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In order to test the numerical methods for model reduction we present here a benchmark collection, which contain some useful 'real world' examples reflecting current problems in applications.
All simulations were obtained via Matlab and some slicot programs of Niconet ${ }^{1}$.
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## Introduction

We consider the stable linear time-invariant (LTI) system,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
E \delta x(t) & =A x(t)+B u(t), & & t>0,  \tag{1}\\
y(t) & =C x(t)+D u(t), & & t \geq 0
\end{array} \quad x(0)=x^{0},\right.
$$

and the associated transfer function matrix (TFM),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\lambda)=C(\lambda E-A)^{-1} B+D \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times N}$, and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$. The number of state variables $N$ is said to be the order of the system. If $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta x(t)=\dot{x}(t)$, the system is a continuous-time system and $\lambda$ is the frequency variable $s$, while (1) describes a discretetime system if $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta x(t)=x(t+1)$ is the forward shift operator and $\lambda$ is in this case $z$.

The aim is to find a reduced order LTI model,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
E_{n} \delta x_{n}(t) & =A_{n} x_{n}(t)+B_{n} u_{n}(t), & & t>0, \tag{3}
\end{array} \quad x_{n}(0)=x_{n}^{0},\right.
$$

of order $n, n \ll N$, such that the TFM $G_{n}(\lambda)=C_{n}\left(\lambda E_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} B_{n}+D_{n}$ approximates the first one in a particular sense.

A large class of model reduction methods rely on the construction of matrices : $T_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ and $T_{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$ such that the reduced model is defined as

$$
E_{n}=T_{l} E T_{r}, A_{n}=T_{l} A T_{r}, B_{n}=T_{l} B, C_{n}=C T_{r} \text { and } D_{n}=D
$$

We assume that the generalized spectrum of $(A, E)$, denoted by $\Lambda(A, E)$, is contained in the stable region of the complex plane.

There is no general technique for model reduction that can be considered as optimal in an overall sense since the reliability, performance and adequacy of the reduced system strongly depends on the system characteristics. Model reduction methods usually differ in the error measure they attempt to minimize.

The model reduction methods that we are interested in are strongly related to the controllability Gramian $\mathcal{G}_{c}$ and the observability Gramian $E^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o} E$ of the system ( $E^{-1} A, E^{-1} B, C$ ) (under the assumption that $E$ is invertible).
For continuous-time systems the Gramians are given by the solutions of two "coupled" (as they share the same coefficient matrix $A$ ) Lyapunov equations :

$$
A \mathcal{G}_{c} E^{T}+E \mathcal{G}_{c} A^{T}+B B^{T}=0, \quad A^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o} E+E^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o} A+C^{T} C=0
$$

while in the discrete-time case, the Gramians satisfy the Stein equations (or discrete Lyapunov equations) :

$$
A \mathcal{G}_{c} A^{T}-E \mathcal{G}_{c} E^{T}+B B^{T}=0, \quad A^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o} A-E^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o} E+C^{T} C=0
$$

The Hankel Singular Values (HSV) of the systems are given by the square-roots of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{G}_{c} E^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o} E$, i.e.,

$$
\Lambda\left(\mathcal{G}_{c} E^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o} E\right)=\left\{\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}^{2}\right\}, \quad \sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{N} \geq 0
$$

As the pair $(A, E)$ is assumed to be stable, $\mathcal{G}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{o}$ are positive semi-definite.
The data files can be obtained by sending an e-mail to: "chahloui@auto.ucl.ac.be". Every data file contains the default matrices $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ for descriptor systems. If $D, C$ or $E$ are not given, it means that $D=0, C=B^{T}$ and $E=I$.
There is also a vector of the Hankel singular values $h s v$, a frequency vector $\omega$ and the corresponding frequency response $\operatorname{mag}$. When $\mathcal{G}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{o}$ are positive definite the data files contain two matrices $S$ and $R$ which are the Cholesky factors of the matrices $\mathcal{G}_{c}, \mathcal{G}_{o}$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}_{c}=S^{T} S, \mathcal{G}_{o}=R^{T} R$, rather than the Gramians themselves.

If there are several Gramians corresponding to SISO subsystems, they are denoted with a subscript as well as the corresponding Cholesky factors (e.g. $\mathcal{G}_{c_{1}}, S_{1}, \ldots$ ).

## Dense models

| Data file | $N$ | $m$ | $p$ | Elements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eady.mat | 598 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, R, S$, mag, $w$ |
| tline.mat | 256 | 2 | 2 | $A, B, C, E, \mathcal{G}_{c} \mathcal{G}_{o}$, mag, $w$ |

## Sparse models

| Data file | $N$ | $m$ | $p$ | Elements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDplayer.mat | 120 | 2 | 2 | $A, B, C, R, R 1, R 2, S, S 1, S 2, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| peec.mat | 480 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, E, m a g, w$ |
| fom.mat | 1006 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| random.mat | 200 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| pde.mat | 84 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| heat-cont.mat | 200 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| heat-disc.mat | 200 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, E, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| Orr-Som.mat | 200 | 1 | 1 | $A, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| MNA_1.mat | 578 | 9 | 9 | $A, B, E$ |
| MNA_2.mat | 9223 | 18 | 18 | $A, B, E$ |
| MNA_3.mat | 4863 | 22 | 22 | $A, B, E$ |
| MNA_4.mat | 980 | 4 | 4 | $A, B, E$ |
| MNA_5.mat | 10913 | 9 | 9 | $A, B, E$ |

## Second order models

A second order model is a system of the type:

$$
M \ddot{x}(t)+C_{d} \dot{x}(t)+K x(t)=B_{d} u(t)
$$

Under the assumption that $M$ is invertible, this system leads to a linear system with the matrices [3]:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
-M^{-1} K & -M^{-1} C_{d}
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
M^{-1} B_{d}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$C$ can be taken as $B^{T}$ or something else.

| Data file | $N$ | $m$ | $p$ | Elements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iss.mat | 270 | 3 | 3 | $A, B, C, R, R 1, R 2, R 3, S, S 1, S 2, S 3, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| build.mat | 48 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |
| beam.mat | 348 | 1 | 1 | $A, B, C, R, S, h s v, m a g, w$ |

> | Eady example |
| :---: |
| $N=598, m=1, p=1$ |

This is a model of the atmospheric storm track (for example the region in the midlatitude Pacific). The mean flow is taken to be in a periodic channel in the zonal $x$-direction, $0<x<12 \pi$, the channel is taken to be bounded with walls in the meridional $y$-direction located at $y= \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ and at the ground, $z=0$, and the tropopause, $z=1$. The mean velocity is varying only with height and it is $U(z)=0.2+z$. Zonal and meridional lengths are nondimensionalized by $L=1000 \mathrm{~km}$, vertical scales by $H=10 \mathrm{~km}$, velocity by $U_{0}=30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and time is nondimensionalized advectively, i.e. $T=\frac{L}{U_{0}}$, so that a time unit is about $9 h$.

In order to simulate the lack of coherence of the cyclone waves around the Earth's atmosphere, an observed characteristic of the Earth's atmosphere, we introduce linear damping at the storm track's entry and exit region. The perturbation variable is the perturbation geopotential height (i.e. the height at which surfaces of constant pressure are located).

The perturbation equations for single harmonic perturbations in the meridional $(y)$ direction of the form $\phi(x, z, t) e^{i l y}$ are :

$$
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}=\nabla^{-2}\left[-z \nabla^{2} D \phi-r(x) \nabla^{2} \phi\right]
$$

where $\nabla^{2}$ is the Laplacian $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}-l^{2}$ and $D=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. The linear damping rate $r(x)$ is taken to be $r(x)=h\left(2-\tanh \left[\left(x-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) / \delta\right]+\tanh \left[\left(x-\frac{7 \pi}{2}\right) / \delta\right]\right)(h=2.5, \delta=1.5)$.

The boundary conditions are expressing the conservation of potential temperature (entropy) along the solid surfaces at the ground and tropopause:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t \partial z}=-z D \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+D \phi-r(x) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \quad \text { at } \quad z=0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t \partial z}=-z D \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+D \phi-r(x) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \quad \text { at } \quad z=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that these equations are the same for perturbation evolution in a Couette flow with free boundaries.

We write the dynamical system in generalized velocity variables $\psi=\left(-\nabla^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi$ so that the dynamical system is governed by the dynamical operator:

$$
A=\left(-\nabla^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^{-2}\left(-z D \nabla^{2}+r(x) \nabla^{2}\right)\left(-\nabla^{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2}}
$$

where the boundary equations have rendered the operators invertible. We consider the case $l=1$. Now the state are governed by the equation:

$$
\frac{d \psi}{d t}=A \psi
$$

We can define two correlation matrix $\mathcal{G}_{c}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{A t} e^{A^{T}} t d t$ and $\mathcal{G}_{o}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{A^{T}} t e^{A t} d t$ solution of Lyapunov equations:

$$
A \mathcal{G}_{c}+\mathcal{G}_{c} A^{T}+I=0 \quad, \quad A^{T} \mathcal{G}_{o}+\mathcal{G}_{o} A+I=0
$$

These matrices are the equivalent of the controllability and observability Gramians.


Figure 1: Frequency response.


Figure 2: eigenvalues of $A$

## Transmission line model

$$
N=256, m=2, p=2
$$

A transmission line is a circuit model modeling the impedence of interconnect structures accounting for both the charge accumulation on the surface of conductors and the current traveling along conductors [8], [9].


Figure 4: frequency response
$1^{\text {st }}$ input $/ 1^{\text {st }}$ output $\equiv 2^{\text {st }}$ input $/ 2^{\text {st }}$ output.


Figure 5: frequency response
$1^{s t}$ input / $2^{s t}$ output $\equiv 2^{s t}$ input $/ 1^{s t}$ output.


Figure 6: sparsity of $A$.


Figure 7: generalized eigenvalues of $(A, E)$.


Figure 8: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right), o \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right), \ldots$ hsv.


The control task is to achieve track following, which basically amounts to pointing the laser spot to the track of pits on the CD that is rotating. The mechanism treated here, consists of a swing arm on which a lens is mounted by means of two horizontal leaf springs. The rotation of the arm in the horizontal plane enables reading of the spiral-shaped disctracks, and the suspended lens is used to focus the spot on the disc. Due to the fact that the disc is not perfectly flat, and due to irregularities in the spiral of pits on the disc, the challenge is to find a low-cost controller that can make the servo-system faster and less sensitive to external shocks [4] and [13].The model contains 60 vibration modes.


Figure 9: Schematic view of a rotating arm Compact Disc mechanism.


Figure 10: Sparsity of $A$.


Figure 12: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right), \mathrm{o} \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c_{1}}\right)$, $\mathrm{x} \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c_{2}}\right), \quad$ hsv


Figure 11: Eigenvalues of $A$ (stable but lightly damped).


Figure 13: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right), o \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o_{1}}\right)$, $\mathrm{x} \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o_{2}}\right), \ldots \mathrm{hsv}$


Figure 14: Frequency response of arm position controller.

## PEEC model

$$
N=480, m=1, p=1
$$

This model arises from a partial element equivalent circuit (PEEC) model of a patch antenna structure [2],[6] and [7]. Containing 2100 capacitances, 172 inductances and 6990 mutual inductances, the circuit can be realized as a system of dimension 480. The couple $(A, E)$ has an infinite eigenvalue $\lambda_{\infty}=-3.17 .10^{44}+j 2.27 .10^{36}$, the other eigenvalues are shown in Figure.16.


Figure 15: Frequency response.



Figure 16: Generalized eigenvalues of $(A, E)$.


Figure 18: Sparsity $A$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{F O M} \\
N=1006, m=1, p=1
\end{gathered}
$$

This example is from [11]. It is a dynamical system of order 1006. The state-space matrices are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{1} & & & \\
& A_{2} & & \\
& & A_{3} & \\
& & & A_{4}
\end{array}\right] A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 100 \\
-100 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 200 \\
-200 & -1
\end{array}\right] A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 400 \\
-400 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
A_{4}=\operatorname{diag}(-1, \ldots,-1000), \quad B^{T}=C=\underbrace{10 \ldots 10}_{6} \underbrace{1 \ldots 1}_{1000}]
\end{gathered}
$$

the eigenvalues of $A$ are: $\sigma(A)=\{-1,-2, \ldots,-1000,-1 \pm 100 j,-1 \pm 200 j,-1 \pm 400 j$,


Figure 19: Frequency response.


Figure 20: Eigenvalues of $A$


$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Random example } \\
\hline N=200, m=1, p=1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



Figure 22: Frequency response.


Figure 24: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right),{ }_{-} \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right)$,
__hsv


Figure 23: Eigenvalues of $A$


Figure 25: Sparsity of $A$.

## PDE example

$$
N=84, m=1, p=1
$$

Consider the partial differential equation (PDE) [6],

$$
\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial v^{2}}+20 \frac{\partial x}{\partial z}-180 x+f(v, z) u(t)
$$

where $x$ is a function of time $(t)$, vertical position $(v)$ and horizontal position $(z)$. The boundaries of interest in this problem lie on a square with opposite corners at $(0,0)$ and $(1,1)$. The function $x(t, v, z)$ is zero on these boundaries. This PDE can be discretized with centered difference approximations on a grid of $n_{v} \times n_{z}$ points. The discretization grid, when $n_{v}=3$ and $n_{z}=5$, is shown in Figure.27. A state-space equation of dimension $N=n_{v} n_{z}$ results from the discretization. The sparsity pattern of the resulting $A$ matrix, when $n_{v}=7$ and $n_{z}=12$, is shown in Figure.28. The input vector of the system corresponds to $f(v, z)$ and is composed of random elements. The output vector of the system is equated to the input vector for simplicity.


Figure 26: Frequency response.


Figure 27: Discretization mesh, $3 \times 5$ case.


Figure 29: eigenvalue of $A$


Figure 28: Sparsity of $A$.


Figure 30: . $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right), \operatorname{osvd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right), \ldots$ hsv

## Heat equation (cont. and discrete cases)

$$
N=200, m=1, p=1
$$

We consider the heat diffusion equation for the one-dimensional (1D) :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{clc}
\mathrm{PDE} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T(x, t)=\alpha \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} T(x, t)+u(x, t) & x \in(0,1) ; t>0 \\
\mathrm{BCs} & T(0, t)=0=T(1, t) & t>0 \\
\mathrm{IC} & T(x, 0)=0 & x \in(0,1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $T(x, t)$ represents the temperature field on a thin rod and $u(x, t)=u(t) \delta_{1 / 3}(x)$ is the heat source.
The solution is given by :

$$
T(x, t)=x(x-1)+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{8}{(2 i+1)^{3} \pi^{3}} \sin ((2 i+1) \pi x) e^{-(2 i+1)^{2} \pi^{2} \alpha t}+\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s\right) \delta_{1 / 3}(x)
$$

The spatial domain is discretized into segments of length $h=\frac{1}{N+1}$.
Suppose for example that one wants to heat in a point of the rod located at $1 / 3$ of the length and wants to record the temperature at $2 / 3$ of the length.

We obtain the semi-discretized system :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{X}(t)=A X(t)+B u(t) \quad ; \quad X(0)=0 \\
Y(t)=C X(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where :

$$
A=\frac{\alpha}{h^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1 & & & \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
& & & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, \quad B=\left(\delta_{i, N / 3}\right)_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

$C=\left(\delta_{i, 2 N / 3}\right)_{i}^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \quad$ and $\quad X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is the solution evaluated at each $x$ value in the discretization for $t$.
Now if we want to completely discretize the system, for example using Cranck-Nicholson we obtain :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{1} X(k+1)=A_{1} X(k)+B_{1} u(k) \quad ; \quad X(0)=0 \\
Y(k)=C X(k)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\quad E_{1}=I_{N}-\frac{\Delta t}{2} A, \quad A_{1}=I_{N}+\frac{\Delta t}{2} A \quad$ and $\quad B_{1}=\Delta t B$



Figure 32: Eigenvalues of $A$


Figure 34: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right), o \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right), \mathrm{x}$ hsv


DISCRETIZED CASE


Figure 33: Generalized eigenvalues of $\lambda E-A$


Figure 35: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right), \mathrm{osvd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right), \mathrm{x}$ hsv


## Orr-Somerfeld example

$$
N=100, m=1, p=1
$$

The Orr-Sommerfeld operator for Couette flow (the mean velocity varies as $U=y, y$ is the height) is in perturbation velocity variables [5]:

$$
A=\left(-D^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} D^{-2}\left(-i j k D^{2}+\frac{1}{R e} D^{4}\right)\left(-D^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $D=\frac{d}{d y}$ and appropriate boundary conditions have been introduced (the perturbation velocities vanish at the walls) so that the inverse operators are defined. Re is the Reynolds number, and $k$ is the $x$-wavenumber of the perturbation. This operator governs the evolution of 2 -dimensional perturbations.
The matrix a $100 \times 100$ discretization for Reynolds number $R e=800$ and $k=1$ (this discretization gives accurate results for this Reynolds number).


Figure 38: Frequency response.



Figure 40: eigenvalues of $A$

## MNA examples

To obtain the admittance matrix of a multiport, voltage sources are connected to the ports [10]. The multiport, along with these sources, constitutes the Modified Nodal Analysis (MNA) equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
E \dot{x}_{n} & =A x_{n}+B u_{p} \\
i_{p} & =C x_{n} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

The $i_{p}$ and $u_{p}$ vectors denote the port currents and voltages, respectively, and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-N & -G \\
G^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & 0 \\
0 & H
\end{array}\right] \quad x_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
i
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $v$ and $i$ are the MNA variables corresponding to the node voltages, inductor and voltage source currents, respectively. The $n \times n$ matrices $-A$ and $E$ represent the conductance and susceptance matrices, while $-N, L$ and $H$ are the matrices containing the stamps for resistors, capacitors and inductors, respectively. $G$ consists of $1,-1$ and 0 , which represent the current variables in KCL equations. Provided that the original N port is composed of passive linear elements only, $L, H$ and $-N$ are symmetric nonnegative definite matrices. This implies that $E$ is also symmetric and nonnegative definite. Since this is an $N$-port formulation, whereby the only sources are the voltage sources at the $N$ port nodes, $B=C^{T}$. The $E$ matrices all have several singular modes.

We have five sparse examples:

| name of file | dimension |
| :---: | :---: |
| MNA_1 | 578 |
| MNA_2 | 9223 |
| MNA_3 | 4863 |
| MNA_4 | 980 |
| MNA_5 | 10913 |

We show above only the characteristics of the fourth example.


Figure 41: generalized eigenvalue of $(E, A)$


## International space station

$$
N=270, m=3, p=3
$$

It is a structural model of component 1r (Russian service module) of the International Space Station (ISS) [1].


Figure 44: Sparsity of $A$.


Figure 46: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right), o \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c_{1}}\right)$, $\mathrm{x} \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c_{2}}\right),+\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c_{3}}\right), \ldots \mathrm{hsv}$


Figure 45: Eigenvalues of $A$.


Figure 47: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right), o \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o_{1}}\right)$, $\mathrm{x} \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o_{2}}\right),+\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o_{3}}\right), \ldots$ hsv


Figure 48: Frequency response of the ISS model.

$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Building model } \\
\hline N=48, m=1, p=1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

It is a model of a building (the Los Angeles University Hospital) with 8 floors each having 3 degrees of freedom, namely displacements in $x$ and $y$ directions, and rotation [1]. Hence we have 24 variables with a polynomial system:

$$
M \ddot{q}(t)+C \dot{q}(t)+K q(t)=v u(t)
$$

where $u(t)$ is the input. This system can be put into a traditional state space form of order 48 by defining $x=\left[\begin{array}{c}q \\ \dot{q}\end{array}\right]$. We are mostly interested in the motion in the first coordinate $q_{1}(t)$. Hence, we choose $v=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right]^{T}$ and the output $y(t)=\dot{q}_{1}(t)=x_{25}(t)$.


Figure 49: Frequency response.


## Clamped beam model

$$
N=348, m=1, p=1
$$

The clamped beam model has 348 states, it is obtained by spatial discretization of an appropriate partial differential equation [1]. The input represents the force applied to the structure at the free end, and the output is the resulting displacement.


Figure 52: Frequency response.


Figure 54: .. $\operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{c}\right), o \operatorname{svd}\left(\mathcal{G}_{o}\right)$,
$\qquad$ hsv
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