



UNIVERSITY  
OF WOLLONGONG  
AUSTRALIA

University of Wollongong  
Research Online

---

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials - Papers

Australian Institute for Innovative Materials

---

2017

# A 3D hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube network as an efficient cathode for rechargeable lithium-oxygen batteries

Lili Liu

*University of Wollongong, Nanjing Tech University, ll422@uowmail.edu.au*

Haipeng Guo

*University of Wollongong, hg476@uowmail.edu.au*

Yuyang Hou

*University of Wollongong, yh879@uowmail.edu.au*

Jun Wang

*Shandong University, jw707@uowmail.edu.au*

Lijun Fu

*Nanjing Tech University*

*See next page for additional authors*

---

## Publication Details

Liu, L., Guo, H., Hou, Y., Wang, J., Fu, L., Chen, J., Liu, H., Wang, J. & Wu, Y. (2017). A 3D hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube network as an efficient cathode for rechargeable lithium-oxygen batteries. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 5 (28), 14673-14681.

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: [research-pubs@uow.edu.au](mailto:research-pubs@uow.edu.au)

---

# A 3D hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube network as an efficient cathode for rechargeable lithium-oxygen batteries

## Abstract

Herein, a three-dimensional (3D) hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT) network was prepared using a polypyrrole nanofiber (PPyNF) as a sacrificial template. When employed as a cathode for lithium-oxygen batteries, the 3D Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network demonstrated superior bifunctional electrocatalytic activities towards both the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), with a relatively low charge overpotential of 99 mV and a high discharge/charge capacity of 4164/4299 mA h g<sup>-1</sup>. High-resolution scanning electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements on Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT-based cathode after discharge/recharge showed reversible formation and decomposition of Li<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. This superior performance is ascribed to the 3D web-like porous tubular structure, which facilitates rapid oxygen flow, provides enough void volume for insoluble Li<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> deposition, and increases the catalytic utilization of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Moreover, the hierarchical porous structure with meso-/nanopores on the walls of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotubes facilitates O<sub>2</sub> diffusion, electrolyte penetration, and mass transport of all the reactants.

## Disciplines

Engineering | Physical Sciences and Mathematics

## Publication Details

Liu, L., Guo, H., Hou, Y., Wang, J., Fu, L., Chen, J., Liu, H., Wang, J. & Wu, Y. (2017). A 3D hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube network as an efficient cathode for rechargeable lithium-oxygen batteries. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 5 (28), 14673-14681.

## Authors

Lili Liu, Haipeng Guo, Yuyang Hou, Jun Wang, Lijun Fu, Jun Chen, Hua-Kun Liu, Jiazhao Wang, and Yu-Ping Wu

### **3D Hierarchical Porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanotube Network as Efficient Cathode for Rechargeable Lithium-Oxygen Batteries**

*Lili Liu, Haipeng Guo, Yuyang Hou, Jun Wang, Jun Chen, Hua-Kun Liu, Jiazhao Wang\* and Yuping Wu\**

[\*]L. Liu, Dr. Prof. Y. Wu

College of Energy and Institute for Advanced Materials, Nanjing Tech University  
Jiangsu Province, Nanjing 211816, China  
E-mail: wuyp@fudan.edu.cn

[\*]L. Liu, H. Guo, Dr. Prof. H. Liu, Dr. Prof. J. Wang

Institute for Superconducting and Electronic Materials, University of Wollongong,  
Wollongong, New South Wales 2522, Australia  
E-mail: jiazhao@uow.edu.au

Y. Hou, Dr. J. Chen

Intelligent Polymer Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New  
South Wales 2522, Australia

Dr. J. Wang

Key Laboratory for Liquid-Solid Structural Evolution and Processing of Materials,  
Ministry of Education, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, PR China;  
Engineering Ceramics Key Laboratory of Shandong Province, Shandong University,  
250061, PR China

Dr. Prof. Y. Wu

Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

**Keywords: 3D porous nanotube, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, ORR, OER, lithium-oxygen batteries**

#### **Abstract**

An approach to synthesize three-dimensional (3D) hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT) network has been proposed. Polypyrrole nanofiber (PPyNF) is used as the sacrificial template. The present technique may offer a new strategy for the design and synthesis of 3D structured porous nanotubular materials. When employed as cathode for lithium oxygen batteries, the 3D Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network demonstrated superior bi-functional electrocatalytic activities towards both the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), with a rather low charge overpotential of 99 mV and high

discharge/charge capacity of 4164/4299 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>. High resolution scanning electron microscope, X-ray diffraction, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements on Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT based cathode after discharge/recharge showed reversible Li<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation and decomposition. This promising performance is ascribed to the 3D web-like porous tubular structure, which facilitates rapid oxygen flow, provides enough void volume for insoluble Li<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> deposition, and increases the catalytic utilization of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Meanwhile, the hierarchical porous structure with meso/nanopores on the walls of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotubes facilitates O<sub>2</sub> diffusion, electrolyte penetration, and mass transport of all the reactants.

## 1. Introduction

Nanotechnology has definitely promoted the progress of material science and inspired the global chemists to think and act via a nano-perspective<sup>1, 2</sup>. Increasingly significant achievements have been obtained in the research field of energy storage systems, electrocatalysis and fuel cells based on the concept of nanostructured materials<sup>3-9</sup>. 3D hierarchical porous nanotube (HPNT) material will show further superiorities compared with the currently developed nanotechnology. Firstly, the continuous 3D conductive network can greatly improve the charge transport (in the electrolyte and the active materials) and charge transfer (in the two-phase interface), facilitating the electrode reaction kinetics and reaction rate<sup>2, 10-13</sup>. Secondly, the nanoporous tubular structure with enlarged surface area can facilitate rapid ion and electron transport, improve adsorption of and immersion in electrolyte on the surfaces of electroactive materials, and enhance the capacity and energy density<sup>6, 14-16</sup>. More importantly, the HPNT structured materials will be beneficial to the development of promising lithium oxygen battery which require optimal cathode structure to afford rapid oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) kinetics<sup>17-21</sup>. The macroscale spaces in the 3D network skeleton can function as “highways” to continuously supply oxygen to the interior parts of the electrode<sup>22-24</sup>. 3D nanoporous tubes are instinctively connected to a

whole network, which greatly reduce the interface contact impedance compared to the reported 1D nanoporous tubes<sup>25</sup>. Moreover, the larger porosity on the nanotube can create more abundant tri-phase (catalyst-electrolyte-oxygen) regions required by ORR and OER<sup>23, 24</sup>, because O<sub>2</sub> and electrolyte can enter into the hollow cavities of porous nanotubes via not only the two narrow ends but also holes along the tube wall. In addition, the macropores and nanopores provide sufficient space for discharge product (Li<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) deposition, which enlarges the discharge capacity<sup>6, 26</sup>. To the best of our knowledge however, there has not been reported yet on the synthesis of the 3D HPNT network structure for Li-O<sub>2</sub> battery application.

In the previous reports, fabrication 1D porous nanotubes mere has been evidenced a critical challenge, since it involves either a multistep synthetic route<sup>23, 27</sup> or precious metal as template<sup>28, 29</sup>. We present here a facile and scalable fabrication scheme for a 3D hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT) network by employing polypyrrole (PPy) nanofiber as a sacrificial template. The PPy nanofiber template with its unique 3D nanoweb morphology is cheaper, easier to be synthesized by a facile chemical polymerization method in a large scale compared with other templates e.g. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> array that needs complicated electrochemical deposition<sup>30, 31</sup>. Its easy and thorough removal by a direct heat treatment in air atmosphere makes it undoubtedly a much superior approach to the fabrication of tubular structures compared with other inorganic templates to produce porosity<sup>32, 33</sup>. Take SiO<sub>2</sub> template as an example, removal of it not only needs etching with a special solution of hydrogen fluoride (HF) and multiple repurifying, but also may introduces ionic impurity if rinse incompletely. Therefore, it is expected that the present technique will open up a promising strategy to develop 3D structured nanotubular metal oxides, as well as perovskite oxides, with different sizes, based on adjusting the size of the PPy template.

When employed as cathode in the Li-O<sub>2</sub> battery, the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT exhibited relatively low charge overpotential of 99 mV and high discharge/charge capacity of

4164/4299 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>. This promising performance is ascribed to the 3D web-like nanoporous tubular structure, which provides rapid oxygen flow, increases the catalytic utilization of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, offers sufficient volume for insoluble Li<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> deposition. Meanwhile, the hierarchical porous structure, including meso/nanopores on the walls of the nanotubes, facilitates O<sub>2</sub> diffusion, wetting by the electrolyte, and mass transport of all the reactants.

## **2. Results and Discussion**

### **2.1 Structure and Morphology Analysis**

Figure 1 illustrates the preparation process for the 3D Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT. Firstly, PPy nanofibers were prepared via a polymerization method. Next, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/PPy precursor was achieved by a hydrothermal reaction at 150 °C for 3 h<sup>34, 35</sup>, in which PPy nanofibers were uniformly dispersed in a mixed solvent of distilled water and ethanol with cobalt (II) acetate dissolved. Finally, the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/PPy precursor was heated at 450 °C for 6 h in air atmosphere to obtain the 3D hierarchical porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanotube (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT) network.

A scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of PPy is shown in Figure 2a. It can be clearly observed that PPy features a homogeneous cross-linked nanofibrous web structure, with the diameters of the nanofibers in the range of 80-90 nm. After the hydrothermal reaction, the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> coating on the PPy nanofibers in Figure 2b keeps the nanofibrous web structure, except that the surfaces of the fibers become rough and are decorated with a uniform layer of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Such small Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles are attributed to NH<sub>3</sub> coordination with cobalt cations, which tends to reduce particle size<sup>23, 36</sup>. The low magnification SEM image of the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT in Figure 2c shows a 3D cross-linked net structure consisting of homogeneous nanotubes approximately 100 nm in diameter. The high magnification SEM image in Figure 2d shows numerous pores between the small Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals on the walls of the tubes. The transmission electron microscope (TEM) image in Figure 2e shows the apparent tubular structure of the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, in which the wall thickness of the tube is

about 10 nm. Furthermore, obvious mesopores about 4 nm in size on the nanowalls can be clearly observed from Figure 2f, which might be due to outward diffusion of decomposed gases from the PPy during the heating process<sup>37</sup>. Note that the particle size (~10 nm) of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT is slightly larger than that in the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/PPy composite, which may be due to the crystal growth during the heat treatment<sup>38</sup>. An SEM image of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP) prepared without the PPy nanofiber template as a control sample is shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information, in which serious agglomeration of nanoparticles can be clearly seen. From the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image in Figure 2g, it can be observed that the nanotube consists of several Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles connected tightly to each other with the typical interplanar spacings of 0.28, 0.24, and 0.2 nm, consistent with the *d*-spacing of the (220), (311), and (400) crystal planes of spinel phase Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, respectively<sup>39</sup>. The indexed diffraction rings in the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern in Figure 2h also confirmed the spinel phase of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>40</sup>. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT and the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/PPy precursor are shown in Figure 2i, from which several typical diffraction peaks of the (220), (311), (400), (511), and (440) planes, assigned to spinel Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (JCPDS 43-1003), can be observed for both the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT and the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/PPy precursor<sup>35, 41</sup>.

The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms and the pore-size distribution of the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT are shown in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information. The nitrogen sorption curves exhibit the combined characteristics of type II/IV, according to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) classification<sup>42</sup>, with a specific surface area of 38.1 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and a total pore volume of 0.43 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. The H1 hysteresis loop in the relative pressure (*P/P*<sup>0</sup>) range of 0.6-1.0 is indicative of mesoporosity<sup>43</sup>. The pores in size of 3.8 nm attribute to the interspace voids between the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles on the tube, which is well consistent with the TEM results in Figure 2f. The pores 96 nm in size correspond to the

internal diameter of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanotubes due to the pyrolysis of the PPy nanofibers. The specific surface area of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NPs was measured to be  $29.8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , much lower than that of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network. To determine the calcination temperature for obtaining the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT from the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PPy}$  precursor, thermogravimetric (TGA) measurements in air atmosphere of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PPy}$  precursor were performed, as shown in Figure S3. Since all the mass loss attributed to PPy burning took place below  $450 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $450 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  was then chosen for heating the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PPy}$  precursor, and the thus-obtained  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT shows no mass loss in the TGA plot, indicating that no PPy remains in the target  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network product.

## 2.2 Electrochemical performances on RDE

To investigate the electrocatalytic activities of the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT and  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP, electrochemical measurements were carried out on a rotating disk electrode (RDE) in  $0.1 \text{ M}$  KOH solution at a scan rate of  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . Standard commercial Pt/C (20 wt% Pt on Vulcan XC-72 carbon) was also tested for comparison. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves at various rotation speeds in the potential range of  $-0.9 - 0.1 \text{ V}$  (*vs.* AgCl/Ag) in  $\text{O}_2$ -saturated atmosphere were collected to determine the samples' ORR kinetic performance, which is shown in Figure S4a-4c (Supporting Information). As shown in the LSV curves in **Figure 3a**, compared with the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP, the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network shows a slightly more positive onset potential and a higher reduction current density, indicating its higher ORR activity<sup>26</sup>. Figure S4d shows that the electron transfer number of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT reached 3.5, it's much higher than that of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP with 2.6, but a bit lower than commercial 20% Pt/C, which offers a  $4e^-$  oxygen reduction reaction, suggesting that the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT delivers a more efficient electron transfer process<sup>44</sup>. The Tafel plots of the measured potential *vs.* specific ORR activity in Figure 3b, obtained from Figure 3a, indicate that the ORR activity of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network is definitely superior in terms of a smaller Tafel slope ( $\sim 175 \text{ mV per decade}$ ) than that of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP ( $\sim 230 \text{ mV per decade}$ ).<sup>23, 24</sup> We also extended the



potential to 0.9 V (*vs.* AgCl/Ag) to the water oxidation regime and evaluated the electrocatalytic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) activities of all the above samples. The electrocatalytic OER plots in Figure 3c demonstrates that the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network offers a higher current density than commercial Pt/C, with a value of more than 12 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and an onset potential of 0.7 V *vs.* AgCl/Ag, suggesting strong OER activity of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network in the aqueous system<sup>24, 26, 34</sup>. The above electrochemical evaluations prove that the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network possesses powerful bifunctional electrocatalytic activities towards both the oxygen reduction reaction and the oxygen evolution reaction. This is because the fundamental features of the ORR and OER processes in aqueous and non-aqueous electrolytes share similarities<sup>45-47</sup>, which will provide some enlightenment for developing efficient catalysts when shifting Li-O<sub>2</sub> batteries from aqueous to non-aqueous systems<sup>24, 26</sup>. To certify this, the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, and Ketjen Black (KB) catalyst were then subjected to steady-state cyclic voltammetry (CV) within a potential window of 2.35 - 4.35 V (*vs.* Li<sup>+</sup>/Li) in O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.2 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> in tetrathylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) with a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 3d). Compared with the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP and KB, the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network exhibits obviously more apparent ORR and OER peaks in the O<sub>2</sub>-saturated non-aqueous electrolyte, which indicates that the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network features bifunctional catalyst performance in the anodic and cathodic scan processes.

### **2.3 Electrochemical performance in Li-O<sub>2</sub> batteries**

The electrochemical properties of the as prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network were studied in a coin-type lithium-oxygen cell using O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1.0 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> in TEGDME. Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP and Ketjen Black (KB) were also investigated as controls. All the capacities reported in this work are normalized by the mass of active material and the carbon used in the cathodes.

Figure 4a presents the initial galvanostatic discharge/charge curves of a lithium oxygen battery with a  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode and those of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP and KB cathodes at a current density of  $25 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  from 2.35 to 4.35 V (vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$ ). Clearly, the lithium oxygen battery with the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode yields the largest discharge/charge capacity of 4299/4164 mAh  $\text{g}^{-1}$  compared with the other two cathodes, and in particular, there is a much reduced charge overpotential of 99 mV from 125 mV for the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP and 111 mV for the KB cathode, showing that the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode has the highest reversible discharge/charge characteristics compared to the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP and KB cathodes. Figure 4b presents the initial discharge/charge curves of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network,  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP, and KB cathodes with a fixed capacity of 1000 mAh  $\text{g}^{-1}$  at a current density of  $25 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ , from which the lowest discharge/charge overpotential can also be observed for the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode. The typical selected discharge/charge profiles of the as prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT,  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP, and KB cathodes with a fixed capacity of 1000 mAh  $\text{g}^{-1}$  at a current density of  $25 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  are presented in Figure 4c, 4d, and 4e, respectively, from which a lower discharge/charge overpotential at each cycle and rather stable discharge/charge profiles were achieved for the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode. Figure 4f exhibits the terminal discharge voltages for each cycle of the above three cathodes at a fixed discharge/recharge capacity of 1000 mAh  $\text{g}^{-1}$ . The discharge/charge profiles from the 1<sup>st</sup> to the 20<sup>th</sup> cycle for the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode almost overlap (Figure 4c), and the specific capacity suffers no loss, with terminal discharge/charge voltages of 2.71/4.11 V after 20 cycles and 2.22/4.56 V after 40 cycles, respectively. The terminal discharge voltage becomes lower than 2 V after 28 cycles, however, for the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP cathode and 15 cycles for the KB cathode with such a capacity limitation. From the comparison of the above results for the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network,  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP, and KB cathodes, it is believed that the as-prepared 3D hierarchical porous nanotube network with  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanocrystals connected to

each other on the tube surface can create a substantial amount of tri-phase and reaction sites for the  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  formation and meanwhile provide sufficient deposition space for  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$ . In addition,  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  can take full advantage of its intrinsic catalytic activity, bringing improved ORR and OER performance<sup>39</sup>.

#### **2.4 Analysis of the discharge/recharge products**

The XRD patterns of the as prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode in different states for the first cycle between 2.35-4.35 V (*vs.*  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$ ) and the recharge state after 40 cycles at a fixed capacity of 1000 mAh  $\text{g}^{-1}$  and a current density of 25  $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  are shown in Figure 5a. As compared with the XRD pattern of the fresh electrode, two new diffraction peaks appear in the discharged cathode, which could be reasonably assigned to the (100) and (101) peaks of crystalline  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  (as highlighted in Figure 5a)<sup>24, 26</sup>. These two peaks disappear when the battery is recharged to 4.35 V, suggesting almost complete decomposition of the  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  during the recharge process. Only peaks attributable to  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  can be found in the XRD pattern of the as prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode after 40 fixed capacity cycles, further evidencing the highly stable catalytic activity of the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network catalyst.

The Li 1s spectra of the 1<sup>st</sup> cycle discharged, 1<sup>st</sup> cycle recharged, and 40<sup>th</sup> cycle recharged states with a fixed capacity of 1000 mAh  $\text{g}^{-1}$  for the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode presented in Figure 5b are well consistent with the XRD results discussed above, where the peak at 54.6 eV can be assigned to the Li–O bond of  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  after the 1<sup>st</sup> discharge<sup>48-51</sup>. Upon charging, the Li 1s peak at 54.6 eV corresponding to  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  disappears, and no other peaks are left, showing almost complete decomposition of  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$ . After the 40<sup>th</sup> cycle recharge, an obvious Li–O bond of the  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$  signal appears in the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectrum<sup>51</sup>. Although TEGDME is reported to be more stable compared with other organic solvents, ether-based electrolyte decomposition still occurs<sup>52</sup>. According

to published reports, ether-based electrolyte is prone to auto-oxidation under oxygenated radicals, and decomposition occurs at voltages higher than 4 V, leading to the formation and accumulation of non-reversible reaction products<sup>52,53</sup>.

The  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode was monitored during the discharging and charging processes at a current density of  $25 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ , using ex-situ scanning electron microscopy (SEM), as presented in Figure 6b-f, corresponding to the states (b-f) in Figure 6a. The fresh  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode in Figure 6b reveals a porous morphology because of the web-like  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanotubes with KB particles aggregated on the surfaces of the nanotubes. When the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode is discharged to  $1500 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ , a small amount of film consisting of the discharge product  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  grown on the cathode surface can be observed in Figure 6c. When the discharge voltage goes down to 2.35 V, there is a large amount of porous  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  discharge product, consisting of many nanofilms that completely cover the cathode. It is noteworthy that the  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  discharge product formed on the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network catalytic cathode is in sharp contrast to the conventional toroidal<sup>49,54-56</sup> or plate<sup>57</sup> morphology of the  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  discharge product. Nazar *et al.*<sup>56</sup> found that large toroidal-shaped crystalline  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  tends to form at low current densities, while higher current densities favor film formation of  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$ . Zhang *et al.*<sup>48</sup>, however, reported that the film-like  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  with low crystallinity may contain many defects (for example, lithium vacancies) that facilitate electron transportation and especially ion conduction, thus reducing the charge overpotential<sup>58,59</sup>, which further explains the much reduced charge overpotential of the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode. When the Li-O<sub>2</sub> battery with the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode was recharged to the capacity of  $3000 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ , only a little film-like  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  was left, as shown in Figure 6e. All the discharge products disappear when the Li-O<sub>2</sub> battery is fully charged to 4.35 V. Also, the porous and 3D web-like nature of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode is regained (Figure 6f), similar to the fresh one in Figure 6b, indicating the reversible reaction

of  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$ . Figure 6g provides the schematic illustration of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  electrode, in which carbon particles (Ketjen Black) dispersed in  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoweb ensuring rapid charge transfer. Macropores between  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanotubes facilitate high  $\text{O}_2$  transportation whereas nano- and meso-pores in the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT provide quantities of catalytic active sites and benefit electrolyte wetting. Combined with sufficient triple-phase sites, porous like  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  consisting nano films grow uniformly on the surface of the cathode after discharge and disappear after charge. Since Li- $\text{O}_2$  batteries are still in their infancy stage, further research efforts, including in-situ TEM observations, should be devoted to clarifying the effects of the morphology of  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$  on the discharge/charge process of Li- $\text{O}_2$  batteries.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests of the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode at different discharge/recharge stages were performed to further identify the discharge and recharge characteristics, as is shown in Figure S5. A larger charge-transfer resistance is observed after the first discharge compared with the fresh electrode due to the formation of  $\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2$ , which has high electrical resistivity and is hypothesized to prevent the transfer of electrons<sup>60</sup>. After the battery was recharged, however, the charge-transfer resistance of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network electrode was little changed compared with the fresh state, suggesting reversible reaction product formation and decomposition. The charge-transfer resistance increases again after the 40<sup>th</sup> recharge due to the formation and accumulation of non-reversible reaction products<sup>52, 53</sup>, which is well consistent with the results of XRD and the field emission SEM image in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively. This provides electrochemical evidence that the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network can effectively catalyze both the ORR and OER reactions in rechargeable lithium-oxygen batteries.

### **3. Conclusion**

In summary, this work describes a facile and large-scale approach to the fabrication of a 3D hierarchical porous  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanotube (HPNT) network by using polypyrrole nanofiber as the sacrificial template. The as-prepared 3D  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network demonstrated superior bifunctional electrocatalytic activity towards both the ORR and the OER when employed as the catalyst in non-aqueous lithium oxygen batteries, as compared to  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles ( $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NP) and Ketjen Black (KB) catalysts. The Li- $\text{O}_2$  battery based on  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode shows a relative low charge overpotential of 99 mV and high discharge/charge capacity of 4164/4299 mAh  $\text{g}^{-1}$ , as well as a long lifespan of 40 cycles at a fixed capacity of 1000 mA  $\text{g}^{-1}$ . These encouraging results may provide insights into the use of polymer nanofiber as new template to develop 3D porous tubular structured catalyst for Li- $\text{O}_2$  batteries on a large scale and in a rapid way.

## **4. Experimental section**

### **4.1 Material preparation**

#### **4.1.1 Preparation of PPy nanofibers**

PPy nanofibers were synthesized via an oxidative template assembly route. Pyrrole (Py) was distilled before use. In a typical process, 0.72 g cetrimonium bromide (CTAB) was dissolved in 200 mL of 1 M HCl solution by constant stirring in an ice bath (0-5 °C). Subsequently, 0.33 g distilled Py monomer was added into the above solution, and another 0.5 h stirring was carried out. Meanwhile, 1.13 g ammonium persulfate (APS) was dissolved in 20 mL distilled water which was then dropped into the Py monomer-containing solution and allowed to react for 24 h in an ice bath (0-5 °C). After that, the black product was suction filtered and washed several times with 1 M HCl solution and distilled water, followed by drying in a vacuum oven at 80 °C overnight. Finally, a black powder was yielded and denoted as PPy nanofiber.

#### **4.1.2 Preparation of $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ nanotube (HPNT) network**

In a typical synthesis<sup>34,35</sup>, 0.4125 g cobalt (II) acetate was dissolved in 15 mL distilled water and 26 mL ethanol mixed solvent. Then, 50 mg of PPy nanofibers was added into the mixed solution, which was ultrasonically treated for 1 h. Secondly, 1.5 ml 25% ammonium was added under vigorous stirring. The mixture was stirred in air for about 10 min to form a homogeneous dark slurry. Then, the suspension was transferred into a 120 mL autoclave, sealed, and maintained at 150 °C for 3 h. Afterwards, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature naturally. The resulting black solid products were washed with water via centrifugation and re-dispersion and dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C overnight, which was followed by a heat-treatment at 450 °C for 6 h in air atmosphere. Meanwhile, pristine Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> under the same hydrothermal conditions without PPy nanofiber as template was also prepared as a control sample, with the sample denoted as Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP.

#### **4.2 Physical characterizations**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) (GBC MMA) patterns were collected over a  $2\theta$  range of 20°- 70° with a scan rate of 4 ° min<sup>-1</sup> and analysed with Traces™ software in combination with the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) powder diffraction files. The morphologies of the samples were examined by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JEOL 7500) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL ARM-200F). The XPS data were analysed using CasaXPS software, and all the results were calibrated by C 1s at 284.6 eV for graphite. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a SETARAM Thermogravimetric Analyzer (France). Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore size distribution (PSD) measurements were conducted by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption at 77 K on a Quantachrome Autosorb-IQ MP instrument.

#### **4.3 Li-O<sub>2</sub> battery measurements**

The electrochemical performance of lithium-oxygen batteries containing the samples as active materials was investigated using 2032 coin-type cells with air holes on the cathode side.

For the preparation of the porous cathode electrode, 60 wt.% catalyst, 30 wt.% Ketjen Black (KB), and 10 wt.% poly(1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE) (60% dispersion) were mixed in an isopropanol solution. The resulting homogeneous slurry was coated on carbon paper. The same procedure was applied to prepare pristine KB electrodes. After that, the electrodes were dried at 120 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h. All the lithium-oxygen batteries were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box (Mbraun, Unilab, Germany) with both water and oxygen contents below 0.1 ppm. They consisted of lithium metal foil as the counter electrode, a glass fiber separator (Whatman GF/D), non-carbonate electrolyte containing 1 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> dissolved in tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME), and the air cathode electrode. All the assembled coin cells were stored in an O<sub>2</sub>-purged chamber which was connected to a LAND CT 2001 instrument, a multi-channel battery tester, for 2 h before each test. The galvanostatic discharge-charge tests were then conducted on the battery testing system with the voltage between 2.35-4.35 V (*vs.* Li<sup>+</sup>/Li), and the capacities reported in this work were normalized by the mass of active material and carbon used in the cathodes. The loading amount in each cathode was approximately 1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was conducted in O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.2 M lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate (LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) in tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) with a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

Examination of the discharged and recharged electrodes involved disassembling the cell in the glove box, rinsing the cathode with tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether, and removing the solvent under vacuum. For ex-situ XRD, SEM, and XPS tests, the electrodes were covered by a layer of Kapton film before moving them from the glove box to the outside instruments.

#### **4.4 Rotating disk electrode tests**

Rotating disk electrode (RDE) tests were performed using a computer-controlled potentiostat (Princeton 2273 and 616, Princeton Applied Research) in a conventional three-electrode cell at room temperature. The glassy carbon (GC) working electrode (0.196 cm<sup>2</sup>) was first



polished with alumina powder, rinsed with deionized water, and sonicated, first in ethanol and then in double-distilled water. A platinum wire and Ag/AgCl (filled with saturated KCl aqueous solution) were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Typically, the catalyst was redispersed in deionized water + isopropanol + 5% Nafion<sup>®</sup> (v/v/v = 4/1/0.05) to form a homogeneous catalyst ink with a concentration of 2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Then, 30  $\mu$ L of this dispersion was pipetted onto the surface of the GC working electrode and dried under ambient conditions. For comparison, commercial Pt/C (20 wt. % Pt on Vulcan XC-72) catalyst ink was also obtained by the same method described above. Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) to measure the ORR performance were collected in O<sub>2</sub> saturated 0.1 M KOH solution with different rotation speeds from 400 to 1600 rpm from -0.9 – 0.1 V with a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, while OER plots were obtained in Ar atmosphere from 0.1 – 0.9 V with a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and a rotation speed of 1600 rpm.

Koutecky-Levich (K-L) plots show the inverse current density ( $j^{-1}$ ) as a function of the inverse of the square root of the rotation speed ( $\omega^{-1/2}$ ) at different potentials. The number of electrons involved per O<sub>2</sub> in the ORR was determined by the Koutecky-Levich equation:<sup>[8a, 28]</sup>

$$\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_k} + \frac{1}{j_d} = \frac{1}{B\omega^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{j_k} \quad (1)$$

where  $j$ ,  $j_k$ , and  $j_d$  are the measured, the kinetically controlled, and the diffusion controlled current densities, respectively, and  $\omega$  is the electrode rotation rate.  $B$  is determined from the slope of the K-L plot based on the Levich equation:

$$B = 0.2nF(D_{O_2})^{2/3} \nu^{-1/6} C_{O_2} \quad (2)$$

where  $n$  represents the number of electrons gained per O<sub>2</sub>,  $F$  is the Faraday constant ( $F = 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$ ),  $D_{O_2}$  is the diffusion coefficient of O<sub>2</sub> in 0.1 M KOH ( $1.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ),  $\nu$  is the kinetic viscosity ( $0.01 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), and  $C_{O_2}$  is the bulk concentration of O<sub>2</sub> ( $1.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ ).

## Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

### Acknowledgements

Financial support from an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Project (DP140100401) and the Distinguished Young Scientists Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51425301) is greatly appreciated. The authors also are grateful for the use of the facilities in the UOW Electron Microscopy Center. Many thanks also go to Dr. Tania Silver for critical reading of the manuscript. The authors would like to thank the Australian National Fabrication Facility (ANFF) for equipment access.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Revised: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Published online: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

1. C. Liu, E. I. Gillette, X. Chen, A. J. Pearse, A. C. Kozen, M. A. Schroeder, K. E. Gregorczyk, S. B. Lee and G. W. Rubloff, *Nat Nanotechnol*, 2014, **9**, 1031-1039.
2. F. Wang, X. Wu, C. Li, Y. Zhu, L. Fu, Y. Wu and X. Liu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/c6ee02070d.
3. S. J. C. Sang Hoon Joo, Ilwhan Oh, Juhyoun Kwak, Zheng Liu, Osamu Terasaki, Ryong Ryoo, *Nature*, 2001, **412**, 169-173.
4. P. B. Antonino Salvatore Arico, Bruno Scrosati, Jeanmarie Tarascon, Walter Van Schalkwijk, *Nature*, 2005, **4**, 366-377.
5. L. S. Zhong, J. S. Hu, H. P. Liang, A. M. Cao, W. G. Song and L. J. Wan, *Advanced materials*, 2006, **18**, 2426-2431.
6. Z. Guo, D. Zhou, X. Dong, Z. Qiu, Y. Wang and Y. Xia, *Advanced materials*, 2013, **25**, 5668-5672.
7. Q. Zhang, E. Uchaker, S. L. Candelaria and G. Cao, *Chemical Society reviews*, 2013, **42**, 3127-3171.
8. B. C. Steele and A. Heinzl, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 345-352.
9. J. Wang, Y. Li and X. Sun, *Nano Energy*, 2013, **2**, 443-467.
10. K. T. Nam, D.-W. Kim, P. J. Yoo, C.-Y. Chiang, N. Meethong, P. T. Hammond, Y.-M. Chiang and A. M. Belcher, *Science*, 2006, **312**, 885-888.
11. C. K. Chan, H. Peng, G. Liu, K. McIlwrath, X. F. Zhang, R. A. Huggins and Y. Cui, *Nature nanotechnology*, 2008, **3**, 31-35.
12. Y. Shi, S.-L. Chou, J.-Z. Wang, D. Wexler, H.-J. Li, H.-K. Liu and Y. Wu, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2012, **22**, 16465-16470.
13. F. Wang, C. Wang, Y. Zhao, Z. Liu, Z. Chang, L. Fu, Y. Zhu, Y. Wu and D. Zhao, *Small*, 2016.

14. L. Liu, Y. Hou, X. Wu, S. Xiao, Z. Chang, Y. Yang and Y. Wu, *Chemical communications*, 2013, **49**, 11515-11517.
15. Q. Qu, L. Fu, X. Zhan, D. Samuelis, J. Maier, L. Li, S. Tian, Z. Li and Y. Wu, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2011, **4**, 3985.
16. W. Tang, Y. Hou, F. Wang, L. Liu, Y. Wu and K. Zhu, *Nano letters*, 2013, **13**, 2036-2040.
17. S. A. F. Zhangquan Peng, Yuhui Chen, and P. G. Bruce, *Science*, 2012, **337**, 563-566.
18. D. Aurbach, B. D. McCloskey, L. F. Nazar and P. G. Bruce, *Nat Energy*, 2016, **1**, 16128.
19. F. Li, T. Zhang and H. Zhou, *Energy Environ Sci*, 2013, **6**, 1125.
20. Z. Zhang, J. Bao, C. He, Y. Chen, J. Wei and Z. Zhou, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2014, **24**, 6826-6833.
21. Z. Ma, X. Yuan, L. Li, Z.-F. Ma, D. P. Wilkinson, L. Zhang and J. Zhang, *Energy Environ Sci*, 2015, **8**, 2144-2198.
22. M. Asadi, B. Kumar, C. Liu, P. Phillips, P. Yasaei, A. Behranginia, P. Zapol, R. F. Klie, L. A. Curtiss and A. Salehi-Khojin, *ACS nano*, 2016, **10**, 2167-2175.
23. Y. Shao, F. Ding, J. Xiao, J. Zhang, W. Xu, S. Park, J.-G. Zhang, Y. Wang and J. Liu, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2013, **23**, 987-1004.
24. L. L. Liu, Y. Y. Hou, J. Wang, J. Chen, Hua-Kun Liu, Y. P. Wu and J. Z. Wang, *Adv Mater Interfaces*, 2016, Accepted.
25. E. Tylianakis, G. K. Dimitrakakis, S. Melchor, J. A. Dobado and G. E. Froudakis, *Chemical communications*, 2011, **47**, 2303-2305.
26. L. Liu, J. Wang, Y. Hou, J. Chen, H. K. Liu, J. Wang and Y. Wu, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 602-611.
27. W. E. Teo, M. Kotaki, X. M. Mo and S. Ramakrishna, *Nanotechnology*, 2005, **16**, 918-924.
28. Y. Sun, Y. Ren, Y. Liu, J. Wen, J. S. Okasinski and D. J. Miller, *Nature Communications*, 2012, **3**, 971.
29. W. B. Luo, X. W. Gao, S. L. Chou, J. Z. Wang and H. K. Liu, *Advanced materials*, 2015, **27**, 6862-6869.
30. J. Heremans, C. Thrush, Z. Zhang, X. Sun, M. Dresselhaus, J. Ying and D. Morelli, *Physical Review B*, 1998, **58**, R10091.
31. N. Zhao, G. Wang, Y. Huang, B. Wang, B. Yao and Y. Wu, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2008, **20**, 2612-2614.
32. M. H. Park, K. Kim, J. Kim and J. Cho, *Advanced materials*, 2010, **22**, 415-418.
33. J. Chen, D. Wang, J. Qi, G. Li, F. Zheng, S. Li, H. Zhao and Z. Tang, *Small*, 2015, **11**, 420-425.
34. Y. Liang, H. Wang, P. Diao, W. Chang, G. Hong, Y. Li, M. Gong, L. Xie, J. Zhou and J. Wang, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2012, **134**, 15849-15857.
35. Y. J. Sa, K. Kwon, J. Y. Cheon, F. Kleitz and S. H. Joo, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2013, **1**, 9992.
36. Y. Liang, Y. Li, H. Wang, J. Zhou, J. Wang, T. Regier and H. Dai, *Nature materials*, 2011, **10**, 780-786.
37. W. Tang, Y. Hou, F. Wang, L. Liu, Y. Wu and K. Zhu, *Nano letters*, 2013, **13**, 2036-2040.
38. C. Herring, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 1950, **21**, 301-303.
39. L. j. Li, S. y. Liu and A. Manthiram, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **12**, 852-860.
40. L. Liu, Y. Hou, J. Wang, J. Chen, H.-K. Liu, Y. Wu and J. Wang, *Adv Mater Interfaces*, 2016, **3**, 1600030.


41. Y. Dong, K. He, L. Yin and A. Zhang, *Nanotechnology*, 2007, **18**, 435602.
42. J. Xiao, D. Mei, X. Li, W. Xu, D. Wang, G. L. Graff, W. D. Bennett, Z. Nie, L. V. Saraf and I. A. Aksay, *Nano letters*, 2011, **11**, 5071-5078.
43. S. Ma, L. Sun, L. Cong, X. Gao, C. Yao, X. Guo, L. Tai, P. Mei, Y. Zeng and H. Xie, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2013, **117**, 25890-25897.
44. J. Wang, L. Liu, S. Chou, H. Liu and J. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/c6ta07050g.
45. Z. L. Wang, D. Xu, J. J. Xu and X. B. Zhang, *Chemical Society reviews*, 2014, **43**, 7746-7786.
46. F. Cheng and J. Chen, *Chemical Society reviews*, 2012, **41**, 2172-2192.
47. H. W. Park, D. U. Lee, M. G. Park, R. Ahmed, M. H. Seo, L. F. Nazar and Z. Chen, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 1058-1065.
48. Q. C. Liu, J. J. Xu, D. Xu and X. B. Zhang, *Nat Commun*, 2015, **6**, 7892.
49. F. Li, D. M. Tang, Z. Jian, D. Liu, D. Golberg, A. Yamada and H. Zhou, *Advanced materials*, 2014, **26**, 4659-4664.
50. Y. Chen, Q. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Zhou, Y. Zhong, M. Yang, Z. Xie, J. Wei and Z. Zhou, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 17874-17879.
51. D. Kundu, R. Black, E. J. Berg and L. F. Nazar, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 1292-1298.
52. S. A. Freunberger, Y. Chen, N. E. Drewett, L. J. Hardwick, F. Barde and P. G. Bruce, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2011, **50**, 8609-8613.
53. B. D. McCloskey, R. Scheffler, A. Speidel, D. S. Bethune, R. M. Shelby and A. C. Luntz, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2011, **133**, 18038-18041.
54. J. Lu, L. Cheng, K. C. Lau, E. Tyo, X. Luo, J. Wen, D. Miller, R. S. Assary, H. H. Wang, P. Redfern, H. Wu, J. B. Park, Y. K. Sun, S. Vajda, K. Amine and L. A. Curtiss, *Nat Commun*, 2014, **5**, 4895.
55. Y.-C. Lu, B. M. Gallant, D. G. Kwabi, J. R. Harding, R. R. Mitchell, M. S. Whittingham and Y. Shao-Horn, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2013, **6**, 750.
56. B. D. Adams, C. Radtke, R. Black, M. L. Trudeau, K. Zaghbi and L. F. Nazar, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2013, **6**, 1772.
57. R. Choi, J. Jung, G. Kim, K. Song, Y.-I. Kim, S. C. Jung, Y.-K. Han, H. Song and Y.-M. Kang, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/c3ee43437k.
58. X. Huang, H. Yu, H. Tan, J. Zhu, W. Zhang, C. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Lv and Z. Zeng, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2014, **24**, 6516-6523.
59. F. Tian, M. D. Radin and D. J. Siegel, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2014, **26**, 2952-2959.
60. S. Lau and L. A. Archer, *Nano letters*, 2015, **15**, 5995-6002.

## Table of contents

An approach to synthesize three-dimensional (3D) hierarchical porous  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanotube (HPNT) network has been proposed. Polypyrrole nanofiber (PPy NF) is used as the sacrificial template. When employed as cathode for lithium-oxygen batteries, it demonstrates superior bifunctional electrocatalytic activities towards both the oxygen reduction reaction and the oxygen evolution reaction.



### 3D Hierarchical Porous $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ NanoTube Network as Efficient Cathode for Rechargeable Lithium-Oxygen Batteries

*Lili Liu, Haipeng Guo, Yuyang Hou, Jun Wang, Jun Chen, Hua-Kun Liu, Jiazhao Wang\* and Yuping Wu\**





## Figures and Captions

### A) PPy template polymerization




### B) Hydrothermal coating $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ on PPy




### C) Annealing to remove PPy template



**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of the fabrication of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network.




**Figure 2.** Physical characterization. (a) SEM image of the PPy nanofibers; (b) SEM image of the nanofibrous  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PPy}$  with inset TEM image, inset bar: 100 nm; (c-d) SEM and (e-f) TEM images of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network; (g) High magnification TEM image of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network, (h) SAED pattern of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network, and (i) XRD patterns of nanofibrous  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{PPy}$  and  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network.




**Figure 3.** a) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves of commercial 20 wt.% Pt/C, the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP in O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in the potential range of -0.9-0.1 V (vs. AgCl/Ag). b) Tafel plots showing the potential for commercial 20 wt.% Pt/C, the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP as a function of the log of the kinetic current density, based on the data from (a). c) Oxygen evolution curves for the commercial 20 wt.% Pt/C, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP in the potential range of 0.1-0.9 V (vs. AgCl/Ag). (d) Cyclic voltammograms of the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, and KB acquired at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 0.2 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/TEGDME electrolyte.






**Figure 4.** (a) Initial discharge-charge plots of the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, and KB cathodes in lithium-oxygen batteries at a current density of 25  $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  in 1 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/TEGDME, with a voltage window of 2.35-4.35 V (vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li). (b) Initial discharge/charge plots of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, and KB cathodes in lithium-oxygen batteries at a current density of 25  $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  in 1 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/TEGDME with discharge/charge capacities fixed at 1000 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>. Representative discharge/charge curves of (c) as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, (d) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, and (e) KB under a capacity limit of 1000 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> at a current density of 25  $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  in 1 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/TEGDME. (f) The corresponding terminal discharge voltage of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP, and KB under a capacity limit of 1000 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> at a current density of 25  $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  in 1 M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>/TEGDME.




**Figure 5.** (a) XRD patterns of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode at different discharge/charge stages, and (b) Li 1s XPS spectra of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode at different discharge/charge stages.




**Figure 6.** (a) Typical initial discharge/recharge curves of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode at a current density of  $25 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  in the voltage range of 2.35-4.35 V (vs.  $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$ ) with (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) stages. (b-f) SEM images of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network cathode corresponding to (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) stages in (a), respectively. (g) Schematic illustration of the  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT cathode in the  $\text{Li-O}_2$  battery system.

## Supporting Information


*Lili Liu, Yuyang Hou, Haipeng Guo, Weiwei Fang, Jun Wang, Jun Chen, Hua-Kun Liu, Jiazhao Wang\* and Yuping Wu\**




**Figure S1.** SEM image of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP).




**Figure S2.** a)  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms and b) pore size distribution of the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network, with the inset showing an enlargement of the indicated range.



**Figure S3.** TGA plots of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/PPy precursor and the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network.



**Figure S4.** Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves at various rotation speeds in O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in the potential range of -0.9-0.1 V (vs. AgCl/Ag) for (a) commercial 20 wt.% Pt/C, (b) the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT network, and (c) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP. (d) Calculated electron transfer numbers of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> HPNT, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NP and 20% Pt/C from the LSV curves.



**Figure S5.** Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) plots of the as-prepared  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  HPNT network in fresh, 1<sup>st</sup> cycle discharged, 1<sup>st</sup> cycle recharged, and 40<sup>th</sup> cycle recharged states.