A CLASS OF PERFECT DETERMINANTAL IDEALS

BY M. HOCHSTER AND JOHN A. EAGON¹

Communicated by David Buchsbaum, January 14, 1970

In recent years several authors [1], [2], [4], [13], [17], [18] have studied the special homological properties of ideals generated by the subdeterminants of a matrix or "determinantal" ideals. The question of whether the ideal of m+1 by m+1 minors of an r by s matrix is perfect if the grade is as large as possible, (r-m)(s-m), has remained open, although the special cases m=0, 1, and r-1 ($r \le s$) are known. The general result is Corollary 4 of Theorem 1. For purposes of the induction argument used to prove the theorem it is necessary to consider a larger class of ideals somewhat complicated to describe.

THEOREM 1. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with identity. Let $M = (c_{ij})$ be an r by s matrix with entries in R. Let $H = (s_0, \dots, s_m)$ be a strictly increasing sequence of nonnegative integers such that $s_0 = 0$, $s_m = s$, and m < r. Let n be an integer, $0 \le n \le s$. Let $I = I_{H,n} = I_{H,n}(M)$ be the ideal of R generated by the t+1 by t+1 minors of the first s_i columns of M, $1 \le t \le m$, and c_{11}, \dots, c_{1n} . Let h be the least integer such that $s_h \ge n$. Suppose that the grade of (i.e. the length of the longest R-sequence contained in) I is as large as possible, namely

$$g = g_{H,n} = rs - (r+s)m + h + \frac{1}{2}m(m+1) + s_1 + \cdots + s_{m-1}.$$

Then $I_{H,n}$ is perfect in the sense of Rees, that is, the homological (or projective) dimension of R/I over R is also equal to g.

COROLLARY 1. If $I_{H,n}$ has grade $g_{H,n}$ then it is grade unmixed, i.e. the associated primes of $I_{H,n}$ all have grade $g_{H,n}$.

COROLLARY 2. If R is Cohen-Macaulay (locally), and $I_{H,n}$ has grade $g_{H,n}$, then $I_{H,n}$ is rank unmixed, i.e. the associated primes all have rank (\equiv altitude) $g_{H,n}$; moreover, R/I is Cohen-Macaulay.

COROLLARY 3. The rank of any minimal prime of $I_{H,n}$ is at most $g_{H,n}$ (with no conditions on the grade of I).

COROLLARY 4. When
$$H = (0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1, s)$$
 and $n = 0, I_{H,n}$ is

¹ Both authors were supported in part by NSF grant GP-8496.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 13H10, 13D05; Secondary 14L10.

Key words and phrases. Perfect ideal, homological dimension, grade, Noetherian ring, determinantal locus, reductive algebraic group, generic perfection.

the ideal of m+1 by m+1 minors of M, and the foregoing results hold in this case. Here, g = (r-m)(s-m).

In the terminology of [5], ideals of the form $I_{H,n}$ are generically perfect. They are even strongly generically perfect, i.e. they give rise to grade sensitive generalized Koszul complexes. See [7], [14]. We are not indulging in unnecessary generality in considering the whole class $I_{H,n}$ instead of just the ideals of Corollary 4. Our inductive proofs fail for any smaller class of ideals.

THEOREM 2. Let K be a Noetherian domain. Let $R = K[x] = K[x_{ij}]$, where $X = (x_{ij})$ is an r by s matrix of indeterminates over K. Then $I_{H,n}(X)$ is a perfect radical ideal of grade $g_{H,n}$. If $n = s_t$, $1 \le t \le m$, then $I_{H,n}$ is prime.

COROLLARY. If $n = s_t$, $1 \le t \le m$, and K is integrally closed, then K[x]/I is an integrally closed domain.

By Proposition 4 of [5], Theorem 1 follows at once from Theorem 2. In fact, we only need the cases where K is either the integers or a finite prime field. (The corollary to Theorem 2 is proved by reducing to the case where K is a field, so that R/I is Cohen-Macaulay, and, in particular, principal ideals are unmixed, and then demonstrating that the singular locus has sufficiently small dimension.)

We point out that ideals of minors occur as the "Fitting invariants" of a module and in various geometric contexts, e.g. as the ideals of "determinantal loci" [16]. The ideals $I_{H,0}$ or, briefly, I_H , arise in the solution of the second main problem of invariant theory (see [19, Chapter II) for certain representations of products of GL(t, K), K a field of characteristic 0, t varying. That is, for each H, I_H is the ideal of relations on a set of generating invariants for such a representation. Specifically, let U_t be a t+1 by t matrix of indeterminates, $1 \le t$ $\leq m-1$, let U_m be an r by m matrix of indeterminates, and let V_t be a t by $s_t - s_{t-1}$ matrix of indeterminates, $1 \le t \le m$. Define an action of $G = \prod_{i=1}^m \operatorname{GL}(t, k)$ on K[u, v] as follows: given (A_1, \dots, A_m) in G, let it act by taking the entries of U_t into the corresponding ones of $A_{t+1}U_tA_t^{-1}$, $1 \le t \le m-1$, the entries of U_m into those of $U_mA_m^{-1}$, and the entries of V_t into those of A_tV_t , $1 \le t \le m$. This determines an automorphism of K[u, v]. Consider the matrix X obtained by concatenating the product matrices $U_m U_{m-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot U_1 V_1$, $U_m U_{m-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot$ $U_2V_2, \cdots, U_mU_{m-1}V_{m-1}$, and U_mV_m . The entries of X generate the ring of invariants of this action, and the ideal of relations on the entries of X is precisely $I_H(X)$.

In the special case where I is the ideal of all m+1 by m+1 minors,

matters can be made much simpler. Let U and V be r by m and m by s matrices of indeterminates, respectively, and define an action of GL(m, K) by $U \mapsto UA^{-1}$, $V \mapsto AV$. The entries of UV generate the ring of invariants, and the ideal of relations on the entries of X = UV is precisely the ideal of m+1 by m+1 minors of X.

Our original proof of Theorem 2 (which required that K contain the rationals) utilized the fact that a product of copies of $\mathrm{GL}(t,K)$ is linearly reductive (as a linear algebraic group) for fields K of characteristic 0. We proved facts about the ideal structure in $K[X]/I_H$ by "lifting" the question to K[u,v]. The existence of the Reynolds operator implies that ideals expanded from the ring of invariants and then contracted back contract to themselves, making this procedure possible. See [6, pp. 116, 146, and 156] or [11, pp. 24–30]. This gave a proof of Theorem 1 when R contains the rationals. To get the general result, we sought and finally found arguments independent of invariant theory.

However, our original technique suggests that the theory of linear algebraic groups may have deep implications for the study of homological properties of ideals. It is natural to examine other representations of the classical groups on polynomial rings, and to ask whether the rings of invariants are Cohen-Macaulay (which is equivalent to asking whether the ideals of relations occurring are perfect). We have some special results of this type in addition to Theorem 2.

Our proof of perfection in Theorem 2, unlike that given for the maximal minor case in [4], does not depend on constructing an explicit resolution of R/I. Instead, it has some connection with the original proof of the maximal minor case given in [2]. We first show inductively that the ideals $I_{H,n}(X)$ are all radical. We next exhibit a generic point for $I_{H,n}$ if $n=s_t$, proving primality in this case. The proof of perfection then proceeds by Noetherian induction. If $n=s_t$, we can reduce r by one and change n to 0. If $n=s_t$, t < m, $x=x_{1,n+1}$ is not a zero divisor on $I_{H,n}$, and the perfection of $I_{H,n}$ follows from that of $I_{H,n}+(x)=I_{H,n+1}$. For $n\neq s_t$, any t, we show that $I_{H,n}=P\cap Q$, where P, Q and P+Q are larger ideals of the form $I_{H',n'}$, and the grade of P+Q is one more than the grades of $P\cap Q$, P and Q (which are equal). The result then follows from an easy homological lemma which asserts that under these circumstances, if P, Q and P+Q are all perfect then so is $P\cap Q$. The details will appear in [8].

Finally, we note that the ideals $I_{H,n}$ are not, in general, complete intersections (cannot be generated by R-sequences): in fact, the generating sets specified are often minimal. If I is the ideal of maximal minors of $X = (x_{ij})$, the ring K[x]/I is not even Gorenstein (see [3]).

Moreover, the "type" of ideal occurring in Corollary 4 differs as m varies. E.g. it is not difficult to show (by examining first Betti numbers) that, in general, the grade 4 ideal of 3 by 3 minors of a 4 by 4 matrix is not the grade 4 ideal of r by r minors of an r by r+3 matrix for any r.

References

- 1. D. A Buchsbaum and D. S. Rim, A generalized Koszul complex. II: Depth and multiplicity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), 197-224. MR 28 #3076.
- 2. J. A. Eagon, Ideals generated by the subdeterminants of a matrix. Thesis, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1961.
- 3. ——, Examples of Cohen-Macaulay rings which are not Gorenstein. Math. Z. 109 (1969), 109-111.
- 4. J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 269 (1962), 188-204. MR 26 #161.
- 5. ——, Generically acyclic complexes and generically perfect ideals, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 299 (1967), 147-172. MR 35 #5435.
 - 6. J. Fogarty, Invariant theory, Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR 39 #1458.
- 7. M. Hochster, Generically perfect modules are strongly generically perfect (to appear).
- 8. M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon, Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci (to appear).
- 9. I. Kaplansky, R-sequences and homological dimension, Nagoya Math. J. 20 (1962), 195-199. MR 31 #231.
- 10. F. S. Macaulay, The algebraic theory of modular systems, Cambridge Tracts, 19 (1916).
- 11. D. Mumford, *Geometric invariant theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Neue Folge, Band 34, Academic Press, New York and Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1965. MR 35 #5451.
- 12. D. G. Northcott, Semi-regular rings and semi-regular ideals, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 11 (1960), 81-104. MR 22 #5653.
- 13. —, Some remarks on the theory of ideals defined by matrices, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 14 (1963), 193-204. MR 27 #1467.
- 14. ——, Grade sensitivity and generic perfection, Proc. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 15. D. Rees, *The grade of an ideal or module*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **53** (1957), 28-42. MR **18**, 637.
- 16. T. G. Room, The geometry of determinantal loci, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1938.
- 17. D. W. Sharpe, On certain polynomial ideals defined by matrices, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 15 (1964), 155-175. MR 29 #1226.
- 18. ——, The syzygies and semi-regularity of certain ideals defined by matrices, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 15 (1965), 645-679. MR 32 #5684.
- 19. H. Weyl, The classical groups. Their invariants and representations, 2nd ed., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1946.

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455