Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Štefan Schwarz

A counting theorem in the semigroup of circulant Boolean matrices

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 27 (1977), No. 3, 504-510

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101485

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

A COUNTING THEOREM IN THE SEMIGROUP OF CIRCULANT BOOLEAN MATRICES

ŠTEFAN SCHWARZ, Bratislava (Received August 25, 1975)

Let B_n be the semigroup of all binary relations on a finite set X with card X = |X| = n represented as matrices over the Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose in the following n > 1.

A circulant is a Boolean matrix of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & \dots & \dots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let E be the unit matrix of order n. Any circulant can be written in the form

(1)
$$A = a_0 E + a_1 P + a_2 P^2 + \ldots + a_{n-1} P^{n-1}, \quad a_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Hereby $P^n = E$. For convenience we also define $P^0 = E$.

The set of all circulants of order n forms (under multiplication) a semigroup C_n with $|C_n| = 2^n$ (including the zero circulant Z).

The semigroup C_n contains the cyclic group $G_n = \{E, P, P^2, ..., P^{n-1}\}$ and we have $G_n \subset C_n \subset B_n$.

If $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ are Boolean matrices $\in B_n$, we denote by $A \cap B$ the matrix $D = (d_{ij})$ with $d_{ij} = \min(a_{ij}, b_{ij})$. Clearly if $k \not\equiv l \pmod{n}$ we have $P^k \cap P^l = Z$. This implies that any element $\in C_n$ has a unique representation in the form (1).

The study of C_n has been iniciated in [1], where it is proved that C_n is a maximal abelian subsemigroup of B_n .

Denote by I_n the $n \times n$ Boolean matrix all elements of which are one's.

In [2] and [4] necessary and sufficient conditions are given in order that some power of an element $\in C_n$ is equal to I_n . In [1] a formula for the number of elements $\in C_n$ having this property is given. In the present paper this formula will appear as a special case of more general considerations.

In [3] we have proved the following results. Let d be any divisor of n, n = dt. Then

(2)
$$E^{(d)} = E + P^d + P^{2d} + \dots + P^{(t-1)d}$$

is an idempotent $\in C_n$ and any idempotent $\in C_n$ is obtained in this manner. Also the maximal subgroup of C_n , which contains $E^{(d)}$ as the unit element, is the cyclic group $\{E^{(d)}, P : E^{(d)}, \dots, P^{t-1} : E^{(d)}\}$ of order t.

Note for further purposes that in this notation $E^{(n)} = E$ and $E^{(1)} = I_n$.

The problem treated in this paper can be formulated for any finite semigroup S. If $a \in S$, then the sequence $\{a, a^2, a^3, \ldots\}$ contains one and only one idempotent, say e_{α} . We shall say that a belongs to the idempotent e_{α} . Denote by $K(e_{\alpha})$ the set of all elements $\in S$ belonging to the idempotent e_{α} . If $\{e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}, \ldots, e_{\nu}\}$ is the set of all idempotents $\in S$, then S can be written as a union of disjoint sets: $S = K(e_{\alpha}) \cup \ldots \cup K(e_{\beta}) \cup \ldots \cup K(e_{\nu})$. If S is commutative, each $K(e_{\mu})$ is a semigroup [the maximal subsemigroup of S containing the unique idempotent e_{μ}].

In the general case we can hardly expect to get some information concerning the cardinality of the sets $K(e_{\mu})$. There are very few known non-trivial classes of semi-groups where the cardinality of the sets $K(e_{\mu})$ is known.

It is a remarkable feature of the semigroup C_n that in this case we are able

- i) to give a reasonable description of all elements belonging to a given idempotent $E^{(d)}$,
 - ii) to give a smooth formula for the number $|K(E^{(d)})|$.

A

Lemma 1. If $B \in C_n$, then B and B. P^l $(0 \le l \le n-1)$ belong to the same idempotent $\in C_n$.

Proof. If $B^h = E'$, where E' is an idempotent, then $(BP^1)^{hn} = B^{hn} \cdot P^{nlh} = E' \cdot E = E'$.

If A, B are elements $\in B_n$, we shall write $A \leq B$ iff $A \cap B = A$.

Lemma 2. Let

(3)
$$B = E + P^{j_1} + P^{j_2} + \ldots + P^{j_k}, \quad 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_k \le n-1.$$

Then there is an integer $h, 1 \le h \le n-1$, such that B^h is an idempotent $\in C_n$.

Proof. The obvious "inequality" $B \leq B^2$ implies

$$B \leq B^2 \leq B^3 \leq \ldots \leq B^{n-1} \leq B^n \leq \ldots$$

Since $j_1 \ge 1$, the first row (and hence all rows) of B contains at least two non-zero elements. B^2 is either B or it contains at least three non-zero elements in all rows. Repeating this argument we obtain: There is an integer $h \le n - 1$ such that $B^h = B^{h+1}$. Now $B^h = B^{h+1} = \dots = B^{2h}$ implies that B^h is an idempotent.

Corollary 2. For any $A \in C_n$, A^n is an idempotent.

Proof. If A is a permutation matrix or A = Z the Corollary is trivially true. Otherwise write $A = P^l \cdot B$, where B is of the form (3). We then have $A^n = P^{ln}B^n = E \cdot B^n = B^n$ and by the proof of Lemma 2 B^n is an idempotent $\in C_n$.

Lemma 3. Let d be a divisor of n, $d \neq n$, and n = dt. If an element B of the form (3) belongs to the idempotent $E^{(d)} = E + P^d + P^{2d} + ... + P^{(t-1)d}$, then $j_1 \equiv j_2 \equiv ... \equiv j_k \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2 that there is an integer $h \le n - 1$ such that $B^h \cdot B = B^h$ and B^h is an idempotent. Since $B^h = E^{(d)}$, we have

$$[E + P^{d} + P^{2d} + \dots + P^{(t-1)d}][E + P^{j_1} + P^{j_2} + \dots + P^{j_k}] = [E + P^{d} + P^{2d} + \dots + P^{(t-1)d}].$$

This implies that the sets of integers

$$V_1 = \{0, d, 2d, ..., (t-1)d\}$$

and

$$V_2 = V_1 \cup \left[\bigcup_{l=1}^k \{j_l, j_l + d, j_l + 2d, ..., j_l + (t-1)d\} \right]$$

are \pmod{n} identical. In particular, $\{j_1, j_2, ..., j_k\} \in V_1$, i.e. $j_l \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ for any l = 1, 2, ..., k. This proves our Lemma.

Corollary 3. Any element $\in C_n$ which belongs to the idempotent $E^{(d)}$, $d \neq n$, is necessarily of the form

(4)
$$A = P^{l}(E + P^{u_1d} + P^{u_2d} + \dots + P^{u_kd}),$$
$$1 \le u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_k \le t - 1,$$

with suitably chosen $u_1, ..., u_k$, and $0 \le l \le n-1$.

Not all possible choices of $u_1, u_2, ..., u_k$, give elements belonging to $E^{(d)}$. This is now clarified by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let n = dt, $d \neq n$. An element

$$A = P^{l}(E + P^{u_1d} + P^{u_2d} + \dots + P^{u_kd}), \quad 1 \le u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_k \le t - 1$$

belongs to the idempotent $E^{(d)}$ iff g.c.d. $(u_1, u_2, ..., u_k, t) = 1$.

Remark. This is a generalization of the result of [4], where the case d=1 has been treated.

Proof. By Lemma 1 A belongs to $E^{(d)}$ iff $B = E + P^{u_1d} + P^{u_2d} + ... + P^{u_kd}$ belongs to $E^{(d)}$.

Write for simplicity $P^d = Q$ and note that $Q^i \cap Q^j = Z$ if $i \not\equiv j \pmod{t}$ so that the representation of B in the form of a sum of powers of Q

$$B = E + Q^{u_1} + Q^{u_2} + \ldots + Q^{u_k}$$

is uniquely determined.

It follows by Lemma 2 that B belongs to $E^{(d)}$ iff $B^{n-1} = E^{(d)}$ or (what is the same) iff $\sum_{l=n-1}^{N} B^{l} = E^{(d)}$ for any $N \ge n-1$. Hence B belongs to $E^{(d)}$ iff we have

(5)
$$\sum_{l=n-1}^{N} (E + Q^{u_1} + \ldots + Q^{u_k})^l = E + Q + Q^2 + \ldots + Q^{t-1}.$$

[We use this formulation in order to avoid unnecessary restrictions concerning the choice of the integers x_{ij} needed below.]

Evaluate the left hand side of (5) as "polynomials in Q" by multiplying term by term the products $(E + Q^{u_1} + ... + Q^{u_k})^l$. Using the idempotency of addition (i.e. $Q^i + Q^i = Q^i$) and $Q^i = E$, the left hand side of (5) becomes finally a sum of distinct powers of Q. Now (5) holds iff the left hand side of (5) contains as a summand every power Q^j , j = 1, 2, ..., t - 1. Hence (5) holds iff to any integer j = 1, 2, ..., t - 1 there exist non-negative integers $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, ..., x_{kj}$ such that

(6)
$$x_{1j}u_1 + x_{2j}u_2 + \ldots + x_{kj}u_k \equiv j \pmod{t}.$$

Hereby $x_{1j} + x_{2j} + ... + x_{kj} \leq N$, where N is arbitrarily large.

Now the congruence

$$x_{11}u_1 + x_{21}u_2 + \ldots + x_{k1}u_k \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$$

has a solution x_{11}^0 , x_{21}^0 , ..., x_{k1}^0 iff g.c.d. $(u_1, u_2, ..., u_k, t) = 1$. On the other hand if this condition is satisfied, then (6) has a solution for any $j \in \{2, 3, ..., t - 1\}$. It is sufficient to put $x_{1j} = jx_{11}^0$, $x_{2j} = jx_{21}^0$, ..., $x_{kj} = jx_{k1}^0$. This proves Theorem 1.

We now proceed to the problem to find the number of elements belonging to the idempotent $E^{(d)}$. Instead of $K(E^{(d)})$ we shall write simply $K^{(d)}$.

Suppose again d < n, hence t > 1. By Corollary 3 any element $\in K^{(d)}$ is a sum of properly chosen elements of one of these d - 1 sets:

$$T_{0} = \{Q, Q^{2}, ..., Q^{t} = E\},$$

$$T_{1} = \{PQ, PQ^{2}, ..., PQ^{t} = P\},$$

$$...$$

$$T_{d-1} = \{P^{d-1}Q, P^{d-1}Q^{2}, ..., P^{d-1}Q^{t} = P^{d-1}\}.$$

[We emphasise that any sum considered here and below consists of summands contained in one and only one "row".] With respect to the unicity of the representation of any $A \in C_n$ in the form (1) the various possible sums in each T_1 (i = 0, 1, ..., d - 1) are different one from the other.

Since we may exclude the zero matrix Z and t > 1, each of the sums which have to be in $K^{(d)}$ contains at least two summands. For each of the d classes $T_0, T_1, ..., T_{d-1}$ we can construct $2^t - 1 - t$ different sums (each containing at least two summands). This gives together $d(2^t - 1 - t)$ different elements $\in C_n$.

Consider first the set $T_0 = \{Q, Q^2, ..., Q^t = E\}$. To obtain the sums $\in T_0$ contained in $K^{(d)}$ we have (by Theorem 1) to exclude those elements $Q^{u_1} + Q^{u_2} + ... + Q^{u_k}$ for which g.c.d. $(u_1, u_2, ..., u_k, t) \neq 1$. Analogously an element $P^lQ^{u_1} + P^lQ^{u_2} + ... + P^lQ^{u_k}$ is to be excluded if g.c.d. $(u_1, u_2, ..., u_k, t) \neq 1$.

Let $t = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$ be the factorization of t into distinct primes.

Let us begin with the set T_0 . Corresponding to the prime p_1 we have to exclude first all sums (containing at least two summands) obtained by summing elements of the set $\{Q^{p_1}, Q^{2p_1}, ..., Q^{(t/p_1)p_1} = E\} \subset T_0$. This gives together $2^{t/p_1} - t/p_1 - 1$ elements. By Theorem 1 we have to exclude also all sums obtained by summing elements from the sets

$$\{Q^{p_1+v},\,Q^{2\,p_1+v},\,\ldots,\,Q^v\}\subset T_0\;,\;\;v=1,\,2,\,\ldots,\,p_1\,-\,1$$

(each sum containing at least two summands). As far we have together $p_1(2^{t/p_1} - t/p_1 - 1)$ elements which must be excluded from all possible sums obtained by summing the elements $\in T_0$. Since the same holds for the sets T_1 T_2 , ..., T_{a-1} we have: Corresponding to the prime p_1 we have to exclude $dp_1(2^{t/p_1} - t/p_1 - 1)$ elements which do not belong to $K^{(d)}$.

Next corresponding to any of the primes p_i (i = 2, 3, ..., s) we have to exclude analogously $dp_i(2^{t/p_i} - t/p_i - 1)$ elements which do not belong to $K^{(d)}$.

At this stage we arrived to the number

$$d(2^{t}-t-1)-d\sum_{p_{i}}p_{i}(2^{t/p_{i}}-t/p_{i}-1).$$

Now by the principle of inclusion and exclusion we must add the sums excluded twice, i.e. those elements $P^l(E+Q^{u_1}+Q^{u_2}+\ldots+Q^{u_k})$, $(l=0,1,\ldots,d-1)$ in which g.c.d. (u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_k) is divisible both by p_i and p_j $(i \neq j)$. This gives the number of elements

$$d\sum_{p_{i},p_{j}}p_{i}p_{j}(2^{t/p_{i}p_{j}}-t/p_{i}p_{j}-1)$$

to be included.

Repeating this argument in the usual manner we finally obtain

$$|K^{(d)}| = d(2^{t} - t - 1) - d \sum_{p_{i}} p_{i}(2^{t/p_{i}} - t/p_{i} - 1) + d \sum_{p_{i}, p_{j}} p_{i}p_{j}(2^{t/p_{i}p_{j}} - t/p_{i}p_{j} - 1) + \dots + (-1)^{s} p_{1}p_{2} \dots p_{s}(2^{t/p_{1}p_{2}\dots p_{s}} - t/p_{1}p_{2} \dots p_{s} - 1).$$

Now the sum of the second terms in all rows together is zero, since $-d[t-st+(\frac{s}{2})t-\ldots+(-1)^{s+1}t]=-dt(1-1)^s=0$.

Hence we have:

$$|K^{(d)}| = d(2^t - 1) - d \sum_{p_i} p_i (2^{t/p_i} - 1) + d \sum_{p_i, p_j} p_i p_j (2^{t/p_i p_j} - 1) - \dots$$

Denoting by $\mu(l)$ the Möbius function we have the following final result:

Theorem 2. Let be n > 1, d a divisor of n and n = dt. Then the number of elements $\in C_n$ belonging to the idempotent $E^{(d)}$ is given by the formula:

$$\left|K^{(d)}\right| = d \sum_{l/t}^{l} \mu(l) \left(2^{t/l} - 1\right).$$

Remark 1. This result has been proved for t > 1. But it is true also for t = 1. In this case the formula gives $|K^{(n)}| = n$ and this is exactly the order of the maximal subgroup $G_n = \{E, P, ..., P^{n-1}\}$ having $E = E^{(n)}$ as the unit element.

Remark 2. Theorem 2 is a wide generalization of Theorem 2 of the paper [1].

Remark 3. The formula in Theorem 2 has a form which enables easy computations for various n and d.

Introduce the following number-theoretical function (defined for all integers $t \ge 1$):

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{l \mid t} l \, \mu(l) \left(2^{t/l} - 1\right)$$

Then $|K^{(d)}| = n \Phi(t)$, where t = n/d.

The first ten values of $\Phi(t)$ are given by the table

t	$\Phi(t)$	t	$\Phi(t)$
1	1	6	46/6
2	1/2	7	120/7
3	4/3	8	226/8
4	9/4	9	490/9
5	26/5	10	956/10

Example 1. Let n = 18. C_{18} contains 6 non-zero idempotents:

$$E^{(18)} = E$$
, $E^{(3)} = E + P^3 + P^6 + \dots + P^{15}$, $E^{(9)} = E + P^9$, $E^{(2)} = E + P^2 + P^4 + \dots + P^{16}$, $E^{(6)} = E + P^6 + P^{12}$, $E^{(1)} = E + P + P^2 + \dots + P^{17}$.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|K^{(18)}\right| &= 18 \; \varPhi(1) = 18 \;, \quad \left|K^{(3)}\right| = 18 \; \varPhi(6) \; = 138 \;, \\ \left|K^{(9)}\right| &= 18 \; \varPhi(2) = \; 9 \;, \quad \left|K^{(2)}\right| = 18 \; \varPhi(9) \; = 980 \;, \\ \left|K^{(6)}\right| &= 18 \; \varPhi(3) = 24 \;, \quad \left|K^{(1)}\right| = 18 \; \varPhi(18) = 260 \; 974 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. Our small table enables to make some computations even for large n. Let, e.g., n = 100. The number of elements $\in C_{100}$ belonging to the idempotent $E^{(20)} = E + P^{20} + ... + P^{80}$ is $|K^{(20)}| = 100 \, \Phi(5) = 520$.

References

- [1] K. K.-Hang Butler and J. R. Krabill: Abelian subsemigroups, enumeration and universal matrices, Duke Math. J. 40 (1973), 587-598.
- [2] K. K.-Hang Butler and J. R. Krabill: Circulant Boolean relation matrices, Czechoslovak Math. J. 24 (99) (1974), 247-251.
- [3] K. K.-Hang Butler and Š. Schwarz: The semigroup of circulant Boolean matrices, Czechoslovak Math. J. 26 (101), (1976), 632-635.
- [4] Š. Schwarz: Circulant Boolean relation matrices, Czechoslovak Math. J. 24 (99) (1974), 252-253.

Author's address: 801 00 Bratislava, Porubského 8, ČSSR.