

Ladislav Nebeský

A characterization of the interval function of a connected graph

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 44 (1994), No. 1, 173–178

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128449>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE INTERVAL FUNCTION  
OF A CONNECTED GRAPH

LADISLAV NEBESKÝ, Praha

(Received September 18, 1992)

0. By a graph we mean a finite undirected graph with no loop or multiple edge (i.e. a graph in the sense of [1] or [2], for example). Throughout the paper we assume, that a connected graph  $G$  is given. Let  $V$  and  $E$  denote its vertex set and its edge set, respectively. Moreover, we denote by  $d(u, v)$  the distance between  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , for any  $u, v \in V$ . Note that  $d(u, v)$  is equal to the length of an arbitrary shortest  $u-v$  path in  $G$ , for any  $u, v \in V$ . Clearly, the vertex set  $V$  and the distance function  $d$  form a finite metric space. (Kay and Chartrand [2] found a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite metric space to be generated by the vertex set and the distance function of a connected graph).

Similarly as in [3], by the *interval function*  $I$  of  $G$  we mean the mapping of  $V \times V$  into the set of all subsets of  $V$  defined as follows (for every  $(u, v) \in V \times V$ ):

$$I(u, v) = \{x \in V; x \text{ belongs to a } u-v \text{ path of length } d(u, v) \text{ in } G\}.$$

The interval function of a connected graph, which was defined and intensively studied in Mulder [3], is an important tool for the study of metric properties of graphs.

The definition of the interval function  $I$  of  $G$  depends on the notion of the distance in  $G$  (or on the notion of shortest paths in  $G$ ). We are going to derive an essentially different characterization of the interval function.

1. Denote by  $\mathbf{J}$  the set of all mappings  $J$  of  $V \times V$  into the set of all subsets of  $V$  such that  $J$  fulfils the following Axioms I–VI (for arbitrary  $u, v, w, x \in V$ ):

- I  $|J(u, v)| = 2$  if and only if  $\{u, v\} \in E$ ;
- II  $u \in J(u, v)$ ;
- III if  $w \in J(u, v)$ , then  $|J(u, w) \cap J(w, v)| = 1$ ;

- IV if  $w \in J(u, v)$ , then  $J(w, v) \subseteq J(u, v)$ ;
- V if  $w \in J(u, v)$  and  $x \in J(w, v)$ , then  $w \in J(u, x)$ ;
- VI  $J(v, u) = J(u, v)$ .

Put  $J = I$ ; it is clear that  $J$  fulfils Axiom I; using 1.1.2 from [3] we easily get

$$I \in \mathbf{J}.$$

We now make several observations concerning  $\mathbf{J}$ .

Using Axioms II and III we obtain  $J(u, u) = \{u\}$  for  $J \in \mathbf{J}$  and  $u \in V$ .

Let  $J \in \mathbf{J}$ . For  $u, v \in V$  we define the set  $\Sigma_J(u, v)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_J(u, v) &= \{u\} \quad \text{if } u = v; \\ \Sigma_J(u, v) &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k, v); k \geq 1, x_k \in J(u, v), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \{x_k, v\} \in E \text{ and } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \Sigma_J(u, x_k) \right\} \quad \text{if } u \neq v. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma.** Let  $J \in \mathbf{J}$  and  $u, v \in V$ . Assume that  $u \neq v$ . Then

- (1)  $\{u, v\} \subseteq J(u, v)$ ;
- (2) if  $w \in J(u, v) - \{u\}$ , then  $J(w, v) \subseteq J(u, v) - \{u\}$ ;
- (3) there exists  $x \in J(u, v)$  such that  $\{x, v\} \in E$ ;
- (4)  $J(u, v) - \{v\} = \bigcup_{\substack{x \in J(u, v) \\ \{x, v\} \in E}} J(u, x)$ ;

(5) if  $(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \Sigma_J(u, v)$ , then  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in J(u, v)$  and  $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$  is a  $u - v$  path in  $G$  (i.e. a  $u - v$  path considered as a sequence of vertices);

- (6)  $\Sigma_J(u, v) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** (1) follows from Axioms II and VI.

Let  $w \in J(u, v) - \{u\}$ . According to Axiom IV,  $J(w, v) \subseteq J(u, v)$ . Suppose  $u \in J(w, v)$ . Obviously,  $u \neq w$ . As follows from Axioms IV and VI,  $J(w, u) = J(u, w) \subseteq J(v, w) = J(u, v) \subseteq J(u, v)$ . Axiom III implies that  $|J(w, u)| = 1$ , which contradicts (1). Thus  $u \notin J(w, v)$  and we get (2).

(3) follows from (1), (2), and Axiom I.

First, let  $w \in J(u, v) - \{v\}$ . Since  $w \neq v$ , (3) implies that there exists  $x \in J(w, v)$  such that  $\{x, v\} \in E$ . According to Axiom V,  $w \in J(u, x)$ . Using (2) and Axiom VI, we get (4).

(5) follows from the definition of  $\Sigma_J(u, v)$ , (2), and Axiom VI.

Combining (2), (3) and Axiom VI with the definition of  $\Sigma_J(u, v)$ , we get (6), which completes the proof.  $\square$

2. Let  $J, J' \in \mathbf{J}$ , let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. We write  $P_n(J, J')$  to express the fact that

$$J(u, v) \subseteq J'(u, v) \quad \text{for each pair of } u \text{ and } v \text{ in } V \text{ such that } d(u, v) = n.$$

We now give a characterization of the interval function of  $G$ , which is the main result of present paper.

**Theorem.** *Let  $J \in \mathbf{J}$ . Then  $J = I$  if and only if  $J$  fulfils the following Axioms VII and VIII (for arbitrary  $u, v, x, y \in V$ ):*

VII *if  $\{u, x\}, \{v, y\} \in E$ ,  $x \in J(u, v)$ ,  $y \in J(u, v)$  and  $u \in J(x, y)$ , then  $v \in J(x, y)$ ;*

VIII *if  $\{u, x\}, \{v, y\} \in E$ ,  $x \in J(u, v)$ ,  $y \notin J(u, v)$  and  $x \notin J(u, y)$ , then  $v \in J(x, y)$ .*

**PROOF.** (A) Assume that  $J = I$ . We shall prove that  $J$  fulfils Axioms VII and VIII. Consider arbitrary  $u, v, x, y \in V$  such that  $\{u, x\}, \{v, y\} \in E$  and  $x \in J(u, v)$ . Put  $n = d(u, v)$ . Then  $d(x, v) = n - 1$ .

(Axiom VII) Assume that  $y \in J(u, v)$  and  $u \in J(x, y)$ . We want to prove that  $v \in J(x, y)$ . Since  $\{v, y\} \in E$  and  $y \in J(u, v)$ , we have  $d(u, y) = n - 1$ . Certainly,  $d(x, y) \leq n$ . Since  $u \in J(x, y)$ , we get  $d(x, y) = n$ . Thus  $v \in J(x, y)$ .

(Axiom VIII) Assume that  $y \notin J(u, v)$  and  $x \notin J(u, y)$ . We want to prove that  $v \in J(x, y)$ . Since  $y \notin J(u, v)$ , we have  $d(u, y) \geq n$ . Since  $x \notin J(u, y)$ , we have  $d(x, y) \geq d(u, y) \geq n$ . Since  $d(x, v) = n - 1$  and  $d(v, y) = 1$ , we get  $v \in J(x, y)$ .

(B) Conversely, let us now assume that  $J$  fulfils Axioms VII and VIII. We shall prove that  $P_n(I, J)$  and  $P_n(J, I)$  for each integer  $n$  such that  $0 \leq n \leq D$ , where  $D$  denotes the diameter of  $G$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$ . It is clear that  $P_n(I, J)$  and  $P_n(J, I)$  for  $n = 0$  and 1. Therefore, let us assume that  $2 \leq n \leq D$  and

$$(7) \quad P_k(I, J) \text{ and } P_k(J, I) \text{ for each } k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The rest of the proof will be divided into two steps.

**Step 1.** We shall prove that  $P_n(I, J)$ . Consider arbitrary  $u, v \in V$  such that  $d(u, v) = n$ . We want to prove that  $I(u, v) \subseteq J(u, v)$ . Suppose, to the contrary,  $I(u, v) - J(u, v) \neq \emptyset$ . Consider  $w \in I(u, v) - J(u, v)$ . Since  $w \in I(u, v)$ , there exist a  $v - u$  path  $(y_0, \dots, y_n)$  in  $G$  and an integer  $i$  such that  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $w = y_i$ . Clearly,  $y_0 = v$  and  $y_n = u$ . Since  $w \notin J(u, v)$ , we have  $0 < i < n$ . Consider an arbitrary  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . It follows from (7) that  $I(v, y_j) = J(v, y_j)$  and  $I(y_j, u) = J(y_j, u)$ . If  $y_j \in J(u, v)$ , then Axioms IV and VI imply that  $I(v, y_j) \subseteq J(u, v)$  and  $I(y_j, u) \subseteq J(u, v)$ , and thus  $w \in J(u, v)$ , which is a contradiction. We conclude that  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \notin J(u, v)$ .

As follows from (6), there exist  $x_0, \dots, x_m \in V$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) such that  $(x_0, \dots, x_m) \in \Sigma_J(u, v)$ . According to (5),  $(x_0, \dots, x_m)$  is a  $u - v$  path in  $G$ . Thus  $x_0 = u$  and  $x_m = v$ . Since  $n = d(u, v)$ ,  $m \geq n$ . Since  $(x_0, \dots, x_{i+1}) \in \Sigma_J(x_0, x_{i+1})$ , it follows from (5) and Axioms V and VI that

$$(8_i) \quad x_{i+1} \in J(x_i, v)$$

for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . Since  $(y_0, \dots, y_n)$  is a  $v - u$  path in  $G$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \notin J(u, v)$ , we see that

$$(9_i) \quad (y_i, \dots, y_n = x_0, \dots, x_i) \text{ is a path in } G$$

for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .

Put  $x_{-1} = y_{n-1}$ . Certainly, the following statements  $(10_i)$ ,  $(11_i)$  and  $(12_i)$  hold for  $i = 0$ :

$$(10_i) \quad d(x_i, y_i) = n;$$

$$(11_i) \quad v \in J(x_i, y_i);$$

$$(12_i) \quad x_{i-1} \notin J(x_i, y_i).$$

Clearly,  $x_{n-1} \in J(x_0, x_n)$ . Since  $y_n = x_0$ ,  $x_{n-1} \in J(x_n, y_n)$ . Thus  $(12_n)$  does not hold. This means that there exists  $h \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  such that each of the statements  $(10_h)$ ,  $(11_h)$  and  $(12_h)$  holds but at least one of the statements  $(10_{h+1})$ ,  $(11_{h+1})$  and  $(12_{h+1})$  does not.

Combining  $(8_h)$  and  $(11_h)$  with Axioms IV-VI, we get

$$(13) \quad x_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h);$$

$$(14) \quad v \in J(x_{h+1}, y_h).$$

It follows from  $(9_h)$  and  $(10_h)$  that  $d(x_h, y_{h+1}) = n - 1$ . According to (7),  $J(x_h, y_{h+1}) = I(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . Obviously,  $x_{h-1} \in I(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . Thus  $x_{h-1} \in J(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . If  $y_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$ , then it follows from Axioms IV and VI that  $x_{h-1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$ , which contradicts  $(12_h)$ . Therefore,

$$(15) \quad y_{h+1} \notin J(x_h, y_h).$$

We now want to show that  $x_{h+1} \notin J(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . Suppose, to the contrary,  $x_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . Since  $d(x_h, y_{h+1}) = n - 1$ , it follows from (7) that  $x_{h+1} \in I(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . Thus  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) = n - 2$ . It follows from  $(10_h)$  that  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) = n - 1$  and

$y_{h+1} \in I(x_{h+1}, y_h)$ . According to (7),  $y_{h+1} \in J(x_{h+1}, y_h)$ . Combining this fact with (13) and Axiom IV, we get  $y_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$ , which contradicts (15). Therefore,  $x_{h+1} \notin J(x_h, y_{h+1})$ .

Since  $x_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$  and  $y_{h+1} \notin J(x_h, y_h)$ , Axioms VIII implies that

$$(16) \quad y_h \in J(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}).$$

Combining (14) and (16) with Axioms IV and VI, we get (11<sub>h+1</sub>).

As follows from (9<sub>h+1</sub>),  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) \leq n$ . Suppose  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) \leq n - 1$ . According to (7),  $J(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) = I(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1})$ . It follows from (16) that  $y_h \in I(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1})$ . This implies that  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) \leq n - 2$ . Hence,  $d(x_h, y_h) \leq n - 1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have (10<sub>h+1</sub>).

Since (10<sub>h+1</sub>) and (11<sub>h+1</sub>) hold, it follows from the definition of  $h$  that (12<sub>h+1</sub>) does not hold. Thus we have  $x_h \in J(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1})$ . Combining this fact with (13), (16) and Axiom VII, we get  $y_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$ , which contradicts (15).

Thus  $I(u, v) \subseteq J(u, v)$  and we have

$$(17) \quad P_n(I, J).$$

**Step 2.** We shall prove that  $P_n(J, I)$ . Consider arbitrary  $u, v \in V$  such that  $d(u, v) = n$ . We want to prove that  $J(u, v) \subseteq I(u, v)$ . Suppose, to the contrary,  $J(u, v) - I(u, v) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from (4) that there exists  $w \in J(u, v)$  such that  $\{w, v\} \in E$  and  $J(u, w) - I(u, v) \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that there exists  $w' \in J(u, w) - \{u\}$  such that  $w' \in I(u, v)$ . Since  $d(w', v) < n$ ,  $J(w', v) = I(w', v)$ . According to Axioms V and VI,  $w \in J(w', v)$ . Thus  $w \in I(w', v)$ . Since  $w' \in I(u, v)$ ,  $w \in I(u, v)$ . This means that  $d(u, w) = n - 1$ . As follows from (7),  $J(u, w) = I(u, w)$ . We get  $J(u, w) \subseteq I(u, v)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have obtained that  $(J(u, w) - \{u\}) \cap I(u, v) = \emptyset$ . According to (6),  $\Sigma_J(u, w) \neq \emptyset$ . There exist  $x_0, \dots, x_{m-1} \in V$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) such that  $(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \Sigma_J(u, w)$ . Clearly,  $x_0 = u, x_{m-1} = w$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \notin I(u, v)$ . Put  $x_m = v$ . Certainly,  $(x_0, \dots, x_m) \in \Sigma_J(u, v)$ . According to (5),  $(x_0, \dots, x_m)$  is a  $u - v$  path in  $G$ . Since  $x_{m-1} \notin I(u, v)$ , we see that  $m > n$ . Moreover, we have (8<sub>i</sub>) for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ .

Since  $d(u, v) = n$ , there exist  $y_0, \dots, y_n \in V$  such that  $y_0 = v, y_n = u$ , and  $(y_0, \dots, y_n)$  is a  $u - v$  path of length  $n$  in  $G$ . Clearly,  $y_0, \dots, y_n \in I(u, v)$ . We get (9<sub>i</sub>) for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .

Obviously, both (10<sub>0</sub>) and (11<sub>0</sub>) hold. Since  $m > n$ ,  $x_n \neq v$ . Since  $y_n = u$ , (2) implies that  $v \notin J(x_n, y_n)$ . Thus (11<sub>n</sub>) does not hold. This means there exists  $h \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that both (10<sub>h</sub>) and (11<sub>h</sub>) hold but at least one of the statements (10<sub>h+1</sub>) and (11<sub>h+1</sub>) does not.

Similarly as in Step 1, we have (13) and (14).

We want to show that  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) \geq n$ . Suppose to the contrary  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) \leq n - 1$ . Since  $d(x_h, y_h) = n$ ,  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) = n - 1$ . According to (7),  $J(x_{h+1}, y_h) = I(x_{h+1}, y_h)$ . Since  $v \in J(x_{h+1}, y_h)$ , we have  $v \in I(x_{h+1}, y_h)$ . Obviously,  $d(v, y_h) = h$ . Thus  $d(x_{h+1}, v) = n - h - 1$ . According to (7),  $J(x_{h+1}, v) = I(x_{h+1}, v)$ . Combining (8<sub>k-1</sub>) and (7), we see that  $d(x_k, v) = n - k$  and  $J(x_k, v) = I(x_k, v)$  for each integer  $k$  such that  $h + 1 < k \leq n$ . This means that  $d(x_n, v) = 0$  and therefore  $m = n$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) \geq n$ .

As follows from (9<sub>h+1</sub>),  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) \leq n$ . We want to show that (10<sub>h+1</sub>). To the contrary, let  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) < n$ . Since  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) \geq n$ , we have  $d(x_{h+1}, y_h) = n$  and  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) = n - 1$ . Then  $y_{h+1} \in I(x_{h+1}, y_h)$ . It follows from (17) that  $y_{h+1} \in J(x_{h+1}, y_h)$ . Combining this fact and (13) with Axioms V and VI, we get  $x_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . Since  $d(x_h, y_h) = n$ , we see that  $d(x_h, y_{h+1}) = n - 1$ . It follows from (7) that  $x_{h+1} \in I(x_h, y_{h+1})$ . Hence  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) = n - 2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have (10<sub>h+1</sub>).

Combining (9<sub>h</sub>) and (10<sub>h</sub>), we see that  $y_{h+1} \in I(x_h, y_h)$ . As follows from (17),  $y_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$ . According to (10<sub>h+1</sub>),  $d(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1}) = n$ . Therefore,  $x_h \in I(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1})$ . As follows from (17),  $x_h \in J(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1})$ . According to (13),  $x_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$ . Since  $x_h \in J(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1})$  and  $y_{h+1} \in J(x_h, y_h)$ , Axiom VII implies that  $y_h \in J(x_{h+1}, y_{h+1})$ . Combining this fact and (14) with Axioms IV and VI, we have (11<sub>h+1</sub>), which contradicts the definition of  $h$ .

Thus  $J(u, v) \subseteq I(u, v)$ , hence  $P_n(J, I)$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark.* There is a connection between the interval function of  $G$  and the set of all shortest paths in  $G$ . A characterization of the set of all shortest paths in  $G$  was given by the present author in Theorem 1 of [4].

#### References

- [1] *M. Bczad, G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak-Forster*: Graphs & Digraphs. Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1979.
- [2] *D. C. Kay and G. Chartrand*: A characterization of certain ptolemaic graphs. *Canad. J. Math.* 17 (1965), 342-346.
- [3] *H. M. Mulder*: The Interval Function of a Graph. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [4] *L. Nebeský*: A characterization of the set of all shortest path in a connected graph. *Math. Boh.* 119 (1994), 15-20.

*Author's address*: Filozofická fakulta Univerzity Karlovy, nám. J. Palacha 2, Praha 1, 11638, Czech Republic.