

LA-UR- 98-2233

Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.

Title: A Comprehensive Monitoring System for
Damage Identification and Location in
Large Structural and Mechanical Systems

Author(s): Charles R. Farrar, Scott W. Doebling,
Michael B. Prime, ESA-EA

(see attached sheet for additional
authors)

Submitted to: DOE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL
INFORMATION (OSTI)

just MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Los Alamos

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. The Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

**A Comprehensive Monitoring System for Damage Identification and
Location in Large Structural and Mechanical Systems**

Charles R. Farrar, Scott W. Doebling and Michael B. Prime
Los Alamos National Laboratory

Phillip Cornwell and Marcie Kam
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

Erik G. Straser, Stanford University

Lt. Brian C. Hoerst, United States Navy

Daniel W. Shevitz

David A. Jauregui, University of Texas

A Comprehensive Monitoring System for Damage Identification and Location in Large Structural and Mechanical Systems

Charles R. Farrar*, Scott W. Doebling and Michael B. Prime
Los Alamos National Laboratory

Phillip Cornwell and Marcie Kam
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

Erik G. Straser, Stanford University

Lt. Brian C. Hoerst, United States Navy

Daniel W. Shevitz

David A. Jauregui, University of Texas

Abstract

This is the final report of a three-year, Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) project conducted at the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). This project has focused on developing and experimentally verifying a suite of analytical tools for identifying the onset of damage in structural and mechanical systems from changes in their vibration characteristics. A MATLAB-based computer code referred to as Damage Identification And MOdal aNalysis of Data (DIAMOND) was developed. The code was then extensively exercised on data obtained from a variety of test structures. The most notable structure was an in situ bridge located ten mile north of Truth or Consequences, New Mexico. The suite of tools contained in DIAMOND is now being applied to the nuclear weapons enhanced surveillance program and an industrial partner has asked to enter into a partnership so that they can implement routines from DIAMOND into their commercial damage assessment hardware for large civil engineering structures. Because of the large volume of requests from around the world for DIAMOND, it can now be downloaded from the web site: http://esaea-www.esa.lanl.gov/damage_id.

Background and Research Objectives

The interest in the ability to monitor a structure and detect damage at the earliest possible stage is pervasive throughout the civil, mechanical, and aerospace engineering communities. Current damage detection methods are either visual or localized experimental methods such as acoustic or resonant ultrasonic (RUS) methods, magnetic field methods,

*Principal Investigator, e-mail: farrar@lanl.gov

radiography, eddy-current methods and thermal field methods. All these experimental methods require that the vicinity of the damage is known *a priori* and that the portion of the structure being inspected is readily accessible. Subjected to these limitations, these experimental methods can detect damage on or near the surface of the structure. The need for more global damage detection methods that can be applied to complex structures has led to the development of methods that examine changes in the vibration characteristics of the structure.

Global damage or fault detection, as determined by changes in the dynamic properties or response of structures, is a subject that has received considerable attention in the technical literature beginning approximately 30 years ago. In general, the concept of *damage* implies a comparison between the current state of the structure and some previous baseline state that often is considered to be the undamaged condition. Based on the amount of information provided regarding the damage state, vibration-based damage identification methods can be classified as providing four levels of damage detection. The four levels are: 1) identify that damage has occurred; 2) identify that damage has occurred and determine the location of damage; 3) identify that damage has occurred, locate the damage, and estimate its severity; and 4) identify that damage has occurred, locate the damage, estimate its severity, and determine the remaining useful life of the structure.

Vibration-based damage detection methods can be further classified as model- or nonmodel-based methods. This study investigates both methods. Model-based methods assume that the system will behave according to some physical model such as that described by the standard linear, second-order differential equation for a vibrating system. Nonmodel-based methods attempt to identify changes in the system by simply looking for changes in patterns of the vibration signatures. Typically nonmodel-based methods require some training data from an undamaged and damaged system. Model-based approaches can be classified as linear and nonlinear methods. The distinction here is in the type of model that will be used to characterize the response of the structure. Damage may cause nonlinear response in a structure that previously could be accurately simulated with a linear model.

Finally, methods can also be classified based on the time scale over which the monitoring is to take place. In some cases there is a need to monitor the structure only after some extreme event such as an earthquake. Other situations such as monitoring for fatigue crack growth require the data to be acquired continuously or at relatively short time intervals.

The basic premise of global vibration-based damage detection is that the dynamic response measures such as acceleration time histories and properties derived from them, most notably resonant frequencies, mode shapes, and modal damping, are a function of the

physical properties of the structure (mass, damping, stiffness, and boundary conditions). Therefore, changes in physical properties of the structure, such as its stiffness or flexibility, will cause changes in the measured response and/or derived modal properties.

Therefore, the research goal of this project was to develop a robust suite of tools that analyze measured vibration data to determine the location of damage in a structure. This goal could not be accomplished unless these tools were verified on data obtained from "real-world" structures. To this end, numerous laboratory specimens and *in situ* structures would have to be tested in varying configurations and with varying amounts of damage. An important feature that needs to be incorporated into a vibration-based damage-identification method is the ability to discriminate between the changes in the identified vibration characteristics caused by damage and those caused by changing test conditions. To this end, statistical modal analysis procedures would have to be developed (under a complimentary LDRD project as it turns out). The coupling of statistical analysis procedures with experimental modal analysis procedures and vibration-based damage detection algorithms is the unique contribution that this project has made to the engineering community.

Importance to LANL's Science and Technology Base and National R&D Needs

The ability to detect damage at an early stage in any mechanical or structural system will enhance the safety and reliability of that system. Also, repairs of damage at an early stage are typically much more cost-effective than those undertaken when the damage has progressed to a more severe state. Because of the pervasive need for damage detection methods across all engineering disciplines, the potential impact of this technology on society is tremendous. As an example, there are currently no quantifiable methods to determine if a building or bridge is structurally sound after a significant earthquake. Instead, visual inspections are performed by engineers in a very rapid manner. Many of these engineers are pressed into service on short notice and have little experience in performing such assessments. If an array of accelerometers can be located through a building, changes in the vibration characteristics measured during aftershocks could potentially give a quantifiable measure of the damage in the structure. Similarly, the only surveillance tools that assess mechanical defects in nuclear weapons systems on a global basis are visual inspections. If vibration-based methods can be shown to identify certain types of damage in these systems, these methods represent one of the first rapid, nondestructive condition-assessment tools that can be applied to surveillance of the nuclear

weapons stockpile. The potential economic impact of such technology, whether it is applied to commercial and municipal systems or to stockpile surveillance, will be immense.

The goals of this program have required the coupling of several different technologies at Los Alamos and extending the state of the art in several of these disciplines. These technologies include dynamic testing and data acquisition applied to vibration testing of large-scale structures, statistical analysis of measured experimental data, and numerical simulation of the dynamic response of structures and mechanical systems.

Scientific Approach and Accomplishments

The approach taken in this study was that there is no single vibration-based damage detection method that can perform equally well on all problems. Therefore, the code that was developed is a suite of graphical interface software algorithms that can numerically simulate vibration tests and apply various modal analysis, damage identification, and finite-element model refinement techniques to measured or simulated modal vibration data. This toolbox is known as DIAMOND (Damage Identification And MODal aNalysis of Data). DIAMOND is written in MATLAB [1], a numerical matrix math application that is available on all major computer platforms. DIAMOND is unique in three primary ways:

- DIAMOND contains several of the most widely used modal curve-fitting algorithms. Thus the user may analyze the data using more than one technique and compare the results directly. This modal identification capability is coupled with a numerical test-simulation capability that allows the user to directly explore the effects of various test conditions on the identified modal parameters.
- The damage identification and finite-element model-refinement modules are graphically interactive, so the operation is intuitive and the results are displayed visually as well as numerically. This feature allows the user to easily interpret the results in terms of structural damage.
- DIAMOND has statistical analysis capability built into all three major analysis modules: modal analysis, damage identification, and finite-element model refinement. The statistical analysis capability allows the user to determine the magnitude of the uncertainties associated with the results. No other software package for modal analysis or damage identification has this capability.

The development of DIAMOND was motivated primarily by the lack of graphical implementation of modern damage identification and finite-element model-refinement algorithms. Also, the desire to have a variety of modal curve-fitting techniques available and the capability to generate numerical data with which to compare the results of each

technique was a motivating factor. The authors are unaware of any commercial software package that integrates all of these features.

Overview of DIAMOND

DIAMOND is divided into four primary modules at the top level: numerical vibration test simulator, experimental modal curve fitting and statistical analysis, damage identification, and finite-element model refinement. In this summary, the three analysis-

Experimental Modal Analysis / Statistical Analysis of Modal Data

The experimental modal analysis module provides a series of tools for plotting the data in various forms, plotting data indicator functions, defining sensor geometry, performing modal curve fits, analyzing the results of modal curve fits, and analyzing the variance of identified modal parameters as a function of the noise in the measurements as defined by the measured coherence function.

The most important feature of the experimental modal analysis module is the variety of modal parameter-identification algorithms that are available. These include:

- Operating shapes, which is simply “peak picking” or “slicing” the frequency response function (FRF) matrix at a particular frequency bin.
- Eigensystem Realization Algorithm (ERA) [2], which is a low-order time-domain modal-parameter-estimation algorithm.
- Complex exponential algorithm, which is a high-order time-domain modal-parameter-estimation algorithm. The specific algorithm implemented is the Polyreference Time Domain [3] approach.
- Rational Polynomial Curve fit [4], which is a high-order frequency-domain technique that uses orthogonal polynomials to estimate the coefficients of a rational polynomial representation of the frequency response function.
- Nonlinear least squares fit, which uses a Levenberg-Marquardt, nonlinear, least-squares, curve-fitting routine [5] to estimate modal frequencies and modal damping ratios from the unfiltered Fourier spectral responses of a base-excited structure.

Any of these modal identification algorithms can be implemented in a statistical Monte Carlo [6] technique. In such an analysis, a series of perturbed data sets, based on the statistics of the measured FRFs as defined by the measured coherence functions, are generated and propagated through the selected algorithm. The statistics on the results are then used as uncertainty bounds on the identified modal parameters.

Damage Identification

The algorithms contained in the damage identification module of DIAMOND can be classified as modal-based, finite-element refinement-based, or nonlinear. The damage identification module presents a number of different algorithms:

Strain energy methods are based on the work of Stubbs [7], Cornwell [8], and others. The basic idea of these methods is the division of the structure into a series of beam or plate-like elements, and then the estimation of the strain energy stored in each element both before and after damage. The curvatures (second-derivatives with respect to space) of the mode shapes are used to approximate the strain energy content.

Flexibility methods all use some measure of the change in the modal flexibility matrix, estimated from the mass-normalized measured mode shapes and squared modal frequencies. The modal flexibility matrix is used to estimate the static displacements that the structure would undergo as a result of a specified loading pattern. The uniform load flexibility method [9] involves specifying a unit load at all measurement degrees of freedom (DOF), then comparing the change in the resulting displacement pattern before and after damage. The point flexibility method [10] specifies the application of a unit load at each measurement DOF one at a time, then looking for a change in the resulting displacements at the same point before and after damage.

The selective flexibility method, which is still under development, uses one of the above two flexibility approaches but filters the modes used to form the flexibility matrix according to their relative statistical uncertainty. The idea of this method is to exclude modes with a high uncertainty from the analysis to avoid biasing the results.

The residual flexibility method [11] also uses one of the above two flexibility approaches but includes the estimate of the residual flexibility, which is the contribution to the flexibility matrix from the modes above the bandwidth of interest. The resulting flexibility matrix is a closer approximation to the true static flexibility matrix than is the modal flexibility matrix.

Finite-element model correlation-based damage-identification techniques are based on the comparison of the finite-element model-correlation results from before damage to those after damage. The correlation techniques are discussed in the next section.

Nonlinear damage identification techniques are based on different theories of nonlinear signal processing. They are a widely varying group of methods including time-frequency methods and nonmodel based pattern recognition methods. These methods are reviewed and discussed in Ref. [12].

Finite-Element Model Refinement

The finite-element model-refinement module consists of four options: pre-processing for update analysis, optimal matrix updating, sensitivity-based model update, and post-processing of update results. The pre-processing phase of the model correlation analysis involves the selection of which modal parameters (i.e. modal frequencies and mode shapes) should be used in the correlation, as well as which finite-element model parameters should be updated.

The optimal matrix update methods are based on the minimization of the error in the structural eigenproblem using a closed-form, direct solution. The minimum rank perturbation technique (MRPT) [13] is one such method that produces a minimum-rank perturbation of the structural stiffness, damping, and/or mass matrices reduced to the measurement degrees of freedom. The minimum rank element update (MREU) [14] is a similar technique that produces perturbations at the elemental, rather than the matrix, level. The Baruch updating technique [15] minimizes an error function of the eigenequation using a closed-form function of the mass and stiffness matrices.

The sensitivity-based model update methods also seek to minimize the error in the structural eigenequation, but do so using a Newton-Raphson-type technique based on solving for the perturbations such that the gradient of the error function is near zero [6]. Thus these methods require the computation of the sensitivity of the structural eigenproblem to the parameters that are to be updated. The Hemez/Alvin algorithm [16],[17] computes the sensitivities at the elemental level, then assembles them to produce the global sensitivity matrices. The Ricles/Kosmatka [18] algorithm computes a "hybrid" sensitivity matrix using both analytical and experimental sensitivities.

Over the course of this three-year project these algorithms were applied to many different structures. Length limitations preclude the discussion of these individual applications. The DIAMOND software package has been requested by people from all over the world studying vibration-based damage detection. The latest version of DIAMOND can now be downloaded from the web site: http://esaea-www.esa.lanl.gov/damage_id.

In addition to the standard measures of success for a LDRD project such as follow-on funding and publications, several other non-traditional measures of success have resulted from this program. First, the Society for Experimental Mechanics requested that we teach a short course on vibration-based damage detection. This course was held in conjunction with the International Modal Analysis Conference at Orlando FL, in February, 1997, and had 30 attendees. Subsequently, this course was scheduled to be held in Tokyo June, 1997, under sponsorship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Sciences; in Melbourne, Australia September, 1997, in conjunction with International Conference on

Aerospace Structures; and in February, 1999, again with the International Modal Analysis Conference. The researchers on this project have been asked to be keynote speakers on the subject of vibration-based damage detection at three international meetings including a NATO sponsored workshop on vibration testing and analysis. We have also been asked to be on external advisory boards for damage detection studies at universities and for proposed damage detection experiments planned for NASA's space station. Follow-on funding has led to the application of this technology to nuclear weapons stockpile surveillance. In summary, through the work done on this and another LDRD project, Los Alamos is currently recognized as the leader in the rapidly emerging field of vibration-based damage detection.

Publications

1. Cornwell, P., M. Kam, B. Carlson, B. Hoerst, S. Doebling, and C. Farrar, "Comparative Study of Vibration-based Damage ID Algorithms," 16th International Modal Analysis Conf., Santa Barbara, CA, Feb. 1998.
2. Farrar, C. R. and S. Doebling, "An Overview of Modal-Based Damage Identification Methods," DAMAS 97, Structural Damage Assessment Using Advanced Signal Processing Procedures, Univ. of Sheffield, UK, 1997 (**keynote talk**).
3. Farrar, C. R., N. Stubbs, "Field Verification of a Nondestructive Damage Location Algorithm," ASCE Structures Congress, Portland, OR, 1997.
4. C. R. Farrar and S. W. Doebling, "Lessons Learned from Applications of Vibration-Based Damage Identification Methods to a Large Bridge Structure," submitted for publication in *J. of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*.
5. C. R. Farrar, T. A. Duffey, P. J. Cornwell and S. W. Doebling, "Dynamic Testing of Bridges: A Review," in preparation for *J. of Sound and Vibration*.
6. T. A. Duffey, C. R. Farrar, S. W. Doebling, "A Strain-Energy-Based Damage Detection Method for Membrane and Torsion Structures," in preparation for *J. of Sound and Vibration*
7. P. J. Cornwell, S. W. Doebling and C. R. Farrar, "in preparation for *ASCE J of Structural Engineering*."
8. C. R. Farrar, S. W. Doebling and P. J. Cornwell, "Environmental Variability of Bridge Dynamic Properties," in preparation for *ASCE J. of Bridge Engineering*.
9. S. W. Doebling and C. R. Farrar, "Statistical Damage Identification Techniques Applied to an *In Situ* Bridge," in preparation for *ASCE J. of Structural Engineering*.
10. S. W. Doebling, C. R. Farrar, M. B. Prime and D. W. Shevitz, "A Review of Damage Identification Methods that Examine Changes in Dynamic Properties," *Shock and Vibration Digest* **30** (2), March 1998.

11. C. R. Farrar and D. V. Jauregui "A Comparative Study of Damage Identification Algorithms Applied to a Bridge: Part I, Experimental" accepted for publication in *J. of Smart Materials and Structures*.
12. C. R. Farrar and D. V. Jauregui "A Comparative Study of Damage Identification Algorithms Applied to a Bridge: Part II, Numerical," accepted for publication in *J. of Smart Materials and Structures*.
13. S. Doebling, C. Farrar, P. Cornwell, "Development of a General Purpose Code to Couple Experimental Modal Analysis and Damage Identification," World Structures Congress, San Francisco, July, 1998.
14. C. R. Farrar and S. W. Doebling, "Applications of Vibration-Based Damage Detection," in Proceeding of NATO Advanced Study Institute on Modal Analysis and Testing, Sesimbra Portugal, May 1998 (**invited paper and keynote talk**).
15. M. Sanayei, S. Doebling, C. Farrar, S. Wadia-Fascetti, B. Arya "Challenges in Parameter Estimation for Condition Assessment of Structures," World Structures Congress, San Francisco, April, 1998.
16. T. Duffey, C. Farrar, S. Doebling, "Damage Detection for Application Undergoing Axial Response," 16th International Modal Analysis Conf., Santa Barbara, CA, Feb, 1998.
17. T. Burton, C. Farrar, S. Doebling, "Two Methods for Model Updating Using Damage Ritz Vectors," 16th International Modal Analysis Conf., Santa Barbara, CA, Feb, 1998.
18. C. Farrar, S. Doebling, "Lessons Learned from Applications of Vibration-Based Damage Identification Methods to a Large Bridge Structure," International Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring, Stanford, 1997 (**keynote talk**).
19. S. Doebling, C. Farrar, P. Cornwell, "A Computer Toolbox for Damage Identification Based on changes in Vibration Characteristics," International Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring, Stanford, 1997.
20. C. Farrar, S. Doebling, G. James, T. Simmermacher, "Structural Health Monitoring Activities at National Laboratories," International Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring, Stanford, 1997.
21. S. Doebling, C. Farrar, "DIAMOND: A Graphical Interface Toolbox For Comparative Modal Analysis and Damage Identification," 6th International Conf. on Recent Advances in Structural Dynamics, South Hampton, UK.
22. C. Farrar, S. Doebling, "Vibration-Based Health Monitoring and Model Refinement of Civil Engineering Structures," Architectural Surety Conference, Albuquerque, NM 1997 (**invited paper**).
23. E. Aktan, D. Brown, C. Farrar, A. Helmicki, V. Hunt and J. Yao "Objective Global Condition Assessment," Proceedings 15th International Modal Analysis Conference, Orlando, FL, February, 1997.

24. F. N. Catbas, M. Lenett, D. L. Brown, S. W. Doebling, C. R. Farrar and A. Turer, "Modal Analysis of Multi-Reference Impact Test Data for Steel Stringer Bridges," Proceedings 15th International Modal Analysis Conference, Orlando, FL, February, 1997.
25. P. Cornwell, S. W. Doebling and C. R. Farrar, "Application of the Strain Energy Damage Detection Method to Plate-Like Structures," Proceedings 15th International Modal Analysis Conference, Orlando, FL, February, 1997.
26. S. W. Doebling and C. R. Farrar, "Computation of Structural Flexibility for Bridge Health Monitoring Using Ambient Modal Data," Proc. of the 11th ASCE Engineering Mechanics Conf., Ft. Lauderdale, FL, 1996.
27. D. V. Jauregui and C. R. Farrar, "Comparison of Damage Identification Algorithms on Experimental Modal Data From a Bridge," Proceedings 14th International Modal Analysis Conference, Dearborn, MI, February, 1996.
28. D. V. Jauregui and C. R. Farrar, "Damage Identification Algorithms Applied to Numerical Modal Data From a Bridge," Proceedings 14th International Modal Analysis Conference, Dearborn, MI, February, 1996.

References

- [1] MATLAB, Users Manual, The Mathworks, Inc., 1994.
- [2] Juang, J.N. and Pappa, R.S., "An Eigensystem Realization Algorithm for Modal Parameter Identification and Model Reduction," *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, **8**, No. 5, pp. 620-627, 1985.
- [3] Vold, H., Kundrat, J., Rocklin, T., and Russell, R., "A Multi-Input Modal Estimation Algorithm for Mini-Computers," *SAE Transactions*, **91**, No. 1, pp. 815-821, January 1982.
- [4] Richardson, M.H. and Formenti, D.L., "Parameter Estimation from Frequency Response Measurements using Rational Fraction Polynomials," *Structural Measurement Systems Technical Note 85-3*, 1985.
- [5] McVerry, G.H., "Structural Identification in the Frequency Domain from Earthquake Records," *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, **8**, pp.161-180, 1980.
- [6] Press, W.H., Teukolsky, S.A., Vetterling, W.T., and Flannery, B.P., Numerical Recipes in FORTRAN: The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, pp. 684-686, 1992.
- [7] Stubbs, N., J.-T. Kim, and C.R. Farrar, "Field Verification of a Nondestructive Damage Localization and Severity Estimation Algorithm," in Proc. 13th International Modal Analysis Conference, 210-218, 1995.
- [8] Cornwell, P., Doebling, S.W., and Farrar, C.R., "Application of the Strain Energy Damage Detection Method to Plate-Like Structures," to appear in Proc. of the 15th International Modal Analysis Conference, Orlando, FL, February, 1997.

- [9] Catbas, F.N., Lenett, M., Brown, D.L., Doebling, S.W., Farrar, C.R., and Turer, A., "Modal Analysis of Multi-Reference Impact Test Data for Steel Stringer Bridges," to appear in Proc. of the 15th International Modal Analysis Conference, Orlando, FL, February, 1997.
- [10] Robinson, N.A., L.D. Peterson, G.H. James, and S.W. Doebling, "Damage Detection in Aircraft Structures Using Dynamically Measured Static Flexibility Matrices," in Proc. of the 14th International Modal Analysis Conference, 857-865, 1996.
- [11] Doebling, S.W., Peterson, L.D., and Alvin, K.F., "Estimation of Reciprocal Residual Flex-ibility from Experimental Modal Data," AIAA Journal, **34**, No. 8, pp. 1678-1685, August 1996.
- [12] Doebling, S.W., Farrar, C.R., Prime, M.B., and Shevitz, D.W., "Damage Identification and Health Monitoring of Structural and Mechanical Systems From Changes in Their Vibration Characteristics: A Literature Review," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13070-MS.
- [13] Zimmerman, D.C. and M. Kaouk, "Structural Damage Detection Using a Minimum Rank Update Theory," Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, **116**, pp. 222-230, 1994.
- [14] Doebling, S.W., "Damage Detection and Model Refinement Using Elemental Stiffness Perturbations with Constrained Connectivity," in Proc. of the AIAA/ASME/AHS Adaptive Structures Forum, pp. 360-370, AIAA-96-1307, 1996. Accepted and to appear in AIAA Journal.
- [15] Baruch, M., "Optimal Correction of Mass and Stiffness Matrices Using Measured Modes," AIAA Journal, **20**(11), pp.1623-1626, 1982.
- [16] Hemez, F.M. and C. Farhat, "Structural Damage Detection via a Finite Element Model Updating Methodology," Modal Analysis: The International Journal of Analytical and Experimental Modal Analysis, **10** (3), pp.152-166, 1995.
- [17] Alvin, K.F., 1996, "Finite Element Model Update via Bayesian Estimation and Minimization of Dynamic Residuals," in Proc. of the 14th International Modal Analysis Conference, Dearborn, MI, 561-567, February 1996.
- [18] Ricles, J.M. and J.B. Kosmatka, 1992, "Damage Detection in Elastic Structures Using Vibratory Residual Forces and Weighted Sensitivity," AIAA Journal, **30**, 2310-2316, 1992.