## A common fixed point theorem for cyclic operators on partial metric spaces

Erdal Karapınar<sup>a</sup>, Nabi Shobkolaei<sup>b</sup>, Shaban Sedghi<sup>c</sup>, S. Mansour Vaezpour<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Atılım University, 06836, İncek, Ankara, Turkey <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch 14778 93855 Tehran, Iran <sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran <sup>d</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, 424 Hafez Avenue, Tehran 15914, Iran

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for two self-mappings satisfying certain conditions over the class of partial metric spaces. In particular, the main theorem of this manuscript extends some well-known fixed point theorems in the literature on this topic.

## 1. Introduction

Recently, studies on the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of self-mappings on partial metric spaces have gained momentum (see e.g., [1] - [4],[7], [14]-[?],[26, 33]). The idea of partial metric space, a generalization of metric space, was introduced by Mathews [25] in 1992. When compared to metric spaces, the innovation of partial metric spaces is that the self distance of a point is not necessarily zero [24]. This feature of partial metrics makes them suitable for many purposes of semantics and domain theory in computer sciences. In particular, partial metric spaces have applications on the *Scott-Strachey order-theoretic topological models* [32] used in the logics of computer programs.

Mathews [25] proved the analog of Banach contraction mapping principle in the class of partial metric spaces. This remarkable paper of Mathews [25] constructed another important bridge between the domain theory in computer science and fixed point theory in mathematics. Thus, it becomes feasible to transform the tools from Mathematics to Computer Science.

A self-mapping *T* on a metric space *X* is called contraction if there exists a constant  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that  $d(Tx, Ty) \le kd(x, y)$  for each  $x, y \in X$ . Banach contraction mapping principle, which states that a contraction has a fixed point, is one of the most important result in nonlinear analysis. This crucial result has been studied continuously since it was first published (See e.g. [1]-[23],[26]-[30]). As a generalization of this fundamental principle, Kirk-Srinivasan-Veeramani [23] developed the cyclic contraction. A contraction  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  on non-empty set *A*, *B* is called cyclic if  $T(A) \subset B$  and  $T(B) \subset A$  hold for closed subsets *A*, *B* of a complete metric space *X*. In the last decade, many authors (see e.g.[21, 22, 27–29, 34]) reported some fixed point theorems for cyclic operators.

Rus [29] introduced the following definition which is a further generalization of a cyclic mapping.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10; Secondary 46N40, 54H25, 46T99

*Keywords*. Fixed point, partial metric, cyclic ( $\phi - \psi$ )-contraction, common fixed point.

Received: 15 June 2011; Accepted: 12 December 2011

Communicated by Dejan Ilić

Email addresses: erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com (Erdal Karapınar), nabi\_shobe@yahoo.com (Nabi Shobkolaei),

sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com (Shaban Sedghi), vaez@aut.ac.ir (S. Mansour Vaezpour)

**Definition 1.1.** Let *X* be a nonempty set, *m* be a positive integer and  $T : X \to X$  be a mapping.  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is said to be a *cyclic representation of X* with respect to *T* if

- (i)  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are nonempty sets.
- (ii)  $T(A_1) \subset A_2, \cdots, T(A_{m-1}) \subset A_m, T(A_m) \subset A_1.$

**Remark 1.2.** For convenience, we denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the class of functions  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  nondecreasing and continuous satisfying  $\phi(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

We recall the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.** (See e.g.[?]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, *m* be a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  be nonempty subsets of *X* and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . An operator  $T : X \to X$  is a *cyclic weak*  $(\phi - \psi)$ -*contraction* if

- (i)  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is a cyclic representation of X with respect to T,
- (ii)  $\phi(d(Tx, Ty)) \le \phi(d(x, y)) \psi(d(x, y))$ , for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$  and  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The main result of [22] is the following.

**Theorem 1.4.** (Theorem 6 of [22]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, m be a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  be nonempty subsets of X and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . Let  $T : X \to X$  be a cyclic  $(\phi - \psi)$ -contraction with  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then T has a unique fixed point  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ .

In this paper, we proved a common fixed point of two self-mappings  $T, g : X \to X$  on a partial metric space *X* under certain conditions.

We start some definitions and results needed in the sequel.

A partial metric on a nonempty set *X* is a mapping  $p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

- (*PM*1) x = y if and only if p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),
- (*PM2*)  $p(x, x) \le p(x, y)$ ,
- $(PM3) \ p(x, y) = p(y, x),$
- $(PM4) \ p(x, y) \le p(x, z) + p(z, y) p(z, z).$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . A pair (X, p) is said to be partial metric space.

Notice also that if *p* is a partial metric on *X*, then the functions  $d_p, d_m : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  given by

$$d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y),$$
(1)

$$p(x, y) - p(x, x), p(x, y) - p(y, y)$$
(2)

are equivalent (usual) metrics on X. For details see e.g.[?].

**Example 1.5.** (See e.g. [1, 3, 20, 24]) Consider  $X = [0, \infty)$  with  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ . Then (X, p) is a partial metric space. It is clear that p is not a (usual) metric. Note that in this case  $d_p(x, y) = |x - y|$ .

**Example 1.6.** (See e.g. [24]) Let  $X = \{[a, b] : a, b, \in \mathbb{R}, a \le b\}$  and define  $p([a, b], [c, d]) = \max\{b, d\} - \min\{a, c\}$ . Then (X, p) is a partial metric spaces.

**Lemma 1.7.** (See e.g. [14, 15]) *Let* (*X*, *p*) *be a PMS. Then* 

- (*A*) If p(x, y) = 0 then x = y,
- (B) If  $x \neq y$ , then p(x, y) > 0.

**Example 1.8.** (See e.g.[?]) Let (X, d) and (X, p) be a metric space and a partial metric space, respectively. Mappings  $p_i : X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  ( $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ) defined by

 $p_1(x, y) = d(x, y) + p(x, y)$  $p_2(x, y) = d(x, y) + \max\{\omega(x), \omega(y)\}$  $p_3(x, y) = d(x, y) + a$ 

induce partial metrics on *X*, where  $\omega : X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  is an arbitrary function and  $a \ge 0$ .

We notice also that each partial metric p on X generates a  $T_0$  topology  $\tau_p$  on X which has a family of open p-balls

$$\{B_p(x,\varepsilon): x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\},\$$

as a base where  $B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 1.9.** (See e.g. [24]) Let (X, p) be a partial metric space.

- (*i*) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in *X* converges to  $x \in X$  whenever  $\lim_{x \to a} p(x, x_n) = p(x, x)$ ,
- (*ii*) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called *Cauchy* whenever  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m)$  exists (and finite),
- (*iii*) (*X*, *p*) is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in *X* converges, with respect to  $\tau_p$ , to a point  $x \in X$ , that is,  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = p(x, x)$ .

We define  $L(x_n) = \{x | x_n \rightarrow x\}$  where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in a partial metric space (X, p). The example below shows that a convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space may not be a Cauchy. In particular, it shows that the limit of a convergent sequence is not unique.

**Example 1.10.** (See e.g.[?]) Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ . Let

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 2k, \\ 1, & n = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then clearly it is convergent sequence and for every  $x \ge 1$  we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x)$ , therefore  $L(x_n) = [1, \infty)$ . But  $\lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$  does not exist.

We state a lemma that shows the limit of a convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a partial metric space is unique.

**Lemma 1.11.** (See e.g.[?]) Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a convergent sequence in partial metric space X such that  $x_n \to x$  and  $x_n \to y$ . If

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}p(x_n,x_n)=p(x,x)=p(y,y),$$

then x = y.

**Lemma 1.12.** (See e.g.[?]) Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be two sequences in partial metric space X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}p(x_n,x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}p(x_n,x_n)=p(x,x),$$

and

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}p(y_n,y)=\lim_{n\to\infty}p(y_n,y_n)=p(y,y),$ 

then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, y_n) = p(x, y)$ . In particular,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, z) = p(x, z)$  for every  $z \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.13.** (See e.g. [24],[26]) *Let* (*X*, *p*) *be a partial metric space.* 

- (a)  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space  $(X, d_p)$ .
- (b) A partial metric space (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space  $(X, d_p)$  is complete. Furthermore,  $\lim_{x \to 0} d_p(x_n, x) = 0$  if and only if

$$p(x,x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x_n, x) = \lim_{n,m \to \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$$

**Lemma 1.14.** (See e.g.[?]) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a convergent sequence in  $(X, d_p)$ , then it is a convergent sequence in the partial metric space (X, p).

In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem on the class of the partial metric spaces as a generalization of Theorem 1.4 and the main theorem of [31].

## 2. Main Result

We start this section with the following definition for two self-mappings  $T, q: X \to X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let *X* be a nonempty set, *m* be a positive integer and  $T, g : X \to X$  be two mappings.  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is said to be a *cyclic representation of X with respect to* (T - g) if

- (i)  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are nonempty sets.
- (ii)  $T(A_1) \subset g(A_2), \dots, T(A_{m-1}) \subset g(A_m), T(A_m) \subset g(A_1).$

**Definition 2.2.** Let (X, p) be a partial metric space, *m* be a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  be nonempty subsets of *X* and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . Two operators  $T, g : X \to X$  are *cyclic*  $(\phi - \psi)$ -*contraction* if

- (i)  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is a cyclic representation of X with respect to (T g),
- (ii)  $\phi(p(Tx, Ty)) \le \phi(p(gx, gy)) \psi(p(gx, gy))$ , for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$  and  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space, m be a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  be nonempty subsets of X and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . Let  $T, g : X \to X$  be two cyclic  $(\phi - \psi)$ -contraction such that  $g(A_i)$  closed subsets of X.

- *i)* If g is one to one then there exists  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  such that gz = Tz.
- *ii)* If the pair (T, g) are weakly compatible,

then T and g has a unique common fixed point  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1$  be an arbitrary point in  $A_1$ . By cyclic representation of X with respect to pair (T, g), we choose a point  $x_2$  in  $A_2$  such that  $Tx_1 = gx_2$ . For this point  $x_2$  there exists a point  $x_3$  in  $A_3$  such that  $Tx_2 = gx_3$ , and so on. Continuing in this manner we can define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  as follows

$$Tx_n = gx_{n+1},$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . We prove that  $\{gx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $gx_{n_0+1} = gx_{n_0}$  then, since  $gx_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0} = gx_{n_0}$ , the part of existence of the coincidence point of *T* and *g* is proved. Suppose that  $gx_{n+1} \neq gx_n$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then, since  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ , for any n > 0 there exists  $i_n \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$  such that  $x_{n-1} \in A_{i_n}$  and  $x_n \in A_{i_{n+1}}$ . Since (T, g) are cyclic  $(\phi - \psi)$ -contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) &= \phi(p(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) - \psi(p(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

From (3) and taking into account that  $\phi$  is nondecreasing we obtain

$$p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \le p(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$$
 for any  $n = 2, 3, \cdots$ 

Thus { $p(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$ } is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Consequently, there exists  $\gamma \ge 0$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = \gamma$ . Taking  $n \to \infty$  in (3) and using the continuity of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , we have

 $\phi(\gamma) \le \phi(\gamma) - \psi(\gamma) \le \phi(\gamma),$ 

and, therefore,  $\psi(\gamma) = 0$ . Since  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ , that is,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}p(gx_n,gx_{n+1})=0.$$

Since  $p(gx_n, gx_n) \le p(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$  and  $p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \le p(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$ , hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p(gx_n, gx_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0.$$
(4)

Since

$$d_p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 2p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) - p(gx_n, gx_n) - p(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})$$

This shows that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0$ .

In the sequel, we prove that  $\{gx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space  $(X, d_p)$ . First, we prove the following claim.

**Claim**: For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $b, q \ge n$  with  $b - q \equiv 1(m)$  then  $d_p(x_b, x_q) < \epsilon$ .

In fact, suppose the contrary case. This means that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find  $b_n > q_n \ge n$  with  $b_n - q_n \equiv 1(m)$  satisfying

$$d_p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n}) \ge \epsilon.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

Now, we take n > 2m. Then, corresponding to  $q_n \ge n$  use can choose  $b_n$  in such a way that it is the smallest integer with  $b_n > q_n$  satisfying  $b_n - q_n \equiv 1(m)$  and  $d_p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n}) \ge \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $d_p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_{n-m}}) \le \epsilon$ . Using the triangular inequality

$$\epsilon \leq d_p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n}) \leq d_p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_{n-m}}) + \sum_{i=1}^m d_p(gx_{b_{n-i}}, gx_{b_{n-i+1}}) < \epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^m d_p(gx_{b_{n-i}}, gx_{b_{n-i+1}}).$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in the last inequality and taking into account that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n}) = \epsilon \Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n}) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
(6)

Again, by the triangular inequality

$$\epsilon \leq d_{p}(gx_{q_{n}}, gx_{b_{n}})$$

$$\leq d_{p}(gx_{q_{n}}, gx_{q_{n+1}}) + d_{p}(gx_{q_{n+1}}, gx_{b_{n+1}}) + d_{p}(gx_{b_{n+1}}, gx_{b_{n}})$$

$$\leq d_{p}(gx_{q_{n}}, gx_{q_{n+1}}) + d_{p}(gx_{q_{n+1}}, gx_{q_{n}})$$

$$+ d_{p}(gx_{q_{n}}, gx_{b_{n}}) + d_{p}(gx_{b_{n}}, gx_{b_{n+1}}) + d_{p}(gx_{b_{n+1}}, gx_{b_{n}})$$

$$= 2d_{p}(gx_{q_{n}}, gx_{q_{n+1}}) + d_{p}(gx_{q_{n}}, gx_{b_{n}}) + 2d_{p}(gx_{b_{n}}, gx_{b_{n+1}})$$

$$(7)$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (6) and taking into account that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0$  and (6), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d_p(gx_{q_{n+1}},gx_{b_{n+1}})=\epsilon.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p(gx_{q_{n+1}}, gx_{b_{n+1}}) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(8)

Since  $gx_{q_n}$  and  $gx_{b_n}$  lie in different adjacently labeled sets  $A_i$  and  $A_{i+1}$  for certain  $1 \le i \le m$ , using the fact that *T* and g are cyclic ( $\phi - \psi$ )-contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p(gx_{q_{n+1}}, gx_{b_{n+1}})) &= \phi(p(Tx_{q_n}, Tx_{b_n}) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n})) - \psi(p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n})) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gx_{q_n}, gx_{b_n})). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (6) and (8) and the continuity of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , letting  $n \to \infty$  in the last inequality, we obtain

$$\phi(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \le \phi(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) - \psi(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \le \phi(\frac{\epsilon}{2})$$

and consequently,  $\psi(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) = 0$ . Since  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\epsilon = 0$  which is contradiction. Therefore, our claim is proved. In the sequel, we will prove that  $\{gx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in metric space  $(X, d_p)$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the claim, we find  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $b, q \ge n_0$  with  $b - q \equiv 1(m)$ 

$$d_p(gx_b, gx_q) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(9)

Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0$  we also find  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d_p(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2m} \tag{10}$$

for any  $n \ge n_1$ .

Suppose that  $r, s \ge \max\{n_0, n_1\}$  and s > r. Then there exists  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $s - r \equiv k(m)$ . Therefore,  $s - r + j \equiv 1(m)$  for j = m - k + 1. So, we have

$$d_p(gx_r, gx_s) \le d_p(gx_r, gx_{s+j}) + d_p(gx_{s+j}, gx_{s+j-1}) + \dots + d_p(gx_{s+1}, gx_s)$$

By (9) and (10) and from the last inequality, we get

$$d_p(gx_r,gx_s) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + j\frac{\epsilon}{2m} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + m\frac{\epsilon}{2m} = \epsilon.$$

This proves that  $\{gx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in metric space  $(X, d_p)$ . Since (X, p) is complete then from Lemma 1.13, the sequence  $\{gx_n\}$  converges in the metric space  $(X, d_p)$ , say  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_p(gx_n, x) = 0$  for some  $x \in X$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1.13 we have

$$p(x, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(gx_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(gx_n, gx_m).$$

That is, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x$  in partial metric (X, p). Since  $g(A_i)$  are closed subsets of X, we have  $x \in g(A_i)$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . That is,  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m g(A_i)$ . Hence, there exists  $z_i \in A_i$  such that  $gz_i = x$ . Since g is one to one we have

$$g(z_1) = g(z_2) = \cdots = g(z_m) = x \Longrightarrow z_1 = z_2 = \cdots = z_m = z.$$

Therefore, g(z) = x for  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . In fact,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = gz$ . On the other hand since the sequence  $\{gx_n\}$  has infinite terms in each  $A_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , we take a subsequence  $\{gx_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{gx_n\}$  with  $gx_{n_k} \in g(A_{i-1})$  where  $x_{n_k} \in A_{i-1}$ . Using the contractive condition, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p(gx_{n_{k+1}},Tz)) &= \phi(p(Tx_{n_k},Tz)) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gx_{n_k},gz)) - \psi(p(gx_{n_k},gz)) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gx_{n_k},gz)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $gx_{n_k} \to gz$  and  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ , letting  $k \to \infty$  in the last inequality, we have

$$\phi(p(gz, Tz)) \le \phi(p(gz, gz)) - \psi(p(gz, gz)) \le \phi(p(gz, gz)).$$

Moreover, we obtain p(gz, Tz) = p(gz, gz), because  $\phi$  is nondecreasing and  $p(gz, gz) \le p(gz, Tz)$ . Hence, if  $p(gz, gz) \ne 0$  then by the last inequality we have,

$$\begin{split} \phi(p(gz,gz)) &= \phi(p(gz,Tz)) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gz,gz)) - \psi(p(gz,gz)) \\ &< \phi(p(gz,gz)), \end{split}$$

which is contradiction. Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ , then, p(Tz, Tz) = p(gz, gz) = p(gz, Tz) = 0, it follows that, Tz = gz = x.

ii) Since *g* and *T* are two weakly compatible mappings, we have TTz = Tgz = gTz = ggz. That is Tx = gx. Next, we prove that Tx = x. Since  $Tz \in X$  hence there exists some *i* such that  $Tz \in A_i$ . By  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  we have  $z \in A_{i-1}$ , by using the contractive condition we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p(Tz,TTz)) &\leq \phi(p(gz,gTz)) - \psi(p(gz,gTz)) \\ &\leq \phi(p(gz,gTz)) = \phi(p(Tz,TTz)), \end{aligned}$$

from the last inequality we have

$$\psi(p(Tz,TTz))=0.$$

Since  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ , p(Tz, TTz) = 0 and, consequently, x = Tz = TTz = Tx = gx.

Finally, in order to prove the uniqueness of a fixed point, we have  $y, z \in X$  with y and z common fixed points of T and g. The cyclic character of T - g and the fact that  $y, z \in X$  are common fixed points of T and g, imply that  $y, z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . If  $p(y, z) \neq 0$  then by using the contractive condition we obtain

 $\begin{aligned} \phi(p(y,z)) &= \phi(p(Ty,Tz)) \leq \phi(p(gy,gz)) - \psi(p(gy,gz)) \\ &< \phi(p(gy,gz)) = \phi(p(y,z)), \end{aligned}$ 

which is a contradiction. Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ , p(y, z) = 0 and, consequently, y = z. This finishes the proof.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space, m be a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  be nonempty closed subsets of X and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . Let  $T : X \to X$  be a cyclic weak  $(\phi - \psi)$ -contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ .

*Proof.* Take g(x) = x in Theorem 2.3.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.5.** Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space, m be a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  be nonempty closed subsets of X. Suppose that  $T : X \to X$  is a self-mapping and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is a cyclic representation of X with respect to T. Further, T satisfies  $d(Tx, Ty) \le d(x, y) - \psi(d(x, y))$ , for any  $x \in A_i$ ,  $y \in A_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then T has a unique fixed point  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\phi(t) = t$  in Corollary 2.4.  $\Box$ 

**Example 2.6.** Let X = [0, 1] and  $g, T : X \to X$  such that  $Tx = \frac{x^2}{12}$  and  $gx = \frac{x}{3}$ . Suppose that  $\psi, \phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  are defined as follows  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{3}$ . For  $A_i = [0, 1]$ , (i = 1, 2, ..., m) all conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. It is clear that x = 0 is the common fixed point of T and g.

## References

- T. Abedelljawad, E. Karapınar, K. Taş, Existence and uniqueness of common fixed point on partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 1894–1899.
- [2] I. Altun, H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on dualistic partial metric spaces, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 1 (2008) 1-8.
- [3] I. Altun, F. Sola, H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology and its Applications 157 (2010) 2778–2785.
- [4] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point results for  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weakly contractive condition in ordered partial metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011) 4449–4460.
- [5] M.Bukatin, R. Kopperman, M. Steve, H. Pajoohesh, Partial Metric Spaces, American Mathematical Monthly 116 (2009) 708–718.
- [6] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 29 (2002) 531–536
- [7] Ljubomir Ciric, Bessem Samet, Hassen Aydi, Calogero Vetro, Common fixed points of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and an application, Applied Mathematics and Computation 218 (2011) 2398–2406.
- [8] M. De La Sen, Linking Contractive self-mappings and cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions with Kannan self-mappings, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (2010) Article ID 572057.
- [9] P. N. Dhutta, B.S. Choudhury, A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl (2008) Article ID 406368.
- [10] M. H. Escardo, Pcf Extended with real numbers, Theoretical Computer Sciences 162 (1996) 79–115.
- [11] D. Ilić, V. Pavlović, V. Rakočević, Some new extensions of Banachs contraction principle to partial metric space, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 1326-1330.
- [12] D. Ilić, V. Pavlović, V. Rakočević, Extensions of the Zamfirescu theorem to partial metric spaces Original Research Article Math. Comput. Modelling 55 (2012) 801–809.
- [13] R. Heckmann, Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces, Appl. Categ. Structures 7 (1999) 71-83.
- [14] E. Karapınar, Generalizations of Caristi Kirk's Theorem on Partial Metric Spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011: 4 (2011), DOI:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-4.
- [15] E. Karapınar, U. Yuksel, Some common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces, Journal of Applied Mathematics (2011), DOI:10.1155/2011/263621.
- [16] E. Karapınar, A note on common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, (in press).
- [17] E. Karapınar, I. M. Erhan, Fixed Point Theorems for Operators on Partial Metric Spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 1900–1904.
  [18] K. P.Chi, E. Karapınar, T. D. Thanh, A generalized contraction principle in partial metric spaces, Mathematical and Computer Modelling (2011), DOI:10.1016/j.mcm.2011.11.005
- [19] E. Karapınar, Weak  $\phi$ -contraction on partial contraction, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 14 (2011).
- [20] E. Karapınar, Some Fixed Point Theorems on the class of comparable partial metric spaces on comparable partial metric spaces, Applied General Topology 12 (2011) 187–192.
- [21] E. Karapınar, Fixed point theory for cyclic weak  $\phi$ -contraction Appl.Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 822–825.
- [22] E. Karapınar, K. Sadarangani, Fixed point theory for cyclic ( $\phi \psi$ )-contractions, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 69 (2011), DOI:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-69.
- [23] W.A.Kirk, P.S.Srinivasan, P.Veeramani, Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical weak contractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory 4 (2003) 79–89.
- [24] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 728 (1994) 183–197.
- [25] S.G. Matthews: Partial metric topology. Research Report 212. Dept. of Computer Science. University of Warwick, 1992.
- [26] S. Oltra, O. Valero, Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, Rend. Istid. Math. Univ. Trieste 36 (2004) 17–26.
- [27] M. Pacurar, I.A. Rus Fixed point theory for cyclic  $\varphi$ -contractions, Nonlinear Amal. 72 (2010) 1181–1187.
- [28] G. Petruşhel: Cyclic representations and periodic points, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 50(2005) 107–112.
- [29] I.A. Rus, Cyclic representations and fixed points, Ann. T. Popoviciu, Seminar Funct. Eq. Approx. Convexity 3 (2005) 171–178.
- [30] W. Shatanawi, B. Samet, M. Abbas, Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces, Mathematical and Computer Modelling (2011), DOI:10.1016/j.mcm.2011.08.042
- [31] N. Shobkolaei, E. Karapinar, S. Sedghi, S.M. Vaezpour, Fixed point theory for cyclic ( $\phi \psi$ )-contractions on partial metric spaces, (submitted)
- [32] J. E. Stoy, Denotational Semantics: The Scott-Strachey Approach to Programming Language Theory, MIT Press Cambridge, (1981).
- [33] O. Valero, On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces, Appl. General Topology 6 (2005) 229–240.
- [34] X. Zhang, Common fixed point theorems for some new generalized contractive type mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333 (2007) 780–786.