

A Biologically Inspired Four Legged Walking Robot

**This thesis is presented for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Engineering,
Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia, Australia**

2006

By Shiqi Peng

B.E. (Honours)

Supervisors:

Assoc. Prof. Graeme R. Cole
School of Electrical, Energy and Process Engineering, Murdoch University,
Murdoch WA 6150, Australia

Assoc. Prof. Chiou Peng Lam
School of Computer and Information Science, Edith Cowan University, Mt. Lawley
WA 6050, Australia

Acknowledgment

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to thank my two supervisors: Assoc. Prof. Graeme R. Cole and Assoc. Prof. C. Peng Lam. It was with their enormous encouragement, guidance, support and care during my Ph.D. research that has enabled me to reach this point. Specifically, I would like to thank Graeme for his enormous help in assisting me to build the experimental robot, in helping me to understand the Subsumption Architecture and the Forth language. In addition, I would like to thank Graeme and Peng for helping me to improve my English. It is my pleasure and honour to say that I have been lucky to have two very good supervisors. Without their support, this thesis would not have eventuated.

I would also like to thank Murdoch University and the Australian Government for providing me with the opportunity to come to Australia to undertake my Ph.D. study and for providing me with the scholarship in the first three years of my research. In addition, I would like to thank the School of Engineering (now Electrical, Energy and Process Engineering) which provided me with all the necessary equipment and appropriate environment to carry out this research.

I would like to thank Kelly very much for her assistance in helping me to settle down and adjust to the new environment in Australia when I first arrived. Also, I would like to thank my friend Wei for all of his assistance in helping me to start my life in Australia. I would like to thank Jo who provided considerable help in improving my English. Thanks to Gary and John in helping me to build the robot. I would like to thank all other staff and friends in the School that helped me either in my daily life

or in my research.

I would like to take the opportunity to thank all my colleagues and managers of Woodside, for their supports and cares of me. It is a long list of names for me to write down here: Tu-uyen, David, Garvin, Susan, Tanya, Christine, John, Chris, Antonio, Robin, Barry, Simon, Gwen, Tom, Fiona, Liz, Mi, Swee, Helene, Ron, Bob For those I haven't been able to list here, my thanks to you are the same. In addition, I would also like to thank Woodside itself. The extraordinary working experiences in Woodside significantly expand my visions and skills for the Ph.D. research, particularly in processing the data of experimental results.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all my friends and relatives that have supported and helped me in the past and during the time of my Ph.D. research, too numerous to list here, thanks. Finally and most importantly, I would like to thank my parents and my wife, Meiqian, for their enormous love, support, encouragement and care to allow me to carry out this Ph.D. research, especially in those difficult times when I lost my way or was sidetracked. Were it not for them, I am sure that I could not have come this far in finishing this research. This thesis is especially for all of you.

Shiqi

Preface

Eight years ago far away in a small town in China, I had the idea of starting a Ph.D. in Australia. At the beginning of the research, I was a little lost, as I knew little about the field of robotics. It was with the help of my supervisors, Graeme and Peng, that I started on a journey of developing a greater understanding of robotics. After several months of reviewing and studying the literature (which I can not deny was a little boring), I found it necessary to build an experimental robot. This would provide a research platform to investigate issues associated with both the static and dynamic balance of a walking legged robot, with a strong emphasis on a biological perspective. The design and construction of the robot provided valuable experience and insight, even though at times, it was very frustrating. The robot “easily” fell down when walking due to a range of factors: narrow base, high centre of gravity, multiple degrees of freedom of limb movements, loose joints, small feet, etc. However this was a deliberate part of the design. In attempting to have a strong biological perspective the robot was modeled loosely on the structure of a dog and as such easily fell over. This was in order to facilitate and more thoroughly investigate the balance issue. In the first stage of the research, Reinforcement Learning alone was used by the robot to learn to keep its balance. The results were encouraging and led to a published paper "Using Reinforcement Learning to Achieve Balance for a Four Legged Walking Robot", presented at the ISTIA 2000 international conference held at Canberra, Australia (2000). However, this work only allowed the robot to keep its balance but was not successful in making the robot walk. I am now in a position to be able to explain why it was difficult to solely use Reinforcement

Learning for getting the robot to walk. The learning space was simply too big for the limited capability of the onboard microcontroller. Given this lack of success in achieving “walking”, a parallel Subsumption Architecture approach was developed. It was found that each major element of the robot's walking could be designed as a specific behaviour with the interaction of all the behaviours enabling the robot to walk - an “Emergent Walking Behaviour”. A four-phase walking strategy was developed. It was based upon other walking related research and observing videos of how horses and dogs walk. To implement the four-phase walking strategy, it was necessary to develop a central control unit to coordinate the movement of individual legs. This so-called Central Pattern Producer (CPP) coordinated the movements of all four legs of the robot by generating rhythmical walking phase signal sets. Many experiments were conducted to “tune” the entire system and obtain an operationally walking robot including the ability to balance. This work led to two published papers: "A Biologically Inspired Four Legged Robot that Exhibits some Natural Walking Behaviours", presented at the IAT 2001 International Conference (Maebashi City in Japan, 2001), and "A Biologically Inspired Four Legged Walking Robot", presented at the IEEE ICRA 2003 international conference (Taiwan, 2003).

In the process of implementing the proposed four-phase walking strategy, it was found that it was not simple to implement a Subsumption Architecture (SA) from scratch, especially given that there were several parallel SAs in the system. With regards to the robot, the control of each leg was implemented as an independent SA. There were four SAs running in parallel in the system. This precipitated the idea of providing a recipe or implementation framework for implementing such parallel SA

systems. This work led to two other published papers: "An implementation methodology of Subsumption Architecture for Robotics", presented at the ISA 2000 international conference (Wollongong of Australia, 2000), and "A generic framework for implementing Subsumption Architecture", presented at RA2000 international conference (Honolulu, 2000).

In the early stages of the experiments, an empirical value for the CPP was used to generate rhythmical walking phase signals. It would be better for the robot to learn this value through real-time interaction with the environment. This resulted in the idea of again using machine learning, specifically reinforcement learning. Experiments associated with the learning algorithm demonstrated that the robot can successfully learn an "optimal" value associated with a specific terrain.

Although I have reached an end point of my research, there are still many interesting experiments to be carried out to investigate further aspects of the robot's walking, e.g. trotting, pacing, and more complicated learning tasks. These future investigations are left to my successors to carry out. For them, I would like to say "good luck".

This thesis collects together all of the individual components of my research work contained in my published papers. However, it is presented here as a single piece of consolidated work and thus it is hoped that it reads in this way and is not simply an assembly of papers.

Table of Acronyms

AB	Assisting Behaviour
AC	Action Component
BSM	Behaviour Suppression Mask
CPG	Central Pattern Generator
CPP	Central Pattern Producer
DOF	Degrees of Freedom
DSM	Dynamic Stability Margin
EC	Executor Component
IW	Ideal Walking
IWB	Ideal Walking Behaviour
LB	Left Back
LF	Left Front
NIW	Non-ideal Walking
NIWB	Non-ideal Walking Behaviour
OO	Object Oriented
OWCI	Optimal Walking Cycle Interval
PSS	Phase Signal Set
PWM	Pulse Width Modulated
RB	Right Back
RF	Right Front
RL	Reinforcement Learning
SA	Subsumption Architecture
SM	Suppression Mask
SSM	Static Stability Margin
TC	Trigger Component
WCI	Walking Cycle Interval
ZMP	Zero Moment Point

Abstract

This Ph.D. thesis presents the design and implementation of a biologically inspired four-phase walking strategy using behaviours for a four legged walking robot. In particular, the walking strategy addresses the balance issue, including both static and dynamic balance that were triggered non-deterministically based on the robot's real-time interaction with the environment. Four parallel Subsumption Architectures (SA) and a simple Central Pattern Producer (CPP) are employed in the physical implementation of the walking strategy. An implementation framework for such a parallel Subsumption Architecture is also proposed to facilitate the reusability of the system. A Reinforcement Learning (RL) method was integrated into the CPP to allow the robot to learn the optimal walking cycle interval (OWCI), appropriate for the robot walking on various terrain conditions. Experimental results demonstrate that the robot employs the proposed walking strategy and can successfully carry out its walking behaviours under various experimental terrain conditions, such as flat ground, incline, decline and uneven ground. Interactions of all the behaviours of the robot enable it to exhibit a combination of both preset and emergent walking behaviours.

List of Published Papers

Below is a list of papers that have been published. These papers essentially represented the results of various stages of the research work carried out during the Ph.D. project and are described within this thesis as a consolidated piece of work.

- [1] S. Peng, C. P. Lam, and G. R. Cole, "Using Reinforcement Learning to Achieve Balance for a Four Legged Walking Robot", presented and published at the international conference ISTIA 2000, Canberra, Australia, 2000.
- [2] S. Peng, C. P. Lam, and G. R. Cole, "A generic framework for implementing Subsumption Architecture", presented and published at the IASTED International Conference on Robotics and Automation (RA2000), Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 2000
- [3] S. Peng, G. R. Cole, and C. P. Lam, "An implementation methodology of Subsumption Architecture for Robotics", presented and published at the international conference ISA2000, Wollongong, Australia, 2000. [32% acceptance rate]
- [4] S. Peng, G. R. Cole, and C. P. Lam, "A Biologically Inspired Four Legged Robot that Exhibits some Natural Walking Behaviours", presented and published at the international conference IAT 2001, Maebashi City, Japan, 2001. [19% acceptance rate]
- [5] S. Peng, C. P. Lam, and G. R. Cole, "A Biologically Inspired Four Legged Walking Robot", presented and published at the international conference IEEE ICRA 2003, Taiwan, 2003. [55% acceptance rate]

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1:INTRODUCTION	12
1.1. About Robotics and Its Architectures.....	12
1.2. The Problem in Context	13
1.3. The approach and contribution of this thesis	18
1.4. Structure of the thesis	21
1.5. Chapter Summary	23
CHAPTER 2:LITERATURE REVIEW AND EXISTING WORK	24
2.1. Review of existing work on legged robot locomotion	24
2.1.1. <i>The Issue of Balance</i>	24
2.1.2. <i>Brooks and his six legged walking robots</i>	27
2.1.3. <i>Raibert and his running robot</i>	31
2.1.4. <i>Hirose and his walking robots – the TITAN series</i>	34
2.1.5. <i>Kimura and his walking robots</i>	39
2.1.6. <i>Rodney, the walking robot and the evolutionary approach (Genetic Programming)</i>	43
2.1.7. <i>SCAMPER, the running robot from the Furusho Laboratory</i>	45
2.1.8. <i>BISAM and the mammal-like locomotion robots</i>	47
2.1.9. <i>Bowling and Harmeyer’s legged robots for agile locomotion</i>	49
2.1.10. <i>The latest works on bipedal robots</i>	51
2.2. Review of techniques employed in this thesis.	54
2.2.1. <i>The biological inspired approach and the Subsumption Architecture</i>	55
2.2.2. <i>Objection Oriented Programming</i>	56
2.2.3. <i>Reinforcement Learning</i>	58
2.3. Chapter Summary	65
CHAPTER 3:THE EXPERIMENTAL ROBOT	66
3.1. Introduction	66
3.2. Mechanical Design	67
3.3. Sensors	71
3.4. Onboard Microcontroller.....	72
3.5. Descriptions of motions of the robot associated with its designed behaviours.....	73
3.5.1. <i>Introduction</i>	73
3.5.2. <i>Descriptions of behaviour motions designed for the proposed walking strategy</i>	76
3.6. Chapter Summary	80
CHAPTER 4:THE DESIGN OF THE FOUR-PHASE WALKING STRATEGY USING BEHAVIOURS	81
4.1. Introduction	81
4.2. The four phase walking strategy using behaviours	82
4.3. The Central Pattern Producer (CPP) and walking	88
4.4. Setting of walking cycle intervals and integration of reinforcement learning.....	91
4.4.1. <i>About the walking cycle interval</i>	91
4.4.2. <i>Design of the learning algorithm</i>	93
4.5. Chapter Summary	95
CHAPTER 5:IMPLEMENTATION	96
5.1. Introduction	96
5.2. Implementation of the four phase walking strategy	99
5.2.1. <i>Implementation framework</i>	99
5.2.3. <i>Applying the Implementation Framework to the Robot to Implement the Proposed Four-phase Walking Strategy</i>	107
5.3. Implementation of the CPP and learning	114

5.4. Chapter Summary	117
CHAPTER 6: EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	118
6.1. Introduction	118
6.2. Experimental results and discussion for the case of an empirical value for the OWCI	121
6.2.1. <i>Flat ground</i>	121
6.2.2. <i>Experiments on Other terrains: Incline, Decline and Uneven Ground</i>	128
6.3. Experimental results and discussion of the robot's learning.....	134
6.3.1. <i>Learning results for the robot walking on flat ground</i>	134
6.3.2. <i>Learning results for the robot walking on other terrains</i>	138
6.5. Chapter Summary	143
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK.....	144
REFERENCE:	147