



---

A Catalogue of Two-Level and Three-Level Fractional Factorial Designs with Small Runs

Author(s): Jiahua Chen, D. X. Sun, C. F. J. Wu

Source: *International Statistical Review* / *Revue Internationale de Statistique*, Vol. 61, No. 1, Special Issue on Statistics in Industry (Apr., 1993), pp. 131-145

Published by: International Statistical Institute (ISI)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1403599>

Accessed: 27/11/2009 00:55

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=isi>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).



*International Statistical Institute (ISI)* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *International Statistical Review* / *Revue Internationale de Statistique*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

# A Catalogue of Two-level and Three-level Fractional Factorial Designs with Small Runs

**Jiahua Chen, D.X. Sun and C.F.J. Wu**

*Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario  
N2L 3G1, Canada*

## Summary

Fractional factorial (FF) designs with minimum aberration are often regarded as the best designs and are commonly used in practice. There are, however, situations in which other designs can meet practical needs better. A catalogue of designs would make it easy to search for 'best' designs according to various criteria. By exploring the algebraic structure of the FF designs, we propose an algorithm for constructing complete sets of FF designs. A collection of FF designs with 16, 27, 32 and 64 runs is given.

*Key words:* Defining contrast subgroup; Minimum aberration design; Resolution; Word-length pattern; Letter pattern.

## 1 Introduction

An outstanding problem in experimental design theory is the choice of 'good' two-level and three-level fractional factorial designs which are commonly used in practice. A key question is how to choose a fraction of the full factorial design for a given run size and number of factors. Box & Hunter (1961) first approach the problem by introducing the notion of resolution as a goodness criterion for designs. Since designs of the same resolution may not be equally good, Fries & Hunter (1980) suggest the minimum aberration criterion to further discriminate designs. The minimum aberration criterion was already used implicitly in the construction of designs in the classic work at the National Bureau of Standards (1957, 1959). As argued and demonstrated in Section 2, when there is no design with resolution V or higher, maximum resolution and minimum aberration do not always lead to best designs. Different situations call for use of different designs. Since we cannot anticipate all the goodness criteria for designs, it seems impractical to give optimal designs for each criterion. A more realistic approach, adopted in this paper, is to give a catalogue of designs which are judged to be good by the minimum aberration criterion. Our rationale is that useful designs are in most cases good according to the minimum aberration criterion. For designs with 16 and 27 runs, we give a complete catalogue. For 32 and 64 runs, the number of designs is too large to be all included. Only five to ten designs are given in most cases. An algorithm for enumerating designs is presented in Section 3. Some comments on the designs in the catalogue are given in Section 4.

## 2 Definitions and Motivations

An  $s^{n-k}$  fractional factorial design, which has  $n$  factors of  $s$  levels and  $s^{n-k}$  runs, is uniquely determined by  $k$  independent defining words. A word consists of letters which are names of factors denoted by  $1, 2, \dots, n$  or  $A, B, \dots$ . The number of letters in a word is called word-length and the group formed by the  $k$  defining words is the defining contrast subgroup. The vector

$$W = (A_1, \dots, A_n) \quad (1)$$

is called the word-length pattern, where  $A_i$  denotes the number of words of length  $i$  in the defining contrast subgroup. The concept of resolution, proposed by Box & Hunter (1961), is defined as the smallest  $r$  such that  $A_r \geq 1$ . It is a useful and convenient criterion for selecting practical designs.

Goodness of a design, however, cannot be fully judged by its resolution. Consider, for example, the following two  $2^{7-2}$  designs:

$$d_1: I = 4567 = 12346 = 12357,$$

$$d_2: I = 1236 = 1457 = 234567.$$

Both have resolution IV, but have different word-length patterns

$$W(d_1) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad W(d_2) = (0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0).$$

The design  $d_1$  has three pairs of aliased two-factor interactions (2fi's), e.g., 45 & 67, 46 & 57, 47 & 56 while  $d_2$  has six pairs. This is because  $d_1$  has one 4-letter word while  $d_2$  has two. To further characterize or discriminate fractional factorial designs, Fries & Hunter (1980) propose the following criterion. For two designs  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  with  $r$  being the smallest value such that  $A_r(d_1) \neq A_r(d_2)$ , we say that  $d_1$  has less aberration than  $d_2$  if  $A_r(d_1) < A_r(d_2)$ . If there is no design with less aberration than  $d_1$ , then  $d_1$  has minimum aberration (MA). Obviously, for given  $n$  and  $k$ , an MA design always exists. However, we do not know whether it is unique in general. See Chen (1992).

For small number of factors (up to 11) and run size (up to 128), Box, Hunter & Hunter (1978, p. 410) provides a useful catalogue of 2-level fractional factorial designs with minimum aberration. Franklin (1984) constructs more minimum aberration designs. Chen & Wu (1991) and Chen (1992) investigate some theoretical properties of MA designs and construct MA  $2^{n-k}$  designs for  $k \leq 5$  and any  $n$ .

Both definitions of resolution and minimum aberration are based on the hierarchical assumption:

- (i) lower order effects are more important than higher order effects,
  - (ii) effects of the same order are equally important.
- (2)

The minimum aberration criterion can rank-order almost any two designs. In general it is a good design measure unless these two conditions are grossly violated. However, in some practical situations described later, the hierarchical assumption does not hold and better designs can be found. The second but more subtle point concerns its reliance on the word-lengths of the defining contrasts. Although minimizing the numbers of short-length words usually leads to the estimability of more lower order effects or under less stringent assumptions, combinatorial complexity of the defining contrasts makes the relation between lengths and estimability less certain. This point is best illustrated by the following example (due to C.F.J. Wu).

Consider the minimum aberration  $2^{9-4}$  design, which has the word-length pattern (0, 0, 0, 6, 8, 0, 0, 1, 0) and the defining contrast subgroup

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 1236 = 1347 = 1389 = 2467 = 2689 = 4789 \\ &= 12458 = 12579 = 14569 = 15678 = 23459 = 23578 \\ &= 34568 = 35679 = 12346789. \end{aligned}$$

Under the relative weak assumption of negligible 3-factor and higher order interactions, all the main effects and the eight 2fi's (15, 25, 35, 45, 56, 57, 58, 59) are estimable. (Note that 5 does not appear in any of the words of length four.) In Wu & Chen (1992), any 2fi that is not aliased with any main effect or other 2fi's is called clear. So this design has eight clear 2fi's. Consider then the second best design in terms of the aberration criterion, which has the word-length pattern (0, 0, 0, 7, 7, 0, 0, 0, 1) and the defining contrasts

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 1236 = 1278 = 1347 = 1468 = 2348 = 2467 \\ &= 3678 = 12459 = 13589 = 15679 = 23579 = 25689 \\ &= 45789 = 34569 = 123456789. \end{aligned}$$

Although it has seven words of length four, one more than the MA design, both 5 and 9 are missing in these seven words. Therefore it has 15 clear 2fi's,

$$(15, 25, 35, 45, 56, 57, 58, 59, 19, 29, 39, 49, 69, 79, 89).$$

From the estimation point of view, it is far superior to the minimum aberration design. This illustrates the need of finding designs other than MA designs.

In some experimental situations the assumption 2(ii) does not hold. As argued in Wu & Chen (1992), there are practical situations in which certain interactions can be *a priori* identified as being potentially important and should be estimated clear of each other. In order to accommodate a set of specified interactions, one may have to choose a design with worse aberration. For example, consider the choice of a  $2^{6-2}$  design, in which the following interactions (13, 14, 16, 23, 34, 35, 36, 45, 56) can be estimated clear of each other and of the main effects (assuming the other 2fi's are negligible). By using a graph representation Wu & Chen (1992) show that the resolution III design with  $I = 125 = 2346$  meets the requirements while the MA design with  $I = 1235 = 2346$  does not. Broadening the choice of designs will make it possible to find flexible graphs otherwise nonexistent.

There is indeed a whole class of problems that do not satisfy the assumption 2(i) and 2(ii). In parameter designs (Taguchi, 1987), the factors are divided into two types: control factors and noise factors. Since the noise factors are not controllable except when special efforts are made, estimability of the noise main effects is usually less important than that of the control-by-noise interactions. This violates 2(i). Similarly estimability of the noise-by-noise interactions is less important than that of the control-by-noise interactions, which violates 2(ii). As a result, neither the resolution nor the aberration criterion can guarantee a good statistical design for this type of experiments. A simple example is used to illustrate the point. Consider the resolution III design  $d_1$  given by  $I = ABCr = rst = ABCst$ , and the resolution IV design  $d_2$  given by  $I = ABCr = BCst = Arst$ , where  $A, B, C$  are three control factors and  $r, s, t$  are three noise factors. Under the assumption that 3-factor and higher order interactions are negligible,  $A, B, C, As, Bs, Cs, At, Bt, Ct$  are estimable in  $d_1$ , whereas only the main effects  $A, B, C, r, s, t$  are estimable in  $d_2$ . Since it is much less important to be able to estimate the three noise main effects  $r, s, t$  in  $d_2$  than to estimate the six control-by-noise interactions in  $d_1$ , design  $d_1$  is preferred in spite of its lower resolution. Further discussion on planning techniques for parameter designs can be found in Shoemaker, Tsui & Wu (1991).

The overall conclusion is that, practical situations can be different from one to the other and they may sometimes be contradictory. Using a single criterion such as the minimum aberration criterion for selecting designs exclusively cannot meet practical needs. It is hence desirable to collect good designs in a catalogue.

### 3 Construction Method

#### 3.1 Basic Idea

If a design  $d_1$  can be obtained from  $d_2$  by relabeling the factor numbers in the defining contrast subgroup or by change of signs, we say  $d_1$  is isomorphic to  $d_2$ . Since isomorphic designs are essentially the same, it is sufficient to include only one of them in any catalogue of designs. To catalogue all possible designs, a straightforward approach does not work. For example, in a  $32(=2^5)$  run design with 15 two-level factors, there are 5 independent factors, and 10 additional factors can be defined in  $\binom{31-5}{15-5} = 5,311,735$  ways. It is impractical to identify isomorphic designs among all 5,311,735 designs because of the difficulties in discriminating between non-isomorphic designs. This number becomes much larger as the run size and number of factors increase. By applying some algebraic and combinatorial methods, we are able to reduce the computations significantly. The basic idea of the proposed sequential construction method is to break the huge amount of combinatorial computations into a sequence of much smaller computations. At each step, the total number of designs are significantly reduced by keeping only non-isomorphic designs.

The  $2^{n-k}$  designs given in Section 2 can be viewed as submatrices of regular Hadamard matrices. A *regular* Hadamard matrix of order  $2^q$  is a  $2^q \times 2^q$  orthogonal matrix of  $\pm 1$  with the additional property that the entrywise product of any two columns is among the  $2^q$  columns. By replacing  $-1$  by 1 and 1 by 0 and using addition over  $\text{GF}(2)$ , these  $2^q$  columns form an elementary Abelian group over  $\text{GF}(2)$ , where  $\text{GF}(2)$  is the Galois field with two elements. Except for the column corresponding to the identity element in the group, we may write the remaining columns as

$$\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_{2^q-1}\}. \quad (3)$$

Within  $\mathbf{C}$ , we can find  $q$  independent columns that generate all the columns in  $\mathbf{C}$ . A  $2^{n-k}$  design can now be viewed as a subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $n$  columns. Out of the  $n$  columns,  $n-k(=q)$  are independent columns and the remaining  $k$  columns can be generated from the  $n-k$  columns through the defining relations in its defining contrast subgroup. A similar matrix representation for three-level designs can be defined. The only difference is that its columns are grouped into pairs. For each pair of columns, one is a multiple of the other modulus three. This simple representation for  $2^{n-k}$  and  $3^{n-k}$  designs will be employed in the tabulation of designs.

Let  $D_{n,k}^R$  be the set of non-isomorphic  $s^{n-k}$  designs with resolution  $\geq R$ , and  $D_{n,k} = D_{n,k}^{\text{III}}$  for convenience. For given  $R$ ,  $k$ , and  $D_{n,k}^R$  we construct  $D_{n+1,k+1}^R$  by assigning the additional factor to one of the unused columns of each design in  $D_{n,k}^R$ . There are at most  $(s^{n-k} - 1)/(s - 1) - n$  ways to assign this factor. Therefore, we obtain a class of designs, denoted by  $\tilde{D}_{n+1,k+1}^R$  with cardinality

$$\{\# \text{ of designs in } D_{n,k}^R\} \times [(s^{n-k} - 1)/(s - 1) - n].$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{D}_{n+1,k+1}^R \supset D_{n+1,k+1}^R$ . However, some designs in  $\tilde{D}_{n+1,k+1}^R$  are isomorphic and

some may have resolutions less than  $R$ . To construct  $D_{n+1,k+1}^R$ , we need to eliminate these redundant designs. It is easy to eliminate designs with resolution smaller than  $R$ . To identify isomorphic designs, we divide all designs into different categories according to their word-length patterns and letter patterns. The letter pattern counts the frequency of letters contained in the words of different lengths (Draper & Mitchell, 1970). Note that same letter pattern implies same word-length pattern. Designs with different letter patterns are obviously non-isomorphic. Therefore we only need to examine the isomorphism of designs with the same letter pattern. This is done by using the following result in Chen (1992). Two designs of  $d_1$  and of  $d_2$  are isomorphic if there exists a one to one map  $M$  from the columns  $d_1$  to the columns  $d_2$  such that

$$M(C_{i1} + C_{i2} + \dots + C_{il} \pmod{2}) = M(C_{i1}) + M(C_{i2}) + \dots + M(C_{il}) \pmod{2}$$

for any  $l$  and  $C_{i1}, C_{i2}, \dots, C_{il} \in d_1$ . After the elimination of isomorphic designs, we reduce  $\tilde{D}_{n+1,k+1}^R$  to  $D_{n+1,k+1}^R$ .

Note that designs with the same letter pattern are not necessary isomorphic. See Chen & Lin (1991), which disproves a conjecture of Draper & Mitchell (1970).

This procedure will not only give us the complete set of  $s^{(n+1)-(k+1)}$  designs, but also reduce the amount of computations for the subsequent step of constructing  $s^{(n+2)-(k+2)}$  designs.

The rationale of this method is supported by the following facts.

FACT 1. (*Completeness*)  $\tilde{D}_{n+1,k+1} \supset D_{n+1,k+1}$ .

FACT 2. (*Monotonicity of resolution*)  $\tilde{D}_{n+1,k+1}^R \supset D_{n+1,k+1}^R$ .

The proofs are straightforward and omitted.

### 3.2 Implementation

#### Isomorphism Check:

Our approach to isomorphism check uses an idea which is illustrated by a simple example. To save space, the technical details are not given here.

Let us consider the  $2^{7-3}$  designs, in which  $a, b, c, d$  denote four independent columns of the regular  $2^4 \times 2^4$  Hadamard matrix. The set of columns  $C$  is then

$$\{a, b, ab, c, ac, bc, abc, d, ad, bd, abd, cd, acd, bcd, abcd\}.$$

To check isomorphism between the two  $2^{7-3}$  designs:

$$d_1 = \{a, b, c, d, ab, abd, bcd\},$$

$$d_2 = \{a, b, c, d, ac, acd, abcd\},$$

which have the same word-length pattern and letter pattern, we apply the following scheme:

1. Select four independent columns from  $d_2$ , say,  $\{a, b, ac, acd\}$ . There are  $\binom{7}{4}$  choices.
2. Select a relabeling map from  $\{a, b, ac, acd\}$  to  $\{A, B, C, D\}$ , i.e.,  $A = a, B = b, C = ac, D = acd$ . There are  $4! (= 24)$  choices.
3. Write the remaining columns  $\{c, d, abcd\}$  in  $d_2$  as interactions of  $\{A, B, C, D\}$ , i.e.,  $c = AC, d = CD, abcd = BD$ . Then  $d_2$  can be written as  $\{A, B, C, D, AC, CD, BD\}$ .
4. Compare the new representation of  $d_2$  with that of  $d_1$ . If they match,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are isomorphic, and the process stops. Otherwise, return to step 2 and try another map of  $\{A, B, C, D\}$ . When all the relabeling maps are exhausted, return to step 1 and find another four columns.

If two designs are isomorphic, an isomorphic map will be found eventually. If two designs with the same letter pattern are nonisomorphic, it requires a complete search of relabeling maps. Fortunately, this happens rarely in our experience.

The isomorphism check for 3-level designs is similar but slightly more complicated. The details are omitted.

#### 4 Tables of Designs

Using the method described in the last section, we obtain complete collections of designs with 16, 27, and 32 runs. We do not include 8- and 9-run designs because their number is small and can be found in standard texts. Since the total number of 64-run designs is too large, we only keep those with resolution IV or higher in the computer search. To save space, for 32 and 64 runs, we present only five to ten designs in most cases. The complete catalogue is available upon request. These designs are not chosen exclusively according to the minimum aberration criterion. Designs with worse aberration may be judged to be better by other properties, e.g. the number of clear 2fi's.

For each run size, we put the column set **C** (see (3)) in Yates order. The column numbers of the independent columns are indicated by bold face. A  $2^{n-k}$  design is given by a subset of  $n$  columns of **C**, consisting of  $n - k$  independent columns and  $k$  additional columns. Only the latter are specified in the tables. For clarity, we call it design  $n - k.i$  in the tables, where  $i$  denotes the  $i$ th  $2^{n-k}$  design in the catalogue. The word-length pattern and the number of clear 2fi's are also provided. To save space, at most five non-zero components of the word-length patterns are given. Also, we use the notation 19 - 22 for columns 19 to 22. The three-level 27-run designs are given in the same vein. Note that in the corresponding design matrix, the three levels are denoted by 0, 1 and 2.

Usage of the tables is illustrated by the following example.

##### *Example. $2^{6-2}$ fractional factorial design*

The columns set **C** is presented in Table 1 with independent columns {1, 2, 4, 8}. The first  $2^{6-2}$  design in the table is {7, 11}, i.e., the design consists of columns {1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 11}. To find the defining words, we name the corresponding factors  $A, B, C, D, E, F$ . Column 7 is the sum of columns 1, 2, and 4 (mod 2), i.e. the generator for factor  $E$  is  $E = ABC$ . Similarly, the generator for factor  $F$  is  $F = ABD$ .

Some comments on the tables:

1. If a design with resolution V or higher exists, we do not list any designs of resolution III or IV.
2. Among resolution IV designs, those with large numbers of clear 2fi's are not necessarily good according to the minimum aberration criterion. This phenomenon is especially pronounced for 64-run designs with  $n = 14$  to 17.
3. For the 32-run designs with  $n = 10$  to 16, none of the resolution IV designs has any clear 2fi's.
4. The numbering of designs is not strictly according to the minimum aberration criterion. Designs with worse aberration but with a much larger number of clear 2fi's may be placed ahead of others with less aberration. For example, designs 14-8.4 and 14-8.5 have worse aberration than designs 14-8.6 to 14-8.10.

**Table 1**

*Design matrices for 16, 32 and 64-run designs. (For 16-run designs, it consists of the first 4 rows and 15 columns; for 32-run designs, it consists of the first 5 rows and 31 columns, and for 64-run designs, it is the whole matrix. Independent columns are numbered 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32.)*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

**Table 2**

*Complete Catalogue of 16-run designs (Each design consists of columns 1, 2, 4, 8 and those specified in the "Additional Columns".  $W = (A_3, A_4, \dots)$  is the wordlength pattern defined in (1).  $C$  is the number of clear 2fi's. Designs for  $n = 13, 14, 15$  are unique.)*

Design	Additional columns	W	C
5-1.1	15	0 0 1	10
5-1.2	7	0 1 0	4
5-1.3	3	1 0 0	7
6-2.1	7 11	0 3 0 0	0
6-2.2	3 13	1 1 1 0	6
6-2.3	3 12	2 0 0 1	9
6-2.4	3 5	2 1 0 0	5
7-3.1	7 11 13	0 7 0 0 0	0
7-3.2	3 5 14	2 3 2 0 0	2
7-3.3	3 5 10	3 2 1 1 0	4
7-3.4	3 5 9	3 3 0 0 1	0
7-3.5	3 5 6	4 3 0 0 0	6
8-4.1	7 11 13 14	0 14 0 0 0 1	0
8-4.2	3 5 9 14	3 7 4 0 1 0	1
8-4.3	3 5 10 12	4 5 4 2 0 0	0
8-4.4	3 5 6 15	4 6 4 0 0 1	0
8-4.5	3 5 6 9	5 5 2 2 1 0	2
8-4.6	3 5 6 7	7 7 0 0 1 0	7
9-5.1	3 5 9 14 15	4 14 8 0 4 1 0	0
9-5.2	3 5 10 12 15	6 9 9 6 0 0 1	0
9-5.3	3 5 6 9 14	6 10 8 4 2 1 0	0
9-5.4	3 5 6 9 10	7 9 6 6 3 0 0	0
9-5.5	3 5 6 7 9	8 10 4 4 4 1 0	0
10-6.1	3 5 6 9 14 15	8 18 16 8 8 5 0 0	0
10-6.2	3 5 6 9 10 13	9 16 15 12 7 3 1 0	0
10-6.3	3 5 6 9 10 12	10 15 12 15 10 0 0 1	0
10-6.4	3 5 6 7 9 10	10 16 12 12 10 3 0 0	0
11-7.1	3 5 6 9 10 13 14	12 26 28 24 20 13 4	0
11-7.2	3 5 6 7 9 10 12	13 25 25 27 23 10 3 1	0
11-7.3	3 5 6 7 9 10 11	13 26 24 24 26 13 0 0 1	0
12-8.1	3 5 6 9 10 13 14 15	16 39 48 48 48 39 16 0 0 1	0
12-8.2	3 5 6 7 9 10 11 12	17 38 44 52 54 33 12 4 1	0



**Table 3.**

*Selected 32-run designs for  $n = 6$  to 28. (Each design consists of columns 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and those specified in the "Additional Columns".  $C$  is the number of clear  $2^k$ 's.  $W = (A_3, \dots, A_7)$  when  $n < 17$  and  $W = (A_3, \dots, A_6)$  when  $n \geq 17$ . Designs for  $n = 29, 30$  and  $31$  are unique.)*

Design	Additional columns	W	C
6-1.1	31	0 0 0 1 0	15
7-2.1	7 27	0 1 2 0 0	15
7-2.2	7 25	0 2 0 1 0	9
7-2.3	7 11	0 3 0 0 0	6
7-2.4	3 29	1 0 1 1 0	18
7-2.5	3 28	1 1 0 0 1	12
7-2.6	3 13	1 1 1 0 0	12
7-2.7	3 12	2 0 0 1 0	15
7-2.8	3 5	2 1 0 0 0	11
8-3.1	7 11 29	0 3 4 0 0	13
8-3.2	7 11 21	0 5 0 2 0	4
8-3.3	7 11 19	0 6 0 0 0	0
8-3.4	7 11 13	0 7 0 0 0	7
8-3.5	3 13 22	1 2 3 1 0	13
8-3.6	3 5 30	2 1 2 2 0	18
8-3.7	3 13 21	1 3 2 0 1	10
8-3.8	3 12 21	2 1 2 2 0	16
8-3.9	3 5 26	2 2 1 1 1	12
8-3.10	3 5 25	2 2 2 0 0	12
9-4.1	7 11 19 29	0 6 8 0 0	8
9-4.2	7 11 13 30	0 7 7 0 0	15
9-4.3	7 11 21 25	0 9 0 6 0	0
9-4.4	7 11 13 19	0 10 0 4 0	2
9-4.5	7 11 13 14	0 14 0 0 0	8
9-4.6	3 13 21 26	1 5 6 2 1	9
9-4.7	3 13 21 25	1 7 4 0 3	12
9-4.8	3 12 21 26	2 3 6 4 0	12
9-4.9	3 5 9 30	3 3 4 4 1	15
9-4.10	3 5 10 28	3 3 4 4 1	13
10-5.1	7 11 19 29 30	0 10 16 0 0	0
10-5.2	7 11 21 25 31	0 15 0 15 0	0
10-5.3	7 11 13 19 21	0 16 0 12 0	0
10-5.4	7 11 13 14 19	0 18 0 8 0	0
10-5.5	3 13 21 25 28	1 14 7 0 7	14
10-5.6	3 13 21 25 30	1 10 11 4 3	8
10-5.7	3 12 21 26 31	2 7 12 7 2	6
10-5.8	3 5 14 22 25	2 8 12 4 2	4
10-5.9	3 5 14 23 26	2 9 9 6 4	5
10-5.10	3 5 9 14 31	3 8 11 4 1	12
11-6.1	7 11 13 19 21 25	0 25 0 27 0	0
11-6.2	7 11 13 14 19 21	0 26 0 24 0	0
11-6.3	3 5 14 22 25 31	2 14 22 8 6	0
11-6.4	3 5 14 22 26 29	2 16 16 12 10	6
11-6.5	3 5 14 22 26 28	2 18 14 8 14	6
11-6.6	3 5 10 23 27 28	3 13 19 11 9	3
11-6.7	3 5 9 22 26 29	3 15 13 15 13	4
11-6.8	3 5 9 22 26 28	3 16 12 12 16	4
11-6.9	3 5 9 14 22 26	3 16 13 12 13	4
11-6.10	3 5 9 14 18 29	4 12 18 12 8	5
12-7.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 25	0 38 0 52 0	0
12-7.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22	0 39 0 48 0	0
12-7.3	3 5 9 14 22 26 29	3 25 23 27 25	5
12-7.4	3 5 9 14 22 26 28	3 26 22 24 28	5
12-7.5	3 5 10 12 22 27 29	4 20 32 22 20	0
12-7.6	3 5 10 12 22 25 31	4 22 28 20 28	0
12-7.7	3 5 6 15 23 25 30	4 23 28 16 28	0
12-7.8	3 5 9 14 17 22 26	4 25 19 27 31	3
12-7.9	3 5 9 14 15 22 26	4 26 20 24 28	3
12-7.10	3 5 9 14 18 20 31	5 19 29 25 23	2

**Table 3**  
(Cont'd)

Design	Additional Columns	W	C
13-8.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25	0 55 0 96 0	0
13-8.2	3 5 9 14 17 22 26 28	4 38 32 52 56	4
13-8.3	3 5 9 14 15 22 26 29	4 38 33 52 52	4
13-8.4	3 5 9 14 15 22 26 28	4 39 32 48 56	4
13-8.5	3 5 9 14 15 17 22 26	5 38 28 52 62	2
13-8.6	3 5 10 12 15 22 27 29	6 28 51 42 42	0
13-8.7	3 5 9 14 18 20 24 31	6 29 46 46 50	0
13-8.8	3 5 9 15 18 20 24 30	6 30 44 44 56	0
13-8.9	3 5 9 15 18 20 24 31	7 28 42 50 56	2
13-8.10	3 5 6 9 14 17 26 29	7 29 42 46 56	2
14-9.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26	0 77 0 168 0	0
14-9.2	3 5 9 14 15 17 22 26 28	5 55 45 96 106	3
14-9.3	3 5 9 14 15 17 22 23 26	6 55 40 96 116	1
14-9.4	3 5 9 15 18 20 24 30 31	8 42 64 85 112	0
14-9.5	3 5 9 14 15 18 20 24 31	8 42 65 84 108	0
14-9.6	3 5 6 9 14 17 22 26 29	8 43 64 80 112	0
14-9.7	3 5 9 14 15 18 20 24 30	8 43 64 80 112	0
14-9.8	3 5 6 9 14 15 23 26 29	8 45 64 72 112	0
14-9.9	3 5 6 9 14 17 22 26 27	9 42 60 84 118	2
14-9.10	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 26 29	9 43 61 80 114	2
15-10.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28	0 105 0 280 0	0
15-10.2	3 5 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 28	6 77 62 168 188	2
15-10.3	3 5 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 27	7 77 56 168 203	0
15-10.4	3 5 6 9 14 17 22 26 27 28	10 60 90 141 212	0
15-10.5	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 26 29	10 61 90 136 212	0
15-10.6	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 26 27	11 60 85 141 222	2
15-10.7	3 5 9 14 18 20 23 24 27 29	12 49 108 144 176	0
15-10.8	3 5 6 9 14 18 23 24 29 31	12 51 102 144 192	0
15-10.9	3 5 9 14 15 18 20 23 24 30	12 51 102 144 192	0
15-10.10	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 23 26	12 61 80 136 232	2
16-11.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31	0 140 0 448 0	0
16-11.2	3 5 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 27 28	7 105 84 280 315	1
16-11.3	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 26 27 28	12 83 124 230 376	0
16-11.4	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 29	12 84 124 224 376	0
16-11.5	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 27	13 83 118 230 391	2
16-11.6	3 5 9 14 18 20 23 24 27 29 31	15 65 156 232 315	0
16-11.7	3 5 6 9 10 14 17 22 27 28 29	15 70 141 231 358	0
16-11.8	3 5 6 9 10 14 17 22 23 26 29	15 71 140 226 363	0
16-11.9	3 5 6 9 10 14 15 17 22 26 29	15 73 140 216 363	0
16-11.10	3 5 6 9 10 14 17 22 26 29 31	16 65 148 236 336	0
17-12.1	3 5 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 27 28 29	8 140 112 448	0
17-12.2	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 27 28	14 112 168 364	0
17-12.3	3 5 6 9 10 14 17 22 23 26 27 28	18 95 192 354	0
17-12.4	3 5 6 9 10 14 15 17 22 27 28 29	18 95 193 354	0
17-12.5	3 5 6 9 10 14 15 17 22 23 26 29	18 96 192 348	0
18-13.1	3 5 6 9 14 15 17 22 23 26 27 28 29	16 148 224 560	0
18-13.2	3 5 6 9 10 14 15 17 22 23 26 27 28	21 126 259 532	0
18-13.3	3 5 6 7 9 10 11 17 18 19 28 29 30	22 126 252 532	0
18-13.4	3 5 6 9 14 15 18 21 23 24 27 28 31	24 108 288 552	0
18-13.5	3 5 6 9 10 14 17 22 23 24 27 28 29	24 113 272 547	0

Table 3  
(Cont'd)

Design	Additional Columns															W				C		
19-14.1	3	5	6	9	10	14	15	17	22	23	26	27	28	29		24	164	344	784	0		
19-14.2	3	5	6	7	9	10	11	17	18	19	28	29	30	31		25	164	336	784	0		
19-14.3	3	5	6	9	10	14	15	17	18	22	23	26	27	28		28	147	364	791	0		
19-14.4	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	15	17	22	23	26	27	28		28	148	364	784	0		
19-14.5	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	17	22	23	24	26	29	31		30	136	378	816	0		
20-15.1	3	5	6	9	10	14	15	17	18	22	23	26-29				32	188	480	1128	0		
20-15.2	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	15	17	22	23	26-29				32	189	480	1120	0		
20-15.3	3	5	6	7	9-12		17	18	19	28-31					33	188	472	1128	0	0		
20-15.4	3	5	6	9	10	14	15	17	18	22	23	26	27	28	31	35	175	491	1155	0		
20-15.5	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	15	17	18	22	23	26	27	28	35	176	490	1148	0		
21-16.1	3	5	6	9	10	14	15	17	18	22	23	26-29			31	40	220	641	1608	0		
21-16.2	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	15	17	18	22	23	26-29				40	221	640	1600	0	
21-16.3	3	5	6	7	9-12		17-20		28-31					41	220	632	1608	0	0			
21-16.4	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	17	19	22	23	24	26	28	29	31	42	210	651	1638	0	
21-16.5	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	15	17	18	21-25			26	29	42	213	644	1624	0		
22-17.1	3	5	6	9	10	13-15			17	18	21-23		25	26	29	30	48	263	832	2224	0	
22-17.2	3	5	6	9	10	13-15			17	18	21-23		25-28				49	259	833	2240	0	
22-17.3	3	5	6	7	9-12		17-20		25	28-31					49	261	825	2240	0	0		
22-17.4	3	5	6	7	9-12		17-20		24	28	29	30	31		50	260	816	2249	0	0		
22-17.5	3	5	6	7	9-13		17-20		28-31					50	261	816	2240	0	0			
23-18.1	3	5	6	9	10	13	14	15	17	18	21	22	23	25-29		56	315	1064	3024	0		
23-18.2	3	5	6	7	9-13			17-20			26	28-31				58	311	1050	3056	0		
23-18.3	3	5	6	7	9-13			17	18	19	20	21	26	27	28	30	59	308	1047	3073	0	
23-18.4	3	5	6	7	9-13			17-20			22				28-31	59	310	1041	3065	0		
23-18.5	3	5	6	7	9-13			17-21			26-29				59	311	1040	3056	0	0		
24-19.1	3	5	6	9	10	13-15			17	18	21-23		25-30		64	378	1344	4032	0	0		
24-19.2	3	5	6	7	9-13			17-21			26-30				67	371	1324	4088	0	0		
24-19.3	3	5	6	7	9-13			17	18	20	21	22	24	26	27	30	31	68	369	1316	4106	0
24-19.4	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-20			27-31				68	370	1316	4096	0	0		
24-19.5	3	5	6	7	9-13			17-20			22	24	27-30			69	366	1311	4129	0	0	
25-20.1	3	5	6	7	9-13			17-21			26-31				76	442	1656	5376	0	0		
25-20.2	3	5	6	7	9-13			17-20			22	24	27-31			78	437	1641	5422	0	0	
25-20.3	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-21			26-30				78	438	1640	5412	0	0		
25-20.4	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-22			25-28				79	436	1632	5430	0	0		
25-20.5	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-22			25	26	28	31	79	437	1630	5422	0	0		
26-21.1	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-21			26-31				88	518	2032	7032	0	0		
26-21.2	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-22			25-29				89	516	2023	7052	0	0		
26-21.3	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-22			24-26		28	31	90	515	2012	7063	0	0		
26-21.4	3	5	6	7	9-15			17-22			24-26		28		90	515	2013	7062	0	0		
26-21.5	3	5	6	7	9-15			17-26							90	516	2012	7052	0	0		
27-22.1	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-22			25-30				100	606	2484	9064	0	0		
27-22.2	3	5	6	7	9-15			17-26			28				101	605	2473	9075	0	0		
27-22.3	3	5	6	7	9-15			17-27							101	606	2472	9064	0	0		
28-23.1	3	5	6	7	9-14			17-22			25-31				112	707	3024	11536	0	0		
28-23.2	3	5	6	7	9-15			17-28							113	706	3012	11548	0	0		

**Table 4**

*Selected 64-run designs for  $n = 7$  to 32. (Each design consists of columns 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 and those specified in the "Additional Columns".  $C$  is the number of clear 2fi's.  $W = (A_4, \dots, A_7)$  when  $n < 18$  and  $W = (A_4, A_5, A_6)$  when  $n \geq 18$ .)*

Design	Additional Columns	W	C
7-1.1	63	0 0 0 1	21
8-2.1	15 51	0 2 1 0	28
9-3.1	7 27 45	1 4 2 0	30
9-3.2	7 25 43	2 3 1 1	24
9-3.3	7 27 43	2 4 0 0	24
9-3.4	7 11 61	3 0 4 0	21
9-3.5	7 25 42	3 0 4 0	18
9-3.6	7 11 53	3 2 0 2	21
9-3.7	7 11 51	3 3 0 0	21
9-3.8	7 11 29	3 4 0 0	21
9-3.9	7 11 49	4 0 2 0	15
9-3.10	7 11 21	5 0 2 0	12
10-4.1	7 27 43 53	2 8 4 0	33
10-4.2	7 25 42 53	3 6 4 2	27
10-4.3	7 11 29 51	3 7 4 0	30
10-4.4	7 11 29 46	3 8 3 0	30
10-4.5	7 11 29 49	4 6 2 2	24
10-4.6	7 11 29 45	4 8 0 0	24
10-4.7	7 25 42 52	5 0 10 0	15
10-4.8	7 11 21 57	5 4 2 4	21
10-4.9	7 11 21 45	5 5 2 2	21
10-4.10	7 11 13 62	7 0 7 0	24
11-5.1	7 11 29 45 51	4 14 8 0	34
11-5.2	7 25 42 52 63	5 10 10 5	25
11-5.3	7 11 29 46 49	5 12 7 4	28
11-5.4	7 11 21 46 56	6 10 8 4	25
11-5.5	7 11 29 45 49	6 12 4 4	25
11-5.6	7 11 19 29 62	6 12 8 0	27
11-5.7	7 11 21 38 57	7 8 7 8	22
11-5.8	7 11 21 41 51	7 9 6 6	22
11-5.9	7 11 13 30 49	8 10 4 4	28
11-5.10	7 11 13 30 46	8 14 0 0	28
12-6.1	7 11 29 45 51 62	6 24 16 0	36
12-6.2	7 11 21 46 54 56	8 20 14 8	27
12-6.3	7 11 21 41 51 63	9 18 13 12	24
12-6.4	7 11 21 41 54 56	10 15 16 11	21
12-6.5	7 11 13 30 46 49	10 20 8 8	30
12-6.6	7 11 19 37 57 63	10 16 12 16	20
12-6.7	7 11 19 29 37 59	10 16 16 8	20
12-6.8	7 11 19 29 37 57	10 18 10 12	20
12-6.9	7 11 21 25 38 58	11 14 15 12	21
12-6.10	7 11 13 19 46 49	12 14 12 12	23
13-7.1	7 11 21 25 38 58 60	14 28 24 24	20
13-7.2	7 11 13 30 46 49 63	14 33 16 16	36
13-7.3	7 11 19 29 37 59 62	15 24 32 16	12
13-7.4	7 11 19 29 37 41 60	15 27 21 27	16
13-7.5	7 11 13 19 46 49 63	15 28 20 24	22
13-7.6	7 11 19 30 37 41 52	16 22 30 22	17
13-7.7	7 11 13 19 37 57 63	16 24 22 32	18
13-7.8	7 11 19 37 41 60 63	16 26 18 30	12
13-7.9	7 11 19 29 37 41 47	18 20 28 24	20
13-7.10	7 11 13 19 35 49 63	18 21 24 24	21
14-8.1	7 11 19 30 37 41 49 60	22 40 36 56	8
14-8.2	7 11 19 29 30 37 41 47	22 40 41 48	16
14-8.3	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 60	29 26 46 50	19
14-8.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 54	38 17 52 44	25

Table 4  
(Cont'd)

Design	Additional Columns	W	C
14-8.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 57	39 16 48 48	25
14-8.6	7 11 19 29 30 37 41 49	22 41 36 52	8
14-8.7	7 11 19 30 37 41 52 56	23 32 56 40	13
14-8.8	7 11 13 19 21 41 54 63	23 38 38 54	16
14-8.9	7 11 13 19 21 46 54 56	23 40 36 48	16
14-8.10	7 11 19 29 37 41 47 49	24 31 54 42	16
15-9.1	7 11 19 30 37 41 49 60 63	30 60 60 105	0
15-9.2	7 11 19 29 30 37 41 49 60	30 61 60 100	0
15-9.3	7 11 19 29 37 41 47 49 55	33 44 96 72	14
15-9.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 35 41 63	39 38 80 88	19
15-9.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 58	55 22 96 72	27
15-9.6	7 11 13 19 21 35 37 57 58	33 54 60 108	6
15-9.7	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 60 63	34 52 65 100	12
15-9.8	7 11 13 19 21 35 41 49 63	35 42 88 80	14
15-9.9	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 37 63	37 40 84 84	17
15-9.10	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 60	43 34 80 88	18
16-10.1	7 11 13 19 21 35 37 57 58 60	43 81 96 189	0
16-10.2	7 11 19 29 37 41 47 49 55 59	45 60 160 120	15
16-10.3	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 37 41 63	49 56 144 136	15
16-10.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 37 63	53 52 136 144	18
16-10.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 35 60	61 44 136 144	17
16-10.6	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 60	77 28 168 112	29
16-10.7	7 11 13 14 19 21 35 37 57 58	47 72 98 192	4
16-10.8	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 60 63	49 68 108 176	8
16-10.9	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 57 60	51 64 102 192	4
16-10.10	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 37 57	57 48 120 160	15
16-10.11	7 11 13 19 21 35 41 50 61 62	59 0 262 0	0
16-10.12	7 11 13 19 21 35 41 49 61 62	60 0 256 0	0
16-10.13	7 11 13 19 21 35 41 52 56 62	60 0 256 0	0
16-10.14	7 11 19 37 41 47 49 55 59 62	60 0 256 0	0
16-10.15	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 44 55 61	60 0 257 0	0
17-11.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 35 37 57 58 60	59 108 150 324	0
17-11.2	7 11 19 29 37 41 47 49 55 59 62	60 80 256 192	16
17-11.3	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 37 41 49 63	65 75 232 216	16
17-11.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 37 41 63	68 72 224 224	16
17-11.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 35 37 63	73 67 216 232	19
17-11.6	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 63	105 35 280 168	31
17-11.7	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 37 38 57	76 64 192 256	16
17-11.8	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 37 42 61 62	79 0 394 0	0
17-11.9	7 11 13 14 19 21 35 41 49 50 61	80 0 388 0	0
17-11.10	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 60	84 56 224 224	16
18-12.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 37 57 58 60	78 144 228	0
18-12.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 37 38 57 58	84 128 240	0
18-12.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 60 63	92 112 280	0
18-12.4	7 11 13 19 21 25 35 37 42 49 61 62	102 0 588	0
18-12.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 44 49 52 62	103 0 582	0
19-13.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 37 38 57 58 60	100 192 336	0
19-13.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 41 44 49 55 56	131 0 847	0
19-13.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 37 42 49 50 61	131 0 847	0
19-13.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 41 42 49 52 56	132 0 840	0
19-13.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 37 41 49 50 61	132 0 840	0
20-14.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 37 38 57 58 60 63	125 256 480	0
20-14.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 41 42 49 52 56 62	164 0 1208	0
20-14.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 41 42 49 52 56 61	165 0 1200	0
20-14.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 35 41 42 49 50 61 62	165 0 1200	0
20-14.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 25 35 37 42 49 52 59 61	165 0 1200	0

**Table 4**  
(Cont'd)

Design	Additional Columns	W	C
21-15.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 35 41 42 49 52 56 62	204 0 1680	0
21-15.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 35 37 41 42 49 50 61	205 0 1672	0
21-15.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 35 37 41 42 49 52 56	205 0 1672	0
21-15.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 35 41 42 49 50 61 62	205 0 1672	0
21-15.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 37 41 44 49 52 59	206 0 1666	0
22-16.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 35 37 41 42 49 52 56 62	250 0 2304	0
22-16.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 41 44 49 52 59	251 0 2296	0
22-16.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 37 41 44 49 52 59 62	251 0 2296	0
22-16.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 38 41 44 49 56	252 0 2288	0
22-16.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 38 41 44 49 55	252 0 2289	0
23-17.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 41 44 49 52 56 62	304 0 3105	0
23-17.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 38 41 44 49 55 56	304 0 3105	0
23-17.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 38 41 42 49 52 56	305 0 3096	0
23-17.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 35 37 38 41 42 49 52	306 0 3089	0
23-17.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 35 37 38 41 42 49 50	307 0 3080	0
24-18.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 38 41 42 49 52 56 62	365 0 4138	0
24-18.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 35 37 38 41 42 49 52 56 61	366 0 4128	0
24-18.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 35 37 38 41 42 49 52 56	366 0 4129	0
24-18.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 35 37 38 41 42 44 49 50	367 0 4120	0
24-18.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 49 52	369 0 4106	0
25-19.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 35 37 38 41 42 49 52 56 62	435 0 5440	0
25-19.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 35 37 38 41 42 44 49 50 52	436 0 5430	0
25-19.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 49 52 56	437 0 5422	0
25-19.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 49 50	438 0 5412	0
25-19.5	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49	442 0 5376	0
26-20.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 35 37 38 41 42 44 49 50 52 56	515 0 7062	0
26-20.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 49 52 56 62	515 0 7063	0
26-20.3	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 49 50 52	516 0 7052	0
26-20.4	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50	518 0 7032	0
27-21.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 49 50 52 56	605 0 9075	0
27-21.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50 52	606 0 9064	0
28-22.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50 52 56	706 0 11548	0
28-22.2	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50 52 55	707 0 11536	0
29-23.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50 52 55 56	819 0 14560	0
30-24.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50 52 55 56 59	945 0 18200	0
31-25.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50 52 55 56 59 61	1085 0 22568	0
32-26.1	7 11 13 14 19 21 22 25 26 28 31 35 37 38 41 42 44 47 49 50 52 55 56 59 61 62	1240 0 27776	0

**Table 5***Design matrix for 27-run designs*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	2
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2

**Table 6**

Complete catalogue of 27-run designs. (Each design consists of columns 1, 2, 5 and those specified in the "Additional Columns".  $W = (A_3, A_4, \dots)$ . Designs for  $n = 11$  and  $n = 12$  are unique.)

Design	Additional Columns	W	C
4-1.1	8	0 1	0
4-1.2	3	1 0	3
5-2.1	3 9	1 3 0	0
5-2.2	3 6	2 1 1	0
5-2.3	3 4	4 0 0	4
6-3.1	3 9 13	2 9 0 2	0
6-3.2	3 6 11	3 6 3 1	0
6-3.3	3 6 7	4 3 6 0	0
6-3.4	3 4 6	5 3 3 2	0
7-4.1	3 10 11 13	5 15 9 8 3	0
7-4.2	4 8 10 11	6 11 15 4 4	0
7-4.3	4 8 9 11	7 10 12 9 2	0
7-4.4	3 4 9 13	8 9 9 14 0	0
8-5.1	3 8 9 10 11	8 30 24 32 24 3	0
8-5.2	4 8 9 10 11	10 23 32 30 22 4	0
8-5.3	3 4 9 11 13	11 21 30 38 15 6	0
9-6.1	3 8 9 10 11 13	12 54 54 96 108 27 13	0
9-6.2	3 4 8 9 10 11	15 42 69 96 93 39 10	0
9-6.3	4 9 10 11 12 13	16 39 69 106 78 48 8	0
10-7.1	3 6 7 8 10 11 12	21 72 135 240 315 189 103 18	0
10-7.2	3 4 6 7 8 10 11	22 68 138 250 290 213 92 20	0

## Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, General Motors of Canada Limited, and the Manufacturing Research Corporation of Ontario.

## References

- Box, G.E.P. & Hunter, J.S. (1961). The  $2^{k-p}$  fractional factorial designs. *Technometrics* **3**, 311–351 and 449–458.
- Box, G.E.P., Hunter, W.G. & Hunter, J.S. (1978). *Statistics for Experimenters*. New York: Wiley.
- Chen, J. (1992). On minimum aberration  $2^{n-k}$  fractional factorial designs. *Ann. Statist.* To appear.
- Chen, J. & Wu, C. F. J. (1991). Some results on  $s^{n-k}$  fractional factorial designs with minimum aberration or optimal moments. *Ann. Statist.* **19**, 1028–1041.
- Chen, J. & Lin, D. K. J. (1991). On the identity relationships of  $2^{k-p}$  designs. *J. Statist. Plan. Infer.* **28**, 95–98.
- Draper, N. R. & Mitchell, T. J. (1970). Construction of a set of 512-run designs of resolution  $\geq 5$  and a set of even 1024-run designs of resolution  $\geq 6$ . *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41**, 876–887.
- Franklin, M. F. (1984). Constructing tables of minimum aberration  $p^{n-m}$  designs. *Technometrics* **26**, 225–232.
- Fries, A. & Hunter, W. G. (1980). Minimum aberration  $2^{k-p}$  designs. *Technometrics* **22**, 601–608.
- National Bureau of Standards (1957). *Fractional Factorial Experiment Designs for Factors at Two Levels, Applied Mathematics Series 48*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- National Bureau of Standards (1959). *Fractional Factorial Experiment Designs for Factors at Three Levels, Applied Mathematics Series 54*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing office.
- Shoemaker, A. C., Tsui, K. L. & Wu, C. F. J. (1991). Economical experimentation methods for robust design. *Technometrics* **33**, 415–427.
- Taguchi, G. (1987). *System of Experimental Design*. New York: UNIPUB.
- Wu, C. F. J. & Chen, Y. Y. (1992). A graph-aided method for planning two-level experiments when certain interactions are important. *Technometrics* **34**, 162–175.

**Résumé**

Les plans factoriels fractionnés (FF) avec aberration minimum sont souvent considérés comme les meilleurs plans et sont souvent utilisés en pratique. Il y a toutefois des situations dans lesquelles d'autres plans répondent mieux aux besoins pratiques. L'accès à un catalogue de plans faciliterait la recherche des "meilleurs" plans selon divers critères. Après avoir étudié la structure algébrique des plans FF, nous proposons un algorithme pour la construction d'ensembles complets de tels plans. Un ensemble de plans FF comportant 16, 27, 32 et 64 passages est fourni.

*Mots-clés:* Sous-groupe à contraste déterminant; Plans à aberration minimum; Résolution; Structure des longueurs de mots; Structure des lettres.

*[Received March 1992, accepted September 1992]*