

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1080/13573322.2012.666966

A Bourdieusian Analysis of Cultural Reproduction: Socialisation and the "Hidden Curriculum" in Professional Football. — Source link

Christopher J. Cushion, Robyn L. Jones

Institutions: Loughborough University, Cardiff Metropolitan University Published on: 11 Mar 2014 - Sport Education and Society (Routledge)

Topics: Cultural reproduction, Hidden curriculum, Football, Obedience and Work ethic

Related papers:

- · Power, Discourse, and Symbolic Violence in Professional Youth Soccer: The Case of Albion Football Club
- · Coach Education and Continuing Professional Development: Experience and Learning to Coach
- · 'It's All About Getting Respect': The Coaching Behaviors of an Expert English Soccer Coach
- Micropolitical Workings in Semi-Professional Football
- · Understanding Sports Coaching: The Social, Cultural and Pedagogical Foundations of Coaching Practice







1	A Bourdieusian analysis of cultural reproduction: Socialisation and the 'hidden
2	curriculum' in professional football.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Abstract This paper draws on the theoretical concepts of Pierre Bourdieu to provide an explanatory account of how socialisation and the hidden curriculum within coaching practice contribute toward the formation of social identities and powerful schemes of internalised dispositions. Drawing on a ten month ethnography within professional football, the research found that day-to-day practice was ideologically laden and served the production, reproduction and incorporation of socialised agents into the prevailing 'legitimate' culture. The legitimacies embodied included respect for authority, hierarchical awareness, control, obedience, collectivity, work ethic and winning. Keywords: Bourdieu, coaching, hidden curriculum, reproduction, socialisation.

Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Although coaching is now considered a social practice, its related broad discursive space remains largely silent and unexplored (Jones, Potrac, Cushion & Ronglan, 2010). Instead, coaching continues to be largely viewed through a functionalist lens as a benign and unproblematic activity, thus ignoring the contribution that it makes to the production and reproduction of social structures. Recently, however, scholars have increasingly argued that coaching is far from being narrow or instrumental, nor does it operate in a neutral social and political vaccum. Rather, coaching, as a de-limited field of practice, is a landscape that is imbued with dominant values and common beliefs that appear natural and thus, are taken-forgranted (e.g., Roderick, 2006; Cushion & Jones, 2006; Purdy, Jones, & Cassidy, 2009). In this respect, coaching can be defined as an 'ideology' (Fernandez-Balboa & Muros, 2006) seen as arising in, with and from the culturally structured world (Cushion, 2007). In turn, the precise culture and related disocurse which house coaching have been generally identified as autocratic, gendered and hierarchical (Cushion & Jones, 2006; Purdy et al., 2009). Central to the creation and maintenance of these current structures is the practice of socialisation; a "complex, interactive, process of development" (Coakley, 2001, p. 82) where individuals learn to "adapt to a given social system" (Eitzen & Sage, 1989, p. 77). Coaching and learning to coach have been described as socialisation processes akin to an 'apprenticeship' (Cushion, Jones & Armour, 2003). For practitioners, this usually involves a long and reflexive course of action from observing and receiving coaching as athletes, through being novice and assistant coaches (with individuals engaging in structured and structuring practices throughout), to positions of head coaches (Cushion et al., 2003). Generally, socialisation can take two forms, described by Margolis and Romero (1998) as a 'weak form' and a 'strong form'. The 'weak form' defines and validates the professional role, and includes coverage of such topics as methods, content, concerns and dispositions; "recognition of everything that constitutes the existence of the 'group', its identity, its truth, and which the group must reproduce in order to reproduce itself" (Bourdieu, 1988, p. 56). The 'strong form' also works to reproduce, but is more concerned with reinforcing stratified and unequal social relations and power structures, thus maintaining the status quo. Importantly, in practice, these two forms are seldom, if ever, explicitly stated or separated.

A major function of socialisation in coaching relates to the imparting of enduring values and an ideology that guides behaviour in accordance with given expectations. However, because much of the resultant learning is covert and embedded within daily routine and practice, it is mis-recognised and becomes part of a 'hidden curriculum' (Kirk, 1992; Margolis & Romero, 1998). Such a hidden curriculum involves a "set of implicit messages relating to knowledge, norms of behaviour and attitudes that learners experience in and through educational processes" (Skelton, 1997, p. 188). At the same time, learning within and through coaching is neither linear or unproblematic, making the social reality of coaching inherently unstable (Skelton, 1997; Cushion & Jones, 2006).

Consequently, to understand the impact of coaching practice, there is a need to recognise moments of learning, unlearning and re-learning ideas, norms and beliefs. Indeed, Evans and Davies (2002) argue that deconstructing conventions and problematising taken-for-granted assumptions helps us to understand how social reality is organised, constrained and reproduced. Within the current context, this means

closely examining specific elements of coaching's implicit and explicit curriculum and pedagogy.

Using an 'apprenticeship' model of coaching practice within a professional football club as a case study, this paper offers an exploration of how a social group can produce and reproduce a culture. It also examines the precise processes and outcomes which Nash (2003) argues disposes those subject to socialisation to develop the particular characteristics and actions of the dominant. In doing so, through use of a Bourdieusian framework, inclusive of such notions as field, capital, practice and habitus, the means by which coaching's hidden curriculum serves as a powerful medium through which beliefs and values are embedded and transmitted is interrogated.

The significance of such analysis lies in attempting an epistemological 'break' (Robbins, 1998) from the dominant way that coaching is conceptualised in everyday discourse; to develop a greater understanding of the activity's inherent complexity. The value of the work also lies in examining how coaching as a social practice is conceptualised in terms of structure and agency. In doing so, it attempts to shed some light on why some coaches coach as they do, thus providing a more nuanced understanding of contextual 'social geography' (Marsh, Keating, Eyre, Campbell & McKenzie, 1996). Finally, by linking practical actions to social issues, the purpose of the article extends to uncovering some of what Goffman (1974) describes as the unstated rules by which interactions are governed, hence allowing coaches greater opportunities to grasp, reflect upon, and improve agential practice (Jones, et al., 2010).

-

¹ This discourse has a tendency to be utilitarian, driven by scientific functionalism that views coaching as an unproblematic process and therefore lacks any micro-political consciousness or social criticality.

Theoretical framework: Bourdieu and coaching

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bourdieu (2000, p. 50) insisted that in order to "encounter" rather than reassemble the social, we should move close to the site of practice and production so that we may complete 'the sociological picture' (Bourdieu 2004). Similarly, King (2009) argues that while class issues and broad political and economic interests (i.e., the macro) undoubtedly influence social practice, they cannot be understood without an appreciation of practice itself (the micro) (King, 2009). It is a perspective which asserts that differentiation within social fields is not always solely concerned with macro issues and oppositions, and that an analysis of practice is flawed without an understanding of social context and the positioning of individuals within 'fields' (Grenfell & James, 1998). A field, according to Bourdieu, is a social arena in which individuals manoeuvre: it has its own logic and taken-for-granted structure of necessity and relevance. The coaching field can be seen as a structured system of social positions that define the situation for its occupants. In the context of a professional football Academy, this is made up of the club, the coaches, the players, the sport's governing body and the league, all of whom influence the curriculum and practices therein. The coaching field, however, "is also a field of struggles" (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1992, p. 101) where individuals (e.g., players and coaches) both personally and collectively seek to safeguard and improve their own respective positions. Interconnected to the concept of field is that of habitus, a "system of durable and transposable dispositions" (Bourdieu, 1980, p. 53) through which we perceive, judge and act in the world (Wacquant, 1998). Ritzer (1996) argues that people are seen as endowed with a habitus or what he perceives as a series of internalized schemes, through which they produce, perceive and evaluate their practices. Habitus is acquired through lasting exposure to particular social conditions and conditionings via the internalization of external constraints and possibilities (Wacquant, 1995; Bourdieu, 1989). Practice is believed to shape habitus, while habitus, in turn, serves to unify and generate practice. Brown (2005) argues that practice is a central dynamic of social production, while Hunter (2004) goes on to suggest that culture is embodied and reproduced in day-to-day activities by the interactions of field and habitus through social structures and agents. Therefore, day-to-day life (social interaction, social behaviour) is considered to be produced by the interaction of agent and structure, making practice neither objectively determined nor the product of free will (Ritzer, 1996). Bourdieu also considerd practice to involve a blend between the conscious and the unconscious, the intended and the unintended. Practice, therefore, becomes 'second nature'; a point illustrated through the sporting metaphor of developing 'a feel for the game'. Importantly, it is practice that mediates between habitus and the social world: "On the one hand it is through practice that the habitus is created; on the other, it is as a result of practice that the social world is created" (Bourdieu, cited in Wacquant, 1989, p. 42). This means that activities like coaching are likely to reproduce and legitimise certain orientations of oneself that gradually stabilise into schemes of disposition or habitus. Hence, the coaching process (and all it entails) can be viewed as a central generative site of a distinctive habitus that has the power to shape the consciousness (Bottero, 2009). Coaching thus, like education, is a productive locus of a particular habitus (Brown, 2005) that gives rise to "patterns of thought which makes what he (sic.) thinks thinkable for him in the particular form in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which it is thought" (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977, p.194).

According to Hunter (2004), the key to the functioning of any social space (e.g., a football club) is the concept of capital. Capital is, in effect, a form of power (Ritzer, 1996) and can occur in a number of forms: economic (that which can be directly converted to money), cultural (such as educational credentials), social (such as social position and connections), symbolic (honour and prestige) and physical (the development of bodies in ways recognised as having value) (Shilling, 1997). Individuals within the coaching field are considered to be continuously striving to maximise their particular capital, with their respective social positions being charted by the volume of capital afforded to them (Calhoun, 1995). This adds a temporal dimension, as the distribution of capital can change over time. Similar to other social locations, groups within coaching possess real and symbolic capital, and actively pursue strategies to improve and transmit their 'power'. For example, in professional football, as Cushion and Jones (2006) have illustrated, social, cultural, symbolic and physical capital all contribute to the formal and informal social hierarchy for coaches and players. Here, social capital can be accumulated from one's position on the coaching or playing staff, cultural capital from qualifications and experience, while symbolic capital may come from personal prestige or renown. Bourdieu and Passerson (1977) described symbolic capital as a cultural arbitrary system of meanings; that is, what is valued in the field is determined by the dominant power group. To compliment the theoretical framework already discussed, two additional Bourdieuan concepts are relevant in this case. Doxa is the formation and perpetuation of the 'taken-for-grantedness' of the 'objective' world (Throop & Murphy, 2002).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is, socially and culturally constitued ways of perceiving, evaluating and

behaving become accepted as unquestioned and self-evident; i.e., 'natural' (Bourdieu,

1 1977). Everett (2002) contends that where doxa or common-sense produces unequal

2 distributions of capital and a legitimation of the forms and production of capital,

symbolic violence will be found. Symbolic violence then, is an act of misrecognition

exerted on a complicit social agent through the order of things, the logic of practice

(Everett, 2002). Although the nature of coaching in professional sport may present

6 itself as 'self-evident and universal', the coaching process can actually be viewed as a

7 doxic structure and a site of symbolic violence (see Cushion & Jones, 2006).

Method and context

Twenty-four players and five coaches participated in a season long (August to May, 10 months) ethnography conducted at Albion Football Club (pseudonym). The players were from the two senior youth teams at the club (under 18s and under 16s), and were a combination of full-time (n=20) and contracted school-boy players (n=4) looking to secure full-time professional player status. The coaches included the Academy Manager (Andy), his three full-time assistants (Pete, Greg, Dean) and a part-time assistant (Bob) who worked evenings, weekends and during school holidays (all coaches have been given pseudonyms). Albion was a medium sized Premiership (highest professional football division in England and Wales) football club. The Club was ambitious and keen to develop its 'home-grown' talent and, as such, had invested considerably through facilities and staffing in the youth Academy2.

Data were collected within an ethnographic framework that included participant observation and interviewing (Patton, 1990). This approach enabled an

.

² Every professional football club in England and Wales has a centre for developing youth players. These are known as Academies or Centres of Excellence. School-boys are contracted to an Academy typically from the age of 9 and train part-time. At age 16, boys are offered full-time 'scholarships' that lead, for the successful players, to full-time professional contracts.

insight into the varying and evolving coaching process at the club. Observations were conducted over periods ranging from two to four days per week during the season in question, and varied in length between two hours to all day depending on the schedule of games and training. At the end of the season, a series of in-depth interviews were conducted with the five coaches, while two focus group interviews (4 players per group) were carried out with a random sample of the academy players. The design enabled a valuable linking of the focus groups to individual interviewing and participant observation (Morgan, 1988). In doing so, it provided differential layers of collaborative evidence or 'triangulation' (Miller & Glassner, 1997). Such triangulation was used to increase understanding, but was no guarantee of 'validity' (Silverman, 1993). Rather, it was used to assist in the building of evidence for key claims (and evidence denying key claims), and to deepen understanding of different aspects of an issue (Cain & Finch, 1981; Seale, 1999). Like the methods themselves, these processes were not considered tests of 'truth', but as further opportunities for reflexive elaboration on the unfolding findings (Bloor, 1997).

The subsequent data analysis was grounded both conceptually in the ideas and objectives informing the research, and empirically from the information collected.. Specifically, the analytic process involved three overlapping phases, each with increasing levels of abstraction. First, data from the field notes and interviews were inductively examined and organised. This built a system of themes representing the coaching process within an active, unfolding context. Second, the classification of themes was used to produce an ordered descriptive account of the experiences of the coaches and players. This was done to gain an insight into their structured and structuring practices, and to outline the characteristics of dispositions that were developed and developing. Although these descriptions highlighted the various

relationships and processes under study, they did not capture the complexity of the socialisation process. Consequently, a third level of analysis was employed to situate the data within a theoretical framework (as already discussed) (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1996) that enabled a move from concrete description to abstraction. Doing so, increased the understanding of the relationship between the social actors and structures under study, and how they interacted to produce and reproduce coaching discourse and culture. Importantly, the use of a theoretical framework was not a rigid prejudgment as to how to read the data (correctly), but a process of supporting analysis and interpretation.

Results and discussion

Recognising socialisation and the hidden curriculum

The relationships within the coaching field, between the club, the culture, the actors, practice, and the socialisation process was a complex one. The coaches were keen to develop 'competent workers' equipped with the skills to do the 'job', but they also wanted the players to acquire the values, ideology and cultural capital required of the wider field. Such an interpretation stretches far beyond a functionalist 'performance gains' view of coaching, demonstrating what Bourdieu (1986) insists is fundamental cultural reproduction. The Club, in turn, was clearly concerned with producing a steady stream of players from the Academy to support and supplement the needs of the first team and reserves; (e.g., Dean: "Our goal is producing players for the first team"). For the coaches meanwhile, to develop a professional footballer involved the construction of a particular identity, an appropriate habitus, and depended on a number of processes. These processes required more than simply the acquisition of knowledge and skills associated with the game, but also included learning the values

and perspectives of the Club and professional football in general. The coaches saw

2 their work then, as related to getting the players to 'fit' within professional football or,

3 put another way, to merge habitus and field. In the words of Greg (youth team coach):

"Yeah techniques, we do all that, but the main job is to try and get them (the players) to understand their role as footballers. To give them everything a player has got, you know, on and off the pitch to fit within a football environment".

Despite such clear statements, this purpose was never given direct attention or recognition in the planning, organization or delivery of sessions. Neither was it to be found in the Academy's published curriculum. Rather, the messages imparted in relation to this value development were both covert (planned but unstated) and hidden (unplanned and unrecognized) (Bain, 1990). Such messages then were communicated as a 'hidden curriculum', a socialisation of practice passed on unconsciously through the routine activities of the Club. Hence, while the coaching was overt and formal, possessing a well-developed and reasonably fixed daily timetable, the accompanying underlying socialisation process had no given time frame and no rational plan. Subsequently, the discursive space around the overall coaching programme was not experienced as a given set of functional relations. Instead, it was a vehicle for the transmission of a powerful doxic hidden curriculum defining acceptable practice within the context of the field, and consolidating the social differentiation constructed by some agents to impose dominance over others.

Routines, ritual and work ethic

Whilst the substance or specific focus of individual sessions changed during the season, the routine between the coaches, players and the Club remained remarkably

consistent. In this case, the coaching was highly structured and ordered, while the

1 players had to learn what Nutt and Clarke (2002) described as the rules and

2 regulations of particular activities, or those pertaining to specific behaviour.

3 Illustrating this, each day at the training ground followed a predictable format.

4 Training sessions for the Academy players commenced between 10.15am and

10.30am, and finished between 12.30pm and 1.30pm. Every session would start with

6 the same 'drill'. Greg (youth team coach) would often join in to 'formalise' the start of

the morning's 'work'. The following data not only illuminate the 'routine' of the

training activities, but also give an indication of the legitimacies of the field as

9 embedded within coaching practice; namely, professional and game related

expectations (work ethic), and the consequence of poor performance. They serve to

illustrate some of the pervasive doxa that the hidden curriculum conveyed, and with

12 it, the complexity of the coaching process. The data also give a flavour of the

conventions of coaching practice at Albion, and the seemingly common sense

approaches that were routinely employed; approaches that both organised and

15 constrained the participants' social reality:

The players organise themselves into a circle, two of them try to intercept the ball

being passed around the edge. As he walks towards the players Greg calls: "Last

person on someone's back". The players rush around looking for a partner. The

last pair to do so go into the middle of the circle. Greg sets the rules of the game.

"One touch, try for 20 passes". The players begin passing the ball around the

circle. The pair who allows the most number of passes gets the punishment, in

22 this case 10, 10, 10 (sit ups, press ups, and burpees).

23 "Threes!" Greg shouts and the players try to get into groups of three. The last

again begin in the middle of the circle. The first group win the ball quickly, as do

25 the second. Greg is unhappy: "Get down the fuckin' lot of you, 10 press ups,

fuckin' game tomorrow!"

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

17

18

20

21

24

Greg changes the rules to allow two touches of the ball. The game starts again,

and a player plays the ball with only one touch. Again Greg is unhappy; "Get

down J, concentrate, game on tomorrow".

30 "Have a stretch boys" signals the end of this round. The players stretch in silence.

Greg starts the next round, calls out the number of each pass as it is made; "Lets

get lively boys, game on tomorrow!"

The game ends The players snake off as instructed around the pitch, doing

various exercises as they go. The players stop and sit and stretch, Greg walks

- among them speaking, giving advice about tomorrow's game; "Back four, clear
- and push out".
- 3 "Get your success on the right hand side".
- 4 "Get your success on the left hand side".

5

- The players walk over. Greg divides them into two groups facing each other about ten yards apart.
- 8 "Pass the ball and follow, one touch pass",
- 9 "Nice little set up for your mate",
- The passing is mixed.
- "'A', two gone astray already,
- "Change of pace, 'R', that means quicker".
- 13 The passing still is mixed in quality;
- "Going sloppy, c'mon, it's only a ten yard ball".
- "Ah 'A', sloppy son",
- "Hold it there! 10 press ups, not fuckin' good enough".
- 17 The players do their 'punishment' and resume passing.
- The complexity of the practice is developed. The players are not responding well
- causing the practice to breakdown.
- Greg, frowning, remarks; "Not got going yet today".
- Two players mess up. Greg responds "organise you two, fucking shambles."
- The practice is developed once more. This time three players are involved.
- 23 'B' one of the first year Academy players keeps getting it wrong.
- Greg holds his head "we'll keep doing it until 'B' gets it right".
- 25 'B' completes a correct play, to ironic cheers. He gets another attempt correct and
- Greg shouts; "2 right, must be a fluke", the group are now concentrated on 'B''s
- attempts.
- Again, Greg shouts; "fuckin' look at 'B' that's three on the trot".
- Greg is now playing in the game. 'A' plays the ball out and gives it away, the
- opposition score as a result. Greg, again annoyed, shouts at 'A'; "We've been
- 31 playing two fuckin' hours, its 2-2 and it's the cup final...you might not think like
- that but someone might!"

- 34 Such patterns of practice and behaviour were routinely repeated throughout the
- season. As Skelton (1997) argues, implicit socialisation messages, although created
- 36 by the various actors within the context, take on the appearance of normality through
- 37 their daily production and reproduction. In this sense, the players experienced a
- 38 continuous process of socialisation that served to knit together social legitimacies.
- 39 These legitimacies included respect for authority, hierarchical awareness, control,
- 40 obedience, collectivity, work ethic and winning. The social context thus, ensured the
- 41 development of a set of practical competencies, giving both players and coaches a
- 42 "sense of the position one occupies in the social space" (Bourdieu, 1990, p. 235).

1 Jenkins (1992) argued that this renders individuals largely incapable of perceiving 2 their social reality in all of its arbitrariness, as anything other than the way things are; 3 a doxic experience (Bourdieu, 1990). For example, the staff, professional players, and 4 Academy players at Albion were physically positioned and defined through their own 5 clearly marked areas within the club (i.e., the dressing room, the dining area, and the 6 training and game pitches). That is, although the training pitches were allocated daily, 7 the Academy players were always given a pitch last depending on the needs and 8 desires of the professionals. The Academy players and teams never trained or played 9 on the 'stadium pitch'; a space that was used for reserve team fixtures and first team 10 training, and clearly symbolised the 'next level'. 11 In addition, Academy players were presented with individual squad numbers and 12 personalised items of kit. The kit clearly distinguished the Academy players from 13 both the professionals and 'trialists' who each wore a different colour; as did the 14 coaching staff whose kit carried their initials rather than a number. The coaches' and 15 professionals' kit, whilst distinguishable, adhered more closely to the general club 16 colours, whereas Academy players' and trialists' kit provided a stark contrast. Whilst 17 symbols have multiple meanings and are multi-vocal (Turner, 1967), the simple idea 18 of different colours and of 'squad numbers' and 'initials' offered a constant, highly 19 visible tangible symbol of achievement and status (see also Light 1999). This 20 differentiation of players was entirely taken for granted, and carried onto the pitch. 21 Simply waiting for training to begin each day would demonstrate what Bourdieu 22 (1990) describes as "the practical experience of the familiar universe" (p.20). Here, 23 player's demonstrated their 'understanding' of their position in the field, as these data 24 illustrate:

³ A trialist was a player at the Academy 'on trial' attempting to win a contract with the Club.

The players are out on the field without supervision. They are in groups of 4 and 5. Some stand around, some are passing a ball to one another. There is occasional laughter. There is one trialist with the group, who practises alone. Today, five professionals have joined the Academy group. They stand separately, and pass a ball amongst themselves. Andy and Greg walk over and watch an improvised 'circle keep-ball' take shape. The professionals do not join in. Andy interrupts the players and divides the group into two. The five 'pro's' and those who did not play on Saturday go off and warm up alone. Greg takes the balance for a warm-up. They represent the starting 11 from last Saturday's game.

The practice finishes and Greg sends the players to get a goal. The signed professionals sit on the floor as their contracted status means that they don't have to do 'jobs'. The trialist starts to walk after the group and then drops behind them and sits down but not with the pro's.

Respect for authority was reinforced through daily interaction, with the different social positions recognised and afforded status through how they were referred to. For example, the Academy players could refer to the Academy staff by their first name or nickname (usually an abbreviated surname or adding an 'ey' to the surname). Whereas, the senior Academy coach Greg, Andy (Head of the Academy) and the reserve team coach were referred to strictly by name alone. The first team manager was referred to by all as 'Gaffer', in appreciation of his position of authority and their deference to him. Furthermore, Academy players were never allowed to 'answer back' any staff; as these data illustrate:

Greg questions a player about a decision he has made during a practice and suddenly shouts: "So why do you fucking answer back?"

Before the player has a chance to answer.

"I couldn't give a fucking shit! Too many of you are fucking answering the staff back. Pack it in now or else you can fuck right off and I couldn't give a shit. We aren't that fucking good, and I have been saying it all along, to answer him back, me back, the physio back. Right!...

Andy: "It's unforgivable to speak back to any of the staff, it's just a fucking......I don't care who you are, if you do, you won't be at this football club".

Through day-to-day practice, the players developed a "hierarchical awareness" (Sabo & Panepinto, 1990, p.121) or what Chodorow (1978) referred to as a positional identity; a "submission to order" (Bourdieu, 1990, p. 54) that provided a practical and taken-for-granted acceptance of their conditions of existence. This was further reinforced by the Academy players being addressed in terms of their inferiority. They were known to the staff only as 'boys' or 'kids'; a reminder not only of their youth but also of their subordinate position. For example;

1 2

Andy: "That kid at the back, he's 19, what's that doing for his development? The Club have bought him, he's fuckin' useless, not his fault mind you. I've asked, as he's still a kid, if he can join in with us".

The ball goes out for a corner and Greg sets up to practise corners. During one of the practices Greg says that the players not selected should pay attention; "you boys in orange, this could be you tomorrow" (Greg).

Over time, the practices and dispositions conveyed about being a footballer and the football world, though originally learned as part of a conscious process, were remembered as a habitual response. This learning of the logic and culture of the field was incorporated bodily, a "habitus-inspired 'map' of embodied action" (Shilling, 2004, p.75), with the habitus operating as an internalisation of structures. Thus, the routinised work at Albion produced a ritualised and standardised culture, a doxa that became viewed as common sense by all involved. Indeed, the daily organisation of the Academy and the coaches' actions within it were viewed as legitimate, while the players carried an awareness and the marks of their social positions; what Bourdieu termed as "knowing one's place and staying there" (1977, p. 82). Engagement in this process ensured that the culture was confirmed and reinforced, with the players linked to the culture through the latter's editing or filtering of their everyday experience. In the following excerpts, the coaches describe the ritual nature of the training, through the repetition and reinforcement of coaching content:

Andy: "We've done our closing down, we've done our keep-ball. We know what we have to do to win the game. We have a game plan; I know and you know what our jobs are. I know if we stay on our feet, if the back four stay together we'll win the game. I know, if we stop crosses we'll win the game. We all know how we're gonna win the game. We know and you know".

Andy: "We use Monday to Friday to take on board information; that is all Monday to Friday is. Just get it learnt, learn to get the technique better. Get the pattern right. We don't do things just for the sake of doing them. You know what we will be doing next week. You know planning, I mean

Monday and Tuesday you know, Wednesday off...Thursday, Friday, you know, then Saturday is payday

2 know...then Saturday is payday.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

4 The constant messages, from how and where to dress, eat, train, play and behave,

- 5 given to the players at Albion reinforced conformity through constraining ritual.
- 6 Hence, the training sessions and games, and their continual reproduction, were an
- 7 affirmation of the existing regime and, therefore, a containment of choice.
- 8 Repetition, control and embodying culture
 - The interpretation of coaching practice as a process of socialization through the relationship between player, coach and club has strong parallels with the work of both Bourdieu and Foucault (Guilianotti, 1999). Using Foucault (1979), the hidden curriculum within coaching can refer to a disciplinary practice which reduced the players to docility. Certainly, the environment at Albion, as already described, moved the players from 'routine' relations to the confined social space of professional football. This restricted space was experienced on a day-to-day basis, and assumed within the coaching at the Club. For example, the players were given little autonomy and, despite their obvious heterogeneity, were treated by the staff as members of an undifferentiated group. The restriction of individuality was evident around the Club, with players commonly moving en masse from one activity to the next. Individual action was conducted only under specific instruction; for example, the daily 'jobs' (moving equipment, filling water bottles) or rehabilitation from injury. Alongside a curtailment of individuality came a lack of privacy exchanged for the communal experiences: changing, showering, and eating. Consequently, few opportunities existed for personal escape from the group or coach supervision. Furthermore, the players had no input into, or choice about, their given daily routine. The coaches decided what training the players did, and how long that training lasted. For example:

The coaches decide that today the players will undergo fitness testing. The players complete the bleep test and go for a jog around the pitch with Greg. They then do an individual sprint test. Andy and Greg observe. At the end, the players are sent for another jog; Andy and Greg check the sprint scores. The players ready themselves for another bout of tests, but Andy looks at his watch and announces that they should take lunch.

While the players engage in the circle keep-ball, Andy and Greg discuss the day's training, principally whether the players need to do 'patterns of play'. They decide that the session will end with patterns of play.

During the season, team-selection and game tactics were also entirely in the hands of the coaching staff. Any consultation with the players was limited to coach-led team 'talks', with perfunctory requests for player input juxtaposed against a discourse of 'no answering back'. The control exercised by the coaches resulted in the players being denied all decision-making about their professional experience and, whilst within the confines of the Club, their social experience. Similarly, whilst not under direct control of the Club, time-off was subject to staff guidance. This control was legitimated by the coaches through frequent reminders that players should, 'look after themselves' and 'look after the nuts and bolts' through correct diet and adequate rest. This was particularly emphasised prior to matches:

22 Andy: "Prepare properly, and it's good food, early night. You know, hard work tomorrow not play time."

Andy; "Don't go out on the piss. We did on Wednesday because we'd just won the game, but not on a Thursday, Friday or Saturday".

The degree of intervention and control experienced by players was manifest in intense and rigid discipline during training, which was itself subject to scrutiny and examination by 'experts'; i.e., the coaches (Foucault, 1977; Guilianotti, 1999). Skelton (1997) argues that the hidden curriculum can be seen as a disciplinary practice, objectifying all, who, in turn, accept an institutional definition of themselves as docile, dominated beings; a scenario clearly played out by the players though the coaching at Albion. As Fenandez-Balboa (1993) states, the 'hidden curriculum'

shapes and mediates not only values, but also experiences and practices. In this case, the social grammar that the players were subjected to at Albion was characterised by isolation, intervention and control. Hence, the socialization process acted to guard the players from differential association (Bottero, 2009). In doing so, it protected the habitus from "crisis and critical challenge by providing a milieu to which it is as preadapted as possible" (Bourdieu, 1990, p. 61). The enforced social similarity experienced by the players ensured that practices were shared in common, and unreflectively taken-for-granted (Bottero, 2009). This served an important purpose in the socialization process, as actors (in this instance the players) isolated in a social space are more likely to be seen as 'the same' which, allied to the proximity of conditions, translates into durable linkages between them (Bourdieu 1985; Bottero, 2009). Such experience has a particular weight for individuals in shaping dispositions because, it institutes a "relative irreversibility" in the orientation of the embodied subject to the social world, as new experiences or challenges are "at every moment perceived through the categories already constricted by prior experiences" (Bourdieu, 1992, p. 133). Therefore, actions remain "within the limits of the embodied sedimentation of the social structures which produced it" (Wacquant, 1992, p. 19). For Shilling (1997), the body is an unfinished article that develops in conjunction with various social forces. Because of its 'unfinishedness', acts of labour (for example, undertaking training sessions and games) are required to turn the body into a social entity, which inevitably influence how people develop. In the context under study, through day-to-day activity and routine, the hidden curriculum proved an important mechanism in enabling the attitudes and norms espoused by the coaches to become embodied by the players. As touched upon earlier, the body of a professional footballer is also a bearer of symbolic value. Indeed, Wacquant (1992) suggests that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

performers "appropriate through progressive impregnation a set of bodily and mental dispositions that are so intimately interwoven, they erase the distinction between the physical and the spiritual" (p.224). Similarly, football skills emerge from a "bio-psycho-sociological complex of body techniques" (Loy, Andrews, & Rinehart, 1993, p.72). At Albion, such a process was evident in the routines that transmitted themselves by way of direct embodiment to a mastery of the fundamental corporeal, visual and mental schemata required of a professional football player (Wacquant, 1992). The coaching process, similar to Brown's (2005) interpretation of physical education, would appear a good example of a de-limited field of production that exists

The coaching process, similar to Brown's (2005) interpretation of physical education, would appear a good example of a de-limited field of production that exists to perpetuate the supply and demand cycle of valued cultural goods that, in this case, are embodied. For the coaches at Albion, the sessions over which they exercised considerable control were the practical tools for maintaining this supply and demand (i.e., producing professional football players); an activity which, in turn, served to further reinforce and legitimate their practices. As Dean described it:

"Week in and week out we aim to improve them as players; their techniques and understanding, the physiological side, so they become better educated and better players. It's also about representing themselves as professional footballers. Ultimately the club is accountable for how those boys became professional footballers here or at other clubs".

Such language-in-use usefully demonstrates a transformation of the subject 'the player' to an object, the 'pro' (the professional), within the coaching discourse. Coaching thus, moves from an end in itself, developing the player, to a means towards other ends, supplying professional footballers to the field. It is, of course, important not to paint the players within the study as over-determined passive receivers of covert or cryptic messages (Skelton, 1997). Social life is never so clear-cut. Rather, as Giddens (1984) suggests, the individual actor is not completely helpless at the mercy

- 1 of social forces in this process: "Structural constraints do not operate independently of
- 2 the motives and reasons that agents have for what they do" (Giddens, 1984, p. 181).
- 3 No doubt at Albion, the players still possessed widely differing amounts of social
- 4 power and cultural capital, comprising differing degrees of choice. However, the
- 5 players' goals, predisposed through the habitus, aligned with subjective structures at
- 6 Albion and resulted in their complicity; they just wanted to become professionals, a
- 7 view expressed with enthusiasm (see also Cushion & Jones, 2006):
- 8 M: "I just wanna get into the first team, to get a better contract"
- 9 S: "I wanna become a pro, play well in the reserves, score goals, do well in the reserves"
- T: "To become a better player each day, to become a professional"
- 12 (Focus Group)
- 13 Consequently, in pursuit of their own goals, the players engaged in social practices
- that contributed to the maintenance of the existing culture and helped to reproduce it
- 15 (Cushion & Jones, 2006). In so doing, they sustained on-going relationships of power
- and inequality in a struggle for capital. As has been described, those in power, the
- 17 coaches, controlled the players, who behaved with submissiveness and docility, thus
- being complicit in their domination; an essential element of symbolic violence
- 19 (discussed in a later section; see also Cushion & Jones, 2006).
- Winning and becoming a 'pro'
- The players are sitting outside, gathered around a wall chart. Greg and Andy are
- standing. Andy says: "All the staff here have equal authority, anyone talks back
- when they are asked to do something, they will be gone that day. I don't care
- how good a player they are, they will be gone". Silence from the players, Andy
- draws the players' attention to the chart. I think I would give us about 5 out of
- 26 10 so far, is that about right?" None of the players respond. "Greg's about right
- when he says we aren't that good yet. Looking at this, there are games that we
- should have won, and the goals that we have conceded are down to individual
- mistakes. Full back letting the ball go across the goal, goalkeeper not attacking
- the ball....You E, leaving the game with twenty minutes to go. I'm fining you
- 31 £15, by the way, double the next time it happens. I'd have thought that looking
- 213, by the way, double the next time it happens. I a have thought that booking
- at this goal scoring record you'd have stayed watching the game, working out where you could have scored. We need players to be reliable. N, the only
- reliable thing about you is that when you get the ball it will be a goal kick.

Individual mistakes and unreliable players get managers the sack. We want you to follow instructions; G, I tell you to pass the ball forward and right in front of me you pass it back twice. Why? What does it say about you as players that you want to buck the system? I might not be very good at anything but I am reliable. If I turn around and say that I'll do what I want or Greg does, we get the sack. I don't have to stay and watch the game, I could go with 20 minutes left, get in my Mercedes and go to Paris for the weekend and not come back until Monday. What would you think of that!?" The players say nothing.

Andy: "Saturday is payday. I can get people contracts at this club, more if you are winning than if you're not. Take it from me that it is the truth. You can get more if you win. All this crap it doesn't matter about winning is just that, crap".

It is possible to juxtapose these excerpts against the stated objectives of the Football Association's Charter for Quality (1998) that defines the criteria for granting an Academy licence to clubs. The Charter places great emphasis on the needs of players:

"The Charter for Quality places the needs of the performer at the centre of all recommendations...The programme of activities should be organised in the best interests of the players' technical, educational, academic and social welfare...Academy Directors will also be mindful of the impact of the programme on educational and social development" (Football Association, 1998, p.1-7).

This suggests a developmental approach where the goal of the coaching programme is to improve the players. On the surface, the Academy at Albion promoted such an approach. It was a view also expressed in a newspaper column written by Andy, who described the Academy at Albion as starting to address the issue of player development seriously. Despite the espoused notions of the Academy programme having a 'player-centred' and developmental philosophy, deeper probing and observation revealed a different picture. Certainly the pre-occupation with team success overshadowed the players' broader development, a feeling shared by the

1 players who recognised that the desire to win displayed by the coaches was not linked 2 to their (i.e., the players) development. 3 T: "Andy just worries about winning" 4 A: "Yeah, they're not bothered about bringing players on, They treat the 5 Academy like a first team" M: "Yeah, first team" 6 7 S: "You look at teams like, Town, they put their youngsters into the Youth 8 Team to bring them on a bit. Whereas with them (the coaches at Albion) it's 9 not about bringing young players on it's about the result. Get the best result 10 you can" 11 12 Here, the concept of 'winning' and being 'winners' emerged as the most pervasive 13 and consistent of the socialisation 'legitimacies'. Indeed, winning was enforced in all 14 aspects of the coaching practice, although as an objective, it was never formally stated 15 in terms of the Academy's aims. Thus, sessions and games became significant 16 socialising forces shaping the development of the players' habitus. Lessons on 17 winning and losing were repeatedly drawn and integrated into training sessions. For 18 example: 19 Andy addresses the players before a training session: "First thing the reserve team coach said this morning was "good result", didn't even see us play and wasn't 20 21 bothered. We are in the winning business, that's the mentality that we have to 22 adopt". 23 24 Greg: "This morning, short and sharp, get last week out of the system. Not enough 25 people wanted to win the game on Wednesday night. Not many people mentioned 26 passing or shooting, just that not enough people wanted to win the game". 27 28 Greg: "Get our minds on this game tomorrow. Who wants to win that fuckin' 29 game tomorrow. I know Andy does, I know I do. Let's have that mentality". 30 31 Andy: "I mean, it really is what we are paid to do now. That is the truth of it. 32 Saturday is about winning. No more no less, it is about winning". 33 34 Although the pressure to win at Albion was not always expressed in such overt terms, it would manifest itself constantly through the coaches' desire for players to 'be first' 35 36 or to 'win your individual battles'. Andy and Greg consistently espoused the need for individual players to be winners, mentally and physically, in an attempt to inculcate what they clearly saw as desirable professional values. Winning and competitiveness were key characteristics of distinction, not just against opposing teams but also within the group (as obviously related to the awarding of individual contracts); attributes and achievements which would enable an individual to stand out or rather stand above others in the field (Reay, 2004). This was a message clearly received by the players who constantly manoeuvred to improve their positions... 'S': "If I make them feel like crap, it will affect their game, then no threat. Its dog- eat-dog really, you've got to look after yourself'....Such a situation echoes the belief of Krais (2002), that institutions are often organized on an antagonistic basis in which hierarchies are constructed on conflict.

The discourse of winning expressed through the hidden curriculum was illustrative of "powerful ideological work, suggesting a necessary, rather than contingent, relationship" (Kirk, 1992, p.44). Hence, even though results had no direct bearing on if Academy players achieved professional status, such discourse had both social and political consequences, as the resulting beliefs became unquestioned and taken for granted (Kirk, 1992). These messages, through the hidden curriculum, became self-fulfilling, developing player dispositions and served to legitimise the existing coaching process (Cushion & Jones, 2006). The players, in turn, became convinced that success on the field would enhance their positions in the field and subsequent career progression.

22 Coaching, socialisation and symbolic violence

The socialisation process and hidden curriculum at Albion were illustrative of an apparatus of control that maintained a particular social order; a set of relations of production and exercise of power often without power being felt (Jenks, 1993).

1 Similarly, symbolic violence is the imposition of systems of symbolism and meaning

2 (culture) in a way that ensures that such systems are experienced as legitimate

3 (Jenkins, 1992). For symbolic violence to occur, recipients must be complicit within

4 the process (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1992). In this study, the players attended the Club

each day and took part in the coaching practices set out. As Bourdieu put it, "one is

6 only hooked if one is in the pool" (1984b, p.89).

The process of symbolic violence involves engagement in pedagogic action, which Bourdieu described as a mechanism for reproducing the seemingly arbitrary culture of a field and the interests of the dominant group. Pedagogic action involves the agents, in this case the players and coaches, experiencing a process of 'misrecognition': that is, "where power relations are perceived not for what they objectively are, but in the form that renders them legitimate in the eyes of the beholder" (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977, p.xiii). It is this legitimacy that obscures the power relations that permit the imposition to be successful (Jenkins, 1992). Symbolic violence reinforces the position of those in power and obscures what they are doing. It is a form of intimidation that, when displayed, is not aware that it is intimidation, with the intimidating person denying any intent to intimidate (Bourdieu, 1991). Similarly, the coaches' discourse at Albion was understood as being in the interests of the players; as a motivational tool.

"I pushed him and pushed him, it could have made him or broke him, and at the moment it has made him. He's sorted himself out, and decided 'I am going to get through this'. He's come through and I like that. It would have been easy for him to go back home and say 'fuckin don't like him'. Not because of what I've said to him. I suppose you could relate the two but he brought himself through, he made that decision to stick at it" (Greg).

For successful pedagogic action to occur, pedagogic authority is required. Pedagogic authority is the arbitrary power to act, (mis)recognised by its practitioners and recipients as legitimate. The pedagogic action evidenced in this study (that is, the

- 1 coaching) was experienced by the players as neutral or even positively valued as a
- 2 means of helping them reach their ultimate goal of being professional footballers.
- 3 Such action was embodied by Greg and Andy, both former professional players who,
- 4 although treated with a degree of indifference by the players, were nonetheless
- 5 respected for having 'done something' in the professional game. For example:
- 6 Interviewer: What are you looking for in a coach?
- 7 J: "I liked him because of who he is, what he is. Been there and done it"
- 8 N: "Yeah...someone who's played before, who knows what it's like, definitely gets
- 9 more respect"
- 10 R: "Someone who knows what they're talking about. Obviously, someone who can
- do what they're saying"

- 13 The Club then, acted as an agent that, through its coaches, exercised pedagogic action.
- 14 For the players, the Academy and its coaches sat at the apex of their social and
- cultural hierarchy, and acted as the gatekeeper(s) to professional contracts.
- Pedagogic action is achieved through pedagogic work, which was described
- 17 as:
- 18 "A process of inculcation which must last long enough to produce a desirable
- training, i.e. *habitus*, the producer of internalisation of the principles of cultural
- arbitrary capable of perpetuating itself after pedagogic action has ceased"
- 21 (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977, p. 31).
- 22 The long-term function of pedagogic work evidenced at Albion was, at the very least,
- 23 the production of dispositions that generated correct responses to the symbolic stimuli
- 24 that emanated from the Club and its coaches, the agents endowed with pedagogic
- authority (Jenkins, 1992). At Albion, the Club sought, through symbolic violence, to
- 26 impose its language, meanings, symbolic system and culture on the players, thus
- 27 reproducing existing power relations. The training of players was thus accepted as
- 28 legitimate, while the created culture added its own force to the existing power

1 relations. The players' desire to succeed in the field ensured the misrecognition of the

activity, with the culture being experienced as an axiom; players and coaches no

longer questioned "why?"

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2

3

Conclusions and implications for practice

Coaching research has been led by behavioural educational approaches and psychological conceptions. Such perspectives typically view coaching through an individual functionalist lens as a benign and unproblematic activity, or an entirely positive endeavour, while the context is simply accepted as the physical location and the setting for practice (Cushion, 2010); a situation into which the individual is dropped. Conceiving coaching as "the mere aggregate of individual strategies" makes it impossible to account for its "resilience as well as for the apparent objective arrangements that these strategies perpetuate or challenge" (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1992, p. 9-10). Indeed, a purely objective explanatory representation of coaching in football captures a 'co-ordinated system' driven by 'common purpose', but succeeds only in "destroying part of the reality it claims to grasp" (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1992, p. 8); namely the social and historical. Such a representation is as subjective and politically motivated as any, as it obscures the idea that symbolic systems are social products that constitute interactive relations. Using Bourdieu's concepts, this research was undertaken from a more critical perspective, where coaching is viewed as a complex activity in a structured and structuring social world. The findings draw attention to what Swartz (1997) calls the social conditions of struggle that shape cultural production. Embedded within the practices evident at Albion were a series of material and symbolic 'legitimations' around rituals, language, and notions of difference. However, because they had no rational plan, the curriculum related to them was both

2 determined outcomes. Rather, the practice witnessed constituted a 'common sense' or

covert and hidden, with the socialisation tactics in use not being matched to pre-

tacitly accepted ideals (Guilianotti, 2005); of things that "go without saying"

(Bourdieu, 1993, p.51). Through them, the culture of the Club, its rituals and

traditions were as much responsible for the players' learning as were the coaches'

actions.

Similarly, through the process and experience of training sessions and games, a certain habitus was developed at Albion. This was related to much more than the technical and tactical know-how or the 'movements, reactions, and postures' needed to play football (Light, 1999). Rather, the players' learning took place within a loaded culture, which was more concerned with local (coach driven) objectives such as winning, than any official central directive. These messages were passed to the players through the daily (re) production of practice, to the extent that they became accepted as legitimate. Thus, beliefs and behaviours came to be unquestioned, the 'way things were'.

The findings also demonstrate further that coaching reflects the interplay of the personal, institutional and the cultural. The challenge for researchers, coaches and athletes then, is to engage with this doxa of coaching, thereby increasing awareness of the (often constraining) discourses that currently inform practice. To overcome elements of firmly embedded culture, coaches need to understand how their practice is shaped to either facilitate or constrain player development, and their own effectiveness. Such aspects need to be considered to help reconfigure the field, and to reconstruct 'better' forms of coaching. We hope this paper, by making elements of the implicit and taken-for-granted within coaching explicit, has gone some way toward this end.

```
1
2
    References
4
```

- Bain, L. L. (1990). A critical analysis of the hidden curriculum in physical education.
- 5 In D. Kirk, & R. Tinning (Eds.), Physical education, curriculum and culture:
- Critical issues in contemporary crisis (pp. 23-42). Lewes England: Falmer. 6
- 7 Bloor, M. (1997). Techniques of validation in qualitative research: a critical
- 8 commentary. In G. Miller, & R. Dingwal, (Eds.), Context and method in
- 9 qualitative research (pp.37-50). London: Sage
- 10 Bourdieu, P. (2004). Science of science and reflexivity. Chicago: University of
- Chicago Press 11
- 12 Bourdieu, P. (2000). Pascalian meditations. Cambridge: Polity Press
- 13 Bourdieu, P. (1993). Sociology in question. London: Sage.
- 14 Bourdieu, P. (1992). The purpose of reflexive sociology. In P. Bourdieu, & L.
- 15 Wacquant (Eds.) An invitation to reflexive sociology. Chicago: Chicago
- 16 University Press.
- 17 Bourdieu, P. (1991). Language and symbolic power. Oxford: Polity Press.
- 18 Bourdieu, P. (1990). In other words: Essays towards a reflexive sociology.
- 19 Cambridge: Polity Press.
- 20 Bourdieu, P. (1989). Social space and symbolic power. Sociological Theory, 7, 14-
- 21 25.
- 22 Bourdieu, P. (1984b). Homo academicus. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- 23 Bourdieu, P. (1985). The social space and genesis of groups. *Theory and Society*,
- 24 14(6), pp. 723-744.
- 25 Bourdieu, P. & Passeron, J. (1996/1977). Reproduction in education, society and
- 26 culture. London: Sage.
- 27 Bourdieu, P. & Wacquant, L. J.D. (1996). The purpose of reflexive sociology (The

- 1 Chicago Workshop). In P. Bourdieu & L. J. D. Wacquant (Eds.) An invitation
- 2 to reflexive sociology. (pp. 61-215). Chicago: University of Chicago Press
- Bourdieu, P. & Wacquant, L. J.D. (Eds.) (1992). An invitation to reflexive sociology.
- 4 Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 5 Bottero, W. (2009). Relationality and social-interaction. The British Journal of
- 6 Sociology, 60(2), 399-420.
- 7 Brown, D. (2005). An economy of gendered practices? Learning to teach physical
- 8 education from the perspective of Pierre Bourdieu's embodied sociology.
- 9 *Sport, Education and Society*, 10(1), 3-23.
- Cain, M. & Finch, J. (1981). Towards a rehabilitation of data. *In P. Abrahams*, R.
- Deem, J. Finch, & P Rock, (Eds.), *Practice and progress: British sociology*
- 12 *1950-1980* (pp. 105-119). London: George Allen and Unwin.
- 13 Calhoun, C. (1995). Habitus, field and capital: The question of historical specificity.
- 14 In C. Calhoun, E. LiPuma, & M. Postone, Bourdieu: Critical perspectives,
- 15 (pp. 61-88). Oxford: Blackwell.
- 16 Coakley, J (2001) Sport in society: Issues and controversies (7th edition). New York:
- 17 McGraw Hill,
- 18 Cushion, C. (2010). Pierre Bourdieu: A theory of (coaching) practice. In R.L., Jones,
- P. Potrac, C. Cushion, & L.T., Ronglan (Eds.). *The sociology of sports*
- 20 coaching, (pp. 40-53). Routledge: London.
- 21 Cushion, C. (2007) Modelling the complexities of the coaching process, *International*
- *Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 2(4) 395-401.
- Cushion, C. J., Armour, K. M., & Jones, R. L. (2006) Locating the coaching process
- in practice: Models 'for' and 'of' coaching. *Physical Education and Sport*
- 25 *Pedgagogy* 11(1), pp. 83-89.
- Cushion, C. J., Armour, K. M., & Jones, R. L. (2003). Coach education and

- 1 continuing professional development: Experience and learning to coach. Quest, 55,
- pp. 215-230.
- 3 Eitzen, S. & Sage, G. (1989) Sociology of North American sport, 4th edition.
- 4 Dubuque, Iowa: WM. C. Brown Publishers.
- 5 Evans, J. & Davies, B. (2002). Theoretical Background. *In* Laker, A. (ed.) *The*
- 6 sociology of sport and physical education, (pp. 15-35). Routledge, London,.
- 7 Everett, J., Organisational research and the praxeology of Pierre Bourdieu.
- 8 *Organisational Research Methods*, 2002, 5(1), 56-80.
- 9 Fernandez-Balboa, J-M. (1993). Socio-cultural characteristics of the hidden
- curriculum in physical education. *Quest*, 45, pp. 230-254.
- 11 Football Association (1998). Charter for Quality, Football Academies. London:
- 12 Author.
- Foucault, M. (1979). The History of sexuality, Vol 1: An introduction. London: Allen
- Lane Penguin Press.
- 15 Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punishment*. London: Peregrine.
- 16 Giddens, A. (1984). The constitution of society. Outline of the theory of Structuration.
- 17 Cambridge: Polity
- 18 Giulianotti, R. (2005). Sport a critical sociology. Cambridge: Polity.
- 19 Goffman, I. (1974). Frame analysis: An essay on the organisation of experience. New
- York: Harper and Row.
- 21 Grenfell, M., & James, D. (1998). Bourdieu and education: Acts of practical theory.
- 22 Oxford: Routledge.
- Guilianotti, R. (1999). Football: A sociology of the global game. Cambridge: Polity
- Press.
- 25 Hunter, L. (2004). Bourdieu and the social space of the PE Class: Reproduction of
- doxa through practice. Sport, Education and Society, 9(2), pp. 175-192.

- 1 Iellatchitch, A., Mayrhofer, W. & Meyer, M. (2003), Career fields: a small step
- 2 towards a grand career theory? *International Journal of Human Resource*
- 3 *Management*,15(4), pp.256-71.
- 4 Jarvie, G., & Maguire, J. (1994). Sport and leisure in social thought. London:
- 5 Routledge.
- 6 Jenkins, R. (1992). Key sociologists: Pierre Bourdieu. London: Routledge.
- 7 Jenks, C. (1993) Culture. London: Routledge
- 8 Jones, R.L., Potrac, P., Cushion C. J., & Ronglan, L. T. (2010). The sociology of
- 9 sports coaching. Routledge: London
- King, A. (2009). Unity is strength: staff college and the British office corps. *British*
- 11 *Journal of Sociology*, 60(1), 123-144.
- 12 Kirk, D. (1992). Physical Education, discourse and ideology: Bringing the hidden
- curriculum into view. *Quest* 44, 35-56.
- 14 Light, R. L. (1999). Social dimensions of rugby in Japanese and Australian schools.
- Unpublished Doctoral Thesis, University of Queensland, Australia.
- Loy, J., Andrews, D. L., & Rinehart, R. E. (1993). The body in culture and sport.
- 17 *Sport Science Review*, 2(1), 69-91.
- Margolis, E., & Romero, M. (1998). The department is very male, very white, very
- old, and very conservative: The functioning of the hidden curriculum in
- graduate sociology departments. *Harvard Educational Review*, 68, 1-32.
- 21 Marsh, I., Keating, M., Eyre, A., Campbell, R., & McKenzie, J. (1996). Making
- *sense of society.* Longman: London.
- 23 Miller, J., & Glassner, B. (1997). The inside and the outside: Finding realities in

- 1 interviews. In D. Silverman, (Ed.), (pp. 99-112). Qualitative research, theory,
- 2 *method and practice*. London: Sage.
- 3 Morgan, D. L. (1988). Focus groups as qualitative research. London: Sage.
- 4 Nash, R. (2003). Social explanation and socialisation; on Bourdieu and the structure,
- 5 disposition, practice scheme. *The Sociological Review*, 43-62.
- 6 Nash, R. (1990). Bourdieu on education and cultural reproduction. *British Journal of*
- 7 *Sociology of Education*, 11(4), 431-447.
- 8 Nutt G., & Clarke, G. (2002). The hidden curriculum and the changing nature of
- 9 teachers' work. In Laker, A. (ed.), The sociology of sport and physical
- 10 education, (pp.148-166). Routledge, London.
- 11 Patton, M. (1990). *Qualitative researching*. London: Sage Publications.
- 12 Purdy, L., Jones, R.L., & Cassidy (2009). Negotiation and capital: athletes' use of
- power in an elite men's rowing program. Sport Education and Society, 14(3),
- 14 321-338.
- Reay, D. (2004). Cultural capitalists and academic habitus: Classed and gendered
- labour in UK higher education. Women's Studies International Forum, 27, 31-
- 17 39.
- 18 Robbins, D. (1998). The need for an epistemological break. In M. Grenfell, & D.
- James, Bourdieu and education: Acts of practical theory. Oxford: Routledge
- 20 (pp. 27-52).
- 21 Robbins, D. (1993) The practical importance of Bourdieu's analyses of higher
- education, *Studies in Higher Education*, 18(2), 151–163.
- Roderick, M. (2006). The work of professional football: A labour of love. London:
- Routledge.
- 25 Ritzer, G. (1996). Sociological theory. Singapore: McGraw Hill.

- 1 Sabo, D.F., & Panepinto, J. (1990). Football ritual and the social reproduction of
- 2 masculinity. In M. Messner, & D.F. Sabo (Eds.), Sport, men and the gender
- 3 *order* (pp.115-126). Champaign IL: Human Kinetics.
- 4 Seale, C. (1999). *The quality of qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- 5 Shilling, C. (2004). Physical capital and situated action: a new direction for corporeal
- 6 sociology. British Journal of Sociology of Education, 25(4), 473-487.
- 7 Shilling, C. (1997). *The body and social theory*. London: Sage
- 8 Silverman, D. (1993). Interpreting qualitative data: Methods for analysing talk,
- 9 *text and interaction*. London: Sage.
- 10 Skelton, A. (1997). Studying hidden curricula: developing a perspective in the light of
- Post-modern insights. *Curriculum Studies*, 5(2), 177-193.
- 12 Swartz, D. (1997). Culture and power: The sociology of Pierre Bourdieu. Chicago:
- The University of Chicago Press.
- 14 Throop, C. J., & Murphy, K. M. (2002). Bourdieu and phenomenology: A critical
- assessment. Antopological Theory, 2(2), 185-207.
- Turner, V. W. (1967). *The forest of symbols*. Mew York: Cornell University Press.
- Wacquant, L. (1989). Toward a reflexive sociology, a workshop with Pierre Bourdieu.
- 18 Sociological Theory, 7, 26-63.
- 19 Wacquant, L. (1992). The social logic of boxing in black Chicago: Towards a
- sociology of pugilism. Sociology of Sport Journal, 9, 221-254.
- Wacquant, L. (1995). Pugs at work: Bodily capital and bodily labour among
- professional boxers. *Body and Society*, 1(1), 65-89.
- Wacquant, L. (1996). Toward a social praxeology: The structure and logic of
- Bourdieu's sociology. In P. Bourdieu & L. Wacquant (Eds), An invitation to
- 25 reflexive sociology. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Wacquant, L. (1998). Pierre Bourdieu. In R. Stones (Ed.), Key sociological thinkers.
- 27 London: Macmillan Press.