RESEARCH ARTICLE

A cross-sectional evaluation of HIV testing practices among women in the rural Dominican Republic

Madeline C. Montgomery¹, Zachary Alholm², Alexi Almonte¹, Kevin J. Sykes², Gregory Rudolph³, Brandon Cusick³, Laura Castello³, Genoviva Sowemimo-Coker¹, Irene Tang¹, Sarah Haberlack¹ and Philip A. Chan^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The Dominican Republic (DR) ranks among nations with the highest burden of HIV in the Caribbean. Cultural and gender roles in rural areas of the DR may place women at increased HIV risk. However, little is known about sexual health and HIV testing behaviors among women in the rural DR.

Methods: We conducted a needs assessment among a systematic sample of adult women in a rural DR community in 2016. Demographic and behavioral attributes related to HIV testing, sexual health, and healthcare utilization were evaluated. Poisson regression analysis was used to identify demographics and behaviors associated with having had a previous HIV test. Significance was defined as a *p*-value < 0.05.

Results: Among 105 women evaluated, 77% knew someone with HIV and 73% of women reported that they would be very or extremely likely to take an HIV test if offered. Only 68% reported a previous HIV test, including 47% who were tested over 2 years prior. Barriers to HIV testing included low risk perception (23%), distance or requisite travel (13%), and discomfort being tested (11%). Women who had never been tested for HIV were more likely than those who had been tested to be older (p = 0.03), to have a lower level of education (p = 0.04), and to have never been tested for other sexually transmitted infections (STI; p < 0.01). In the Poisson multiple regression model, the only significant predictor of having had an HIV test was having had an STI test (p = 0.03).

Conclusions: In the rural DR, numerous barriers contribute to low prevalence of HIV testing among women. Most women report willingness to have an HIV test and many engage in routine health care, indicating that this population may benefit from incorporating HIV testing and other sexual health promotion activities into routine medical care.

Keywords: HIV, STIs, Dominican Republic, HIV testing, Women

Background

The HIV epidemic continues to be a significant cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1-3]. The Caribbean has the second highest rate of HIV infection in the world after sub-Saharan Africa. In the Caribbean, 75% of people with HIV reside in either the Dominican Republic (DR) or Haiti [4], and over half of adults living with HIV are women [5]. Although HIV rates in the DR have decreased, with at least 50% lower HIV incidence in 2012 compared to 2001 [5], HIV remains a critical public health concern in the region.

* Correspondence: Philip_Chan@brown.edu

¹Department of Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

HIV prevalence among the general population in the DR is estimated to be 1% [5]. In the capital city of Santo Domingo, HIV prevalence among those tested declined from its peak of 2% in 1995 to 1.1% in 1999, where it has stabilized through 2006, the last year with available data [6]. In general, significant disparities still exist among different groups with regard to rates of HIV infection [5, 6]. Women ages 20–24 living in the DR are almost twice as likely as men in that age group to be infected with HIV [7], and female sex workers have an HIV prevalence of 1-4% [6]. Gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM) are also a high-risk group, accounting for 33% of new HIV infections annually in the DR [5]. Additionally, limited studies throughout





Open Access

other Caribbean countries have demonstrated high HIV prevalence is attributable to female sex work [8]. Risk of HIV infection is also disproportionate across ethnic groups. Haitians living in the DR display significantly greater HIV incidence than non-Haitians [9], likely due in part to language barriers, lack of education [4], poverty, and social disruption related to migration [10].

Most estimates of HIV prevalence in the DR are based on studies in larger urban centers. However, HIV prevalence may differ across geographic setting. One study in bateyes, Dominican sugar farms on which many women of Haitian ancestry work, found high rates of HIV infection comparable to those among female sex workers in the DR [10]. Otherwise, information on rural HIV prevalence in the Caribbean is scarce. Similarly, HIV testing and risk behaviors associated with infection have predominantly been studied in urban areas [11]. Behaviors and access to sexual healthcare including HIV testing in rural populations are largely unknown. A Jamaican study found that adolescents in remote areas are more likely to be uninformed or misinformed about HIV than their urban counterparts, and that girls in rural Jamaica have a lower HIV risk perception than those in urban areas despite engaging in high-risk behaviors [12]. This knowledge gap may contribute to disparate HIV rates within other Caribbean countries, including the DR. Studying HIV prevalence and attitudes in rural populations is crucial in order to understand and address the HIV epidemic in the Caribbean as a whole.

Improving HIV testing and subsequent linkage to care are critical components in addressing the HIV epidemic in the DR. However, minimal data are available on these efforts, especially in the rural DR. Significant barriers to HIV testing, access to treatment, and retention in care have been described in other Hispanic/Latino countries. These include stigma [13–16], transportation, cost [14], low risk perception, lack of education [12], and other individual- and structural-level barriers [13, 15, 17]. For example, some HIV patients describe choosing clinics further from their communities in order to avoid stigma, which increases the transportation time and related costs they face [14]. These factors may lead to delayed HIV diagnoses and increased complications from HIV/AIDS [18]. Identifying barriers to HIV testing and care is the first step in the design and development of effective public health interventions.

The goal of the present study was to review the results of a community-based needs assessment performed in 2016 of sexual and reproductive health that was performed by public health workers in Constanza, DR. Constanza is a rural agricultural community of about 60,000 people located in the central part of the country. In the DR, as in other Caribbean countries, the majority of new HIV cases occur due to heterosexual contact [19]. Women are especially vulnerable because cultured gender roles may subject women to power imbalances in sexual decision-making [20]. In general, male-dominated decisionmaking can impede the ability for women to negotiate condom use, thus increasing their risk of obtaining HIV from their infected male partners [21]. By addressing the sociocultural barriers women face such as structural sexism [4], lack of independent economic opportunity [10], and lower ability to negotiate condom use [10, 20], efforts to empower women can reduce their risk of becoming infected with HIV [22, 23]. The results of this sexual and reproductive health needs assessment among women in the rural DR will help inform future health promotion initiatives.

Methods

Setting

In May 2016, the World Outreach Foundation (Kansas City, Missouri), in collaboration with the United States Peace Corps, conducted medical clinics in Constanza, DR. In order to inform services delivered through the clinics, staff from these organizations conducted an indepth needs assessment of the surrounding area. Key informant interviews indicated that one specific community had a lower socioeconomic status and a high suspected prevalence of HIV compared to other areas. This community of several thousand residents was anecdotally reported to include women who engaged in transactional sex. As there were no census or other surveillance data available, demographics and behavioral characteristics of residents were largely unknown. The needs assessment focused on women due to previously documented genderbased disparities in healthcare access [24], economic agency [10], and medical and reproductive health sequelae of HIV, other sexually transmitted infections (STIs), and pregnancy [25-27] in the Caribbean.

Needs assessment

A needs assessment of this community was performed in 2016 which collected information on demographics, healthcare access, obstetric and gynecological health, contraception use and knowledge, HIV knowledge and testing history, sexual history, and acceptability of HIV testing. Spanish-speaking program staff verbally administered the one-time needs assessment survey in person at individual homes, with each assessment taking approximately 15-20 min to complete. Questions were based on previous survey measures used for public health purposes [28] Given the project goal of evaluating the need for HIV prevention services in this community, the primary area of interest was history of, and future amenability to, HIV testing. Staff focused efforts on a target sample size of 100 individuals. The target sample size n = 100 was calculated based on a conservative theoretical proportion of 50% being amenable to HIV testing and allowing for a 10% margin of error, yielding a 95% confidence level to detect differences with a p-value of < 0.05.

To maximize diversity in the sample, program staff followed a systematic random sampling approach to conduct the needs assessment. All houses in the community were counted (n = 270) and numbered sequentially (i.e., from 1 to 270). To determine which houses to approach for the needs assessment, the number of houses in the community (n = 270) was divided by the target sample size (n = 100), resulting in the sampling interval k = 2. Program staff selected a random starting house and proceeded to sample from every *k*th, or every second, house. Staff attempted to survey one woman of reproductive age (age 18-49) in each household. If no one meeting assessment criteria was available, staff attempted three total visits. If more than one woman of reproductive age lived in the house, staff selected the woman nearest to the head of household.

Data analyses

The primary use of needs assessment data was informing subsequent delivery of HIV prevention services in the community. In this retrospective analysis of needs assessment data, we aimed to describe HIV testing practices across demographic, social, and behavioral characteristics. We calculated frequencies for each demographic and behavioral variable and tested the distribution of variables across groups using Fisher's exact tests. Bivariate and multivariable Poisson regression analyses with robust standard errors was used to determine correlates of lifetime history of HIV testing. Relative risk, the output of Poisson regression, more accurately approximates risk compared to the odds ratio for outcomes with greater than 10% prevalence [29]. The robust standard error adjusts for overestimated variance in the case of a binary dependent variable in a Poisson model [30]. Model covariates were selected from among needs assessment variables based on determinants of healthcare access identified in prior studies and were tested for collinearity prior to inclusion in the final model. Significance was defined at two-tailed $\alpha = 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed in Stata/SE 13.1 [31].

Retrospective review of de-identified needs assessment data was approved by The Miriam Hospital Institutional Review Board (IRB). Data were stored using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap), a HIPAA-compliant data management system [32].

Results

Demographics and behaviors

A total of 105 women were surveyed. Twenty-three percent were between the ages of 16 and 29 years old, 33% were between 30 and 49 years old, and 44% of women were 50 years old or older (Table 1). Seventy-nine percent had lived in the community for more than 10 years, and 68% had an education level of primary school or less. Sexual debut at 15 years of age or younger was reported by 51% of women surveyed, yet a larger majority (88%) had two or fewer sex partners in their lifetime. Seventy-seven percent of the surveyed women reported knowing someone else with HIV. Although 73% of women reported that they were very or extremely likely to be willing to take an HIV test, fewer (68%) reported having had a previous HIV test. Only 13% of women reported that they were unlikely to be willing to take an HIV test.

HIV testing

Women who had never been tested for HIV (n = 34)were more likely than those who had been tested (n =71) to be older (p = 0.03), to have a lower level of education (p = 0.04), and to have never been tested for STIs (p < 0.01; Table 1). Among women who had never been tested, the most commonly endorsed barriers to HIV testing access (non-mutually exclusive) were lack of perception of risk (23%), distance to a testing site (13%), and feeling uncomfortable seeking testing (11%). Age of sexual debut, number of sexual partners, knowing someone with HIV, education level, and willingness to be tested did not significantly differ between women who had and had not ever had an HIV test. When adjusted for age, education level was also not significantly associated with HIV testing. In the Poisson multiple regression model adjusting for demographic and behavioral factors, the only significant predictor of having ever had an HIV test was having ever had an STI test (p = 0.033, Table 2).

Among those who had received an HIV test (n = 71), 45% had their most recent test less than a year ago, 18% within one to 2 years, and 37% more than 2 years ago (Table 3). Most (65%) were tested at a hospital, with only 6% reporting being tested at the public health clinic located in the community. Fifty-nine percent of women reported having no difficulty accessing HIV testing. For those who reported facing challenges (n = 43), the biggest barriers to accessing HIV testing included low risk perception (56%), distance (33%), and not feeling comfortable being tested (26%). Among those who were unwilling to take an HIV test (n = 34), the biggest reasons included stigma (9%) and low HIV risk perception (9%). However, 38% of women did not feel comfortable answering this question.

Access to contraception

We also explored access to, and use of, contraception among women in the cohort (n = 105, Table 4). Seventysix percent of women knew where to access contraception if needed. Locations included the local hospital (35%), the local public clinic (21%), or other public

	No prior HIV test (ever/unsure)	Prior HIV test (ever)	Total	Fisher's exact
	n = 34	n = 71	n = 105	test <i>p</i> -value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	p-value
Age (years)				
16 to 29	5 (14.7)	19 (26.8)	24 (22.9)	0.027
30 to 39	3 (8.8)	17 (23.9)	20 (19.1)	
40 to 49	4 (11.8)	11 (15.5)	15 (14.3)	
50+	22 (64.7)	24 (33.8)	46 (43.8)	
Time lived in the community (years)				
10 or fewer	6 (17.7)	16 (22.5)	22 (21.0)	0.381
More than 10	28 (82.4)	55 (77.5)	83 (79.1)	
Education level				
Less than primary	17 (50.0)	16 (22.5)	33 (31.4)	0.038
Primary	10 (29.4)	26 (36.6)	36 (34.3)	
Some high school	4 (11.8)	13 (18.3)	17 (16.2)	
High school or higher	3 (8.8)	16 (22.5)	19 (18.1)	
Age at sexual debut				
15 younger	21 (61.8)	33 (46.5)	54 (51.4)	0.306
Older than 15	12 (35.3)	32 (45.1)	44 (41.9)	
Don't know/prefer not to answer	1 (2.9)	6 (8.5)	7 (6.7)	
Number of lifetime sex partners				
1	10 (29.4)	21 (29.6)	31 (29.5)	0.708
2 or more	23 (67.7)	43 (60.6)	66 (62.9)	
Don't know/prefer not to answer	1 (2.9)	7 (9.9)	8 (7.6)	
Pregnant, ever				
No	3 (8.8)	5 (7.0)	8 (7.6)	0.712
Yes	31 (91.2)	66 (93.0)	97 (92.4)	
Received STI test, ever				
No/unsure	32 (94.1)	44 (62.0)	76 (72.4)	< 0.001
Yes	2 (5.9)	27 (38.0)	29 (27.6)	
Know someone with HIV				
No	9 (26.5)	15 (21.1)	24 (22.9)	0.621
Yes	25 (73.5)	56 (78.9)	81 (77.1)	
Likelihood of taking HIV test if offered				
Very or extremely likely	24 (70.6)	53 (74.7)	77 (73.3)	0.847
Somewhat likely	3 (8.8)	7 (9.9)	10 (9.5)	
Very or extremely unlikely	6 (17.7)	8 (11.3)	14 (13.3)	
Don't know/prefer not to answer	1 (2.9)	3 (4.2)	4 (3.8)	

Table 1 Demographic and behavioral characteristics of adult women in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic, by	HIV testing history

Bold numbers are significant

clinics (13%). Ninety-five percent of women had heard of male condoms. Fewer women had heard of oral contraceptive pills (79%) and intrauterine devices (IUDs; 50%, Table 4). Out of the total (n = 105) women surveyed, 56% (n = 59) had ever used contraception, with the most common types being oral contraceptive pills (66%) and male condoms (30%). In total, 16% of women

reported difficulty accessing contraception services with cost and access being the most common reasons.

Access to health care

We also explored access to general health care among women in the cohort (n = 105, Table 5). Seventy-five percent reported visiting a medical provider twice a year.

Table 2 Incident relative risk (IRR) of no past HIV test among women	in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic, unadjusted and
adjusted (aIRR) for demographic and behavioral indicators	

	IRR	95% CI	aIRR	95% CI
Age (years)				
16 to 29 years	1.00	_	1.00	-
30 to 39 years	0.720	(0.195, 2.67)	0.694	(0.172, 2.80)
40 to 49 years	1.280	(0.405, 4.05)	1.22	(0.314, 4.76)
50+ years	2.300	(0.991, 5.32)	1.73	(0.581, 5.17)
Time lived in the community (years)				
10 years or less	1.00	-	1.00	-
More than 10 years	1.240	(0.137, 0.541)	0.627	(0.211, 1.86)
Education level				
Less than primary	1.00	-	1.00	-
Primary	0.539	(0.289, 1.01)	0.649	(0.338, 1.25)
Some high school	0.457	(0.181, 1.15)	0.621	(0.200, 1.93)
High school or higher	0.307	(0.102, 0.916)	0.700	(0.216, 2.27)
Age at sexual debut				
15 years or younger	1.00	-	1.00	-
Over 15 years	0.701	(0.389, 1.26)	0.782	(0.431, 1.42)
Unknown/prefer not to answer	0.367	(0.058, 2.35)	0.502	(0.099, 2.55)
Number of lifetime sex partners				
1	1.00	-	1.00	-
2 or more	1.080	(0.587, 1.99)	1.120	(0.636, 1.96)
Unknown/prefer not to answer	0.388	(0.057, 2.62)	0.454	(0.052, 3.96)
Pregnant, ever				
No	1.00	-	1.00	_
Yes	0.852	(0.331, 2.19)	0.751	(0.280, 2.01)
Received STD test, ever				
No/unsure	1.00	-	1.00	-
Yes	0.164	(0.323, 0.549)	0.219	(0.054, 0.887
Know someone with HIV				
No	1.00	-	1.00	_
Yes	0.823	(0.446, 1.52)	0.876	(0.495, 1.55)
Likelihood of taking HIV test if offered				
Extremely or very unlikely	1.00	-	1.00	_
Somewhat likely	0.700	(0.226, 2.16)	0.784	(0.307, 2.00)
Extremely or very likely	0.727	(0.364, 1.45)	1.070	(0.554, 2.05)
Unsure	0.583	(0.095, 3.57)	0.669	(0.138, 3.25)

CI Confidence interval

Eighty-five percent of women had a medical visit in the last year. The last medical visit was most commonly reported to have taken place at a hospital (50%), followed by the local public health clinic (20%). Forty-seven percent reported difficulty accessing healthcare services. Barriers included cost (33%), distance (18%), cost of transportation (14%) feeling uncomfortable going (9%) and stigma (8%). Sixty-nine percent of women reported having ever had a pap smear before. Only 29% reported a pap smear in the last year.

Discussion

This study is among the first to describe HIV testing and other sexual health behaviors among women in the rural DR. The study revealed suboptimal rates of HIV testing despite suspected high epidemiological risk for

 Table 3 HIV testing access and utilization among adult women in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic

in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic	
	% (n)
Most recent HIV test, among ever tested ($n = 71$)	
< 1 year ago	45.1 (32)
1–2 years ago	18.3 (13)
> 2 years ago	36.6 (26)
Location of most recent HIV test, among ever tested (n	= 71)
Hospital	64.8 (46)
Private clinic	15.5 (11)
Public clinic in community	5.6 (4)
Public clinic outside community	1.4 (1)
Private residence	1.4 (1)
Difficulty accessing HIV test	
Cost of test	6.7 (7)
Cost of transportation	6.7 (7)
Access to transportation	6.7 (7)
Distance	13.3 (14)
Don't know where to access	1.0 (1)
Husband will not allow	1.0 (1)
Do not feel comfortable accessing	10.5 (11)
Stigma	6.7 (7)
No perceived risk	22.9 (24)
Other	1.9 (2)
No difficulty indicated	59.0 (62)
Reasons for unwillingness to take HIV test, among never	r tested ($n = 34$)
Fear of positive result	5.9 (2)
Prefer not to know status	0.0 (0)
Stigma	8.8 (3)
No perceived risk	8.8 (3)
Believe HIV diagnosis does not make a difference	0.0 (0)
Religion	0.0 (0)
Fear tests are used multiple times	2.9 (1)
No reason indicated	35.3 (12)
Prefer not to answer	38.2 (13)
Reasons for unwillingness to take HIV test, among unlike 14)	
Fear of positive result	0.0 (0)
Prefer not to know status	7.1 (1)
Stigma	7.1 (1)
No perceived risk	50.0 (7)
Believe HIV diagnosis does not make a difference	7.1 (1)
Religion	7.1 (1)
Prefer not to answer	21.4 (3)

acquiring HIV [8]. Seventy percent of the sample reported being likely to take an HIV test if offered, although numerous individual- and structural-level factors posed barriers to HIV testing and accessing other healthcare services. Findings from this study indicate the importance of ongoing research and interventions to promote women's access to HIV and other sexual health services in the rural DR.

Women in the rural DR experience many risk factors associated with HIV. Although women in this sample generally had a low number of sexual partners, this may be offset by high HIV prevalence in the community or risk behaviors of male partners. One of the primary modes of HIV transmission is condomless heterosexual sex, often related to sex work [9]. Gendered social norms for sexual behavior allow men in the DR to have more sexual partners or engage in other high-risk behaviors, placing women, who face biologically greater HIV risk as the receptive partner, at higher risk of HIV infection [33]. Few studies have examined women's perceptions of their own HIV risk, but limited data collected in this region demonstrate that women perceive themselves to be at lower risk than their behaviors suggest [33]. Low risk perception was an important individual-level barrier to HIV testing in our study, and future HIV prevention efforts in this population should seek to address risk perception as a means of promoting engagement in HIV testing.

Women in this sample demonstrated low awareness of contraceptive methods. The lack of contraceptive knowledge among women in this community likely contributes to elevated HIV risk as well as other negative sexual health outcomes. Though most forms of contraception were familiar by at least half of the sample, use of any of these contraceptive methods was low. For instance, 95% of women were familiar with male condoms. However, only 17% had ever used them during sex. Alongside cultural and gender roles normalizing multiple sex partners for men, sex work and nonuse of condoms in primary heterosexual relationships [23] may contribute to women's increased vulnerability to HIV acquisition [4]. Studies in similar populations have demonstrated that women's empowerment, as measured by education and economic agency, is a protective factor for negotiating condom use in heterosexual relationships [20, 23]. Initiatives to empower women to advocate for safer sexual behaviors may ameliorate the burden of HIV and other STIs in this group.

Despite high HIV prevalence in the rural DR [4], only 68% of women in this community had ever had an HIV test. We did not find a significant correlation between education and HIV testing. We had expected people with higher levels of education to be more likely to get tested for HIV due to the fact that education may

Table 4 Contraceptive knowledge and utilization among
women in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic

	% (n)
Know where to access contraception	
Yes	76.2 (80)
No	23.8 (25)
Where would go to access contraception	
Hospital	35.2 (37)
Community clinic	21.0 (22)
Other public clinic	13.3 (14)
Private clinic	1.9 (2)
Pharmacy	4.8 (5)
Not applicable	23.8 (25)
Contraception methods ever heard of ^a	
Tubal ligation	68.6 (72)
Vasectomy	46.7 (49)
Intrauterine device	49.5 (52)
Injectables	72.4 (76)
Hormonal implant	65.7 (69)
Pill	79.0 (83)
Male condom	95.2 (100)
Female condom	50.5 (53)
Emergency contraception pill	58.1 (61)
Rhythm method/fertility awareness	54.3 (57)
Lactational amenorrhea	57.1 (60)
Withdrawal	78.1 (82)
Other	3.8 (4)
Contraception use, ever	
Yes	56.2 (59)
No	43.8 (46)
Types of contraception used ^a	
Condom	17.1 (18)
Birth control pill	37.1 (39)
Tubal ligation	3.8 (4)
Injectables	8.6 (9)
Implant	1.0 (1)
Emergency contraception pill	1.9 (2)
Lactational amenorrhea	1.0 (1)
Withdrawal	2.9 (3)
Difficulty accessing contraception services	
Yes	16.2 (17)
No	83.8 (88)
Barriers to contraception access ^a	
Cost of healthcare	7.6 (8)
Cost of transportation	5.7 (6)
Access to transportation	3.8 (4)

 Table 4
 Contraceptive knowledge and utilization among

 women in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic (Continued)

	% (n)
Distance	6.7 (7)
Don't know where to go	1.9 (2)
Husband will not allow	1.0 (1)
Other family member will not allow	1.9 (2)
Do not feel comfortable accessing	5.7 (6)
Stigma	5.7 (6)
Other	9.5 (10)
No barriers indicated	70.5 (74)

 $^{\mathrm{a}}\textsc{These}$ response options were not mutually exclusive; response distribution may exceed 100%

increase HIV knowledge and condom use [34]. In other populations, greater education is associated with lower prevalence of HIV [6], more accurate perception of HIV risk, and less stigma [4]. We did, however, find that women who had been tested for STIs were significantly more likely to have been tested for HIV as well. Women who successfully overcame barriers to STI testing likely did the same for HIV. Further study should seek to clarify the factors associated with HIV testing to pinpoint targets for efforts to increase uptake.

In addition to providing evidence for the need for increased HIV research and prevention among women in the rural DR, the purpose of this needs assessment was in part to determine whether women in this community would be open to HIV testing conducted by the project staff in the future. Compared with the 68% of women who had ever been tested for HIV, we found that a larger majority (85%) indicated being likely to take an HIV test if offered. Risk factors and willingness to get tested did not differ significantly between those who had and had not been tested previously, indicating that testing disparities are instead likely caused by barriers to access. Though women may be amenable to HIV testing, few may seek out voluntary testing due to barriers such as stigma and concerns about the lack of anonymity, especially on smaller islands in the Caribbean [16]. These findings echo reports from other developing countries, where researchers have found high interest in getting tested for HIV despite lower uptake [35, 36].

HIV testing is a cornerstone of prevention and a critical component of the HIV care continuum along with subsequent linkage to care and treatment. In the DR, as in many other low-income countries, residents may have access to local public health clinics which serve as the basis for healthcare in a community. The local public health clinics serving these communities are generally within walking distance. Complicated cases presenting to the clinic are referred to the local hospital or the tertiary care centers in surrounding urban centers. Most **Table 5** General healthcare access and utilization among adult women in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic

Characteristic	% (n)
Frequency of medical visits	
Twice per year	75.2 (79)
Once per year	17.1 (18)
Once every 1–2 years	3.8 (4)
Less than every 2 years	2.9 (3)
Never	1.0 (1)
Last medical visit	
Within past year	84.8 (89)
1–2 years ago	8.6 (9)
More than 2 years ago	3.8 (4)
Never/unsure	2.9 (3)
Location of last medical visit	
Hospital	49.5 (52)
Private clinic	12.4 (13)
Public clinic in community	20.0 (21)
Public clinic outside community	14.3 (15)
Other	3.8 (4)
Difficulty accessing healthcare, ever	
Yes	46.7 (49)
No	53.3 (56)
Barriers to healthcare access	
Cost of healthcare	33.3 (35)
Cost of transportation	14.3 (15)
Access to transportation	9.5 (10)
Distance	18.1 (19)
Don't know where to access	1.9 (2)
Stigma	7.6 (8)
Feel uncomfortable accessing	8.6 (9)
Family member will not allow	3.8 (4)
Other	10.5 (11)
No barriers indicated	40.0 (42)
Pregnant, ever	
Yes	92.4 (97)
No	7.6 (8)
Total number of live births	
0	7.6 (8)
1	8.6 (9)
2–3	40.0 (42)
4–5	21.0 (22)
6–10	19.0 (20)
More than 10	3.8 (4)
Location of most recent delivery	
Hospital	80.0 (84)

Table 5 General healthcare access and utilization among adult
women in rural Constanza, Dominican Republic (Continued)

Characteristic	% (n)
Outpatient center	4.8 (5)
Someone else's home	1.0 (1)
Own home	4.8 (5)
Other public clinic	1.9 (2)
Not applicable (no delivery)	7.6 (8)
Prenatal vitamin use during last pregnancy	
Yes	64.8 (68)
No/unknown	27.6 (29)
Not applicable (no pregnancy)	7.6 (8)
Had Pap test, ever	
Yes	68.6 (72)
No	26.7 (28)
Unknown	1.0 (1)
Time of most recent Pap test	
Less than 1 year ago	28.6 (30)
1 to 2 years ago	21.0 (22)
More than 2 years ago	21.9 (23)
Unknown	28.6 (30)

women in our study had access to medical care and had visited a clinic in the last year. Thus, although barriers to care such as transportation and cost were reported, the engagement of many women with the healthcare system was evident. Despite this, only 68% had ever been tested for HIV, and even fewer (28%) had been tested for other STIs, suggesting that routine medical providers should increase efforts to discuss and offer HIV and STI testing. The major barriers to this practice may be stigma and cultural norms, as reported among healthcare providers in other Hispanic/Latino countries [37]. The goal for this region should be to not only increase access to HIV testing, but also to decrease barriers for women seeking comprehensive STI screening and general health care.

Our study was subject to several limitations. The small sample size may limit the power of the study to detect differences between groups and may also limit the generalizability of the results. Given the limited infrastructure within the community, it was sometimes difficult to determine where one dwelling began and one ended. However, best efforts were made to ensure appropriate sampling methodology. Our findings may also be limited by recall bias, self-report, and select item nonresponse. Our lack of significant findings related to several factors, including education, may reflect these limitations. These areas merit future study with an emphasis on reaching this population more effectively. Despite the limitations of our study, which are inherent to any study of this type, these data represent a novel and important contribution to the literature, with important implications for future work.

Conclusions

Our study is among the first to report sexual health and HIV testing behaviors among women in the rural DR. Our findings indicate ample opportunities for public health interventions to improve access to health care and HIV testing. Incorporating HIV testing into routine health care, especially salient to a population with relatively high engagement in routine medical care, may represent an effective method of promoting HIV prevention and early diagnosis. This strategy is likely responsible in part for Cuba having the lowest HIV prevalence in the Caribbean [16]. The results of our study provide the basis for future community-based HIV testing initiatives in the DR. These data also provide insights and opportunities on potential areas for public health intervention to improve overall sexual health among women in the rural DR.

Abbreviations

AIDS: Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; DR: Dominican Republic; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; IUD: Intrauterine device; MSM: Men who have sex with men; STI: Sexually transmitted infection

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

PAC, LC, KJS, BC ZA and GR conceived of the study; PAC, AA, BC, KJS, ZA, MCM, and LC developed the needs assessment instrument; PAC, ZA, AA, SC, KS, BC, LC, and GR conducted the needs assessment; MCM, SH, and IT conducted the statistical analysis; PAC, MCM, GSC, IT, and SH wrote the manuscript; and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Data are available upon request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Consent to participant was not applicable given that the needs assessment data were not collected for research purposes. Permission was obtained from the original needs assessment team to access and analyze the needs assessment data, The Miriam Hospital Institutional Review Board approved retrospective review of needs assessment data for research.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. ²University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA. ³World Outreach Foundation, Kansas City, MO, USA.

Received: 4 October 2017 Accepted: 24 January 2020 Published online: 07 February 2020

References

- 1. UNAIDS. Global AIDS update 2016. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2016.
- Muula AS. HIV infection and AIDS among young women in South Africa. Croat Med J. 2008;49(3):423–35.
- Koetsawang S, Auamkul N. HIV and women in Thailand: severity and services. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 1997;58(1):121–7.
- Rojas P, Malow R, Ruffin B, Rothe EM, Rosenberg R. The HIV/AIDS Epidemic in the Dominican Republic: Key Contributing Factors. J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care Chic III 2002. 2011;10(5):306–15.
- UNAIDS. Global report: UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic 2013. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2013.
- Halperin DT, de Moya EA, Pérez-Then E, Pappas G, Garcia Calleja JM. Understanding the HIV Epidemic in the Dominican Republic: A Prevention Success Story in the Caribbean? J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009; 51(Supplement 1):S52–9.
- UNAIDS. 2006 report on the global AIDS epidemic. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2006.
- Johnston LG, Bonilla L, Caballero T, Rodriguez M, Dolores Y, de la Rosa MA, et al. Associations of HIV testing, sexual risk and access to prevention among female sex Workers in the Dominican Republic. AIDS Behav. 2017;21(8):2362–71.
- International Planned Parenthood Federation, United Nations Population Fund. Global coalition on women and AIDS, young positives. Report card: HIV prevention for girls and young women - Dominican Republic. London: International Planned Parenthood Federation; 2009.
- Brewer TH, Hasbun J, Ryan CA, Hawes SE, Martinez S, Sanchez J, et al. Migration, ethnicity and environment: HIV risk factors for women on the sugar cane plantations of the Dominican Republic. AIDS Lond Engl. 1998;12(14):1879–87.
- Sears D, Cabrera C, Ortiz F, Anderson B, Stein M. Sexual risk behavior among HIV-positive patients at an urban clinic in Santiago, Dominican Republic. AIDS Care. 2011;23(12):1637–43.
- 12. Warren C. Geographical variations in AIDS knowledge and attitude among adolescent girls of Jamaica. AIDS Educ Prev N Y. 1999;11(4):364–72.
- Figueroa JP. Review of HIV in the Caribbean: significant progress and outstanding challenges. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2014;11(2):158–67.
- Sowah LA, Turenne FV, Buchwald UK, Delva G, Mesidor RN, Dessaigne CG, et al. Influence of transportation cost on long-term retention in clinic for HIV patients in rural Haiti. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999. 2014;67(4):e123–30.
- Harris J, Pillinger M, Fromstein D, Gomez B, Garris I, Kanetsky PA, et al. Risk factors for medication non-adherence in an HIV infected population in the Dominican Republic. AIDS Behav. 2011;15(7):1410–5.
- Inciardi JA, Syvertsen JL, Surratt HL. HIV/AIDS in the Caribbean Basin. AIDS Care. 2005;17(Suppl 1):S9–25.
- Brooks K, Laws MB, Wilson I. Allocating HIV treatment to the adherent: a qualitative study of patient perceptions of their HIV care in the Dominican Republic. J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2014;25(4):1748–62.
- Fleming PJ, Barrington C, Perez M, Donastorg Y, Kerrigan D. HIV testing, care, and treatment experiences among the steady male partners of female sex workers living with HIV in the Dominican Republic. AIDS Care. 2016;28(6):699–704.
- WHO, PAHO. Pan American health organization HIV biennial report 2008–2009. Washington DC: World Health Organization; 2011.
- Jimenez MM, Andrade FCD, Raffaelli M, Iwelunmor J. Heterosexual transmission of HIV in the Dominican Republic: gendered indicators are associated with disparities in condom use. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:1161.
- Patel SN, Wingood GM, Kosambiya JK, McCarty F, Windle M, Yount K, et al. Individual and interpersonal characteristics that influence male-dominated sexual decision-making and inconsistent condom use among married HIV serodiscordant couples in Gujarat, India: results from the positive Jeevan Saathi study. AIDS Behav. 2014;18(10):1970–80.
- 22. Rosenthal L, Levy SR. Understanding women's risk for HIV infection using social dominance theory and the four bases of gendered power. Psychol Women Q. 2010;34(1):21–35.
- Ashburn K, Kerrigan D, Sweat M. Micro-credit, women's groups, control of own money: HIV-related negotiation among partnered Dominican women. AIDS Behav. 2008;12(3):396–403.

- 24. Puentes-Markides C. Women and access to health care. Soc Sci Med 1982. 1992;35(4):619–26.
- Kreitchmann R, Li SX, Melo VH, Fernandes Coelho D, Watts DH, Joao E, et al. Predictors of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women infected with HIV in Latin America and the Caribbean: a cohort study. BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2014;121(12):1501–8.
- Gottlieb SL, Newman LM, Amin A, Temmerman M, Broutet N. Sexually transmitted infections and women's sexual and reproductive health. Int J Gynaecol Obstet Off Organ Int Fed Gynaecol Obstet. 2013;123(3):183–4.
- WHO. Global prevalence and incidence of selected curable sexually transmitted infections – 2008. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
- ICF International. Demographic and health surveys methodology questionnaires: household, Woman's, and Man's. Calverton, MD: Demographic and Health Surveys Program, U.S. Agency for International Development; 2011.
- 29. Wilber ST, Fu R. Risk ratios and odds ratios for common events in crosssectional and cohort studies. Acad Emerg Med. 2010;17(6):649–51.
- Zou G. A modified Poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. Am J Epidemiol. 2004 Apr 1;159(7):702–6.
- 31. StataCorp. Stata/SE 13.1. College Station: StataCorp LP; 2013.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42(2):377–81.
- Charlery S-AR. Research conducted on Caribbean women's perceived human immunodeficiency virus risks: a narrative review and methodological critique. Health Psychol Behav Med. 2014;2(1):541–54.
- Minaya J, Owen-Smith A, Herold J. The impact of sex education on HIV knowledge and condom use among adolescent females in the Dominican Republic. Int J Adolesc Med Health. 2008;20(3):275–82.
- Koku EF. Desire for, and uptake of HIV tests by Ghanaian women: the relevance of community level stigma. J Community Health. 2011;36(2):289–99.
- 36. Coovadia HM. Access to voluntary counseling and testing for HIV in developing countries. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2000;918:57–63.
- Abell N, Rutledge SE, McCann TJ, Padmore J. Examining HIV/AIDS provider stigma: assessing regional concerns in the islands of the eastern Caribbean. AIDS Care. 2007;19(2):242–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

