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#### Abstract

A graph is called $K_{1, n}$-free if it contains no $K_{1, n}$ as an induced subgraph. Let $a, b(0 \leq a<b)$, and $n(\geq 3)$ be integers. Let $G$ be a $K_{1, n}$-free graph. We prove that $G$ has an $[a, b]$-factor if its minimum degree is at least $$
\left(\frac{(a+1)(n-1)}{b}+1\right)\left\lceil\frac{a}{2}+\frac{b}{2(n-1)}\right\rceil-\frac{n-1}{b}\left(\left\lceil\frac{a}{2}+\frac{b}{2(n-1)}\right\rceil\right)^{2}-1
$$

This degree condition is sharp for any integers $a, b$, and $n$ with $b \leq a(n-1)$. If $b \geq a(n-1)$, it exists if its minimum degree is at least $a$.


## 1. Introduction and notation.

We begin with definitions and notation. In this paper, we consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let $G$ be a graph. We denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the set of vertices and the set of edges, respectively. Let $S$ and $T$ be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. We denote by $e(S, T)$ the number of edges joining $S$ and $T$. A vertex $x$ is often identified with $\{x\}$. So, $e(x, T)$ means $e(\{x\}, T)$. For $x \in V(G)$, we denote the degree of $x$ in $G$ by $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)$, the set of vertices adjacent to $x$ in $G$ by $N_{G}(x)$. If $S \subset V(G), G-S$ is the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V(G)-S$. The minimum degree of $G$ is denoted by $\delta(G)$. We denote by $\omega(G)$ the number of components of a graph $G$. A spanning subgraph $F$ of a graph $G$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{F}(v)=r$ for all $v \in V(G)$ is called an $r$-factor. And a spanning subgraph $F^{\prime}$ of a graph $G$ with $a \leq \operatorname{deg}_{F^{\prime}}(v) \leq b$ for all $v \in V(G)$ is called an [a,b]-factor. A graph is called $K_{1, n}$-free if it contains no $K_{1, n}$ as an induced subgraph. The other notation may be found in [1].

Here, we note the following result which presents a degree condition for the existence of an $r$-factor in a $K_{1, n}$-free graph.

Theorem A ([2]). Let $n(n \geq 3)$ and $r$ be positive integers. If $r$ is odd, we assume
that $r \geq n-1$. Let $G$ be a connected $K_{1, n}$ free graph with $r|V(G)|$ even, and suppose that the minimum degree of $G$ is at least $\left(n^{2} / 4(n-1)\right) r+(3 n-6) / 2+(n-1) / 4 r$. Then $G$ has an $r$-factor.

It is easy to see that every connected graph $G$ with $r|V(G)|$ odd has no $r$-factor. And it is described in [2] that the condition " $r \geq n-1$ if $r$ is odd" in Theorem A cannot be dropped. However, the degree condition is not best for some pairs of integers $n$ and $r$. For that reason, there exists the following theorem in [4], in which the degree condition is sharp.

Theorem $\mathbf{B}([4])$. Let $n(\geq 3)$ and $r$ be positive integers. If $r$ is odd, we assume that $r \geq n-1$. Let $G$ be a connected $K_{1, n}$-free graph with $r|V(G)|$ even. If the minimum degree of $G$ is at least

$$
\left(n+\frac{n-1}{r}\right)\left\lceil\frac{n}{2(n-1)} r\right\rceil-\frac{n-1}{r}\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2(n-1)} r\right\rceil\right)^{2}+n-3
$$

then $G$ has an r-factor.
As mentioned in [4], the degree condition is sharp for every pairs of integers $n$ and $r$. We obtain the following theorem which is extended Theorem B for $[a, b]$-factors.
Theorem 1. Let $a, b(0 \leq a<b)$ and $n(\geq 3)$ be integers. Let $G$ be a $K_{1, n}$ free graph. If the minimum degree of $G$ is at least

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{(a+1)(n-1)}{b}+1\right)\left\lceil\frac{a}{2}+\frac{b}{2(n-1)}\right\rceil-\frac{n-1}{b}\left(\left\lceil\frac{a}{2}+\frac{b}{2(n-1)}\right\rceil\right)^{2}-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $G$ has an $[a, b]-$ factor.
This degree condition is sharp for any integers $a, b$, and $n$ with $b \leq a(n-1)$ (we will show that in Section 2). Here, by using Theorem 1 with $b=a(n-1)$, we know that every $K_{1, n}$-free graph with $\delta(G) \geq a$ has an [a,a(n-1)]-factor. Hence we obtain that every $K_{1, n}$-free graph with $\delta(G) \geq a$ has an [a,b]-factor with $b \geq a(n-1)$.

## 2. Proof of theorem.

We use the following theorem for the existence of an $[a, b]$-factor with $a<b$.
Theorem C (Lovász [3]). A graph G has an [a,b]-factor $(a<b)$, if and only if

$$
\theta(S, T)=b|S|+\sum_{x \in T}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}(x)-a\right) \geq 0
$$

for any disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$.
Let $n, a, b$, and $G$ be as in Theorem 1. First, we prove the following claim.

Claim 1. $\delta(G) \geq(n-1) y(a-y+1) / b+y-1$ for any integer $y$.
Proof. We fix $n, a$, and $b$, and define $f(y)$ to be the RHS (right hand side) of the above inequality. Among all integers $y, f(y)$ is maximum when $y$ is the nearest integer to $a / 2+b /(2(n-1))+\frac{1}{2}$, i.e., when $y=\lceil a / 2+b /(2(n-1))\rceil$. It is easy to check that $f(\Gamma a / 2+b /(2(n-1))\rceil)$ is identical to the expression (1). Hence, $f(y) \leq f(\Gamma a / 2+$ $b /(2(n-1))\rceil) \leq \delta(G)$ for any integer $y$.

Let $S$ and $T$ be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. Here, we want to show that $\theta(S, T) \geq 0$ which implies that $G$ has an $[a, b]$-factor by Theorem C.

We define $x_{i}$ and $N_{i}(i \geq 1)$ as follows: If $T \neq \varnothing$, let $x_{1} \in T$ be a vertex such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is minimum, and $N_{1}=\left(N_{G}\left(x_{1}\right) \cup\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right) \cap T$. For $i \geq 2$, if $T-\bigcup_{j<i} N_{j} \neq \varnothing$, let $x_{i} \in T-\bigcup_{j<i} N_{j}$ be a vertex such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is as small as possible, and $N_{i}=\left(N_{G}\left(x_{i}\right) \cup\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) \cap\left(T-\bigcup_{j<i} N_{j}\right)$.

We suppose $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{m}$ are defined, but $x_{m+1}$ cannot. When $T=\varnothing$, we define $m=0$. By definition, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{m}\right\}$ is an independent set of $G$, and $T$ is the disjoint union of $N_{1}, N_{2}, \cdots, N_{m}$.

Under this notation, we show the following claim.
Claim 2. $|S| \geq(1 /(n-1)) \sum_{i=1}^{m} e\left(x_{i}, S\right)$.
Proof. Let $X$ be the set $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{m}\right\}$. Since $X$ is an independent set of $G$ and $G$ is $K_{1, n}$-free, every vertex $v \in S$ is adjacent to at most $n-1$ vertices of $X$. Therefore, $(n-1)|S| \geq e(X, S)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} e\left(x_{i}, S\right)$.

By Claim 2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(S, T) & \geq \frac{b}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} e\left(x_{i}, S\right)+\sum_{x \in T}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(x)-a\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{b}{n-1} e\left(x_{i}, S\right)+\sum_{x \in N_{i}}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(x)-a\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We show the following inequality that implies $\theta(S, T) \geq 0$, and hence the existence of an [ $a, b]$ ]-factor in $G$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{n-1} e\left(x_{i}, S\right)+\sum_{x \in N_{i}}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}(x)-a\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { for each } i \quad(1 \leq i \leq m) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we fix $i(1 \leq i \leq m)$ and define $d=\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}(x) \geq d$ for all $x \in N_{i}$,

$$
\sum_{x \in N_{i}}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}(x)-a\right) \geq\left|N_{i}\right|(d-a)
$$

If $d-a \geq 0$, then inequality (2) holds. Hence we may assume $d-a<0$. Since $\left|N_{i}\right| \leq d+1$,

$$
\sum_{x \in N_{i}}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}(x)-a\right) \geq(d+1)(d-a)
$$

By using Claim 1 with $y=d+1$, we obtain $\delta(G) \geq(n-1)(d+1)(a-d) / b+d$. Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{b}{n-1} e\left(x_{i}, S\right)+\sum_{x \in N_{i}}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-s}(x)-a\right) \geq \frac{b}{n-1}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)-d\right)+(d+1)(d-a) \\
\geq \frac{b}{n-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{b}(d+1)(a-d)+d-d\right)+(d+1)(d-a)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally we give the following remark.
Remark 1. In Theorem 1 with $a<b \leq a(n-1)$, the degree condition is sharp.
To show this remark with an example, let

$$
y=\left\lceil\frac{a}{2}+\frac{b}{2(n-1)}\right\rceil, \quad x=\left\lceil\frac{(n-1) y(a-y+1)}{b}\right\rceil-1 .
$$

Let $L$ be the complete graph $K_{x}$, and $M$ be $n-1$ disjoint copies of $K_{y}$. Here, let $G$ be a graph obtained from the join of $L$ and $M$. Then $G$ is a $K_{1, n}$-free graph with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(G)= & \operatorname{deg}_{G} v(v \in V(M)) \geq\left(\frac{(a+1)(n-1)}{b}+1\right)\left\lceil\frac{a}{2}+\frac{b}{2(n-1)}\right\rceil \\
& -\frac{n-1}{b}\left(\left\lceil\frac{a}{2}+\frac{b}{2(n-1)}\right\rceil\right)^{2}-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Application of Lovász's theorem with $S=V(L)$ and $T=V(M)$ proves that $G$ has no [ $a, b]$-factor.
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