## A CONSTRUCTION OF K-CONTACT MANIFOLDS BY A FIBER JOIN

## TSUTOMU YAMAZAKI

(Received March 21, 1997, revised June 21, 1999)

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce a process of making a fiber join of regular K-contact manifolds and then construct some explicit examples of K-contact flows which generate contact transformations of a torus. We also discuss the equivalence of these examples.

1. Introduction. A contact flow  $\varphi_t$  is a flow which is generated by the Reeb vector field of a contact manifold  $(M, \alpha)$ . It preserves the contact form  $\alpha$  and the contact plane field  $\ker \alpha$ . A contact flow  $\varphi_t$  is called a *K-contact flow* if there exists a metric g on M such that  $\varphi_t$  is an isometry. In this case the triple  $(M, \alpha, q)$  is called a *K-contact manifold* ([2, 3]).

Suppose we are given a K-contact manifold  $(M, \alpha, g)$ . If M is compact, the closure of a K-contact flow  $\{\varphi_t \mid t \in R\}$  in the isometry group of (M, g) makes a compact connected abelian Lie group, hence isomorphic to  $T^k$  for some integer k. Clearly this action of the torus  $T^k$  also preserves  $\alpha$  and g. Thus a compact K-contact manifold  $(M, \alpha, g)$  has a  $T^k$ -action which preserves both  $\alpha$  and g. We will see that this property of  $T^k$ -action on a contact manifold characterizes the "K-contactness" and k satisfies  $1 \le k \le n+1$  when dim M=2n+1 (see Proposition 2.1). We call  $(M, \alpha, g)$  with this  $T^k$ -action a K-contact manifold of rank k. A typical class of examples of K-contact manifolds of rank 1 is a family of regular K-contact manifolds  $(M, \alpha, g)$ . A regular contact manifold  $(M, \alpha)$  consists of a pair of a principal  $S^1$ -bundle M over a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  and a connection one-form  $\alpha$ . A metric g is given by  $g = \pi^* g_W \oplus (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$ , where  $g_W$  is a Riemannian metric compatible with  $\omega$  and  $\pi$  is the bundle projection  $M \to W$  (see Example 2.4).

In this paper we will present a method of constructing a K-contact manifold of rank  $k \ge 2$  out of K-contact manifolds of rank 1 by making use of join construction in topology.

Let  $(M_0, \alpha_0, g_0), \ldots, (M_n, \alpha_n, g_n)$  be regular K-contact manifolds and  $L_j$  an associated complex line bundle of  $M_j \to W$  for each j  $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ . From these we construct a K-contact manifold  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  of rank n + 1. Here  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  is the unit sphere bundle  $S(L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n)$  and  $\beta_\lambda$  is a contact form with a parameter  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We call the resulted K-contact manifold a *fiber join* of  $(M_0, \alpha_0, g_0), \ldots, (M_n, \alpha_n, g_n)$ .

Applying a fiber join construction to three dimensional regular K-contact manifolds, we obtain infinitely many distinct K-contact structures on  $\Sigma_g \times S^{2n+1}$  and  $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^{2n+1}$  ( $\Sigma_g$  is a closed Riemannian surface of genus g) which are not  $T^{n+1}$ -equivariant. Namely, we obtain the following:

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C12; Secondary 5315, 58F25.

434 T. YAMAZAKI

THEOREM 4.5. For  $n \ge 1$  there exist infinitely many different K-contact equivalence classes of K-contact flows on  $\Sigma_q \times S^{2n+1}$  and  $\Sigma_q \tilde{\times} S^{2n+1}$ .

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Tadayoshi Mizutani and Professor Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu for their continuous encouragement and helpful discussions.

2. The torus action on K-contact manifold. A contact form on a (2n+1)-dimensional smooth manifold M is a one-form  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$  is everywhere nonzero. The pair  $(M,\alpha)$  is called a contact manifold. A contact form  $\alpha$  determines a unique vector field Z on M such that  $\alpha(Z)=1$ ,  $d\alpha(Z,X)=0$  for any vector field X on M. We call Z and the flow  $\varphi_t$  generated by it the *Reeb vector field* and the *contact flow*, respectively. A 2n-dimensional distribution D on M defined by  $D:=\ker\alpha$  is called a *contact plane field*. From the definition of  $\alpha$  and D, it is obvious the two-form  $d\alpha$  is non-degenerate on D. Namely,  $d\alpha$  induces a symplectic structure on D. In this situation, it is well-known that there exists a positive definite metric  $g_T$  and an almost complex structure J on D such that  $g_T(X,Y)=d\alpha(X,JY)$ ,  $g_T(JX,JY)=g_T(X,Y)$  for all  $X,Y\in\Gamma(D)$  (the set of smooth sections of a vector bundle D) (see [1]). The pair  $(g_T,J)$  is said to be *compatible* with  $d\alpha$ . We can extend  $g_T$  on D to whole TM by requiring  $g_T(Z,X)=0$  for any vector field X on M. Thus we get a Riemannian metric  $g:=g_T\oplus(\alpha\otimes\alpha)$  on M, which is called an *adapted metric* to the contact form  $\alpha$ . Note that an adapted metric g is not unique, depending on the choice  $g_T$ .

Now we define a K-contact manifold.

DEFINITION. Let  $(M, \alpha)$  be a contact manifold with the Reeb vector field Z. If there exists an adapted metric g to  $\alpha$  on M such that Z is a Killing vector field with respect to g, that is,

$$(2.1) L_Z q = 0,$$

then we call  $(M, \alpha, g)$  a K-contact manifold. Here  $L_Z$  is the Lie differentiation in direction of Z.

We call  $\alpha$  and g of a K-contact manifold  $(M, \alpha, g)$  the K-contact form and the K-contact metric, respectively. We also call the flow  $\varphi_t$  generated by the Reeb vector field Z of the K-contact form  $\alpha$  the K-contact flow of  $(M, \alpha, g)$ .

In general, a contact flow  $\varphi_t$  preserves the contact form  $\alpha$ . This is because we have  $L_Z\alpha = 0$  from the definition of the Reeb vector field Z. It follows that a K-contact manifold  $(M, \alpha, g)$  has an **R**-action induced by  $\{\varphi_t \mid t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  which preserves both  $\alpha$  and g.

The following proposition characterizes a K-contact manifold.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let  $(M, \alpha)$  be a (2n+1)-dimensional contact manifold and  $\varphi_t$  the contact flow. If we assume that M is compact, then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) There exists an adapted metric g to  $\alpha$  such that  $(M, \alpha, g)$  is a K-contact manifold.
- (2) There exist a torus  $T^k$  such that  $1 \le \dim(T^k) \le n+1$ , a smooth effective  $T^k$ -action  $\{h_u \mid u \in T^k\}$  on M, and a homomorphism  $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to T^k$  with dense image such that  $\varphi_t = h_{\Psi(t)}$ .

PROOF. We will prove  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Since M is compact, by Meyer-Steenrod theorem (see [7]), the isometry group Isom(M, g) of (M, g) is a compact Lie group. It follows that the closure of  $\{\varphi_t \mid t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  in Isom(M, g) is a compact connected abelian Lie group, and hence is isomorphic to a torus  $T^k$  for some integer k.

We now prove  $k \leq n+1$ . Let  $\Gamma(TM)$  be the Lie algebra of the vector field on M and V the Lie algebra determined by the image of the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\operatorname{Lie}(T^k) \ni \xi \to d/dt \big|_{t=0} \exp(t\xi) \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Here  $\exp: \operatorname{Lie}(T^k) \to T^k$  is the exponential map. Let Z be the Reeb vector field of  $(M,\alpha)$ . We denote by RZ a trivial line bundle spanned by Z. By the isomorphism  $TM \cong D \oplus RZ$  we have a unique decomposition  $X = \bar{X} + \alpha(X)Z$  for  $X \in V$  and  $\bar{X} \in \Gamma(D)$ . From the fact that  $\alpha(X)$  is a  $T^k$ -invariant function and [X,Y] = 0 for any  $X,Y \in V$ , we see that  $[\bar{X},\bar{Y}] = 0$  for any  $X,Y \in V$ . It follows that if we denote by  $X_1,\ldots,X_k$  the fundamental vector fields of  $T^k$ -action determined by a basis of the Lie algebra  $\operatorname{Lie}(T^k)$ , there is an open set U such that  $\bar{X}_1,\ldots,\bar{X}_k$  determine a (k-1)-dimensional integrable distribution on U tangent to D. It is well-known that the maximal dimension of integrable submanifolds of the contact distribution is n, so  $k-1 \leq n$  and hence  $k \leq n+1$ .

We will prove  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . From the fact that  $\varphi_t^*\alpha = \alpha$  and the closure of  $\{\varphi_t \mid t \in R\}$  is isomorphic to  $T^k$ , we have  $h_u^*\alpha = \alpha$  for all  $u \in T^k$ . Namely,  $\alpha$  is invariant under the  $T^k$ -action, and so is  $d\alpha$ . In this case we can also take a positive definite metric  $g_T$  and an almost complex structure J, which is compatible with the symplectic form  $d\alpha$  on D, to be invariant under this  $T^k$ -action (see [1, 15]). Thus we have a metric  $g = g_T \oplus (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$  and it is invariant under the action of  $T^k$ . In particular, we have  $L_Z g = 0$ , and hence  $(M, \alpha, g)$  is a K-contact manifold.

The property of  $T^k$ -action of Proposition 2.1 characterizes the "K-contactness". Namely, we may consider a K-contact manifold as a manifold which has an action of the torus  $T^k$  containing the contact flow as a dense image, and hence the action of  $T^k$  preserves both  $\alpha$  and g.

DEFINITION.  $(M, \alpha, g)$  is called a *K-contact manifold of rank k* if the closure of the *K*-contact flow  $\{\varphi_t \mid t \in R\}$  in Isom(M, g) is isomorphic to a *k*-dimensional torus  $T^k$ .

As a result of Proposition 2.1, we see that in the case of the contact flow on the compact contact manifold  $(M, \alpha)$  there is no difference between an isometric flow and a Riemannian flow. Namely, we get the following:

COROLLARY 2.2 ([15]). If a contact flow on a compact manifold is a Riemannian flow, then, (changing the transverse metric, if necessary), it is a K-contact flow.

PROOF. Let  $(M, \alpha)$  be a compact contact manifold with the Reeb vector field Z. Assume that a contact flow  $\varphi_t$  of Z is a Riemannian flow, that is, there exists a transverse metric  $\tilde{g}_T$  to the contact flow  $\varphi_t$  (a positive definite metric on the contact plane field  $\ker \alpha$ ) such that  $L_Z \tilde{g}_T = 0$ . Note that  $\tilde{g}_T$  needs not to be compatible with the symplectic form  $d\alpha$ . Then Z is a Killing vector field with respect to a Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_T \oplus (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$ . So  $\varphi_t$  is an isometric flow. Since the closure of  $\{\varphi_t \mid t \in R\}$  in  $\mathrm{Isom}(M, \tilde{g})$  is isomorphic to a torus,  $\varphi_t$ 

436 T. YAMAZAKI

satisfies the condition (2) in Proposition 2.1. Therefore there exists a K-contact metric g on M, and hence  $\varphi_t$  is a K-contact flow.

We will give two typical classes of examples of K-contact manifolds. They are needed for the construction in Section 3.

EXAMPLE 2.3 ((2n+1)-dimensional K-contact manifold of rank n+1). Let  $S^{2n+1} = \{z = (z_0, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} | \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \bar{z}_j = 1\}$  be a (2n+1)-dimensional unit sphere in complex (n+1)-space  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . We denote the polar coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  by  $(r_0, \theta_0, \ldots, r_n, \theta_n)$ . For rationally independent positive constants  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , we take

(2.2) 
$$\alpha_{\lambda} = \sqrt{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j} (z_{j} d\bar{z}_{j} - \bar{z}_{j} dz_{j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j} r_{j}^{2} d\theta_{j}.$$

Then it is easily seen that  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  is the contact form on  $S^{2n+1}$  with the Reeb vector field

(2.3) 
$$X_{\lambda} = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} 1/\lambda_{j} (z_{j} \partial/\partial z_{j} - \bar{z}_{j} \partial/\partial \bar{z}_{j}).$$

Let  $\varphi_t^{\lambda}$  be the contact flow of  $X_{\lambda}$  and

$$(2.4) (e^{\sqrt{-1}t_0}, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}) \cdot (z_0, \dots, z_n) = (e^{\sqrt{-1}t_0}z_0, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}z_n),$$

where  $(e^{\sqrt{-1}t_0}, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}) \in T^{n+1} \subset (C^*)^{n+1}$ , be the standard  $T^{n+1}$ -action on  $S^{2n+1}$ . Then we have

(2.5) 
$$\varphi_t^{\lambda}(z_0,\ldots,z_n) = \left(e^{\sqrt{-1}(1/\lambda_0)t}z_0,\ldots,e^{\sqrt{-1}(1/\lambda_n)t}z_n\right).$$

Since  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are rationally independent, the closure  $\overline{\varphi_t^{\lambda} \cdot z}$  of the orbit  $\varphi_t^{\lambda} \cdot z$  coincides with the orbit  $T^{n+1} \cdot z$  for any  $z \in S^{2n+1}$ . Thus, by Proposition 2.1, there exists an adapted metric  $g_{\lambda}$  to  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  such that  $(S^{2n+1}, \alpha_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda})$  is a K-contact manifold of rank n+1. Here  $g_{\lambda}$  is given by choosing a transverse metric  $g_T$  on  $\ker \alpha_{\lambda}$  and setting  $g_{\lambda} = g_T \oplus (\alpha_{\lambda} \otimes \alpha_{\lambda})$ .

We define a  $S^1$ -action on  $S^{2n+1}$  by

$$e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \cdot (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \left(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} z_0, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta q_1} z_1, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta q_n} \cdot z_n\right)$$

for  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in S^1 \subset C^*$  and positive integers  $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ . Choose an integer p such that p and each  $q_j$  are relatively prime, and consider the action restricted to  $\{e^{2\pi k\sqrt{-1}\theta/p} \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of the above  $S^1$ -action. Then  $S^{2n+1}/(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  is also a K-contact manifold of rank n+1 with the K-contact form and the K-contact metric induced from  $S^{2n+1}$ .

REMARK. (1) The choice of  $g_T$  on ker  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  is not unique. However, for example, we can choose it to be the restriction of a Riemannian metric

(2.6) 
$$2\sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j} (dr_{j} \otimes dr_{j} + r_{j}^{2} d\theta_{j} \otimes d\theta_{j}) \left( = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j} dz_{j} \otimes d\bar{z}_{j} \right)$$

on  $C^{n+1}$  to ker  $\alpha_{\lambda}$ .

(2) Take  $\{\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$  so that  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$  form a k-dimensional vector space over Q. Then there exists a subgroup  $T^k$  of  $T^{n+1}$  and a  $T^k$ -action induced by (2.4) such that for  $z \in S^{2n+1}$ , the closure of the orbit  $\varphi_t \cdot z$  coincides with the orbit  $T^k \cdot z$ . Thus we obtain a K-contact manifold  $(S^{2n+1}, \alpha_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda})$  of rank k. In particular, if we take  $\lambda_0 = \cdots = \lambda_n = 1$ , then we get the K-contact manifold of rank 1 such that a K-contact flow determines the Hopf  $S^1$ -fibration  $S^{2n+1} \to CP^n$ .

EXAMPLE 2.4 (K-contact manifold of rank 1). Let  $(W, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold whose symplectic two-form determines a de Rham cohomology class contained in the image of  $H^2(W; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(W; \mathbb{R})$ . Then there exists a principal  $S^1$ -bundle  $\pi: M \to W$  whose first Chern class is equal to  $[\omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  and a connection one-form  $\eta$  on M with the curvature form  $d\eta = \pi^*\omega$  ([6]). Hence  $\eta$  is a contact form on M whose contact flow of arbitrary point is a principal  $S^1$ -orbit. It follows that by Proposition 2.1, there exists an adapted metric g to  $\eta$  such that  $(M, \eta, g)$  is a K-contact manifold of rank 1. Here g is given by  $g = \pi^* g_W \oplus (\eta \otimes \eta)$ , where  $g_W$  is a Riemannian metric compatible with  $\omega$  on W. We call this K-contact manifold a regular K-contact manifold and its contact flow a regular K-contact flow. We also call the principal  $S^1$ -fibration  $(M, \eta, g) \to (W, \omega)$  the Boothby-Wang fibration ([4]).

3. A fiber join of regular K-contact manifolds. In this section we will present a method of construction of a K-contact manifold of rank n+1 out of (n+1)-pieces of regular K-contact manifolds.

For  $j=0,1,\ldots,n$ , let  $(M_j,\eta_j,g_j)$  be a (2m+1)-dimensional regular K-contact manifold, whose Boothby-Wang fibration  $p_j:(M_j,\eta_j,g_j)\to (W,\omega_j)$  has the same base space W. Let  $L_j$  be the total space of the associated complex line bundle of  $p_j:M_j\to W$ . Then  $L_j$  carries a Hermitian metric h induced by a canonical Hermitian metric on C. We denote the norm on  $L_j$  determined by h and its natural lift to the Whitney sum  $L_0\oplus\cdots\oplus L_n$  by the same letter  $r_j:L_j\to R$ . In this situation we define a fiber join  $M_0*_f\cdots*_fM_n$  of  $M_0,\ldots,M_n$  to be the unit sphere bundle

$$(3.1) S(L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n) = \left\{ v \in L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n \, \bigg| \, \sum_{j=0}^n r_j(v)^2 = 1 \right\}$$

of  $L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$ .

REMARK. In the above construction, we are actually taking the join of the fibers of  $M_0, \ldots, M_n$  over each point of W. Recall than n+1 times join  $S^1 * \cdots * S^1 = S^{2n+1}$ .

We will show that on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  there exist a K-contact form and its Reeb vector field, which are naturally induced from those of  $M_j$ 's.

For this, we denote a polar coordinate and a real coordinate of C by  $(\bar{r}_j, \theta_j)$  and  $(x_j, y_j)$ , respectively. We also denote the Reeb vector field of  $\eta_j$  and its natural lift to  $M_j \times C$  by the same letter  $X_j$ . Similarly, we denote the natural lifts of the differential forms or vector fields on  $M_j$  and C (such as  $\eta_j$ ) to  $M_j \times C$  by the same letter. Let  $L_j^0$  be the complement of the zero section of  $L_j$ . Then we have the following:

LEMMA 3.1. (1) For each j, the one-form  $\eta_j - d\theta_j$  on  $M_j \times (C - \{0\})$  and the vector field  $\tilde{Z}_j := 1/2\{X_j - (x_j\partial/\partial y_j - y_j\partial/\partial x_j)\}$  on  $M_j \times C$  are projectable. Namely, there exist a smooth one-form  $\beta_j$  on  $L_j^0$  and a smooth vector field  $Z_j$  on  $L_j$  such that  $pr_j^*(\beta_j) = \eta_j - d\theta_j$  and  $(pr_j)_*(\tilde{Z}_j) = Z_j$  hold, where  $pr_j : M_j \times C \to L_j$  is the natural projection. Also  $\beta_j$  and  $Z_j$  satisfy the following:

(3.2) 
$$\beta_j(Z_j) = 1$$
,  $\beta_j(\partial/\partial r_j) = 0$ ,  $dr_j(Z_j) = 0$ ,  $d\beta_j = \pi_j^*(\omega_j)$ , where  $\pi_j: L_j \to W$  is the projection.

- (2) For each j,  $r_j^2 \beta_j$  and  $d\beta_j$  extend to the  $S^1$ -invariant smooth one-form and two-form on  $L_j$ , respectively. The restriction of  $2r_j dr_j \wedge \beta_j$  to the fibers of  $L_j$  is a nowhere zero two-form.
  - (3) Put

(3.3) 
$$H_j = \{X \in TL_j \mid \iota_X(2r_jdr_j \wedge \beta_j) = 0\}, \quad V_j = \{X \in TL_j \mid \iota_Xd\beta_j = 0\}.$$

Then we have a direct sum decomposition  $TL_i \cong H_i \oplus V_i$  and  $H_i = \pi^*TW$ .

PROOF. First we will prove (1) and (2). Let  $S^1$  act in the standard fashion on C. We consider the diagonal  $S^1$ -action on  $M_j \times C$ . Then the one-form  $\eta_j - d\theta_j$  is invariant by this  $S^1$ -action on  $M_j \times C$ . We also have  $(\eta_j - d\theta_j)(X_j + \partial/\partial\theta_j) = 0$ , where  $\partial/\partial\theta_j := x_j\partial/\partial y_j - y_j\partial/\partial x_j$ . Namely,  $\eta_j - d\theta_j$  is a basic form. Hence there exists a one-form  $\beta_j$  on  $L^0_j$  such that  $pr_j^*(\beta_j) = \eta_j - d\theta_j$ . Moreover  $r_j^2\beta_j$  is extended to whole  $L_j$  as a smooth one-form, since  $\bar{r}_j^2d\theta_j$  is extended to whole  $M_j \times C$ .

Since we have  $L_{(X_j+\partial/\partial\theta_j)}(\tilde{Z}_j)=0$  on  $M\times C$ , we see that there exists a smooth vector field  $Z_j$  on  $L_j$  such that  $d(pr_j)(\tilde{Z}_j(x))=Z_j(pr_j(x))$  for all  $x\in M_j\times C$ .

Next we verify the equations (3.2). The first three equations are obtained by direct calculations. Namely,  $\beta_j(Z_j) = pr_j^*(\beta_j)(\tilde{Z}_j) = (\eta_j - d\theta_j)(\tilde{Z}_j) = 1$ ,  $\beta_j(\partial/\partial r_j) = (\eta_j - d\theta_j)(\partial/\partial \bar{r}_j) = 0$ ,  $dr_j(Z_j) = d\bar{r}_j(\tilde{Z}_j) = 0$ . The equations  $d\beta_j = \pi_j^*(\omega_j)$  follows from  $d\eta_j = \tilde{p}_j^*\omega_j$ , where  $\tilde{p}_j: M_j \times C \to W$ .

By using the equations (3.2) and the Cartan formula  $L_X = \iota_X d + d\iota_X$ , we get  $L_{Z_j}(r_j^2\beta_j)$  = 0. Namely,  $r_j^2\beta_j$  is a one-form on  $L_j$  which is invariant by the  $S^1$ -action determined by  $Z_j$ .

The two-form  $pr_j^*(2r_jdr_j \wedge \beta_j) = 2\bar{r}_jd\bar{r}_j \wedge \eta_j - 2\bar{r}_jd\bar{r}_j \wedge d\theta_j$  is nowhere zero on the fibers of  $M_j \times C \to W$ . Hence  $2r_jdr_j \wedge \beta_j$  is also nowhere zero on the fibers of  $L_j \to W$ .

We will prove (3). Let  $\tilde{H}_j$ ,  $\tilde{V}_j$  be subbundles of  $T(M_j \times C)$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{H}_j := \{X \in T(M_j \times \mathbf{C}) \mid \eta_j(X) = 0, \iota_X(2\bar{r}_j d\bar{r}_j \wedge d\theta_j) = 0\}, \\ \tilde{V}_j := \{X \in T(M_j \times \mathbf{C}) \mid \iota_X d\eta_j = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the direct sum decomposition  $T(M_j \times C) \cong \tilde{H}_j \oplus \tilde{V}_j$ . By using equations  $pr_j^*(2r_jdr_j \wedge \beta_j) = 2\bar{r}_jd\bar{r}_j \wedge \eta_j - 2\bar{r}_jd\bar{r}_j \wedge d\theta_j$  and  $d\eta_j = pr_j^*d\beta_j$ , this direct sum decomposition gives rise to the direct sum decomposition  $TL_j \cong H_j \oplus V_j$ . Here  $H_j = \{X \in TL_j \mid \iota_X(2r_jdr_j \wedge \beta_j) = 0\}$ ,  $V_j = \{X \in TL_j \mid \iota_Xd\beta_j = 0\}$ .

We extend each vector field  $Z_j$  on  $L_j$  to the one on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  as follows, and denote it by the same letter. By using the canonical projection  $P_j: L_0 \times \cdots \times L_n \to L_j$  and the inclusion map  $I: TL_j \to TL_0 \times \cdots \times TL_n$  defined by  $I(w_j) = (0, \dots, w_j, \dots, 0)$  for  $w_j \in TL_j$ , we have  $I \circ Z_j \circ P_j: L_0 \times \cdots \times L_n \to TL_0 \times \cdots \times TL_n$ . Namely, the vector field  $Z_j$  is extended to the one on  $L_0 \times \cdots \times L_n$ . It is a vector field along the fiber of  $L_0 \times \cdots \times L_n$  and preserves the norm  $\sum_{j=0}^n r_j^2$ . It follows that its restriction to  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  is tangent to  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ , and hence  $Z_j$  is extended to the vector field on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ .

We consider the pull back of the one-form  $r_j^2 \beta_j$  on  $L_j$  by the composition map  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n \to L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n \to L_j$ , and denote it by the same letter.

THEOREM 3.2. For  $j=0,1,\ldots,n$ , let  $(M_j,\eta_j,g_j)$  be a (2m+1)-dimensional regular K-contact manifold with the Boothby-Wang fibration  $(M_j,\eta_j,g_j) \to (W,\omega_j)$ . Let  $\pi: M_0*_f\cdots*_f M_n \to W$  be the projection. If  $\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j r_j^2 \pi^* \omega_j$  is non-degenerate on  $\pi^*TW$  for some non-zero constants  $\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_n$ , then we have

(1) the fiber join  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  of  $M_0, \ldots, M_n$  is a (2m + 2n + 1)-dimensional K-contact manifold with the K-contact form

(3.4) 
$$\beta_{\lambda} := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j} r_{j}^{2} \beta_{j}.$$

Its Reeb vector field and a K-contact metric are given by

(3.5) 
$$Z_{\lambda} := \sum_{j=0}^{n} 1/\lambda_{j} Z_{j}, \quad g_{\lambda} = g_{T} \oplus (\beta_{\lambda} \otimes \beta_{\lambda}),$$

where  $g_T$  is a positive definite metric on ker  $\beta_{\lambda}$ .

(2) If we choose  $\{\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$  so that  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$  form a k-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  is a K-contact manifold of rank k. In particular, if  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are rationally independent, then  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  is a K-contact manifold of rank n+1.

PROOF. First we prove that  $\beta_{\lambda}$  is a contact form on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ . We put  $R^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i^2$ . Since  $d\beta_j = \pi^* \omega_j$ , by a direct calculation, we have

$$2RdR \wedge \beta_{\lambda} \wedge (d\beta_{\lambda})^{m+n} = \lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_n R^2 \cdot 2r_0 dr_0 \wedge \beta_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge 2r_n dr_n \wedge \beta_n \wedge \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j r_j^2 \pi^* \omega_j\right)^m$$

on  $L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$ .

By the assumption,  $\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j r_j^2 \pi^* \omega_j$  is non-degenerate on  $\pi^* T W$  and clearly  $R^2 \neq 0$  on  $L^0 := L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n - \{\text{zero-section}\}$ . From this together with Lemma 3.1, we see that  $2RdR \wedge \beta_{\lambda} \wedge (d\beta_{\lambda})^{m+n} \neq 0$  on  $L^0$ . It follows that we have  $\beta_{\lambda} \wedge (d\beta_{\lambda})^{m+n} \neq 0$  on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ , that is,  $\beta_{\lambda}$  is a contact form on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ . Its Reeb vector field is given by  $Z_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=0}^n 1/\lambda_j Z_j$ . This is because it holds that  $\beta_{\lambda}(Z_{\lambda}) = R^2 = 1$  and  $\iota_{Z_{\lambda}} d\beta_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=0}^n 2r_j dr_j = 0$  on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ .

We will show the K-contactness of  $(M *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_\lambda)$ . Using the one-parameter group  $\phi_t^j$  of  $Z_j$ , we define a  $T^{n+1}$ -action on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  by

(3.6) 
$$(e^{\sqrt{-1}t_0}, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}) \cdot (v_0, \dots, v_n) = (\phi_{t_0}^0 v_0, \dots, \phi_{t_n}^n v_n),$$

where  $(e^{\sqrt{-1}t_0}, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}t_n}) \in T^{n+1}$  and  $v = (v_0, \dots, v_n) \in M_0 *_f \dots *_f M_n \subset L_0 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$ . Let  $\psi_t^{\lambda}$  be the contact flow of  $Z_{\lambda}$ . Then we have

(3.7) 
$$\psi_t \cdot v = (\phi_{(1/\lambda_0)t}^0 v_0, \dots, \phi_{(1/\lambda_n)t}^n v_n).$$

Constants  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n$  form a k-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Thus there exists a subgroup  $T^k$  of  $T^{n+1}$  and a  $T^k$ -action induce by (3.6) such that, for any  $v = (v_0, \ldots, v_n) \in M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ , the closure of the orbit  $\psi_t^{\lambda} \cdot v$  coincides with the orbit  $T^k \cdot v$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.1, there exists an adapted metric  $g_{\lambda}$  to  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  such that  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda})$  is a K-contact manifold of rank k. Here  $g_{\lambda}$  is given by choosing  $g_T$  on ker  $g_{\lambda}$  and setting  $g_{\lambda} = g_T \oplus (\beta_{\lambda} \otimes \beta_{\lambda})$ . q.e.d.

Indeed, there exist sympletic forms  $\omega_j$ ,  $j=0,1,\ldots,n$ , satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.2 that  $\sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j r_j^2 \pi^* \omega_j$  is non-degenerate on  $\pi^* T W$ . For example, let  $(W,\omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and  $\lambda_j$ ,  $c_j$ ,  $j=0,1,\ldots,n$ , be constants such that  $\lambda_j c_j$  is positive for all j. Then taking  $\omega_j$ ,  $j=0,1,\ldots,n$ , defined by  $\omega_j=c_j\omega$ , these satisfy the condition above.

REMARK. (1) As an example of  $g_T$  on ker  $\beta_{\lambda}$ , we have the restiction of

(3.8) 
$$2\sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_j (dr_j \otimes dr_j + r_j^2 \beta_j \otimes \beta_j) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_j r_j^2 \pi^* g_{W,\omega_j}$$

to ker  $\beta_{\lambda}$ . Here  $g_{W,\omega_i}$  is a Riemannian metric compatible with  $\omega_j$  on W.

(2) For positive integers  $q_1, \ldots, q_n$  and  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ , we define the  $S^1$ -action on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  by

(3.9) 
$$e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \cdot (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n) = (\phi_{\theta}^0 v_0, \phi_{a_1 \theta}^1 v_1, \dots, \phi_{a_n \theta}^n v_n),$$

where  $(v_0, \ldots, v_n) \in M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ .

Let p be a positive integer such that p and  $q_j$  are relatively prime for all j. We consider the action restricted to  $\{e^{2\pi k\sqrt{-1}/p}\mid k=0,1,\ldots,p-1\}\cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of the  $S^1$ -action defined by (3.9). Then its quotient space  $M_0*_f\cdots*_fM_n/(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  is also a K-contact manifold of rank n+1 with K-contact form and K-contact metric induced from those on  $M_0*_f\cdots*_fM_n$ .

(3) The unit sphere bundle  $S(L_j)$  of  $L_j$  is a submanifold of  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ , which is diffeomorphic to  $M_j$ . As a metric  $g_T$  on ker  $\beta_{\lambda}$ , take the one given by (3.8). Then  $S(L_j)$  has a K-contact form  $\lambda_j \beta_j$  and a K-contact metric  $\lambda_j g_j$  which are given by the restriction of those on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  to  $S(L_j)$ . In this case  $(S(L_j), \lambda_j \beta_j, \lambda_j g_j)$  is called a K-contact submanifold of  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda})$ .

DEFINITION. A K-contact manifold  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda})$  is called the *fiber join* of regular K-contact manifolds  $(M_0, \eta_0, g_0), \ldots, (M_n, \eta_n, g_n)$ .

Using the construction in Theorem 3.2, we obtain K-contact manifolds  $(M, \alpha, g)$  of rank n+1 with no effective  $T^{n+2}$ -action which extends the R-action induced by the K-contact flow and preserves  $\alpha$  and g.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let  $(W, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold with no effective Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -action. Take symplectic forms  $\omega_j = c_j \omega$   $(c_j > 0)$  in the construction of Theorem 3.2. Then  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  has no effective  $T^{n+2}$  action which extends the  $T^{n+1}$ -action defined by (3.6) and preserves  $\beta_\lambda$  and  $g_\lambda$ .

REMARK. An example of symplectic manifold which satisfies the condition above is negatively curved closed Kähler manifold. It has no torus action at all ([11]). It follows that starting from this manifold, we can actually construct K-contact manifolds as in Proposition 3.3.

PROOF. Suppose that  $\beta_{\lambda}$  is invariant under some effective  $T^{n+2}$ -action on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  which extends the  $T^{n+1}$ -action defined by (3.6). Let  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n \times \mathbf{R}_+, d(t\beta_{\lambda}))$  be the symplectization of  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_{\lambda})$ , where  $\mathbf{R}_+$  is the positive real line with coordinate t. We extend the  $T^{n+2}$ -action on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  to the one on  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n \times \mathbf{R}_+$  such that it acts trivially on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ . Then this  $T^{n+2}$ -action is Hamiltonian. Its moment map  $\mu$  is given by

$$\mu: M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n \times \mathbf{R}_+ \in \mathbf{x} \longmapsto -t(\beta_{\lambda x}(Z_{0x}), \ldots, \beta_{\lambda x}(Z_{nx}), \beta_{\lambda x}(Y_x)) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+2},$$

where Y is the fundamental vector field determined by the action of the last factor  $S^1$  of  $T^{n+2}=T^{n+1}\times S^1$ . Since  $\mu$  is constant on any  $T^{n+2}$ -orbit ([1, Proposition 3.5.6]), the composition map  $\bar{\mu}:=pr\circ\mu:M_0*_f\cdots*_fM_n\times R_+\to R^{n+1}$  is also constant on it. Here pr is the projection to the first n+1 factor. Thus vector fields  $Z_0,\ldots,Z_n,Y$  are tangent to any regular level  $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\xi)$  of  $\bar{\mu}$ , and hence  $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\xi)$  has an effective  $T^{n+2}$ -action. Choosing  $\xi=-(\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_n)$  as a regular value of  $\bar{\mu},\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\xi)$  is a principal  $T^{n+1}$ -bundle over W with an effective  $T^{n+2}$ -action. It follows that the orbit space  $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\xi)/T^{n+1}$  is diffeomorphic to W and that it is a symplectic manifold  $W,\sum_{j=0}^n\lambda_j\omega_j$  with an effective Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -action. From  $\sum_{j=0}^n\lambda_j\omega_j=(\sum_{j=0}^n\lambda_jc_j)\omega$ , we see that the symplectic manifold  $W,\omega$  also has an effective Hamiltonian  $S^1$ -action. This contradicts the assumption.

**4.** The equivalence of K-contact manifolds. In this section we will study the following two equivalence classes among the K-contact flows of the compact connected K-contact manifolds of rank k. Let  $(M_1, \alpha_1, g_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \alpha_2, g_2)$  be two such manifolds with Reeb vector fields  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$ . Let  $\varphi_t^{(1)}$ ,  $\varphi_t^{(2)}$  denote their K-contact flows, respectively.

DEFINITION. (a) Two K-contact flows  $\varphi_t^{(1)}$ ,  $\varphi_t^{(2)}$  are said to be *strictly equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi: M_1 \to M_2$  such that  $\Phi^*\alpha_2 = c\alpha_1$  for some positive constant c. (b) Two K-contact flows  $\varphi_t^{(1)}$ ,  $\varphi_t^{(2)}$  are said to be K-contact equivalent if there exists a  $T^k$ -equivalent contact diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  between  $(M_1, \alpha_1, g_1)$  and  $(M_2, \alpha_2, g_2)$ . Here a contact diffeomorphism implies that  $\Phi^*\alpha_2 = f\alpha_1$  for some everywhere nonzero function f on  $M_1$ .

If  $\varphi_t^{(1)}$ ,  $\varphi_t^{(2)}$  are strictly equivalent, we have  $d\Phi \circ Z_1(x) = cZ_2 \circ \Phi(x)$ , and hence  $\Phi \circ \varphi_t^{(1)}(x) = \varphi_{ct}^{(2)} \circ \Phi(x)$  for all x in M. Namely, after changing the parameter t of  $\varphi_t^{(2)}$  into ct, there exists an R-equivariant diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  on M with respect to R-actions induced by  $\varphi_t^{(1)}$ ,  $\varphi_{ct}^{(2)}$ . From the definition, it is obvious if two K-contact flows are strictly equivalent, they are K-contact equivalent. The following two propositions show that the coverse is not always true.

PROPOSITION 4.1. For any rationally independent read constants  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_0, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n)$ , the K-contact flows  $\varphi_t^{\lambda}$ ,  $\varphi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  defined by (2.5) on  $S^{2n+1}$  are K-contact equivalent. Moreover, they are strictly equivalent if and only if  $\lambda$  coincides with  $c\tilde{\lambda}$  as a set for some positive constant c.

PROOF. First we will prove that  $\varphi_t^{\lambda}$ ,  $\varphi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  are K-contact equivalent for any  $\lambda$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . Consider a diffeomorphism  $\Phi_{\lambda/\tilde{\lambda}}: S^{2n+1} \to S^{2n+1}$  defined by

$$\Phi_{\lambda/\tilde{\lambda}}(z_0,\ldots,z_n) = \left( \left( (\lambda_0/\tilde{\lambda}_0)^{1/2} \middle/ \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j/\tilde{\lambda}_j) z_j \bar{z}_j \right)^{1/2} \right) z_0,\ldots, \right.$$

$$\left. \left( (\lambda_n/\tilde{\lambda}_n)^{1/2} \middle/ \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j/\tilde{\lambda}_j) z_j \bar{z}_j \right)^{1/2} \right) z_n \right).$$

Then  $\Phi_{\lambda/\tilde{\lambda}}$  is a  $T^{n+1}$ -equivariant diffeomorphism and  $\Phi_{\lambda/\tilde{\lambda}}^* \alpha_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j/\tilde{\lambda}_j) z_j \bar{z}_j\right)^{-1} \alpha_{\lambda}$ . Hence  $\varphi_t^{\lambda}$ ,  $\varphi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  are K-contact equivariant.

We will prove the second statement. Assume that  $\varphi_t^{\lambda}$  and  $\varphi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  are strictly equivalent. Namely, there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  such that  $\Phi^*\alpha_{\lambda} = c\alpha_{\tilde{\lambda}}$  for some positive constant c. Then  $\Phi$  is a R-equivariant diffeomorphism with respect to R-actions induced by  $\varphi_{ct}^{\lambda}$  and  $\varphi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$ . It follows that the set of isotropy groups  $(\lambda_0/c)\mathbf{Z},\ldots,(\lambda_n/c)\mathbf{Z}$  of  $\varphi_{ct}^{\lambda}$  coincides with that of  $\tilde{\lambda}_0\mathbf{Z},\ldots,\tilde{\lambda}_n\mathbf{Z}$  of  $\varphi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$ , where  $\mu\mathbf{Z}=\{2\pi\mu k\,|\,k\in\mathbf{Z}\}$ . Hence  $\lambda$  coincides with  $c\tilde{\lambda}$  as a set. Conversely, assume that  $\lambda$  coincides with  $c\tilde{\lambda}$  as a set for some positive constant c;  $(\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_n)=c(\tilde{\lambda}_{\sigma(0)},\ldots,\tilde{\lambda}_{\sigma(n)})$ , where  $\sigma$  denote a permutation of  $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$ . Consider a diffeomorphism  $\Phi:S^{2n+1}\to S^{2n+1}$  defined by  $\Phi(z_0,\ldots,z_n)=(z_{\sigma(0)},\ldots,z_{\sigma(n)})$ . Then we have  $\Phi^*\alpha_{\lambda}=c\alpha_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ . Hence  $\varphi_t^{\lambda},\varphi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  are strictly equivalent.

A similar result holds for the contact flows of (3.7) in Section 3.

PROPOSITION 4.2. For any rationally independent read constants  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_0, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n)$ , the K-contact flows  $\psi_t^{\lambda}$ ,  $\psi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  defined by (3.7) on  $M_0 *_f \dots *_f M_n$  are K-contact equivalent. Moreover, they are strictly equivalent if and only if  $\lambda$  coincides with  $c\tilde{\lambda}$  as a set for some positive constant c.

PROOF. We only show that  $\psi_t^{\lambda}$ ,  $\psi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  are *K*-contact equivalent for any  $\lambda$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . (The second statement is proved by an argument similar to that in Proposition 4.1.)

We define the bundle automorphism  $\Psi_{\lambda/\tilde{\lambda}}$  of  $L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$  by

$$(4.2) \quad \Psi_{\lambda/\tilde{\lambda}}(v_0, \dots, v_n) = \left( \left( (\lambda_0/\tilde{\lambda}_0)^{1/2} \middle/ \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j/\tilde{\lambda}_j) r_j(v_j)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) v_0, \dots, \right)$$

$$\left( (\lambda_n/\tilde{\lambda}_j)^{1/2} \middle/ \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j/\tilde{\lambda}_j) r_j(v_j)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) v_n \right)$$

for  $(v_0, \ldots, v_n) \in L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$ . Then this preserves the norm  $\sum_{j=0}^n r_j^2$ . Thus we have its restriction to  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ . It is a  $T^{n+1}$ -equivariant diffeomorphism and  $\Psi_{\lambda/\tilde{\lambda}}^* \beta_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j/\tilde{\lambda}_j) r_j^2\right)^{-1} \beta_{\lambda}$ . Therefore  $\psi_t^{\lambda}$  and  $\psi_t^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  are K-contact equivalent. q.e.d.

In [13], Takahashi showed that there exists a deformation of the K-contact flow on a manifold as follows. Let  $(M, \alpha, g)$  be a K-contact manifold with Reeb vector field K. Let K be a vector field on K which satisfies the following three conditions:

(4.3) 
$$L_V g = 0$$
,  $[V, Z] = 0$ ,  $1 + \alpha(V) > 0$ .

Consider a one-form  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and a Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  defined by

(4.4) 
$$\tilde{\alpha} = (1 + \alpha(V))^{-1} \alpha, \quad \tilde{g} = (1 + \alpha(V))^{-1} g_T \oplus (\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\alpha}),$$

where  $g_T$  is the restriction of g to ker  $\alpha$ . Then we have following:

THEOREM 4.3 ([13]).  $(M, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{g})$  is a K-contact manifold with Reeb vector field Z+V.

K-contact flows  $\varphi_t^{\lambda}$ ,  $\psi_t^{\lambda}$  in Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 are both strictly equivalent to the ones obtained by the above deformation out of the K-contact flow of the K-contact manifold of rank 1, which we shall see as follows.

The K-contact flow  $\varphi_t^{\lambda}$  of  $(S^{2n+1}, \alpha_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda})$  is strictly equivalent to the one obtained by deforming the Reeb vector field  $Z_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (z_j \partial/\partial z_j - \bar{z}_j \partial/\partial \bar{z}_j)$  of  $(S^{2n+1}, \alpha_{\varepsilon}, g_{\varepsilon})$ , where  $\varepsilon = (1, \ldots, 1)$ . Indeed, for  $\mu_j$  satisfying  $1 + \mu_j = \lambda_j$ , take  $V = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mu_j (z_j \partial/\partial z_j - \bar{z}_j \partial/\partial \bar{z}_j)$  and consider  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}$  defined by (4.3). Then we have  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\varepsilon} = (1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mu_j z_j \bar{z}_j)^{-1} \alpha_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\Phi_{\lambda}^* \tilde{\alpha}_{\varepsilon} = \alpha_{\lambda}$ , where  $\Phi_{\lambda}$  is a diffeomorphism defined by (4.1).

In the same way, the K-contact flow  $\psi_t^{\lambda}$  of  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda})$  is strictly equivalent to the one obtained by deforming the Reeb vector field  $Z_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} Z_j$  of  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_{\varepsilon}, g_{\varepsilon})$ , where  $\varepsilon = (1, \ldots, 1)$ . In this case we take  $V = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mu_j Z_j$ , where  $\mu_j$  is the same as the above one.

In general, we apply the deformation in Theorem 4.3 for the following situation. Let  $(M, \alpha, g)$  be a K-contact manifold of rank 1. We assume that there exists the  $T^k$ -action preserving  $\alpha$  and g which satisfies the following three conditions; (1)  $k \geq 2$ , (2)  $T^k$  contains the K-contact flow of  $(M, \alpha, g)$ , and (3) there is no  $T^{k+1}$ -action which extends this  $T^k$ -action. Then the Reeb vector field Z takes the form  $Z = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\xi_M)_j$ , where  $(\xi_M)_j$  is the vector field defined by  $(\xi_M)_j(x) = d/dt \Big|_{t=0} \exp(t\xi_j) \cdot x$  at  $x \in M$  for a basis  $\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_{k-1}$  of  $\mathrm{Lie}(T^k)$ .

Let  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}$  be positive constants such that  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}$  form a r-dimensional vector space over Q, where  $1 \le r \le k$ . We take a vector field  $V = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_j(\xi_M)_j$  and consider  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  defined by (4.3). Then we have the following:

COROLLARY 4.4.  $(M, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{g})$  is a K-contact manifold of rank r with Reeb vector field Z + V and is  $T^k$ -equivariantly contact diffeomorphic to  $(M, \alpha, g)$ .

PROOF. The identity map gives a  $T^k$ -equivariant diffeomorphism between  $(M, \alpha, g)$  and  $(M, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{g})$ .

We will show that there exist K-contact flows which are not K-contact equivalent. They are not obtained by the deformation in Corollary 4.4 out of the same K-contact flow of the K-contact manifold of rank 1.

Let  $\Sigma_g$  be the closed Riemann surface of genus g and  $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^{2n+1}$  be the non-trivial  $S^{2n+1}$ -bundle over  $\Sigma_g$ . Then our main theorem is the following:

THEOREM 4.5. For  $n \ge 1$  there exist infinitely many different K-contact equivalence classes of K-contact flows on  $\Sigma_q \times S^{2n+1}$  and  $\Sigma_q \tilde{\times} S^{2n+1}$ .

We will first consider the K-contact equivalence for K-contact flows of K-contact manifolds of rank n + 1 we constructed in Theorem 3.2.

Let  $(M_0, \eta_0, g_0), \ldots, (M_0, \eta_n, g_n)$  and  $(\tilde{M}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0, \tilde{g}_0), \ldots, (\tilde{M}_n, \tilde{\eta}_n, \tilde{g})$  be two sets of regular K-contact manifolds whose Boothby-Wang fibrations have the same base space. Then the images  $S(L_0), \ldots, S(L_n)$  of  $M_0, \ldots, M_n$  in  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  and the images  $S(\tilde{L}_0), \ldots, S(\tilde{L}_n)$  of  $\tilde{M}_0, \ldots, \tilde{M}_n$  in  $\tilde{M}_0 *_f \cdots *_f \tilde{M}_n$  are two sets of points whose isotropy groups are isomorphic to  $T^n$  (see Remark (3) of Theorem 3.2). Hence if there exists a  $T^{n+1}$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  between  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  and  $\tilde{M}_0 *_f \cdots *_f \tilde{M}_n, S(L_0), \ldots, S(L_n)$  are mapped to  $S(\tilde{L}_j), \ldots, S(\tilde{L}_n)$  by  $\Phi$  such that (changing the order of suffix, if necessary),  $S(L_j)$  is  $T^{n+1}$ -equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $S(\tilde{L}_j)$  for all j. Thus  $M_j$  is  $S^1$ -equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $\tilde{M}_j$  for all j. From the definition, it is obvious that K-contact flows on regular K-contact manifolds are K-contact equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic to each other as principal  $S^1$ -bundles. Therefore we have the following:

LEMMA 4.6. If K-contact flows of  $(M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n, \beta_\lambda, g_\lambda)$  and  $(\tilde{M}_0 *_f \cdots *_f \tilde{M}_n, \tilde{\beta}_\lambda, \tilde{g}_\lambda)$  are K-contact equivalent, then K-contact flows of  $(M_j, \eta_j, g_j)$  and  $(\tilde{M}_j, \tilde{\eta}_j, \tilde{g}_j)$  are K-contact equivalent for all j (changing the order of suffix, if necessary).

Let  $(M_0, \eta_0, g_0), \ldots, (M_n, \eta_n, g_n)$ ,  $(n \ge 1)$ , be three-dimensional regular K-contact manifolds, whose Boothby-Wang fibration have the same closed Riemann surface  $\Sigma_g$  of genus g as base spaces. Then there are only two diffeomorphism classes of  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$ , because  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  is the  $S^{2n+1}$ -bundle over  $\Sigma_g$  and they are classified by the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the bundle (see [8], Proposition 1.12). More precisely, we have the following:

PROPOSITION 4.7. Let  $M_0, \ldots, M_n$  be as above. Then the fiber join  $M_0 *_f \cdots *_f M_n$  is diffeomorphic to  $\Sigma_g \times S^{2n+1}$  if  $\sum_{j=0}^n w_j$  is even class, and to  $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^{2n+1}$  if  $\sum_{j=0}^n w_j$  is

odd class. Here  $\Sigma_g \tilde{\times} S^{2n+1}$  is the non-trivial  $S^{2n+1}$ -bundle over  $\Sigma_g$  and  $w_j$  is the second Stiefel-Whitney class associated with  $M_j$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.5. Since  $H^2(\Sigma_g; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ , there exist infinitely many different isomorphism classes of principal  $S^1$ -bundles over  $\Sigma_g$ . From this result together with Lemma 4.6 and Proposition 4.7, we obtain Theorem 4.5.

Finally, we discuss some related problems.

Let  $\varphi_t$  be a non-singular flow generated by a vector field Z on a manifold M. Let RZ be the trivial line bundle spanned by Z and D the smooth codimension one distribution on M transverse to RZ. Then  $\varphi_t$  is said to be transversely symplectic Riemannian flow if there exist a symplectic structure  $\omega$  and a positive definite metric  $g_T$  on D such that  $L_Z\omega=0$ ,  $L_Zg_T=0$ . From the definition, it is obvious that a K-contact flow of a K-contact manifold  $(M,\alpha,g)$  is such a flow. In this case, D is a contact plane field ker  $\alpha$  and a symplectic structure on it is given by  $d\alpha$ . In [10], Molino suggested the following problem:

PROBLEM 1. Classify the transversely symplectic Reimannian flows on closed connected 5-manifolds.

The case of n = 1 in Theorem 4.5 gives examples of such flows. Further examples are given by introducing a surgery along a closed K-contact flow in [16].

We have the following problems related to Theorem 4.4.

PROBLEM 2. Are there different K-contact flows on a sphere bundle over the symplectic manifold W such that dim  $W \ge 4$ ?

The author does not know whether there exists a symplectic manifold W such that the isomorphism classes of the sphere bundle over W are finite and dim  $W \ge 4$ .

PROBLEM 3. Are there K-contact flows of K-contact manifolds of rank n+1 on a (2n+1)-dimensional manifold which are not K-contact equivalent to each other?

By the fiber join of regular K-contact manifolds, it is impossible to construct the (2n+1)-dimensional K-contact manifold of rank n+1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. AUDIN, The Topology of Torus Action on Symplectic Manifolds, Progr. Math. 93, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.
- [2] A. BANYAGA AND P. MOLINO, Geometric des formes de contact completement integrables de type torique, preprint.
- [3] D. BLAIR, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [4] W. BOOTHBY AND H. C. WANG, On contact manifolds, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 721-734.
- [5] Y. CARRIERE, Variations on riemannian flows, Progr. Math. 73, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1988), 217-234.
- [6] S. KOBAYASHI, Principal fiber bundles with 1-dimensional toroidal group, Tohoku Math. J. 8 (1956), 29-45.
- [7] S. KOBAYASHI, Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. Band 70, Springer-Verlag, Berline, Heidelberg, New York, 1970.
- [8] B. LAWSON, JR. AND M. MICHELSOHN, Spin Geometry, Princeton Math. Ser. 38, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1989.

- [9] P. MOLINO, Reduction symplectique et feuiletages riemanniens; moment structural et theoreme de convexite, in Seminaire Gaston Darboux de geometrie et topologie differentielle 1987–1988, Universite des sciences et techniques du Languedoc, 1988, 11-25.
- [10] P. MOLINO, Orbit-like foliation, Proceeding of Geometric study of foliation held in Tokyo 1993 (Tadayoshi Mizutani et al. eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1994, 97–119.
- [11] P. MOLINO, Riemannian Foliations, Progr. Math. 73, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988.
- [12] K. ONO, Some remarks on group actions in symplectic geometry, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 35 (1988), 431–437.
- [13] T. TAKAHASHI, Deformations of sasakian structures and its application to the Brieskorn manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. 30 (1978), 37–43.
- [14] P. RUKIMBIRA, The dimension of leaf closures of K-contact flows, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 12 (1994), 103-108.
- [15] P. RUKIMBIRA, Vertical sectional curvature and K-contactness, J. Geom. 53 (1995), 163-166.
- [16] T. YAMAZAKI, On a surgery of K-contact manifolds, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE SAITAMA UNIVERSITY SHIMO-OKUBO 255 URAWA, SAITAMA 338–8570 JAPAN

E-mail address: tyamazak@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp