



A consistent reaction scheme for the selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides with ammonia

Janssens, Ton V.W.; Falsig, Hanne; Lundegaard, Lars Fahl; Vennestrøm, Peter N. R.; Rasmussen, Søren B.; Moses, Poul Georg; Giordanino, Filippo; Borfecchia, Elisa; Lomachenko, Kirill A.; Lamberti, Carlo

Total number of authors:

14

Published in:

A C S Catalysis

Link to article, DOI:

[10.1021/cs501673g](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs501673g)

Publication date:

2015

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Janssens, T. V. W., Falsig, H., Lundegaard, L. F., Vennestrøm, P. N. R., Rasmussen, S. B., Moses, P. G., Giordanino, F., Borfecchia, E., Lomachenko, K. A., Lamberti, C., Bordiga, S., Godiksen, A., Mossin, S., & Beato, P. (2015). A consistent reaction scheme for the selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides with ammonia. *A C S Catalysis*, 5(5), 2832-2845. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cs501673g>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A consistent reaction scheme for the selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides with ammonia

Ton V.W. Janssens, Hanne Falsig, Lars Fahl Lundegaard, Peter Nicolai Ravnborg Vennestrøm, Søren Rasmussen, Poul Georg Moses, Filippo Giordanino, Elisa Borfecchia, Kirill A. Lomachenko, Carlo Lamberti, Silvia Bordiga, Anita Godiksen, Susanne Mossin, and Pablo Beato

ACS Catal., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • Publication Date (Web): 19 Mar 2015

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on March 19, 2015

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

A consistent reaction scheme for the selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides with ammonia

Ton V. W. Janssens,[†] Hanne Falsig,[†] Lars F. Lundegaard,[†] Peter N. R. Vennestrøm,[†] Søren B. Rasmussen,[†] Poul Georg Moses,[†] Filippo Giordanino,[‡] Elisa Borfecchia,[‡] Kirill A. Lomachenko,^{‡,¶} Carlo Lamberti,^{‡,¶} Silvia Bordiga,^{*,‡} Anita Godiksen,[§] Susanne Mossin,^{*,§} and Pablo Beato^{*,†}

Haldor Topsøe A/S, Nymøllevej 55, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark, Department of Chemistry, NIS Centre of Excellence and INSTM Reference Center, University of Turin, Via Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy, Southern Federal University, Zorge Street 5, 344090 Rostov-on-Don, Russia, and Department of Chemistry, Centre for Catalysis and Sustainable Chemistry, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet 207, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

E-mail: siliva.bordiga@unito.it; slmo@kemi.dtu.dk; pabb@topsoe.dk

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

[†]Haldor Topsøe

[‡]University of Turin

[¶]Southern Federal University Rostov-on-Don

[§]Technical University of Denmark

Abstract

For the first time, the standard and fast selective catalytic reduction of NO by NH₃ are described in a complete catalytic cycle, that is able to produce the correct stoichiometry, while only allowing adsorption and desorption of stable molecules. The standard SCR reaction is a coupling of the activation of NO by O₂ with the fast SCR reaction, enabled by the release of NO₂. According to the scheme, the SCR reaction can be divided in an oxidation of the catalyst by NO + O₂ and a reduction by NO + NH₃; these steps together constitute a complete catalytic cycle. Furthermore both NO and NH₃ are required in the reduction, and, finally, oxidation by NO + O₂ or NO₂ leads to the same state of the catalyst. These points are shown experimentally for a Cu-CHA catalyst, by combining in situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). A consequence of the reaction scheme is that all intermediates in fast SCR are also part of the standard SCR cycle. The calculated activation energy by density functional theory (DFT) indicates that the oxidation of an NO molecule by O₂ to a bidentate nitrate ligand is rate determining for standard SCR. Finally, the role of a nitrate/nitrite equilibrium and the possible influence of Cu dimers and Brønsted sites are discussed, and an explanation is offered as to how a catalyst can be effective for SCR, while being a poor catalyst for NO oxidation to NO₂.

1
2
3 **Keywords**
4


5
6 SCR, fast SCR, rate determining step, mechanism, Cu-CHA, NO oxidation, EPR, EXAFS,
7
8 FTIR
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Introduction


The selective catalytic reduction of NO to N₂ by ammonia (NH₃-SCR) plays an important role in the abatement of NO_x emissions in the exhausts of diesel engines and power plants. With the environmental legislation becoming more stringent in many places in the world, this reaction is going to play an important role in the development of technologies to meet the emission requirements for exhaust gases. The commercially available catalysts for exhaust gas cleaning by NH₃-SCR are based on vanadium oxide supported on titanium oxide, Fe-exchanged zeolites, or Cu-exchanged zeolites. The traditional zeolites applied in SCR are ZSM-5, and zeolite β. More recently, Cu exchanged chabazites (CHA), in particular SSZ-13 and SAPO-34, have become more important, as these materials are more stable under high temperature conditions. Other known Cu- or Fe- exchanged zeolites with SCR activity are SSZ-39,¹ ferrierite, mordenite.^{2,3}

The composition and temperature of the exhaust gas from which the NO is to be removed depends on the source. In an automotive diesel engine, a typical exhaust gas contains up to a few hundred ppm of NO_x, 5-10% water vapor, 5-10% O₂, hydrocarbons, CO and CO₂. Ammonia is usually introduced by decomposition of urea to a concentration level of typically 1.0-1.2 times the NO concentration; the slight excess of ammonia ensures an efficient removal of the NO. In a typical exhaust aftertreatment system, the hydrocarbons and CO are removed upstream from the SCR catalyst, and hence the SCR catalyst is exposed to a mixture of NO_x, O₂, H₂O, and NH₃ in an inert gas (N₂ and CO₂). The temperature at the SCR catalyst varies, and it is generally required that the SCR catalyst performs well in the temperature range 200-500 °C. This gas composition and temperature range define the general operation conditions for an SCR catalyst system.

The key reaction in the NH₃-SCR is the formation of nitrogen from NO and NH₃ according to the equation:



1
2
3 This reaction is often referred to as ‘standard SCR’. In the presence of NO₂, nitrogen
4 can also be formed according to
5
6



7
8
9
10
11
12 Because this reaction is faster than the standard SCR reaction,⁴ it is often referred to as
13 ‘fast SCR’. In addition to these two reactions, a number of side reactions can occur in the
14 mixture of NO_x, O₂, H₂O, and NH₃, such as formation of N₂O, or ammonia oxidation to
15 NO_x. These side reactions do not directly contribute to the transformation of NO and NH₃
16 to N₂, but have an impact on the performance of the SCR catalyst, as they influence the
17 selectivity for N₂.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Due to the number of possible reactions in this system, the chemistry involved in the NH₃-
26 SCR reaction is rather complex. Consequently, the reaction mechanism for the NH₃-SCR is
27 still under discussion. In this article, first the key aspects of the SCR reaction mechanism are
28 reviewed, and some shortcomings with the proposed reaction schemes are identified. Then we
29 present a new reaction scheme that resolves these shortcomings in the present schemes, and
30 corroborate the reaction scheme by means of density functional theory (DFT) calculations,
31 X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and fourier
32 transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) by example of a Cu-CHA catalyst. Finally, the
33 consequences of the proposed mechanism are discussed, leading to new insights in the role
34 of the different reactants and intermediates, and in the chemistry behind the SCR reaction.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **2 Mechanistic aspects of the SCR reaction**

49
50
51 As mentioned in the introduction, the best known catalysts for SCR are supported vana-
52 dium oxide on titanium oxide, and Cu- and Fe- exchanged zeolites. The catalysts based on
53 vanadium oxide and ion-exchanged zeolites all have an activity for redox reactions and acidic
54 properties. For a V-based catalyst, a mechanism was proposed combining an acid cycle with
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a redox cycle. In the acid cycle, the ammonia is adsorbed and reacts with NO to N₂ and
4 H₂O, while reducing V⁵⁺ to V⁴⁺; the redox cycle restitutes the V⁵⁺ state of the catalyst
5 through oxidation by oxygen and water.⁵ This scheme involves transfer of an H-atom from
6 adsorbed NH₃ to a neighboring V⁵⁺=O site. According to such a reaction scheme, at least
7 two neighboring V atoms are involved in the SCR reaction.^{5,6} The SCR reaction can also
8 take place on a single V atom,⁷ but the SCR reaction becomes significantly faster with the
9 presence of polymeric V species.⁸

10
11 In the Cu- and Fe-exchanged zeolites, the oxidation properties are related to the ability
12 of the exchanged ions to change oxidation state.^{9,10} In general, such catalysts also have
13 Brønsted acidity, either since the standard procedures for Cu or Fe exchange do not result in
14 a complete exchange or new protonic sites are formed as a result of a reduction of the metal
15 ions.¹¹ It is argued that the exchanged Cu or Fe ions facilitate the SCR reaction^{9,12-14} by
16 oxidizing the NO to NO₂, while the SCR reaction takes place elsewhere in the zeolite,^{15,16}
17 which could be on the ammonia adsorbed on the Brønsted sites. If the Brønsted sites are
18 located close to the Cu (or Fe) ions in the zeolite, it is conceivable that an NO₂ molecule
19 on a Cu ion can interact directly with an NH₃ molecule on a neighboring Brønsted site,
20 which would make a reaction possible without the need for desorption of NO₂.¹⁷ Such an
21 interaction between an oxidation site and an acid site resembles that for the vanadium-oxide
22 catalyst as mentioned above.

23
24 A consequence of the redox/acid cycle scheme is that the SCR activity of an ion-
25 exchanged zeolite becomes quite sensitive to the amount and distribution of the Al atoms
26 in the framework of the zeolites.¹⁸⁻²¹ However, the role of the Brønsted sites in an ion-
27 exchanged zeolite is not well understood at present. For Cu-MFI and Cu-CHA zeolites it
28 was found that the SCR activity at 200 °C is not dependent on the amount of Brønsted
29 sites in these materials. This indicates that either the Brønsted sites do not play a role in
30 the SCR reaction, or that these sites are always saturated with NH₄⁺-ions under reaction
31 conditions.^{12,22} Likewise, Brønsted sites in Fe-MFI catalysts are generally not required for
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 high activity, but they could influence the distribution of the Fe ions or act as a promoter
4 for the active Fe ions.^{23,24} All these results indicate that the SCR reaction takes place on
5 the metal ions in Cu- or Fe-zeolites, with a limited influence of the Brønsted sites at most.
6
7

8
9 The distribution of the Al-framework atoms in a zeolite also affects the ability to form
10 Cu- or Fe-dimers. After ion-exchange, the Cu-atoms are coordinated to the oxygen atoms in
11 Si–O–Al-bridges, probably as a $\text{Cu}^{2+} - \text{OH}^-$ unit,^{13,25–29} which has a total charge of +1 to
12 balance the negative charge on the Si–O–Al site. Two neighboring $\text{Cu}^{2+} - \text{OH}^-$ or Cu^+ units
13 can combine to form a Cu–O–Cu-structure,^{30–39} which is bound to two different Al atoms
14 in the framework. It has been suggested that the formation of such Cu-dimers enhances the
15 SCR activity of Cu-SSZ-13, based on the observation that the activity per Cu atom increases
16 with the Cu-loading.^{39,40}
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 In Cu-zeolites, the local environment of the Cu ions may be important for the SCR
27 activity. Recently, it has been shown that the Cu ions in a Cu-CHA zeolite are located in
28 both the 6- and 8-rings of the chabazite framework structure.^{28,29,34,41} Upon adsorption of
29 NH_3 , NO or H_2O on the Cu atoms in the 6-rings, the Cu atoms are lifted out from their
30 original position into the larger cavities in the zeolite,^{42–44} and therefore it seems that the
31 SCR reaction actually takes place in the large cavities in Cu-CHA. However, only the Cu ions
32 located in or close to the 6-rings seem to contribute to the SCR activity in Cu-CHA.^{12,45–48}
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Independent of the nature and structure of the catalyst, and the local environment of the
41 active sites, the SCR reaction can be divided in a reduction part and an oxidation part, quite
42 similar to the well known Mars-Van Krevelen scheme for oxidation reactions. The reduction
43 part corresponds to the steps in which the ammonia reacts with the NO to nitrogen and
44 the catalytic site is reduced. In the mechanism for SCR over supported vanadium oxide
45 mentioned above, the acid cycle would represent the reduction part of the reaction. For
46 Cu-zeolites, it is proposed that the reduction takes place by adsorption of both NO and
47 NH_3 on Cu^{2+} , leading to the formation of an ammonium nitrite or nitrate-like species, which
48 decomposes to N_2 and H_2O , while the Cu^{2+} is reduced to Cu^+ .^{40,49–51} The presence of Cu^+
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 has been unambiguously identified by XAS under SCR conditions and infrared spectroscopy,
5 even though the amounts may vary dependent on the zeolite type^{10,12,47,52,53} and the steady
6 state conditions of the SCR reaction. This direct observation of Cu^+ confirms the idea that
7 the SCR activity originates from the ability of the exchanged Cu to change the oxidation
8 state. Besides NH_3 , NO also plays a role in reduction part of the SCR reaction.^{10,40,51} The
9 formation of a $\text{Cu}^+ - \text{NO}^+$ species^{25,54} by adsorption of NO on Cu^{2+} at room temperature
10 suggests that the Cu is actually reduced by NO. On Fe-exchanged zeolites, the reduction
11 follows essentially the same scheme as on Cu-exchanged zeolites, with a reduction of Fe^{3+} to
12 Fe^{2+} under the influence of NH_3 and NO.^{10,15} However, Fe-zeolites show a more pronounced
13 inhibition by NH_3 for the SCR reaction, as compared to Cu-zeolites.^{10,55}

23
24 Whereas the reduction part of the SCR reaction seems quite well understood, the oxi-
25 dation part is less clear. The oxidation part includes both the reoxidation of the catalytic
26 site and the activation of NO. The reoxidation of the active site closes the catalytic cycle.
27 This part of the reaction is often associated with the O_2 that is needed for the SCR reaction,
28 according to Eq. (1).^{5,9,10,40} The detailed steps describing this part of the reaction, however,
29 are not known. An unsolved problem is that a single Cu- or Fe-ion only reduces the oxidation
30 state by 1, e.g. from Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ , and hence only delivers one electron, whereas an oxygen
31 molecule requires four electrons to be reduced to water. As a consequence, if the oxidation
32 reaction would take place with O_2 only, a single oxygen molecule must interact with four
33 Cu- or Fe-ions. Therefore, it is likely that the oxidation of the catalytic site is accompanied
34 by another oxidation reaction at that site, such as the oxidation of NO. This means that the
35 activation of NO and the restoration of the catalytic site always occur together.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 It is often argued that an activation of NO to (adsorbed) NO_2 is necessary for the SCR
49 reaction.¹⁵ Based on the fact that the SCR reaction becomes much faster in the presence
50 of NO_2 , this step has also been proposed as the rate determining step for the standard
51 SCR reaction.⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹ The adsorbed NO_2 is the first step in the formation of reactive nitrate
52 and nitrite species,^{15,40} which could occur by disproportionation of two NO_2 molecules to
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 NO_3^- and NO^+ , or alternatively by a reaction between NO and NO_2 via an N_2O_3 intermedi-
5
6 ate.⁴⁰ In the presence of NH_3 and H_2O , N_2O_3 and NO^+ react further to ammonium nitrite
7
8 NH_4NO_2 , which readily decomposes to N_2 and water.^{15,40} The NO_3^- species are transformed
9
10 to ammonium nitrate, which upon decomposition may produce N_2O .⁴⁰

11
12 Nitrates have also been considered as important intermediates for the fast SCR reaction
13
14 over vanadium-oxide based catalysts. In particular it has been noticed that nitrates react
15
16 with NO to give NO_2 .^{60,61} This reaction is not confined to vanadium-oxide based systems, as
17
18 exposure of nitrates in Cu or Fe -exchanged zeolites to NO also result in a transient release of
19
20 NO_2 .^{55,62-64} The opposite reaction also occurs: a direct exposure of an SCR catalyst to NO_2
21
22 results in the formation of some NO .^{55,59,62,65} This indicates that nitrates can be converted
23
24 to nitrites and vice versa, dependent on the gas atmosphere.

25
26 The conclusion that the oxidation of NO by O_2 to NO_2 is the rate determining step in the
27
28 SCR reaction is challenged by Ruggeri et al.⁶⁶ They compare the rate of NO oxidation over a
29
30 Cu -zeolite with the rate of the SCR reaction, and show that the NO oxidation is much slower
31
32 in the entire temperature range 200-550 °C, and that the effect of water is quite different for
33
34 the NO oxidation compared to the SCR reaction. It is argued that if the NO_2 formation were
35
36 a part of the SCR reaction, then the rates of the NO oxidation and SCR reactions should
37
38 be similar, as well as the effect of water on them. Following this argument, the formation of
39
40 NO_2 can not be part of the SCR reaction. A point against this reasoning is that NO_2 can be
41
42 formed, but does not desorb from the Cu -sites, under the given conditions.^{59,61,64,67} In that
43
44 case, the SCR reaction would involve a reaction of adsorbed NO_2 with ammonia adsorbed on
45
46 Cu , and not the Brønsted sites. Temperature-programmed desorption of NO_2 on Cu -CHA,
47
48 however, shows that the onset of NO_2 desorption is around 300 °C and is complete around
49
50 450 °C.^{59,61} This would imply that, at least above 450 °C, the rate of NO_2 formation should
51
52 approach that of the SCR reaction, if the desorption of NO_2 were rate limiting. Ruggeri et
53
54 al. suggest that the rate determining steps for SCR and $\text{NO}_2(\text{g})$ formation are different: for
55
56 NO oxidation, it is the release of NO_2 from a surface nitrite, for SCR it is the reaction of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surface nitrites with NH_3 .⁶⁶ At this moment it is not known why Cu-exchanged zeolites are
4 effective SCR catalysts, and at the same time poor catalysts for the oxidation of NO to NO_2 .
5
6

7 In conclusion, there have been several proposals for the mechanism for the SCR reaction.
8 The consensus is that the N_2 is formed by a reaction between ammonia and a (N,O) species,
9 which is accompanied by a reduction of the catalytic site. It is also clear that the oxidation
10 of NO plays a role in the reoxidation of the catalytic site. However, we note that most of the
11 proposed reaction schemes are not able to produce the stoichiometry of the SCR reaction as
12 given in Eq. (1) in a closed catalytic cycle. Often, single oxygen atoms, fractional molecules
13 ($\frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2$) or isolated ions, such as H^+ , OH^- and O^{2-} , are invoked. Such species are not readily
14 available and also imply other changes to the catalyst: the use of a single H^+ ion leaves
15 an isolated negative charge on the catalyst, or the second oxygen atom in $\frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2$ must be
16 accommodated in the catalyst. These changes have to be restored as well in order to close
17 the catalytic cycle. In the following we construct a reaction scheme for the SCR reaction on a
18 Cu-exchanged zeolite, which is consistent with the stoichiometry of the SCR reaction as given
19 in Eq. (1), and involves only adsorption and desorption of stable molecules. The proposed
20 reaction scheme is supported by spectroscopy and DFT calculations. Some implications of
21 the reaction scheme are discussed, leading to new insights in the SCR reaction and a deeper
22 understanding of the role of NO, NH_3 , NO_2 and the adsorbed nitrate (and nitrite) species,
23 which are often observed in in situ and operando spectroscopic studies of the SCR reactions.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **3 A consistent reaction scheme for SCR**

45
46
47 The variety of proposed reaction schemes for the SCR reaction in the literature is a conse-
48 quence of the fact that several different reactions can occur simultaneously in a gas mixture
49 of $\text{NO}/\text{NH}_3/\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the presence of an oxidation catalyst with acidic properties. In most
50 cases, the proposed reaction schemes are based on in situ spectroscopic observations of cer-
51 tain species, and it is then attempted to give these particular species a place in the reaction
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

scheme. The problem with this approach is that it is hard to determine, if a given species that is observed actually plays a role in the SCR reaction, or is part of a side reaction, or just a spectator species.⁶⁸ Obviously, this complicates the process of finding a consistent reaction scheme for the SCR reaction.


To overcome that problem, we have taken a different approach. Instead of starting with a number of possible reaction intermediates, we have focused on the SCR reaction as given in Eq. (1), and attempted to outline how this reaction could proceed on a Cu-exchanged zeolite, given that the reaction gas mixture contains O₂, NO, NO₂, NH₃, N₂, and H₂O. Furthermore, we imposed the following requirements:

1. In each reaction step, the mass balance is maintained, and the complete catalytic cycle is consistent with the total stoichiometry of the SCR reaction, Eq. (1)
2. adsorption from and desorption to the gas phase can only take place with stable molecules: O₂, NO, NO₂, NH₃, N₂, and H₂O, implying that adsorption or desorption of fragments, such as $\frac{1}{2}$ O₂, or isolated ions, e.g. OH⁻, H⁺, O²⁻ is not allowed
3. the oxidation state for the active Cu ion changes from +2 to +1 in the reduction part, and from +1 to +2 in the oxidation part
4. in each step, the charge balance is maintained and the total charge of the Cu-complexes in the zeolite is always +1, allowing for coordination to a single Si-O⁻-Al site in the zeolite.

In this way, we construct a reaction scheme for the SCR reaction only, which means that we do not consider side reactions, such as oxidation of NH₃ by O₂, or the formation of N₂O.

Scheme 1 shows a reaction scheme for a Cu-zeolite that fulfills all requirements mentioned above. This reaction scheme can be regarded as the simplest possible reaction path for the SCR reaction.

The key point in this reaction scheme is the formation of an NO₂ molecule in the gas phase by reaction of NO with an adsorbed nitrate species, leaving a nitrite species on the




Scheme 1: Proposed reaction mechanism for the SCR reaction in a Cu-zeolite. The fast SCR cycle is represented in blue, and the NO activation cycle is represented in black. Reactants are indicated in red, reaction products are indicated in black, the NO₂ intermediate is indicated in green. In the standard SCR reaction, Eq. (1) the NO activation cycle and fast SCR cycle run at equal rates; the stoichiometry of the standard SCR reaction is then found by adding these two cycles. The oxidation states of the Cu ions have been assigned according to the magnetic moment found in DFT: $M(\text{Cu}^{2+}) > 0.45$ and $M(\text{Cu}^{+}) < 0.1$

Cu atom (step 2). The NO₂ molecule reacts with a Cu⁺ ion elsewhere in the zeolite to an *identical* nitrite species (step 8). Both nitrites react with ammonia and NO and release water and nitrogen, via Cu²⁺–OH[−] species, to a Cu⁺; this corresponds to the reduction part of the SCR reaction. The Cu⁺ site then reacts either with NO and O₂ or with NO₂ to form the nitrate and nitrite species, closing the catalytic cycle; this corresponds to the oxidation part of the SCR reaction. Scheme 1 shows that it is conceivable that the entire SCR reaction can take place on a single, isolated Cu site, without the need of Brønsted sites or Cu dimers. In fact, the reaction scheme does not require a specific location or configuration of the Cu-ions. Similar reaction schemes, containing the formation of NO₂ from a nitrate species to form two

1
2
3 identical nitrite species, can be constructed for Cu in 6-rings or 8-rings, and also Cu-dimers,
4 or other metal ions capable of 1-electron redox reactions, e.g. Fe-zeolites or vanadium oxide,
5 or other, oxide based catalysts.
6
7
8

9
10 The stoichiometry of the standard SCR reaction arises from the coupling of the two
11 reaction cycles via the NO₂ that is released. The inner cycle in Scheme 1, containing the
12 reaction of NO₂ with a Cu⁺, is in fact the fast SCR reaction, according to Eq. (2), and
13 hence it is intrinsically faster than the outer cycle. In this article, we refer to the steps in
14 the inner cycle as "fast-SCR cycle" (blue arrows in Scheme 1), and the steps in the outer
15 cycle as "NO-activation cycle" (black arrows in Scheme 1). The NO-activation cycle can be
16 written as:
17
18
19
20
21
22
23



29 Note that the NO-activation cycle includes the same reduction steps as the fast-SCR
30 cycle.
31
32

33 In standard SCR, there is no excess NO₂, and the rate of the fast-SCR cycle is limited
34 by the amount of NO₂ available. As a result, the overall rates of the fast-SCR and NO-
35 activation cycles become equal. The reaction steps in the NO-activation cycle are always
36 accompanied by the reaction steps in the fast-SCR cycle at an equal rate; the result is the
37 standard SCR reaction. It is noted that the steps in the fast-SCR cycle can occur isolated,
38 provided NO₂ is available; this is the fast SCR reaction.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 The total stoichiometry of the standard SCR reaction then follows from addition of the
46 NO-activation and fast-SCR cycles in Scheme 1, and Eqs. (2) and (3). Ruggeri et al. have
47 noted that the standard SCR reaction can be written as the sum of the oxidation of NO to
48 NO₂, according to $2 \text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \longrightarrow 2 \text{NO}_2$, and the fast SCR reaction (Eqs. 2).⁶⁶ Scheme 1
49 is actually a representation of that, with nitrite figuring as one of the NO₂ species.
50
51
52
53
54

55 The coupling of the NO-activation and fast-SCR cycles, as proposed here, has two impor-
56 tant consequences for a fundamental understanding of the standard and fast SCR reactions.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 First, as the fast-SCR cycle is intrinsically faster than the outer cycle, the rate determining
5 step in standard SCR must involve the oxidation of NO to nitrates or nitrites, or the release
6 of NO₂. The second consequence is that the chemistry of the standard SCR and fast SCR
7 reactions essentially is the same: all reaction intermediates in the fast SCR reaction are also
8 part of the standard SCR reaction.
9

10
11
12
13 It is stressed here that the reaction intermediates shown in Scheme 1 are constructed
14 according to the requirements given above, and are presented as a hypothesis at this point.
15 Any other reaction scheme containing the element of a slow formation of NO₂ from NO
16 and O₂ in combination with a fast-SCR step also will result in the correct stoichiometry
17 for the SCR reaction, and may be equally valid. Scheme 1 seems nevertheless reasonable,
18 as it contains the known NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, OH⁻, HO–NO and NH₃ ligands. The reaction path
19 from Cu²⁺–OH⁻ to Cu⁺ consists of the same reaction steps as proposed earlier,^{10,51} and the
20 presence of nitrates in an SCR catalyst is also well documented.^{50,62,63,69,70} Scheme 1 is in
21 full agreement with the conclusions that Cu²⁺ is reduced by the combination of NO+NH₃,
22 followed by an oxidation by NO+O₂.⁴⁹ A reaction between NO and nitrate to NO₂ has been
23 observed in SCR over both V-based and zeolite-based catalysts,^{60,61} and the reverse reaction
24 releasing NO upon exposure to NO₂ is also known.^{55,62,63,71} The difference between Scheme
25 1 and previously proposed reaction schemes does not lie in the individual reaction steps or
26 reaction intermediates, but in the description of the standard SCR reaction as a coupling of
27 an NO oxidation step with the fast SCR reaction via an NO₂ molecule.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 3.1 Verification of the reaction scheme

47
48
49 To verify the reaction steps shown in Scheme 1 for SCR reactions, the energy profile of the
50 NO-activation cycle at 200 °C was calculated by DFT.
51

52
53 Furthermore, a Cu-CHA catalyst with a Si/Al ratio of 15 and a Cu/Al ratio of 0.48,
54 corresponding to a total Cu-loading of 2.6 wt % Cu, was characterized by XAS, EPR and
55 FTIR. First, the reduction and oxidation steps were decoupled to obtain insight in the state
56
57
58
59
60

of the Cu and the ligands present in these different phases of the SCR reaction. Then, the same techniques are also used to verify that the state of the Cu obtained by oxidation by NO and O₂ or by NO₂ is the same. Finally, the role of nitrates and nitrites and their formation by NO + O₂ and by NO₂ is studied in more detail. In all these measurements, the temperature was kept at 200 °C.




Figure 1: Left panel: Measured conversion of NO (circles) and selectivity to N₂O (diamonds) in the temperature range 160 - 550 °C. Amount of catalyst: 5 mg. Feed gas: 500 ppm NO, 533 ppm NH₃, 5% H₂O, 10% O₂ in N₂. Flow: 225 Nml/min. Right panel: Arrhenius plot of the rate constant, assuming a first order rate equation $r = k p_{NO}$; the slope corresponds to an activation energy of 69 kJ/mol.

The Cu-CHA catalyst used in these characterizations is an efficient SCR catalyst. Figure 1 shows the NO conversion and N₂O selectivity measured in a microreactor in the temperature range 160-550 °C. The NO conversion shows a bimodal pattern, with a first maximum around 300 °C and a second maximum around 400 °C, similar to earlier observations.^{39,40} The decreasing NO-conversion above 400 °C can be ascribed to an enhanced direct oxidation of ammonia to NO that occurs in this temperature range. It is noted that this particular Cu-CHA catalyst is very selective: N₂O is the only byproduct, with a maximum selectivity of about 6 % at 230 °C.

The activation energy is derived from the NO conversion data in the temperature range 160-200 °C. Assuming that the SCR reaction is first order in NO and zeroth order in

NH_3 ,^{50,56,72} the rate constants for the SCR reaction in these temperatures are evaluated. From the slope of the Arrhenius plot of these data, shown in the right panel in Figure 1, an apparent activation energy of 69 kJ/mol is found, which is within the range of activation energies reported earlier.^{39,72}

3.1.1 Stability of intermediates and energy diagram calculated by DFT

In each reaction step shown in Scheme 1, a molecule is adsorbed or desorbed from the Cu site, or both. The ligands on the Cu site then follow from the mass balance at each step. In the following, the stability of the different reaction intermediates as calculated by DFT is discussed, to show that the proposed reaction steps in Scheme 1 are reasonable.




Figure 2: Model geometries of Cu^+ , $\text{Cu}^{2+}-\text{OH}^-$, and $\text{Cu}^{2+}-\text{NO}_3^-$ in a 6-ring and an 8-ring configuration in CHA. Atoms are colored as follows: Si: grey, Al: yellow, Cu: green, N: blue, O: red, H: white

From DFT, we find that the most stable geometry of Cu^+ is in the double 6-ring and that of $\text{Cu}^{2+}-\text{OH}^-$ in the 8-ring, as shown in Figure 2. These two locations for the Cu ions are well known from structural analysis of Cu-CHA catalysts, although some controversy exists on which form actually constitutes the active site.^{6,12,28,34,39-41,44,52,72,73} However, when adsorbates are present, the Cu ion is lifted out of the 6-ring plane and the preference for the 6-ring location diminishes.^{42,43,74} This is also observed for the formation of $\text{Cu}^{2+}-\text{NO}_3^-$

(step 1), where the difference in energy for the Cu located in the 8-ring is only 0.08 eV lower compared to the Cu in the 6-ring, showing that there is no real preference for location of the Cu in the 6-ring or 8-ring in this case.

The ligands in the different steps in Scheme 1 represent the most stable configuration found with DFT for the given stoichiometry. However, the order of two consecutive adsorption steps, e.g. the adsorption of NO and NH₃ (step 5), or NO and O₂ (step 1), is arbitrary, as it at the end produces the same intermediate. According to Scheme 1, the Cu²⁺–OH[–] (species E) reacts with both NH₃ and NO to N₂ and H₂O, while the Cu²⁺ is reduced to Cu⁺; this part is the heart of the SCR reaction. After adsorption of the NO and NH₃, the stoichiometry of the ligands corresponds to that of ammonium nitrite, and from here, a decomposition to water and nitrogen takes place. The calculated adsorption energy of NO on the Cu²⁺–OH[–] (species E) is -1.05 eV to yield a NO⁺–Cu⁺–OH[–] species and -1.14 eV to yield a Cu⁺–HONO-like species; these species are quite similar to the Cu⁺–NO⁺ species proposed earlier.^{40,75} The corresponding adsorption of NH₃, resulting in a Cu²⁺–NH₃–OH[–] species, is -0.93 eV. As these values are quite close, the actual order of adsorption of NO and NH₃ seems more or less random.

An important result for the SCR reaction mechanism is that adsorption of O₂ takes place on the Cu⁺ species. The calculated adsorption energy at 0 K of O₂ on Cu⁺ (species A in Scheme 1) is -0.66 eV. On the Cu²⁺–OH[–] ion (species E), the calculated adsorption energy is positive and no adsorption takes place. This indicates that O₂ only takes part in the reoxidation of the Cu⁺.

Figure 3 shows the energy diagram of the reaction steps of the NO-activation cycle shown in Scheme 1 (black arrows). The SCR reaction is strongly exothermic, and all the steps in the reduction part of the reaction, involving the reaction with NH₃ and NO, are exothermic, which is consistent with a fast and effective reduction of the Cu²⁺–OH[–] (species E) to the Cu⁺ (species A) in a mixture of NO and NH₃. In the oxidation part of the reaction, the adsorption of NO + O₂ and formation of the nitrate are exothermic, but the formation of




Figure 3: Calculated free energy diagram for the NO activation cycle shown in Scheme 1. The free energies at each level are given relative to $Z\text{-Cu}^+$, and the appropriate gas phase molecules. Calculated activation energies in the oxidation part are shown in blue.

nitrite under the release of NO_2 (step 2) is endothermic. This is an indication that this reaction step is an equilibrium reaction, which is consistent with earlier reports that this reaction can occur in both directions.^{55,62,63}

As noted above, the rate determining step of the standard SCR reaction is the oxidation of NO to nitrates (step 1) or the release of NO_2 (step 2). The calculated activation energies for these steps are 1.08 eV for the nitrate formation, and 0.67 eV for the release of NO_2 (Figure 3). This indicates that the oxidation of NO with O_2 to a Cu^{2+} nitrate species is the rate determining step of the standard SCR reaction.

The calculated activation energy of 1.08 eV is somewhat higher than the measured apparent activation energy of 69 kJ/mol (0.72 eV); the experimental value probably includes other factors, such as the adsorption energy of the reactants as described by the Langmuir isotherm, which have not been taken into account in the DFT calculation.

3.1.2 Characterization of Cu-CHA in decoupled oxidation and reduction

According to Scheme 1, it should be possible to perform the oxidation and reduction parts of the SCR reaction separately, by exposing the catalyst alternately to a mixture containing NO and O₂ and a mixture containing NH₃ and NO. To verify Scheme 1, we follow the state of the catalyst in situ after oxidation in NO + O₂, reduction in NH₃ + NO, and again oxidation in NO + O₂ by XANES, EPR and FTIR. These oxidation and reduction steps constitute a complete catalytic cycle, and therefore, the second oxidation step should restore the original state of the catalyst.




Figure 4: In situ XAS (left panel), EPR (middle panel), and FTIR (right panel) spectra collected during reduction and oxidation in SCR over of Cu-CHA (2.6 wt% Cu) at 200 °C in the following consecutive steps: a) initial oxidation in 1000 ppm NO/10 % O₂ (dashed black curves); b) reduction in 1200 ppm NH₃/1000 ppm NO (solid red curve); c) reoxidation in 1000 ppm NO/10% O₂ (solid grey curve). Inset left panel: background-subtracted XANES pre-edge peak, characteristic for the Cu²⁺ 1s→3d transition, indicating the presence of Cu²⁺.

Figure 4 shows the Cu K-edge XAS spectrum in the XANES (X-ray absorption near edge structure) region, EPR, and FTIR for the Cu-CHA catalyst after initial oxidation in NO+O₂, after reduction in NH₃+NO, and after reoxidation in NO+O₂. All results clearly indicate that the state of the Cu after reoxidation is identical to that obtained after initial oxidation, indicating that the reduction and oxidation steps as performed here constitute a catalytic cycle.

The XANES and EPR spectra also provide evidence that the oxidation state changes from Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺ during the exposure to NH₃ and NO together. In particular, the XANES




Figure 5: In situ XANES at the Cu K-edge (left panel) and EPR (right panel) showing the reducing capability at 200 °C of 1200 ppm NH₃ (b: solid blue curve), 1000 ppm NO (c: solid orange curve) and a mixture of 1200 ppm NH₃ and 1000 ppm NO (d: solid red curve) on the Cu(II) state obtained after initial oxidation in a mixture of 1000 ppm NO and 10% O₂ (a: dashed black curve). *Inset left panel*: development of the intensity at 8983 eV with time with NH₃ only (a→b) and with a mixture of NH₃+NO (a→d), visualizing the different reduction behavior with time in these cases. In EPR, a stable state is obtained after 11 min. in NH₃+NO (red), while the EPR spectra are still developing after 11 min. in NH₃ alone (blue), or 30 min in NO (orange).

spectrum measured after reduction in NH₃ + NO (curve b) is characterized by an intense pre-edge peak at ~ 8983 eV, due to the 1s→4p transitions in Cu⁺ compounds with a low coordination number.^{44,76} Furthermore, the weak pre-edge peak at ~ 8978 eV, which is a fingerprint of the 1s → 3d transition in Cu²⁺,^{54,77–81} has disappeared (inset in Figure 4, left panel). In EPR (Figure 4, middle panel) the signal intensity relative to the total Cu content decreases from 0.59 to 0.18. (The signal intensities have been corrected according to the Boltzmann equation.) This indicates that a significant part of the Cu changes from an EPR active Cu²⁺ to the EPR silent Cu⁺ state. This change in oxidation state is in line with Scheme 1, and reaction schemes published earlier.^{9,10,49,51} The EPR active species that constitutes the difference between the dashed and the orange curve in Figure 4 has the spin Hamiltonian parameters $g_{\parallel} = 2.28$ and $A_{\parallel} = 449$ MHz, which can be assigned to a Cu²⁺ nitrate species.⁸²

1
2
3 The FTIR spectra in Figure 4 (right panel) after initial NO + O₂ exposure (curve a)
4 reveal the appearance of IR bands at 1627(s), 1607(sh) and 1570(w) cm⁻¹; all three bands
5 are associated to NO₃⁻ coordinated to Cu sites.⁶⁹ The nitrate bands promptly disappear
6 upon interaction with the NO + NH₃ mixture, and the final spectrum (curve b) is dominated
7 by bands related to strongly adsorbed NH₃ species. In particular, bands at 1620 and 1297
8 cm⁻¹ are associated to asymmetric and symmetric N–H bending vibration of molecular NH₃
9 adsorbed on Cu sites, respectively. The frequencies of these modes found by DFT are 1640
10 and 1321 cm⁻¹, which agrees well with the experimental values. The bending N–H vibration
11 of NH₃ adsorbed on Brønsted sites in form of NH₄⁺-ions shows a maximum at 1460 cm⁻¹.⁸³
12 The complete disappearance of these bands upon NO + O₂ exposure and final restoration of
13 the initial state dominated by Cu nitrate features (curve c) prove the reoxidation and closes
14 the SCR cycle.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Following Scheme 1, the reduction of Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺ requires both NH₃ and NO. As a
29 consequence, the reduction should result in different states of the Cu, if one of these compo-
30 nents is missing. This is confirmed by the results reported in Figure 5, which shows XANES
31 spectra at the Cu K-edge and EPR for Cu-CHA in the presence of NO or NH₃ alone at 200
32 °C, together with that obtained in the presence of both NO and NH₃.
33
34
35
36
37

38 The XANES spectra clearly show that exposure to NO alone at 200 °C essentially leads
39 to a Cu²⁺ state that is similar to the Cu²⁺ obtained with oxidation in a mixture of NO and
40 O₂. This indicates that interaction with NO alone does not result in significant reduction
41 of the Cu²⁺ species at this temperature. In EPR, exposure to NO alone leads to an EPR
42 spectrum that is identical to that obtained with dehydrated Cu-CHA.²⁹ Upon oxidation with
43 NO and O₂, however, a difference is observed, indicating that an additional Cu species is
44 formed in this case. This suggests that a fully oxidized Cu²⁺ species in the 6-ring is obtained
45 in both cases, but that oxidation in the mixture of NO and O₂ also produces a Cu²⁺ species
46 that is not located in the 6-ring.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 In the presence of NH₃ alone, the pre-edge peak at ~8983 eV, which is characteristic for a
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Cu^+ species, is remarkably less pronounced, compared to that obtained with the mixture of NO and NH_3 , and it develops much slower (see inset Figure 5, left panel). This indicates that the combination of NO and NH_3 results in a different state of the Cu, compared to the state obtained upon exposure to NO or NH_3 alone, in good agreement with previous results.^{49,51} In EPR, exposure to NH_3 alone at 200 °C leads to a decrease of the signal intensity to 29%, relative to the total amount of Cu, in 11 min, and a further decrease to 19% in about 3 h. In a mixture of NO and NH_3 , the EPR signal decreases to 18% in 11 min, clearly showing that the reduction is faster in this case. These results indicate that the NO/ NH_3 mixture acts as a different, more powerful, reducing agent that is essential in the reducing part of the SCR reaction.

3.1.3 Identification of the Cu^+ and Cu^{2+} states

A more detailed analysis of the XAS and FTIR data in Figures 4 and 5 provides information on the identity of the Cu^+ and Cu^{2+} species. In Figure 6 we compare the in situ XAS spectra of Cu-CHA in the presence of NH_3 only and $\text{NH}_3 + \text{NO}$, shown in Figure 5, with those for the linear $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ and square planar $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ amino-complexes in solution, which have been measured as a reference. The XAS spectrum of the Cu-CHA zeolite after reduction in the $\text{NH}_3 + \text{NO}$ mixture at 200 °C is almost identical to that of the linear $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ complex, both in the XANES and in the EXAFS regions. This provides strong evidence for a substantial reduction of the Cu in the catalyst to a Cu^+ state, and reveals that the Cu is predominantly present as a $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ complex, after reduction in a mixture of NO and NH_3 at 200 °C. As judged from the Cu–N coordination number of about 2 in the first shell (see panel c in Figure 6) and the lack of a second shell contribution associated with the Cu–Si or Cu–Al coordination,^{28,52} the Cu is only weakly bound to the zeolite. This suggests that the Cu is mobile, even at 200 °C. It is noted that the linear $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ complex is not specifically mentioned in Scheme 1, but it can be regarded as NH_3 adsorbed on Cu^+ .




Figure 6: (a) The linear $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ and square planar $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ complexes: Cu: green; N: blue; H: white. (b) Cu-K XANES spectra and (c) Fourier Transformed EXAFS in the k -range $2.4\text{--}12.4 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ of the Cu-amino complexes (orange and green solid lines), compared to the data for Cu-CHA exposed to 1200 ppm NH_3 and 1200 ppm $\text{NH}_3/1000$ ppm NO at $200 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (empty circles). Panel (b) also shows that the spectrum for Cu-CHA reduced in 1200 ppm NH_3 corresponds to a linear combination of 25% $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ and 75% $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ (light blue solid line). The data indicate that Cu is mostly reduced to Cu^+ in a mixture of NO and NH_3 at $200 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, while the majority of Cu remains in the Cu^{2+} state when exposed to NH_3 .

After reduction with NH_3 alone, the intensity at 8983 eV in the Cu K-edge remains lower than that obtained after reduction in a mixture of NO and NH_3 (see Figure 5). In Figure 6, this spectrum is compared as well to those for the $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ and $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ amino-complexes. The measured XANES spectrum is well reproduced with a contribution of approximately 75% of the square-planar $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ complex and 25% of the linear $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ complex. This clearly indicates that a majority of the Cu species is present as Cu^{2+} , and therefore is not reduced by NH_3 alone at $200 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, confirming the conclusion that the mixture of NH_3 and NO is a stronger reducing agent than NH_3 alone. The first shell coordination number in this case is between 2 and 4, as expected for a mixture of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ complexes.

The reaction scheme also postulates that oxidation of Cu^+ by $\text{NO} + \text{O}_2$ or NO_2 leads to identical Cu-species. Earlier in this section we have identified these species by FTIR and EPR spectroscopy as nitrates on Cu^{2+} (Figure 4, right panel). Figure 7 (right panel) shows that the same set of vibrational bands is observed after oxidation of the Cu^+ species in a

mixture of NO and O₂ or NO₂. The higher overall intensity of this set of bands obtained with only NO₂ in the gas feed indicates that nitrate formation is more efficient in this case. Figure 7 (middle panel) shows that the Cu K-edge XANES and Fourier transformed EXAFS spectra for the Cu²⁺ state obtained by oxidation in NO/O₂ or NO₂ are identical, thus confirming that oxidation of Cu⁺ by NO + O₂ or NO₂ leads to the same Cu²⁺ species.




Figure 7: Structure of the bidentate Cu–NO₃⁻ species (left panel). In situ XAS and Fourier transformed EXAFS (middle panel) and FTIR (right panel) spectra after exposure of dehydrated Cu-CHA to 1000 ppm NO₂ (solid green curves), and to a mixture of 1000 ppm NO and 10% O₂ (dashed black curves) at 200 °C. The left panel indicates the distances from the central Cu atom to the neighboring atoms in Å. Color code atoms: Cu: green, O: red, N: blue, Al: yellow.

A more detailed EXAFS analysis after oxidation of the Cu-CHA in an NO/O₂ mixture reveals the structure of the nitrate species (Figure 7, middle panel). It is important to note that the Fourier transformed EXAFS does not show a significant contribution in the 2.8–3.0 Å range, which is typical for the Cu–Cu distance, indicating a predominantly monomeric Cu species. The contribution of the second shell at ~2.5 Å indicates a contribution of a Si or Al atom of the zeolite framework, which means that the Cu is bound to the zeolite framework. These features rule out a bridging nitrate configuration between neighboring Cu²⁺ ions, in agreement with previous studies.^{27,28} A number of different configurations for the nitrate species were optimized by DFT and tried in the EXAFS analysis, and by far the best agreement with the EXAFS data was obtained with a chelating bidentate nitrate on a single Cu²⁺ site, close to 1 Al atom; this structure is shown in Figure 7, left panel,

and is also indicated in Scheme 1, species B. DFT calculations also show that the bidentate configuration of the nitrate group on the Cu²⁺ site is the most stable. The feature around 3 Å in the Fourier transformed EXAFS corresponds to a coordination geometry around the Cu center that is very specific for a bidentate nitrate species. The DFT calculations of the chelating bidentate nitrate ligand also reveal a vibrational mode at 1570 cm⁻¹ associated with the stretching mode of the N=O-bond that points away from the Cu-ion. Consequently, the vibrational bands at 1627(s), 1607(sh) and 1570(w) cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum, observed after oxidation in NO/O₂ or NO₂ correspond to a chelating bidentate nitrate configuration on a monomeric Cu-species.^{63,69,75}

4 The nitrate - nitrite equilibrium

From the discussion above, we find that the Cu²⁺ species formed upon reaction of a Cu⁺ with NO + O₂ or NO₂ is a bidentate nitrate species (Cu²⁺-NO₃⁻, species B). According Scheme 1, the reaction of NO₂ with the Cu⁺ should result in a nitrite species. However, as mentioned above, step 2 in Scheme 1 is an equilibrium reaction,⁶³ and, consequently, an exposure of Cu⁺ to NO₂ results in the formation of a nitrate species,^{55,59,63,64} under the release of NO. To understand why generally nitrate species are found in an in situ measurement of Cu-CHA, we consider the equilibrium between nitrates and nitrites (step 2), and the formation of NO₂ in the gas phase.

The equilibrium between nitrates and nitrites can be written as follows (step 2):



The equilibrium constant K associated with the equilibrium reaction in Eq. (4) is written as:

$$K = \frac{p_{\text{NO}_2}}{p_{\text{NO}}} \quad (5)$$

1
2
3 where p_{NO_2} and p_{NO} are the partial pressures of NO_2 and NO , respectively. To calculate
4 the value of the equilibrium constant in Eq. (5), the standard Gibbs free energy (ΔG^0) of
5 the equilibrium reaction is estimated using the tabulated values for the Gibbs free energy
6 of formation⁸⁴ (ΔG_f^0) for alkali nitrates, the corresponding nitrites, NO , and NO_2 .⁸⁵ At 200
7 °C, ΔG^0 is found to be +40 to +50 kJ/mol, which corresponds to an equilibrium constant of
8 $3.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$ to $3.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$. With an NO concentration of 500 ppm in the gas, this corresponds to
9 equilibrium concentrations of NO_2 in the range 2-20 ppb. The formation of nitrite and NO_2
10 by reaction with NO will only occur if the concentration of NO_2 is below the equilibrium
11 concentration, otherwise the reaction proceeds in the other direction.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 The low value of the equilibrium constant implies that the steady-state concentration of
23 NO_2 in the SCR reaction must be low for the reaction to proceed. In the SCR reaction,
24 NO_2 reacts according to the fast-SCR reaction, Eq. (2), and the higher rate of that reaction
25 compared to the standard SCR reaction can ensure such a low steady-state concentration.
26 A steady state concentration of this magnitude and the corresponding low concentration of
27 the nitrite species, is difficult to determine experimentally in in situ measurements.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Another consequence of the very low equilibrium concentrations of NO_2 is that the equi-
35 librium shifts to the nitrate side, already at concentrations well below 1 ppm. In most
36 experimental setups some NO_2 will always be present in mixtures of NO and O_2 due to the
37 oxidation of NO to NO_2 in the gas phase. The kinetics of this reaction is well known from
38 atmospheric chemistry.⁸⁶ Using a third order rate law $r = k c_{\text{NO}}^2 c_{\text{O}_2}$ and the rate constant k
39 (in $\text{L mol}^{-2} \text{s}^{-2}$) given by $k = 1.2 \cdot 10^3 e^{530/T}$, (T is the temperature in K),⁸⁶ it is calculated
40 that it takes only a few seconds to produce 2-20 ppb NO_2 in a mixture of 500 ppm NO
41 and 10% oxygen at 200 °C. This means that, in practice, a mixture of NO and O_2 contains
42 an amount of NO_2 that is higher than the estimated equilibrium concentration, and hence,
43 the equilibrium is usually shifted towards the nitrates in the presence of NO and O_2 . It
44 is noted that the gas phase oxidation of NO to NO_2 is several orders of magnitude slower
45 than the catalytic SCR reaction, which is capable of converting a few hundred ppm of NO
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

in milliseconds. Therefore the contribution of the gas phase oxidation of NO to NO₂ in the SCR reaction is negligible.

When there is no oxygen present in the gas phase, then the concentration of NO₂ can become low enough to shift the equilibrium in Eq. (4) towards the nitrite side, and observe a transient release of NO₂ upon exposure of the nitrate phase to NO.^{62,64} This effect is also shown in Figure 8, which shows the changes in the EPR signal of the Cu-CHA catalyst when the oxygen is removed from a 1000 ppm NO/10% O₂ mixture. The difference between the orange and black spectrum in Figure 8) corresponds to a tetragonal Cu²⁺ species with parallel spin Hamiltonian parameter values $g_{\parallel} = 2.28$ and $A_{\parallel} = 449$ MHz. These values are close to those for the Cu-species found after adsorption of NO₂ on Cu-MFI $g_{\parallel} = 2.29$ and $A = 462$ MHz, and assigned to a Cu²⁺-nitrate species.⁸² This shows that the Cu²⁺-NO₃⁻ species reacts with NO. Upon removing the O₂, a transient formation of NO₂ is observed, and the amount of this NO₂ correlates to the amount of EPR active species that disappears, when the O₂ is removed (see Figure 8).




Figure 8: EPR of Cu-CHA after exposure to NO/O₂ at 250 °C (black) and the transformation in the first 30 min. after the O₂ has been removed. The final spectrum is shown in orange. The sets of lines corresponding to 3 different Cu²⁺ species are shown: The two persistent sets (green and blue) correspond to two different Cu²⁺ sites in the 6-ring. The set that disappears (brown) corresponds to the Cu²⁺-NO₃⁻ species. Inset: Transient amount of NO₂ formed compared with the quantified loss of EPR signal intensity for 8 independent experiments on fresh and reused Cu-CHA samples.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

As we only observe the disappearance of the EPR signal with $g_{\parallel} = 2.28$, and not the development of a new feature, it is concluded that the Cu-nitrite $\text{Cu}^{2+}-\text{NO}_2^-$ is EPR inactive. The remaining species (orange curve in Figure 8 is similar to that observed after dehydration of a Cu-CHA catalyst.²⁹ These EPR signals, corresponding to Cu^{2+} in 6-ring sites are persistent and are present both in an atmosphere of NO only and in a mixture of NO and O_2 at 200 °C. This indicates that the Cu^{2+} 6-ring sites do not form nitrates under the experimental conditions used in this EPR experiment.

5 NO oxidation as rate determining step in standard SCR

In Scheme 1, we have identified the oxidation of NO to nitrate as the rate determining step of the standard SCR reaction. This contradicts the conclusions of Ruggeri et al., who ruled out the NO oxidation as the rate determining step for the SCR reaction, based on the very low rate of NO oxidation on a Cu-zeolite.⁶⁶ These opposing conclusions can be reconciled by realizing that the NO oxidation reaction over a Cu zeolite is also the result of a complete catalytic cycle and not of a single reaction step. The NO oxidation part in Scheme 1 does not represent a complete catalytic cycle, since the oxidation state of the Cu changes from Cu^+ to Cu^{2+} , and therefore this part alone does not correctly represent the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO_2 over a Cu-zeolite.


If we assume that the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO_2 take place on a Cu^+ site, as is the case in the SCR reaction, then the formation of NO_2 is accompanied by an oxidation of the Cu^+ to Cu^{2+} . However, the reduction of this Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ will be much slower than in the SCR reaction, as there is no possibility for the very efficient reduction with NH_3 and NO in the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO_2 . This is supported by the XANES results, which show only a Cu^{2+} species in the Cu-CHA in the presence of NO and O_2 and no Cu^+ species. This means that the oxidation of NO in the absence of NH_3 is limited by a slow reduction

1
2
3 of Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ , while the SCR reaction is limited by the NO oxidation to nitrate. This is
4
5 a first explanation how a Cu-zeolite can be a good catalyst for SCR, but a poor catalyst for
6
7 the oxidation of NO to NO_2 , even though the NO oxidation is the rate determining step in
8
9 the standard SCR reaction.
10

11 A second explanation is that NO oxidation in the absence of NH_3 proceeds via an entirely
12 different pathway than depicted in Scheme 1. In fact, the low equilibrium concentration of
13 NO_2 in transition from nitrate to nitrite species (step 2), as estimated above, would actually
14 limit the possible yield of NO_2 to a very low level. This suggests that NO oxidation in the
15 absence of NH_3 can not proceed in this way. Following this argumentation, the oxidation of
16 NO to NO_2 in the absence of NH_3 is different from the NO oxidation step in the standard
17 SCR reaction. The rates of NO oxidation in the absence and presence of NH_3 (SCR) then
18 become different, and therefore, no conclusion on the NO oxidation rate in SCR can be made
19 based on measurements of NO oxidation in the absence of NH_3 . The conclusion that the
20 oxidation of NO to NO_2 requires a Cu dimer, while the SCR reaction can proceed on a single
21 Cu-ion, is a good illustration of this scenario.^{12,36}
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 6 Standard SCR, fast SCR, and slow SCR

37
38 From Scheme 1, it follows that NO is required in both the oxidation and the reduction part
39 of the SCR reaction. However, NO_2 can also be reduced by NH_3 to produce N_2 and H_2O in
40 the absence of NO, according to:
41
42
43
44
45
46
47



49
50 This reaction is slower than the fast and standard SCR reactions, and is known as ‘slow
51 SCR’ reaction. Based on Scheme 1, it is straightforward to understand the chemistry that
52 controls the rate of the standard, fast and slow SCR reactions. The rate of the standard SCR
53 reaction is controlled by the oxidation of NO to NO_2 . In fast SCR, this rate-determining
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 step is bypassed, which explains why the fast SCR is faster than the standard SCR.

5
6 NO plays a dual role in the standard SCR reaction: it is required both for the oxidation
7 of Cu^+ to Cu^{2+} , and for the reduction of Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ , together with NH_3 . In fast SCR,
8 NO is no longer required for the oxidation part, but it is still needed for the reduction of
9 the Cu^{2+} species. This is the reason why the fast SCR also requires NO, and according to
10 Scheme 1, the optimal conditions are obtained for equimolar amounts of NO and NO_2 .
11
12

13
14 In the slow SCR reaction, Eq. (6), the fast reduction of Cu^{2+} cannot occur as NO is not
15 available. Consequently, the slow SCR reaction involves different reaction steps and reaction
16 intermediates for the reduction of Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ , which apparently are slower. This implies
17 that the chemistry of the slow SCR reaction is actually different from that of the standard
18 and fast SCR reactions. The fact that the slow SCR reaction is slower also illustrates the
19 importance of NO for the reduction part of the standard and fast SCR reactions.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 7 Role of Cu-dimers and Brønsted sites

31
32 According to Scheme 1, the SCR reaction does not require the presence of Cu-dimers or
33 Brønsted sites. All reaction intermediates are accommodated on isolated Cu ions, and the
34 calculated stability of the intermediates (Figure 3) seems sufficient for the reaction to occur
35 in such a way. This is consistent with a constant activity per Cu atom.⁴⁷ Nevertheless, the
36 formation of Cu dimers can still be beneficial for the SCR reaction. Measurements actually
37 show an increase in the activity per Cu site with increasing Cu loading for SCR over Cu-SSZ-
38 13 catalysts.^{39,40} As the propensity for Cu-dimer formation increases with Cu loading, this
39 may indicate that Cu-dimers could enhance the rate of the NH_3 -SCR reaction. A possible
40 scenario is that the oxygen leaves a single O-atom on each Cu-ion. Then, the rate-limiting
41 nitrate formation in Scheme 1 could be circumvented according to $2\text{Cu}^+ + 2\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow$
42 $2\text{NO}_2^- - \text{Cu}^{2+}$, leading to a faster SCR reaction.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 The effect of Brønsted sites on the SCR reaction is limited.^{12,22} As adsorption sites for
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ammonia, Brønsted sites may influence the SCR reaction by an interaction with neighboring
4 Cu-species. The conclusions that Brønsted sites promote the SCR reaction in Fe-zeolite
5 catalysts²³ and that the SCR activity of Cu-SAPO-34 catalysts increases proportionally
6 with acid density seem to support such an influence. An interesting observation is that pairs
7 of NH_4^+ in H-ZSM-5 and mordenite zeolites show SCR activity with $\text{NO}_2(\text{g})$ species.³ In
8 Scheme 1, this would imply that Brønsted sites can contribute to the activity of the fast
9 SCR cycle. As the fast SCR cycle is also a part of the standard SCR reaction, Brønsted
10 sites may influence the standard SCR reaction in this way.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 8 Conclusions

23
24
25 For the first time, a consistent reaction scheme for the complete catalytic cycle of the standard
26 and fast SCR reactions is presented. The reaction scheme describes the standard SCR
27 reaction cycle as a coupling of an NO oxidation step to the fast SCR reaction, running at
28 equal rates. This leads to the correct stoichiometry for the standard SCR reaction, while the
29 mass and charge balances are maintained in each step. The coupling is made by the release
30 of an NO_2 molecule in a reaction of NO with a nitrate species, leaving a nitrite species at the
31 catalytic site. The NO_2 molecule that is released, forms an identical nitrite species elsewhere
32 in the catalyst.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The reaction scheme shows, that it is conceivable that the SCR reaction proceeds on
43 a single Cu-ion in the zeolite, without the need of Cu-dimer formation or interaction with
44 Brønsted acidic sites.
45
46
47

48 The reaction scheme has been corroborated experimentally in three ways, using a Cu-
49 CHA catalyst. First, a reduction in a mixture of NO and NH_3 followed by oxidation in a
50 mixture of NO and O_2 restores the original state of the catalyst, showing that these steps
51 constitute a catalytic cycle. Secondly, the same bidentate nitrate species ($\text{Cu}-\text{NO}_3^-$) is
52 formed upon exposure of the Cu^+ state to a mixture of NO and O_2 or to NO_2 , which confirms
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that the standard and fast SCR reactions follow the same scheme. Finally, the reduction
4 of Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ requires the presence of both NH_3 and NO . The product of this reduction
5 is a weakly bound $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ linear species, which can be regarded as a Cu^+ covered
6 with NH_3 . A reaction of the Cu^{2+} state with NH_3 alone leads primarily to a $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$
7 complex. Exposure of the Cu^{2+} to NO alone at 200 °C does not lead to a measurable
8 reduction of the Cu.
9

10 All reaction steps are exothermic, except the formation of a Cu^{2+} -nitrite by reaction of
11 NO with Cu^{2+} -nitrate. The latter reaction is an equilibrium reaction that depends on the
12 partial pressures of NO and NO_2 . Under typical conditions for SCR, the equilibrium partial
13 pressure of NO_2 is on the order of 2-20 ppb, indicating that the steady-state partial pressure
14 of NO_2 in SCR is very low. As gas phase oxidation of NO and O_2 can produce such amounts
15 of NO_2 in a few seconds, nitrate species are typically observed in a mixtures of NO and O_2
16 as well.
17

18 All reaction steps involved in the fast SCR reaction are also part of the standard SCR
19 reaction. As a consequence, the rate determining step must involve the oxidation of NO by
20 O_2 ; a density functional theory calculation of the activation energies in a Cu-CHA point to
21 the formation of a bidentate nitrate ($\text{Cu}-\text{NO}_3^-$) species as the rate determining step.
22

23 To resolve the apparent contradiction that the oxidation of NO by O_2 is rate determining
24 in the standard SCR reaction over a Cu-zeolite, while such catalysts have a much lower
25 activity for the oxidation of NO to NO_2 , it is realized that the rates of the SCR and NO
26 oxidation reactions are the result of two different catalytic cycles. In the absence of NH_3 ,
27 the reduction of Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ cannot occur in the same way as in the SCR reaction, and
28 the reduction of Cu^{2+} to Cu^+ becomes rate determining in the oxidation of NO to NO_2 .
29 Alternatively, the oxidation of NO to NO_2 follows a reaction path that does not involve a
30 Cu^+ species.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

9 Experimental

The Cu-CHA sample was prepared using a similar method as reported earlier.²⁷ A synthesis gel with the composition 1.0 SiO₂ : 0.0667 Al : 0.5 TMAdaOH : 0.5 HF : 3 H₂O was prepared by dissolving aluminum isopropoxide (98 %, Sigma-Aldrich) in tetraethyl orthosilicate (98% Aldrich) and adding N,N,N-trimethyladamantammonium hydroxide (TMAdaOH, 25 wt.%, Sachem) to the solution. This mixture was stirred to homogenize overnight. Hydrofluoric acid (48 wt.%, 99.99% trace-metal basis, Sigma-Aldrich) was added and the mixture was stirred by hand. Water was evaporated from the gel at 60 °C under regular homogenization by hand until the desired content was obtained. To form the CHA zeolite, the gel was heated to 150 °C for 3 days under rotation in a teflon-lined autoclave. The CHA product was recovered by filtration and washed several times with water, followed by calcination at 580 °C for 3 hours to remove the TMAdaOH. Copper ions were introduced by suspending the calcined CHA in 250 mL (per gram zeolite) 5 mM copper(II)acetate solution and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Finally, the product was filtered, washed and calcined in air at 500 °C for 3 h. The final Cu-CHA obtained and used in this work had a Si/Al = 15 and a Cu/Al = 0.48, corresponding to a Cu content of 2.6 wt%.

The measurement of the NO conversion was done in a microreactor, using a 5 mg sample of the Cu-CHA catalyst (sieve fraction 150-300 μm) in a quartz U-tube reactor with 2 mm inner diameter. The composition of the reactor exit gas was determined using a Gaset CX400 FTIR spectrometer connected to the outlet of the reactor. The catalyst was heated for 1 hour at 550 °C, in an atmosphere of 500 ppm NO, 533 ppm NH₃, 5 % H₂O, and 10 % O₂ in N₂ at a flow rate of 225 Nml/min. The catalyst was then stepwise cooled down to 160 °C, using the same flow and gas composition. In each step, the sample was kept at a constant temperature for 20 min. The conversion of NO was determined based on the averaged concentration measured during the final 5 min. in each step.

Spin polarized Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were used to estimate the free energies of the reaction intermediates at 200 °C. The calculations were performed with

1
2
3 the GPAW package,^{87,88} using a real space grid-based projector augmented wave method.
4
5 A grid spacing of $h = 0.2$ and a Fermi smearing of 0.1 K were found sufficient to obtain
6
7 a satisfactory convergence of the relative energies. The BEEF-vdW functional⁸⁹ was used
8
9 to account for the Van der Waals interactions.⁹⁰ This functional has shown to produce
10
11 reliable results for the interaction of molecules with zeolites.^{91,92} The free energy of the
12
13 adsorbed species at 200 °C was calculated based on the calculated DFT energies at 0 K
14
15 and the vibrational frequencies, using the thermochemistry package in ASE.⁹³ The free
16
17 energies of the gas phase species at 200 °C were obtained from the Shomate equations.
18
19 The zeolite was represented by periodic cells with hexagonal symmetry (cell parameters
20
21 $a, b = 13.886 \text{ \AA}, c = 15.116 \text{ \AA}, \alpha = 120^\circ, \beta, \gamma = 90^\circ$), containing 36 T atoms.
22
23

24 The in situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements at the Cu K-edge were
25
26 performed at the BM23 beamline of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF,
27
28 Grenoble, France). A self-supported pellet (~ 100 mg) of the Cu-CHA catalyst was placed in
29
30 a Microtomo reactor cell connected to a gas manifold that allowed to control total gas flow
31
32 and gas composition of the mixtures. Initially, the Cu-CHA sample was heated to 400 °C
33
34 at 5 °C/min in 50% O₂/He at a flow of 100 ml/min, and kept at those conditions until the
35
36 near-edge features (XANES) became stable (~ 1 h). Then, the temperature was reduced to
37
38 200 °C and the catalyst was exposed to the different reaction gas mixtures.
39

40 The XAS measurements at the Cu K-edge were carried out in transmission mode, using
41
42 double-crystal Si(111) monochromator and ionization chambers for the detection of the in-
43
44 cident and transmitted photons. A copper foil was measured simultaneously as a reference
45
46 for the photon energy.⁸¹ For steady state conditions, the XAS spectra were collected in a
47
48 step-scan mode, at a resolution of 0.3 eV around the edge, and a step size $\Delta k = 0.035 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$
49
50 in the EXAFS part, using a dwell time of 1 to 4 s per point. Typically, the data analysis
51
52 was based on the average of 2 consecutive scans. To monitor changes in time upon changing
53
54 gas atmosphere, a faster scan mode was used, with a step resolution of 0.3 eV around the
55
56 edge and a $\Delta k = 0.08 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ up to 8 \AA^{-1} with a dwell time of 1 s per point; this allowed for
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 collecting about 10 scans per hour.

5 $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ and $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ complexes were used as references for the XAS mea-
6
7 surements. Both complexes were prepared as aqueous solutions. The $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ solu-
8
9 tion (~50 mM, deep-violet-blue color) was prepared by dissolving tetraamminecopper(II)
10 sulfate monohydrate (Sigma Aldrich, 98%) in water); a small amount of NH_3 was added
11 to avoid precipitation of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$. The solution was poured into a glass capillary ($\varnothing=3.5$
12 mm), which was used for the XAS measurement. The $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ complex was prepared
13
14 by dropwise adding a solution of hydrazine (Sigma Aldrich, 35%) into the capillary with
15 the $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$ complex.⁹⁴ In order to prevent the re-oxidation of Cu^+ ions by O_2 , the
16
17 capillary was then sealed with paraffin. The $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ solution was prepared immediately
18
19 before the XAS measurements.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 For the FTIR measurements, about 15 mg of the Cu-CHA catalyst was pressed in a
27 self-supported pellet and placed inside a commercial FTIR reactor cell (AABSPEC, #2000-
28 A multimode), which allows to record infrared spectra under controlled temperature and
29 gas atmosphere. Prior to the measurements, the catalyst was heated at 400 °C for 30 min
30 (heating rate 5 °C/min) in a 50% O_2/He gas mixture at a flow of 50 ml/min. Then the
31 sample was cooled down to 200 °C, and exposed to the different reaction gas mixtures at a
32 flow of 50 ml/min. The FTIR spectra were recorded in transmission mode with a resolution
33 of 2 cm^{-1} on a Perkin Elmer System 2000 infrared spectrophotometer equipped with a MCT
34 detector at liquid nitrogen temperature.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 The in situ EPR measurements were performed on a continuous wave X-band Bruker
45 EMX EPR spectrometer with the ER 4102ST cavity with a Gunn diode microwave source
46 in the field interval 220-400 mT. A quartz tube (4 mm inner diameter) with a 10-20 mg
47 sample of the Cu-CHA catalyst (150-300 μm sieve fraction); quartz wool was used to keep
48 the sample in place. The sample was heated using preheated atmospheric air with a Bruker
49 EMX VT unit. The quartz tube was connected to a gas manifold, allowing for changing
50 of the gas atmosphere in the tube within seconds. The reactant gas flow was kept at 200
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

NmL/min, using a Bronkhorst mass flow controller. A Thermo Electron Corporation Model 17C Ammonia Analyser was used to measure the NO₂ concentration in the exit gas. The EPR spectra were measured continuously during the experiment with fast sweeps (approximately 10 s) between 220 and 400 mT, with a microwave power 6.3 mW, a modulation frequency of 100 kHz, a modulation amplitude of 8 G, at a frequency of 9.3–9.7 GHz, and 1024 data points. The time between two spectra was approximately 15 s.

Acknowledgement

CL and KAL thank the support from the Mega-grant of the Russian Federation Government to support scientific research at Southern Federal University, No.14.Y26.31.0001. SM acknowledges financial support by the Danish Independent Research Council DFF 1335-00175 and DFF 09-070250, and Carlsbergfondet for supporting the upgrade of the EPR instrument at the Department of Chemistry, DTU. Sachem is acknowledged for making TMAdaOH available.

References

- (1) Moliner, M.; Franch, C.; Palomares, E.; Grill, M.; Corma, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 8264–8266.
- (2) Rahkamaa-Tolonen, K.; Maunula, T.; Lomma, M.; Huuhtanen, M.; Keiski, R. L. *Catal. Today* **2005**, *100*, 217–222.
- (3) Eng, J.; Bartholomew, C. H. *J. Catal.* **1997**, *171*, 27–44.
- (4) Kato, A.; Matsuda, S.; Kamo, T.; Nakajima, F.; Kuroda, H.; Narita, T. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1981**, *119*, 4099–4102.
- (5) Topsøe, N.-Y. *Science* **1994**, *265*, 1217–1219.

- 1
2
3
4 (6) Grünert, W. In *Urea-SCR Technology for DeNO_x After Treatment of Diesel Exhausts*;
5 Nova, I., Tronconi, E., Eds.; Springer Science + Business Media: New York, 2014; pp
6 181–219.
7
8
9
10 (7) Wark, M.; Brückner, A.; Liese, T.; Grünert, W. *J. Catal.* **1998**, *61*, 48–61.
11
12
13 (8) Went, G. T.; Leu, L.-J.; Rosin, R. R.; Bell, A. T. *J. Catal.* **1992**, *134*, 492–505.
14
15
16 (9) Iwasaki, M. In *Urea-SCR Technology for DeNO_x After Treatment of Diesel Exhausts*;
17 Nova, I., Tronconi, E., Eds.; Springer: New York, 2014; pp 221–246.
18
19
20
21 (10) Doronkin, D. E.; Casapu, M.; Günter, T.; Müller, O.; Frahm, R.; Grunwaldt, J.-D. *J.*
22 *Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 10204–10212.
23
24
25
26 (11) Zecchina, A.; Rivallan, M.; Berlier, G.; Lamberti, C.; Ricchiardi, G. *Phys. Chem. Chem.*
27 *Phys.* **2007**, *9*, 3483–3499.
28
29
30
31 (12) Bates, S. A.; Verma, A. A.; Paolucci, C.; Parekh, A. A.; Anggara, T.; Yezerets, A.;
32 Schneider, W. F.; Miller, J. T.; Delgass, W. N.; Ribeiro, F. H. *J. Catal.* **2014**, *312*,
33 87–97.
34
35
36
37
38 (13) Vennestrøm, P. N. R.; Janssens, T. V. W.; Kustov, A.; Grill, M.; Puig-Molina, A.;
39 Lundegaard, L. F.; Tiruvalam, R. R.; Concepción, P.; Corma, A. *J. Catal.* **2014**, *309*,
40 477–490.
41
42
43
44
45 (14) Deka, U.; Juhin, A.; Eilertsen, E. A.; Emerich, H.; Green, M. A.; Korhonen, S. T.;
46 Weckhuysen, B. M.; Beale, A. M. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 4809–4818.
47
48
49
50 (15) Brandenberger, S.; Kröcher, O.; Tissler, A.; Althoff, R. *Catal. Rev.* **2008**, *50*, 492–531.
51
52
53 (16) Qi, G.; Wang, L.; Yang, R. T. In *Urea-SCR Technology for DeNO_x After Treatment of*
54 *Diesel Exhausts*; Nova, I., Tronconi, E., Eds.; Springer Science + Business Media: New
55 York, 2014; pp 149–177.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (17) Ruggeri, M. P.; Grossale, A.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E.; Jirglova, H.; Sobalik, Z. *Catal.*
5 *Today* **2012**, *184*, 107–114.
6
7
8
9 (18) Berlier, G.; Zecchina, A.; Spoto, G.; Ricchiardi, G.; Bordiga, S.; Lamberti, C. *J. Catal.*
10 **2003**, *215*, 264–270.
11
12
13 (19) Čapek, L.; Dědeček, J.; Sazama, P.; Wichterlová, B. *J. Catal.* **2010**, *272*, 44–54.
14
15
16 (20) Sobalik, Z.; Sazama, P.; Dedecek, J.; Wichterlová, B. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2014**, *474*,
17 178–185.
18
19
20
21 (21) Sazama, P.; Wichterlová, B.; Tábor, E.; Šťastný, P.; Sathu, N. K.; Sobalík, Z.;
22 Dědeček, J.; Sklenák, Š.; Klein, P.; Vondrová, A. *J. Catal.* **2014**, *312*, 123–138.
23
24
25
26 (22) Bates, S. A.; Delgass, W. N.; Ribeiro, F. H.; Miller, J. T.; Gounder, R. *J. Catal.* **2014**,
27 *312*, 26–36.
28
29
30
31 (23) Schwidder, M.; Santhosh Kumar, M.; Bentrup, U.; Pérez-Ramírez, J.; Brückner, A.;
32 Grünert, W. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2008**, *111*, 124–133.
33
34
35
36 (24) Brandenberger, S.; Kröcher, O.; Wokaun, A.; Tissler, A.; Althoff, R. *J. Catal.* **2009**,
37 *268*, 297–306.
38
39
40
41 (25) Llabres i Xamena, F.; Fisticaro, P.; Berlier, G.; Zecchina, A.; Turnes Palomino, G.;
42 Prestipino, C.; Bordiga, S.; Giamello, E.; Lamberti, C. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, *107*,
43 7036–7044.
44
45
46
47
48 (26) Turnes Palomino, G.; Fisticaro, P.; Bordiga, S.; Zecchina, A.; Giuria, V.; Giamello, E.;
49 Lamberti, C. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, *104*, 4064–4073.
50
51
52
53 (27) Giordanino, F.; Vennestrøm, P. N. R.; Lundegaard, L. F.; Stappen, F. N.; Mossin, S.;
54 Beato, P.; Bordiga, S.; Lamberti, C. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 12741–12761.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (28) Borfecchia, E.; Lomachenko, K. A.; Giordanino, F.; Falsig, H.; Beato, P.; Solda-
5 tov, A. V.; Bordiga, S.; Lamberti, C. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 548–563.
6
7
8 (29) Godiksen, A.; Stappen, F. N.; Vennestrøm, P. N. R.; Giordanino, F.; Rasmussen, S. B.;
9 Lundegaard, L. F.; Mossin, S. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 23126–23138.
10
11
12 (30) Ganemi, B.; Björnbom, E.; Paul, J. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **1998**, *17*, 293–311.
13
14
15
16 (31) Woertink, J. S.; Smeets, P. J.; Groothaert, M. H.; Vance, M. A.; Sels, B. F.;
17 Schoonheydt, R. A.; Solomon, E. I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2009**, *106*, 18908–
18 18913.
19
20
21
22
23 (32) Smeets, P. J.; Hadt, R. G.; Woertink, J. S.; Vanelderen, P.; Schoonheydt, R. A.;
24 Sels, B. F.; Solomon, E. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 14736–14738.
25
26
27
28 (33) Wang, L.; Gaudet, J. R.; Li, W.; Weng, D. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *306*, 68–77.
29
30
31 (34) Deka, U.; Lezcano-Gonzalez, I.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; Beale, A. M. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*,
32 414–427.
33
34
35
36 (35) Wang, L.; Gaudet, J. R.; Li, W.; Weng, D. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *306*, 68–77.
37
38
39 (36) Verma, A. A.; Bates, S. A.; Anggara, T.; Paolucci, C.; Parekh, A. A.; Kamasamu-
40 dram, K.; Yezerets, A.; Miller, J. T.; Delgass, W. N.; Schneider, W. F.; Ribeiro, F. H.
41 *J. Catal.* **2014**, *312*, 179–190.
42
43
44
45
46 (37) Wang, D.; Zhang, L.; Li, J.; Kamasamudram, K.; Epling, W. S. *Catal. Today* **2014**,
47 *231*, 64–74.
48
49
50
51 (38) Tsai, M.-L.; Hadt, R. G.; Vanelderen, P.; Sels, B. F.; Schoonheydt, R. A.; Solomon, E. I.
52 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 3522–3529.
53
54
55
56 (39) Gao, F.; Walter, E. D.; Kollar, M.; Wang, Y.; Szanyi, J.; Peden, C. H. *J. Catal.* **2014**,
57 *319*, 1–14.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (40) Gao, F.; Kwak, J. H.; Szanyi, J.; Peden, C. H. F. *Top. Catal.* **2013**, *56*, 1441–1459.
- (41) Andersen, C. W.; Bremholm, M.; Vennestrøm, P. N. R.; Blichfeld, A. B.; Lundegaard, L. F.; Iversen, B. B. *IUCrJ* **2014**, *1*, 382–386.
- (42) Uzunova, E. L.; Mikosch, H.; St. Nikolov, G. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2013**, *113*, 723–728.
- (43) Szanyi, J.; Kwak, J. H.; Zhu, H.; Peden, C. H. F. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 2368–2380.
- (44) Giordanino, F.; Borfecchia, E.; Lomachenko, K. A.; Lazzarini, A.; Agostini, G.; Gallo, E.; Soldatov, A. V.; Beato, P.; Bordiga, S.; Lamberti, C. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5*, 1552–1559.
- (45) Göltl, F.; Hafner, J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *136*, 064501.
- (46) Deka, U.; Lezcano-Gonzalez, I.; Warrender, S. J.; Picone, A. L.; Wright, P. A.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; Beale, A. M. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2013**, *166*, 144–152.
- (47) Xue, J.; Wang, X.; Qi, G.; Wang, J.; Shen, M.; Li, W. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *297*, 56–64.
- (48) Göltl, F.; Bulo, R. E.; Hafner, J.; Sautet, P. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *4*, 2244–2249.
- (49) Kieger, S.; Delahay, G.; Coq, B.; Neveu, B. *J. Catal.* **1999**, *183*, 267–280.
- (50) Yu, T.; Hao, T.; Fan, D.; Wang, J.; Shen, M.; Li, W. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 6565–6575.
- (51) Paolucci, C.; Verma, A. A.; Bates, S. A.; Kispersky, V. F.; Miller, J. T.; Gounder, R.; Delgass, W. N.; Ribeiro, F. H.; Schneider, W. F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11828–11833.
- (52) McEwen, J. S.; Anggara, T.; Schneider, W. F.; Kispersky, V. F.; Miller, J. T.; Delgass, W. N.; Ribeiro, F. H. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *184*, 129–144.


- 1
2
3
4 (53) Kispersky, V. F.; Kropf, A. J.; Ribeiro, F. H.; Miller, J. T. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*
5 **2012**, *14*, 2229–2238.
6
7
8
9 (54) Prestipino, C.; Berlier, G.; Llabres i Xamena, F.; Spoto, G.; Bordiga, S.; Zecchina,
10 Adriano; Turnes Palomino, G.; Yamamoto, T.; Lamberti, C. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2002**,
11 *363*, 389–396.
12
13
14
15 (55) Colombo, M.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E. *Catal. Today* **2010**, *151*, 223–230.
16
17
18 (56) Long, R.; Yang, R. *J. Catal* **2002**, *207*, 274–285.
19
20
21 (57) Wallin, M. *J. Catal.* **2003**, *218*, 354–364.
22
23
24 (58) Devadas, M.; Kröcher, O.; Elsener, M.; Wokaun, A.; Mitrikas, G.; Söger, N.; Pfeifer, M.;
25 Demel, Y.; Mussmann, L. *Catal. Today* **2007**, *119*, 137–144.
26
27
28
29 (59) Metkar, P. S.; Balakotaiah, V.; Harold, M. P. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *184*, 115–128.
30
31
32 (60) Ciardelli, C.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E.; Bandl-Konrad, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 2718–
33 2719.
34
35
36
37 (61) Grossale, A.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E.; Chatterjee, D.; Weibel, M. *J. Catal.* **2008**, *256*,
38 312–322.
39
40
41
42 (62) Colombo, M.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *197*, 243–255.
43
44
45 (63) Wang, D.; Zhang, L.; Kamasamudram, K.; Epling, W. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 871–881.
46
47
48 (64) Tronconi, E.; Nova, I. In *Urea-SCR Technology for deNO_x After Treatment of Diesel*
49 *Exhausts*; Nova, I., Tronconi, E., Eds.; Springer Science + Business Media: New York,
50 2014; pp 247–270.
51
52
53
54
55 (65) Mihai, O.; Widyastuti, C. R.; Ando, S.; Kamasamudram, K.; Li, J.; Joshi, S. Y.;
56 Currier, N. W.; Yezerets, A.; Olsson, L. *J. Catal.* **2014**, *311*, 170–181.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (66) Ruggeri, M. P.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E. *Top. Catal.* **2013**, *56*, 109–113.
5
6
7 (67) Delahay, G.; Valade, D.; Guzmán-Vargas, A.; Coq, B. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2005**,
8
9
10
11 (68) Lamberti, C.; Groppo, E.; Spoto, G.; Bordiga, S.; Zecchina, A. *Adv. Catal.* **2007**, *51*,
12
13
14
15
16 (69) Poignant, F.; Freysz, J. L.; Daturi, M.; Saussey, J. *Catal. Today* **2001**, *70*, 197–211.
17
18
19 (70) Sedlmair, C.; Gil, B.; Seshan, K.; Jentys, A.; Lercher, J. A. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*
20
21
22
23
24 (71) Colombo, M.; Nova, I.; Tronconi, E. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2012**, *111-112*, 433–444.
25
26
27 (72) Gao, F.; Walter, E. D.; Karp, E. M.; Luo, J.; Tonkyn, R. G.; Kwak, J. H.; Szanyi, J.;
28
29
30
31
32 (73) Korhonen, S. T.; Fickel, D. W.; Lobo, R. F.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; Beale, A. M. *Chem.*
33
34
35
36
37 (74) Zhang, R.; McEwen, J.-S.; Kollár, M.; Gao, F.; Wang, Y.; Szanyi, J.; Peden, C. H. F.
38
39
40
41
42 (75) Hadjiivanov, K. I. *Catal. Rev.* **2000**, *42*, 71–144.
43
44
45 (76) Lambie, G.; Moen, A.; Nicholson, D. G. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1994**, *90*, 2211–
46
47
48
49
50 (77) Kau, L. S.; Spira-Solomon, D. J.; Penner-Hahn, J. E.; Hodgson, K. O.; Solomon, E. I.
51
52
53
54
55 (78) Sano, M.; Komorita, S.; Yamatera, H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, 459–463.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (79) Lamberti, C.; Spoto, G.; Scarano, D.; Pazé, C.; Salvalaggio, M.; Bordiga, S.;
5 Zecchina, A.; Turnes Palomino, G.; D'Acapito, F. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *269*, 500–
6 508.
7
8
9
10 (80) Groothaert, M. H.; van Bokhoven, J. A.; Battiston, A. A.; Weckhuysen, B. M.;
11 Schoonheydt, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 7629–7640.
12
13
14
15 (81) Bordiga, S.; Groppo, E.; Agostini, G.; van Bokhoven, J. A.; Lamberti, C. *Chem. Rev.*
16 **2013**, *113*, 1736–850.
17
18
19
20 (82) Kucherov, A. V.; Gerlock, J. L.; Jen, H.-W.; Shelef, M. *Zeolites* **1995**, *15*, 15–20.
21
22
23 (83) Lezcano-Gonzalez, I.; Deka, U.; van der Bij, H. E.; Paalanen, P.; Arstad, B.; Weckhuy-
24 sen, B. M.; Beale, A. M. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2014**, *154*, 339–349.
25
26
27
28 (84) DFT calculations of gaseous NO and NO₂ are known to be inaccurate, and hence the
29 estimate of ΔG^0 is based on the known values for ΔG_f^0 for alkali nitrates and nitrites.⁸⁵
30
31
32
33 (85) Lide, D. R., Ed. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 78th ed.; CRC Press: Boca
34 Raton, New York.
35
36
37
38 (86) Tsukahara, H.; Ishida, T.; Mayumi, M. *Nitric Oxide* **1999**, *3*, 191–198.
39
40
41 (87) Mortensen, J. J.; Hansen, L. B.; Jacobsen, K. W. *Phys. Rev. B* **2005**, *71*, 035109.
42
43
44 (88) Enkovaara, J.; Rostgaard, C.; Mortensen, J. J.; Chen, J.; Dulak, M.; Ferrighi, L.;
45 Gavnholt, J.; Glinsvad, C.; Haikola, V.; Hansen, H. A.; Kristoffersen, H. H.; Kuisma,
46 M.; Larsen, A. H.; Lehtovaara, L.; Ljungberg, M.; Lopez-Acevedo, O.; Moses, P. G.;
47 Ojanen, J.; Olsen, T.; Petzold, V.; Romero, N. A.; Stausholm-Møller, J.; Strange, M.;
48 Tritsarlis, G. A.; Vanin, M.; Walter, M.; Hammer, B.; Häkkinen, H.; Madsen, G. K. H.;
49 Nieminen, R. M.; Nørskov, J. K.; Puska, M.; Rantala, T. T.; Schiøtz, J.; Thygesen, K.
50 S.; Jacobsen, K. W. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **2010**, *22*, 253202.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (89) Wellendorff, J.; Lundgaard, K. T.; Møgelhøj, A.; Petzold, V.; Landis, D. D.;
5 Nørskov, J. K.; Bligaard, T.; Jacobsen, K. W. *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *85*, 235149.
6
7
8
9 (90) As Van der Waals interactions are expected to contribute to the binding of molecules in
10 zeolite pores, the BEEF-vdW functional was chosen. From a comparison with similar
11 calculations using the standard RPBE functional, we find that introduction of the Van
12 der Waals interactions results in a change in binding energy of 0.3 eV at most for the
13 fragments studied here.
14
15
16
17
18
19 (91) Brogaard, R. Y.; Moses, P. G.; Nørskov, J. K. *Catal. Letters* **2012**, *142*, 1057–1060.
20
21
22 (92) Brogaard, R. Y.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; Nørskov, J. K. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *300*, 235–241.
23
24
25 (93) ASE thermochemistry package. [https://wiki.fysik.dtu.dk/ase/ase/](https://wiki.fysik.dtu.dk/ase/ase/thermochemistry/thermochemistry.html)
26 [thermochemistry/thermochemistry.html](https://wiki.fysik.dtu.dk/ase/ase/thermochemistry/thermochemistry.html).
27
28
29
30 (94) Moen, A.; Nicholson, D. G.; Rønning, M. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1995**, *91*,
31 3189–3194.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Graphical TOC Entry



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60