PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 108, Number 2, February 1990

A CHARACTERIZATION OF SMOOTH CANTOR BOUQUETS

WITOLD D. BULA AND LEX G. OVERSTEEGEN

(Communicated by James E. West)

ABSTRACT. We prove that all smooth fans having a dense set of endpoints are topologically equivalent.

Let X be a smooth fan whose set of endpoints is dense in X. Such fans have been constructed, e.g., by J. H. Roberts [6], who proved that the space of rational sequences of the Hilbert cube can be embedded in the Cantor fan, and by A. Lelek [3], who showed the existence of a fan whose (one-dimensional) set of endpoints can be connectified by adding the vertex. Lately, spaces similar to $X \setminus \{v\}$, where v is the vertex of X, were discovered to be Julia sets of some nice analytic functions (see R. L. Devaney and M. Krych [2]; see also J. C. Mayer [4]). We are going to prove that all such examples are homeomorphic.

Theorem. *All smooth fans having dense set of endpoints are topologically equivalent.*

Let us recall that a continuum X is said to be *hereditarily unicoherent* if $K \cap L$ is connected for every pair K, L of subcontinua of X. A continuum X is called a *dendroid* if it is arcwise connected and hereditarily unicoherent. By a *fan* we will mean a dendroid having exactly one ramification point; we will call this point the *vertex* of X. A fan X is said to be *smooth* if the sequence of arcs $[v, x_n]$ converges to the arc $[v, x]$ for every sequence x_n converging to x, where x, $x_n \in X$ and v is the vertex of X. If X is a fan, then $E(X)$ will denote the set of endpoints of X. If x, $y \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$, then by $|x - y|$ we will denote the Euclidean distance between points x and y and by $[x, y]$ we will mean the linear segment with endpoints x and y.

MAPPINGS BETWEEN INVERSE SYSTEMS

The following lemma is similar to [5, Theorem 2′]. The proof is a standard inductive argument and is left to the reader.

Received by the editors October 18, 1988.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 54F20, 54F65.
Key words and phrases. Fan, smooth fan.
The first author was supported in part by NSERC grant number A5616, and the second author was supported in part by NSF-DMS-8602400 and NSF-Alabama grant number RII-8610669.

Lemma 1. *Suppose P_n and Q_n are compact metric spaces, $X = \varprojlim \langle P_n, p_m^n \rangle$, $Q = \varprojlim \langle Q_n, q_m^n \rangle$, and $\mathscr{F}_n$ is a class of mappings from P_n onto Q_n such that for every n, positive real ε, and mapping $f \in \mathscr{F}_n$, there exists a mapping $g \in \mathscr{F}_{n+1}$ such that the following diagram is ε-commutative, i.e.* $\operatorname{dist}(g \circ p_n^{n+1}(x), q_n^{n+1} \circ g(x)) < \varepsilon$ *for each $x \in P_{n+1}$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_n & \xleftarrow{p_n^{n+1}} & P_{n+1} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ Q_n & \xleftarrow{q_n^{n+1}} & Q_{n+1} \end{array}$$

Let ε_n be a decreasing sequence converging to 0. Then for each n there exists an $h_n \in \mathscr{F}_n$ such that the following diagram is ε_n-commutative for every $k \le n \le m$.

$$(*) \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & P_n & \xleftarrow{p_n^m} & P_m \\ & & \downarrow h_n & & \downarrow h_m \\ Q_k & \xleftarrow{q_k^n} & Q_n & \xleftarrow{q_n^m} & Q_m \end{array}$$

By Mioduszewski's result [5, Theorem 2], the sequence h_n induces a map $h: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$ defined by $h((x_1, x_2, \ldots)) = (y_1, y_2, \ldots)$, where

$$y_n = \lim_{m\to\infty} q_n^m \circ h_m(x_n).$$

Let us omit the standard proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $X = \varprojlim \langle P_n, p_m^n \rangle$, $Y = \varprojlim \langle Q_n, q_m^n \rangle$, $\{\varepsilon_n : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\{h_n : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$, and h be as in the statement of Lemma 1. Assume, in addition, that spaces X, P_n, and Q_n are embedded in the Hilbert cube in such a way that $p_n: X \to P_n$ and $q_n: Y \to Q_n$ are $1/n$-mappings. Let $x_n \in P_n$ be a sequence converging to $x \in X$. Then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(x_n) = h(x)$.

Preliminary lemmas

Let C denote a Cantor set lying in $[0,1]\times\{1\} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}\times\mathfrak{R}$. Let $v = \langle 1/2, 0\rangle$ and let T be the Cantor fan being the union of all linear segments joining v with points of C. Let $\rho: T \to [0,1]$ be the natural second coordinate projection. Let X be a fan such that $E(X)$ is dense in X. By the result of Carruth [1], we may assume that X is embedded in T. There is a natural monotone mapping $\pi: X\setminus\{v\} \to C$ such that $e \in [v, \pi(e)]$ for every $e \in E(X)$. We may assume that $\pi(E(X))$ is dense in C. The assertion of the following lemma is a consequence of the density of $E(X)$.

Lemma 3. *For every point* $e \in E(X)$ *there is a sequence* $\{e_n \in E(X)\colon n = 1,2,\dots\}$ *such that* $\pi(e) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \pi(e_n)$ *and* $\mathrm{cl}\{e_n\colon n = 1,2,\dots\} = [v,e] \cup \{e_n\colon n = 1,2,\dots\}$.

For a subset A of C define

$$h(A) = \sup\{\rho(e)\colon e \in E(X) \text{ and } \pi(e) \in A\}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $h(C) = 1$. Let us omit the easy proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *For every nonempty closed-and-open subset* U *of* C *there is a point* $e \in E(X)$ *such that* $\pi(e) \in U$ *and* $\rho(e) = h(U)$.

Lemma 5. *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$, *nonempty closed-and-open subset* U *of* C *and point* $e \in E(X)$ *such that* $\pi(e) \in U$ *and* $\rho(e) = h(U)$, *there is a null partition* $\{U_n\colon n = 1,2,\dots\}$ *of* $U\setminus\{\pi(e)\}$ *into closed-and-open subsets of* C *of diameter less than* ε *such that* $\{h(U_n)\colon n = 1,2,\dots\}$ *is dense in* $\rho([v,e])$. *Moreover, if* $e_n \in E(X)$ *is such that* $\pi(e_n) \in U_n$ *and* $\rho(e_n) = h(U_n)$, *then* $\mathrm{cl}\{e_n\colon n = 1,2,\dots\} = [v,e] \cup \{e_n\colon n = 1,2,\dots\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, there is a sequence $f_m \in E(X)$ such that $\pi(e) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \pi(f_m)$ and $\mathrm{cl}\{f_m\colon m = 1,2,\dots\} = [v,e] \cup \{f_m\colon m = 1,2,\dots\}$. Let $\mathscr{T} = \{W_m\colon m = 1,2,\dots\}$ be a sequence of disjoint closed-and-open subsets of $U \setminus \{\pi(e)\}$ such that $\pi(f_m) \in W_m$, $\operatorname{diam} W_m < \varepsilon$, $\lim_{m\to\infty} \operatorname{diam} W_m = 0$, and $|\rho(f_m) - h(W_m)| < 1/m$. Observe that $\{h(W_m)\colon m = 1,2,\dots\}$ is dense in $\rho([v,e])$. Hence, any completion of $\mathscr{T}$ to a null partition of $U \setminus \{\pi(e)\}$ with mesh less than ε will satisfy the assertion of the lemma.

Construction of an inverse sequence $\langle T_n, p_m^n\rangle$ associated with X

Choose $e_0 \in E(X)$ such that $\rho(e_0) = h(C)$. Let $T_0 = [v,e_0]$ and let $p_0\colon X \to T_0$ be the horizontal projection onto T_0. Since $\rho(e_0) = h(C)$, the map p_0 is well defined. We will call e_0 the endpoint of T_0 and will write $E(T_0) = \{e_0\}$. Put $\mathscr{T}_0 = \{C\}$ and let $\mathscr{T}_1 = \{U_n\colon n = 1,2,\dots\}$ be a partition of $C \setminus \{\pi(e_0)\}$ guaranteed by Lemma 5 for $e = e_0$ and $\varepsilon = 1$. For every n choose $e_n \in E(X)$ such that $\pi(e_n) \in U_n$ and $\rho(e_n) = h(U_n)$. Let $T_1 = T_0 \cup \bigcup\{[v,e_n]\colon n = 1,2,\dots\}$. We may define $p_1\colon X \to T_1$ in such a way that $p_1|T_0$ is the identity and $p_1|\pi^{-1}(U_n)$ is the horizontal projection into $[v,e_n]$. Suppose we have already defined sets $T_0,\dots,T_n \subset X$, mappings $p_k\colon X \to T_k$ for $k = 0,\dots,n$, and collections $\mathscr{T}_0,\dots,\mathscr{T}_n$ such that

(1) T_k is a fan for $k = 1,2,\dots,n$,
(2) $T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \cdots \subset T_n$,
(3) $E(T_0) \subset E(T_1) \subset \cdots \subset E(T_n)$,
(4) $p_{k+1}|T_k$ is the identity for $k = 0,\dots,n-1$,
(5) $\mathscr{T}_{k+1}$ is a null family of disjoint closed-and-open sets of diameter less than or equal to $1/(k+1)$, refining $\mathscr{T}_k$ and such that $\bigcup\mathscr{T}_{k+1} = C \setminus \pi(E(T_k))$,

(6) for every $U \in \mathscr{T}_k$ there is a unique point $e_k(U) \in E(T_k)$ such that $\pi(e_k(U)) \in U$; further,
(a) $\rho(e_k(U)) = h(U)$,
(b) $p_k|\pi^{-1}(U)$ is the horizontal projection into $[v, e_k(U)]$ and
(c) if $W \in \mathscr{T}_{k-1}$ and $\mathscr{T} = \{U \in \mathscr{T}_k : U \subset W\}$, then

$$\text{cl}\{e_k(U) : U \in \mathscr{T}\} = \{e_k(U) : U \in \mathscr{T}\} \cup [v, e_{k-1}(W)].$$

Observe that in view of Lemmas 4 and 5 the induction can be continued. Define $p_m^n = p_m|T_n$ for $m \leq n$ and observe that $p_m = p_m^n \circ p_n$ and $\rho(x) = \rho \circ p_n(x)$ for every n and $x \in X$. Since $X = \text{cl} \bigcup \{T_n : n = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ and every $p_n : X \to T_n$ is a $1/n$-map, the space X is homeomorphic to $\varprojlim \langle T_n, p_m^n \rangle$ and the maps p_n converge to the identity on X. We will say that the above inverse sequence is *associated with the fan* X.

Construction of a homeomorphism

Now, let X and Y be smooth fans having a dense set of endpoints and let $\langle P_n, p_m^n \rangle$ and $\langle Q_n, q_m^n \rangle$ be inverse sequences associated with X and Y, respectively. To complete the proof of the theorem we will construct a sequence of homeomorphisms $h_n : P_n \overset{onto}{\to} Q_n$ inducing a homeomorphism between the limit spaces.

Let v be the vertex of X and w the vertex of Y. We may assume that $\rho(X) = \rho(Y) = [0, 1]$. Let $h_0 : P_0 \overset{onto}{\to} Q_0$ be the linear map such that $h_0(v) = w$. Let $\mathscr{F}_0 = \{h_0\}$. Let $\{e_n : n = 1, 2, \ldots\} = E(P_1) \setminus E(P_0)$ and $\{f_n : n = 1, 2, \ldots\} = E(Q_1) \setminus E(Q_0)$. We may find a permutation φ of positive integers such that

$$|\rho(e_n) - \rho(f_{\varphi(n)})| \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n)\sqrt{5}}, \frac{\rho(e_n)}{4}\right\}$$

for every n, where $\alpha(n) = \min\{n, \varphi(n)\}$.

Let $h_1 : P_1 \overset{onto}{\to} Q_1$ be the extension of h_0, such that h_1 maps $[v, e_n]$ linearly onto $[w, f_{\varphi(n)}]$ for every n. Observe that if $x \in P_1 \setminus \{v\}$, then $\pi \circ q_0^1 \circ h_1(x) = \pi \circ h_0 \circ p_0^1(x)$. Hence, $|q_0^1 \circ h_1(z) - h_0 \circ p_0^1(z)| \leq 1/2$ for every z.

For each nonnegative integer n we will inductively construct a class $\mathscr{F}_n$ of homeomorphisms mapping P_n onto Q_n such that for every $h \in \mathscr{F}_n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $g \in \mathscr{F}_{n+1}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(7) $g|P_n = h$,
(8) for every $e \in E(P_n)$ the function $h|[v, e] : [v, e] \overset{onto}{\to} [w, h(e)]$ is a linear homeomorphism,
(9) $\pi \circ q_n^{n+1} \circ g(x) = \pi \circ h \circ p_n^{n+1}(x)$,
(10) $|\rho \circ q_n^{n+1} \circ q(x) - \rho \circ h \circ p_n^{n+1}(x)| < \varepsilon$, and
(11) $|\rho(x) - \rho \circ h(x)| < \rho(x)/4$ for each $x \in P_n$.

Suppose that classes of homeomorphisms $\mathscr{F}_i$ satisfying (7)–(11) have already been defined for each i, $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathscr{U}_i[\mathscr{V}_i]$ be the partition of $C \setminus E(P_{i-1})$ [of $C \setminus E(Q_{i-1})$, respectively] used for the construction of $P_i[Q_i]$, where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Let $\{U_k: k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathscr{U}_n$ and let $e_k = e(U_k)$. The homeomorphism $h: P_n \to Q_n$ maps each e_k to a point $f_k \in E(Q_n)$. Let V_k be the unique element in $\mathscr{V}_n$ containing f_k. Then $\{V_k: k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is an enumeration of $\mathscr{V}_n$. For every k, let $\mathscr{U}_{n+1}(U_k) = \{U \in \mathscr{U}_{n+1}: U \subset U_k\}$, and $\mathscr{V}_{n+1}(V_k) = \{V \in \mathscr{V}_{n+1}: V \subset V_k\}$. Let $\{U_{k,j}: j = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\{V_{k,j}: j = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ be enumerations of $\mathscr{U}_{n+1}(U_k)$ and $\mathscr{V}_{n+1}(V_k)$, respectively. Put $e_{k,j} = e(U_{k,j})$ and $f_{k,j} = f(V_{k,j})$. Recall that $\rho(x) = \rho \circ p_m^n(x)$. By (11), $|\rho(e_{k,j}) - \rho \circ h \circ p_n^{n+1}(e_{k,j})| < \rho(e_{k,j})/4$.

For every k we may find a permutation φ_k of positive integers such that

$$(**) \qquad |\rho(e_{k,j}) - \rho(f_{k,\varphi_k(j)})| < \frac{\rho(e_{k,j})}{4}$$

and

$$(***) \qquad |\rho \circ h \circ p_n^{n+1}(e_{k,j}) - \rho(f_{k,\varphi_k(j)})| < \min\left\{\varepsilon, \frac{1}{\alpha(j)+k}\right\},$$

where $\alpha(j) = \min\{j, \varphi_k(j)\}$. Let g be the extension of h which maps $[v, e_{k,j}]$ linearly onto $[w, f_{k,\varphi_k(j)}]$. Then (7) and (8) follow immediately; (10) and the continuity of g follow from $(***)$ and the linearity of g; and (11) for g follows from (11) for h, the condition $(**)$, and the fact that $h|[v, e_{k,j}]$ is linear.

Let $\mathscr{F}_{n+1}$ be the class of homeomorphisms g mapping P_{n+1} onto Q_{n+1} obtained as described above for every $h \in \mathscr{F}_n$ and $\varepsilon = 1/r$, where $r = 1, 2, \ldots$.

By Lemma 1, we can select a sequence $h_n \in \mathscr{F}_n$ of homeomorphisms such that for each $k \le n \le m$ diagram $(*)$ is $1/2^n$-commutative. Hence the homeomorphisms h_n induce a continuous map $h: X \overset{onto}{\to} Y$ defined by $h(x) = y$, where $q_s(y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} q_s^n \circ h_n \circ p_n(x)$. To complete the proof it suffices to show that h is one-to-one.

Let $x \neq a \in X$ and let $x_n = p_n(x)$, $a_n = p_n(a)$, $h(x) = y$, and $h(a) = b$. Suppose first that for some n, $\pi(x_n) \neq \pi(a_n)$. By (9), the definition of h and the fact that each h_n is a homeomorphism, $\pi \circ q_n \circ h(x) \neq \pi \circ q_n \circ h(a)$, and $h(x) \neq h(a)$. Hence we may assume that either $\pi(x_n) = \pi(a_n)$, for each n or $a = v$.

Then there exists a (unique) $e \in E(P_n)$ such that $p_n([v, e]) \subset [v, e_n]$. Since $e \in E(X)$, $\operatorname{Lim}_{n\to\infty}[v, e_n] = [v, e]$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho(e_n) = \rho(e)$. Clearly, $\rho(e_{n+1}) \le \rho(e_n)$ for each n. By (11),

$$\rho \circ h_n(e_n) > \frac{3}{4}\rho(e_n) \ge \frac{3}{4}\rho(e)$$

for each n. Since each h_n is linear,

$$|\rho \circ h_n(x_n) - \rho \circ h_n(y_n)| \ge \frac{3}{4}|\rho(x_n) - \rho(y_n)|$$

for each n and $x_n, y_n \in [v, e_n]$. Since $\operatorname{Lim}_{n\to\infty}[v, e_n] = [v, e]$, there exist c_n, $d_n \in [v, e_n]$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = x$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = a$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\rho \circ h_n(c_n) - \rho \circ h_n(d_n)| \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} |\rho(c_n) - \rho(d_n)| = |\rho(x) - \rho(a)| > 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2, $|\rho \circ h(x) - \rho \circ h(a)| > 0$ and $h(x) \neq h(a)$.

Added in proof. The main result of this paper was proved independently by W. J. Charatonik [*The Lelek fan is unique*, (to appear in *Houston J. of Math.*)].

References

1. J. H. Carruth, *A note on partially ordered compacta*, Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968), 229–231.
2. R. L. Devaney and M. Krych, *Dynamics of* $\exp(z)$, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **4** (1984), 35–52.
3. A. Lelek, *On plane dendroids and their end points in the classical sense*, Fund. Math. **49** (1961), 301–319.
4. J. C. Mayer, *An explosion point for the set of endpoints of the Julia set of* $\lambda\exp(z)$, (to appear in Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems).
5. J. Mioduszewski, *Mappings of inverse limits*, Colloq. Math. **10** (1963), 39–44.
6. J. H. Roberts, *The rational points in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 489–491.

University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon S7N 0W0 Canada

University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama 35294