 in the case of δ D (due to the shorter dataset).

In case of CH_4 mixing ratio of the composite dataset for mid-latitude sites, the total number of points is 883 from 1977 to 2010. Using span=0.3 in LOWESS smoothing method for this dataset means each smoothing region has 30% of the whole dataset. This presents 265 points in each region and covers a window 8.25±3 years.

In case of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ from the composite dataset for mid-latitude sites, there are 815 points from 1977 to 2010. Applying a LOWESS smoothing method with span=0.3 means the local regression is done for regions along the dataset. Each region contains 245 points and covers a window 8.3±3.7 years.

Since the measurements of δD of atmospheric CH₄ stopped in 2005 and less data is available, there are 359 points included during 1977-2005. Running a LOWESS smoothing method with a span=0.34 means the regression is done through the whole datasets by dividing them into regions and each region includes 122 points and covers a window of time 9±4.32 years.

The localized regression is estimated based on the method explained in section 4.2.1. Using MATLAB (version 7.10.0 R2010a), a predicted value for each measurement in the datasets is produced from LOWESS smoothing. The seasonal cycle for each variable is obtained by:

- Calculating the residual of each point by getting the difference between the measured and predicted values
- Organizing the residual according to the month of collection, regardless the year of collection
- Calculating the average, standard deviation, and standard error of the residual over the month of collection

4.3.1. Atmospheric CH₄ seasonal cycle for the composite Northern hemisphere dataset

The CH₄ mixing ratio seasonal cycle based on steps 1-3 in section 4.3 is represented in figure 4.4. It shows that the CH₄ mixing ratio has a broad maximum value (10 ppb) from October to April. It starts to decrease after April and reaches to the lowest value (-23.6 ppb) between July and August. It rapidly rises again in August. Peak to peak amplitude is 34 ppb. Tabulated values of residual of CH₄ shown in figure 4.4 are in table A.10 in Appendix A.

The CH_4 seasonal cycles of composite datasets for the mid-latitudes in figure 4.4 and of Cape Meares in figure 3.2 have the same phase and close minimum and maximum amplitudes. In the case of Cape Meares, the seasonal cycle is similar with the maximum amplitude of CH_4 mixing ratio occurring in March (8.5 ppb) and its minimum amplitude (-24.8 ppb) occurring in late of July. The peak to peak amplitude is 33 ppb.



Figure 4.4 Seasonal trend of CH_4 mixing ratio from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2010) from data in figure 4.1(b). Error bars represent \pm one standard error.

4.3.2. Atmospheric δ^{13} C-CH₄ seasonal cycle for the composite Northern hemisphere dataset

The seasonal cycle in δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ based on steps 1-3 in section 4.3 is represented in figure 4.5. This figure shows that the δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ gradually increases in the atmosphere and reaches its highest level by June (~0.12‰). It decreases after June and reaches the most depleted values during September and October (~-0.12‰). After October, it again increases through the end of year. Peak to peak amplitude is 0.24‰. δ^{13} C seasonal values from figure 4.5 appear in table A.11 in Appendix A. The δ^{13} C seasonal cycle resulting from the composite datasets in the mid-latitude sites has the same phase as δ^{13} C seasonal cycle observed at Cape in figure 3.10 and close maximum (0.1‰ during June), minimum (-0.12‰ during October), and peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude (0.22‰).



Figure 4.5 δ^{13} C seasonal trend from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2010) from data in figure 4.2(b). Error bars represent ± one standard error.

4.3.3. Atmospheric δD -CH₄ seasonal cycle for the composite Northern hemisphere dataset

The seasonal cycle in δD of atmospheric CH₄ is shown in figure 4.6. Beginning in February, δD increases in the atmosphere gradually and reaches to the highest level around July with a value of 2.3‰ as shown in figure 4.6. After July, it becomes depleted and reaches its lowest level November with a value of -1.5‰. δD is approximately constant during October-November. Peak to peak amplitude of the seasonal cycle is 3.9‰. Table A.12 in Appendix A gives tabular δD seasonal values represented in figure 4.6. From figure 3.12, the seasonal cycle of δD observed at Cape Meares has the same phase as the seasonal cycle from the composite datasets with a maximum value of 2.4‰ during July, a minimum value of -1.6‰ during November, and peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude of 4‰. Table A.12 in Appendix A gives tabular δD seasonal values represented in figure 4.6.



Figure 4.6 δD seasonal trend from datasets in the Northern hemisphere mid-latitudes (1977-2005) from data in figure 4.3(b). Error bars represent \pm one standard error.

4.3.4. Discussion of seasonal cycles of CH_4 mixing ratio, $\delta^{13}C$ and δD for the composite mid-latitude datasets

The seasonal cycles of CH_4 mixing ratio, $\delta^{13}C$, and δD from the composite dataset for the mid-latitude sites in the Northern hemisphere (as shown in figure 4.7) are very similar to the seasonal cycles of CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C, and δ D of the Cape Meares air archive samples (as represented in figure 3.13 and discussed in section 3.4).

The following two questions are addressed in this discussion are

- 1) How does CH₄ seasonal cycle relate to the seasonal cycles of δ^{13} C and δ D?
- 2) How do sinks and /or sources control the seasonal cycles of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D?

Figure 4.7 shows the behavior of CH₄ and its isotopic species together, with enriched values occurring during periods where CH₄ is lower in the atmosphere. The most enriched values in δ^{13} C and δ D occur in June and July, respectively and the lowest CH₄ mixing ratio through the year occurs during July and August. The CH₄ seasonal cycle is better correlated with the δ D seasonal cycle than the δ^{13} C seasonal cycle.

The changing of sources and sinks of atmospheric CH₄ during the seasons causes the changes of δ^{13} C and δ D seasonal cycles. To understand how the sources and sinks control the seasonal cycles of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D, we examine correlation between CH₄ and its isotopic species. The relation between the residual of CH₄ mixing ratio with the residual of δ^{13} C is represented in figure 4.8(a). This figure includes 581 points for CM, MDO and NWR from Tyler et al. [2007] and NOAA data. The slope, corresponding to these points, is (-0.0019±0.0002) ‰/ppb and has a correlation r²=0.11.



Figure 4.7 Seasonal cycles of (a) atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio,(b) $\delta^{13}C$, and (c) δD from mid-latitude sites in the Northern hemisphere. Error bars represent ±1 standard error.

The relation between the residual of CH_4 mixing ratio with the residual of δD is represented in figure 4.8(b). This figure includes 347 points belong to CM, MDO and NWR from Tyler et al. [2007]. The slope, corresponding to these points, is (-0.086±0.0061) ‰/ppb and has a correlation coefficient r²=0.36. Therefore, δD has a stronger anti-correlation with CH₄ mixing ratio than $\delta^{13}C$.

Calculating mean atmospheric the KIE based on the mean of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D in 2000 which are 1810 ppb, -47.25‰, and -94.6‰, respectively gives $^{13C}\alpha$ and $^{D}\alpha$ of 1.0036±0.0004 and 1.21±0.02, respectively. The ± values are the KIE standard errors with a confidence interval 95%. The calculated KIE values are lower than the values obtained for MDO and NWR by Tyler et al. [Tyler et al., 2007].

The change of the seasonal value of CH_4 mixing ratio with that of its isotopes forms an ellipse as described in section 3.4. The more the seasonal change of the sources, the more eccentric the ellipse [Bergamaschi et al. 2000; and Allen et al., 2001; Tyler et al. 2007].

The comparison between the slope from the whole composite dataset with each set individually is shown in figure 4.9(a). Although NOAA began measurements of CH₄ mixing ratio in 1983, δ^{13} C -CH₄ did not start until 1998. Therefore the data at NWR from NOAA represents the measurements during 1998-2010. Both slopes in figure 4.9(a), for CM and NWR (NOAA data), are very close in their values (S_{CM}=-0.0033, S_{NWR}=-0.0030). The carbon isotope effect at NWR (NOAA data) ^{13C} α is 1.0057±0.001. Values of ^{13C} α from MDO and NWR (from Tyler et al., figure 4.9(a)) are lower 1.0025±0.008 and 1.0026±0.009, respectively



Figure 4.8 Phase ellipse for the composite datasets, made by plotting (a) residual of δ^{13} C-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio and (b) residual δ D-CH₄ versus residual CH₄ mixing ratio. The black stars are mean monthly residual values of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D. The results of KIE of both ^{13C} α and ^D α_j are 1.0036±0.0004 and 1.21±0.02, respectively



Figure 4.9 (a) Residual of δ^{13} C-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio as in figure 4.8(a) but with datasets individually and aggregated. (b) Residual of δ D-CH₄ versus residual of CH₄ mixing ratio as in figure 4.8(b) with datasets individually and aggregated.

In the case of δD , the composite dataset has the same slopes from each individual site as represented in figure 4.9(b). Values of D_{α} from MDO and NWR are 1.22±0.04 1.22±0.03, respectively, in agreement with CM.

Overall, this data is describing a significant enriched source of CH₄ (such as biomass burning) causes increase of δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄ during January-May. Depleted sources (such as microbial) cause a decrease in δ^{13} C and δ D during August-October (as discussed for Cape Meares in section 3.4).

4.4. The secular trend and interannual variability in the composite dataset for CH_4 , $\delta^{13}C$, and δD

After removing the latitude dependence in the composite data, the seasonality is removed from all trends. This step is accomplished by subtracting the average monthly residual of that variable during the month of collection from the measured value. For example, the equation (4.8) is used to deseasonalize the CH_4 data

$$[CH_4]_{desasonlized} = [CH_4]_{measured} - [CH_4]_{monthly residual}$$
 (4.8)
where $[CH_4]_{measured}$ is the value of CH₄ defined by figure 4.1(b) and $[CH_4]_{monthly residual}$ is
the value of the average residual based on month defined by figure 4.4.

Equation (4.8) is also used to deseasonalize the δ^{13} C as well as δ D. Figure 4.10 represents the composite data of CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D, respectively before and after removing the seasonal trends. The variables become more tightly distributed after removing the seasonality.

After removing the latitude dependence and seasonal variability from the composite dataset as we discussed in section 4.1 and here, we describe the longer trends in measured variables change using LOWESS. The smoothing windows of time (span) chosen for these analysis were 3, 4, and 5 years. The reasons for choosing these windows of time are:

- 1) to reduce the effect of the noise through the datasets on observed trends
- to describing both the secular trend and interannaul variability over the time period.

In case of CH₄ and δ^{13} C, these windows of time period 3, 4, and 5 years are equivalent to spans 0.09, 0.12, and 0.15, respectively. They are equivalent to spans 0.10, 0.14, and 0.17, respectively for δ D due to the shorter time period.

The smoothed data here are then bootstrapped. Bootstrap method, as explained in section 4.2.2., is used to calculate the uncertainty (standard deviation) and other statistical parameters of the distribution of each variable. The smoothed data and its uncertainty are then fit with a smoothing spine fit in MATLAB, to describe the resulting trends with regularly spaced points 1978-2010. In case of δD , the resulting trend is 1978-2005. This fitted function is then differentiated with respect to time to obtain a time rate of change. Using the Bootstrap technique with the same span we used for LOWESS, the averages and standard deviations of the smoothed data and time rate of change are calculated. The average yearly values for the 3 variables (CH₄, δ^{13} C, δ D) and their time rates of change are also calculated. We calculate an average annual value about mid-year.



Figure 4.10 The composite data before and after removing the seasonal trend for (a) CH_4 mixing ratio (b) $\delta^{13}C$ - CH^4 and (C) δD - CH_4 .

In the next subsections, the figures produced using spans 0.09, 0.09, and 0.10 for CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D, respectively are represented. Table 4.1 provides average annual values for CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D, and their growth rates during 1978-2010. Tables and figures for other LOWESS span values are included in Appendices A, and B for comparison.

4.4.1. Atmospheric CH₄ and its growth rate

The composite data (star points in figure 4.10(a)) are smoothed using LOWESS with spans equal to 0.09, 0.12, and 0.15. These spans are equivalent to windows of times 3 ± 1.1 years, 4 ± 1.4 years, and 5 ± 1.4 years, respectively.



Figure 4.11 The instantaneous atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio (1978-2010) with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ by smoothing the deseaonalize data in figure 4.10(a).

Figure 4.11 represents the trend of atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio based on LOWESS and Bootstrap techniques with a span 0.09. Figure 4.12 shows the instantaneous and annual growth rate calculated from the slope of figure 4.11. The CH_4 mixing ratio increased from 1590 ppb in 1978 to 1800 ppb in 1996 with an average rate of 12.1 ppb/yr during this period. The rate of increasing the CH₄ in northern hemisphere sites measured by NOAA from 1983 to 1993 was 11.2 ppb/yr [Dlugokencky et al.1994]. The rate of increase slowed and from 1996 to 2010 it averaged 3 ppb/yr with significantly inter-annual variability. There are some main features of the trend during this time period. There are four peaks in 1991, 1996, 1999, and 2003. The 1996 peak is followed by a decrease in 1997. The mixing ratio was constant from 2000 to 2002. After the 2003 peak, the CH₄ mixing ratio increased from 1820 ppb to 1850 ppb in 2010. The growth rate of the CH₄ mixing ratio doesn't change significantly during 1978-1983. Its growth rate during this time period is 16.9±0.5 ppb/yr. After 1983, the CH₄ growth rate decreases and reaches to a local minimum in 1990. Anomalously low growth rate occurs in 1990, 1997, and 2004.

Some of these spikes are explained in the literature. For example, the sudden increase in the growth rate of atmospheric CH_4 in 1991-1992 is attributed to SO_2 emitted during the eruption of Mount Pinatubo. The resulting sulfate aerosol absorbed UV and the decreased UV flux is thought to have decreased the steady state of [OH] which caused the large growth rate observed in 1991-1992 [Dlugokencky et al., 1996]. For 1998, there were two main reasons for the anomalously large growth rate. First was the

warmest year in the modern temperature record [Hansen et al., 1999]. Some CH₄ sources, such as wetlands, increase their CH₄ emission with increasing



Figure 4.12 The instantaneous CH₄ growth rate (1978-2010) calculated from the derivative of the black line in figure 4.11 with respect to time $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$.

temperature. The second reason was the CH_4 emissions from the large boreal fires, especially from Russia, Canada, and additional fires in Indonesia, which are thought to have contributed to this higher growth rate [Dlugokencky et al., 2001].

From figure 4.12, the CH_4 growth rate decreases from 17.6 ppb/yr in 1978 to 5.4 ppb in 2010. The annual growth rate was constant during 1978-1983 and 2008-2010 with

values of 16.9 ± 0.5 ppb/yr and 5.7 ± 0.2 ppb/yr, respectively. Assuming the CH₄ sources are constant during 1983-2008, the CH₄ lifetime calculated from 1983 till 2008 is (9.67±0.2) years, in a good agreement with a number of estimates [Prinn et al., 1987; 1995; Dlugokencky et al., 1998].



Figure 4.13 The annual increases CH_4 growth rate for the composite data with black circles during 1978-2010 measured in ppb/yr. Green stars are the annual CH_4 growth rate calculated from NOAA Global Cooperative Air Sampling Network measurements (values are taken from figure 1.(b) [Dlugokencky et al. 2011]).

A comparison of the annual growth rate of CH_4 based on the results from the four-site composite dataset in the Northern hemisphere and more than 139 sites (26 of them have stopped collecting air) of the NOAA Global Cooperative Air Sampling Network [Dlugokencky et al., 2011] is shown in figure 4.13. There is agreement between

both trends. Both the secular trend and much of the interannual variability is captured by the composite Northern hemisphere mid-latitude dataset. However, the values of the peaks are different. In most cases (e.g. 1991, 1998), the annual growth rate is larger for Dlugokencky et al. since their values are global. The correlation between Dlugokencky et al and composite data from 1984 to 2009 is highly significant $r^2=0.7$ (excluding 1997 and 2001 since their values of CH₄ growth rate are not reported by Dlugokencky et al. [2011]).

The figures of the CH_4 mixing ratio, its growth rate, and a comparison of the CH_4 average yearly growth rate for composite data and Dlugokencky et al. are included in Appendix B for LOWESS smoothing of the data with spans of 0.12 and 0.15. The CH_4 lifetimes corresponding to these spans during 1983-2008 are 9.9 ± 0.1 years and 10.5 ± 0.1 years, respectively. The correlations between the CH_4 annual growth rate from the composite data and Dlugokencky et al. do not change significantly due to changing of the span in the analysis.

4.4.2. Atmospheric δ^{13} C-CH₄ and its time rate of change

The composite data (star points in figure 4.10(b)) are smoothed using LOWESS with spans equal to 0.09, 0.12, and 0.15. These spans are equivalent to windows of times 3 ± 1.8 years, 4 ± 1.7 years, and 5 ± 1.7 years, respectively. Using the Bootstrap technique, the uncertainties in the trend and its time rate of change are obtained.



Figure 4.14 The instantaneous δ^{13} C trend during 1978-2010 with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculate from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing the deseasonalize data in figure 4.10(b).

Figure 4.14 represents the trend of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ from the composite dataset after using a LOWESS smoother with a span of 0.09 and Bootstrapping uncertainties. Figure 4.15 shows the time rate of change of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ for the same period. Figure 4.14 shows that the atmospheric δ^{13} C becomes gradually enriched with a slow rate from -47.7‰ in 1978 to -47.6‰ in 1987. From 1987 to 2005, δ^{13} C increases to -47.2‰ with an average time rate of change of 0.02‰/yr. This later period shows significant variability and characterized by periods with no annual increase in δ^{13} C and large peaks. In contrast to the prior two and a half decades, the period 2005-

2010 shows a depletion of δ^{13} C at an average rate of -0.02 ‰/yr. The periods with no annual increase of δ^{13} C occur 1989-1991, 1994-1996, and 1999-2002 with values of -47.5‰, -47.4‰, and ,-47.3‰, respectively.

Positive spikes in the rate of change of δ^{13} C, as shown in figure 4.15, occur in 1988-1989, 1992-1993, 1997, and 2003. Negative values in the trend of δ^{13} C occur in 1990, 1995, 2000-2001 and 2005. Overall the average rate of change of δ^{13} C went from 0.03‰/yr during 1978-1980 to -0.02‰/y during 2007-2010.



Figure 4.15 The instantaneous $\delta^{13}C$ growth rate of composite data during 1978-2010. Red dots are \pm one standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly value calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.

The relation between the changing of the growth rate of CH_4 , $\delta^{13}C$, and δD will be discussed later in section 4.4.4. Changes in $\delta^{13}C$ of atmospheric CH_4 will be related to changes in sources in section 4.5.



4.4.3. Atmospheric δD-CH₄ and its time rate of change

Figure 4.16 The instantaneous δD trend (1978-2005) with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing deseasonalized data in figure 4.10(c)

The instantaneous δD trend of the composite data is obtained by smoothing the data in figure 4.10(b) with LOWESS of a span of 0.10 and then using the Bootstrap

technique to get the uncertainty in the trend. Figure 4.16 shows the smoothed δD trend during 1978-2005. It shows that δD becomes increasingly enriched in the atmosphere gradually at first from -110‰ to -100‰ in 1988, then more rapidly from 1989 reaching its maximum of -95‰ during 1999- 2001. After 2002, δD turns over and becomes more depleted through 2005. Unlike $\delta^{13}C$, no data is available after 2005 so it is unclear if this trend towards more depleted values of δD continues.



Figure 4.17 The instantaneous δD time rate of change of composite data during 1978-2005. Red dots are \pm one standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly values calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.

The time rate of change of δD is obtained by differentiating the smoothed data (black line in figure 4.16) with respect to time. The rate of change of δD of atmospheric

CH₄ shows significant interannual variability similar to δ^{13} C and CH₄ mixing ratios. Periods where the time rate of change of δ D is constant occur 1981-1987 and 1993-1995. Positive spikes for this change occur in 1989, 1997-1998, 2002, and 2005. Periods where the time rate of change is negative occur in 1979, 1999-2001, and 2003. The relation between the secular trends and interannual variability of δ D, CH₄, δ^{13} C will be discussed in section 4.4.4. The long-term trend and interannual variability in δ D of atmospheric CH₄ are discussed in relation to changes in CH₄ sources in section 4.5.

4.4.4. Discussion of the time rates of change of CH₄, δ^{13} C and δ D of the composite data 1977-2010

The growth rate of CH_4 is the imbalance between sources and sinks [Khalil et al., 2007]. Therefore, when its growth rate increases the strength of sources is larger than its sinks. Similarly, a decrease in the growth rate of atmospheric CH_4 is caused when sinks are larger than sources.

The positive spike of CH₄ growth rate in 1991 is thought to be due to the decrease of [OH] in the atmosphere after Mt. Pinatubo erupted [Dlugokencky et al., 2001]. It was expected that the δ^{13} C time rate of change would decrease due to the decrease of OH sink, however according to figure 4.18(b) its annual value becomes more enriched in the atmosphere during 1991-1993. From figure 4.18(c), the δ D time rate of change is marginally lower by 0.8 ‰ than surrounding years then increases gradually during 1991-1994. δ D should be particularly sensitive to changes in OH due to the large KIE in oxidation. That this departure is not particularly dramatic in δD and that it is opposite in sign for $\delta^{13}C$ does not support the Pinatubo hypothesis. However, because $\delta^{13}C$ shows a positive response and δD shows a negative response is somewhat ambiguous.

The 1998 spike of CH₄ growth rate (as shown in figure 4.18(a)) was explained by the increase of CH₄ emissions from wetlands and fires occur through this year [Dlugokencky et al., 2001]. From Figure 4.18(b), δ^{13} C shows a positive response during 1997-1998 which is consistent with an increase in biomass burning during this period. Similarly, figure 4.18(c) shows a smaller positive anomaly in δ D, consistent with enhanced fire. Both isotopic tracers show a gradual decrease after this period during 1999-2001.

The 2003 spike of CH₄ growth rate (as shown in figure 4.18(a)), as recorded in the composite dataset and in the NOAA record, suggests that CH₄ sources increased during this year. During 2003, δ^{13} C time rate of change increased while δ D time rate of change decreased (as shown in figure 4.18(b) and figure 4.18(c)). This is perplexing, but could require a change in CH₄ sources and sinks.

The negative spike of CH₄ growth rate during 1997 assumed CH₄ sinks are larger than CH₄ sources. There is less confidence in the trend in 1997 as it is not shown by the NOAA global dataset.



Figure 4.18 The annual time rate of change of (a) CH_4 (b) $\delta^{13}C(c)$ δD of composite data.

Veer	CII (mah)		d(CH ₄)/dt		\$13(-) (0/-)		$d(\delta^{13}C)/dt$		SD(0/ 1		d(δD)/dt	
rear	$C\Pi_4$	[ppp] Std	լթթ	std	00	[700] Std	[700	/yrj Std	00[700] Std	[70	o/yr] Std
	Ave	Dev	Ave	Dev	Ave	Dev	Ave	Dev	Ave	Dev	Ave	Dev
1978	1 604 0	15.5	17.6	0.6	-47.71	0.02	0.00	0.02	-110.4	0.2	0.6	0.6
1979	1.615.4	9.9	17.1	0.4	-47.70	0.01	0.03	0.02	-110.3	0.3	-0.1	0.5
1980	1,629.9	5.9	16.5	0.5	-47.66	0.01	0.03	0.02	-110.5	0.4	0.2	0.3
1981	1,645.7	3.3	16.7	0.4	-47.65	0.01	0.00	0.02	-110.0	0.2	0.6	0.2
1982	1,662.6	1.5	17.3	0.9	-47.65	0.01	0.01	0.01	-109.2	0.2	0.7	0.2
1983	1,679.5	0.8	16.2	0.4	-47.63	0.01	0.02	0.01	-108.8	0.1	0.5	0.1
1984	1,695.2	0.7	15.1	0.3	-47.60	0.01	0.02	0.01	-108.3	0.0	0.5	0.1
1985	1,709.9	0.6	14.3	0.2	-47.59	0.01	0.00	0.01	-107.9	0.0	0.6	0.1
1986	1,723.8	0.7	13.7	0.4	-47.59	0.01	-0.02	0.03	-107.4	0.1	0.5	0.2
1987	1,737.3	1.3	12.9	0.8	-47.60	0.02	0.01	0.02	-107.1	0.2	0.5	0.3
1988	1,749.0	1.7	10.8	1.0	-47.58	0.02	0.06	0.04	-106.7	0.4	1.2	0.3
1989	1,758.3	2.0	7.1	1.5	-47.52	0.02	0.06	0.06	-105.7	0.2	1.9	0.7
1990	1,764.1	1.1	5.4	2.4	-47.51	0.01	-0.04	0.08	-104.4	0.2	1.3	0.3
1991	1,771.9	0.7	8.3	2.0	-47.51	0.01	0.03	0.04	-103.5	0.1	0.8	0.4
1992	1,780.0	1.1	7.3	1.0	-47.48	0.01	0.04	0.02	-102.6	0.2	1.0	0.1
1993	1,786.2	0.9	5.5	1.0	-47.43	0.01	0.03	0.01	-101.5	0.1	1.2	0.1
1994	1,791.4	0.7	5.2	1.0	-47.41	0.02	0.03	0.02	-100.2	0.1	1.2	0.1
1995	1,796.9	1.4	5.8	1.8	-47.41	0.02	-0.02	0.04	-99.1	0.1	1.1	0.1
1996	1,803.7	3.5	5.6	4.2	-47.42	0.04	0.02	0.02	-98.0	0.1	1.1	0.1
1997	1,803.1	2.5	-1.3	7.6	-47.36	0.02	0.07	0.04	-96.9	0.1	1.3	0.3
1998	1,807.6	1.4	7.2	7.2	-47.29	0.03	0.05	0.02	-95.7	0.3	1.2	0.5
1999	1,813.0	2.6	1.2	3.3	-47.27	0.02	0.01	0.01	-95.0	0.3	0.0	0.5
2000	1,813.2	1.2	0.2	2.0	-47.27	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-94.7	0.3	-0.1	0.4
2001	1,813.6	1.8	1.2	1.9	-47.27	0.01	0.01	0.02	-94.8	0.2	-0.5	0.7
2002	1,816.5	2.5	4.8	2.3	-47.29	0.03	0.00	0.04	-95.1	0.3	0.2	1.4
2003	1,824.2	2.7	7.9	5.7	-47.25	0.02	0.08	0.07	-95.7	0.4	-1.8	1.9
2004	1,826.5	2.0	-0.9	5.2	-47.20	0.05	0.00	0.03	-96.7	0.8	-0.1	1.1
2005	1,825.7	1.6	0.3	2.5	-47.24	0.02	-0.06	0.05	-96.3	0.6	1.0	1.5
2006	1,827.2	1.8	2.9	1.2	-47.28	0.02	-0.03	0.02				
2007	1,831.3	1.5	5.2	1.1	-47.29	0.01	0.00	0.02				
2008	1,836.6	1.6	5.9	0.8	-47.29	0.01	-0.01	0.01				
2009	1,841.6	5.1	5.8	0.6	-4/.51	0.01	-0.03	0.01				
2010	1,845.1	5.0	5.5	0.6	-47.34	0.03	-0.04	0.02				

Table 4.1 Summary of the annual CH_4 , $\delta^{13}C$, δD and their growth rates

4.5. The CH₄ sources 1978-2010

In this section, calculations, which estimate the total CH_4 sources and the $\delta^{13}C$ and δD signatures of the combined CH_4 sources are given based on observations from our composite time series.

4.5.1 Total CH₄ emissions 1978-2010

From measured CH_4 mixing ratio and its growth rate, we can calculate an estimate of CH_4 emissions. Treating the atmosphere as a single box, from the mass balance equation

$$\frac{d[CH_4]}{dt} = S - \frac{[CH_4]}{\tau}$$
(4.9)

where $\frac{d[CH_4]}{dt}$ is the CH₄ growth rate measured in parts per billion per year [ppb/yr], S is the total CH₄ emission measured in teragram/year [Tg/yr], [CH₄] is the CH₄ mixing ratio measured in parts per billion [ppb], and τ is the CH₄ lifetime measured in years [yr]. To convert from [ppb/yr] to [Tg/yr], a factor of 2.75 is used [Khalil et al., 2007]. Both [CH₄] and are d[CH₄]/dt are known and the lifetime is taken to be 9.7 years which is calculated from our data and the approximate middle of the range of lifetimes as discussed in section 1.3.2. To calculate the CH₄ emission from 1978 to 2010, we consider the CH₄ lifetime to be constant.

Under these assumptions, the CH₄ emission can be obtained as

$$S = 2.75 * \left(\frac{d[CH_4]}{dt} + \frac{[CH_4]}{\tau}\right)$$
(4.10)

The results of the total emission during 1978-2010 are shown in figure 4.19. Tabulated values for annual CH_4 emissions are given in table 4.2.

Year	CH ₄ [ppb]	d(CH ₄)/dt [ppb/yr]	S _{9.7vr} (Tg/yr)	δ ¹³ C-sources [‰]	δD-sources [‰]
1978	1,604.0	17.6	503.1	-52.36	-282.8
1979	1,615.4	17.1	505.0	-52.35	-283.3
1980	1,629.9	16.5	507.4	-52.35	-284.2
1981	1,645.7	16.7	512.4	-52.34	-283.8
1982	1,662.6	17.3	518.9	-52.32	-282.7
1983	1,679.5	16.2	520.8	-52.33	-283.4
1984	1,695.2	15.1	522.1	-52.35	-284.3
1985	1,709.9	14.3	524.2	-52.36	-284.8
1986	1,723.8	13.7	526.3	-52.37	-285.1
1987	1,737.3	12.9	527.9	-52.39	-285.7
1988	1,749.0	10.8	525.6	-52.43	-287.4
1989	1,758.3	7.1	518.0	-52.47	-290.2
1990	1,764.1	5.4	514.9	-52.51	-290.9
1991	1,771.9	8.3	525.1	-52.43	-287.4
1992	1,780.0	7.3	524.6	-52.42	-287.7
1993	1,786.2	5.5	521.5	-52.43	-288.5
1994	1,791.4	5.2	522.2	-52.41	-287.8
1995	1,796.9	5.8	525.3	-52.40	-286.4
1996	1,803.7	5.6	526.7	-52.41	-285.8
1997	1,803.1	-1.3	507.7	-52.54	-291.8
1998	1,807.6	7.2	532.3	-52.25	-282.3
1999	1,813.0	1.2	517.3	-52.38	-287.8
2000	1,813.2	0.2	514.6	-52.41	-288.6
2001	1,813.6	1.2	517.4	-52.39	-287.7
2002	1,816.5	4.8	528.2	-52.30	-284.2
2003	1,824.2	7.9	539.0	-52.19	-281.7
2004	1,826.5	-0.9	515.2	-52.39	-291.4
2005	1,825.7	0.3	518.3	-52.37	-289.8
2006	1,827.2	2.9	526.0	-52.33	
2007	1,831.3	5.2	533.5	-52.29	
2008	1,836.6	5.9	536.8	-52.29	
2009	1,841.6	5.8	538.1	-52.31	
2010	1,845.1	5.5	538.2	-52.33	

Table 4.2 The annual total CH_4 total emissions, $\delta^{13}C$ and δD of CH_4 sources



Figure 4.19 Annual CH_4 emission during 1978-2010 using the mass balance equation (4.10) and based on a CH_4 lifetime of 9.7 yrs.

The average CH₄ emission during 1978-2010 is 522 ± 9 Tg/yr with a minimum value of 503 Tg/yr in 1978 and a maximum value of 539 Tg/yr in 2003 (as shown in table 4.2 and figure 4.19). The highest CH₄ emission during 2003 was the reason of anomalously large growth rate in CH₄ (as described in section 4.4.4).

Figure 4.19 shows that CH₄ emissions increased rapidly from 1978 with a value of 503 Tg/yr to 1983 with a value of 520 Tg/yr and slowed down from 1983 to 1987 with a value of 528 Tg/yr. After 1987, CH₄ emissions decreased from 538 Tg/yr and reached 515 Tg/yr during 1990. A rapid return to increased CH₄ emissions followed during 1991, at 525 Tg/yr. In 1991-1996, CH₄ emissions changed slowly. During 1997, CH₄ emissions decreased sharply to 508 Tg/yr. The high CH₄ emissions during 1998 with a value of 532

Tg/yr from wetlands and fires appear as a sharp increase in figure 4.19 and a sharp increase in the CH₄ growth rate in figure 4.18(a). CH₄ emissions decreased again after 1998 and reached 514 Tg/yr during 2000. After 2001, CH₄ emissions increased again and spiked during 2003 with a value of 539 Tg/yr. They decreased again during 2004 with a value of 515 Tg/yr and 518 Tg/yr in 2005. Emissions increased rapidly 2000-2005 and leveled off 2008-2010 which explains the increase of CH₄ growth rate during 2005-2010.

Decreasing the lifetime of CH₄ in the atmosphere from 9.7 yrs to 8.7 yrs (and fixing the CH₄ mixing ratio and its growth rate), the effect of sinks will increase and therefore CH₄ emissions are increased from 503 Tg/yr in 1978 (as calculated from equation 4.8 with a CH₄ lifetime=9.7 yrs) to 555 Tg/yr in 1978. The maximum value of emission occurs in 2003 with a value 560 Tg/yr. Contrastingly, increasing the CH₄ lifetime means a decrease of the atmospheric sink. Therefore, an increase CH₄ lifetime to 10.7 yrs changes minimum and maximum annual emission strengths to be 460 Tg/yr and 491 Tg/yr, respectively. A figure B.21 in Appendix B shows the difference of CH₄ emission when the CH₄ lifetime is 8.7 yrs, 9.7 yrs and 10.7 yrs.

4.5.2. The δ^{13} C of the CH₄ sources 1978-2010

Recall equation (4.9), the total emission of CH₄ can be defined as

$$S = \frac{d[CH_4]}{dt} + \frac{[CH_4]}{\tau}$$
(4.11)

123

where [CH₄] is the total mixing ratio of CH₄ in the atmosphere which includes all isotopologues, $CH_4 = {}^{12}CH_4 + {}^{13}CH_4 + CH_3D$

considering isotopologues ${}^{12}CH_4$ and ${}^{13}CH_4$ and how our measurements of $\delta^{13}C$ are made, contributions from other isotopologues are not important. As in equation (4.11), the mass balance equations for ${}^{12}CH_4$, ${}^{13}CH_4$ can be written as

$$S_{12CH4} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[{}^{12}CH_4 \right] + \frac{\left[{}^{12}CH_4 \right]}{\tau_{12CH4}}$$
(4.12)

$$S_{13CH4} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[{}^{13}CH_4 \right] + \frac{\left[{}^{13}CH_4 \right]}{\tau_{13CH4}}$$
(4.13)

Dividing equation (4.13) by (4.12), the isotopic ratio of the source has the form

$$R_{\text{source}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{13}\text{CH}_4 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{{}^{13}\text{CH}_4}{\tau_{13}\text{CH}_4}} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{12}\text{CH}_4 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{{}^{12}\text{CH}_4}{\tau_{12}\text{CH}_4}} \\ \end{bmatrix}_{\text{air}}$$
(4.14)

Multiplying the right hand side of equation (4.14) with $\frac{\tau_{13CH_4}}{\tau_{13CH_4}}$ and using

$$\tau_{13CH_4} = \alpha \ \tau_{12CH_4} \tag{4.15}$$

we obtain

$$R_{\text{source}} = \left[\frac{\tau_{13CH_4} \frac{d}{dt} [{}^{13}CH_4] + {}^{13}CH_4}{\tau_{13CH_4} \frac{d}{dt} [{}^{12}CH_4] + \alpha^{12}CH_4} \right]_{\text{air}}$$
(4.16)

since
$$R = \frac{{}^{13}CH_4}{{}^{12}CH_4}$$
 therefore
 ${}^{13}CH_4 = R {}^{12}CH_4$ (4.17)

and its time derivative has the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} [{}^{13}CH_4] = R' {}^{12}CH_4 + R * [{}^{12}CH_4]'$$
(4.18)

where R' and $[^{12}CH_4]'$ are the time derivatives of R and $[^{12}CH_4]$ and will be defined here.

124

From Equations (4.15),(4.16), (4.17), and (4.18) and after some simplification, equation (4.14) can be re- written simply as

$$R_{\text{source}} = R_{\text{air}} + \frac{{}^{12}\text{CH}_{4}\left[\tau_{12\text{CH}_{4}}R'_{\text{air}} + R_{\text{air}}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\right]}{\tau_{12\text{CH}_{4}}*[{}^{12}\text{CH}_{4}]' + {}^{12}\text{CH}_{4}}$$
(4.19)

The terms in the right hand side of equation (4.19) can be calculated from measured parameters as follows

$$R_{air} = R_{VPDB} \left(\frac{\delta^{13} C_{air}}{1000} + 1 \right)$$
(4.20)

$$R'_{air} = \frac{R_{VPDB}}{1000} \frac{d}{dt} \delta^{13} C_{air}$$
(4.21)

$${}^{12}\text{CH}_4 = \frac{\text{CH}_4}{1 + \text{R}_{\text{air}}} \tag{4.22}$$

$${}^{12}\text{CH}'_{4} = \frac{{}^{12}\text{CH}_{4}\text{CH}'_{4} - \text{R}'_{air} {(}^{12}\text{CH}_{4} {)}^{2}}{{}^{\text{CH}_{4}}}$$
(4.23)

The carbon kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) α is taken as 1.0054 based on the calculations from equation (3.2). τ_{12CH_4} is the lifetime of $^{12}CH_4$ in the atmosphere and will be taken as the lifetime of CH₄ 9.7 yrs. Finally, $\delta^{13}C_{source}$ can be obtained from

$$\delta^{13}C_{\text{source}} = \left[\frac{R_{\text{source}}}{R_{\text{VPDB}}} - 1\right] \ 1000 \tag{4.24}$$

where R_{VPDB} =0.0112372 for carbon isotope ratios [Coplen,1995].



Figure 4.20 The annual δ^{13} C of the CH₄ sources during 1978-2010.

The flux weighted average δ^{13} C of the global CH₄ source based on our composite data is represented in figure 4.20. Values are represented in figure 4.20 are tabulated in table 4.2. The average value during 1978-2010 is -52.4±0.1‰. The most depleted value of the δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources during 1978-2010 occurred in 1997 with a value of -52.5‰ while the most enriched of the δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources occurred in 2003 with a value of -52.2‰, a range of 0.3‰.

Figure 4.20 shows that δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources increases slowly during 1978-1982 from an annual value of -52.4‰ in 1978 to be -52.3‰ in 1982. It then decreases rapidly and reaches a depleted value of -52.5‰ in 1990. During 1991-1996, δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources is constant with a value of -52.4‰. A sharp decrease in CH₄ emission during 1997 (as

shown in figure 4.19) may be explained by a decrease in enriched source emissions (as shown in figure 4.20) such as biomass burning or fossil fuel. Therefore, δ^{13} C of the CH₄ sources become more depleted value during this period. The large spike of CH₄ emissions (as shown in figure 4.19) during 1998 due to the increase in CH₄ emissions from wetlands and boreal fires is also observed in figure 4.20. This spike (-52.25‰) confirms that the effect of boreal fires on atmospheric CH₄ (δ^{13} C~ -25‰). δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources returns to -52.4‰ after 1998 till 2001. This is followed by a sharp increase of δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources reaching to an enriched value of -52.2‰ during 2003. This may be the result of wildfires which occurred across Europe during August 2003 due to the extremely hot and dry weather conditions [Hodzic et al. 2007]. There were also Southern California wildfires which occurred in 2003 between October 20th and November 3rd [http://www.nws.noaa.gov/os/assessments/pdfs/Signed-Wildfire.pdf;

http://map.sdsu.edu/fireweb/]. A sharp dip to -52.4‰ occurs in 2004 followed by a gradual increase through 2007. CH₄ emission from sources increases rapidly from 2004 to 2008 and slows during 2008-2010 (as shown in figure 4.19). During this period, the δ^{13} C of the CH₄ sources increases gradually during 2004-2007 and decreases slowly during 2008-2010. This may occur due to an increase in emissions from enriched sources (such as fossil fuel with δ^{13} C~ -38‰ or biomass burning with δ^{13} C~ -25‰) during 2004-2007. The gradual depletion of δ^{13} C of sources may be explained from increased emission from microbial sources (δ^{13} C~ -60‰) during 2008-2010 or the decrease in emissions from an enriched source such as biomass burning or fossil fuel.

4.5.3. The δD of CH₄ sources 1978-2005

The same procedures are followed to calculate the hydrogen isotope of methane sources δD_s . The mass balance equations for CH₄ and CH₃D can be written as in equation (4.11)

$$S_{CH_4} = [CH_4]' + \frac{[CH_4]}{\tau_{CH_4}}$$
(4.25)

$$S_{CH_3D} = [CH_3D]' + \frac{[CH_3D]}{\tau_{CH_3D}}$$
 (4.26)

Dividing equation (4.26) by (4.25), the ratio of the source has the form

$$\frac{S_{CH_{3}D}}{S_{CH_{4}}} = 4R_{D.source} = \left[\frac{[CH_{3}D]' + \frac{[CH_{3}D]}{\tau_{CH_{3}D}}}{[CH_{4}]' + \frac{[CH_{4}]}{\tau_{CH_{4}}}}\right]_{air}$$
(4.27)

Multiplying the right hand side of equation (4.27) with $\frac{\tau_{CH_3D}}{\tau_{CH_3D}}$ and using

$$\tau_{CH_3D} = \alpha \, \tau_{CH_4} \tag{4.28}$$

we got

$$R_{\text{source}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\tau_{\text{CH}3D} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{CH}_3D] + \text{CH}_3D}{\tau_{\text{CH}3D} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{CH}_4] + \alpha * \text{CH}_4} \right]_{\text{air}}$$
(4.29)

In case of hydrogen $R = \frac{1}{4} \frac{CH_3D}{CH_4}$ therefore

$$CH_3D = 4 R CH_4 \tag{4.30}$$

and its time derivative has the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}[CH_3D] = 4 R' CH_4 + R [CH_4]'$$
(4.31)

where R' and [CH₄]' are the time derivatives of R and [CH₄].

From Equations (4.28), (4.30), and (4.31) and after some simplifications, equation (4.29) can be re- written simply as
$$R_{source} = R_{air} + \frac{CH_4 \left[\tau_{CH_4} R'_{air} + R_{air} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)\right]}{\tau_{CH_4} CH'_4 + CH_4}$$
(4.32)

The terms in the right hand side of equation (4.32) can be calculated from measured parameters as follows

$$R_{air} = R_{VSMOW} \left(\frac{\delta D_{air}}{1000} + 1 \right)$$
(4.33)

$$R'_{air} = \frac{R_{VSMOW}}{1000} \frac{d}{dt} \delta D_{air}$$
(4.34)

Here α is the hydrogen kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) which can be taken as 1.27 based on the calculations from equation (3.4) and the CH₄ lifetime is taken as 9.7 yrs. δD_{source} can be obtained from equation (4.32) as

$$\delta D_{\text{source}} = \left[\frac{R_{\text{source}}}{R_{\text{VSMOW}}} - 1\right] * 1000 \tag{4.35}$$

where R_{VSMOW} = 0.00015574 for hydrogen isotope ratios [Coplen, 1995].

The annual flux weighted average δD of CH₄ sources during 1978-2005 is shown in figure 4.21. Values in this figure are included in table 4.2. During 1978-2005, δD of the CH₄ sources has an average value of -286±3‰ with a minimum value of -292‰ occurring in 1997 and a maximum value of -282‰ in 2003. δD of the CH₄ sources decreases slowly from -283‰ in 1978 to -284‰ in 1980. It increases gradually from -284‰ in 1981 to -283‰ in 1982. Another slow decrease in δD of the CH₄ sources begins in 1982 to a value of -286‰ in 1987 and is followed by a more rapid decrease to -291‰ in 1990. δD of the CH₄ sources increases after 1990 and reaches to a value of -286‰ in 1996. A sharp dip occurs in 1997 with a value of -292‰ followed by a large peak in 1998 with a value -282‰. This large 1998 peak in figure 4.21 is consistent with a large peak in CH₄ emission (as in figure 4.19) and with a large peak δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources (as in figure 4.20). The main reason for this peak during 1998, as mentioned before, is CH₄ emissions from boreal fires. Clearly, the effect of large spike during 1998 in both δ^{13} C and δ D of CH₄ sources supports the hypothesis, that CH₄ emissions from fires was greater than CH₄ emission from wetlands. After the large spike in 1998, δ D of CH₄ sources decreases sharply and reaches -289‰ in 2000. The sources become enriched in δ D again in 2002-2003 with a value of -282‰ during 2003. This large peak in δ D of CH₄ sources (as shown in figure 4.21) is consistent with a large peak in CH₄ emission (see figure 4.19) and with a large peak in δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources (see figure 4.20). Therefore, these results suggest the 2003 spike in CH₄ was caused by enriched sources, likely fire. A sharp decrease in δ D of CH₄ sources occurs after 2003 and reaches to -291‰ in 2004 and -290‰ in 2005. Since there are no available measurements of δ D of atmospheric CH₄ 2005-2010, a continued description is not feasible.



Figure 4.21. The annual δD of the CH₄ sources during 1978-2005.

A classification of the CH₄ emitted from sources has been discussed according to CH₄ emitted from natural sources or anthropogenic sources [Khalil, 2000; IPCC2007]. Another classification was described according to their δ^{13} C and δ D values [Quay et al.1991; 1999]. In 1991, Quay et al. divided the CH₄ emitted sources into three categories: biogenic sources such as wetlands, lakes, rice paddies; fossil fuel sources such as coal and natural gas; and biomass burning sources [Quay et al., 1999]. The biogenic sources are characterized by a depleted value of δ^{13} C which ranges from -65‰ for tundra to -50‰ for landfills. The biogenic sources also have depleted values in δ D and range from -380‰ for termites to -293‰ for landfills. Fossil fuel sources are characterized by with higher values of δ^{13} C than biogenic sources. Their δ^{13} C values range from -37 to -38

‰. However, their δD values are the most enriched sources of these categories at -175‰. Biomass burning sources are the most enriched value of δ^{13} C between -24 to -26‰ and their δD values are approximately -210‰. For more information on isotopic signatures of CH₄ sources see table 1.1.

Figure 4.22 shows the three main categories of CH₄ emission sources. Using figure 4.20 and figure 4.21, we can plot the annual values of δ^{13} C of CH₄ sources versus the annual values of δD of CH₄ sources and correlate the resulting plot with the three categories of CH₄ sources as shown in figure 4.23. This approach shows effect of three distinct source categories on the isotopic composition of CH_4 . This figure shows a decreasing contribution from fossil fuel emissions occurring during 1978-1980. This is followed by an increase in the emissions of biomass burning from 1981-1982. After 1982, (1983-1990) the fractional contributions from biomass burning and /or fossil sources gradually decrease while the fractional contributions from microbial sources increases. From 1991-1996, the prior CH₄ trend in emissions between microbial and enriched sources reverses and enriched sources increase relative to microbial sources. The decrease in emissions from biomass burning and fossil fuel show up in 1997 as a dramatic anomaly in figure 4.23. The high CH₄ emissions from boreal fires during 1998 appears as an increase in the biomass burning component. Another increase of biomass emission occurs during 2003. After 2003, the microbial emission increases relative to fossil fuel and biomass burning.



Figure 4.22. δ^{13} C-CH₄ source vs. δ D-CH₄ source for Microbial, Fossil Fuel, and Biomass Burning with the results obtained from figure 4.20 and figure 4.21 during 1978-2005.



Figure 4.23. The annual values of δ^{13} C-CH₄ sources vs. the annual values δ D-CH₄ sources from figure 4.20 and figure 4.21 during 1978-2005.

Chapter 5

Summary and Conclusion

Methane (CH₄), one of the most important greenhouse gases after water vapor and carbon dioxide, has a global warming potential 25 times than that of CO_2 (based on a 100 year time horizon). Its atmospheric concentration has been increased more than double from the preindustrial era due to the anthropogenic human activities such as rice cultivation, biomass burning, and fossil fuel production. The rate of increase of atmospheric CH₄ (or the growth rate) slowed from 1980 till present day. The main reason of these fluctuations is the interaction between CH₄ sources and sinks.

This research focuses on the temporal changes in the isotopic composition of atmospheric CH₄ over the last three decades. Isotopes can provide a better way to estimate the CH₄ budget and its change in time because of the distinct values of δ^{13} C and δ D for CH₄ sources and characteristic isotopic fractionation effects in sinks.

For this work, we use four sets of archive samples brought from the Oregon Graduate Institute to Portland State University. The first and the longest air archive samples from Cape Meares, Oregon extended from 1977 to 1999. This archive includes 211 samples. The other three air archive sets are from South Pole, Samoa, and Mauna Loa. For South Pole air samples, they extended from January 1992 to February 1996 and include 15 samples. The Samoa air archive samples were collected from March 1995 to April 1996 and include 14 samples. The last air archive samples, Mauna Loa, Hawaii were collected from February 1995 to December 1995 and includes 11 samples. One of the uncertainties for the values obtained for these three air archive sets (other than Cape Meares) is most of these samples represent a mix of air samples collected over the same month during a year combined without knowing the fractional weighting for each date.

We developed a gas chromatography isotopic ratio mass spectrometer (GC-IRMS) technique to measure the δ^{13} C and δ D for these samples as discussed in detail in section 2.2. We have calibrated it with isotopic standards measured by Professor Tyler at the University of California, Irvine relative to VPDB and VSMOW. Each archive sample was measured between 3 to 4 times for each analysis. The average precision for δ^{13} C and δ D are 0.08‰ and 2.3‰, respectively, when all uncertainties are accounted for. Also constructed and tested was an analytical method to measure CH₄ mixing ratios using gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) as presented in section 2.3. The calibrated gas used is synthetic air sample traceable to NIST SRM 1659a. The average precision of the GC measurements is 6 ppb including uncertainty in the reference gas.

The results of measured values of CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C, and δ D from archive samples at Cape Meares were presented in sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3. For CH₄ mixing ratio, we compared the measured values at PSU with continuous measurements at Cape Meares during 1979-1992 [Khalil et al., 1993] and NOAA measurements at Cape Meares during 1983-1998 [Dlugokencky et al., 2012]. We also compared our measured values at PSU with the measured values at OGI and found good sample stability over time. We also calculated the seasonal cycle of each dataset (PSU measured values, [Khalil et al.,

1993] and [Dlugokencky et al., 2012]) using a local weighted regression (LOWESS) and obtained a good agreement among the seasonal cycle trends of all of these datasets. The seasonal cycle of CH₄ mixing ratio shows it has a broad maximum between October and April with a value of 8.5 ppb and the lowest value occurs between July and August with a value of -24.8 ppb. δ^{13} C seasonal cycle shows that maximum values occur in May with a value of 0.1‰, and minimum values occur between September and October with a value of -0.12‰. δD reaches its seasonal maximum in July with a value of 2.3‰ and a minimum in October with a value of -1.7‰. Using the relation between the CH₄-residual with δ^{13} C-residual and CH₄-residual with δ D-residual, we obtained the kinetic isotopic effects of carbon and hydrogen in the CH₄ sink reactions. The values obtained for $^{13C}\alpha$ and ${}^{\mathrm{D}}\alpha$ are 1.006±0.0007 and 1.19±0.02, respectively. We also found that δD seasonal cycle has a stronger anticorrelation with CH₄ mixing ratio seasonal cycle than $\delta^{13}C$ seasonal cycle. Additionally, we found that during January-May a significant enriched source is more prevalent (such as biomass burning and fossil fuel therefore $\delta^{13}C$ and δD are increased) and during August-September a more depleted source is more prevalent. The measured values of recent mixing ratios for air samples collected at Cape Meares during March, 2012-September, 2012 were also presented. These data show similar features to the Cape Meares archive.

The measured values of CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C and δ D at South Pole, Samoa, and Mauna Loa archives were presented in section 3.6. For CH₄ mixing ratio, measured values at PSU were compared with those measured by NOAA [Dlugokencky et al., 2012] for three sites. For Samoa and Mauna Loa, their $\delta^{13}C$ measured values at PSU were compared with those measured by Quay et al. [1999] during the same period. The δD measurements represent some of the only measurements for these sites during their time periods.

Additional datasets from three mid-latitude sites (Olympic Peninsula (OP), Montaña de Oro (MDO), Niwot Ridge (NWR)) were added to the Cape Meares (CM) dataset in order to describe the trend of CH₄ and its isotopes from 1978 till 2010. Before using these datasets, we adjusted the three variables to the same scale, removed the latitudinal-dependence, and removed the seasonality. For CH₄ mixing ratio, all the measured values were converted to the NOAA04 scale. For δ^{13} C and δ D at CM, MDO, and NWR, all the measured value were on the Tyler scale [Tyler et al., 2007]. For OP dataset, we compared between Samoa and Mauna Loa measured values at PSU with those measured by Quay et al. [1999] and found no significant difference between each of these datasets for these locations (i.e., there is no significant difference between the two scales). We removed the latitude-dependence by comparing the dataset for each variable at each site with Cape Meares during the intersection of collected time. To remove the seasonality from the corrected data (after adjusting their scales and making them latitudinal independent), we used LOWESS regression and calculated the average of the residuals based on the month of collection for each variable. The resulting seasonal cycles of CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C, and δ D show a good agreement for those determined for Cape Meares in chapter 3. The carbon and hydrogen isotopic effects were

also obtained based on the residual values of CH₄, δ^{13} C and δ D in the composite dataset. The values obtained for ^{13C} α and ^D α are 1.0036±0.0004 and 1.21±0.02, respectively.

After removing the seasonality from the composite data, we used LOWESS and Bootstrap techniques to obtain the secular trend and interannual variability for each variable and their uncertainties. The time rate of change of each variable is also obtained by fitting the smoothed data then differentiating it with respect to time. A comparison of the annual value of the growth rate of CH_4 mixing ratio from the composite dataset with the annual value of the growth rate of CH₄ mixing ratio from NOAA sites [Dlugokencky et. al, 2011] in the Northern and Southern hemisphere sites shows a high degree of correlation, $r^2=0.7$. Using the annual values of CH₄ mixing ratio, their growth rates, and a lifetime of 9.7 yrs, we calculated the total CH₄ emissions during 1978-2010. We then developed equations to calculate the average $\delta^{13}C$ and δD of CH₄ sources based on measured values as the annual values CH₄, their growth rates, δ^{13} C and δ D of atmospheric CH₄, their time rates of change, the carbon and hydrogen kinetic isotopic effects, and CH₄ lifetime. Results of this analysis suggest that no one source dominated the decrease in the CH₄ trend. The period 1978-1980 shows a relative decrease of fossil fuel emission; 1980-1982 shows the fractional contributions from fossil fuel and/or biomass burning increases; 1982-1990 shows the fractional contribution from fossil fuel sources decrease while the fractional contribution from the microbial sources increase; 1990-1996 shows the fossil sources increase relative to the microbial sources; 1997 shows a dramatic decrease of fossil and biomass emissions relative to the microbial emissions. In 1998, the fire emissions show up as a large peak in the biomass burning

relative to the fossil and microbial emissions. After 1998, the fractional contribution from the biomass burning decreases while the fractional contribution from microbial increases till 2000. During 2002-2003, there is another increase of biomass burning sources relative to the microbial sources reaching maximum in 2003. After 2003, the microbial emission increases relative to fossil and biomass burning. The spikes observed in 1998 and 2003 were due to the wildfires in boreal area in Northern hemisphere and Europe, respectively.

Beyond what is presented here, future work will use the data of each site and composite CH₄, δ^{13} C, and δ D datasets to calculate the global emissions of the three categories of CH₄ sources: microbial, fossil fuel, and biomass burning during the time period 1978-2010. The knowledge of δ^{13} C and δ D from additional sites will improve these calculations by adding information on CH₄ sources. For this work, we are collaborating with Dr. Chris Butenhoff and his graduate student Florain Roeger, who are using the GEOS- Chem (chemistry transport model) model. This model is developed by Harvard and has a resolution of 4° (lat) x 5° (long) with 47 vertical layers. GEOS-Chem is a global 3-D chemical transport model (CTM) for atmospheric composition driven by meteorological input (such as temperature and pressure) from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) of the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office.

References

- Allan, W. H., H. Struthers, and D. Lowe, Methane carbon isotope effects caused by atomic chlorine in the marine boundary layer: Global results compared with Southern Hemisphere measurements, J. Geophys.Res., 2007. 112, (D04306), doi:10.1029/2006JD007369.
- Allan, W., M. R. Manning, K. R. Lassey, D. C. Lowe, and A. J. Gomez, Modeling the variation of δ¹³C in the atmospheric methane: Phase ellipses and kinetic isotope effect, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 2001. 15, p:467-481.
- Allan W., D.C. Lowe, and J.M. Cainey, Active chlorine in the remote marine boundary layer: Modeling anomalous measurements of δ¹³C in methane, Geophys.Res. Lett., 2001(a).28:p.3239-3242.
- Bartlett, K. B., and R. C. Harriss, reviewed and assessment of methane emission from wetlands, Chemosphere, **1993**. 26:p.261-320.
- Bates, T. S., K. C. Kelly, J.E.Johnson, and R.H.Gammon, A reevaluation of the open ocean source of methane to the atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res., 1996, 101:p. 6953–6961.
- Bergamaschi, P., M. Braunlich, T. Marik, and C.A.M. Brenninkmeijer, Measurements of the carbon and hydrogen isotopes of atmospheric methane at Izafia, Tenerife: Seasonal cycles and synoptic-scale variations, J. Geophys. Res., 2000.105(Dll):p.14, 531-14,546.

- Blake, D.R., and F.S. Rowland, Continuing worldwide increase in tropospheric methane 1978 to 1987, Science, **1988**, 239(4844): p. 1129-1131,
- Blunier, T., J. Chappellaz , J.Schwander , J . Barnola, T. Desperts, B.Stauffer, , D. Raynaud, Atmospheric methane, record from a Greenland ice core over the last 1000 years. Geophys. Res. Lett., 1993, 20:p. 2219–2222.
- Bousquet, P., P. Ciais, J. B. Miller, E. J. Dlugokencky, D. A. Hauglustaine, C. Prigent, G. R. Van der Werf, P. Peylin, E.-G. Brunke, C. Carouge, R. L. Langenfelds, J. Lathie're,
- F. Papa, M. Ramonet, M. Schmidt, L. P. Steele, S. C. Tyler and J. White, contribution of anthoropogenic and natural sources of atmospheric methane variability. Nature, 2006, 443:p.doi:10. 1038/nature 05132.
- Brass, M. and T. R_ckmann, Continuous-flow isotope mass spectrometry method for carbon and hydrogen isotope measurements on atmospheric methane, Atmo. Meas. Tech Discuss., 2010. 3:p-24333-2476.
- Bräunlich, M., O. Aballain, T. Marik, P. Jöckel, C. A. M. Brenninkmeijer, J. Chappellaz, J. Barnola, R. Mulvaney, and W. T. Sturges, Changes in the global atmospheric methane budget over the last decades inferred from 13C and D isotopic analysis of Antarctic firn air. J. Geophys. Res., 2001.106(D17): p. 20,465-20,481.
- Brook, E. J., T. Sowers, J. Orchardo, Rapid variations in atmospheric methane concentration during the past 110,000 years, Science, 1996, (273):p.1087-1091.
- Cantrell, C.A., R.E. Shetter, A.H. McDaniel, J.G.Calvert, J.A. Davidson, D.C. Lowe, S.C. Tyler, R.J. Cicerone, and J.P. Greenberg, Carbon kinetic isotope effect in the

oxidation of methane by hydroxyl radicals. J. Geophys. Res., **1990**.95:p.22455–22462.

- Cao, M, K. Gregson, S. Marshall, J. B. Dent, and O. W. Heal, Global methane emission from rice paddies.Chemosphere, **1996**, (33):p.879-897.
- Chappellaz, J. A., I.Y. Fung, and A.M. Thompson, The atmospheric CH₄ increase since the last glacial maximum, 1, Source estimates, Tellus, Ser. B, 1993.45:p. 228-241.
- Cicerone, R.J., R.S. Oremland., Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane, Global Biogeochem., 1988. 2:p. 299–327.
- Cleveland, W.S., S.J. Devlin, Locally Weighted Regression: An Approach to Regression Analysis by Local fitting, J. Americ. Statis. Asso.,1988.83(403):p. 596-610. doi:10.2307/2289282.
- Cleveland, R. B., W.S. Cleveland, J.E. McRae, and I. Terpenning, STL: A seasonaltrend decomposition procedure based on loess, J. Off. Stat., **1990**.6:p.3-73.
- Coplen, T.B., Reporting of stable carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen isotopic abundances, IAEA Tec,DOC825,1995.p:31-34.
- Craig, H., C.C. Chou, Methane: the record in polar ice cores. Geophys. Res. Lett.
 1982, 9:p. 1221–1224.
- Crutzen, P., I. Aselmann., and W. Seiler, Methane production by domestic animals, wild ruminants, and other herbivorous fauna and humans, Tellus, 1986. (38B):p. 271-284.

- Crutzen, P.J., and M. O. Andreae, Biomass burning in the tropics: impact on the atmospheric chemistry and biogeochemical cycles, Science, 1990. 250 (4988):p. 1669-1678.
- Diaconis, P. and B.Erfon, Computer intensive methods in statistics, Technic. Rep. no.83,1983. Stanford University.
- Dlugokencky, E. J., L. Bruhwiler, J. W. C. White, L. K. Emmons, P. C. Novelli, S. A. Montzka, K. A. Masarie, P. M. Lang, A. M. Crotwell, J. B. Miller, and L. V. Gatti, Observational constraints on recent increases in the atmospheric CH4 burden. Geophys, Res. Lett. 2009.36(L18803): p.doi:10.1029/2009GL039780.
- Dlugokencky, E. J., R. C. Myers, P. M. Lang, K. A. Masarie, A. M. Crotwell, K. W. Thoning, B. D. Hall, J. W. Elkins, and L.P. Steele, Conversion of NOAA atmospheric dry air CH4 mole fractions to a gravimetrically prepared standard scale, J. Geophys. Res., 2005. 110(D18306), doi: 10.1029/2005JD006035.
- Dlugokencky, E. J., S. Houweling, L. Bruhwiler, K. A. Masarie, P. M. Lang, J. B. Miller, and P. P. Tans, Atmospheric methane levels off: Temporary pause or a new steady state?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2003, 30 (19): p.doi: 10.1029/2003GL018126.
- Dlugokencky, E., K. Masarie, P. Lang, and P. Tans, Continuing decline in the growth rate of the atmospheric methane burden. Nature, **1998**, 393: p-447–450.
- Dlugokencky, E.J., L. P. Steele, P. M. Lang and K. M. Masarie, The growth rate and distribution of atmospheric CH₄. J. Geophys. Res., **1994**, 99(D8):p.17, 021-17,043.

- Dlugokencky, E.J., L.P. Steele, P.M.Lange and K. M. Masarie, Atmospheric methane at Mauna Loa and Barrow observatories: Presentation and analysis of in situ measurements. J. Geophys. Res., 1995, 100(D11):p. 23103-23113.
- Dlugokencky, E.J., P.M. Lang, A.M. Crotwell, and K.A. Masarie 2012, Atmospheric Methane Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1983-2011, Version: 2012-09-24, Path: ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg/ch4/flask/event/.
- Dlugokencky, E.J., B.P. Walter, K.A. Masarie P.M. Lang, and E.S. Kasischke, Measurements of an anomalous global methane increase during 1998, Geophy. Res. Lett., 2001.28(3):p.499-502.
- Dlugokencky, E.J., E.G. Nisbet, R. Fisher, and D. Lowry, Global atmospheric methane: budget, changes and dangers, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A2011.369:p-2058-2072.
- Dlugokencky, E. J., S. Houweling, L. Bruhwiler, K.A. Masarie, P.M. Lang, J.B. Miller, and P.P. Tans, Atmospheric methane levels off: temporary pause or a new steady-state? Geophys. Res. Lett., 2003.30(1992) doi:10.1029/2003GL018126.
- Dlugokencky, E. J., K.A. Masarie, P.M. Lang, P. M., P.P. Tans, P. P., P.L. Steele, and E.G. Nisbet, A dramatic decrease in the growth rate of atmospheric methane in the northern hemisphere during 1992. Geophys. Res. Lett., 1994(a). 21:p. 45–48(doi:10.1029/93GL03070).
- Dlugokencky, E. J., E.G.Dutton, P.C. Novelli, P.P. Tans, K.A. Masarie, K.O. Lantz, and S. Madronich, Changes in CH₄ and CO growth rates after the eruption of Mt.

Pinatubo and their link with changes in tropical tropospheric UV flux. Geophys. Res. Lett., **1996**. 23:p. 2761–2764 (doi:10.1029/96GL02638).

- Donner, L., and V. Ramanathan, Methane and nitrous oxide: Their effects on the terrestrial climate, J. Atmos. Sci., **1980.** 37: p.119-124.
- Draxler, R.R. and G.D.Rolph, 2012. HYSPLIT(HYbird Single-Particle Largrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model access via NOAA ARL READY Website (http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php) NOAA Air Resources Laborartory, Silver Spring, MD.
- Ehhalt, D. H, The atmospheric cycle of methane, Tellus, **1974**. (26):p.58-70.
- Efron, B., Bootstrap methods: Another look at the jackknife, Ann. Statist., 1979.
 7(1):p. 1–26.
- Etheridge, D. M., L. P. Steele, R. J. Francey, and R. L. Langenfelds, Atmospheric methane between 1000 A.D. and present: Evidence of anthropogenic emissions and climatic variability, J. Geophys. Res., 1998. 103(D13):p. 15,979-15,993.
- Ferretti, D. F., J.B. Miller, J. W.C. White, D.M.Etheridge, K.R. Lassey, D.C. Lowe, C. M. MacFarling Meure, C. M., M.F.Dreier, C,M. Trudinger, T.D.V.Ommen, and R. L. Langenfelds, Unexpected changes to the global methane budget over the last 2000 years, Science, 2005.309:p.1714–1717.
- Francey, R., M. Manning, C. Allison, S. Coram, D. Etheridge, R. Langenfelds, D. Lowe, L. Steele, A history of δ¹³C in atmospheric CH4 from the Cape Grim Air Archive and Antarctic firn air. J. Geophys. Res., 1999. 104: p. 23631–43643.

- Fung, I., J. John, J. Lerner, E. Matthews, M. Prather, L. Steele, L., and P.Fraser, P., Three-dimensional model synthesis of the global methane cycle. J. Geophys. Res., 1991, 96:p.13033–13065.
- Goody, R. M., and G. D. Robinson, Radiation in the troposphere and lower stratosphere, Quart. J. R. M. Soc., 1951. 77(332):p.151-187.
- George, L.A.,T.M. Hard and R.J. O'Brien, Measurements of Free Radicals OH and HO₂ in Los Angeles Smog, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmo.,**1999**.104(D9):p.11643-11656.
- Hard, T.M., A.A. Mehrabzadeh, C.Y. Chan and R. J. O'Brien, FAGE measurements of tropospheric HO with measurements and model interferences, J. Geophys.Res.1992.97(D9):p.9795-9817.
- Hodzic, A., S. Madronich, B. Bohn, S. Massie, L. Menut, and C. Wiedinmyer, Atmos.Chem.Phys.Discuss., 2007.7:p.4705-4760.
- Houweling, S., G. R. van der Werf, K. K. Goldewijk, T. Rockmann, and I. Aben, Early anthropogenic CH₄ emissions and the variation of δ¹³CH₄ over the last millennium, Global Biogeochem. Cyc., 2008. 22(GB1002):p. doi:10.1029/2007GB002961.
- International Atomic Energy Agency, References and intercomparison material for stable isotopes of light elements(IAEA-TECDOC-825).
- IPCC, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Chapter2), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

- Jacobson, M. Z., Atmospheric pollution: history, science, and regulation. 2002, Camber. Univ. Press.
- Johnson, D. E., K.A. Johnson, G.M. Ward, and M. E. Branine, Ruminants and other animals, Atmospheric methane :its role in the global environment in :Khalil ,M.(Ed.), Atmospheric Methane: Its Role in the Global Environment, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 2000:p.112-133.
- Judd, A.G., Geological sources of methane. In: Khalil, M.(Ed.), Atmospheric Methane: Its Role in the Global Environment. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 2000:p. 280–303.
- Kasischke, E. S., and L. P. Bruhwiler, Emissions of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and methane from boreal forest fires in 1998, J. Geophys. Res., 2003. 108(D1), doi:10.1029/2001JD000461.
- Khalil, M. A. K., and R. A. Rasmussen, Sources, sinks, and seasonal cycles of atmospheric methane. J. Geophys. Res., **1983**, **88:p.** 5131-5144.
- Khalil, M. A. K, C. L. Butenhoff, and R. A. Rasmussen, Atmospheric Methane: Trends and Cycles of Sources and Sinks. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2007. 41:p. 2131-2137.
- Khalil, M., M. J. Shearer, Sources of methane: an overview. In: Khalil, M. (Ed.), Atmospheric Methane: Its Role in the Global Environment, 2000, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY: p. 98–111.

- Khalil, M., M.J. Shearer, Sources of methane: an overview. In: Khalil, M. (Ed.), Atmospheric Methane: Its Role in the Global Environment, 2000. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY: p. 98–111.
- Khalil, M., R. Rasmussen, M. Shearer, R. Dalluge, L. Ren, C. Duan, Factors affecting methane emissions from rice fields. J. Geophys. Res., **1998**.103: p. 25219–25231.
- Khalil, M., and R. Rasmussen, Atmospheric methane: trends over the last 10,000 years, Atmos. Environ., **1987**. 21: p. 2445–2452.
- Khalil, M.A.K, R.A. Rasmussen, M.J. Shearer, S. Ge, and J. A. Rau, methane from coal burning, Chemosphere, **1993(a)**.(26):p. 473-477.
- Khalil, M.A.K., Atmospheric methane: An introduction, Atmospheric methane: its role in the global environment (M. A. K. Khalil, Ed.), Springer, **2000.**
- Khalil, M.A.K., R.A. Rasmussen, and F. Moraes, Atmospheric Methane at Cape Meares: Analysis of a High Resolution Data Base and its Environmental Implications.
 J. Geophys. Res., 1993. 98(D8):p. 14,753-14,770.
- Lashof, D. A. and D. R. Ahuja, Relative contributions of greenhouse gas emissions to global warming. Nature, 1990.344: p 529 – 531.
- Lassey, K. R., D.C. Lowe, and M.R. Manning, The trend in atmospheric methane δ¹³C and implications for isotopic constraints on the global methane budget, Global Biogeochem. Cyc., 2000.14:p.41–49.
- Lassey, K.R., D.C. Lowe, C.A.M. Brenninkmeijer, and A.J. Gomez, Atmospheric methane and its carbon isotopes in the Southern Hemisphere: their time series and an instructive model. Chemosp., 1993. 26:p. 95–109.

- Legrand, M., C. LORIUS, N. I. BARKOV and V. N. PETROV, Vostok (Antarctic ice core): atmospheric chemistry changes over the last climatic cycle (160,000 years).
 Atmos. Environ., 1988.22:p. 317–33.
- Lelieveld, J.,P. Crutzen, and F. Dentener, Changing concentration, lifetime and climate forcing of atmospheric methane. Tellus, **1998**.50B:p.128–150.
- Lowe, D. C., C. A. M. Brenninkmeijer, S. C. Tyler, and E. J. Dlugokencky, Determination of the isotopic composition of atmospheric methane and its application in the Antarctic, J. Geophys. Res., 1991. 96(D8):p.15, 455–15,467.
- Lowe, D. C., M. R. Manning, G. W. Brailsford, and A. M. Bromley, The 1991–1992 atmospheric methane anomaly: Southern Hemisphere13C decrease and growth rate fluctuations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 1997.24(8):p.857–860.
- Lowe, D. C.,K. Koshy, T. Bromley, W. Allan, H. Struthers, F. Mani, and M. Maata, Seasonal cycles of mixing ratio and 13C in atmospheric methane at Suva, Fiji, J. Geophys. Res., 2004, 109(D23308), doi: 10.1029/2004JD005166.
- Lowe, D.C., C. A. M. Brenninkmeijer, G. W. Brailsford, K.R. Lassey ,A.J.Gomez, and E.G. Nisbet, Concentration and ¹³C record of atmospheric methane in New Zealand and Antarctica: Evidence for changes in methane sources, J. Geophys. Res., 1994, 99(D8), p: 16,913-16,925.
- Matthews, D. E., and J. M. Hayes, Isotope-ratio-monitoring gas chromatographymass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., **1978.** 50 (11): p. 1465–1473.

- Merritt, D. A., J.M. Hayes, and D.J. Des Marais, Carbon isotopic analysis of atmospheric methane by isotope-ratio-monitoring gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res., 1995. 100(D1), 1317-1326, doi: 10.1029/94JD02689.
- Miller, J. B., K. A. Mack, R. Dissly, J. W.C. White, E.J. Dlugokencky and P. P. Tans, Development of analytical methods and measurements of 13C/12C in atmospheric CH₄ from NOAA Climating Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory Global Air Sampling Network, J. Geophys. Res., 2002, 107(D13):p. doi: 10. 1029/2002JD00630.
- Miller. J.B., The carbon Isotopes composition of Atmospheric methane and its constraint on the global methane budget, Ch.16 in Stable isotopes and biosphere-atmosphere interactions: processes and biological controls (Ed: L. B. Flanagan., J. R. Ehleringer, and D. E. Pataki) Elsevier Academic Press, 2005.
- Mischler, J.A.,T. A. Sowers,R. B. Alley,M. Battle,J. R. McConnell, L. Mitchell, T. Popp, E. Sofen, and M. K. Spencer, Carbon and hydrogen isotopic composition of methane over the last 1000 years, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 2009. 23(GB4024) doi:10.1029/2009GB003460.
- Morimoto,S., S. Aoki, T. Nakazawa, and T. Yamanouchi, Temporal variations of the carbon isotopic ratio of atmospheric methane observed at Ny Ålesund, Svalbard from 1996 to 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 2006. 33(L01807), doi: 10.1029/2005GL024648.
- Nelson, R. C., E. K. Plyer, and W. S. Benedict, Absorption spectrum of CH₄ in the Near Infrared, Journal of research of the national Bureau of Standards, 1948, 41: p-615-621.

- Poppe, D., J. Zimmerman and H. Dorn, Field data and model calculations for the hydroxyl radical. J. Atmos. Sci., **1995**.52: p. 3402–3407.
- Prather, M. J., Time scales in atmospheric chemistry: Theory, GWPs for CH₄ and CO, and runaway growth, Geophys. Res. Lett., **1996**. 23:p.2597-2600.
- Prinn, R.G., R.F.Weiss, B.R. Miller, J.Huang, F.N. Alyea, D.M.Cunnold, P.J. Fraser, D.E. Hartley, and P.G. Simmonds, Atmospheric trends and lifetime of CH3CCl3 and global OH concentrations. Science, 1995. 269:p. 187–198.
- Prinn,R., D. Cunnold, P. Simmonds, F. Alyea, R.Boldi, A. Crawford, P. Freaser, D. Gutzl, D. Hartley, R. Rosen, and R. Rasmussen, Global average concentration and trend for hydroxyl radicals deduced from ALE/GAGE trichloroethane (Methyl Chloroform) data for 1978-1990. J. Geophy. Res., 1992.97(D2): p- 2445-2461.
- Prinn,R., D. Cunnold, R. Rasmussen, P. Simmonds, F. Alyea, A. Crawford, P. Fraser, and R. Rosen, Atmospheric Trends in Methyl chloroform and the global average for the hydroxyl radical. Science, 1987, 238(4829):p. 945-950.
- Prinn,R.G., J. Huang, R. F. Weiss, D. M. Cunnold,P. J. Fraser, P. G. Simmonds, A. McCulloch,C. Harth, P. Salameh, S. O'Doherty,R. H. J. Wang, L. Porter, and B. R. Miller, Evidence for substantial variations of Atmospheric hydroxyl radicals in the past two decades. Science, 2001. (292). doi: 10.1126/science.1058673.
- Quay, P., J. Stutsman, D. Wilbur, A. Snover, E. Dlugokencky, T. Brown, The isotopic composition of atmospheric methane. Glob. Biogeochem. Cyc., 1999, 13(2): p. 445-461

- Quay, P.D., S.L. King, J. Stutsman, D.O. Wilbur, L.P. Steele, I. Fung, R.H. Gammon, T.A. Brown, G.W. Farwell, P.M. Grootes, and F.H. Schmidt, Carbon isotopic composition of atmospheric CH₄: fossil and biomass burning source strengths. Global Biogeochem. Cyc., **1991**. 5:p. 25–47.
- Ramanathan, V., R.J. Cicerone, H.B. Singh, and J.T. Kiehl, Trace gas trends and their potential role in climate change, J. Geophy. Res., **1985**, 80(D3): p.6647-6688.
- Rasmussen, R.M. and M.A.K. Khalil, Atmospheric Methane (CH₄): Trends and Seasonal Cycles. J. Geophys. Res., **1981**. 86(C10):p.9826-9832.
- Rice, A. L., A. A. Gotoh, H.O. Ajie, and S.C Tyler, High-precision continuous-flow measurement of δ¹³C and δD of atmospheric CH₄, Anal. Chem., 2001.73(17):p.4104–4110.
- Rice, A.L., S.C. Tyler, M.C. McCarthy and E. Atlas, The Carbon and hydrogen isotopic compositions of startospheric methane:1 High-precision Observations from NASA ER-2 aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 2003. 108(D15), 4460, doi:10.1029/2002JD003042.
- Rigby, M., R. G. Prinn, P. J. Fraser, P. G. Simmonds, R. L. Langenfelds, J. Huang, D. M. Cunnold, L. P. Steele, P. B. Krummel, R. F. Weiss, S. O'Doherty, P. K. Salameh, H. J. Wang, C. M. Harth, J. Mu⁻hle, and L. W. Porter, Renewed growth of atmospheric methane. J. Geophy.Res., 2008.35 (L22805), doi:10.1029/2008GL036037.
- Sanderson, M.G., Biomass of termites and their emissions of methane and carbon dioxide: a global database. Global Biogeochem. Cyc., **1996**. 10: p- 543–557.

- Santrock, J., S. A. Studley, and J. M. Hayes, Isotopic analyses based on the mass spectrum of carbon dioxide, Anal. Chem., **1985**, 57: p7444-1448.
- Saueressig, G.,J. Crowley, P. Bergamaschi, C. Brühl, C. Brenninkmeijer, and H. Fischer.Carbon-13 and D kinetic isotope effects in the reactions of CH₄ with O(1D) and OH: New laboratory measurements and their implications for the isotopic composition of stratospheric methane. J. Geophys. Res., 2001.106(D19):p. 23,127-23,138.
- Seiler, W. and P.J.Crutzen, Estimates of gross and net fluxes of carbon between the biosphere and atmosphere from biomass burning, Clim. Change, **1980**.2:p. 207-247.
- Snover, A., and P. Quay. Hydrogen and carbon kinetic isotope effects during soil uptake of atmospheric methane. Global Biogeochem. Cyc., **2000**. 14(1):p. 25-39.
- Sowers, T., S. Bernard, O. Aballain, J. Chappellaz, J.M. Barnola, and T. Marik, Records of the δ¹³C of atmospheric CH₄ over the last 2 centuries as recorded in Antarctic snow and ice, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 2005. 19(GB2002):p. doi:2010.1029/2004GB002408.
- Stauffer, B., E. Lochbronner, H. Oeschger and J. Schwander, Methane concentration in the glacial atmosphere was only half that of the preindustrial Holocene, Nature, 1988. 332:p. 812-814.
- Steele, L.P., E. J. Dlugokencky, P.M. Lang, P.P. Tans, R.C. Martin, and K.A. Masarie, Slowing down of the global accumulation atmospheric methane during the 1980's, Nature, 1992. 358:p. 313-316.

- Steele, L.P., P.J. Fraser, R.A. Rasmussen, M.A.K. Khalil, T.J. Conway, A.J. Crawford, R.H. Gammon, K.A. Masarie, and K.W. Thoning, The global distribution of methanein the troposphere, J. Atmos. Chem., **1987**, 5:p. 125-171.
- Stevens, C. M., and F. E. Rust, The Carbon Isotopic Composition of Atmospheric M ethane, J. Geophys. Res., 1982, 87(C7):p. 4879-4882.
- Stevens, C. M., Atmospheric Methane. Chemic. Geol., 1988.71:p.11-21.
- Stevens, C. M., and M. Whalen, The isotopic composition of atmospheric methane and its sources, Atmospheric methane: its role in the global environment (Khalil, M. Ed.), Springer, 2000.
- Stolarski, R. S. and R. J. Cicerone, Stratospheric Chlorine: a Possible Sink for Ozone.
 Can. J. Chem., 1974 .52: p-1610-1615.
- Tans, P.P., A note on isotopic ratios and the global atmospheric methane budget, Global Biogeochem. Cyc., **1997**. 11(1):p. 77-81.
- Thompson, A., The oxidizing capacity of the Earth's atmosphere: probable past and future changes. Science, **1992**. 256:p.1157–1165.
- Thorneloe, S.A., M. A. Barlaz, R. Peer, L. C. Huff, L. Davis, and J. Mangino, Waste Mangement, Atmospheric methane: its role in the global environment (Khalil, M., Ed.), Springer, 2000.
- Tyler, S.C., A. L. Rice and H.O. Ajie, Stable isotope ratios in atmospheric CH₄: implications for seasonal sources and sinks. J. Geophys. Res., 2007.112(D03303): p.doi:10.1029/2006JD007231.

- Tyler, S.C., G.W. Broilsford, K. Ykigi, K. Minami and R.J. Cicerone, Seasonal variations in methane flux and δ^{13} CH₄ values for rice paddies in Japan and their implications. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycl., **1994**. 8(1):p. 1-12.
- Tyler, S.C., H.O.Ajie, A. L. Rice, R.J. Cicerone, and E. C. Tuazon, Experimentally determined kinetic isotope effects in the reaction of CH₄ with Cl implications for atmospheric CH₄.Geophys. Res. Let. , **2000**. 27:p. 1715–1718.
- Tyler, S.C., Stable Carbon Isotope Ratios in Atmospheric Methane and Some of Its Sources. J. Geophys. Res., 1986. 91(D12):p. 13,232-13,238.
- Vaghijani, G.L., and A.R. Ravishankara, New measurement of the rate coefficient for the reaction of OH with methane, Nature, **1991**.350:p.406-409.
- Wennberg, P. O, Atmospheric chemistry: Radicals follow the Sun, Nature, 2006.
 442:p.145-146.
- White, J.W.C. and B.H. Vaughn (2011), University of Colorado, Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), Stable Isotopic Composition of Atmospheric Methane (13C) from the NOAA ESRL Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1998-2010, Version: 2011-11-09, Path: ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ccg/ch4c13/flask/event/.
- Whiticar, M.J., Can stable isotopes and global budget be used to constrain atmospheric methane budget? Atmospheric methane: its role in the global environment (Khalil, M., Ed.), Springer, **2000**.
- Wolfsberg, M., Theoretical evaluation of experimentally observed isotope effects.
 Acco. Chem. Res., 1972.5(7):p.225–233.

- Wubbles, D. J. and K. Hayhoe, Atmospheric methane and global change. Earth-Science Rev, **2002**.57:p.177-210.
- Yamada,K., Y. Ozaki, F. Nakagawa, M. Tanaka,N. Yoshid, An improved method for measurement of the hydrogen isotope ratio of atmospheric methane and its application to a Japanese urban atmosphere, Atmos. Envi., 2003. 37:p. 1975–1982.
- Zellner, R., and D. Ehhalt, Global aspects of atmospheric chemistry, Springer, 1999.

Appendix A

Tables

Table A.1. Summary of the measured values of CH_4 mixing ratio, $\delta^{13}C$, and δD for each tank in the Cape Meares air archived samples. The CH4 mixing ratio has been calculated using the Peak Area (P.A.) and Peak Height (P.H.) from equation (2.12)

Collected date	Tank #	PSU mixing P.A.[]	g ratio via ppb]	PSU mixing P.H.[g ratio via ppb]	OGI mix ratio[pp	ing b]	δ ¹³ C [9	δ ¹³ C [‰]		‰]
		Ave.	Std. dev	Ave.	Std. dev	Ave.	Std. dev	Ave.	Std. dev	Ave.	Std. dev
10/1/1977	BY-1	1563.8	6.3	1572.2	4.3	1574.1	1.1	-47.69	0.18	-111.4	2.3
4/3/1978	O-031	1591.4	1.9	1593.6	1.8	1594.8	0.7	-47.77	0.12	-111.6	1.2
4/4/1978	O-032	1609.4	7.8	1609.8	2.3	1615		-47.61	0.14	-112.1	2.8
4/4/1978	O-036	1598.1	4.0	1596.8	2.1	1602.9	1	-47.48	0.15	-106.7	1.8
4/4/1978	O-029	1602.3	4.2	1609.9	1.7	1607.4	1.5	-47.61	0.09	-104.0	3.0
4/6/1978	O-030	1584.0	5.7	1608.1	2.8	1611.3	0.9	-47.77	0.11	-113.6	1.5
4/6/1978	O-026	1607.6	4.7	1611.9	1.0	1610	1.5	-47.65	0.09	-110.3	1.8
4/7/1978	O-004	1604.3	4.3	1603.8	2.4	1607.7	1.8	-47.88	0.07	-109.5	1.7
4/7/1978	O-028	1605.0	6.3	1606.7	1.9	1609	0.5	-47.66	0.17	-113.3	1.7
4/7/1978	O-035	1607.8	11.1	1599.1	2.2	1605.7	2.4	-47.66	0.12	-111.9	2.2
4/7/1978	O-017	1604.6	2.9	1608.1	2.5	1605.2	1.4	-47.69	0.08	-111.8	3.0
4/7/1978	O-022	1608.7	5.6	1608.9	1.4	1610	3.1	-47.63	0.10	-109.0	2.4
4/7/1978	O-020	1608.6	3.7	1613.2	1.0	1606.6	1.5	-47.79	0.12	-113.2	2.3
10/5/1978	O-010	1609.1	9.3	1611.4	5.3	1605.3	3.2	-47.81	0.11	-114.2	2.7
10/5/1978	O-016	1604.3	9.9	1610.0	4.6	1608.2	13	-47.82	0.11	-112.8	2.6
10/5/1978	O-021	1613.7	6.3	1615.3	2.0	1613.2	1.6	-47.95	0.02	-112.5	1.6
11/3/1978	O-041	1615.5	3.8	1615.1	3.5	1620.6	1.5	-47.89	0.07	-113.5	1.9
11/3/1978	O-043	1614.3	7.9	1615.6	2.3	1615	1.5	-47.83	0.12	-111.0	1.9
11/3/1978	O-044	1612.9	2.9	1618.0	1.8	1613.2	1.6	-47.82	0.12	-111.2	2.6
11/22/1978	O-046	1617.2	4.4	1620.6	2.7	1623.2	1.9	-47.66	0.08	-112.5	2.8
11/22/1978	O-048	1619.3	5.4	1620.2	3.0	1620	1.7	-47.79	0.09	-111.6	3.1
11/22/1978	O-045	1601.7	5.0	1609.5	1.9	1602.6	1.3	-48.00	0.10	-112.6	1.5
12/20/1978	O-052	1626.0	10.5	1621.5	3.4	1621.8	0.9	-47.80	0.10	-111.5	1.9
1/3/1979	O-054	1592.7	4.4	1593.0	1.7	1594	1.1	-47.68	0.08	-108.9	1.9
1/16/1979	O-057	1601.7	5.3	1600.4	1.5	1603.5	2.1	-47.68	0.07	-110.0	2.1
2/16/1979	O-059	1613.9	11.9	1616.0	4.2	1618.5	1.8	-47.65	0.08	-109.4	1.1
4/20/1979	O-061	1618.4	5.1	1618.6	4.0	1615.5	1	-47.63	0.09	-110.6	1.4
4/25/1979	O-064	1615.3	2.5	1610.4	2.3	1616.5	2.4	-47.69	0.06	-104.6	2.4
5/18/1979	O-066	1592.2	4.9	1589.8	2.5	1597.5		-47.57	0.08	-109.7	1.8
5/18/1979	O-067	1604.4	9.1	1603.8	2.9	1609.2	1.9	-47.53	0.10	-107.7	3.0
5/23/1979	O-068	1594.2	4.5	1596.9	1.6	1598.8	1.8	-47.59	0.04	-108.1	2.1
7/12/1979	O-073	1612.4	8.6	1608.5	4.2	1608.2	2.4	-47.67	0.11	-105.8	2.4
8/3/1979	O-074	1630.6	2.7	1629.1	2.8	1628.5	1.2	-47.85	0.11	-114.2	2.4
8/3/1979	O-075	1601.6	7.1	1600.9	2.5	1607.2	0.6	-47.70	0.10	-108.7	1.9
8/17/1979	O-076	1585.9	4.1	1591.6	2.3	1592.8	1.9	-47.62	0.06	-105.7	2.4
10/12/1979	O-078	1633.8	10.3	1635.5	3.6	1643	3	-47.74	0.07	-116.3	2.6
10/12/1979	O-079	1644.3	3.6	1641.4	2.7	1643.2	1.1	-47.77	0.07	-113.6	2.6
12/19/1979	O-083	1624.9	6.3	1621.6	3.8	1626.1	1.1	-47.80	0.10	-109.5	1.8
1/1//1980	0-084	1636.7	6.8	1635.9	4.0	1637.6	1.3	-47.67	0.11	-108.8	2.8
1/21/1980	0-086	1621.2	1.9	1634.3	2.3	1632.8	0.6	-47.81	0.03	-114.5	2.9
2///1980	0-089	1629.6	4.0	1637.0	2.7	1642.8	1.2	-47.64	0.04	-114.7	2.1
2/8/1980	0-070	1627.9	7.2	1632.4	6.6	1634.5	2.4	-47.55	0.11	-109.5	1.8
2/8/1980	0-090	1634.6	3.1	1635.3	2.5	1634.3	0.8	-47.73	0.06	-112.0	2.5
3/3/1980	0-085	1625.5	9.8	1621.8	5.0	1630.7	1.2	-47.02	0.07	-108.5	2.8
3/23/1980	0.091	1646.2	4.9	1647.2	3.1	1640 1	0.7	-47.71	0.08	-110.7	2.4
4/15/1980	0.095	1620.6	0.7	1645.8	2.0	1649.1	2.2	-47.01	0.07	-112.5	2.0
4/18/1020	0-090	1625.6	67	1630.5	2.4	1637.6	0.0	-47.09	0.09	-109.5	2.1
4/18/1980	0-090	1625.0	3.6	1629.4	1.5	1638.2	1.5	-47 50	0.07	-103.1	1.5
4/22/1980	0-102	1652.3	10.1	1652.9	4.6	1661.6	1.5	-47 78	0.05	-114.1	1.7
4/29/1980	0-087	1638.6	10.3	1650.9	3.5	1665.4	1.0	-47.69	0.20	-111.6	1.6
4/29/1980	O-050	1654.4	16.3	1646.6	3.6	1646.6	1.2	-47.67	0.10	-110.7	2.4
4/29/1980	O-104	1647.4	4.7	1645.5	2.1	1650.9	1.6	-47.63	0.09	-111.3	2.4
6/10/1980	0-110	1623.0	8.4	1623.8	2.7	1629.5	2.3	-47.54	0.07	-108.9	1.6
7/8/1980	O-114	1623.2	14.7	1616.9	6.3	1614.9	2	-47.46	0.10	-108.5	2.8

7/17/1980	O-115	1594.5	8.5	1597.3	3.4	1606.3	0.7	-47.54	0.09	-107.1	2.5
7/30/1980	O-120	1632.9	25.1	1615.5	6.9	1613.1	1	-47.56	0.05	-109.7	2.6
9/17/1980	O-113	1647.4	3.4	1648.2	3.1	1654.6	1.2	-47.91	0.09	-112.7	2.0
10/1/1980	O-109	1646.4	4.9	1650.7	1.8	1652.2	0.9	-47.81	0.04	-115.6	1.9
10/15/1980	0-124	1641.7	12.8	1637.8	2.4	1641.9	0.6	-47.73	0.05	-109.3	1.6
11/12/1980	0-125	16/3.5	13.1	1669.9	2.1	1669.2	1.1	-47.88	0.08	-112.9	2.6
12/10/1980	0-127	1631.7	4.1 8.4	1642.1	2.8	1643.4	0.8	-47.67	0.00	-111.3	2.1
12/31/1980	0-132	1599.2	3.6	1608.7	2.8	1610.8	1.6	-47.49	0.09	-112.3	2.6
1/15/1981	0-132	1619.7	6.1	1629.3	2.2	1607	1.0	-47.42	0.12	-111.9	1.8
2/25/1981	O-136	1641.0	6.2	1645.0	3.6	1651.9	1.7	-47.48	0.09	-108.5	3.0
3/11/1981	O-138	1637.6	3.3	1640.4	2.2	1659.6	0.8	-47.57	0.06	-109.0	1.6
3/18/1981	O-140	1663.2	4.0	1667.9	2.4	1669	1.9	-47.69	0.08	-110.5	2.6
4/17/1981	O-158	1642.7	14.2	1640.5	3.4	1643	0.9	-47.63	0.09	-108.8	2.4
4/29/1981	O-160	1619.5	7.1	1628.2	3.9	1630.9	0.9	-47.46	0.05	-113.6	1.6
5/13/1981	0-163	1650.7	3.5	1657.4	1.4	1659	1.2	-47.55	0.09	-110.8	2.2
7/15/1981	0-170	1622.6	2.6	1626.6	2.2	1634.6	1.6	-47.48	0.06	-106.6	2.0
0/2/1981	0.176	1624.8	4.0	1636.3	2.0	1644.1	2.5	-47.80	0.12	-117.2	2.9
9/2/1981	0-177	1630.5	8.4	1639.1	2.1	1644.7	2.5	-47.72	0.08	-103.2	2.2
9/16/1981	0-179	1651.5	4.1	1654.9	2.3	1662.6	1.7	-47.93	0.04	-112.3	1.9
10/14/1981	O-183	1660.6	4.7	1670.5	1.9	1676.9	2.1	-47.80	0.05	-114.9	2.0
10/14/1981	O-184	1689.5	10.8	1686.9	7.6	1681.6	0.8	-47.96	0.07	-112.3	1.9
10/28/1981	O-185	1668.6	16.2	1671.0	4.2	1678.5	1.3	-47.89	0.09	-106.5	2.0
12/2/1981	O-190	1654.1	5.6	1662.2	2.2	1666.6	0.8	-47.81	0.08	-112.3	2.3
12/16/1981	O-192	1670.8	4.0	1671.1	2.6	1625	1	-47.82	0.12	*	*
12/30/1981	0-194	1668.2	6.0	1672.6	3.2	1675	1.5	-47.78	0.04	-108.0	1.9
1/13/1982	0-196	1653.9	3.8	1655.4	2.1	1662.2	1	-47.61	0.05	-106.4	2.9
2/3/1982	0-199	1653.1	3.2	1658.0	1.0	1663.4	2.1	-47.59	0.11	-10/.3	2.1
3/24/1982	0-200	1650.0	4.1	1653.1	2.0	1657.8	2.3	-47.67	0.07	-114.7	2.5
3/24/1982	0-205 0-206	1652.9	53	1653.8	2.0	1641	2	-47.53	0.09	-105.8	3.0
4/7/1982	O-200	1677.9	3.7	1682.4	0.9	1683.3	0.3	-47.64	0.08	-109.3	2.1
4/21/1982	O-210	1666.1	3.8	1671.9	1.9	1674.2	0.5	-47.57	0.05	-111.8	1.8
6/2/1982	O-215	1677.6	6.9	1672.1	5.6	1676	2.3	-47.58	0.09	-108.9	2.3
6/30/1982	O-218	1643.0	5.0	1644.6	2.6	1652.8	1.4	-47.48	0.08	-106.2	1.7
7/14/1982	O-220	1651.8	6.4	1653.0	4.7	1656.4	0.9	-47.49	0.07	-106.6	1.2
7/28/1982	O-222	1636.6	3.2	1641.6	2.6	1634	1.2	-47.67	0.09	-108.1	1.6
8/11/1982	0-223	1630.8	7.2	1635.8	3.9	1644.3	1.1	-46.78	0.11	-108.1	2.4
9/22/1982	0-228	1695.5	4.5	1699.1	3.2	1699	2.3	-47.80	0.06	-114.2	2.1
12/8/1982	0-235	1682.0	7.5	1682.7	1.4	1701.9	2.9	-47.73	0.00	-107.1	2.1
12/29/1982	0-239	1693.5	8.7	1687.4	2.9	1602.7	1.0	47.73	0.00	-110.0	2.5
1/31/1983	O-243	1689.0				1092.7	1.0	-4/./2	0.08	-111.5	2.1
2/11/1983	0.24(1002.0	9.9	1688.0	4.1	1692.7	1.8	-47.66	0.08	-111.5	2.1 2.5
3/0/1093	0-240	1671.3	9.9 4.9	1688.0 1669.0	4.1 3.9	1692.7 1689.1 1674	1.8 1.3 1.2	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57	0.08 0.06 0.06	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6	2.1 2.5 2.7
5/7/1703	O-246 O-249	1671.3 1658.6	9.9 4.9 7.0	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8	4.1 3.9 1.5	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6	1.8 1.3 1.2 2.6	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.08	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9
4/6/1983	O-246 O-249 O-254	1671.3 1658.6 1691.2	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6	1.8 1.3 1.2 2.6 2	-47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.08 0.09	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1
4/6/1983 4/20/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256	1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ \end{array} $	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.54	0.08 0.06 0.08 0.09 0.09	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/4/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-258	1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.0 \\ \end{array} $	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/4/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261	1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ \end{array} $	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.54 -47.65 -47.57 -47.57	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/4/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262	1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6 3	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7 2.6	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ \end{array} $	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.54	0.08 0.06 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.12	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.9 2.6
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/4/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265	1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7 2.6 3.6	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.54 -47.42	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.12 0.07	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269	1671.3 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7 2.6 3.6 2.4	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ \hline 1.3 \\ \hline 1.2 \\ \hline 2.6 \\ \hline 2 \\ 0.8 \\ \hline 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ \hline 0.6 \\ \hline 2.3 \\ \hline 1.7 \\ \hline 1.1 \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65 -47.55 -47.55 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60	0.08 0.06 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.12 0.07 0.08	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5
4/20/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270	1671.3 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7 2.6 3.6 2.4 3.1	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.12 0.07 0.08 0.05	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.4 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9
4/20/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272	1639.3 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2 8.1	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.65 -47.54 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.12 0.07 0.08 0.05 0.09	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9 2.3
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/31/1983 9/14/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274	$\begin{array}{r} 1639.5\\ 1671.3\\ 1671.3\\ 1658.6\\ 1691.2\\ 1685.3\\ 1688.1\\ 1693.1\\ 1685.2\\ 1680.3\\ 1653.7\\ 1674.4\\ 1685.5\\ 1663.7\\ 1683.1\\ \end{array}$	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2 8.1 7.4	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.65 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.12\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ \end{array}$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.0	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9 2.3 3.0
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/31/1983 9/14/1983 10/12/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277	1671.3 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1683.1 1706.7 1706.7	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2 8.1 7.4 1.3 2.2	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1725.2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.5 \\ \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.65 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.12 0.07 0.12 0.08 0.05 0.09 0.09 0.04 0.05 0.09	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.7 -108.9 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9 2.3 3.0 2.0 2.5
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/31/1983 9/14/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-278 0-278 0-258	1639.8 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1683.1 1706.7 1711.2	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2 8.1 7.4 1.3 2.8 2.9	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1678.1 1678.1 1678.1 1678.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7 2.6 3.6 2.4 3.9 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.8 2.2	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1721 1712	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.2 \\ 1$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.61 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.54 -47.42 -47.40 -47.60 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.78	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.12 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.04 0.05 0.09 0.09 0.04 0.05 0.09 0.09	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.7 -108.9 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -111.4 -111.4 -111.5	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9 2.3 3.0 2.0 2.0 2.2 3.0 2.0
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 9/14/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-285 0-297	1637.3 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1663.7 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1687.6	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2 8.1 7.4 1.3 2.8 2.9 4.1	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7 2.6 3.6 2.4 3.1 3.9 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.3 3.8 3.9 2.4 3.9 2.4 3.9 2.4 3.9 2.4 3.9 2.4 3.9 2.4 3.9 2.4 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1721 1713 1702.2	1.8 1.3 1.2 2.6 2 0.8 2.1 0.9 0.6 2.3 1.7 1.1 1.1 2.2 1.3 1.2 0.7 1.8 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.61 -47.65 -47.57 -47.57 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.78 -47.78 -47.77	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.08 0.05 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.10 0.08	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.7 -108.9 -108.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -111.4 -111.4 -111.5	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9 2.3 3.0 2.0 2.4 2.7 2.9 2.3 3.0 2.0 2.4 2.7 2.9 2.3 3.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 2.5 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.0 2.1 2.9 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 9/14/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-287 0-288	1639.8 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1683.1 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ 4.9\\ \hline 7.0\\ 6.7\\ 4.2\\ \hline 7.7\\ 8.6\\ \hline 2.8\\ 6.3\\ \hline 7.1\\ \hline 3.0\\ 6.2\\ 8.1\\ \hline 7.4\\ \hline 1.3\\ \hline 2.8\\ \hline 2.9\\ \hline 4.1\\ \hline 7.0\\ \end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1 1701.1 1701.1	4.1 3.9 1.5 2.6 1.8 6.7 3.3 2.7 2.6 3.6 2.4 3.1 3.9 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.8 2.3 3.8 2.1	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1721 1713 1703.3 1706.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.65 -47.65 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.78 -47.78 -47.47 -47.70 -47.63 -47.75	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.02\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ \end{array}$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -109.5 -111.4	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9 2.3 3.0 2.0 2.4 2.7 2.9
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/31/1983 9/14/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 1/25/1984 1/25/1984	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-288 0-288 0-289	1639.8 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1685.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1663.1 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6 1696.9 1691.8	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ 4.9\\ 7.0\\ 6.7\\ 4.2\\ 7.7\\ 8.6\\ 2.8\\ 6.3\\ 7.1\\ 3.0\\ 6.2\\ 8.1\\ 7.4\\ 1.3\\ 2.8\\ 2.9\\ 4.1\\ 7.0\\ 2.7\\ \end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1 1701.3 1692.0	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1721 1713 1703.3 1706.4 1698.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.54 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.65 -47.61 -47.61 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.78 -47.78 -47.70 -47.63 -47.75	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.12\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.10\\ \end{array}$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.5 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.4 -108.4 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -109.5 -110.0 -100.0 -100.5 -110.7 -100.5 -1	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ \end{array}$
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 1/25/1984 1/25/1984	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-285 0-288 0-289 0-302	1639.8 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1685.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1683.1 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6 1696.9 1691.8 1668.0	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ 4.9\\ 7.0\\ 6.7\\ 4.2\\ 7.7\\ 8.6\\ 2.8\\ 6.3\\ 7.1\\ 3.0\\ 6.2\\ 8.1\\ 7.4\\ 1.3\\ 2.8\\ 2.9\\ 4.1\\ 7.0\\ 2.7\\ 4.9\end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1 1701.3 1692.0 1672.3	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1687.4 1711.2 1721 1713 1703.3 1706.4 1698.3 1675.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.54 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.60 -47.61 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.78 -47.78 -47.63 -47.75 -47.58 -47.58 -47.58 -47.58 -47.58	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.12\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.10\\ 0.09\\ \end{array}$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.9 -108.9 -108.9 -104.8 -109.6 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -108.0 -109.6 -109.6 -109.6 -109.6 -109.6 -109.6 -109.6 -109.6 -109.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -1	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/29/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/25/1984 1/25/1984 1/25/1984 2/10/1984	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-277 0-277 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-285 0-288 0-289 0-302 0-303	1639.5 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1663.7 1663.7 1663.7 1663.1 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6 1696.9 1668.0 1696.5	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2 8.1 7.4 1.3 2.8 2.9 4.1 7.0 2.7 4.9 5.3	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1662.3 1678.1 1663.4 1682.8 1709.6 1663.4 1682.8 1704.7 1701.3 1692.0 1672.3 1695.9	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1721 1713 1703.3 1706.4 1697.8 1701.6	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 2.6\\ 2\\ 0.8\\ 2.1\\ 0.9\\ 0.6\\ 2.3\\ 1.7\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 0.7\\ 1.8\\ 0.6\\ 2.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.6\\ 1\\ \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.64 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.75 -47.58 -47.58 -47.59 -47.53	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.12\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.10\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.08\\ 0.00\\ 0.09\\ 0.08\\$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.9 -108.8 -108.9 -108.8 -108.9 -108.8 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -111.4 -111.5 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -107.3 -103.9 -106.1	2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.4 2.6 1.9 2.9 2.6 2.0 2.5 1.9 2.3 3.0 2.0 2.4 2.7 2.9 0.9 2.4 2.7 2.9 0.9 2.1 1.9 2.3 3.0 1.9 2.1 2.1 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.1 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/25/1984 1/25/1984 2/10/1984 2/10/1984 9/26/1984	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-270 0-272 0-277 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-285 0-288 0-289 0-302 0-303 0-308	1637.3 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1683.1 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6 1699.9 1691.8 1668.0 1696.5 1647.5	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ \hline 4.9\\ \hline 7.0\\ \hline 6.7\\ \hline 4.2\\ \hline 7.7\\ \hline 8.6\\ \hline 2.8\\ \hline 6.3\\ \hline 7.1\\ \hline 3.0\\ \hline 6.2\\ \hline 8.1\\ \hline 7.4\\ \hline 1.3\\ \hline 2.8\\ \hline 2.9\\ \hline 4.1\\ \hline 7.0\\ \hline 2.7\\ \hline 4.9\\ \hline 5.3\\ \hline 4.5\\ \hline \end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1701.1 1701.3 1692.0 1655.1	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1667.1 167.4 1711.2 1713 1703.3 1706.4 1697.8 1701.6	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 2.6\\ 2\\ 0.8\\ 2.1\\ 0.9\\ 0.6\\ 2.3\\ 1.7\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 0.7\\ 1.8\\ 0.6\\ 2.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.6\\ 1\\ 1.2\\ \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.65 -47.54 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.60 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.75 -47.75 -47.58 -47.58 -47.59 -47.53 -47.77	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.10\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.08\\ \end{array}$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.7 -108.9 -108.8 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.4 -108.4 -111.4 -111.4 -114.5 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -107.3 -103.9 -106.1 -108.7	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 1/25/1984 2/10/1984 2/10/1984 9/26/1984 12/30/1984	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-270 0-272 0-277 0-277 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-285 0-289 0-302 0-303 0-308 0-311	1639.5 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 16706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6 1696.9 1691.8 1668.0 1696.5 1647.5 1721.3	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ \hline 4.9\\ \hline 7.0\\ \hline 6.7\\ \hline 4.2\\ \hline 7.7\\ \hline 8.6\\ \hline 2.8\\ \hline 6.3\\ \hline 7.1\\ \hline 3.0\\ \hline 6.2\\ \hline 8.1\\ \hline 7.4\\ \hline 1.3\\ \hline 2.8\\ \hline 2.9\\ \hline 4.1\\ \hline 7.0\\ \hline 2.7\\ \hline 4.9\\ \hline 5.3\\ \hline 4.5\\ \hline 3.7\end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1 1701.3 1692.0 1672.3 1695.9 1655.5 1735.5	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1713 1703.3 1706.4 1698.3 1675.8 1701.6 1658.8 1741.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 2.6\\ 2\\ 0.8\\ 2.1\\ 0.9\\ 0.6\\ 2.3\\ 1.7\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 0.7\\ 1.8\\ 0.6\\ 2.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.6\\ 1\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ \end{array}$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.75 -47.58 -47.58 -47.53 -47.77 -47.83	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.02\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.10\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ \end{array}$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -108.7 -108.9 -108.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -111.4 -114.5 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -107.3 -107.3 -107.3 -106.9 -106.8 -107.5 -110.8	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.5 \end{array}$
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1984 2/10/1984 2/10/1984 1/25/1984 12/30/1984 12/30/1984	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-277 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-285 0-288 0-289 0-302 0-303 0-308 0-311 0-316	1639.8 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1688.1 1693.1 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6 1696.9 1691.8 1668.0 1696.5 1647.5 1721.3 1697.4	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ 4.9\\ 7.0\\ 6.7\\ 4.2\\ 7.7\\ 8.6\\ 2.8\\ 6.3\\ 7.1\\ 3.0\\ 6.2\\ 8.1\\ 7.4\\ 1.3\\ 2.8\\ 2.9\\ 4.1\\ 7.0\\ 2.7\\ 4.9\\ 5.3\\ 4.5\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1 1701.3 1692.0 1672.3 1695.9 1655.1 1735.5 1708.0	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.0 \\ \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1713 1703.3 1675.8 1701.6 1658.8 1741.8 1709.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.1$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.54 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.75 -47.58 -47.75 -47.58 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.66	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.04\\ 0.07\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.10\\ 0.09\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ \end{array}$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.4 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -114.5 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -107.3 -106.1 -108.7 -110.8 -106.5 -110.0 -108.1 -108.7 -110.8 -107.3 -106.1 -108.7 -100.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.4 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -110.5 -109.5 -110.5 -1	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ \end{array}$
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1984 6/27/1984 2/10/1984 6/27/1984 12/30/1984 12/30/1984 12/30/1984	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-277 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-285 0-288 0-289 0-302 0-303 0-311 0-316 0-317 0-257	1639.8 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1685.3 1685.2 1680.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1683.1 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1697.6 1696.9 1696.5 1647.5 1721.3 1697.4 1715.7	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ 4.9\\ 7.0\\ 6.7\\ 4.2\\ 7.7\\ 8.6\\ 2.8\\ 6.3\\ 7.1\\ 3.0\\ 6.2\\ 8.1\\ 7.4\\ 1.3\\ 2.8\\ 2.9\\ 4.1\\ 7.0\\ 2.7\\ 4.9\\ 5.3\\ 4.5\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 7.2\\ 2.7\end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1662.3 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1 1701.3 1692.0 1672.3 1695.9 1655.1 1735.5 1708.0 1726.3 1726.3	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 5.4 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.1 \\$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1713 1703.3 1706.4 1658.8 1701.6 1658.8 1741.8 1709.8 1728.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1 \\ 1.2 \\$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.61 -47.64 -47.57 -47.57 -47.57 -47.54 -47.54 -47.60 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.75 -47.58 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.55 -47.53 -47.55 -4	0.08 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.07 0.08 0.07 0.08 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.04 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.04 0.07 0.09 0.04 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.04 0.09 0.09 0.04 0.09 0.09 0.00 0.09 0.00	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -110.5 -110.0 -108.4 -111.4 -111.5 -100.5 -110.0 -108.1 -107.3 -103.9 -103.9 -103.9 -103.9 -108.7 -110.8 -109.5 -110.0 -108.7 -100.5 -110.0 -108.4 -109.5 -110.0 -108.4 -109.5 -110.0 -108.4 -109.5 -110.0 -108.4 -109.5 -110.0 -108.4 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -109.5 -100.9 -108.1 -109.5 -100.9 -108.1 -109.5 -100.5 -100.5 -100.5 -100.5 -100.5 -100.5 -100.0 -108.1 -109.5 -100.5	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.5 \\$
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 1/25/1984 1/25/1984 2/10/1984 6/27/1984 1/25/1984 1/25/1984 1/25/1984 1/17/1985 1/24/1985 1/24/1985	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-262 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-277 0-277 0-278 0-285 0-288 0-288 0-289 0-303 0-303 0-301 0-316 0-317 0-286 0-232	1639.8 1671.3 1658.6 1691.2 1685.3 1685.3 1685.2 1685.2 1685.3 1653.7 1674.4 1685.5 1663.7 1683.1 1706.7 1711.2 1702.8 1696.9 1696.9 1696.5 1647.5 1721.3 1697.4 1715.7 1730.4 1720.5	9.9 4.9 7.0 6.7 4.2 7.7 8.6 2.8 6.3 7.1 3.0 6.2 8.1 7.4 1.3 2.8 2.9 4.1 7.0 2.7 4.9 5.3 4.5 3.7 3.6 7.2 2.7 6.2	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1678.1 1679.6 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1704.7 1701.1 1701.3 1695.9 1655.1 1735.5 1708.0 1726.3 1736.5 1708.2	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.4 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1713 1703.3 1675.8 1701.6 1658.8 1741.8 1772.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.2 \\$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.61 -47.61 -47.61 -47.64 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.65 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.75 -47.58 -47.59 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.59 -47.53 -47.59 -47.59 -47.53 -47.59 -47.59 -47.53 -47.59 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.53 -47.55 -4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -114.5 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -107.3 -103.9 -106.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -109.5 -110.8 -108.1 -108.1 -109.5 -110.8 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -109.5 -110.8 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -108.1 -109.5 -110.8 -108.1 -108.5 -110.8 -108.1 -108.5 -110.8 -108.1 -108.5 -110.8 -108.5 -110.8 -108.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -108.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5 -110.8 -109.5	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.5 \end{array}$
4/6/1983 4/20/1983 5/28/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 6/1/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 8/3/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 10/12/1983 12/14/1983 12/14/1983 1/25/1984 1/25/1984 2/10/1984 6/27/1984 6/27/1984 2/10/1984 1/25/1984 1/17/1985 1/24/1985 9/13/1985 10/24/1985	0-246 0-249 0-254 0-256 0-258 0-253 0-261 0-262 0-262 0-262 0-265 0-269 0-270 0-272 0-274 0-277 0-274 0-277 0-278 0-288 0-288 0-289 0-303 0-303 0-308 0-311 0-316 0-317 0-286 0-323 0-323 0-320	$\begin{array}{c} 1639.8\\ 1671.3\\ 1671.3\\ 1658.6\\ 1691.2\\ 1685.3\\ 1688.1\\ 1693.1\\ 1685.2\\ 1680.3\\ 1653.7\\ 1674.4\\ 1685.5\\ 1663.7\\ 1674.4\\ 1685.5\\ 1663.7\\ 1711.2\\ 1702.8\\ 1697.6\\ 1696.9\\ 1696.9\\ 1696.9\\ 1696.5\\ 1647.5\\ 1721.3\\ 1697.4\\ 1715.7\\ 1730.4\\ 1703.6\\ 1674.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 9.9\\ 4.9\\ \hline 7.0\\ 6.7\\ 4.2\\ \hline 7.7\\ 8.6\\ 2.8\\ 6.3\\ \hline 7.1\\ 3.0\\ 6.2\\ \hline 8.1\\ \hline 7.4\\ 1.3\\ 2.8\\ 2.9\\ 4.1\\ \hline 7.0\\ 2.7\\ 4.9\\ \hline 5.3\\ 4.5\\ 3.7\\ \hline 3.6\\ \hline 7.2\\ 2.7\\ 6.3\\ 6.0\\ \end{array}$	1688.0 1669.0 1662.8 1697.5 1690.0 1698.6 1692.4 1682.8 1682.8 1682.1 1662.3 1678.1 1663.4 1682.8 1708.3 1719.3 1692.0 1672.3 1695.9 1655.1 1735.5 1708.0 1726.3 1736.5 1708.2	$\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 3.4 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$	1692.7 1689.1 1674 1667.6 1700.6 1695.2 1700.3 1697 1685.1 1690.1 1668 1678.6 1684.7 1667.1 1687.4 1711.2 1721 1713 1706.4 1698.3 1675.8 1701.6 1658.8 1741.8 1709.8 1728.4 1741.2 1716.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.6 \\ 2 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2 \\ 1.9 \\ 1$	-47.72 -47.66 -47.57 -47.51 -47.61 -47.54 -47.65 -47.57 -47.54 -47.42 -47.46 -47.60 -47.61 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.73 -47.75 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -47.58 -47.59 -4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.06\\ 0.08\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.03\\ 0.07\\ 0.12\\ 0.07\\ 0.12\\ 0.07\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.09\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.08\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.10\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.09\\ 0.08\\ 0.05\\ 0.06\\ 0.07\\ 0.06\\ 0.07\\ 0.06\\ 0.07\\ 0.04\\$	-111.5 -110.1 -106.6 -107.5 -105.6 -107.5 -105.9 -108.4 -110.8 -108.7 -108.9 -104.8 -106.1 -109.6 -108.0 -108.4 -111.4 -114.5 -109.5 -110.0 -108.1 -107.3 -106.1 -107.3 -106.1 -108.7 -110.8 -109.1 -109.9 -108.5 -111.1	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.4 \end{array}$

10/14/1986	O-331	1730.1	1.7	1745.0	3.1	1752.1	0.8	-47.65	0.12	-107.2	3.0
10/28/1986	O-332	1746.3	4.7	1747.1	2.6	1755.1	1.4	-47.76	0.07	-108.2	2.0
1/23/1980	0-335	1750.6	5.0 6.5	1757.1	2.1	1759.5	0.7	-47.62	0.08	-108.8	2.4
4/23/1987	O-339	1757.2	6.4	1757.4	2.9	1764.8	1.4	-47.64	0.08	-106.3	2.8
4/23/1987	O-338	1764.6	4.2	1766.6	2.0	1767.2	1	-47.47	0.08	-105.1	2.7
4/28/1987	O-340	1739.6	4.8	1745.1	2.5	1752.1	1.6	-47.42	0.08	-103.9	1.9
6/16/1987	0-341	1740.9	3.5	1749.5	0.6	1758.1	1.2	-47.66	0.09	-104.9	3.5
6/16/1987	O-344	1738.2	4.5	1746.9	2.3	1749.2	0.3	-47.50	0.11	-104.6	2.2
3/17/1988	O-361	1799.0	8.7	1800.5	3.3	1803.1	2	-47.72	0.09	-112.0	2.4
8/29/1988	0-369 R 348B	1749.0	7.5	1749.6	4.6	1/56.5	1.1	-47.61	0.12	-103.1	2.8
1/17/1989	R 346B	1769.8	5.2	1770.2	1.7	1782.2	1.2	-47.54	0.05	-106.2	1.0
3/21/1989	RSP 371	1769.3	4.6	1771.8	2.3	1776	0.6	-47.46	0.06	-106.8	2.6
3/29/1989	RSP 373	1783.3	9.8	1776.5	5.1	1779.9	1.7	-47.51	0.09	-112.5	1.8
4/12/1989	RSP 379 RSP 380	1/49./	21.0	1739.5	6.1	1791.8	1.6	-47.53	0.00	-107.0	2.2
4/12/1989	RSP 381	1792.3	3.1	1792.1	1.6	1795.2	1.2	-47.63	0.10	-105.9	2.6
5/3/1989	RSP 383	1784.8	13.7	1779.6	4.6	1779.5	1.3	-47.35	0.09	-107.8	3.0
5/3/1989	RSP384 RSP385	1774.7	8.4	17/4.1 1779.9	1.6	1780.9	1.5	-47.42	0.10	-103.5	2.5
6/6/1989	RSP 386	1761.3	4.2	1769.9	0.9	1770.5	2.1	-47.37	0.09	-104.0	2.3
8/4/1989	CORSP 387	1735.5	8.2	1735.9	1.0	1741.2	1.1	-47.44	0.06	-101.2	2.7
8/10/1989	CORSP 355	1756.9	8.5	1754.4	2.9	1761.2	2.1	-47.54	0.09	-104.6	2.6
8/25/1989	CORSP 388	1773.8	7.4	1764.7	4.8	1770	1.4	-47.54	0.09	-104.8	2.3
9/1/1989	CORSP 389	1738.8	1.9	1740.6	1.5	1742.4	1.1	-47.42	0.05	-102.9	2.5
9/14/1989	CORSP 390	1807.0	9.1	1803.2	3.1	1809.3	1	-47.70	0.07	-109.0	2.0
9/29/1989	CORSP 391	1764.9	5.6	1766.4	5.2	1769	1.2	-47.49	0.10	-103.6	2.3
10/6/1989	RSP 392	1780.6	12.9	1771.5	4.9	1775.2	1.3	-47.61	0.07	-102.6	2.2
10/12/1989	RSP 393 RSP394	1801.0	8.6	1775.5	3.6	17/9.4	1.5	-47.77	0.04	-104.2	1.4
11/7/1989	CORSP3 96	1763.3	5.9	1768.4	4.5	1768.3	0.3	-47.47	0.10	-105.6	2.3
11/10/1989	CORSP 397	1754.5	13.6	1761.8	5.6	1766.4	0.9	-47.53	0.09	-104.5	2.0
11/19/1989	CORSP 398	1799.3	2.9	1807.8	3.5	1809.3	2.2	-47.56	0.09	-108.4	2.1
11/21/1989	CORSP 399	1758.9	6.2	1760.6	2.6	1768.2	1.8	-47.55	0.07	-107.1	1.9
3/20/1990	402	1781.9	6.4	1778.2	3.5	1784.5	1.5	-47.43	0.05	-104.0	1.9
3/27/1990	403	1812.6	1.9	1815.3	2.8	1814.8	1.4	-47.51	0.08	-106.1	1.4
4/14/1990	405	1745.3	6.9	1763.9	2.2	1769.5	0.9	-47.37	0.09	-105.6	1.6
8/9/1990	406 CORSP	1733.5	5.6	1735.1	4.9	1736.3	1.5	-47.31	0.09	-99.7	2.8
8/15/1990	407 CORSP	1749.7	6.9	1749.5	2.5	1755.5	1.5	-47.44	0.06	-102.3	2.6
9/25/1990	408 CORSP	1771.0	6.0	1775.3	3.9	1776.5	1.1	-47.60	0.02	-102.9	2.9
4/22/1991	409	1779.6	4.5	1784.0	3.3	1786.3	0.5	-47.40	0.07	-102.5	2.1
2/10/1992	CORSP	1627.5	12.8	1627.0	4.0	1629.3	0.5	-47.42	0.11	-107.8	2.0
4/2/1992	417	1793.8	7.8	1789.9	1.9	1791.4	0.4	-47.46	0.13	-99.8	1.8
6/2/1992	SPO-298	1832.1	3.7	1835.1	1.8	NA	NA	-47.74	0.14	-112.1	3.7
10/7/1992	418	1794.2	6.9	1803.6	2.8	1808.1	1	-47.53	0.09	-105.1	2.5
12/8/1992	CO 419	1805.1	7.0	1811.9	3.4	1812.7	0.5	-47.68	0.20	-100.2	3.5
12/29/1992	CO 420	1803.0	5.1	1813.2	2.0	1819.4	0.7	-47.65	0.12	-100.0	2.5
5/21/1993	CO 420 CO 427	1786.7	7.9	1793.4	7.4	1799.3	2.1	-47.41	0.07	-98.8	1.7
6/17/1993	CO 428	1781.6	6.4	1784.6	2.7	1788.3	1.1	-47.19	0.09	-98.4	2.4
10/8/1993	CO 433	1819.6	7.4	1816.9	4.3	1825	0.9	-47.68	0.07	-103.8	2.8
10/15/1993	CO 434	1806.8	11.6	1808.3	3.3	1809.6	0.4	-47.48	0.09	-102.1	2.6

10/25/1993	CO 435	1826.6	4.9	1825.3	2.3	1835.6	1.3	-47.72	0.04	-101.4	2.9
12/8/1993	CO 438	1804.1	3.4	1804.9	4.7	1808.1	1.5	-47.39	0.08	-101.2	1.8
5/10/1994	CO 442	1815.4	11.7	1813.1	4.0	1825	2	-47.09	0.03	-102.9	2.9
6/22/1994	CORSP 443	1798.4	6.1	1800.3	3.9	1804.7	3.7	-47.35	0.12	-101.9	2.0
7/20/1994	CORSP 444	1764.8	3.9	1766.7	2.5	NA	NA	-47.23	0.09	-94.3	1.8
8/12/1994	CO 445	1769.3	7.3	1769.9	4.4	NA	NA	-47.29	0.09	-97.0	2.0
11/11/1994	CO 447	1809.6	3.3	1830.7	1.5	NA	NA	-47.49	0.12	-102.7	1.9
11/14/1994	CO 448	1833.0	6.9	1833.1	2.7	NA	NA	-47.61	0.08	-103.8	2.5
3/30/1995	CO 450	1809.9	6.0	1817.9	3.6	NA	NA	-47.49	0.17	-96.8	1.9
6/16/1995	CO 452	1800.7	8.1	1798.7	3.3	NA	NA	-47.38	0.03	-92.8	2.3
10/11/1995	CO 453	1819.2	5.3	1820.0	3.5	NA	NA	-47.68	0.06	-99.6	2.6
12/28/1995	CO 454	1819.4	4.6	1826.7	4.0	NA	NA	-47.50	0.10	-104.7	1.1
1/10/1996	CO 455	1821.9	10.5	1816.8	2.8	NA	NA	-47.47	0.08	-101.5	2.2
2/16/1996	CO 456	1816.8	2.9	1818.7	4.0	NA	NA	-47.37	0.06	-96.8	2.0
2/29/1996	CO 457	1834.4	11.8	1841.6	5.7	NA	NA	-47.38	0.07	-100.1	2.2
3/29/1996	CO 458	1817.2	3.2	1820.8	1.2	NA	NA	-47.31	0.09	-97.1	3.9
4/29/1996	CO 459	1819.3	6.7	1820.6	3.0	NA	NA	-47.32	0.08	-97.0	2.6
5/31/1996	CO 460	1813.8	3.7	1811.2	2.6	NA	NA	-47.29	0.11	-93.2	1.8
7/2/1996	CO 461	1803.7	3.9	1804.2	1.9	NA	NA	-47.34	0.03	*	*
7/31/1996	CO 462	1762.1	1.2	1766.0	1.5	NA	NA	-47.10	0.07	-91.2	2.7
9/5/1996	CO 463	1821.4	4.4	1826.8	1.4	NA	NA	-47.70	0.12	-101.7	2.3
11/11/1996	CO 464	1812.2	6.8	1818.9	0.7	NA	NA	-47.51	0.08	-98.6	1.7
12/17/1996	O-051	1832.4	4.4	1831.7	3.1	NA	NA	-47.45	0.08	-102.7	2.3
1/9/1997	O-278	1818.0	2.9	1818.5	1.4	NA	NA	-47.32	0.08	-101.8	2.5
2/20/1997	O-202	1819.7	5.3	1820.3	2.7	NA	NA	-47.50	0.05	-96.5	2.3
7/25/1997	O-027	1798.6	11.2	1796.8	5.2	NA	NA	-47.33	0.08	-96.9	2.5
12/12/1997	No Tag	1818.6	8.7	1822.9	3.3	NA	NA	-47.35	0.12	-99.8	3.0
3/13/1998	WSCO- 21	1810.5	5.7	1825.3	3.2	NA	NA	-47.11	0.13	-97.4	1.6
6/19/1998	CO 343	1811.3	8.9	1811.9	3.8	NA	NA	-47.23	0.06	-93.9	3.3
8/24/1999	O-329	1783.0	7.7	1790.8	3.8	NA	NA	-47.27	0.15	-93.2	3.3

Notes:

* We lost the air inside Tanks # O-192 and CO 461. Therefore, there are not measured values of δD for

both tanks.

		C	CH4 -Resi []	ppb]
Month	# Rows	Ave.	Std Dev	Std Err
Jan	15	3.5	13.8	3.6
Feb	13	6.7	12.1	3.4
Mar	15	8.3	18.5	4.8
Apr	39	5.1	10.5	1.7
May	13	0.1	14.3	4.0
Jun	15	-5.3	16.2	4.2
Jul	13	-22.1	13.5	3.7
Aug	16	-24.9	18.0	4.5
Sep	13	-2.7	22.4	6.2
Oct	24	8.5	12.9	2.6
Nov	16	6.3	14.1	3.5
Dec	19	7.6	12.6	2.9

Table A.2. The seasonal value of CH_4 mixing ratio based on the Cape Meares air archive samples collected during 1977-1999. These values are expressed on NIST scale

Table A.3. The seasonal value of CH_4 mixing ratio at Cape Meares from continuous measurements during 1979-1992 [Khalil et al.1992], NOAA samples collected during 1983-1998 [Dlugokencky et al., 2012], and PSU measurements of air archive samples collected during [1977-1999]. All of three datasets are on the NOAA04 scale.

	CH ₄ mixing ratio- Cape Meares [ppb]								
	OGI conti	inuous meas.	NOAA m	easurements	PSU archive				
	Ave.	Std Err	Ave.	Std Err	Ave.	Std Err			
Jan	4.0	2.4	7.7	1.9	3.5	3.6			
Feb	2.9	2.2	3.5	1.8	6.7	3.3			
Mar	5.0	1.7	8.8	1.6	8.3	4.8			
Apr	9.8	1.1	10.5	1.9	5.1	1.7			
May	5.4	1.3	3.9	1.0	0.1	4.0			
Jun	-8.6	0.9	-9.4	1.7	-5.3	4.2			
Jul	-21.0	1.6	-25.8	2.3	-22.1	3.7			
Aug	-20.3	2.8	-24.2	1.8	-24.8	4.5			
Sep	1.3	1.7	-1.0	2.8	-2.7	6.2			
Oct	9.9	2.3	10.5	2.4	8.5	2.6			
Nov	6.2	1.5	8.6	2.2	6.3	3.5			
Dec	7.9	2.1	11.5	1.5	7.6	2.9			

Table A.4. The δ^{13} C seasonal value based on the Cape Meares air archive samples collected during 1977-1999.

		δ ¹³ C- Resi [‰]						
Month	# Rows	Ave.	Std Dev	Std Err				
Jan	15	0.00	0.09	0.02				
Feb	13	0.03	0.08	0.02				
Mar	15	0.04	0.09	0.02				
Apr	39	0.05	0.09	0.01				
May	13	0.11	0.10	0.03				
Jun	15	0.09	0.13	0.03				
Jul	13	0.09	0.12	0.03				

Aug	15	0.03	0.11	0.03
Sep	13	-0.12	0.13	0.04
Oct	24	-0.12	0.11	0.02
Nov	16	-0.09	0.10	0.02
Dec	19	-0.08	0.10	0.02

Table A.5. The δD seasonal value based on the Cape Meares air archive samples collected during 1977-1999.

		δD- Resi [‰]						
Month	# Rows	Ave.	Std Dev	Std Err				
Jan	15	-0.9	2.1	0.6				
Feb	13	-0.4	2.8	0.8				
Mar	15	0.2	3.1	0.8				
Apr	39	0.6	2.5	0.4				
May	13	0.9	2.3	0.6				
Jun	15	1.1	3.8	1.0				
Jul	12	2.3	3.6	1.0				
Aug	16	2.0	2.3	0.6				
Sep	13	-0.6	2.5	0.7				
Oct	24	-1.7	2.5	0.5				
Nov	16	-1.3	1.5	0.4				
Dec	18	-1.5	2.3	0.5				

Table A.6. The recent CH₄ mixing ratio at Cape Meares from air sampling collected during March,2012-Septemebr,2012.

		CH₄ mixing ratio					CH₄ mixing ratio			
		on NIST scale on NOAA04 scale			on NIS	Г scale	on NOAA04 scale			
Collected date	Can #	Ave.	Std Err	Ave.	Std Err	Can #	Ave.	Std Err	Ave.	Std Err
3/28/2012	ST-433	1894.7	1.8	1890.9	1.8	BI3059	1898.0	2.6	1894.2	2.6
4/26/2012	ST-434	1878.5	1.4	1874.7	1.4	BI3062	1883.0	4.4	1879.3	4.4
5/30/2012	SMO-400	1869.2	3.9	1865.5	3.9	B13057	1872.9	2.3	1869.1	2.3
6/28/2012	ST-430	1851.5	3.0	1847.8	3.0	BI3037	1844.8	2.0	1841.1	2.0
7/27/2012	SMO-283	1826.6	4.5	1822.9	4.5	BI3052	1824.9	0.9	1821.2	0.9
8/27/2012	MLO 136	1874.7	3.7	1870.9	3.7	BI3098	1872.4	2.0	1868.7	2.0
9/25/2012	SM0355	1879.9	2.4	1876.2	2.4	B959	1881.8	3.2	1878.1	3.2

Table A.7. CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C, and δ D measured values of South Pole air archive samples at PSU.

Collected date	Tank #	CH ₄ mxin	g ratio [ppb]	δ ¹³ C [‰]	δD [‰]
		Ave.	Std Dev		
Jan.1992	SPO 220	1672.8	5.5	-47.14	-91.8
Feb.1992	SPO 212	1675.5	10.8	-46.88	-91.2
Mar.1992	SPO 209	1662.6	1.2	-46.97	-88.7
May. 1992	SPO 147	1675.0	4.9	-47.09	-96.5
Jun.1992	SPO 263	1712.1	5.3	-47.12	-91.5
Aug.1992	SPO 235	1689.7	3.6	-47.11	-88.1

Sep.1992	SPO 151	1697.4	7.7	-47.23	-91.0
Oct.1992	SPO 287	1739.7	2.1	-47.03	-93.9
17 Oct.1993	SPO 299	1702.9	6.6	-47.00	-90.2
Dec.1993	SPO 346	1676.3	3.5	-47.00	-86.6
Jan.1995	SPO 328	1681.2	4.8	-47.03	-91.3
2 Feb.1995	SPO 319	1676.9	2.0	-46.96	-84.2

Table.A.8. . CH₄ mixing ratio, δ^{13} C, and δ D measured values of Samoa air archive samples at PSU.

Collected date	Tank #	CH₄ mixing ratio [ppb]		δ ¹³ C [‰]	δD [‰]
		Ave.	Std Dev		
2 Feb.1995	SMO282	*		-47.054667	-91.192333
Mar. 1995	SMO 283	1683.992987	6.21175347	-47.034	-88.782
Apr.1995	SMO 295	1690.983336	2.714843848	-46.950333	-94.292
May.1995	SMO 313	1706.620224	11.83164508	-47.050667	-86.662
Jun. 1995	SMO 319	1700.628711	5.466808119	-47.049	-92.1335
Jul. 1995	SMO 310	1700.860784	6.128360195	-47.084	-93.7425
Aug.1995	SMO 331	1694.851262	6.010107283	-47.0445	-87.8655
Sep.1995	SMO 346	1709.78648	5.953317984	-47.006	-92.131667
Oct.1995	SMO 355	*		-46.935	-92.131667
Nov. 1995	SMO 367	1698.377128	4.528259766	-46.964	-93.584
Jan.1996	SMO 385	1704.64286	4.096774508	-47.195333	-90.5115
Apr.1996	SMO 409	1689.703647	3.543505702	-47.059	-88.212

* we lost air of theses samples

Table A 0	CU mixing ratio	S13C and SD	maggirad	values of Mauna	I an air a	rahiva complex at D	CII
Table A.9.	$C\Pi_4$ mixing ratio,	o C, and oD	measureu	values of Mauna	Lua all a	i chive samples at r	30.

Collected date	Tank #	CH₄ mixing ratio [ppb]		δ ¹³ C [‰]	δD [‰]
		Ave.	Std Dev		
Feb.1995	MLO 324	1750.8	6.5	-47.31	-93.4
Mar.1995	MLO 319	1771.4	9.3	-47.32	-94.7
Apr.1995	MLO 350	1758.0	1.5	-47.25	-82.5
May.1995	MLO 360	1760.5	9.7	-47.21	-89.5
Jun.1995	MLO 368	1755.3	10.1	-47.12	-91.4
Jul.1995	MLO 379	1747.5	3.6	-47.10	-89.1
Aug.1995	MLO 388	1747.3	8.3	-47.24	-93.8
Sep.1995	MLO 406	1760.9	5.3	-47.24	-91.4
Oct.1995	MLO 418	1755.8	1.2	-47.32	-98.3
Nov.1995	MLO 433	1774.7	3.9	-47.02	-92.4
Dec.1995	MLO 445	1755.9	3.5	-47.30	-99.6

Table A.10. CH₄ seasonal value based on the composite dataset (CM+OP+MDO+NWR) during 1978-2010

		CH ₄ -Resi [ppb]						
Month	# Rows	Ave.	Std Dev	Std Err				
Jan	66	8.3	15.8	1.9				
Feb	67	10.3	19.0	2.3				
Mar	62	9.2	14.9	1.9				
Apr	102	9.3	16.1	1.6				
May	72	1.3	19.3	2.3				

	Jun	74	-9.8	17.7	2.1
	Jul	71	-22.0	18.9	2.2
	Aug	74	-23.6	15.1	1.8
	Sep	71	-4.2	23.4	2.8
	Oct	78	8.2	19.6	2.2
	Nov	71	9.8	16.6	2.0
Γ	Dec	75	10.0	20.8	2.4

CM=Cape Meares, OP= Olympic Peninsula, MDO= Montaña de Oro, NWR= Niwot Ridge

Table A.11. δ^{13} C seasonal value based on the composite dataset (CM+OP+MDO+NWR) during 1978-2010

		5	δ ¹³ C- Resi [‰]							
Month	# Rows	Ave.	Std Dev	Std Err						
Feb	65	0.01	0.11	0.01						
Mar	58	0.04	0.09	0.01						
Apr	92	0.05	0.09	0.01						
May	67	0.09	0.11	0.01						
Jun	67	0.12	0.12	0.01						
Jul	67	0.09	0.11	0.01						
Aug	59	0.03	0.13	0.02						
Sep	61	-0.11	0.12	0.02						
Oct	77	-0.12	0.13	0.01						
Nov	68	-0.10	0.10	0.01						
Dec	74	-0.05	0.11	0.01						

Table A.12. \deltaD seasonal value based on the composite dataset (CM+MDO+NWR) during 1978-2005

		δD- Resi [‰]						
Month	# Rows	Ave.	Std Dev	Std Err				
Jan	27	-0.9	2.7	0.5				
Feb	21	-1.0	3.1	0.7				
Mar	25	0.0	3.1	0.6				
Apr	57	0.2	3.1	0.4				
May	25	0.8	2.7	0.5				
Jun	31	1.7	3.2	0.6				
Jul	24	2.3	3.0	0.6				
Aug	27	1.4	3.1	0.6				
Sep	27	0.2	2.9	0.6				
Oct	38	-1.4	2.5	0.4				
Nov	29	-1.6	2.4	0.4				
Dec	28	-1.4	3.6	0.7				

Table A.13. The annual CH_4 mixing ratio and its growth rate of the composite dataset using a LOWESS and Bootstrap with different spans.

	span=0.09				span=0.12			span=0.15					
	d(CH ₄)/dt				d(CH ₄)/dt				d(CI	I ₄)/dt			
Year	CH4 []	ppb]	[ppb/y	r]	CH4 [F	opb]	[ppl	o/yr]	CH4 [p	CH₄ [ppb]		[ppb/yr]	
		Std		Std		Std		Std		Std		Std	
	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	
1978	1,604.0	15.5	17.6	0.6	1,604.4	14.3	16.9	0.1	1,604.3	14.4	16.9	0.1	
1979	1,615.4	9.9	17.1	0.4	1,614.9	8.8	16.8	0.1	1,614.8	8.8	16.9	0.1	
1980	1,629.9	5.9	16.5	0.5	1,629.6	4.8	16.8	0.1	1,629.5	4.8	16.9	0.1	
------	---------	-----	------	-----	---------	-----	------	-----	---------	-----	------	-----	
1981	1,645.7	3.3	16.7	0.4	1,645.9	2.5	16.8	0.2	1,645.6	2.6	16.8	0.1	
1982	1,662.6	1.5	17.3	0.9	1,662.6	1.3	16.8	0.3	1,662.7	1.4	16.7	0.2	
1983	1,679.5	0.8	16.2	0.4	1,679.3	0.8	16.3	0.4	1,679.8	1.0	16.3	0.3	
1984	1,695.2	0.7	15.1	0.3	1,695.0	0.7	15.2	0.2	1,695.5	0.9	15.4	0.1	
1985	1,709.9	0.6	14.3	0.2	1,709.8	0.6	14.4	0.2	1,709.9	0.6	14.5	0.1	
1986	1,723.8	0.7	13.7	0.4	1,723.9	0.8	13.8	0.3	1,724.1	0.8	13.6	0.3	
1987	1,737.3	1.3	12.9	0.8	1,737.1	1.3	12.7	0.6	1,737.6	1.4	12.4	0.5	
1988	1,749.0	1.7	10.8	1.0	1,748.8	1.8	10.6	0.8	1,749.4	1.9	10.3	0.4	
1989	1,758.3	2.0	7.1	1.5	1,757.9	1.5	7.3	1.5	1,758.6	2.0	7.9	0.9	
1990	1,764.1	1.1	5.4	2.4	1,764.9	0.7	6.8	1.1	1,764.6	1.1	7.1	0.6	
1991	1,771.9	0.7	8.3	2.0	1,772.2	0.5	7.7	0.9	1,772.0	1.0	7.2	0.3	
1992	1,780.0	1.1	7.3	1.0	1,779.8	0.7	7.0	0.6	1,780.6	1.5	6.7	0.3	
1993	1,786.2	0.9	5.5	1.0	1,786.1	0.7	5.7	0.7	1,786.5	0.9	5.9	0.5	
1994	1,791.4	0.7	5.2	1.0	1,791.5	0.6	5.2	1.1	1,791.4	1.0	5.8	0.7	
1995	1,796.9	1.4	5.8	1.8	1,797.2	1.1	6.5	2.2	1,796.9	1.8	6.4	1.8	
1996	1,803.7	3.5	5.6	4.2	1,803.2	2.6	4.1	2.6	1,804.3	4.1	3.4	1.6	
1997	1,803.1	2.5	-1.3	7.6	1,803.9	1.7	-0.3	5.7	1,803.1	3.0	1.4	3.2	
1998	1,807.6	1.4	7.2	7.2	1,807.6	1.2	6.4	5.6	1,807.6	1.7	5.4	3.5	
1999	1,813.0	2.6	1.2	3.3	1,812.6	2.2	2.2	2.4	1,813.3	3.0	2.6	1.6	
2000	1,813.2	1.2	0.2	2.0	1,813.1	1.1	0.0	2.2	1,813.0	1.4	0.1	2.2	
2001	1,813.6	1.8	1.2	1.9	1,813.8	1.6	1.4	1.9	1,813.4	1.8	1.8	1.6	
2002	1,816.5	2.5	4.8	2.3	1,816.8	1.9	5.4	2.4	1,816.0	3.0	5.4	2.2	
2003	1,824.2	2.7	7.9	5.7	1,823.3	2.1	5.5	2.9	1,824.3	3.3	4.8	1.8	
2004	1,826.5	2.0	-0.9	5.2	1,826.2	1.9	0.1	2.8	1,826.9	2.8	1.3	1.7	
2005	1,825.7	1.6	0.3	2.5	1,826.1	1.3	-0.2	2.2	1,825.3	1.8	0.5	1.7	
2006	1,827.2	1.8	2.9	1.2	1,827.6	1.5	2.8	1.0	1,826.2	2.0	2.4	0.7	
2007	1,831.3	1.3	5.2	1.1	1,831.8	1.0	4.9	1.0	1,830.5	1.3	4.3	0.7	
2008	1,836.6	1.6	5.9	0.8	1,836.7	1.6	5.7	0.8	1,835.9	1.7	5.1	0.7	
2009	1,841.6	3.1	5.8	0.6	1,841.6	3.0	5.7	0.6	1,841.2	3.2	5.3	0.6	
2010	1,845.1	5.0	5.5	0.6	1,845.3	4.9	5.4	0.6	1,845.0	5.2	5.0	0.5	

Table A.14. The annual δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ and its time rate of change of the composite dataset using a LOWESS and Bootstrap with different spans.

		span-	=0.09			span=	0.12		span=0.15				
			d(δ ¹²	³ C)/dt			d(δ ¹³	C)/dt			d(δ ¹³	³C)/dt	
Year	δ ¹³ C	[‰]	[‰/yr]		δ ¹³ C [‰]		[‰/yr]		δ ¹³ C [‰]		[‰/yr]		
		Std		Std		Std		Std		Std		Std	
	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	
1978	-47.71	0.02	0.00	0.02	-47.71	0.02	0.02	0.01	-47.71	0.01	0.03	0.00	
1979	-47.70	0.01	0.03	0.02	-47.69	0.01	0.03	0.01	-47.69	0.01	0.02	0.00	
1980	-47.66	0.01	0.03	0.02	-47.66	0.01	0.02	0.00	-47.67	0.00	0.02	0.00	
1981	-47.65	0.01	0.00	0.02	-47.65	0.00	0.01	0.01	-47.66	0.00	0.02	0.00	
1982	-47.65	0.01	0.01	0.01	-47.65	0.01	0.01	0.00	-47.64	0.00	0.01	0.00	
1983	-47.63	0.01	0.02	0.01	-47.63	0.01	0.02	0.01	-47.63	0.00	0.01	0.00	
1984	-47.60	0.01	0.02	0.01	-47.61	0.01	0.02	0.01	-47.61	0.01	0.01	0.00	
1985	-47.59	0.01	0.00	0.01	-47.59	0.01	0.00	0.01	-47.60	0.01	0.01	0.01	
1986	-47.59	0.01	-0.02	0.03	-47.59	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-47.60	0.01	0.01	0.01	
1987	-47.60	0.02	0.01	0.02	-47.60	0.02	0.02	0.02	-47.59	0.02	0.04	0.01	
1988	-47.58	0.02	0.06	0.04	-47.58	0.02	0.06	0.03	-47.57	0.01	0.06	0.02	
1989	-47.52	0.02	0.06	0.06	-47.52	0.02	0.05	0.04	-47.52	0.02	0.03	0.02	
1990	-47.51	0.01	-0.04	0.08	-47.51	0.01	-0.02	0.06	-47.51	0.01	-0.01	0.03	
1991	-47.51	0.01	0.03	0.04	-47.51	0.02	0.02	0.02	-47.51	0.02	0.01	0.02	
1992	-47.48	0.01	0.04	0.02	-47.48	0.01	0.04	0.02	-47.48	0.01	0.04	0.02	
1993	-47.43	0.01	0.03	0.01	-47.43	0.01	0.04	0.01	-47.44	0.01	0.03	0.01	
1994	-47.41	0.02	0.03	0.02	-47.41	0.02	0.02	0.02	-47.41	0.02	0.02	0.02	
1995	-47.41	0.02	-0.02	0.04	-47.40	0.02	-0.02	0.04	-47.40	0.02	-0.02	0.04	
1996	-47.42	0.04	0.02	0.02	-47.42	0.04	0.02	0.02	-47.42	0.04	0.02	0.02	
1997	-47.36	0.02	0.07	0.04	-47.36	0.02	0.08	0.04	-47.36	0.02	0.08	0.04	

1998	-47.29	0.03	0.05	0.02	-47.29	0.03	0.05	0.02	-47.29	0.03	0.05	0.02
1999	-47.27	0.02	0.01	0.01	-47.27	0.02	0.01	0.01	-47.27	0.02	0.01	0.01
2000	-47.27	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-47.27	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-47.27	0.01	-0.01	0.01
2001	-47.27	0.01	0.01	0.02	-47.28	0.01	0.00	0.01	-47.28	0.01	-0.01	0.02
2002	-47.29	0.03	0.00	0.04	-47.29	0.03	0.01	0.02	-47.29	0.02	0.01	0.01
2003	-47.25	0.02	0.08	0.07	-47.25	0.02	0.06	0.04	-47.25	0.01	0.04	0.03
2004	-47.20	0.05	0.00	0.03	-47.21	0.04	0.02	0.02	-47.22	0.03	0.02	0.01
2005	-47.24	0.02	-0.06	0.05	-47.23	0.02	-0.04	0.03	-47.23	0.02	-0.02	0.01
2006	-47.28	0.02	-0.03	0.02	-47.27	0.02	-0.04	0.02	-47.26	0.01	-0.03	0.01
2007	-47.29	0.01	0.00	0.02	-47.29	0.01	-0.02	0.01	-47.29	0.01	-0.03	0.01
2008	-47.29	0.01	-0.01	0.01	-47.30	0.01	-0.02	0.01	-47.30	0.01	-0.03	0.00
2009	-47.31	0.01	-0.03	0.01	-47.32	0.01	-0.02	0.01	-47.32	0.01	-0.02	0.00
2010	-47.34	0.03	-0.04	0.02	-47.34	0.02	-0.03	0.01	-47.34	0.02	-0.02	0.01

Table A.15. The annual δD of atmospheric CH_4 and its time rate of change of the composite dataset using a LOWESS and Bootstrap with different spans.

		span=0	.103			span=	0.138		span=0.172				
			d(ð	D)/dt			d(ð	D)/dt			d(δD)/dt		
Year	δD[‰]	[%	o/yr]	δD [‰]		[‰/yr]		δD [‰]		[‰/yr]		
		Std		Std		Std		Std		Std		Std	
	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	Ave.	Dev	
1978	-110.4	0.2	0.6	0.6	-110.4	0.2	0.4	0.4	-110.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	
1979	-110.3	0.3	-0.1	0.5	-110.3	0.2	-0.1	0.4	-110.4	0.2	0.0	0.3	
1980	-110.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	-110.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	-110.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	
1981	-110.0	0.2	0.6	0.2	-110.0	0.2	0.7	0.3	-110.0	0.2	0.7	0.3	
1982	-109.2	0.2	0.7	0.2	-109.3	0.1	0.7	0.2	-109.3	0.1	0.6	0.2	
1983	-108.8	0.1	0.5	0.1	-108.7	0.1	0.5	0.1	-108.8	0.1	0.5	0.1	
1984	-108.3	0.0	0.5	0.1	-108.3	0.0	0.5	0.1	-108.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	
1985	-107.9	0.0	0.6	0.1	-107.9	0.0	0.5	0.0	-107.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	
1986	-107.4	0.1	0.5	0.2	-107.4	0.1	0.5	0.0	-107.3	0.1	0.6	0.0	
1987	-107.1	0.2	0.5	0.3	-106.8	0.1	0.6	0.0	-106.8	0.1	0.6	0.0	
1988	-106.7	0.4	1.2	0.3	-106.2	0.1	0.7	0.0	-106.1	0.1	0.7	0.0	
1989	-105.7	0.2	1.9	0.7	-105.4	0.1	0.8	0.0	-105.4	0.1	0.8	0.0	
1990	-104.4	0.2	1.3	0.3	-104.5	0.1	0.9	0.0	-104.5	0.1	0.9	0.0	
1991	-103.5	0.1	0.8	0.4	-103.6	0.1	0.9	0.0	-103.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	
1992	-102.6	0.2	1.0	0.1	-102.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	-102.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	
1993	-101.5	0.1	1.2	0.1	-101.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	-101.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	
1994	-100.2	0.1	1.2	0.1	-100.3	0.0	1.1	0.0	-100.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	
1995	-99.1	0.1	1.1	0.1	-99.1	0.0	1.2	0.0	-99.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	
1996	-98.0	0.1	1.1	0.1	-98.0	0.1	1.2	0.1	-97.9	0.1	1.2	0.1	
1997	-96.9	0.1	1.3	0.3	-96.8	0.1	1.3	0.2	-96.8	0.1	1.2	0.2	
1998	-95.7	0.3	1.2	0.5	-95.7	0.2	1.1	0.3	-95.7	0.2	1.1	0.2	
1999	-95.0	0.3	0.0	0.5	-94.9	0.3	0.3	0.3	-95.0	0.3	0.6	0.1	
2000	-94.7	0.3	-0.1	0.4	-94.6	0.3	0.0	0.2	-94.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	
2001	-94.8	0.2	-0.5	0.7	-94.8	0.2	-0.4	0.4	-94.8	0.2	-0.3	0.2	
2002	-95.1	0.3	0.2	1.4	-95.1	0.3	-0.1	0.7	-95.0	0.3	-0.3	0.4	
2003	-95.7	0.4	-1.8	1.9	-95.7	0.3	-1.2	1.1	-95.7	0.2	-1.0	0.6	
2004	-96.7	0.8	-0.1	1.1	-96.5	0.7	-0.1	0.6	-96.4	0.5	-0.4	0.4	
2005	-96.3	0.6	1.0	1.5	-96.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	-96.4	0.4	0.1	0.6	

Year	CH ₄ [ppb]	d(CH ₄)/dt [ppb/yr]	$S_{8.7vr}$ (Tg/yr)	$S_{9.7vr}$ (Tg/yr)	S _{10.7yr} (Tg/yr)
1978	1,604.0	17.6	555.4	503.1	460.6
1979	1,615.4	17.1	557.6	505.0	462.2
1980	1,629.9	16.5	560.5	507.4	464.2
1981	1,645.7	16.7	566.0	512.4	468.8
1982	1,662.6	17.3	573.1	518.9	474.8
1983	1,679.5	16.2	575.6	520.8	476.3
1984	1,695.2	15.1	577.3	522.1	477.2
1985	1,709.9	14.3	579.9	524.2	478.9
1986	1,723.8	13.7	582.5	526.3	480.6
1987	1,737.3	12.9	584.5	527.9	481.8
1988	1,749.0	10.8	582.6	525.6	479.3
1989	1,758.3	7.1	575.3	518.0	471.4
1990	1,764.1	5.4	572.4	514.9	468.2
1991	1,771.9	8.3	582.9	525.1	478.2
1992	1,780.0	7.3	582.6	524.6	477.4
1993	1,786.2	5.5	579.7	521.5	474.1
1994	1,791.4	5.2	580.6	522.2	474.7
1995	1,796.9	5.8	583.9	525.3	477.7
1996	1,803.7	5.6	585.5	526.7	479.0
1997	1,803.1	-1.3	566.5	507.7	460.0
1998	1,807.6	7.2	591.3	532.3	484.5
1999	1,813.0	1.2	576.4	517.3	469.3
2000	1,813.2	0.2	573.6	514.6	466.5
2001	1,813.6	1.2	576.5	517.4	469.3
2002	1,816.5	4.8	587.4	528.2	480.1
2003	1,824.2	7.9	598.4	539.0	490.6
2004	1,826.5	-0.9	574.8	515.2	466.8
2005	1,825.7	0.3	577.8	518.3	469.9
2006	1,827.2	2.9	585.5	526.0	477.6
2007	1,831.3	5.2	593.2	533.5	485.0
2008	1,836.6	5.9	596.7	536.8	488.2
2009	1,841.6	5.8	598.1	538.1	489.3
2010	1,845.1	5.5	598.3	538.2	489.3

Table A.16. Total CH_4 emissions for three different CH_4 lifetimes

Table A.17. The annual δ^{13} C and δ D of CH₄ sources based on the atmospheric annual CH₄, δ^{13} C, δ D, and their time rate of changes from the composite dataset.

	CH ₄	d(CH ₄)/dt		$d(\delta^{13}C)/dt$		d(δD)/dt	δ ¹³ C-	δD-sources
Year	[ppb]	[ppb/yr]	δ ¹³ C [‰]	[‰/yr]	δD[‰]	[‰/yr]	sources [‰]	[‰]
1978	1,604.0	17.6	-47.71	0.00	-110.4	0.6	-52.36	-282.8
1979	1,615.4	17.1	-47.70	0.03	-110.3	-0.1	-52.35	-283.3
1980	1,629.9	16.5	-47.66	0.03	-110.5	0.2	-52.35	-284.2
1981	1,645.7	16.7	-47.65	0.00	-110.0	0.6	-52.34	-283.8
1982	1,662.6	17.3	-47.65	0.01	-109.2	0.7	-52.32	-282.7
1983	1,679.5	16.2	-47.63	0.02	-108.8	0.5	-52.33	-283.4
1984	1,695.2	15.1	-47.60	0.02	-108.3	0.5	-52.35	-284.3
1985	1,709.9	14.3	-47.59	0.00	-107.9	0.6	-52.36	-284.8
1986	1,723.8	13.7	-47.59	-0.02	-107.4	0.5	-52.37	-285.1
1987	1,737.3	12.9	-47.60	0.01	-107.1	0.5	-52.39	-285.7
1988	1,749.0	10.8	-47.58	0.06	-106.7	1.2	-52.43	-287.4
1989	1,758.3	7.1	-47.52	0.06	-105.7	1.9	-52.47	-290.2
1990	1,764.1	5.4	-47.51	-0.04	-104.4	1.3	-52.51	-290.9
1991	1,771.9	8.3	-47.51	0.03	-103.5	0.8	-52.43	-287.4
1992	1,780.0	7.3	-47.48	0.04	-102.6	1.0	-52.42	-287.7
1993	1,786.2	5.5	-47.43	0.03	-101.5	1.2	-52.43	-288.5
1994	1,791.4	5.2	-47.41	0.03	-100.2	1.2	-52.41	-287.8
1995	1,796.9	5.8	-47.41	-0.02	-99.1	1.1	-52.40	-286.4
1996	1,803.7	5.6	-47.42	0.02	-98.0	1.1	-52.41	-285.8
1997	1,803.1	-1.3	-47.36	0.07	-96.9	1.3	-52.54	-291.8
1998	1,807.6	7.2	-47.29	0.05	-95.7	1.2	-52.25	-282.3
1999	1,813.0	1.2	-47.27	0.01	-95.0	0.0	-52.38	-287.8
2000	1,813.2	0.2	-47.27	-0.01	-94.7	-0.1	-52.41	-288.6
2001	1,813.6	1.2	-47.27	0.01	-94.8	-0.5	-52.39	-287.7
2002	1,816.5	4.8	-47.29	0.00	-95.1	0.2	-52.30	-284.2
2003	1,824.2	7.9	-47.25	0.08	-95.7	-1.8	-52.19	-281.7
2004	1,826.5	-0.9	-47.20	0.00	-96.7	-0.1	-52.39	-291.4
2005	1,825.7	0.3	-47.24	-0.06	-96.3	1.0	-52.37	-289.8
2006	1,827.2	2.9	-47.28	-0.03			-52.33	
2007	1,831.3	5.2	-47.29	0.00			-52.29	
2008	1,836.6	5.9	-47.29	-0.01			-52.29	
2009	1,841.6	5.8	-47.31	-0.03			-52.31	
2010	1,845.1	5.5	-47.34	-0.04			-52.33	

Appendix B





Figure B.1. The atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratio of Cape Meares, Oregon during (1977-1999) measured at PSU and Niwot Ridge, Colorado measured by Tyler et al.,2007 during (1994-2005) and NOAA during (1983-2010)



Figure B.2. Seasonal trend of atmospheric CH_4 at Cape Meares (red circle) and Niwot Ridge (blue cross) and (plus green). Error bars are ± 1 standard error.



Figure B.3. The δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares, Oregon during (1977-1999) measured at PSU and Niwot Ridge, Colorado measured by Tyler et al.,2007 during (1994-2005) and NOAA during (1983-2010)



Figure B.4. Seasonal trend of δ^{13} C of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares (red circle) and Niwot Ridge (blue cross) and (plus green). Error bars are ±1 standard error.



Figure B.5. The δD of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares, Oregon during (1977-1999) measured at PSU and Niwot Ridge, Colorado measured by Tyler et al.,2007 during (1998-2004).



Figure B.6. Seasonal trend of δD of atmospheric CH₄ at Cape Meares (red circle) and Niwot Ridge (blue cross). Error bars are ± 1 standard error.



Figure B.7. The instantaneous atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio (1978-2010) with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ by smoothing the deseanonlize data for a span=0.12.



Figure B.8. The instantaneous CH₄ growth rate (1978-2010) calculated from the derivative of the black line in figure B.7 with respect to time $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$.



Figure B.9. The annual increases CH_4 growth rate for the composite data with black circles during 1978-2010 measured in ppb/yr represented in figure B.8 as black circles. Green stars are the annual CH_4 growth rate calculated from NOAA Global Cooperative Air Sampling Network measurements (values are taken from figure 1(b) [Dlugokencky et al. 2011])



Figure B.10. The instantaneous atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio (1978-2010) with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ σ by smoothing the deseanonlize data for a span=0.15.



Figure B.11. The instantaneous CH₄ growth rate (1978-2010) calculated from the derivative of the black line in figure B.10. with respect to time $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$.



Figure B.12. The annual increases CH_4 growth rate for the composite data with black circles during 1978-2010 measured in ppb/yr represented in figure B.11 as black circles. Green stars are the annual CH_4 growth rate calculated from NOAA Global Cooperative Air Sampling Network measurements (values are taken from figure 1(b) [Dlugokencky et al. 2011])



Figure B.13. The correlation between the CH_4 - growth rate defined by Dlugokencky et al.[2011] with the CH_4 - growth rate of the composite dataset results from different smoothing spans (black cross=0.09, red circle=0.12, and blue square=0.15)



Figure B.14. The instantaneous δ^{13} C trend during 1978-2010 with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculate from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing the deseaonalize data with a span=0.12.



Figure B.14. The instantaneous δ^{13} C growth rate of composite data (by differentiating black line with respect to time in figure B.13) during 1978-2010. Red dots are \pm standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly value calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.



Figure B.15. The instantaneous δ^{13} C trend during 1978-2010 with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculate from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing the deseaonalize data with a span=0.15.



Figure B.16. The instantaneous $\delta^{13}C$ growth rate of composite data (by differentiating black line with respect to time in figure B.15) during 1978-2010. Red dots are \pm standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly value calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.



Figure B.17. The instantaneous δD trend (1978-2005) with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculate from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing the deseaonalize data with a span of 0.138.



Figure B.18. The instantaneous δD time rate of change of composite data (by differentiating black line in figure B.17 with respect to time) during 1978-2005. Red dots are \pm standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly values calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.



Figure B.19. The instantaneous δD trend (1978-2005) with mean values (black line), $\pm 1\sigma$ (red dots), and average yearly values (black circles) with $\pm 1\sigma$ calculate from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year by smoothing the deseaonalize data with a span of 0.172.



Figure B.20. The instantaneous δD time rate of change of composite data (by differentiating black line in figure B.19 with respect to time) during 1978-2005. Red dots are \pm standard deviation and black circles are the average yearly values calculated from 1 January in one year to 1 January in the next year.



Figure B.21. Annual CH_4 emission during 1978-2010 using the mass balance equation and based on CH_4 lifetimes of 8.7 yrs, 9.7 yrs, and 10.7 yrs, respectively.



Figure B.22. Annual δ^{13} C- CH₄ sources during 1978-2010 based on CH₄ lifetimes of 8.7 yrs,9.7 yrs, and 10.7 yrs, respectively.



Figure B.23. Annual δ^{13} D- CH₄ sources during 1978-2005 based on CH₄ lifetimes of 8.7 yrs,9.7 yrs, and 10.7 yrs, respectively

Appendix C

Recent Air Sampling at Cape Meares (March 2012- October 2012)

Table I.1. Measurements description

Term	Description
WDIR	Wind direction (the direction the wind is coming from in degrees clockwise from true N) during the same period used for WSPD. See Wind Averaging Methods
WSPD	Wind speed (m/s) averaged over an eight-minute period for buoys and a two- minute period for land stations. Reported Hourly. See Wind Averaging Methods.
GST	Peak 5 or 8 second gust speed (m/s) measured during the eight-minute or two- minute period. The 5 or 8 second period can be determined by payload, See the Sensor Reporting, Sampling, and Accuracy section.
PRES	Sea level pressure (hPa). For C-MAN sites and Great Lakes buoys, the recorded pressure is reduced to sea level using the method described in <i>NWS Technical Procedures Bulletin 291</i> (11/14/80). (labeled BAR in Historical files)
ATMP	Air temperature (Celsius). For sensor heights on buoys, see Hull Descriptions. For sensor heights at C-MAN stations, see C-MAN Sensor Locations



NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL

#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDIR	WSPD	GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	degT	m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	3	28	11	0	138	10.3	12.8	1002.8	7.9
2012	3	28	11	6	149	9.1	12.5	1002.8	7.9
2012	3	28	11	12	152	10.2	12.4	1003	7.9
2012	3	28	11	18	148	8.3	10	NA	NA
2012	3	28	11	24	148	9.3	11.9	1003.1	7.7
2012	3	28	11	30	150	9.2	11.8	1003	7.6
2012	3	28	11	36	157	8.6	11.3	1002.9	7.7
2012	3	28	11	42	165	8.5	10.8	1003.1	7.7
2012	3	28	11	48	145	8.5	10.6	NA	NA
2012	3	28	11	54	148	9.1	12.2	1003.2	7.7
2012	3	28	12	0	137	9.9	11.5	1003.1	7.7
2012	3	28	12	6	137	9.1	12.2	1003	7.7
2012	3	28	12	12	133	9.9	11.7	1003.1	7.7
2012	3	28	12	18	139	9.9	12.3	NA	NA
2012	3	28	12	24	149	9.5	12.2	1003.1	7.8
2012	3	28	12	30	152	8.9	12.9	1003.1	7.9
2012	3	28	12	36	146	9	10.5	1003.1	7.9
2012	3	28	12	42	146	9.6	11.9	1003.1	7.8
2012	3	28	12	48	137	10.2	12.8	NA	NA
2012	3	28	12	54	135	11.4	13.2	1003.3	7.7
2012	3	28	13	0	138	10.9	12.6	1003.3	7.7
2012	3	28	13	6	149	9.5	11.6	1003.4	7.6
2012	3	28	13	12	134	10.2	14.3	1003.3	7.7
2012	3	28	13	18	138	11.6	14	1003.5	7.7
2012	3	28	13	24	141	12.2	14.3	1003.7	7.8
2012	3	28	13	30	141	11.3	14.2	1003.7	7.8
2012	3	28	13	36	149	9.1	13.5	1003.7	7.7
2012	3	28	13	42	157	8.5	10.6	1003.9	7.7
2012	3	28	13	48	159	11.8	16.9	NA	NA
2012	3	28	13	54	170	11.7	17.5	1004.1	7.7



NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL

#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDIR	WSPD	GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	degT	m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	4	26	11	0	132	2	2.4	1003.7	8.5
2012	4	26	11	6	119	1.4	2	1003.8	8.4
2012	4	26	11	12	96	1.5	1.8	1003.9	8.3
2012	4	26	11	18	124	1.2	1.8	1004	8.3
2012	4	26	11	24	151	2.4	2.9	1003.9	8.3
2012	4	26	11	30	159	2	2.9	1004	8.4
2012	4	26	11	36	135	2.4	2.8	1003.9	8.5
2012	4	26	11	42	129	2.2	3.2	1004	8.5
2012	4	26	11	48	135	2.6	3	NA	NA
2012	4	26	11	54	128	2.3	2.7	1004.1	8.5
2012	4	26	12	0	127	2.5	3.2	1004.1	8.4
2012	4	26	12	6	109	2.5	2.8	1004	8.4
2012	4	26	12	12	119	2.5	3.1	1004	8.5
2012	4	26	12	18	135	2.8	3.1	1004.1	8.4
2012	4	26	12	24	137	2.2	2.9	1003.9	8.4
2012	4	26	12	30	121	1.7	2	1003.9	8.4
2012	4	26	12	36	133	1.4	2.3	1003.9	8.4
2012	4	26	12	42	166	0.6	1.8	1003.9	8.4
2012	4	26	12	48	145	1.1	1.6	NA	NA
2012	4	26	12	54	123	2.1	3	1004.1	8.4
2012	4	26	13	0	217	1.8	2.9	1004.1	8.3
2012	4	26	13	6	256	2.1	3.2	1004.1	8.3
2012	4	26	13	12	264	3.2	4.2	1004.2	8.3
2012	4	26	13	18	257	3.6	4.1	1004.2	8.3
2012	4	26	13	24	266	3.5	4.1	NA	NA
2012	4	26	13	30	258	3.7	4.2	1004.3	8.3
2012	4	26	13	36	266	3.2	4.2	1004.3	8.2
2012	4	26	13	42	247	3.7	4.2	1004.5	8.2
2012	4	26	13	48	247	3.7	4.1	NA	NA
2012	4	26	13	54	250	3.6	4.4	1004.6	8.2



#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDIR	WSPD	GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	degT	m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	5	30	11	0	141	2.7	3	1022.1	9.4
2012	5	30	11	6	138	3	3.2	1022.1	9.4
2012	5	30	11	12	138	2.7	3.2	1022.1	9.4
2012	5	30	11	18	139	2.2	2.7	NA	NA
2012	5	30	11	24	139	2.3	2.8	1022.3	9.3
2012	5	30	11	30	NA	NA	NA	1022.3	9.3
2012	5	30	11	36	NA	NA	NA	1022.2	9.3
2012	5	30	11	42	NA	NA	NA	1022.2	9.1
2012	5	30	11	48	131	3	3.9	1022.2	9.1
2012	5	30	11	54	139	1.8	2.7	1022.3	9.1
2012	5	30	12	0	132	2.5	2.9	1022.3	9.2
2012	5	30	12	6	123	3.3	3.7	1022.3	9.1
2012	5	30	12	12	127	2.5	3.6	1022.1	9
2012	5	30	12	18	125	2.9	3.2	NA	NA
2012	5	30	12	24	121	2.6	3.2	1022.2	8.9
2012	5	30	12	30	127	2	2.8	1022.4	8.9
2012	5	30	12	36	NA	NA	NA	1022.4	9
2012	5	30	12	42	131	2.3	2.7	NA	NA
2012	5	30	12	48	123	2.7	3.4	NA	NA
2012	5	30	12	54	NA	NA	NA	1022.3	9.1
2012	5	30	13	0	144	2.9	3.2	NA	NA
2012	5	30	13	6	145	3.7	4.4	1022.5	9
2012	5	30	13	12	131	6.3	7	1022.3	9
2012	5	30	13	18	136	5.5	6.6	NA	NA
2012	5	30	13	24	142	5.6	6.4	1022.3	9.4
2012	5	30	13	30	142	5.1	5.8	1022.3	9.3
2012	5	30	13	36	140	4.8	5.5	1022.3	9.3
2012	5	30	13	42	144	4.6	5.4	1022.3	9.2
2012	5	30	13	48	NA	NA	NA	1022.4	9.3
2012	5	30	13	54	135	3.5	4.4	NA	NA



NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL
#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDIR	WSPD	GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	degT	m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	6	28	11	0	139	4.9	5.2	1012.9	10
2012	6	28	11	6	136	5.1	5.5	1013	10.1
2012	6	28	11	12	133	5.5	6.1	1013.1	10.1
2012	6	28	11	18	135	5.7	6.3	NA	NA
2012	6	28	11	24	138	6	6.3	1013.1	10.2
2012	6	28	11	30	139	5.9	6.3	1013.2	10.1
2012	6	28	11	36	137	5.9	6.4	1013.2	10
2012	6	28	11	42	134	5.8	6.2	1013.2	10
2012	6	28	11	48	139	5.8	6.2	NA	NA
2012	6	28	11	54	136	6	6.6	1013.2	9.9
2012	6	28	12	0	139	5.9	6.5	1013.2	9.9
2012	6	28	12	6	138	5.9	6.6	1013.2	9.9
2012	6	28	12	12	133	6.2	6.6	1013.2	10
2012	6	28	12	18	138	5.9	6.7	NA	NA
2012	6	28	12	24	140	5.8	6.6	1013.2	10
2012	6	28	12	30	150	5.7	6.5	1013.2	9.9
2012	6	28	12	36	141	5.9	6.5	1013.2	9.9
2012	6	28	12	42	144	6.7	7.4	1013.2	9.9
2012	6	28	12	48	143	6.7	7.3	1013.2	10
2012	6	28	12	54	NA	NA	NA	1013.2	10.2
2012	6	28	13	0	146	6.3	7.2	NA	NA
2012	6	28	13	6	147	6.7	7.3	1013.3	10.3
2012	6	28	13	12	144	6.4	7	NA	NA
2012	6	28	13	18	145	6.8	7.3	NA	NA
2012	6	28	13	24	147	6.3	7.1	NA	NA
2012	6	28	13	30	141	6.6	7.2	NA	NA
2012	6	28	13	36	143	6.9	7.5	1013.4	10.6
2012	6	28	13	42	143	6.4	7	NA	NA
2012	6	28	13	48	147	5.9	7.2	1013.3	10.5
2012	6	28	13	54	150	6	7	NA	NA



NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL Backward trajectory ending at 1800 UTC 27 Jul 12

#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDIR	WSPD	GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	degT	m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	7	27	11	0	11	1.2	1.8	1016.2	13.8
2012	7	27	11	6	191	1	2.1	1016.3	13.8
2012	7	27	11	12	182	1	2.2	1016.3	13.8
2012	7	27	11	18	168	1.3	1.6	NA	NA
2012	7	27	11	24	133	1	1.4	1016.3	13.7
2012	7	27	11	30	100	1.2	1.8	1016.3	13.7
2012	7	27	11	36	95	1.8	2	1016.3	13.7
2012	7	27	11	42	66	2	2.7	1016.4	13.7
2012	7	27	11	48	40	0.8	1.8	NA	NA
2012	7	27	11	54	235	1.1	1.6	1016.4	13.7
2012	7	27	12	0	221	0.8	1.4	1016.4	13.8
2012	7	27	12	6	261	1.3	1.6	1016.5	13.7
2012	7	27	12	12	236	0.7	1.1	1016.5	13.7
2012	7	27	12	18	229	0.2	0.5	1016.6	13.7
2012	7	27	12	24	229	0	0.2	1016.7	13.7
2012	7	27	12	30	229	0	NA	1016.8	13.7
2012	7	27	12	36	220	0.4	0.7	1016.8	13.7
2012	7	27	12	42	205	0.7	0.8	1016.9	13.7
2012	7	27	12	48	250	0.6	1.1	NA	NA
2012	7	27	12	54	NA	NA	NA	1017	13.6
2012	7	27	13	0	231	0.7	0.9	NA	NA
2012	7	27	13	6	190	0	0.6	1017	13.5
2012	7	27	13	12	185	0.7	0.8	1017	13.6
2012	7	27	13	18	202	0.7	0.8	NA	NA
2012	7	27	13	24	182	0.6	0.7	1017.1	13.6
2012	7	27	13	30	179	0.7	0.8	1017.2	13.6
2012	7	27	13	36	167	0.9	1.1	1017.2	13.6
2012	7	27	13	42	179	1.2	1.3	1017.2	13.6
2012	7	27	13	48	166	1.3	1.4	NA	NA
2012	7	27	13	54	168	1.6	1.7	1017.2	13.6



#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDIR	WSPD	GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	degT	m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	8	26	11	0	139	4	4.4	1008.4	12.7
2012	8	26	11	6	137	4	4.4	1008.4	12.7
2012	8	26	11	12	138	3.7	4.4	1008.4	12.7
2012	8	26	11	18	144	4.1	4.5	NA	NA
2012	8	26	11	24	146	3.9	4.6	1008.3	12.7
2012	8	26	11	30	154	3.6	4.3	1008.3	12.7
2012	8	26	11	36	148	3.8	4.2	1008.4	12.7
2012	8	26	11	42	145	4	4.5	1008.3	12.7
2012	8	26	11	48	137	3.8	4.4	NA	NA
2012	8	26	11	54	137	4	4.4	1008.3	12.8
2012	8	26	12	0	134	4.7	5	1008.3	12.8
2012	8	26	12	6	133	4.8	5.3	1008.2	12.8
2012	8	26	12	12	141	4.7	5.1	1008.1	12.8
2012	8	26	12	18	145	4.4	4.7	NA	NA
2012	8	26	12	24	139	4.2	4.4	1008.2	12.8
2012	8	26	12	30	137	4	4.3	1008.2	12.8
2012	8	26	12	36	136	4.2	4.5	1008.2	12.8
2012	8	26	12	42	147	4.6	4.8	1008.2	12.9
2012	8	26	12	48	137	4.6	4.9	NA	NA
2012	8	26	12	54	141	4.6	5	1008.2	12.9
2012	8	26	13	0	139	3.9	4.7	1008.1	12.9
2012	8	26	13	6	144	4.5	4.7	1008.1	12.9
2012	8	26	13	12	145	4.6	5	1008.1	12.9
2012	8	26	13	18	153	4.5	4.9	1008.1	12.9
2012	8	26	13	24	160	4.7	5.1	1008.2	12.9
2012	8	26	13	30	143	4	4.6	1008.2	12.9
2012	8	26	13	36	150	5.1	5.4	1008.2	12.9
2012	8	26	13	42	146	5.1	5.5	1008.3	13
2012	8	26	13	48	146	5.2	5.8	NA	NA
2012	8	26	13	54	150	5.5	5.9	1008.3	13



#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDIR	WSPD	GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	degT	m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	9	25	11	0	358	3.2	3.9	1019.7	10.5
2012	9	25	11	6	357	3.4	3.9	1019.7	10.3
2012	9	25	11	12	360	3.4	3.8	1019.7	10.3
2012	9	25	11	18	359	4	4.3	NA	NA
2012	9	25	11	24	359	3.5	4.2	1019.8	10.2
2012	9	25	11	30	5	3.6	4.2	1019.8	10.1
2012	9	25	11	36	359	3.6	4.2	1019.8	10
2012	9	25	11	42	2	3.9	4.1	NA	NA
2012	9	25	11	48	NA	NA	NA	1019.8	9.8
2012	9	25	11	54	3	4.1	4.4	1019.8	9.7
2012	9	25	12	0	359	3.8	4.3	1019.8	9.7
2012	9	25	12	6	359	3.7	4.3	1019.8	9.6
2012	9	25	12	12	NA	NA	NA	1019.9	9.5
2012	9	25	12	18	5	3.6	4.3	1019.9	9.5
2012	9	25	12	24	11	3.5	4.7	1020	9.5
2012	9	25	12	30	4	3.5	4.4	1020	9.4
2012	9	25	12	36	9	3.9	5.5	1020	9.4
2012	9	25	12	42	5	4.2	5.7	1020	9.3
2012	9	25	12	48	1	3.4	5.2	NA	NA
2012	9	25	12	54	8	4	5.8	1020.2	9.4
2012	9	25	13	0	13	4.1	5.9	1020.1	9.3
2012	9	25	13	6	21	4.8	6.2	1020.2	9.4
2012	9	25	13	12	17	4.7	6.4	1020.1	9.3
2012	9	25	13	18	16	5	6.6	NA	NA
2012	9	25	13	24	26	4.9	6.3	1020	9.4
2012	9	25	13	30	38	3.7	6.4	1020	9.3
2012	9	25	13	36	32	3	4.9	1020	9.3
2012	9	25	13	42	33	3.3	4.9	1020.1	9.2
2012	9	25	13	48	40	3.3	5.5	NA	NA
2012	9	25	13	54	47	1.4	4.3	1020.1	9.1



#YY	MM	DD	hh	mm	WDI	R WSP	D GST	PRES	ATMP
#yr	mo	dy	hr	mn	deg	T m/s	m/s	hPa	degC
2012	10	27	13	0	136	5.2	6.9	1018	12.1
2012	10	27	13	6	134	5.6	6	1017.6	12.1
2012	10	27	13	12	143	6.1	6.8	1017.5	12
2012	10	27	13	18	151	5.2	6	NA	NA
2012	10	27	13	24	136	6	6.5	1017.3	12
2012	10	27	13	30	133	5.9	6.8	1017.2	12
2012	10	27	13	36	135	6.5	7.1	1017.3	12.1
2012	10	27	13	42	138	6.4	7.1	1017.2	12.1
2012	10	27	13	48	151	5.8	6.9	1017.1	12.2
2012	10	27	13	54	151	6	6.7	1017.2	12.1
2012	10	27	14	0	149	5.5	6.4	1017.3	11.9
2012	10	27	14	6	147	6.6	7.5	1017.4	11.9
2012	10	27	14	12	144	8.2	8.9	1016.8	11.7
2012	10	27	14	18	142	9.2	10.4	NA	NA
2012	10	27	14	24	146	8.2	10	1016.3	11.6
2012	10	27	14	30	147	8.1	9.1	1016.2	11.8
2012	10	27	14	36	151	7.9	9.6	1016.3	11.9
2012	10	27	14	42	152	8.8	10.1	1016.4	11.9
2012	10	27	14	48	NA	NA	NA	1016.2	11.8
2012	10	27	14	54	141	8	9.4	1016.1	11.7
2012	10	27	15	0	142	7.9	9.2	1015.9	11.6
2012	10	27	15	6	146	8.4	9.5	1015.8	11.7
2012	10	27	15	12	153	8.1	9.5	1015.8	11.7
2012	10	27	15	18	150	8.5	10	1016.1	11.7
2012	10	27	15	24	144	9.1	10.6	1015.8	11.7
2012	10	27	15	30	145	9.8	12.2	1015.6	11.7
2012	10	27	15	36	146	10.7	12.9	1015.5	11.7
2012	10	27	15	42	151	9.1	11.1	1015.7	11.8
2012	10	27	15	48	151	8.1	9.7	1015.8	11.8
2012	10	27	15	54	147	8.3	10.2	1015.7	11.8