



University of Dundee

A Century of Change towards Prevention and Minimal Intervention in Cariology

Innes, N. P. T.; Chu, C. H.; Fontana, M.; Lo, E. C. M.; Thomson, W. M.; Uribe, S.

Published in:
Journal of Dental Research

DOI:
[10.1177/0022034519837252](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034519837252)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Innes, N. P. T., Chu, C. H., Fontana, M., Lo, E. C. M., Thomson, W. M., Uribe, S., Heiland, M., Jepsen, S., & Schwendicke, F. (2019). A Century of Change towards Prevention and Minimal Intervention in Cariology. *Journal of Dental Research*, 98(6), 611-617. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034519837252>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Cariology: A Century of Change in Prevention and Minimal Intervention

Nicola P T Innes¹, C H Chu², Margherita Fontana³, Edward CM Lo², W. Murray Thomson⁴, Sergio Uribe⁵, Max Heiland⁶, Søren Jepsen⁷, Falk Schwendicke⁸

¹ School of Dentistry, University of Dundee, Park Place, Dundee, DD1 4HR

² University of Hong Kong, Faculty of Dentistry, 34 Hospital Road, Hong Kong, HK

³ University of Michigan School of Dentistry, Cariology and Restorative Sciences, 1011 N University, Ann Arbor, MI, USA 48109-1078

⁴ Department of Oral Sciences, The University of Otago School of Dentistry, 280 Great King St, Dunedin, NZ 9001

⁵ School of Dentistry, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

⁶ Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Augustenburger Platz 1, Berlin

⁷ University of Bonn, Periodontology, Operative and Preventive Dentistry, Welschnonnenstrasse 17, 53111 Bonn, Germany

⁸ Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Operative and Preventive Dentistry, Aßmannshäuser, Str. 4-6, Berlin

Corresponding author: Nicola Innes
School of Dentistry, University of Dundee
Park Place, Dundee, DD1 4HR,
UK
Email: n.p.innes@dundee.ac.uk

Keywords: Caries, minimal intervention dentistry, diagnosis, prevention, dental care

Abstract

Better understanding of dental caries and other oral conditions has guided new strategies to prevent disease and manage its consequences at individual and public health levels. This paper discusses advances in prevention and minimal intervention dentistry over the last century by focussing on some milestones within scientific, clinical and public health arenas, mainly in cariology but also beyond, highlighting current understanding and evidence, along with future prospects.

Dentistry was initially established as a surgical specialty. Dental caries (similar to periodontitis) was considered to be an infectious disease 100 years ago. Its ubiquitous presence and rampant nature - coupled with limited diagnostic tools and therapeutic treatment options - meant that these dental diseases were managed mainly by excising affected tissue. The understanding of the diseases, a change in their prevalence, extent and severity, together with evolutions in operative techniques, technologies and materials have enabled a shift from surgical to preventive and minimal intervention dentistry approaches. Future challenges to embrace include: continuing the dental profession's move towards a more patient-centered, evidence-based, less invasive management of these diseases, focused on promoting and maintaining oral health in partnership with patients. In parallel, public health needs to continue to, for example, tackle social inequalities in dental health, develop better preventive and management options for existing disease-risk groups, such as the growing ageing population, and the development of reimbursement and health outcome models that facilitate implementation of these evolving strategies. A century ago, almost every treatment involved injections, a drill or scalpel, or a pair of forceps. Today, dentists have more options than ever before available to them. These are supported by evidence, have a minimal intervention focus and result in better outcomes for patients. The profession's greatest challenge is moving this evidence into practice.

Introduction

The concept of minimal (or minimum) intervention dentistry (Kearns et al. 2015) within oral healthcare has moved from a fringe topic, taken seriously by only a few, to the centre of oral healthcare. The advances in understanding of dental diseases, human behaviour, diagnostics, biomaterials, clinical operative techniques and technologies have all contributed to our understanding of why MID, as a patient-centred, biological and economical paradigm is the contemporary way to deliver dental care. This paper focuses on the gradual shift from surgical to minimal intervention and preventive dentistry over the past century and the implications of this shift for public health. We present some MID milestones within the scientific, clinical and public health arenas and consider the future prospects of MID.

MID

For most of human history, the cornerstones of dentistry have been removing carious enamel and dentin (Oxilia et al. 2015), excising infected periodontal tissues, and extracting teeth (and sometimes replacing them). With great foresight, in 1896 GV Black expressed a hope that “The day is surely coming, when we will be engaged in practising preventive, rather than reparative dentistry.” (Joseph 2005). Yet establishing the dental profession as a surgical specialty in the late 19th and early 20th century seems to have set the path for a mainly operative approach towards managing dental diseases. This surgical approach was initially grounded in the necessity to treat rampant caries, periodontal disease and associated pain or infection with very limited means available. The growing understanding of dental caries, and simultaneously periodontitis, as lifestyle-mediated biofilm diseases, led to a feeling of futility associated with simply trying to “fix” symptoms without managing the disease or its causes. Improved diagnostics, operative techniques and biomaterials led to the emergence of novel concepts for preventing and controlling dental caries, followed by a change towards minimally interventive approaches towards other oral and dental conditions (Figure 1).

Dental Caries

The recognition of the role of bacteria as a cause of “fermentation” leading to dissolution of tooth substance (Miller 1890) led to the idea that dental caries was an infectious disease, requiring “excision” of affected tissues. Generations of dentists were taught a highly invasive, operatively-based approach to managing carious lesions (Black 1908), and this prevailed for almost a century. All contaminated (previously known as “infected”) or demineralized (previously known as “affected”) dental tissue was excised. The appreciation that certain bacteria, notably *Streptococcus mutans*, were more commonly associated with the disease (Keyes 1960; Loesche 1986) supported this approach, as did early successes in managing other, non-dental infectious diseases using antibiotics or vaccination. For dental caries, these approaches (i.e., managing caries as an infectious disease) largely failed to yield significant individual or public health benefits, evidenced by the widespread experience of rampant caries until the 1980s in most high-income countries.

Over the past 100 years, the futility of this traditional surgically-focused approach has become acknowledged (Fejerskov 2004). Alongside this, a growing recognition of the restorative “spiral” (Elderton 1990) and the escalating invasiveness of re-treatments, initiated by placement of the first restoration, is increasingly seen as part of the problem, rather than being the solution for managing caries. In contrast, an emerging understanding of the complexity of dental biofilm (Costerton 1995), supported by modern analytic technologies such as genomics, microbiomics and metabolomics, facilitated a change in approaching dental caries

1
2
3 management. Knowledge of bacterial species' interdependence and communication systems
4 (Kolenbrander et al. 2010) and the role of extracellular matrices (Koo et al. 2013) has clarified
5 how bacteria need particular conditions, like the population of a city, to thrive (Marsh 2005).
6 The shift between stages of physiologic biofilm conditions and dysbiosis is a response to
7 environmental pressures (Neilands et al. 2014), a concept which invites management focussing
8 on rebalancing and modulating the biofilm composition (Marsh 2006) and activity, and not
9 attempting to eradicate the biofilm *per se*.
10

11
12 New technologies for detecting and treating dental caries were developed in parallel. These
13 fuelled a change from managing the signs of the disease through excision and restoration
14 towards preventing it or controlling its activity. Adhesive dentistry, initiated by the introduction
15 of enamel acid-etching and resin bonding to dental tissues (Buonocore 1955), enabled dentists
16 for the first time to remove only the tissue affected by bacterial contamination, instead of
17 cutting cavities according to material demands (e.g., following the cavity preparation rules
18 which G.V. Black had introduced for dental amalgam restorations). The ability to detect lesions
19 at earlier stages using radiography, and later other technologies, may initially have driven
20 earlier intervention to manage the disease at enamel and pre-cavitation stages (Innes and
21 Schwendicke 2017), however there is trend that this is reversing and ultimately early detection
22 has enabled targeted, less invasive management of early-stage disease.
23
24

25
26 There was a slow shift towards rebalancing the oral biofilm composition and activity (Elderton
27 1985; Handelman et al. 1976; Massler 1967; Walsh and Brostek 2013). The emerging health
28 concept of modulating microbiomes via probiotics (i.e., live microorganisms conveying health
29 benefits) has begun to be applied also to managing dental caries and periodontal disease oral
30 biofilms (Mira 2018). Probiotics can replace pathogenic bacteria (such as *S. mutans*),
31 modulating pathogenicity or altering the resulting immune response. However, their efficacy
32 and the sustainability of any effect for caries prevention and management remains debatable.
33 Notably, most probiotic bacteria are themselves acidogenic and aciduric (these properties are
34 part of the mechanism that contributes to their health benefits, when applied to the skin or in
35 the gut, for example). This may, in part, explain the heterogeneity in findings from clinical
36 studies of caries prevention and management using probiotics.
37

38
39 Contemporary dentistry has turned towards strategies to arrest or even heal carious lesions.
40 This success is illustrated by the dramatic decline in caries in children in most high-income
41 countries (Lagerweij and van Loveren 2015). Use of fluoride in general and especially the
42 regular use of fluoride toothpaste for preventing dental caries and arresting carious lesions is
43 supported by strong and consistent evidence (Marinho et al. 2003; Marinho et al. 2013; Walsh
44 et al. 2010; Wong et al. 2011). In fact, combined, home-based toothbrushing with fluoride
45 toothpaste is likely to have had the greatest contribution to the reduction in dental caries
46 prevalence and experience (Bratthall et al. 1996).
47

48
49 There have been developments of alternative/ supplemental strategies for lesion prevention/
50 mineralization (Featherstone et al. 2018; Fontana 2016; Ten Cate 2012). While calcium-based
51 strategies still have inconsistent evidence for their effectiveness (Slayton et al. 2018; Urquhart
52 et al. 2018), others seem promising, such as fluoride combined with antimicrobials (e.g.
53 Stannous Fluoride and Silver Diamine Fluoride, or with arginine (Wolff and Schenkel 2018)).
54 Novel remineralization methods, such as use of peptides to enhance deeper remineralization
55 (Alkilzy et al. 2018), are showing promise.
56

57
58 Although the direct causal link between sugar and caries development was established through
59 studies as far back as the 1950s and 60s [the Vipeholm (Gustafsson et al. 1954), Hopewood
60 House (Harris 1963), Tristan da Cunha (Holloway et al. 1962) and Turku sugar studies
(Scheinin et al. 1976)], sugar has only recently become of serious interest within dentistry. This

1
2
3 omission may have been supported by a range of underlying agendas (Kearns et al. 2015). Only
4 lately has the addictive potential of sugar begun to be understood; with sweet foods' stimulation
5 of the human reward system, encouraging repeated over-consumption. In addition, there is only
6 recent acknowledgement of the role of sugar in a wide range of health conditions acting through
7 modification of the microbiome and inflammasome. The robust evidence linking both
8 frequency, and amount, of sugar intake to caries increment and the recognition of the sugar
9 "epidemic" as a problem for broader health, with sugar being a common risk factor for several
10 important non-communicable diseases, has led to prioritization of approaches to reduce sugar
11 consumption. However, there is still limited evidence to support strategies to promote
12 behaviour change at an individual level (Albino and Tiwari 2016; Harris et al. 2012). Hence,
13 public health efforts (such as reducing access to sugar-sweetened beverages, reformulation of
14 foods and drinks, and sugar taxation) have increasingly become the focus of existing efforts
15 (Schwendicke et al. 2016), and dentistry is increasingly involved in advocating for these
16 measures. Linking our preventive efforts with those of other health disciplines will likely be to
17 the benefit of our patients, the wider public and our profession (FDI World Dental Federation
18 2018; GBD Risk Factors Collaborators 2016; NCD Alliance 2018; World Health Organisation
19 2018).

20
21
22
23 Using restorative materials to seal carious lesions are another group of strategies that modify
24 the environment and thereby the microbiome composition, aiming to arrest the lesion
25 (Handelman et al. 1976). Studies on sealing sound and later carious tissue, showed that sealants
26 impede acid diffusion into—and mineral diffusion out of—the dental tissue, and also isolate
27 sealed bacteria from their dietary carbohydrate source (Griffin et al. 2008) These were carried
28 out initially only for enamel, and later also for non-cavitated lesions, using sealant materials
29 (Slayton et al. 2018; Wright et al. 2016), then cavitated ones extending into dentin using more
30 mechanically robust materials (Mertz-Fairhurst et al. 1987). Sealing lesions is less
31 mechanically destructive and more protective of the dental pulp than techniques involving
32 removing all carious tissue (Mertz-Fairhurst et al. 1987; Ricketts et al. 2013). The
33 understanding that bacteria can be sealed (Oong et al. 2008) was the pillar for less invasive
34 carious tissue removal prior to placing a restoration, as cariogenic bacteria and carious tissue
35 were allowed to be left and sealed in proximity to the pulp. Concepts such as stepwise or
36 selective removal of carious tissue are built on this foundation, reducing the risk of pulp
37 exposure and its sequelae. For primary teeth, the Hall Technique combines sealing of carious
38 tissue with restoration using a stainless steel crown (Schwendicke et al. 2016).

42 Prevention and minimally invasive therapy: concepts crossing 43 disciplines 44

45
46 Over the past century and alongside or following the changes towards minimally invasive
47 treatments for caries (Table 1), other dental disciplines have adopted the concepts of prevention
48 and MID. These include periodontology and oral and maxillofacial surgery.

49
50 The science of periodontology, for example, and the concepts of periodontal etiopathogenesis
51 have evolved from the early descriptions of "alveolar pyorrhea" to the current concepts of
52 "microbial dysbiosis". During this time, the clinical discipline of periodontics has seen many
53 paradigm changes (Heitz-Mayfield and Lang 2013). Until the 1960s, removal of diseased
54 tissues was considered necessary, and this led to invasive surgical interventions with removal
55 of gingival tissue and/or bone. Later, surgical pocket elimination became the main objective of
56 periodontal therapy and either gingivectomies or apically positioned flap procedures were
57 widely undertaken. In the 1980s, with more knowledge of periodontal biology, pathogenesis
58 and wound healing, the necessity for pocket elimination was challenged. The focus shifted to
59
60

1
2
3 surgical flap procedures enabling access to the root surfaces for scaling and root planing. At
4 the same time, it was demonstrated that non-surgical periodontal therapy was effective even in
5 deep pockets (Badersten et al. 1984), and the concepts of intentional gingival curettage and
6 excessive removal of contaminated cementum were abandoned. A critical probing depth was
7 determined above which periodontal surgery led to more pocket reduction and clinical
8 attachment gain than scaling and root planning (Lindhe et al. 1982). It was also established that
9 the long-term success of periodontal therapy was critically dependent on the quality of
10 maintenance care and plaque control (Axelsson and Lindhe 1981; Ramfjord et al. 1987).
11 Important advances were also made in regenerative periodontal surgery for advanced intra-
12 bony defects. Minimally invasive procedures show advantages in wound healing outcomes,
13 recession and patient morbidity (Cortellini and Tonetti 2009; Trombelli et al. 2012). Twenty-
14 year outcomes of regenerative therapy are promising (Cortellini et al. 2017). Novel periodontal
15 tissue bioengineering is also under development (Fretwurst et al. 2018).

16
17
18 Similar to caries, the concepts of primary and secondary prevention are crucial in
19 periodontology (Tonetti et al. 2015). Periodontitis is preventable through effective
20 management of gingivitis and promotion of healthy lifestyles at both population and individual
21 levels (Chapple et al. 2015; Jepsen et al. 2017). Risk profiling and stratification is of key
22 importance (Giannobile et al. 2013). Overwhelming evidence shows that, in the majority of
23 patients, periodontitis can be treated and effectively managed by a series of sequential phases
24 of care, along with appropriate, sustained changes to self-care and smoking habits (Tonetti et
25 al. 2017).

26
27
28 Oral and maxillofacial surgery has also become less invasive, with comparable reductions in
29 morbidity. Instead of open approaches, techniques using endoscopes, microscopes or robotic
30 systems are now routine in many procedures, such as those involving the sinuses, the temporo-
31 mandibular joint or salivary glands, but also in tumor surgery and some aesthetic procedures.
32 As an example, the field of trans-oral robotic surgery has seen dynamic development during
33 the last years, with the number of papers published rising from three 2006 to 123 in 2016 alone
34 (Poon et al. 2018). Minimal invasive surgery can also involve virtual planning based on 3D
35 image data and its transfer using individual drill guides, robotic systems, patient-specific
36 implants or navigation systems (Heiland et al. 2004). However, these systems need further
37 refinement. Notably, though, these strategies require more preoperative data, possibly
38 involving greater radiation exposure, and are more expensive than conventional approaches.
39 More robust evidence of the benefits to patients is also needed.

40 41 42 43 Implications and the future

44
45 In most high-income countries, there have been improvements in dental health. Dental caries
46 experience in children has been declining for decades (although early childhood caries remains
47 a problem), and a simultaneous reduction has been seen recently in adults and seniors
48 (Lagerweij and van Loveren 2015). More teeth are being retained in adults and seniors than
49 ever before, with the need for removable prostheses decreasing dramatically. Despite the
50 retention of more teeth, the number of periodontally-affected teeth does not seem to be
51 increasing and this has been linked to falling smoking rates (Haisman-Welsh and Thomson
52 2012). While it would be pretentious to assume that these successes are grounded only in the
53 changing approach of the profession towards dental health – from surgery to MID and
54 prevention – this shift in managing dental disease has certainly contributed to it. Arising from
55 these successes, however, are implications for how we manage dental caries and other
56 conditions. Future shifts in morbidity and population demographics will further impact the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 direction of our profession. There are a number of aspects which need highlighting; these are
4 considered below.
5

6 Firstly, dentistry is becoming more complex. Fifty years ago, a dentist would usually be
7 presented with a fairly homogeneous group of patients: most were seen every 6 months, had
8 cavitated lesions, required (mainly amalgam) restorations, and they lost teeth early on. With
9 the differential, age- and socially-specific decline in the number of carious, restored or missing
10 teeth, dentists nowadays are faced with a highly heterogeneous clientele. Hence, there is a need
11 for more targeted diagnostics and personalized management to ensure that each of these very
12 different patients receives the best treatment. So far, the tools for identifying the specific
13 preventive and therapeutic needs of a patient rely largely on history-taking and clinical and/or
14 radiographic findings. Most caries risk assessment systems, for example, use a range of risk
15 indicators (caries experience, dietary habits, oral hygiene, fluoride intake), weight them and
16 then assign the individual's risk status. The same is true for periodontal risk assessment tools
17 and the recent re-classification of periodontal diseases, with multidimensional staging and
18 grading (Papapanou et al. 2018). Based on such risk assessment or classification, active and
19 supportive care can be determined, along with treatment thresholds (Schwendicke 2018).
20 However, most risk assessment or disease classification systems have been only sparsely
21 validated, show limited accuracy, and are not truly "personalized", but allow only a rough
22 stratification of individuals according to risk. It can be assumed that, with progress in systems
23 medicine allowing deeper insights into individual disease mechanisms based on clinical,
24 imagery, (saliva or blood) sample or routine (also non-health) data, new insights into individual
25 risk and current health conditions will be possible. Digital technologies will enable the best use
26 of these data, eventually paving the way for "4P dentistry": precision, personalized, preventive
27 and participatory dental care (Hood and Flores 2012). Such an approach promises considerable
28 health gains at an individual level. However, at a population level, it could increase inequality,
29 as those with the disease are less likely to seek or afford care (Knight and Thomson 2018).
30
31
32
33

34 This leads to the second aspect; while dentistry has changed for the better, not everyone has
35 benefitted unequivocally from improvements in oral health. Its chronic, cumulative behaviour-
36 mediated diseases mean that dentistry shares the problem of inequality with other non-
37 communicable diseases; the common conditions disproportionately affect those of lower social
38 position. This is grounded in inequality but aggravated by numerous social, structural and/or
39 institutional factors. There is compelling evidence that dental services utilization, for example,
40 is also highly unfairly distributed among different social, ethnic, economic, and educational
41 groups. Dental care is therefore unlikely to reduce inequalities in health and may accentuate
42 them. Increasing the application of public health policies—such as promoting fluoridation,
43 anti-smoking policies or healthy diets—may help to reduce such social inequality. Moreover,
44 preventive care and MID need to be made available to billions of people currently without
45 access to conventional dental care, such as through using the Atraumatic Restorative Technique
46 (Frencken et al. 2012), or through interprofessional collaborations .
47
48

49 Thirdly, with older people living longer and retaining more teeth but having multiple
50 morbidities, the focus of dental care will need to change. Cumulative (lifelong) caries
51 experience is unlikely to reduce (Broadbent et al. 2013; Knight and Thomson 2018), but shifted
52 into older age (a phenomenon known as "morbidity compression"). Periodontal diseases will
53 be mostly affected and this need will have to be addressed in elderly individuals (Schwendicke
54 et al. 2018). Prevention and MID will need to account for this, with new concepts and products
55 being required specifically for older people.
56
57

58 Finally, disease detection and assessment need to adapt. The concept that lesions can be active
59 or inactive means that the "scar" of the disease (such as a discoloured, inactive lesion, or a
60

1
2
3 residual radiographic radiolucency) is not necessarily a problem or a health risk for the patient.
4 This is an important conceptual hurdle for the profession because the traditional approach has
5 been to aim for a fully restored dentition as the gold standard. However, contemporary dentistry
6 often involves neither removal (because this would do more harm than good, as discussed
7 above) nor healing (because we are currently unable to do so) of carious lesions; thus, it is vital
8 to be able to categorise a lesion as “arrested” or “active” and monitor this. Only a few validated
9 systems to assess lesion activity are available; these include the International Caries Detection
10 and Assessment System (ICDAS) (Pitts 2004) and the Nyvad Criteria (Nyvad and Baelum
11 2018). Moreover, the radiographic detection of carious lesions has been found to be limited in
12 accuracy. Proximal radiographic examination has low sensitivity to detect small lesions
13 (Schwendicke et al. 2015) and low agreement when used by general dentists. Machine learning,
14 in particular the application of deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in order to build
15 predictive models for radiographic imagery data, may help to improve accuracy in diagnosis.
16
17
18

19 Conclusion

20
21 In dentistry (and specifically cariology), we take for granted many of the things we now do on
22 a daily basis, and it is easy to forget that there was little understanding of the pathogenesis of
23 dental caries and other conditions 100 years ago, with almost every treatment involving
24 injections, a drill, a scalpel or a pair of forceps. Today, we have a far better understanding of
25 etiopathology of dental caries as a biofilm-based but behaviour-mediated disease. Dentists have
26 a larger number of options and evidence available and, in parallel with other oral conditions,
27 are moving towards more minimal intervention, evidence supported but personalised treatment
28 options. that focus on promoting and maintaining oral health. Preventive and public health
29 efforts across the globe have achieved a great deal in this, although the benefits are not yet
30 universal.
31
32

33 We nevertheless face many challenges, some of which we have discussed above. However,
34 one of the greatest challenges lies not in future developments, as important as these are, but in
35 implementing what we know is most effective, and making the best use of what we already
36 have developed and available to us: translating the science, ideas and concepts of cariology and
37 minimally invasive dentistry into practice.
38
39

40 Author Contributions

41 All authors contributed to the conception and design of the manuscript. N.P.T. Innes and F
42 Schwendicke drafted the manuscript outline and all authors contributed to writing and critical
43 revision iteratively, gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
44
45

46 Acknowledgements

47 The authors' institutions supported this work. There were no external sources of funding.
48
49

50 Conflict of Interest

51 The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or
52 publication of this article.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 1. Past, present and future aspects of prevention and minimal intervention.

Table 1. Milestones in the development of cariology.

Year	Development
1890	Non-specific plaque hypothesis described by Millar Periodontal disease described as pyorrhea by Riggs
1895	Roentgen discovers x-rays. Two weeks later, (January 1896), Walkhoff takes the first dental x-ray. X-rays. Roentgen wins the first Nobel Prize in Physics (1901).
1896	G V Black describes classical cavity preparations
1900	Focal theory; teeth are the reasons for systemic infections
1909	Role of fluoride in fluorosis established
1925	Raper introduces the bitewing technique.
1945	Grand Rapids initiates water fluoridation for caries prevention
1948	Introduction of panoramic radiography.
1954	Buonocore introduces acid-etching technique, allowing adhesive dentistry
1950s and 60s	Central role of sugar established through studies such as the Vipeholm, Hopewood House and Tristan da Cunha
1965	The association between plaque and periodontal disease is established by Loe
1970s	Costerton develops concepts of the biofilm and complex biofilm communities

1
2
3
4 Sealing-in caries first demonstrated as viable strategy
5

6
7 1976 Loesche describes the specific plaque hypothesis.
8
9

10 1983 Development of cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).
11 Hafferjee and Socransky describe clusters of periodontal pathogens
12
13

14
15 1980s Machine-driven periodontal instrumentation introduced
16
17

18 1987 Intraoral digital radiography introduced.
19

20
21 1990- Genetic links in periodontitis and caries established
22 2000s
23

24
25 1991 Marsh describes the ecological plaque hypothesis
26
27

28 2018 Robot performs a surgical implant with only human programming prior to
29 the procedure at University of Beijing and the Fourth Military Medical
30 University Stomatological Hospital in China
31

32
33 2018 Machine learning systems allow detection of carious lesions, periodontal
34 bone loss and apical lesions with accuracies similar or superior to
35 experienced dentists
36
37

References

- Albino J, Tiwari T. 2016. Preventing childhood caries: A review of recent behavioral research. *J Dent Res.* 95(1):35-42.
- Alkilzy M, Santamaria RM, Schmoekkel J, Splieth CH. 2018. Treatment of carious lesions using self-assembling peptides. *Adv Dent Res.* 29(1):42-47.
- Axelsson P, Lindhe J. 1981. Effect of controlled oral hygiene procedures on caries and periodontal disease in adults. Results after 6 years. *J Clin Periodontol.* 8(3):239-248.
- Badersten A, Nilveus R, Egelberg J. 1984. Effect of nonsurgical periodontal therapy. II. Severely advanced periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 11(1):63-76.
- Black GV. 1908. The technical procedures in filling teeth. A work on operative dentistry. Chicago: Medico-Dental Publishing
- Bratthall D, Hansel-Petersson G, Sundberg H. 1996. Reasons for the caries decline: What do the experts believe? *Eur J Oral Sci.* 104(4 (Pt 2)):416-422; discussion 423-415, 430-412.
- Broadbent JM, Foster Page LA, Thomson WM, Poulton R. 2013. Permanent dentition caries through the first half of life. *Br Dent J.* 215(7):E12.
- Buonocore MG. 1955. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *J Dent Res.* 34(6):849-853.
- Chapple IL, Van der Weijden F, Doerfer C, Herrera D, Shapira L, Polak D, Madianos P, Louropoulou A, Machtei E, Donos N et al. 2015. Primary prevention of periodontitis: Managing gingivitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 42 Suppl 16:S71-76.
- Cortellini P, Buti J, Pini Prato G, Tonetti MS. 2017. Periodontal regeneration compared with access flap surgery in human intra-bony defects 20-year follow-up of a randomized clinical trial: Tooth retention, periodontitis recurrence and costs. *J Clin Periodontol.* 44(1):58-66.
- Cortellini P, Tonetti MS. 2009. Improved wound stability with a modified minimally invasive surgical technique in the regenerative treatment of isolated interdental intrabony defects. *J Clin Periodontol.* 36(2):157-163.
- Costerton JW. 1995. Overview of microbial biofilms. *J Ind Microbiol.* 15(3):137-140.
- Elderton RJ. 1985. Management of early dental caries in fissures with fissure sealant. *Br Dent J.* 158(7):254-258.
- Elderton RJ. 1990. Clinical studies concerning re-restoration of teeth. *Adv Dent Res.* 4:4-9.
- Oral health and noncommunicable diseases. 2018. [accessed 2018 12 October 2018]. <https://www.fdiworldental.org/what-we-do/advocacy/oral-health-and-noncommunicable-diseases>.

- 1
2
3 Featherstone JD, Fontana M, Wolff M. 2018. Novel anticaries and remineralization agents:
4 Future research needs. *J Dent Res.* 97(2):125-127.
5
6 Fejerskov O. 2004. Changing paradigms in concepts on dental caries: Consequences for oral
7 health care. *Caries Res.* 38(3):182-191.
8
9 Fontana M. 2016. Enhancing fluoride: Clinical human studies of alternatives or boosters for
10 caries management. *Caries Res.* 50 Suppl 1:22-37.
11
12 Frencken JE, Leal SC, Navarro MF. 2012. Twenty-five-year atraumatic restorative treatment
13 (art) approach: A comprehensive overview. *Clin Oral Investig.* 16(5):1337-1346.
14
15 Fretwurst T, Larsson L, Yu SH, Pilipchuk SP, Kaigler D, Giannobile WV. 2018. Periodontal
16 tissue bioengineering: Is the future now? *Compend Contin Educ Dent.* 39(4):218-223;
17 quiz 224.
18
19 GBD Risk Factors Collaborators. 2016. Global, regional, and national comparative risk
20 assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks
21 or clusters of risks, 1990-2015: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease
22 study 2015. *Lancet.* 388(10053):1659-1724.
23
24 Giannobile WV, Braun TM, Caplis AK, Doucette-Stamm L, Duff GW, Kornman KS. 2013.
25 Patient stratification for preventive care in dentistry. *J Dent Res.* 92(8):694-701.
26
27 Griffin SO, Oong E, Kohn W, Vidakovic B, Gooch BF, Group CDCDSSRW, Bader J, Clarkson J,
28 Fontana MR, Meyer DM et al. 2008. The effectiveness of sealants in managing caries
29 lesions. *J Dent Res.* 87(2):169-174.
30
31 Gustafsson BE, Quensel CE, Lanke LS, Lundqvist C, Grahnen H, Bonow BE, Krasse B. 1954.
32 The vipeholm dental caries study; the effect of different levels of carbohydrate
33 intake on caries activity in 436 individuals observed for five years. *Acta Odontol*
34 *Scand.* 11(3-4):232-264.
35
36 Haisman-Welsh RJ, Thomson WM. 2012. Changes in periodontitis prevalence over two
37 decades in new zealand: Evidence from the 1988 and 2009 national surveys. *N Z*
38 *Dent J.* 108:134-138.
39
40 Handelman SL, Washburn F, Wopperer P. 1976. Two-year report of sealant effect on
41 bacteria in dental caries. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 93(5):967-970.
42
43 Harris R. 1963. Biology of the children of hopewood house, bowral, australia. 4.
44 Observations on dental-caries experience extending over five years (1957-61). *J Dent*
45 *Res.* 42:1387-1399.
46
47 Harris R, Gamboa A, Dailey Y, Ashcroft A. 2012. One-to-one dietary interventions
48 undertaken in a dental setting to change dietary behaviour. *Cochrane Database Syst*
49 *Rev.* (3):CD006540.
50
51 Heiland M, Habermann CR, Schmelzle R. 2004. Indications and limitations of intraoperative
52 navigation in maxillofacial surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 62(9):1059-1063.
53
54 Heitz-Mayfield LJ, Lang NP. 2013. Surgical and nonsurgical periodontal therapy. Learned and
55 unlearned concepts. *Periodontol 2000.* 62(1):218-231.
56
57 Holloway PJ, James PM, Slack GL. 1962. Dental caries among the inhabitants of tristan da
58 cunha. (d). The last count. *R Soc Health J.* 82:139.
59
60


- 1
2
3 Hood L, Flores M. 2012. A personal view on systems medicine and the emergence of
4 proactive p4 medicine: Predictive, preventive, personalized and participatory. *N*
5 *Biotechnol.* 29(6):613-624.
6
7 Innes NPT, Schwendicke F. 2017. Restorative thresholds for carious lesions: Systematic
8 review and meta-analysis. *J Dent Res.* 96(5):501-508.
9
10 Jepsen S, Blanco J, Buchalla W, Carvalho JC, Dietrich T, Dorfer C, Eaton KA, Figuero E,
11 Frencken JE, Graziani F et al. 2017. Prevention and control of dental caries and
12 periodontal diseases at individual and population level: Consensus report of group 3
13 of joint efp/orca workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal
14 diseases. *J Clin Periodontol.* 44 Suppl 18:S85-S93.
15
16 Joseph R. 2005. The father of modern dentistry - dr. Greene vardiman black(1836-1915). *J*
17 *Conserv Dent.* 8(2):5-6.
18
19 Kearns CE, Glantz SA, Schmidt LA. 2015. Sugar industry influence on the scientific agenda of
20 the national institute of dental research's 1971 national caries program: A historical
21 analysis of internal documents. *PLoS Med.* 12(3):e1001798.
22
23 Keyes PH. 1960. The infectious and transmissible nature of experimental dental caries.
24 Findings and implications. *Arch Oral Biol.* 1:304-320.
25
26 Knight ET, Thomson WM. 2018. A public health perspective on personalized periodontics.
27 *Periodontol 2000.* 78(1):195-200.
28
29 Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ, Jr., Periasamy S, Jakubovics NS. 2010. Oral multispecies biofilm
30 development and the key role of cell-cell distance. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 8(7):471-480.
31
32 Koo H, Falsetta ML, Klein MI. 2013. The exopolysaccharide matrix: A virulence determinant
33 of cariogenic biofilm. *J Dent Res.* 92(12):1065-1073.
34
35 Lagerweij MD, van Loveren C. 2015. Declining caries trends: Are we satisfied? *Curr Oral*
36 *Health Rep.* 2(4):212-217.
37
38 Lindhe J, Socransky SS, Nyman S, Haffajee A, Westfelt E. 1982. "Critical probing depths" in
39 periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol.* 9(4):323-336.
40
41 Loesche WJ. 1986. Role of streptococcus mutans in human dental decay. *Microbiol Rev.*
42 50(4):353-380.
43
44 Marinho VC, Higgins JP, Sheiham A, Logan S. 2003. Fluoride toothpastes for preventing
45 dental caries in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*
46 (1):CD002278.
47
48 Marinho VC, Worthington HV, Walsh T, Clarkson JE. 2013. Fluoride varnishes for preventing
49 dental caries in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*
50 (7):CD002279.
51
52 Marsh PD. 2005. Dental plaque: Biological significance of a biofilm and community life-style.
53 *J Clin Periodontol.* 32 Suppl 6:7-15.
54
55 Marsh PD. 2006. Dental plaque as a biofilm and a microbial community - implications for
56 health and disease. *BMC Oral Health.* 6 Suppl 1:S14.
57
58 Massler M. 1967. Pulpal reactions to dental caries. *International Dental Journal.* 17(2):441-
59 460.
60

- 1
2
3 Mertz-Fairhurst EJ, Call-Smith KM, Shuster GS, Williams JE, Davis QB, Smith CD, Bell RA,
4 Sherrer JD, Myers DR, Morse PK et al. 1987. Clinical performance of sealed
5 composite restorations placed over caries compared with sealed and unsealed
6 amalgam restorations. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 115(5):689-694.
7
8 Miller WD. 1890. *The micro-organisms of the human mouth.* Philadelphia,; The S. S. White
9 dental Mfg. Co.
10
11 Mira A. 2018. Oral microbiome studies: Potential diagnostic and therapeutic implications.
12 *Adv Dent Res.* 29(1):71-77.
13
14 The ncd alliance and the fdi world dental federation working together. 2018. [accessed 2018
15 12 October 2018]. [https://ncdalliance.org/who-we-are/ncd-alliance-
16 structure/supporters-consultation-group/fdi-world-dental-federation](https://ncdalliance.org/who-we-are/ncd-alliance-structure/supporters-consultation-group/fdi-world-dental-federation).
17
18 Neilands J, Troedsson U, Sjodin T, Davies JR. 2014. The effect of delmopinol and fluoride on
19 acid adaptation and acid production in dental plaque biofilms. *Arch Oral Biol.*
20 59(3):318-323.
21
22 Nyvad B, Baelum V. 2018. Nyvad criteria for caries lesion activity and severity assessment: A
23 validated approach for clinical management and research. *Caries Res.* 52(5):397-405.
24
25 Oong EM, Griffin SO, Kohn WG, Gooch BF, Caufield PW. 2008. The effect of dental sealants
26 on bacteria levels in caries lesions: A review of the evidence. *J Am Dent Assoc.*
27 139(3):271-278; quiz 357-278.
28
29 Oxilia G, Peresani M, Romandini M, Matteucci C, Spiteri CD, Henry AG, Schulz D, Archer W,
30 Crezzini J, Boschin F et al. 2015. Earliest evidence of dental caries manipulation in the
31 late upper palaeolithic. *Sci Rep.* 5:12150.
32
33 Papapanou PN, Sanz M, Buduneli N, Dietrich T, Feres M, Fine DH, Flemmig TF, Garcia R,
34 Giannobile WV, Graziani F et al. 2018. Periodontitis: Consensus report of workgroup
35 2 of the 2017 world workshop on the classification of periodontal and peri-implant
36 diseases and conditions. *J Periodontol.* 89 Suppl 1:S173-S182.
37
38 Pitts N. 2004. "Icdas"--an international system for caries detection and assessment being
39 developed to facilitate caries epidemiology, research and appropriate clinical
40 management. *Community Dent Health.* 21(3):193-198.
41
42 Poon H, Li C, Gao W, Ren H, Lim CM. 2018. Evolution of robotic systems for transoral head
43 and neck surgery. *Oral Oncol.* 87:82-88.
44
45 Ramfjord SP, Caffesse RG, Morrison EC, Hill RW, Kerry GJ, Appleberry EA, Nissle RR, Stults
46 DL. 1987. 4 modalities of periodontal treatment compared over 5 years. *J Clin
47 Periodontol.* 14(8):445-452.
48
49 Ricketts D, Lamont T, Innes NP, Kidd E, Clarkson JE. 2013. Operative caries management in
50 adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (3):CD003808.
51
52 Scheinin A, Makinen KK, Ylitalo K. 1976. Turku sugar studies. V. Final report on the effect of
53 sucrose, fructose and xylitol diets on the caries incidence in man. *Acta Odontol
54 Scand.* 34(4):179-216.
55
56 Schwendicke F. 2018. Tailored dentistry: From "one size fits all" to precision dental
57 medicine? *Oper Dent.* 43(5):451-459.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Schwendicke F, Frencken JE, Bjørndal L, Maltz M, Manton DJ, Ricketts D, Van Landuyt K,
4 Banerjee A, Campus G, Doméjean S et al. 2016. Managing carious lesions: Consensus
5 recommendations on carious tissue removal. *Advances in Dental Research*. 28(2):58-
6 67.
7
8 Schwendicke F, Krois J, Kocher T, Hoffmann T, Micheelis W, Jordan RA. 2018. More teeth in
9 more elderly: Periodontal treatment needs in germany 1997-2030. *J Clin*
10 *Periodontol*. 45(12):1400-1407.
11
12 Schwendicke F, Paris S, Stolpe M. 2015. Detection and treatment of proximal caries lesions:
13 Milieu-specific cost-effectiveness analysis. *J Dent*. 43(6):647-655.
14
15 Slayton RL, Urquhart O, Araujo MWB, Fontana M, Guzman-Armstrong S, Nascimento MM,
16 Novy BB, Tinanoff N, Weyant RJ, Wolff MS et al. 2018. Evidence-based clinical
17 practice guideline on nonrestorative treatments for carious lesions: A report from
18 the american dental association. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 149(10):837-849 e819.
19
20 Ten Cate JM. 2012. Novel anticaries and remineralizing agents: Prospects for the future. *J*
21 *Dent Res*. 91(9):813-815.
22
23 Tonetti MS, Bottenberg P, Conrads G, Eickholz P, Heasman P, Huysmans MC, Lopez R,
24 Madianos P, Muller F, Needleman I et al. 2017. Dental caries and periodontal
25 diseases in the ageing population: Call to action to protect and enhance oral health
26 and well-being as an essential component of healthy ageing - consensus report of
27 group 4 of the joint efp/orca workshop on the boundaries between caries and
28 periodontal diseases. *J Clin Periodontol*. 44 Suppl 18:S135-S144.
29
30 Tonetti MS, Chapple IL, Jepsen S, Sanz M. 2015. Primary and secondary prevention of
31 periodontal and peri-implant diseases: Introduction to, and objectives of the 11th
32 european workshop on periodontology consensus conference. *J Clin Periodontol*. 42
33 Suppl 16:S1-4.
34
35 Trombelli L, Simonelli A, Schincaglia GP, Cucchi A, Farina R. 2012. Single-flap approach for
36 surgical debridement of deep intraosseous defects: A randomized controlled trial. *J*
37 *Periodontol*. 83(1):27-35.
38
39 Urquhart O, Tampi MP, Pilcher L, Slayton RL, Araujo MWB, Fontana M, Guzman-Armstrong
40 S, Nascimento MM, Novy BB, Tinanoff N et al. 2018. Nonrestorative treatments for
41 caries: Systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Dent Res*. 22034518800014.
42
43 Walsh LJ, Brostek AM. 2013. Minimum intervention dentistry principles and objectives.
44 *Australian Dental Journal*. 58:3-16.
45
46 Walsh T, Worthington HV, Glenny AM, Appelbe P, Marinho VC, Shi X. 2010. Fluoride
47 toothpastes of different concentrations for preventing dental caries in children and
48 adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. (1):CD007868.
49
50 Wolff MS, Schenkel AB. 2018. The anticaries efficacy of a 1.5% arginine and fluoride
51 toothpaste. *Adv Dent Res*. 29(1):93-97.
52
53 Wong MC, Clarkson J, Glenny AM, Lo EC, Marinho VC, Tsang BW, Walsh T, Worthington HV.
54 2011. Cochrane reviews on the benefits/risks of fluoride toothpastes. *J Dent Res*.
55 90(5):573-579.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 E-library of evidence for nutrition actions (elena). 2018. [accessed 2018 12 October 2018].
4 <http://www.who.int/elena/titles/free-sugars-adults-ncds/en/>.

5
6 Wright JT, Tampi MP, Graham L, Estrich C, Crall JJ, Fontana M, Gillette EJ, Nový BB, Dhar V,
7
8 Only K et al. 2016. Sealants for preventing and arresting pit-and-fissure occlusal
9
10 caries in primary and permanent molars: A systematic review of randomized
11
12 controlled trials—a report of the american dental association and the american
13
14 academy of pediatric dentistry: A systematic review of randomized controlled
15
16 trials—a report of the american dental association and the american academy of
17
18 pediatric dentistry. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 147(8):631-
19
20 645.e618.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Past, present and future aspects of prevention and minimal intervention

Table 1. Milestones in the development of cariology.

Year	Development
1890	Non-specific plaque hypothesis described by Millar Periodontal disease described as pyorrhea by Riggs
1895	Roentgen discovers x-rays. Two weeks later, (January 1896), Walkhoff takes the first dental x-ray. X-rays. Roentgen wins the first Nobel Prize in Physics (1901).
1896	G V Black describes classical cavity preparations
1900	Focal theory; teeth are the reasons for systemic infections
1909	Role of fluoride in fluorosis established
1925	Raper introduces the bitewing technique.
1945	Grand Rapids initiates water fluoridation for caries prevention
1948	Introduction of panoramic radiography.
1954	Buonocore introduces acid-etching technique, allowing adhesive dentistry
1950s and 60s	Central role of sugar established through studies such as the Vipeholm, Hopewood House and Tristan da Cunha
1965	The association between plaque and periodontal disease is established by Loe
1970s	Costerton develops concepts of the biofilm and complex biofilm communities Sealing-in caries first demonstrated as viable strategy
1976	Loesche describes the specific plaque hypothesis.

- 1
2
3
4 1983 Development of cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).
5
6 Hafferjee and Socransky describe clusters of periodontal pathogens
7
8
9 1980s Machine-driven periodontal instrumentation introduced
10
11
12 1987 Intraoral digital radiography introduced.
13
14
15 1990- Genetic links in periodontitis and caries established
16 2000s
17
18
19 1991 Marsh describes the ecological plaque hypothesis
20
21
22 2018 Robot performs a surgical implant with only human programming prior to
23 the procedure at University of Beijing and the Fourth Military Medical
24 University Stomatological Hospital in China
25
26
27 2018 Machine learning systems allow detection of carious lesions, periodontal
28 bone loss and apical lesions with accuracies similar or superior to
29 experienced dentists
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
-