

A Cognitive Approach to Interdiscursivity: A Case of a Literary Discourse

Badriah Khalid Al-Gublan
Associate Professor, Applied Linguistics
English Langauge and Literature Department

College of Arts

Princess Nora Bint Abdulrahman University
PO Box 54474, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Tel: 0966555196667. E-mail: Bkh-g@hotmail.com

Received: March 7, 2015 Accepted: April 3, 2015 Published: April 24, 2015

Abstract

The research aims at investigating the mechanisms which lie behind *interdiscursivity*, the force that prompts language users to choose it in communication and the effects it can achieve on the basis of Sperber & Wilson 's Relevance Theory.

This is an attempt to show the situational, social, and mental dimensions of the role of *nterdiscursivity* using a number of Relevance Theory concepts, including ostensive behaviour, cognitive environments and implicatures treating *interdiscursivity* as an ostensive or marked form of communication.

Keywords: interdiscursivity, Relevance theory, ostensive behavior, Implicature, cognitive environments



1. Introduction

Interdiscursivity refers to the mixing of diverse genres, discourses, or styles associated with institutional and social meanings in a single text. The major concerns of this approach are how literary texts are formed through *interdiscursivity* and what aesthetic as well as social significance are achieved through this text-forming scheme. This is a very important notion in that it allows us to understand more specifically the textuality of hegemony, or in other words, the discursive processes by means of which subjects are produced and the common sense maintained.

2. Review of the Related Literature

The concept of interdiscursivity can be traced back to Bhakti's dialogized "heteroglossia" (Bakhtin, 1981, 1986). For Bakhtin, utterances in language are always dialogized and changing which results in what he calls "hybridization"—the mixture of different utterances within a single piece of language. Bakhtin describes this complex mixture of utterances as heteroglossia. What Bakhtin holds in terms of the concept of dialogized heteroglossia indicates the issue of interdiscursivity. He is more concerned with the language in specific social situations. Kristeva (1986) introduces Bakhtin's theory into France and coined the phrase "'Constitutive intertextuality". For him (1986), Constitutive intertextuality implies "the insertion of history (society) into a text and of this text into history" (p. 39). Fairclough (1992) defines 'Constitutive intertextuality' basically as the mixing configuration of discourse conventions such as genres, activity types, and styles associated with different types of discourse (p. 84). As a special kind of intertextuality, interdiscursivity is related to the whole language system involved in a text. In order to emphasize that the focus is on discourse conventions rather than other texts as constitutive, Fairclough (1992, 2003, 2010) uses the new term 'interdiscursivity' to replace "constitutive intertextuality". He (2010) thinks that 'interdiscursivity' is more than a stylistic phenomenon; rather, it has important implications for social practice. Thus, 'interdiscursivity' is central to an understanding of the process of social change. As Allen (2000) suggests that 'interdiscursivity' is a term by no means exclusively related to the study of literary works or to written communication in general.

The major concerns of the stylistic approach are how literary texts are formed through interdiscursivity and what aesthetic as well as social significances are achieved through this text-forming scheme. Bakhtin (1981, 1986) explores the reasons for genre mixing by examining the artistic and ideological resonances of literary and non-literary styles in a text. For him, poetry is formal and stylistic difference from the context-influenced style of non-poetic language indicates a thinking and behavioral pattern that is free from the dangerous contamination of ordinary life. He further emphasizes that novel is a pluralistic discourse. It intentionally mixes many different genres which are widely separated in time and social space. This conscious genre hybridization is a major device for creating artistic language-images in the novel (Bakhtin, 1981, pp. 358-366). However, to Bakhtin, this genre hybridization is not an easy process; it implies friction and struggle. Toward the end of the 20th century, there have appeared quite a few broad studies on interdiscursivity in photographs and films. For example, Collins (1989) and Hutcheon (1989) have explored the



political dimensions of postmodern texts by focusing specifically on the ideological significance of genre combination in films. Knee (1994), however, takes genre compounding or hybridizing in films as a means of weaving together the plots. But the most detailed exploration of interdiscursivity is found in Bradford (1997), where he applies his theory of 'double pattern' to the analysis of genre mixing and/or genre switching in poetry, novel, and drama. For him, the interdiscursive feature of poetry is the main factor that gives rise to the tension between the two poles of the "double pattern". He (1997) ascribes this kind of interdiscursivity to the political and social disorders at that time. This mode of interdiscursivity, however, is more clearly shown in Shakespeare's dramas. He (1997) even thinks that this genre mixing in Shakespeare's dramas maps the distinction between the European civilization and the savages of the new world. For him (1997), the novel is an all-inclusive framework of genres and linguistic styles.

3. The objective of the Study

The research aims at studying the mechanisms which lie behind *interdiscursivity*, the force that prompts language users to choose it in communication and the effects it can achieve on the basis of Sperber & Wilson 's Relevance Theory.

4. The Statement of the Problem

The existing researches still have a lot of limitations, and more efforts are needed to further explore the complexities of interdiscursivity. In the first place, neither of the above-mentioned approaches is comprehensive enough since they never touch upon the cognitive factors lying behind the functioning of interdiscursivity. This undesirable situation shows that in interdiscursivity studies what actually lacks is an applicable theoretical framework which is based upon the cognitive, social, and cultural dimensions of language use. Consequently, the future study should attempt to generate an encompassing theoretical framework, and investigate interdiscursivity in more details. Such analyses from the stylistic approach focus on the surface level and fail to reveal in depth the operating process of interdiscursivity, for they do not take the dynamics of communication and the cognitive elements into consideration. Furthermore, no satisfactory theoretical model for the understanding of interdiscursivity has been offered in the stylistic approach.

5. The Significance of the Study

The functioning of interdiscursivity as a special kind of linguistic phenomenon is closely related to the cognitive, social, and cultural factors of language use. An interdiscursive text, with its elements rooted in particular institutions, is not individual and idiosyncratic; but part of a shared cultural world. However, as mentioned above, the previous studies of interdiscursivity are rather incomplete, the have left the cognitive facet intact and they have never fully unveiled the specific motivations lying behind the choices of interdiscursivity. In view of this limitation, the pragmatic perspective is conducted in this study in order to reveal the underlying force that drives language users to choose interdiscursivity and provide a systematic and coherent analysis for this linguistic phenomenon in a literary discourse.

6. The Model of the Study



Relevance Theory (hereafter RT) is a means of describing interactions by adopting the asocial notion of *relevance* as the driving force incommunication. In doing so, RT acts as a potentially neutral starting point for discussionson social verbal behaviour. Relevance and its notion of ostensive behaviour will be used to posit *markedness*, a marked ostensive form.

6.1 Relevance Theory

RT is a theory of communication which was originally proposed by Sperber and Wilson (1986-1995) (hereafter S&W). According to S&W, communication occurs within the interactants' mutual cognitive environment. In RT, cognitive environments are considered the most accessible context, and are taken to mean the psychological constructs containing actors' assumptions about the world in (S&W,1986,15). However, S&W (1982) do not equate mutual cognitive environments with the more traditional concept of the mutual knowledge. They reiterate the dilemma of how to compute an infinite set of propositions (S knows that P, A knows that P, S knows that A knows that P an infinitum) in a finite length of time (p. 63). Mutual cognitive environments are posited as a solution to this problem with an individual choosing from contexts, pertinent to the interaction, and bringing these to the communicative space (S&W,1986,39). The mutual cognitive environment is then the most immediately accessible context at the time of the utterance. It is not pre-existing or given, but chosen and shaped as an interaction begins (S&W,1982,7); (Pilkington,1991,54). This environment is." (Nicolle, 1999, 677), "guide" (Yus Ramos, 1998, 317), or methods of "special help" (Wilson then developed more fully throughout the interaction. The initial context is constructed from the immediately preceding utterances (S&W, 1982, 76), and is then expanded by information from, not only previous utterances, but also the interactants' encyclopaedic memory and the present physical environment (S&W,1982,703). Thus context, in S&W 's terms, is quite dynamic and changing rather than a "monolithic entity" that every person adopts with the initiation of an interaction (Yus Ramos, 1998, 307). Interactants do not begin with a stable notion of each other, but use language to construct and reconstruct the mutual cognitive environment. According to S&W 's model communication is said to occur when information becomes relevant to the mutual cognitive environment of the interactants.Information relevance is achieved through ostension, which is the act of highlighting information to create a perceptible effect on this context (S&W, 1986,49). Ostensive behaviour contains the presumption of relevance, a perceptible effect in an addressee's cognitive environment. Firstly, it attracts an addressee's attention, flagging the relevance of an utterance: and secondly, it makes an addressee aware that a speaker intended an addressee to notice (S&W,1986,15). Ostensive behaviour uses stimulus to direct the relevance of an event. This stimulus may be nonverbal such as movement and gesture, or linguistically realized in the form of an utterance. Both are forms of marked behavior which direct an addressee to notice a piece of information by flagging its relevance. Ostensive stimuli are agents which highlight the importance of certain information for a particular situation - in RT terms the relevance of input for the addressee's cognitive environment.

Ostensive Behaviour
makes manifest an intention to make something manifest
carries the presumption of relevance



Ostensive Stimulus direct the addressee to relevance

Non-Verbal Event movement and gesture noise

Verbal Eventutterance

Most of S&W's examples of ostension come from the nonverbal rather than the linguisticbranch. For instance, they describe two characters, Mary and Peter, who are sitting on apark bench. Peter leans back, changing Mary's view to reveal two people walking towards them - one is unknown, but the other is William, a dreadful bore. The latter of these two people has more impact on Mary's cognitive environment because he is known to her. She thus presumes that Peter's act of leaning back is ostensive, as it intends toattract her attention, making relevant William's approach. This action makes manifest Peter's intention to make manifest William's presence (S&W,1986,48). Although leaning back may be considered uninformative behaviour in some circumstances, such as merely becoming comfortable, Peter's action is regarded as ostensive due to its signpost guiding function in highlighting the importance of relevant information. S&W (1995) provide many nonverbal examples of this sort, which are relatively unproblematic, but interestingly say little about linguistic ostension. For S&W(1995) ostensive linguistic stimuli exist merely on the utterance level.

An ostensive stimulus makes information relevant to the interactants. This relevance is achieved through *contextual effects* on the interactants' mutual cognitive environment. Contextual effects must either reinforce an old assumption, derive a new one or eliminate an old assumption (S&W, 1986, 121; Wilson & Sperber, 1986, 381), and communication cannot occur without these effects.

The combination of this linguistic and contextual information allows the retrieval of explicatures to occur through a process of disambiguation (matching linguistic forms to semantic representations), referent assignment (matching nouns to entities) and meaning enrichment (semantic representations needing more information). Explicature retrieval is then followed by are solution of the propositional attitude, the basic Speech Act mode of saying, telling or asking. Finally communication is achieved through the last step - a process of inference, involving the construction of implicatures purely from the contextual information needed to properly understand an utterance:(S&W, 1986, 182-202). At every stage the principle of relevance is at play, as it requires that "the hearer should choose the solution [explicature/ implicature] involving the least effort, and should abandon this solution only if it fails to yield an interpretation consistent with the principle of relevance" (S&W,1986,185).

1. Explicature Process (Linguistic decoding and contextual input)

Disambiguation

Reference Assignment

Enrichment

- 2. Recovering of a Propositional Attitude (Basic Speech Acts asking, saying, telling)
- 3. Implicature Process (Contextual input)
 Previous Utterances



Physical Environment Encyclopaedic Memory

This process can be exemplified in the following example:

Biologist, Penelope rushes from her bedroom into the lounge room of their share housewhere her fellow biologist and flatmate Tim is sitting. She utters:

The jar is empty. Do you know where my flower is?

The first step in making sense of Penelope's utterance is to construct an explicature by retrieving semantic forms for the words. The word "flower "in the spoken form is ambiguous meaning either ground up wheat used for cooking (flour) or the seed bearing part of a plant (flower). The context of the previous utterance, "The jar is empty", does not help disambiguate this sentence, as both "flour" and a "flower" may be kept in a jar. However the fact that Penelope has come from her room and not the kitchen, which would be expected if she were looking for "flour", allows Tim to assign the latter meaning to this word. More work is needed on the phrase "my flower". The genitive in this case is not clear. "My flower" can mean a flower owned by Penelope or a new type of flower propagated by Penelope. Tim must enrich this form with contextual cues. For instance, he knows that Penelope is a biologist, so it is likely that the flower that she isreferring to is one she has bred herself. If the previous utterance had been "The vase isempty", the 'owned' meaning might have been more relevant. Tim also needs to performreference assignment with the word "you", giving it the real world referent of himself. In his process of disambiguation and enrichment the rest of these forms also receive semantic representation, such as "empty" meaning a container lacking filling. The basic Speech Act form of "asking" is then retrieved to yield the propositional form: I am asking whether you know where my flower is. However this is not the whole meaning of the utterance. It continues to direct relevance to implied meanings or the retrieval of implicatures. Implicatures may be determined by asking why an utterance: has been made. In this case Tim may recover similar scenarios from his encyclopaedic memory, regarding other instances where he has borrowed her species of flower to show to his biology class without consulting her first.

Ostension is described as the way information is communicated. It is the act of highlighting information to make it relevant, and to attract an addressee's attention to the information. Thus, ostensive behaviour may be considered as marked behaviour. However in terms of verbal ostension, S&W (1997) consider the *utterance* to be the only verbal entity which carries relevance. Wilson (1998) suggests that "every ostensive stimulus creates a presumption of optimal relevance, and it would take at least some argument to show that *every bit of An utterance:* creates its own individual presumption of relevance, rather than contributing to satisfying the presumption of relevance carried by the whole utterance" (p.60). We propose that this ordering is pragmatically interpreted in terms of relevance: the higher ordered entailments are assumed to be the most relevant, to contain the point of the utterance." (S&W, (1995). S&W (1995) seem to be proposing that elements below the utterance level can actas a signpost or guide, highlighting the relevant aspects of an utterance: in the form of ordered entailments. In a sense S&W (1995) do discuss linguistic features in terms of their contribution to ostension.

The relevance theoretic approach to implicature was developed by S&W (1995) as part of a



broader attempt to shift pragmatics into a cognitive framework. The relevance theorists define implicature:"...propositions which are not developments of the logical form, but rather are constructed according to a combination of contextual information and the proposition expressed by the utterance. They are totally context-dependent and not straight forwardly deducible from the utterance..." (p. 494). They have thus done much to show that a variety of different pragmatic processes are involved in developing what is encoded by An utterance: into the propositions that are actually communicated. An implicature, on the other hand, is defined as "any other propositional form communicated by an utterance:; its content consists of wholly pragmatically inferred matter" (Carston 2000: 10). They do note, however, that the conceptual content of implicatures must be wholly inferred (Carston 2000, 2001; S&W 2002), and to be inferred they must be intended by a speaker, and be understood by a hearer as intended (S&W 2002).

7. The Research Ouestions

This study addresses the following research questions:

- 1. What roles does culture play upon the 'identity' of a writer as an evidence in his/ her linguistic choices in his/ her literary discourse?
- 2. What are the strategies used by an Arab writer employing his linguistic repertoire to highlight interdiscursivity of his utterances in a literary discourse?
- 3. What roles do the categories of the linguistic features; such as lexical items, syntactic structures and speech acts play in highlighting interdiscursivity in a literary discourse?

8. The Research Hypotheses

This study is based on the following research hypotheses:

- 1. Culture plays an effective role upon the 'identity' of a writer as an evidence in his/ her linguistic choices in his/ her literary discourse.
- 2. A variety of strategies are used by an Arab writer employing his linguistic repertoire to highlight interdiscursivity in a literary discourse.
- 3. The categories of the linguistic features; such as lexical items, syntactic structures and speech acts play an essential role in highlighting interdiscursivity in a literary discourse.

9. Methodology

9.1 Data Collection

The data of this study is selected texts taken from the novel of Abdurrahman AL-Muneif, an Arab novelist, *Muden AL-melh*. It is a political fiction in which Munif takes the readers to the heart of desert in order to make them know more about the Arab culture. The valley, Wadi al-Uyoun, in which the main events have take place, is destroyed by bulldozers and heavy machinery as American engineers transform the oasis into an oil field. Munif entertains the readers and at the same time tells them a great deal about how painful the oil era has been to Arab societies. oil money has produced two cities side by side, one for the American expatriates and their elite Arab counterparts, the other for the poor, displaced Arab laborers. Munif writes convincingly about the two cultures that clash there. He captures the confusion and, in the end, the sadness wrought by the sudden change. The Bedouin laborers, earning more money than they ever dreamed possible, are told to sell their camels, which they do reluctantly. The last link to the freedom they knew as desert wanderers is gone. "Cities of Salt," is a timely and intelligent novel. It is an epic contemporary Arabic novel. It reminds the



readers how rich the Arab world is in literature and how little of it they know. 9.2 Data Analysis

The data of the study is analyzed, as shown in Table 1, according to S&W 's Relevance Theory (RT) for the sake of studying the linguistic phenomenon, *interdiscursivity*.

Table 1. Data Analysis

No.	Ostensive	Ostensive	Ostensive Behaviour	Pragmatic meaning/
110.	Stimulus	contributors	Ostelisi ve Beliavioui	Implicature
	Stilliaias	Contributors		Implicature
1	كان (متعب الهذال)	A lexicon:	•interdiscursivity:	The novelist intends
	مصمما على ان يخرج	"the gun العصملية "	Reference to culture	to draw the attention
	العصملية و ان يطلق	An utterance:	Use of a standard	of the reader to one of
	بضع رصاصات انه	يفعل ذلك بعد مجيء كل	variety	the common habits of
	يفعل ذلك بعد مجيء كل	ولد		Arabs which is using
	ولد.	He uses a gun and		a gun and shooting
	Miteb al-Hathalis	shoots whenever he		whenever they have a
	determined toget	has a new born boy.		new born boy.
	out the gun and			
	shot because he			
	used to do			
	soafterthe			
	delivery of every			
	child.			
2	بدأ ابن راشد محرجا ،	-	interdiscursivity :	Drawing the attention
	اذ لا يستطيع ان يدافع	· ·	Reference to culture	of the reader to the
	عنهم كما فعل في البداية	the values of	Use of a standard	hospitality of the
	كما لا يستطع ان ينكر	hospitality.	variety	Bedouins which is
	لقيم الضيافة			one of their common
	Ibn Rashid was			customs.
	embarrassed			
	because he cannot			
	defend the			
	foreigners as he			
	did in the			
	beginning, and			
	simultanclly he			
	could not ignore			
	the values of			
	hospitality.			
3	اذا كان البدو ، و وادي		interdiscursivity :	Spotting light on the
	العيون بشكل خاص، لا		Reference to culture	identity of the
	يعرفون البكاء و لا	يحبونه ويستغربون كيف		Bedouins that they
	يحبونه ويستغربون	يبكون الناس او لماذا	variety	are emotionless and



	1 1:11 . 6 . 6	.1 1 6		1 1 00 4 1
	كيف يبكون الناس او لماذا	the people of		very harsh affected
		the Valley, Wadi		by their own
	If the Bedouins,	al-Uyoun, in		environment.
	and the people of	particular, do not		
	theValley, Wadi	know and do not like		
	al-Uyoun, in	crying and they		
	particular, do not	wonder how people		
	know and do not	cry or why.		
	like crying and			
	they wonder how			
	people cry or			
	why.			
4	اليوم هو يوم القيامة.		interdiscursivity :	Referring to the
	يقولون: اذا مشى الحديد		Reference to culture	identity of the
	على الحديد ؟ اليوم	يقولون: اذا مشى الحديد		Bedouins that they
	رأيت الحديد يمشي على	على الحديد.	variety	believe in the
	الحديد.	Today is the		existence of the day
	Today is the	Judgment Day. They		of judgment and its
	Judgment Day.	say: " If metal walks		indications.
	They say: " If	over the metal?		
	metal walks over			
	the metal? Today I			
	saw the metal			
	walking over the			
	metal.			
5	هذه العفاريتمثل	A lexicon:	•interdiscursivity:	Referring to the
	رحى الطاحون تظل	Goblinsالعفاريت	Reference to culture	identity of the
	تدور و تدور دون ان	An utterance:	Use of a standard	Bedouins that they
	تتعب و دون ان يدير ها	رحى الطاحون تظل تدور	variety	believe in goblins and
	احد.	و تدور دون ان تتعب و		to one of the common
	These goblins	دون ان يدير ها احد.		devices used by them
	like a millstone	These goblins like		which is millstone.
	going around	a millstone going		
	without being	around without being		
	tired and run by	tired and run by		
	itself.	itself.		
6	اهل الوادي لا يتركون	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
	الانسان يحارب وحده	انهم يحاربون معه حتى	Reference to culture	attention to the
	،انهم يحاربون معه	النهاية ، و بعد ان تنتهي	•Use of a standard	identity of the
	حتى النهاية ، و بعد ان	الحرب يسألون لماذا	variety	Bedouins that they
	تنتهي الحرب يسألون	حاربوا"		are very cooperative,
	لماذا حاربوا"	They fight with him		they tend to help
	The valley, Wadi	until the end, and		people whether they



_	T.		1	T
	al-Uyoun, people	when the war ends		know them or not.
	do not leave a man	they ask why they		
	fighting alone,	have fought.		
	they fight with			
	him until the end,			
	and when the war			
	ends they ask why			
	they have fought.			
7	كانت من عادة الامير	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Drawing the attention
	الخروج الى القنص في	عادة الامير الخروج الى	Reference to culture	to the Bedouins 's
	مثل هذا الوقت من	القنص	Use of a standard	custom.
	السنة.	It was the habit of the	variety	
	It was the habit of	Prince that he goes to		
	the Prince that he	the desert at this time		
	goes to the desert	of the year.		
	at this time of the			
	year.			
8	ابسيوفنا اخذنا هذي	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Attracting the
	الارض شبرا وراء	بسيوفنا اخذنا هذي الارض	Reference to culture	attention to the
	شبر ِ"	شبرا وراء شبر	 Use of a dialect 	identity of the
	"by our swords we	by our swords we	variety	Bedouins that they
	occupied this land	occupied this land		are very brave.
	span by span."	span by span.		
9	أي واحد يخلق مشاكل	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
	ما له عندنا الا دواء	ما له عندنا الا دواء واحد:	Reference to culture	to the Bedouins's
	واحد: هذا السيف	هذا السيف	 Use of a dialect 	culture.
	For anyone, who	For anyone, who	variety	
	is troublesome,	makes problems, we		
	we have only one	have only one		
	medicine: this	medicine: this sword.		
	sword.			
10	ان اكوب يشرب " بول	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
	ابليس" أي انه يشرب		Reference to culture	attention to Bedouins
	الخمر.	ابليس" أي انه يشرب	Use of a dialect	's culture.
	Akwab drinks"the	الخمر.	variety	
	urine of the	Akwabdrinks"the		
	devil," which	urine of the devil,"		
	means that	which means that		
	hedrinks alcohol.	hedrinks alcohol.		
11	وادي العيون قريب,	A phrase:	•interdiscursivity:	Directing the
	رمية عصى	رمية عصى	Reference to	attention to the
	The valley, Wadi	casting of a stick.	culture/ cliche	Bedouins 's culture.



			1	
	al-Uyoun, is as		Use of a dialect	
	close as the		variety	
	distance of the			
	casting of a stick.			
12	اعطت المريضة	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Drawing the attention
	اعشاب المرة	اعشاب المرة،	Reference to culture	to the Bedouins 's
	حضرتها بنفسها	حضرتها بنفسها	Use of a standard	culture.
	She just gave the	The commiphora	variety	
	patient	molmolwhich she		
	commiphora	has prepared herself.		
	molmol, which			
	she has prepared			
	herself.			
13	نعيم لماذا صوته	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Spotting light on the
	منخفض هكذا و يشبه	نعيم لماذا صوته منخفض	Reference to culture	Bedouins 's culture.
	صوت النساء ؟	هكذا و يشبه صوت النساء	Use of a standard	
	why is Naeim 's	"	variety	
	voice is as low as	Daham why is Naeim		
	women 's ?"	's voice as low is as		
		women 's?		
14	في السنة عيدين و اليوم	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
	هو الثالث.	في السنة عيدين.	Reference to culture	to the culture of
	there are two	There are two	Use of a dialect	Muslims.
	festivals in a year	festivals in a year.	variety	
	and today is the			
	third.			
15	لماذا تخاصم ابوك مع	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Attracting the
	ابن راشد، و ین هو	لماذا تخاصم ابوك مع ابن	Reference to culture	attention to the
	الحين!	راشد، و ين هو الحين!	Use of a standard	Bedouins 's culture.
	why your father	why your father had	variety embedded	
	had quarreled with	quarreled with	by a dialect variety.	
	Iben arachnid, and	Ibnarachnid, and		
	where he is now.	where he is now.		
16	المبارك ما بقى له الا		interdiscursivity :	Directing the
	الكي. و اذا الكي ما	اذا الكي ما افاده يكون	Reference to culture	attention of the reader
	افاده یکون مدبوس.	مدبوس.	Use of a dialect	to the Bedouins 's
	What has	If ironing does not	variety	culture.
	remained for	benefit, he must be		
	Almubarik is only	under the effect of		
	ironing. If ironing	magic.		
	does not benefit,			
	he must be under			
	the effect of			



	magic.			
17	قال ما قاله، بصوت	A phrase:	•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
	مناد و اقوى من صوت	_	Reference to culture	attention to Bedouins
	المؤذن.		•Use of a standard	's culture.
	He said what he	prayer caller.	variety	
	said with a caller	•		
	voice, stronger			
	than the voice of			
	the prayer caller.			
18	"اياكم و خضراء	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
	الدمن مثل ابلیس له		Reference to culture	to Bedouins 's culture
	عين واحدة، عين	ابليس له عين واحدة، عين	Use of a standard	that they know about
	خضراء، و هذه هي	خضراء، و هذه هي التي	variety	the prophet 's sayings.
	التي نهي عنها الرسول"	نهى عنها الرسول		
	"Beware of the	Beware of the		
	woman whose	woman whose		
	appearance is	appearance is		
	beautiful but her	beautiful but her		
	ancestry is bad.	ancestry is bad. She		
	She is like the	is like the devil that		
	devil that has one	has one eye, green		
	eye, green eye,	eye, and she is		
	and she is	accepted by the		
	accepted by the	Prophet.		
	Prophet."			
19	خبل. لكن قلبه طيب.		interdiscursivity :	The novelist intends
	Dementiabuthis	خبل. لكن قلبه طيب.	Reference to culture	to make the reader get
	heartis kind.	Dementiabuthis	 Use of sarcasm. 	to know the Bedouins
		heartis kind.	•Use of a dialect	's culture.
			variety	
20	من هو اللي وراء		•interdiscursivity:	Making the reader get
	الطوشة و الله لافرق	لا فرق لحمه على تلال	Reference to	to know the Bedouins
	لحمه على تلال حران		culture/ threat	's culture.
	کلها.	I 'll cut his flesh into		
	who is behind	pieces and distribute	variety	
	such a mess, I 'll	it to the hills of		
	cut his flesh into	Harran.		
	pieces and			
	distribute it to the			
	hills of Harran.			.
21	الحق العيار لباب الدار		•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
	الأمير قريب, لكن ما	الحق العيار لباب الدار	Reference to	to the identity of the
	منه فائدة.	'Follow the	culture/ a proverb	Bedouins that they



			1	
	'Follow the	humorous man to the	Use of a dialect	tend to use proverbs
	humorous man to	door of the house'.	variety	in their
	the door of the			communication.
	house'. The prince			
	is close to us, but			
	he is not			
	beneficial.			
22	اذا بغيت صاحبك يدوم	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Attracting the
	فحاسبه كل يوم.	اذا بغيت صاحبك يدوم	Reference to	attention to the
	If you want your	فحاسبه كل يوم.	culture/ a proverb	Bedouins 's culture.
	friend to continue	If you want your	Use of a dialect	
	working with you,	friend to continue	variety	
	pay him daily.	working with you,		
		pay him daily.		
23	من اكل تمر هم يقوم	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
	بأمر هم.	من اكل تمر هم يقوم	Reference to	to the Bedouins 's
	The one, who eats	بأمر هم.	culture/a proverb	culture.
	their dates, should	The one, who eats	 Use of a dialect 	
	obey their orders.	their dates, should	variety	
		obey their orders.		
24	ان بغيت الفراق فاطلب	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Attracting the
	بما لا يطاق.	ان بغيت الفراق فاطلب بما	Reference to	attention to the
	if you want to	لا يطاق	culture/a proverb	Bedouins 's culture.
	depart, you ask for	if you want to us	Use of a dialect	
	what is	depart, you ask for	variety	
	unbearable.	what is unbearable.		
25	من جامع المصلين		interdiscursivity :	Spotting light on the
	صلی و من جامع	من جامع المصلين صلى و	Reference to	Bedouins 's culture.
	المغنين غنى	من جامع المغنين غني.	culture/a proverb	
	When a man	When a man gathers	Use of a dialect	
	gathers with	with worshipers, he	variety	
	worshipers, he	prays, but when he		
	prays, but when he	gathers with singers,		
	gathers with	he sings.		
	singers, he sings."			
26	المال ما هو كل شيء		interdiscursivity :	Drawing the attention
	في هذه الدنيا قبل المال:		Reference to culture	to a fact related to the
	العرض، الاخلاق،	الاخلاق، العادات	/ a fact	identity of the
	العادات التي تعودنا		•Use of a standard	Bedouins.
	عليها	integrity, morals, and	variety	
	Money is not	customs		
	everything in life,			



	1 .1 0			
	but before money			
	are integrity,			
	morals, and			
	customs that we			
	have got			
	accustomed to			
	them.			
27	هذه علامة الساعة،	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Making the reader get
	والساعة ما هي بعيدة ،	هذه علامة الساعة،	Reference to	to know the Bedouins
	ما دام عاليها انقلب	والساعة ما هي بعيدة ، ما	culture/ a fact	's culture.
	سافلها، وانذالها تتحكم	دام عاليها انقلب سافلها،	 Use of a dialect 	
	بأشر افها.	وانذالها تتحكم بأشرافها	variety	
	This is a sign of	This is a sign of the		
	the judgment	judgment day,and		
	day,and this time	this time is not far,		
	is not far, since	since people who are		
	people who are in	in an upper place		
	an upper place	turned to be in a		
	turned to be in a	lower place, and		
	lower place, and	those who are viilain		
	those who are	control the nobles.		
	viilain control the			
	nobles.			
28	هل هم رجال حقیقیون	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	The novelist raises
	أم عفار يت؟	_	Reference to culture	such a type of
	Are they men or		•Use of a standard	question to draw the
	goblins?	Are they men or	variety	attention of the reader
		goblins?	•Use of a rhetorical	to the
			question.	Bedouins'sculturethat
				they believe in
				goblins.
29	کل بنی ادم اخر ته	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Spotting light on the
	خرقة.		Reference culture/ a	Bedouins 's culture.
	The end of all	The end of all human	fact	
	human beings	beings is a rag.	•Use of a dialect	
	(when they die) is		variety	
	(to be covered by)			
	a rag.			
	S			
30	قال الامير: - حنا	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
	احرص منكم على	حنا احرص منكم على	•	attention to the
	الاخلاق و الدين.	الاخلاق و الدين.		identity of the
				•
	The prince said:		•Use of a standard	Bedouins that they



	"We are more	concerned with	variety embedded	are more concerned
	concerned with	morality and religion	by a dialect variety.	with morality and
	morality and	than you.		religion.
	religion than you."	than you.		rengion.
31	عليه توكلت و اليه	Δn utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
31	انيب.	علیه توکلت و الیه انیب	1	to the identity of the
	" We trust and rely	We trust and rely on		Bedouins that they
	on Him."	•	•Use of a standard	are Muslim ,they
	Oli Tillii.	111111.	variety	believe, trust and rely
			variety	on God.
32	الدين معاملة. لكن	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Making the reader get
32	التجّار لا يعرفون إلا		Reference to	to know the Bedouins
	المال إهذا هو دينهم.	الدين معامله لكن النجار لا يعرفون إلا المال !هذا		
	,		_	's culture.
	religion is treatment, but	هو دیدهم. ,religion is treatment	•Use of a standard	
	traders know	but traders know	variety	
	nothing except	nothing except		
	money!. This is	money!. This is their		
22	their religion.	religion.	1	D
33	التجار لا يعرفون		•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
	الحلال و لا يخافون من	لا يعرفون الحلال ولا		to the reader to the
	الحرام.	يخافون من الحرام.	U	identity of the
	The traders	They do not know		Bedouins.
	they do not know	what is accepted and	variety	
	what is accepted	they are not afraid of		
	and they are not	doing what is		
	afraid of doing	forbidden.		
2.1	what is forbidden.			
34	الهمد شرب العالمين،		•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
	الرهمان الرهيم	الهمد لله رب العالمين،		attention to the
	All praise is due	الرهمان الرهيم.	_	Bedouins 's culture
	to Allah, the Lord	All praise is due to		that although they are
	of the Worlds,	Allah, the Lord of	standard variety	not educated they
	The Beneficent,	the Worlds, The		know their religion,
	the Merciful.	Beneficent, the		Islam, well.
	<u> </u>	Merciful.		
35	الناس مات بقلوبهم الله،		•interdiscursivity:	Spotting light on the
	الواحد منهم صار مثل	الناس مات بقلوبهم الله،	Reference to	Bedouins 's culture.
	الصل.	الواحد منهم صار مثل	U	
	Religion does not		•Use of a dialect	
	exist in people 's	Religion does not	variety	
	hearts. They	exist in people 's		
	became like a	hearts. They became		



	cobra.	like a cobra.		
36	الله سبحانه و تعالى ،	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Making the reader
	علم الانسان ما لم يعلم.	الله سبحانه و تعالى ، علم	Reference to	get to know the
	God Almighty	الانسان ما لم يعلم.	religion	Bedouins 's culture
	Makes a human	God Almighty	Use of a standard	that they are aware
	realize what he	Makes a human	variety	their religion, Islam.
	does not know.	realize what he does		
		not know.		
37	النفط؟ ما يأتينا يكفينا	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Spotting light on the
	لنوقد هذه الفوانيس التي			Bedouins'
	تخنق برائحتها اكثر مما	التي تخنق برائحتها اكثر	environment	environment.
	تضيئ."	مما تضيئ	Use of a standard	
	Oil? What we get	Whatwe get is	variety embedded	
	is enough for us	enoughfor ustolight	by a dialect variety.	
	to light the	the lightings		
	lightings which	whichchokebecause		
	damp because of	of their smellmore		
	their smell more	thantheyilluminate.		
	than they	-		
	illuminate."			
38	الحطب قليل الناس	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention
	يقتصدون في استعماله ،	الحطب قليل. الناس	Reference to	to the Bedouins 's
	تحسبا للأيام التالية	يقتصدون في استعماله ،	environment	environment.
	كمجيئ قابلة او موت	تحسبا للأيام التالية	 Use of a standard 	
	احد.			
	the firewood is	the firewood is		
	few people	few people		
	economize in	economize in using it		
	using it	anticipating for the		
	anticipating for	coming days		
	the coming days	midwife 's visit or the		
	midwife 's visit or	death of someone.		
	the death of			
	someone.			
39	اصبح الوادي اقرب	A phrase:	•interdiscursivity:	Depicting the
	ما يكون الى عواء ذئاب	عواء ذئاب ضالة	Reference to	confusion in the
	ضالة.	howling of lost	environment	Bedouins 's life after
	The valley	wolves.	Use of a standard	the discovery of oil in
	close to the		variety.	the desert.
	howling of lost			
	wolves.			
40	فتح فيها اثلاما رفع	A phrase:	•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the



			1	T
	النباتات الطفيلية و	النباتات الطفيلية و الاشواك	Reference to	attention to desert
	الأشواك.	"the parasitic plants	environment	environment.
	He raised the	and thorns"	Use of a standard	
	parasitic plants		variety	
	and the thorns.			
41	كان يتعلق بذيل الناقة و	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Attracting the
	<i>هي</i> مسرعة كالبرق.	يتعلق بذيل الناقة و هي		attention to the
	He was holding	مسرعة كالبرق.	environment	Bedouins 's
	the tail of a camel	He was holding the	Use of a standard	environment.
	while it was	tail of a camel while	variety	
	running as fast as	it was running as fast		
	the lightning.	as the lightning.		
42	كل شيء فيهم مغلف،		interdiscursivity :	Giving focus on the
	طبقات فوق طبقات،	٠		identity of the
	تماما مثل الصحراء	طبقات فوق طبقات، تماما		Bedouins.
	التي يعيشون فوقها	مثل الصحراء التي يعيشون		
	Everything is	فوقها.	variety	
	covered, layers	Everything is		
	one over the other	covered, layers one		
	just like the desert	over the other just		
	in which they live.	like the desert in		
		which they live.		
43	اذا دخل الشتاء و ربعت		interdiscursivity :	Emphasizing the fact
	نروح للحباري.	اذا دخل الشتاءوربعت	Reference to	that the Bedouins are
	When winter	نروح للحباري.	environment	very much affected
	comes and the	When winter comes	Use of a standard	by the desert
	very coldest	and the very coldest	variety embedded	environment.
	period starts, we	period starts, we go	by a dialect variety.	
	go for hunting	for hunting bustard.		
	bustard.			
44	يتذكرون الناس		•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
	جازي الهذال قبل		Reference to history	attention to the
	اربعین او خمسین سنة	اربعین او خمسین سنة و		Bedouins 's history.
	و ما فعله ضد الاتراك.	ما فعله ضد الاتراك.	variety	
	People	People remember		
	remember what	what Gazzy		
	Gazzy	al-Hathaland did to		
	al-Hathaland did	Turks forty or fifty		
	to Turks forty or	years ago.		
	fifty years ago.			
45	كانوا اشرس اهل	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Drawing the



	الوادي في محاربة	كانوا اشرس اهل الوادي	Reference to history	attention to the
	الاتراك.	•	•Use of a standard	Bedouins 's history.
	They were the	They were the	variety	·
	fiercest fighters	fiercest fighters		
	against Turks in	against Turks in the		
	the valley.	valley.		
46	ر ناكل التراب و نقدم	· ·	•interdiscursivity:	Spotting light on the
	للضيوف اولادنا لكن لا	ناكل التراب و نقدم	•	Bedouins that they do
	نرضی ان نهز رؤوسنا	للضيوف او لادنا لكن لا		not accept to be
	مثل العبيد لكل كلمة		•	humiliated by
	يقو لو نها.	العبيد لكل كلمة يقولونها		anyone.
	We eat dust, and	We eat dust, and we		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	we offer our	offer our children to		
	children to guests,	guests, but we do not		
	but we do not	accept to nod our		
	accept to nod our	heads like slaves for		
	heads like slaves	every word they say.		
	for every word			
	they say.			
47	ما كاد يصيح بأعلى	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Directing the
	صوته "يا بويه يا بويه"	ما كاد يصيح (فواز) بأعلى	_	attention to the
	حتى امسك به عقله	, , ,		Bedouins 's culture.
	تمامًا كما تعقل الابل،	حتى امسك به (صويلح)	• Use of a standard	
	حدده كما تحدد الخيل.	عقله تماما كما تُعقل الأبل،		
	The moment he	حدده كما تحدد الخيل.		
	had shouted, "my	The moment he had		
	dad my dad", he	shouted, "my dad my		
	caught him	dad", he caught him		
	controlling him as	controlling him as		
	camels and	camels and directed		
	directed him as	him as horses.		
	horses .			
48	اذا اردنا ان نهلك قرية		interdiscursivity :	Drawing the attention
	امرنا مترفيها ففسقوا	اذا اردنا ان نهلك قرية	Quotation from the	to the identity of the
	فيها فحق عليها القول	امرنا مترفيها ففسقوا فيها	Holy Quran	Bedouins that they
	فدمرناها تدميرا و كم			consider the holy
	اهلكنا قبلهم من القري.	تدمير ا و كم اهلكنا قبلهم		Quran the guidance
	When we decide	من القرى.		of their life.
	to destroy a	When we decide to		
	town .We send a	destroy a town, We		
	definite order to	send a definite order		
	those among them	to those among them		
	who are given the	who are given the		



	I		1	T
	good things of this	good things of this		
	life. Then, they	life. Then, they		
	transgress therein,	transgress therein,		
	and thus the word	and thus the word is		
	is justified against	justified against it.		
	it. Then We	Then We destroy it		
	destroy it with	with complete		
	complete	destruction.		
	destruction.			
49	"و قل اعملوا فسيري	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Directing the
	الله عملكم و رسوله"		Quotation from the	attention to the
	"Tell them to	عملكم و رسوله"	_	identity of the
	workandGodand	"Tell them to	1101) Qurum	Bedouins that they
	His Messenger	workandGodand His		consider the holy
	will seeyour	Messenger will		Quran the guidance
	win seeyour work."	seeyour work."		of their lives.
	WOIK.	seeyour work.		of their fives.
50	" لا تبقى و لا تذر "	An uttoronoo:	•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
30	It spares not (any	. An utterance " لا تبقى و لا تذر "		attention to the
			`	
	sinner), nor does	It spares not, nor	Holy Quran	identity of the
	it leave (anything	does it leave.		Bedouins that they
	unburnt).			consider the holy
				Quran the guidance
<u> </u>	1 .1 1 1	A		of their lives.
51	"باسم حران، باسم رجالها و نسائها ، شيبها	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Spotting light on the
	رجالها و نسانها ، شيبها	"باسم حران، باسم رجالها	_	the identity of the
	و شبابها، باسم ال باسم			Bedouins.
	حاضرة و البادية، باسم	باسم ال باسم حاضرة و	variety.	
	الامير خالد الذي لا يهدا	البادية، باسم الامير خالد		
	ليل نهار ."	الذي لا يهدا ليل نهار."		
	"On behalf of	On behalf of Harran,		
	Harran, on behalf	on behalf of its		
	of its menandits	menandits women,		
	women, its	its oldsters and		
	oldsters and	youths, and on		
	youths, and on	behalf of prince		
	behalf of prince	Khalid, who is		
	Khalid, who is	restless night and		
	restless night and	day.		
	day."			
52	جو هر خبر دولتك اللي	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Drawing the attention



	بنوا البيب سباع	جوهر خبر دولتك اللي بنوا	Use of a poem	to the identity of the
				Bedouins that they
	و ما تصد للأمريكان	البيب سباع و الرجال تحمي حقوقها و	variety	like to write poetry
		ما تصير للأمريكان متاع	····································	and declaim it.
	ع و هذه الديرة ديريتا	و هذه الديرة ديرتنا		
	Jawher inform	Jawher inform your		
	your	country Those who		
	countryThose	built this country are		
	who built this	like lions.		
	country are like	Andmen protect their		
	lions.	rights and will		
	Andmen	prevent the		
	protecttheir rights	Americans from		
	and will prevent	playing with their		
	the Americans	country.		
	from playing with	This country is our		
	their country.	country		
	This country is			
	our country			
53	دمك يا مفضى ما يضيع	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Spotting light on the
	حران كلها تطالب	Your blood ,Mufdi,	Use of a poem	identity of the
	و انت یا ابو التل	will not be lost. All	Use of a dialect	Bedouins that they
	الشمالي تسمع و لازم	the people of Harran	variety.	like to write poetry
	تجاوب.	are		and declaim it.
	دمك يا مفضي ما يضيع	Demanding and		
	"Your blood,	You ,the father of the		
	Mufdi, will not be	northern hill, hear		
	lost. All the	and you must reply.		
	people of Harran	Your blood ,Mufdi,		
	are	will not be lost.		
	demanding and			
	You ,the father of			
	the northern hill,			
	hear and you must			
	reply.			
	Your			
	blood ,Mufdi, will			
	not be lost."			
54	الموت يموت و انت ما		•interdiscursivity:	Attracingt the
	تموت	الموت يموت و انت ما	_	attention to the
	یا ابو عثمان		•Use of a dialect	identity of the
	عز الرجال و فوق	یا ابو عثمان	variety	Bedouins that they
	الروس	عز الرجال و فوق الروس		like to write poetry



	یا ابو عثمان	یا ابو عثمان		and declaim it.
	الموت يموت و انت ما	الموت يموت و انت ما		
	تموت <u>.</u> .	تموت		
	یا ابو عثمان	يا ابو عثمان		
	Death dies and	Death dies and you		
	you do not die	do not die		
	Abu Othman	Abu Othman		
	You're an honour	You're an honour		
	and you are above	and you are above		
	our heads	our heads		
	Abu Othman	Abu Othman		
	Death dies and	Death dies and you		
	you do not die	do not die		
	Abu Othman	Abu Othman		
55	يمكن الشركة تقدم	An utterance:	•interdiscursivity:	Directing the
	الاسعاف الاولي، في	The company can	Use of a legal	attention to a legal
	المكان الذي يوجد فيه	provide the first aid	discourse	discourse.
	الجرحي، و هذا لن يتم	in the place where	Use of a standard	
	الا بموافقة الامير خالد،	the wounded are	variety.	
	و بعد ذلك يمكن ان ينقل	found if the		
	الجرحي الي عجرة او	consent of Prince		
	الى أي مكان اخر .	Khalid is given, and		
	The company can	then the wounded		
	provide the first	can be transferred to		
	aid in the place	the Ajrah or to any		
	where the	other place.		
	wounded are			
	found. This will			
	only be done if			
	the consent of			
	Prince Khalid is			
	given, and then			
	the wounded can			
	be sent to the			
	Ajrah or to any			
	other place.	.		A.,
56	بعد التدقيق و التمحيص		•interdiscursivity:	Attracting the
	لم تثبت التهمة على	لم تثبت التهمة على احد، و	Use of a legal	attention to the use of
	احد، و قد امر صاحب	قد امر صاحب السمو	discourse	a legal discourse.
	السمو الامير بغلق التنبية المتبار التاتيان			
	القضية و اعتبار القاتل	اعتبار القاتل مجهولا.	variety.	
	مجهولا.	the charge did not		
	After the audit	prove to anyone. The		



	and scrutiny, the	prince had ordered to		
	charge did not	close the case and		
	prove to anyone.	considered killer		
	The prince had	unknown.		
	ordered to close			
	the case and			
	considered killer			
	unknown.			
57	ان مسئولية العمال	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Spotting light on a
	انتقلت اليها بعد	الشركة قبل هذا التا ريخ لا	Use of a legal	legal discourse.
	الوفاة الشركة قبل هذا	تعترف لاحد بأية حقوق او	discourse	
	التاريخ لا تعترف لاحد	تعويضات.	Use of a standard	
	بأية حقوق او	The company prior	variety.	
	تعويضات"	to this date does not		
	The responsibility	confess any rights or		
	of the workers to	compensations for		
	move to it after	anyone.		
	their death. The			
	company prior to			
	this date does not			
	confess any rights			
	or compensation			
	for anyone ".			
58	" الحرب المتكافئة	An utterance:	interdiscursivity :	Spotting light on the
	،حرب الانداد، لان مثل	" الحرب المتكافئة ،حرب	Use of a political	use of a political
	هذه الحروب و حدها	الانداد، لان مثل هذه	discourse	discourse.
	التي تشرف	الحروب و حدها التي تشرفا	Use of a standard	
	المتحاربين، حتى الذين	لمتحار بين، حتى الذين	variety.	
	يخسرون ، اما الحرب			
	غير المتكافئة فان	المتكافئة فان المنتصر فيها		
	المنتصر فيها مهزوم	مهزوم ايضا"		
	ايضا"	"A symmetrical		
	"A symmetrical	warfare is a peer		
	warfare is apeer	war.Such wars are		
	war.Such wars are	the only ones which		
	the only ones	are an honour to the		
	which are an	combatants, even		
	honour to the	those who lose. On		
	combatants, even	the other hand, in an		
	those who lose.	asymmetrical war		
	On the other	even the winner is		
	hand, in an	defeated"		
	asymmetrical war			



even the winner is defeated" An utterance: interdiscursivity: Use of a political discourse alic صاحب السمو الأمير خالد حران هذا اليوم خالد حران هذا اليوم العلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل الإمير خالد حران هذا اليوم العلاج، و قد امر التلفي المردة بعودة جميع العمال الى الشركة لها الأمر ، كما امر الشركة لها الأمر ، كما الشركة لها الأمر ، كما اللخيرة. Wariety. An utterance: Use of a political discourse Use of a standard variety. Wariety. His lima in a land language in the la	
An utterance: •interdiscursivity: Use of a political discourse البلاغ القصير التالي: Use of a political discourse العلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل الأمير خالد حران هذا اليوم العلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل الله من المودة الله المركة، و قد استجابة الشركة لها الأمر ، كما امر الشركة لها الأمر ، كما المر الخيرة. His Highness Prince Khalid has left Harran for medical الأخيرة.	
للعلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل اللاغ القصير التالي: خالد حران هذا اليوم فالمير خالد حران هذا اليوم العلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل اللامر الله الله اللامر الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	n the
خالد حران هذا اليوم العمر خالد حران هذا العلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل المير خالد حران هذا العلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل اليوم للعلاج، و قد امر اليوم للعلاج، و قد امر اليوم للعلاج، و قد امر اليوم العمرة بعودة الشركة لها الامر ، كما امر الشركة، و قد استجابة الشركة، و قد استجابة و تحديد مسئولية الحوادث الشركة لها الامر ، كما الاخيرة. His Highness Prince Khalid has left Harran for medical الاخيرة.	
Use of a standard variety. العلاج، و قد امر سموه قبل اليوم للعلاج، و قد امر اليوم للعلاج، و قد امر اليوم للعلاج، و قد امر اللي الشركة، و قد استجابة الشركة لها الأمر ، كما امر الشركة، و قد استجابة و تحديد مسئولية الحوادث الشركة لها الأمر ، كما الأخيرة. His Highness Prince Khalid has left Harran for medical الأخيرة.	
variety. اليوم للعلاج، و قد امر الى الشركة، و قد استجابة الشركة لها الامر ، كما امر سموه قبل سفره بعودة الشركة لها الامر ، كما الله الشركة لها الامر ، كما الشركة لها الامر ، كما الشركة لها الامر ، كما الخيرة. His Highness Prince Harran for medical الخيرة.	
الى الشركة، و قد استجابة الشركة لها الامر ، كما امر سموه بتكليف لجنة للتحقيق الشركة، و قد استجابة و تحديد مسئولية الحوادث الشركة لها الامر ، كما الاخيرة. الاخيرة. His Highness Prince Khalid has left Harran for medical الاخيرة.	
الشركة لها الامر ، كما امر المي الشركة لها الامر ، كما امر الشركة و قد استجابة و تحديد مسئولية الحوادث الشركة لها الامر ، كما الاخيرة. الاخيرة الموادث التحقيق و تحديد المسؤلية الحوادث التحقيق و تحديد المسؤلية الحوادث الاخيرة.	
سموه بتكليف لجنة للتحقيق الشركة، و قد استجابة و تحديد مسئولية الحوادث الشركة لها الامر ، كما الاخيرة. امر سموه بتكليف لجنة His Highness Prince Khalid has left Hearran for medical الاخيرة.	
الاخيرة. الاخيرة. His Highness Prince Khalid has left Harran for medical	
الاخيرة. الاخيرة. His Highness Prince Khalid has left Harran for medical	
مسئولية الحوادث Khalid has left Harran for medical	
Harran for medical الاخيرة.	
The office of the treatment Before	
The strice of the landment. Before	
emirate issued the that he had ordered	
following short that all workers can	
proclamation: return to the	
His Highness company.	
Prince Khalid has Accordingly, the	
left Harran for company have	
medical accomplished such a	
treatment. Before request .The prince	
that he had had also ordered that	
ordered that all a committee to	
workers can investigate and	
return to the determine the final	
company. responsibility of the	
Accordingly, the last crimes had to be	
company have formed.	
accomplished such a	
request .The	
prince had also	
ordered that a	
committee to	
investigate and	
determine the	
final	
responsibility of	
the last crimes	
had to be formed.	



10. Conclusion

S&W 's notion of ostensive stimuli has the ability to provide a firmer theoretical basis for an RT form of social language analysis, with a particular reference to discourse analysis, giving a detailed description of the relevance notion of ostension as an act of intentionally highlighting or directing the addressee's attention to certain information. Ostensive behavior made this information relevant to the interactants' mutual cognitive environment in the form of explicatures or implicatures. This study has provided a means of considering some concepts in RT which may be utilized to bridge the gap between the theoretical underpinnings of this paradigm that describes interdiscursivity. The result, hopefully, provides some insights into the way in which RT may be employed with more theoretical strength to social aspects of communication. This study has attempted to apply RT by affirming the function of ostension contributors as signposts for relevance, and by re-identifying some of the units of analysis which bear this function.

11. The Results

- 1. The stylistic approach has successfully introduced the social and cultural factors into the analysis of the linguistic structures. This view helps in understanding the macro relationship between literature and social/ historical context, as shown in 43- 44 in Table 1. It is through this dynamic linguistic phenomenon, *interdiscursivity*, literature achieves its unique charm and aesthetic value.
- 2. It is shown through the analysis of the literary ostensive stimulus of the study data that culture plays an effective role upon the 'identity' of an Arab writer as an evidence in his theme choices by using *interdiscursivity*; such as the reference to the common habits and the customs of Arabs, as in 1 in Table 1, the identity of the Bedouins, as in 46 and 47 in Table 1, the Bedouins 's environment, as in 37-43 in Table 1, the Arab proverbs, as in 21-25 Table 1, poetry, as in 52-54 in Table 1 and the Holy Quran, as in 48-50 in Table 1.
- 3. A variety of ostensive behaviours are used by an Arab writer employing his linguistic repertoire to highlight the efficient role of *interdiscursivity* in a literary discourse; such as the use of a standard variety, as in 1 and 6 in Table 1; a dialect variety, as in 7-10 in Table 1; a standard variety embedded by a dialect variety, as in 14, 30, 37,43 and 47 in Table 1; the use of rhetorical questions, as in 26 in Table 1; sarcasms, as in 18 in Table 1; threats, as in 19 in Table 1; and the reference to culture, as in 1-29 in Table 1; environment, as in 37-43 in Table 1, Arabian proverbs, as in 21-25 in Table 1 factual, as in 29 in Table 1; religious, as in 30-36 in Table 1; political, as in 58-59 historical, as in 44-45 in Table 1 and legal discourses, as in 55-57 in Table 1.
- 4. Interdiscursivity, as a marked linguistic form or ostension contributor, supports efficiently to the contextual effect on the mutual cognitive environment of the interactants, as shown in in all the exmples in Table 1.
- 5. S & W 's notion of ostension is usefully discussed not only in the level of an uttrance ,but



below the utterance level to the linguistic structures as well, such as marked words, , as in 1 and 5 in Table 1 , and phrases as in 2,11,17,39 and 40 in Table 1. This contributes to ostension and, therefore, to the analysis of *interdiscursivity* depending on the context in which they are used.

- 6. The pieces of Ostensive Stimulus allow an analyst to determine how the relevance of an utterance is realised, and particularly for this reseach, why interdiscursivity is used. These aspects of the linguistic ostension contributors are described as a means of adding to the highlighting, signaling, signaposting or indicating the relevance of an utterance.
- 7. The ostension contributors, which carry with them the presumption of relevance, for example in 16 in table 1, where the word "ironing" according to the reader 's background knowledge indicates a means of medical treatment and "magic", a tool used to destroy man's life, and the intended messages of a writer. These ostension contributors are used to add to the utterance's ostensive behavior. They make manifest the intention to make something manifest forms which help construct the relevance of an utterance for describing the occurrence of interdiscursivity.
- 8. It has been proven in this study that implicatures are interpreted according to the background knowledge of a reader and his inferences about a current situation as a whole rather than from inferences about the intentions of a writer, such as his knowledge about culture, as in 1-25 in Table 1, environment, as in 37-43 in Table 1, Arabian proverbs, as in 21-25 in Table 1 factual, as in 29 in Table 1; religious, as in 30-36 in Table 1; political, as in 58-59 in Table 1, historical, as in 44-45 in Table 1 and legal discourses, as in 55-57 in Table 1.
- 9. The arguments presented in this research demonstrate that there is nothing intrinsically asocial about RT. Any attempt to explain behaviour by reference to the social environment implies certain information processing abilities. RT seeks to provide an explicit account of these abilities and is, therefore, of great potential value to an adequate understanding of humans as social beings, as shown all examples presented in Table 1.

References

Allen, G. (2000). *Intertextuality*. London: Routledge.

Bakhtin, M. (1981). The dialogic imagination. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Bakhtin, M. (1986). Speech genres and other late essays. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Bernstein, B. (1996). Pedagogy, symbolic control and identity. London: Taylor & Francis.

Bernstein, B. (2004). Worlds of written discourse. London/New York: Continuum.

Blakemore, D. (1987). Semantic Constraints on Relevance. Oxford: Blackwell. The intuitive basis of implicature, 132.

Blakemore, D. (1995). Relevance Theory. *Handbook of Pragmatics*. Ed. Jeff Verschueren et al. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 443-52.



Blakemore, D. (1992). *Understanding Utterances: An Introduction to Pragmatics*. Oxford: Blackwell, 179.

Blass, R. (1990). Relevance Relations in Discourse. Cambridge: Cambridge UP.

Bradford, R. (1997). Stylistics. New York: Routledge.

Carston, R. (2000). Explicature and Semantics. UCL Working Papers in Linguistics 12, 1-44.

Carston, R. (2001). Relevance theory and the saying/implicating distinction. *UCL Working Papers in Linguistics*, 13, 1-34.

Carston, R., & Seiji, U. (1998). *Relevance Theory: Applications and Implications*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Chouliaraki, L., & Fairclough, N. (1999). *Discourse in Late Modernity*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh.

Clark, B. (1991). *Relevance Theory and the Semantics of Non-Declaratives*. PhD Diss.U of London.

Collins, J. (1989). *Uncommon cultures: Popular culture and postmodernism*. New York: Routledge.

Fairclough, N. (1992). Discourse and social change. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Fairclough, N. (2003). Analyzing discourse: Text analysis for social research. London: Routledge.

Fairclough, N. (2010). *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language* (2nd ed.). London: Longman.

Hutcheon, L. (1989). The politics of postmodernism. London: Routledge.

Knee, A. (1994). The compound genre film: Billy the Kid versus Draculameets The Harvey Girls. In Cancalon, E., & Spacagna, A. (Eds.). *Intertextuality in literature and film*. Gainesville, F. L. University Press of Florida, 141-156.

Kristeva, J. (1986). Word, dialogue and novel. In T. Moi (Ed.), *The Kristeva reader*. Oxford: Blackwell, 34-61.

Jary, M. (1998). Is RelevanceTheory Asocial? *Revista Alicantina de Estudios Ingleses* 11,157-69.

Lemke, J. L. (1995). *Textual politics: Discourse and social dynamics*. London: Taylor & Francis.

Levinson, S. (1989). A review of Relevance. *Journal of Linguistics*, 25(2), 455-472.

Pilkington, A. (1991). Poetic Effects: A Relevance Theory Perspective. *Literary Pragmatics*. Ed. R. Sell. London: Routledge, 44-6.

Roberts, L. (1991). Relevance as an Explanation of Communication. Linguistics and



Philosophy, 14, 453-72.

Ruiz de M., F. (1999). The role of cognitive mechanisms in making inferences. *Journal of English Studies: University of La Rioja*, 1, 237-255.

Ruiz de M., F., & Hernandez, L. (2001). Cognitive operations and pragmatic implication, *Sincronia.E-Journal of Culture Studies*. Retrieved from http://sincronia.cusch.udg.mx/fall01.htm

Nicolle, S., & Clark, B. (1999). Experimental pragmatics and what is said: A response to Gibbs andMoise. *Cognition*, 69(3), 337-354.

Scollon, R. (2000). Methodological interdiscursivity: An ethnographic understanding of unfinalisability. In S. Sarangi & M. Coulthard (Eds.), *Discourse and social life*. London: Pearson Education Limited, 138-154.

Scollon, R. (2002). Interdiscursivity and identity. In M. Toolan (Ed.), *Critical discourse analysis: Critical concepts in linguistics*. London: Routledge, 79-94.

Sperber, D. (1987). Precis of Relevance: Communication and Cognition. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 10(4), 697-754.

Sperber, D. (1995). Relevance: Communication and Cognition. 2nd Ed. Oxford: Blackwell.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1982). On defining relevance. In: *Philosophical Grounds of Rationality*. Eds. R. Grundy and R. Warner. London: Academic Press, 243-258.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1986). *Relevance: Communication & Cognition*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1995). *Relevance: Communication & Cognition*. (2ndedition). Oxford: Blackwell.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1997). Remarks on Relevance Theory and the Social Sciences. *Multilingua*, 16(2-3), 145-51.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (2002). Pragmatics, modularity, and mind-reading. *Mind and Language*, 17(1/2), 3-23.

Wilson, D. (1998). Discourse, Coherence and Relevance: A Reply to Rachel Giora. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 29(1), 57-74.

Wilson, D., & Sperber, D. (1986). Inference and Implicature. *Pragmatics: A Reader*. Ed. Steven Davis. Oxford: Oxford UP, 378-93.

Wilson, D., & Sperber, D. (1991). Linguistic Form and Relevance. *Lingua*, 90(1), 1-25.

Wilson, D., & Sperber, D. (1998). Pragmatics and time. In Robyn Carston, & Seiichi Uchida (eds.), *Relevance Theory*. *Applications and Implications*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 1-22.

Wilson, D., & Sperber, D. (2000). Truthfulness and relevance. *UCL Working Papers in Linguistics*, 12, 215-257.



Wodak, R. (2001). The discourse-historical approach. In R. Wodak & M. Meyer (Eds.), *Methods of critical discourse analysis*[ABRIDGED]. 63-95. London: Sage.

Yus Ramos, F. (1998). A Decade of Relevance Theory. Journal of Pragmatics 30.3, 305-45.

Zir, Y. (1989). On the Rationality of 'Relevance' and the Relevance of Rationality. *Cognitive Aspects of Language Use*. Ed. Ada Kasher. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science,

Xin, B. (2000). *Intertextuality from a Critical Perspective*. Suzhou: Suzhou University Press.

Copyright Disclaimer

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative

Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).