scientific reports



OPEN A diagnostic LAMP assay for rapid identification of an invasive plant pest, fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Arati Agarwal¹, Lea Rako¹, Mark K. Schutze², Melissa L. Starkie², Wee Tek Tay^{3,4}, Brendan C. Rodoni^{1,5} & Mark J. Blacket¹

Fall armyworm (FAW), Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a highly polyphagous invasive plant pest that has expanded its global geographic distribution, including recently into much of Australia. Rapid diagnostic tests are required for identification of FAW to assist subsequent management and control. We developed a new loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay based on the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene for accurate and timely diagnosis of FAW in the field. The specificity of the new assay was tested against a broad panel of twenty non-target noctuids, including eight other Spodoptera species. Only S. frugiperda samples produced amplification within 20 min, with an anneal derivative temperature of 78.3 ± 0.3 °C. A gBlock dsDNA fragment was developed and trialled as a synthetic positive control, with a different anneal derivative of 81 °C. The new FAW LAMP assay was able to detect FAW DNA down to 2.4 pg, similar to an existing laboratory-based real-time PCR assay. We also trialled the new FAW assay with a colorimetric master mix and found it could successfully amplify positive FAW samples in half the time compared to an existing FAW colorimetric LAMP assay. Given the high sensitivity and rapid amplification time, we recommend the use of this newly developed FAW LAMP assay in a portable real-time fluorometer for in-field diagnosis of FAW.

Fall armyworm (FAW), Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a highly polyphagous invasive plant pest that is rapidly expanding its distribution worldwide. Native to the Americas, it was first reported in West and Central Africa in 2016¹, and has since been confirmed across Asia²⁻⁴ and Oceania⁵ and is now present in over 70 countries⁶. In early 2020, FAW was detected in northern Australia and was determined to be ineradicable in that region⁷. Despite this, efforts are still being made to detect outbreaks early to aid management⁸, as this species poses a major threat to food security worldwide9.

Fall armyworm has high fecundity, can rapidly develop resistance to insecticides¹⁰⁻¹², utilises a wide range of host plants, and has the capacity to migrate long distances, characteristics which have allowed it to rapidly disperse and establish in exotic regions 1^{3-17} . Moths have been observed migrating as far as 1600 km in 30 h with the assistance of wind¹⁸. Moreover, FAW has been reported from more than 350 plant species¹⁹, comprising over 80 commercial crops including maize, cotton, sorghum, rice and sugarcane, although whether completion of developmental cycle on these diverse host plants by larvae was possible remains poorly understood. If left unmanaged, FAW has the potential to destroy crops overnight^{20,21}.

Morphologically, FAW is very similar to close relatives, especially congenerics; with confident diagnosis of adult (moth) specimens typically reliant on dissection and microscopic examination of male genitalic structures^{22,23}. Female moths, therefore, are often impossible to identify, especially if in poor condition with loss

¹Agriculture Victoria, AgriBio, 5 Ring Road, Bundoora, VIC 3083, Australia. ²Biosecurity Queensland, Queensland Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, GPO Box 267, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia. ³CSIRO, Black Mountain Laboratories, Clunies Ross Street, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia. ⁴Applied BioSciences, University of Macquarie, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia. ⁵School of Applied Systems Biology, La Trobe University, Bundoora, VIC 3083, Australia.[™]email: mark.blacket@agriculture.vic.gov.au

of wing scales. Larvae (caterpillars) are similarly problematic, bearing close resemblance to other noctuids, with early-instars being particularly difficult to identify^{22,23}.

Because FAW can cause such devastation in a short period of time, there is a global need for a rapid molecular diagnostic test to assist with early and accurate incursion responses. Some of the current methods used to identify FAW include restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP)²⁴; PCR and Sanger sequencing of both COI²⁵ and TPI^{20,26} gene regions; species-specific multiplex PCR primers²⁷; and real-time PCR assays²⁸. These techniques, while effective at diagnosing the target insect, are time-consuming and are often expensive, requiring highly specialized laboratory facilities and expert staff. A potential solution to these issues, including decreasing the time to reach diagnosis, is loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)²⁹. LAMP assays are quick, simple, low-cost, and have been successfully deployed for diagnosing other plant pests such as the Queensland fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni*³⁰, grape phylloxera *Daktulosphaira vitifoliae*³¹, and Khapra beetle *Trogoderma granarium*³² in the field. Given the success of this method across different pest species^{30,33-35}, its relative ease of implementation, and the need for rapid diagnosis of FAW following a suspected incursion, LAMP is a highly suitable in-field diagnostic tool to be used in tracking its occurrence in supporting its management. gBlocks Gene Fragments are targeted synthetic oligonucleotide dsDNA which can be used as standards in qPCR reactions³⁶, and can be used to provide reliable positive controls for LAMP reactions^{31,32}. A gBlock fragment as a standard provides comparable sensitivity, reliability, and assay performance to a purified amplicon standard.

Recently a LAMP assay was published for identification of FAW, targeting a tRNA region of the mitochondrial genome³⁷. This assay involves colorimetric detection to identify positive samples through extended incubation of LAMP reactions for 1 ½ hours. This assay can be conducted using relatively simple technology (i.e., a heat block), to induce a colour change from pink to yellow in positive samples. However, it has not been tested using alternative commercially available LAMP master mixes suitable for use on portable real-time fluorometers, which are commonly used for LAMP assays in the field. A second LAMP assay has been very recently developed and optimised for in-field use for larval diagnostics³⁸. This assay targets the mitochondrial 5'-COI locus, commonly used for DNA barcoding, in a four-primer LAMP assay system.

This study aimed to develop, and laboratory validate a LAMP assay for accurate and timely diagnosis of FAW for use in the field. The main aims of this study were to: (i) develop an alternative LAMP assay based on mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (3'-COI) gene sequences from multiple *Spodoptera* species to compare with the recently published³⁷ assay; (ii) design and evaluate a gBlock dsDNA fragment for use as a reliable FAW DNA positive control in the new LAMP assay; (iii) compare the new LAMP assay with the existing real-time PCR method of FAW identification; (iv) validate the existing colorimetric FAW LAMP assay previously developed by Kim, et al.³⁷ on FAW DNA samples through comparison of both the published colorimetric detection method and on a portable real-time fluorometer.

Results

Molecular variation. The panel of species tested included eight non-target *Spodoptera* species which were between 4.3%, and 7.4% divergent (5'-COI, uncorrected *p*-distances) from FAW, while the other twelve Noctui-dae species tested were from 9.3 to 11.2% divergent from FAW (Fig. 1). All new target and non-target new DNA barcode sequences obtained in the current study have been submitted to GenBank (OL539263 - OL539329).

FAW LAMP assay design and optimisation. LAMP primers (Table 1) were developed to target a 249 bp portion of the FAW COI locus (3' region) which has been shown to be highly variable in numerous *Spodoptera* species (Fig. 2). Ambiguous bases were added to primers (Fig. 2, Table 1) to account for genetic diversity present in the wider COI dataset of FAW individuals available on GenBank (accessed Dec 2020). Six primers were employed in the FAW LAMP assay, two inner primers (FIP and BIP) and two outer primers (F3 and B3). The addition of loop primers (Floop and Bloop) facilitated a faster reaction. The optimised primer ratio (F3/B3: FIP/BIP: Floop/Bloop) was determined to be 1:6:3, with final primer concentrations of 0.4 μM, 2.4 μM and 1.2 μM, respectively.

FAW LAMP assay specificity results. Positive LAMP reactions from FAW DNA amplified in less than 15 min, with anneal derivative temperatures of approx. 78.3 ± 0.3 °C (Table 3, Fig. 3). Amplification in less than 20 min was considered as positive. The specificity of the FAW LAMP assay was validated against a broad range of non-target taxa (Table 2, Fig. 1), with no off-target amplification observed within 20 min (Table 3). A small degree of off-target amplification was observed when reactions were run past 20 min (Table 3), hence the recommended cut-off time for positive amplification is 20 min. LAMP amplification was not sensitive to the DNA extraction method employed, with in-field compatible DNA extractions providing results consistent with laboratory DNA extractions (Table 4).

Kim et al.³⁷ **LAMP assay results with published primers.** The first set of primer master mix tested for this FAW LAMP assay on the Genie III using 1:6:3 ratio including all six primers (F3/B3, FIP/BIP and Floop/Bloop) and 65 °C amplification temperature, amplified all DNA samples tested, including the negative control, within one minute confirming a strong primer dimer (Supplementary Fig. 1a). The second set of primer master mix tested for this FAW LAMP assay on the Genie III using 1:8:2 ratio of five primers, as recommended by Kim, et al.³⁷ (F3/B3, FIP/BIP and Bloop no Floop), and an amplification temperature of 61 °C resulted in no amplification after a 25 min reaction time. (Supplementary Fig. 1b).

Detection sensitivity of gBlock DNA fragment. The detection sensitivity of the FAW 252 bp gBlock dsDNA fragment (Table 1) was tested using ten-fold dilutions ranging from ~ 100 million copies to ~ 10 copies





in LAMP reactions (Fig. 4), with positive detections found as low as ~10 copies within 20 min (Fig. 4a). The gBlock anneal derivative peak occurred at 81 °C (Fig. 4b). From the amplification profile it was calculated that one hundred thousand copies (10⁵) of gBlock DNA equates to less than 0.4 ng/µL of FAW DNA (Fig. 4c). The anneal derivative of LAMP amplicons exhibited two peaks, with the FAW DNA peak present at 78.5 °C and the gBlock DNA peak present at 81 °C (Fig. 4d). Alternatively, 10⁶ gBlock could be used as positive control which was found to amplify within 10–11 min.

LAMP primer or amplicon	Sequence 5'-3'	Primer Length (bp)	Predicted Tm, annealing temperature (°C)	Degeneracy of primer (fold)
FAW gBlock fragment	cccATGATACTTACTATGTAGTTGCTC ATTTCgggCACTATGTTTATCAATAGGA GCTGcccGCTATTTTAGGTGGATTTATT CACTGgggCCATTATTTACTGGATTATCT TTAAATCCgggCCTTATATATATAAAAATT CAATTTTTATATATATTATCCcCGGA GTAAATTTAACTTTCCTCCCAgggTTT AGGATTAGCAGGTATACCTCGcccTGA TTATCCTGATTCTTATATTTCATGAAccc	252	N/A	N/A
FAW_F3	ATGATACTTACTATGTAGTTGCTC ATTTC	29	59.9	None
FAW_B3	TTCATGAAATATAAGAATCAGGAT AATCA	29	62.4	None
FAW_FIP	GGATTTAAAGATAATCCAGTAAAT AATGG <u>CAYTATGTTTTATGAATAGGA GCTG</u>	54	77.8	2
FAW_BIP	CCTTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTT ATTATATTTATC <u>CGAGGTATACCTGCT</u> <u>AAYCCTAAA</u>	63	73.4	4
FAW_Floop	CARTGAATAAATCCHCCTAAAATAGC	26	60.9	4
FAW_Bloop	GGAGTAAATTTAACTTTYTTCCCA	24	60.2	2

Table 1. FAW COI LAMP primer and amplicon sequences (gBlock) and parameters. The F2 and B2 primer regions of FIP and BIP are underlined. Lowercase letters in the gBlock indicate extra "ccc" or "ggg" added between LAMP primer sites to increase the overall Tm of the amplicon.

Colorimetric assay—new assay compared with Kim, et al.³⁷ **assay.** The LAMP colorimetric master mix can be used on a simple heating block (at 65 °C). Amplification using a colorimetric master mix (Fig. 5) was found to take significantly longer than the standard OptiGene reagents (which amplify within 20 min). Of six species tested in the colorimetric assay for the new FAW LAMP assay, only *S. frugiperda* and gBlock dilution 10^6 produced a positive colour change in less than one hour, further demonstrating the robustness of our assay (Fig. 5). Optimal colorimetric results were achieved in 45 to 60 min for this assay. A small degree of non-target amplification was observed when the assay was run for longer (i.e., up to 90 min).

Following the Kim, et al.³⁷ protocol, amplification using the colorimetric master mix (at 61 °C) was found to take approx. double the time to produce positive amplification (>105 min). Of the six species tested in the colorimetric assay for the FAW LAMP assay, *S. frugiperda* produced a positive colour change in about 105 to 120 min (Supplementary Fig. 2). A small degree of non-target amplification was observed when the assay was run for longer (i.e., up to 165 min).

Sensitivity of LAMP and real-time PCR assay. The sensitivity of the new FAW LAMP assay (Fig. 6a) and real-time PCR assay (Fig. 6b) were tested using a four-fold serial dilution of DNA for biological replicates of late-instar larval thoracic leg. A four-fold serial dilution of DNA for biological replicates of an adult moth leg was tested with the new FAW LAMP assay (Fig. 6c) and real-time PCR assay (Fig. 6d). The results from both assays were very similar, both proving to be very sensitive. Both LAMP and real-time PCR assays was able to detect FAW DNA from larvae down to the lowest dilution tested 2.4E–03 ng/µL (equal to 2.4 pg) (Fig. 6a,b). Both LAMP and real-time PCR assays was able to detect FAW DNA from adult moths down to 5 out of 8 dilutions only 3.9E–03 ng/µL (equal to 3.9 pg) (Fig. 6c,d). Both LAMP and real-time PCR results produced similar results as the starting DNA amount for a late-instar larval thoracic leg was found to be approx. 40 times higher than from an adult moth leg.

Discussion

This study reports on a LAMP assay for rapid and reliable in-field detection of fall armyworm (FAW, *Spodoptera frugiperda*), an invasive noctuid pest at both adult and larval stages. Our assay has been shown to be species-specific, when tested against a panel of twenty commonly encountered noctuids, including approx. a third of all known *Spodoptera* species (i.e. 9 of the 31 species³⁹). Our primers were capable of amplifying positive FAW DNA in under 10 min, with amplification within 20 min considered positive. We recommend that extended LAMP amplification not be performed, as a small degree of off-target amplification was observed when reactions were run past 20 min. We also designed and optimised a synthetic DNA positive control (gBlock dsDNA fragment) for use in our FAW LAMP assay. This gBlock is beneficial in providing a consistent control to allow tracking of the performance of LAMP assays across runs and provides confidence that positive amplification of samples is not due to contamination, as the gBlock DNA has a different anneal derivative temperature compared to FAW DNA. The new FAW LAMP assay described here has also been shown to perform well using the technologically simpler colorimetric approach, with amplification of positive FAW DNA occurring in less than an hour.

In its native New World geographic range, the FAW is widely considered to consist of either the corn or the rice host-preferred strains²⁰. At the whole genome level however and based on the widely applied partial mitochondrial COI nucleotide distance estimates, these rice and corn-host strains could potentially be regarded as two closely related sister species^{40,41}. However, whole genome analyses of invasive populations in the Old World

		72				
		F3 → ATGATACTTACTATGTAGTTGCTCATTTC	F2 →	← Floop GCTATTTTAGGDGGATTTAT	TCAYTG CCATTATTA	← FI BI → CTGGATTATCTTTAAATCC
		c	AYTATGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	rg		
4N599981 Spodoptera fi	rugiperda	ATGATACTTACTATGTAGTTGCTCATTTCC	ACTATGTTTTATCAATAGGAGC	IGTATTTGCTATTTTAGGTGGATTTAT	TCACTGATATCCATTATTTA	CTGGATTATCTTTAAATCC
AQ177285 Spodoptera al	lbula	$\cdots \cdots \cdots \top \cdots \top \cdots \cdots \land A \cdots C \cdots C \cdots \top \cdot$	•G•••••T•••••	•••••••G••T•••••	••••T••••C••••••	·C·····A·····
HQ177290 Spodoptera an	ndrogea	$\cdots \cdots \top \cdots \top \cdots \cdots \land \land \cdots \cdots \top \top \cdot$	• T • • • • C • • • • T • • • • G • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	C • • T • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AQ177292 Spodoptera ci	ilium	·····A··T·····A······	·T·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····T······C·····	·C·····
1Q177295 Spodoptera co	osmiodes	·····T·····A·····T·	·T····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····T·····TC·····	·····C····A·····
1Q177302 Spodoptera de	epravata	······	· T · · · · · A · · · · · T · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····T······	· · · · G · · · · · A · · · · · ·
10177303 Spodoptera de	escoinsi	·····	·T····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T	·····C····A·····
Q177313 Spodoptera do	olicnos		.T			·····C····A·····C··
10177310 Credenters er	riudiiid	C. T. T. C. T.	T	A		1
10177331 Spodoptera en	valitud vempta		.T			A
10177336 Spodoptera ex	xiqua					Δ
10177354 Spodoptera la	atifascia	······	.T		· · · T · · · · · c · · c · · .	
10177364 Spodoptera li	ittoralis	.ст.	Тт.			
10177375 Spodoptera li	itura		.T		_T	AC
1Q177382 Spodoptera ma	auritia	·····T·····A·····T·	·T·····	G	· · · T · · · · · · · · · C · · · · ·	
IQ177391 Spodoptera oi	rnithogalli	·····G·····T·	тт.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••T••••A••••••
Q177387 Spodoptera od	chrea	$\cdots \cdots $	тт.	•••••••G••T•••••	· · · T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••A••••••
Q177399 Spodoptera pe	ecten	· · · · · · · · · T · · · · · · A · · · ·	• T • • • • • A • • • • • T • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••T•••••••C••••••	
Q177401 Spodoptera pe	ectinicornis	·····T····T·	• T • • • • • • • • T • • • • • •	A	••••T••••••••••••	•••••C••••C•••
IQ177406 Spodoptera pi	raefica	$\cdots \cdots \top \cdots \top \cdots \cdots \land \cdots \cdots \top \top \cdots$	·T·····T·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · C · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Q177404 Spodoptera pi	icta	·····C··C··T·	• T • • • • • • • T • • G • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····T·····C······	·····A····C··
IQ177410 Spodoptera ti	riturata	·····T·····T·	• T • • • • • C • • • • T • • • • • •	••••••G••••••G	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		В1 → ТТАТWТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТАТТАТ	ATTTATC Bloop		B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG	← B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
		B1 \rightarrow TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT	ATTTATC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT	TTTAGGRTT	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG	← B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi	rugiperda	В1 – ТТАТИТАТТААЛААТТСААТТТТТТАТТАТ ТТАТАТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТТАТТАТ	ATTTATC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT ATTTATCGGAGTAAATTTAACT	TTTAGGRTT/ TTTTAGGRTT/ TTTTCCCA	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA	– B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi Q177285 Spodoptera al	rugiperda lbula	B1 TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATATTAAAAAATTCAATTTTTTTT	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT ATTTAICGGAGTAAATTTAACT 	TTTAGGRTTA TTYTTCCCA TTTTCTTCCCCCAACATTTTTTAGGATTA	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA 	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT ·······························
N599981 Spodoptera fi 177285 Spodoptera al 177290 Spodoptera ar	rugiperda lbula ndrogea	В1 → ТТАТ₩ТАТТААЛАЛТГСАЛТТТТТАТТАТ ТТАТАТАТТААЛАЛТГСАЛТТТТТАТТАТ 	ATTTATC Bloop GCACTAAATTTAACT ATTTATCGAGTAAATTTAACT 	TTTAGGRTTZ TTYTTCCCA ITCTTCCCACAACATTTTTTAGGATTZ 	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA 	– B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT -AC.
N599981 Spodoptera fi 1177285 Spodoptera ai 1177290 Spodoptera ai 1177292 Spodoptera ci	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium	В1 → ТТАТ₩ТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТАТТАТ ТТАТАТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТТАТТАТ 	ATTTATC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TTATCGGAGTAAATTTAACT TC	TTTAGGRTTZ	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA ·····A····A····T··	- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT ··································
N599981 Spodoptera fi Q177285 Spodoptera al Q177290 Spodoptera ci Q177295 Spodoptera co Q177295 Spodoptera co Q177295 Spodoptera co	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes opravata	В1 → ТТАТ₩ТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТАТТАТ ТТАТАТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТАТТАТ • Т • • С • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT ATTTAITCGGAGTAAATTTAACT T.G.C.C. T	TTTAGGRTF	B2 SCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCCTCGATA AAT.	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 2177285 Spodoptera aj 2177290 Spodoptera ci 2177292 Spodoptera ci 2177295 Spodoptera ci 2177302 Spodoptera de 3177303 Spodoptera de	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinei	В1 → ТТАТЖТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТАТТАТ ТТАТАТАТАААААТТСААТТТТТТТАТТАТ . С.	ATTTATC Bloop GGACTAAATTTAACT ATTTATCGGAGTAAATTTAACT T	TTTAGGRTTZ TTTTAGGRTTZ TTTTCCCACAACATTTTTTAGGATTZ TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA AAT.	- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 1177285 Spodoptera al 1177290 Spodoptera co 1177295 Spodoptera co 1177302 Spodoptera do 1177303 Spodoptera do 1177303 Spodoptera do	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi elichoe	В1 → ТТАТ₩ТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТАТТАТ ТТАТАТАТТАААААТТСААТТТТТТТАТТАТ С.	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TTTAICGGAGTAAATTTAACT T.C.C.T.C. T	TTTAGGRT7	B2 SCAGGTATACCTCC GCAGGTATACCTCCTCCGATA A A T	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATCTTAT TITCTGATTATCCTGATCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi D177285 Spodoptera ai D177290 Spodoptera ai D177292 Spodoptera ci D177292 Spodoptera de D177303 Spodoptera de D177313 Spodoptera do D177321 Spodoptera do	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTTATTAT • T • C • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ATTTATC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT ATTTATCGGAGTAAATTTAACT T. C. C. T		B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA · A· · · A· · · T· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi D177285 Spodoptera a D177290 Spodoptera a D177295 Spodoptera co D177302 Spodoptera do D177303 Spodoptera do D177313 Spodoptera do D177313 Spodoptera e D177313 Spodoptera e D177314 Spodoptera e	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTTATTAT .T .C. 	ATTATC Bloop GGACTAAATTAACT T	TTTAGGRTTZ TTTAGGRTTZ TOTTCCCACAACATTTTTAGGATTZ T	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG CCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA ···································	- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 0177285 Spodoptera ai 0177290 Spodoptera o 0177292 Spodoptera co 0177302 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera de 0177311 Spodoptera e 0177311 Spodoptera e 0177311 Spodoptera e	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida vanida	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTTT	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TTTAICGGAGTAAATTTAACT T.C.C.T.C.C. T.C.T.C.T.C.T.C.T.C.T.C.		B2 GCAGGTATACCTCC GCAGGTATACCTCCTCGATA A A T G G G G G A A	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 2177285 Spodoptera ai 2177290 Spodoptera ci 2177292 Spodoptera ci 2177302 Spodoptera de 2177303 Spodoptera de 2177313 Spodoptera ei 2177313 Spodoptera ei 2177313 Spodoptera ei 2177331 Spodoptera es	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida xempta xigua	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATAATAAAAAATTCAATTTTTTTT	ATTTATC Bloop GGACTAAATTTAACT T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T G T G T G T G T G T G T G T G T G T G T G G G G G G G G G G G G G <td>TTTAGGRTT TTTAGGRTT TTTTAGGRTT TTTTTTTTAGGRTT TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT</td> <td>B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA </td> <td>- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT </td>	TTTAGGRTT TTTAGGRTT TTTTAGGRTT TTTTTTTTAGGRTT TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA	- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 177285 Spodoptera al 177295 Spodoptera co 177295 Spodoptera co 177302 Spodoptera do 177303 Spodoptera do 177313 Spodoptera e 177310 Spodoptera e 177331 Spodoptera e 177331 Spodoptera e 177336 Spodoptera e 177354 Spodoptera e 177554 Spodoptera e 177555 Spodoptera e 17	rugiperda Ibula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida xempta xigua atifascia	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT .TC. 	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TTTAITCGGAGTAAATTTAACT T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C		B2 GCAGGTATACCTCC GCAGGTATACCTCCTCGATA 	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi D177285 Spodoptera at D177290 Spodoptera at D177295 Spodoptera co D177302 Spodoptera do D177303 Spodoptera do D177313 Spodoptera et D177310 Spodoptera et D177331 Spodoptera et D177334 Spodoptera le D177334 Spodoptera le D177354 Spodoptera le	rugiperda hbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida vanida xempta xigua atifasoia ittoralis	B1 - TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTTATTAT • T • C • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ATTTATC Bloop GGACTAAATTTAACT ATTTATCGCAGTAAATTTAACT T. C. C. T		B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA ·····A····T·· ·····················	- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 177225 Spodoptera al 177229 Spodoptera co 177225 Spodoptera co 177325 Spodoptera co 177303 Spodoptera do 177313 Spodoptera do 177310 Spodoptera e 177334 Spodoptera e 177336 Spodoptera e 177335 Spodoptera li 177354 Spodoptera li 177354 Spodoptera li 177354 Spodoptera li 177357 Spodoptera li 17757 Spodoptera li 177	rugiperda hbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes escoinsi olichos ridania vanida vanida vanida vanida tifascia ittoralis itura	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT .T	ATTTATC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TTTATCGGAGTAAATTTAACT T.C.C.C.T.C	TTTAGGRTF/ TTTAGGRTF/ TTTTAGGRTF/ TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCCCGATA: 	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT C - A C
N599981 Spodoptera fi 0177285 Spodoptera ai 0177290 Spodoptera ci 0177295 Spodoptera ci 0177302 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera ei 0177310 Spodoptera ei 0177331 Spodoptera ei 0177335 Spodoptera la 0177354 Spodoptera li 0177355 Spodoptera li 0177355 Spodoptera li	rugiperda hbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida xempta vanida xempta atifascia ittoralis itura auritia	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT 	ATTIAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TTTTATCGGAGTAAATTTAACT T.C.C.C.T.C. T.C.C.T.C.T.C.T.C.T.C.T.		В2 GCAGGTATACCTCC GCAGGTATACCTCCCGATA · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 0177285 Spodoptera at 0177290 Spodoptera at 0177295 Spodoptera co 0177295 Spodoptera co 0177303 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera et 0177313 Spodoptera et 0177354 Spodoptera et 0177354 Spodoptera 1 0177354 Spodoptera 1 0177354 Spodoptera 1 0177354 Spodoptera 1 0177354 Spodoptera ma 0177395 Spodoptera ma	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes escoinsi olichos ridania vanida xempta xigua atifascia ittoralis itura auritia rnithogalli	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TC. 	ATTIAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTAACT TTTAICGGAGTAAATTAACT T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.	TTTAGGRTP TTTAGGRTP TTT.CCA TTT.CCA TTT.CCA TT.C. T.C. T.T.	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCCCCCCATA A A T 	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 0177285 Spodoptera al 0177290 Spodoptera au 0177295 Spodoptera oc 0177302 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera de 0177313 Spodoptera e 0177310 Spodoptera e 0177331 Spodoptera e 0177354 Spodoptera l 0177354 Spodoptera l 0177355 Spodoptera 1 0177354 Spodoptera 1 0177352 Spodoptera m 0177351 Spodoptera on 0177353 Spodoptera on 0177353 Spodoptera on 0177354 Spodoptera on 0177354 Spodoptera on 0177355 Spodoptera on	rugiperda hbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes eeroinsi olichos ridania vanida xampta xigua atifascia ittoralis ittura auritia nnithogalli chrea	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT 	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TTTAICGGAGTAAATTTAACT T.C.C.T.C.C. T.C.C.T.C.C. T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C. T.C.G.T.C.C.T.C.C. T.C.G.T.C.C.T.C.C. T.C.G.T.C.C.T.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C.T.C.C	Image: Transformer of the second se	В2 GCAGGTATACCTCC GCAGGTATACCTCCCGATA 	- ВЗ ТGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
NN599981 Spodoptera fi Q177285 Spodoptera at Q177295 Spodoptera ot Q177295 Spodoptera ot Q177302 Spodoptera do Q177303 Spodoptera do Q177313 Spodoptera do Q177313 Spodoptera et Q177331 Spodoptera et Q177346 Spodoptera et Q177346 Spodoptera 10 Q177346 Spodoptera 11 Q177347 Spodoptera mo Q177357 Spodoptera mo Q177358 Spodoptera mo Q177358 Spodoptera mo Q177358 Spodoptera no Q177358 Spodoptera no Q177358 Spodoptera no Q177358 Spodoptera no Q177359 Spodoptera no	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes eecoinsi olichos ridania vanida xempta xigua atifascia itoralis itoralis itoralis itoraeli itoraeli ctea ecten	В1 – ТТАТ₩ТАТТААЛАЛТГСАЛТТТТТАТТАТ 	ATTAIC Bloop GGACTAAATTAACT T	Image: constraint of the second sec	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCGATA	- B3 <u>TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT</u> ITCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
NS99981 Spodoptera fi 0177285 Spodoptera al 0177290 Spodoptera ar 0177295 Spodoptera co 0177292 Spodoptera co 0177302 Spodoptera do 0177303 Spodoptera do 0177311 Spodoptera e 0177331 Spodoptera e 0177335 Spodoptera e 0177335 Spodoptera l 0177354 Spodoptera l 0177354 Spodoptera mo 0177359 Spodoptera mo 0177359 Spodoptera mo 0177359 Spodoptera po 0177359 Spodoptera po 0177401 Spodoptera po 0177501 Spodoptera po 0177501 Spodoptera po 0177501 Spodoptera po 0177501 Spodoptera po 01775	rugiperda hula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida xempta xigua atifascia ittoralis ittoralis ittora auritia rnithogalli chrea ecten ectinicornis	B1 → TTATWIATTAAAAATTCAATTITTTATTAT 	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT T T T T T T T T T G T T G <td>- TTTAGGRTY TTT.TYTTCCCA TTTAGGRTY TOCTCCCCACAACATTTTTTAGGATY TAGGRTY T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T.T.T.T C.T.T.T.T.T T.T.T.T.T.T.T C.T.T.T.T.T.T T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.</td> <td>B2 GCAGGTATACCTCC GCAGGTATACCTCCCGATA </td> <td>- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT </td>	- TTTAGGRTY TTT.TYTTCCCA TTTAGGRTY TOCTCCCCACAACATTTTTTAGGATY TAGGRTY T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.C T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T T.T.T.T.T C.T.T.T.T.T T.T.T.T.T.T.T C.T.T.T.T.T.T T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.T.	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCC GCAGGTATACCTCCCGATA	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 177285 Spodoptera ai 177290 Spodoptera ai 177295 Spodoptera co 177295 Spodoptera co 177303 Spodoptera de 177313 Spodoptera de 177313 Spodoptera ei 177313 Spodoptera ei 177334 Spodoptera ei 177354 Spodoptera li 177354 Spodoptera li 177354 Spodoptera ii 177354 Spodoptera ii 177385 Spodoptera ii 177385 Spodoptera oi 177384 Spodoptera oi 177384 Spodoptera oi 177385 Spodoptera oi 177386 Spodoptera oi 177387 Spodoptera oi 177387 Spodoptera oi 177389 Spodoptera oi 177389 Spodoptera oi 177389 Spodoptera oi 177380 Spodoptera pi 177406 Spodoptera pi	rugiperda lbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes epravata escoinsi olichos ridania vanida xempta xigua atifascia itura atifascia a	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT TTATATATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTTT	ATTTAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTTAACT TITTATC GGAGTAAATTTAACT T T T T T T T T T T G	TTTAGGRTFA TTTTAGGRTFA TTTTAGGRTFA TTTTAGGRTFA TTTTAGGRTFA TTTTAGGRTFA TTTTC TTTTC TTTT	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCG GCAGGTATACCTCGTCCATA · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT
N599981 Spodoptera fi 0177285 Spodoptera at 0177290 Spodoptera at 0177295 Spodoptera co 0177292 Spodoptera do 0177303 Spodoptera do 0177303 Spodoptera do 0177313 Spodoptera et 0177313 Spodoptera et 0177336 Spodoptera et 0177354 Spodoptera 1 0177354 Spodoptera 1 0177355 Spodoptera 1 0177357 Spodoptera no 0177387 Spodoptera mo 0177387 Spodoptera no 0177387 Spodoptera po 0177387 Spodoptera po 0177387 Spodoptera po 0177387 Spodoptera po 0177404 Spodoptera po 017	rugiperda hbula ndrogea ilium osmiodes escoinsi olichos ridania vanida vanida vanida vanida titaria titaria auritia rittoralls itura auritia rnithogalli chrea ecten ecten ctaria refica	B1 → TTATWTATTAAAAATTCAATTTTTTATTAT 	ATTIAIC Bloop GGAGTAAATTAACT TTTAICGGAGTAAATTAACT T.C.C.C.T.C	- TTTAGGRTF TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	B2 GCAGGTATACCTCCC GCAGGTATACCTCCCCGATA 	- B3 TGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT TTCTGATTATCCTGATTCTTAT

Figure 2. Mitochondrial COI DNA sequence (3' region) alignment showing FAW LAMP primers. Sequence of FAW (grey shading, from Kim et al.³⁷) and other closely related *Spodoptera* species^{20,55} obtained from GenBank. Reverse primers are underlined; FIP (5'-3') is made by combining F1 (reverse compliment) and F2; BIP (5'-3') is made by combining B1 and B2 (reverse compliment).



Figure 3. Optimised LAMP assay performed on FAW larva and adult moth laboratory DNA extracts. (**a**) Amplification profile, with 7 positive samples amplifying in approx. 10 min and negative sample (dark blue) showing a flat line. (**b**) Anneal derivative of LAMP amplicons, with an anneal derivative of 78.5 °C.

showed FAW to consist of admixed genome signature overall, indicating that these were generally hybridised populations⁴²⁻⁴⁴. Our LAMP assay was developed to accurately identify all FAW, incorporating the range of COI DNA sequence variation known from *S. frugiperda*, sensu lato, regardless of their rice / corn host preferences, or if they represented hybridised individuals.

While the FAW LAMP assay developed here showed rapid and robust confirmation of FAW regardless of host strains or hybrids, the DNA data generated also unexpectedly revealed incongruency in the taxonomic status of some non-target Noctuidae species. For example, our DNA sequencing of *S. exigua* revealed that the

Species	Life stage	Source	Number	Common Name	Extraction method	GenBank Accession No	FAW LAMP*
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10705	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539296	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10706	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539297	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva	AgVic CHS	VAITC10707	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539298	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10708	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539299	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10709	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539300	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10710	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539301	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10711	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539302	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	QDAF	VAITC10713	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539303	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	QDAF	VAITC10714	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539304	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva	QDAF	VAITC10724	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539314	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva	QDAF	VAITC10725	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539315	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva	QDAF	VAITC10726	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539316	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva	QDAF	VAITC10727	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539317	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva	QDAF	VAITC10728	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539318	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva	QDAF	VAITC10729	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539319	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10651	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539292	+
Spodoptera frugiperda	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10652	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539293	+
Spodoptera							
frugiperda	Larva	QDAF	0-175600	Fall Armyworm	Destructive Bioline	OL539281	+
frugiperda Spodoptera frugiperda	Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline	OL539281 OL539282	+ +
frugiperda Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cos- moides	Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO	0-175600	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270	+ -
Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera eridania	Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO	0-175600	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army- worm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271	+ + - -
Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cosmoides Spodoptera exigua	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539288	+ + - - -
Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army- worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539288 OL539272	+ - - - -
Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera lit- toralis	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539288 OL539272 OL539273	+ - - - - - -
Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera lit- toralis	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10719	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539288 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309	+ - - - - - - - -
Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera lit- toralis Spodoptera litura	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Adult moth	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539288 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310	+ - - - - - - - - -
Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera lit- toralis Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army- worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539288 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539312	+
Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera lit- toralis Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539313	+
Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Larva Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723 VAITC10879	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539312 OL539313 OL539320	+
Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF DAWE QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723 VAITC10879 0-175619	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539312 OL539313 OL539320 OL539283	+
Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723 VAITC10879 0-175619 0-175578	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539312 OL539320 OL539283 OL539284	+
Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Adult moth Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723 VAITC10723 0-175619 0-175578 0-175608	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Taro Caterpillar	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Bioline	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539313 OL539320 OL539283 OL539284 OL539285	+
Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera litura Spodoptera <td>Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Adult moth Larva Larva</td> <td>QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF</td> <td>0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723 VAITC10723 0-175619 0-175608 0-175609</td> <td>Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Lawn Armyworm</td> <td>Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline</td> <td>OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539312 OL539320 OL5393283 OL539284 OL539285</td> <td>+</td>	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Adult moth Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO AgVic CHS CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723 VAITC10723 0-175619 0-175608 0-175609	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Lawn Armyworm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539312 OL539320 OL5393283 OL539284 OL539285	+
Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera litura Spodoptera Spodoptera litura Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera Spodoptera	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10722 VAITC10723 VAITC10879 0-175619 0-175578 0-175608 0-175609	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Lawn Armyworm Lawn Armyworm N/A	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline	OL539281 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539312 OL539320 OL539320 OL539320 OL539283 OL539284 OL539285 OL539286	+
Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera frugiperda Spodoptera cos- moides Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera exigua Spodoptera litura Spodoptera cotrea Spodoptera ochrea Spodoptera orni- thogalli	Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Larva Adult moth Adult moth Larva Larva	QDAF QDAF CSIRO CSIRO CSIRO QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF QDAF	0-175600 0-175602 VAITC10615 VAITC10615 VAITC10719 VAITC10720 VAITC10720 VAITC10723 VAITC10879 0-175619 0-175578 0-175608 0-175609	Fall Armyworm Fall Armyworm Black Armyworm Southern Army-worm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Beet Armyworm Cotton Leafworm Cotton Leafworm Taro Caterpillar Lawn Armyworm Lawn Armyworm N/A Yellow Striped Armyworm	Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline Destructive Bioline Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Qiagen Destructive Chelex	OL539281 OL539282 OL539270 OL539271 OL539271 OL539272 OL539273 OL539309 OL539310 OL539313 OL539284 OL539285 OL539286 OL539275	+

Species	Life stage	Source	Number	Common Name	Extraction method	GenBank Accession No	FAW LAMP*
Dasygaster padockina	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9744	Tasmanian Cut- worm	Non-destructive QE	OL539321	-
Helicoverpa armig- era armigera	Larva	CSIRO	Haa-AD10	Cotton Bollworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539263	-
Helicoverpa armig- era armigera	Larva	CSIRO	Haa-AD13	Cotton Bollworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539264	-
Helicoverpa armig- era conferta	Larva	AgVic CHS	VAITC10314	Cotton Bollworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539287	-
Helicoverpa amig- era conferta	Adult moth	QDAF	VAITC10721	Cotton Bollworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539311	-
Helicoverpa armig- era conferta	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10634	Cotton Bollworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539289	-
Helicoverpa armig- era conferta	Larva	CSIRO	Нас	Cotton Bollworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539266	-
Helicoverpa assulta	Larva	CSIRO	Hass2	Oriental Tobacco Budworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539265	-
Helicoverpa assulta	Larva	CSIRO		Oriental Tobacco Budworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539267	-
Helicoverpa gelo- topoeon	Larva	CSIRO	Arg1	South American Bollworm	Destructive Qiagen	MG437199	-
Helicoverpa gelo- topoeon	Larva	CSIRO	Arg2	South American Bollworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539268	-
Helicoverpa punctigera	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10650	Native Budworm	Destructive Chelex	OL539291	-
Helicoverpa punctigera	Larva	CSIRO		Native Budworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539269	-
Leucania loreyi	Adult moth	QDAF	VAITC10715	False Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539305	_
Leucania loreyi	Adult moth	QDAF	VAITC10716	False Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539306	-
Leucania loreyi	Adult moth	QDAF	VAITC10717	False Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539307	-
Leucania loreyi	Adultmoth	QDAF	VAITC10718	False Armyworm	Destructive Qiagen	OL539308	-
Leucania loreyi	Larva	QDAF	0-175593	False Armyworm	Destructive Bioline	OL539276	-
Leucania loreyi	Larva	QDAF	0-175594	False Armyworm	Destructive Bioline	OL539277	-
Leucania stenographa	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC10649	Sugarcane Army- worm	Destructive Chelex	OL539290	-
Leucania stenographa	Larva	QDAF	0-175611	Sugarcane Army- worm	Destructive Bioline	OL539278	-
Mythimna convecta	Larva	AgVic CHS	VAITC10679	Australian Army- worm	Destructive Chelex	OL539294	-
Mythimna convecta	Larva	AgVic CHS	VAITC10680	Australian Army- worm	Destructive Chelex	OL539295	-
Mythimna convecta	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9749	Australian Army- worm	Non-destructive QE	OL539325	-
Mythimna separata	Larva	QDAF	0-175610	Paddy Armyworm	Destructive Bioline	OL539279	-
Mythimna separata	Larva	QDAF	0-175612	Paddy Armyworm	Destructive Bioline	OL539280	-
Persectania dyscrita	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9746	Inland Armyworm	Non-destructive QE	OL539323	-
Persectania dyscrita	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9747	Inland Armyworm	Non-destructive QE	OL539324	-
Persectania ewingii	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9757	Southern Army- worm	Non-destructive QE	OL539326	_
Persectania ewingii	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9758	Southern Army- worm	Non-destructive QE	OL539327	_
Persectania ewingii	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9759	Southern Army- worm	Non-destructive QE	OL539328	_
Persectania ervingii	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9760	Southern Army- worm	Non-destructive QE	OL539329	
Proteuxoa cyano- loma	Adult moth	AgVic CHS	VAITC9745	Two-spot Noctuid	Non-destructive OE	OL539322	-

Table 2. Panel of Noctuidae (Lepidoptera) specimens tested for the FAW LAMP assay. Bold indicates the target species. "+" indicates FAW LAMP amplification within 20 min, "-" indicates no amplification. AgVic CHS, Agriculture Victoria Crop Health Services; CSIRO, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation; DAWE, Department of Agriculture Water and Environment; QDAF, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries Queensland; QE, QuickExtract. *See Results.

			Time (min)	Temperature (°C)
Species	n	Amplification	Mean±SD	Mean ± SD
Spodoptera frugiperda	19	19/19	9.2±1.1	78.3 ± 0.3
Spodoptera cosmoides	1	0		
Spodoptera eridania	1	0		
Spodoptera exigua	2	0		
Spodoptera littoralis	1	0		
Spodoptera litura	7	1/7	24	None
Spodoptera mauritia	2	2/2	22	77.9 ± 0.2
Spodoptera ochrea	1	0		
Spodoptera ornithogalli	1	0		
Dasygaster padockina	1	0		
Helicoverpa armigera armigera	2	0		
Helicoverpa armigera conferta	4	2/4	24	77.6±0.0
Helicoverpa assulta	2	0		
Helicoverpa gelotopoeon	2	0		
Helicoverpa punctigera	2	0		
Leucania loreyi	6	4/6	24±0.1	77.8±0.2
Leucania stenographa	2	1/2	22	None
Mythimna convecta	3	1/3	24	78.0
Mythimna separata	2	0		
Persectania dyscrita	2	0		
Persectania ewingii	4	0		
Proteuxoa cyanoloma	1	0		

Table 3. Performance of the FAW LAMP assay using the optimised primer ratio 1:6:3.

		Time (min)	Temperature (°C)
DNA extraction method	n	Mean±SD	Mean ± SD
QuickExtract			
Larva	3	10.3 ± 0.7	78.6±0
Adult	3	12.0±1.3	78.7±0
Xtract			
Larva	2	9.0±1.1	78.5 ± 0.2
Adult	2	13.4±0.5	78.6±0

Table 4. In-field compatible non-destructive DNA extracted from a single thoracic leg of FAW caterpillar or moth using two different extraction buffers tested for FAW LAMP assay.

.....

two specimens collected in Africa and Australia are genetically divergent (4.4%, Fig. 1) and likely represent two discrete cryptic species, despite both matching with 100% similarity to different reference sequences identified as *S. exigua* in the Barcode of Life DNA (BOLD) and GenBank databases. Likewise, the generic designation of *Leucania loreyi*, which is commonly erroneously placed within the genus *Mythimna* in many studies, is also unclear⁴⁵. When the geographic distribution of both *L. loreyi* and *M. loreyi* are combined this species is very widespread (GBIF, accessed 20-Sept 2021), however confirmation of the taxonomic status for this widespread Old World pest is required to afford confidence in the LAMP assay which has recently been developed for *M. loreyi*⁴⁶.

For both pre-border interception and in-field applications, all FAW life stages are expected to be encountered, including egg-masses and early-instar larvae. Laboratory testing of the new LAMP assay on such samples was not possible here, as they were not available. However, other molecular approaches, such as PCR-RFLP which has been used to differentiate *Helicoverpa* species⁴⁷, have been shown to be effective on these early life stages. Additionally, LAMP assays for other insects have been shown to work on all lifestages^{30,31} and given the sensitivity of our FAW LAMP assay (i.e., down to ~ 10 copies of gBlock DNA fragments and 2.4 pg of FAW DNA) it is anticipated that the assay outlined here will also be able to accurately identify these early life stages of FAW.

The comparison of the published Kim, et al.³⁷ LAMP assay revealed that our new assay is the most suitable for in-field use, being capable of producing results more rapidly in a portable real-time fluorometer, using appropriate commercially available reagents, or using the alternative colorimetric approach. As LAMP amplification times are influenced by both amplicon length and the presence of loop primers⁴⁸, it is likely that our new assay produces such rapid amplification times (approx. 10 min) due to it consisting of a relatively small amplicon fragment and



Figure 4. Detection sensitivity of FAW gBlock dsDNA amplicons (upper), evaluating amount of FAW DNA with gBlock DNA (lower). (a) Amplification profile with gBlock templates ranging from 10⁸ to 10 copies at ten-fold dilution. (b) Anneal derivative of gBlock LAMP amplicons, with an anneal derivative of 81 °C. (c) Amplification profile of four-fold dilution of FAW DNA (VAITC 10726) and gBlock DNA (10⁵ copies, pink). (d) Anneal derivative of LAMP amplicons showing two peaks, 78.5 °C for FAW DNA dilutions and 81 °C for gBlock DNA (pink).

employing two loop primers. The second published FAW LAMP assay³⁸ also does not use loop primers, but was not directly compared in our study. This latter assay has also been shown to be capable of rapidly amplifying FAW DNA using the GenieIII, and has been tested against larvae of eleven non-target Noctuidae, including two *Spodoptera* species to-date³⁸.

Prevention of on-going introduction of novel economically significant traits in new invasive pest populations is a biosecurity priority^{2,49}. FAW has been shown to have diverse insecticide and Bt resistances^{10,50,51}. Currently, there are no rapid in-field molecular tools available to screen for resistance in FAW, although these may be developed in the future. For now, our LAMP assay provides a new tool to aid in monitoring FAW incursions, through providing rapid, accurate species identification, using simple protocols for DNA extraction and LAMP amplification including a gBlock positive control, being capable of being performed on a portable real-time fluorometer in the field.

Materials and methods

Specimens examined. Adult and larval specimens of the FAW target species, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (n = 19 individuals), and non-target Noctuidae species (n = 20 species, n = 49 individuals), were examined in this study (Table 2). All species identities were confirmed through DNA barcoding of the mitochondrial COI locus (5'-region) following standard laboratory procedures^{52,53}.

DNA extractions. DNA was extracted from single (thoracic) legs removed from adult and late-instar larval Noctuidae specimens (Table 2), in the laboratory using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue extraction kit (Qiagen, USA); the ISOLATE II Genomic DNA Kit (Bioline, UK); and the 5% Chelex 100 (BioRad, USA) extraction



Figure 5. Time-series of FAW LAMP (new assay primers) using colorimetric master mix. Ninety minutes total amplification time shown in increments of 15 min. Samples: (1) *Spodoptera frugiperda*, (2) *Spodoptera litura* (PNG), (3) *Spodoptera exigua*, (4) *Helicoverpa armigera conferta*, (5) *Mythimna convecta*, (6) *Leucania loreyi*, (7) no-template negative control and (8) FAW gBlock DNA dilution 10⁶. The colour change from pink to yellow in tube 1 and 8 indicates positive samples. Negative samples did not change colour.

method, all following manufacturer recommendations and standard laboratory protocols⁵⁴. DNA was quantified either by a NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher, Australia) or a Qubit 2.0 Flourometer (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Australia) and subsequently stored at -20 °C.

In-field compatible extraction procedures employed were either the QuickExtract^{**} (QE) solution 1.0 (Epicentre Biotechnologies, USA) (as per Blacket, et al.³⁰), or the Xtract (Xt) DNA extraction solution (GeneWorks, Australia) as follows: 50 μ L of extraction solution was pipetted into each well of an 8-well Genie strip (OptiGene, UK) with one leg of FAW adult moth (n = 3) and one leg of FAW larva (n = 3) and incubated in the Genie III at 65 °C for 6 min, followed by 2 min at 98 °C³⁰, and then kept on ice for > 1 min.



Figure 6. DNA sensitivity test of FAW LAMP and FAW real-time PCR assays. (**a**,**b**) A four-fold DNA dilution series of two biological replicates of FAW larvae (VAITC 10707 and 10726) DNA amount ranging from 40.0 ng/ μ L to 2.441 × 10⁻³ ng/ μ L. (**a**) FAW LAMP assay amplification time, sensitive to all 8 DNA dilutions tested. (**b**) Real-time PCR Cq values sensitive to all 8 dilutions tested. (**c**,**d**) A four-fold DNA dilution series of two biological replicates of FAW adult moth (VAITC 10728 and 10729) DNA amount ranging from 1.0 ng/ μ L to 6.1 × 10⁻⁵ ng/ μ L. (**c**) FAW LAMP assay amplification time, sensitive to only 5 out of 8 DNA dilutions tested. (**d**) Real-time PCR Cq values sensitive to only 5 out of 8 DNA dilutions tested. (**d**) Real-time PCR Cq values sensitive to only 5 out of 8 DNA dilutions tested. (**d**) Real-time PCR Cq values sensitive to only 5 out of 8 DNA dilutions tested.

Development of a *Spodoptera frugiperda* (FAW) LAMP assay. *LAMP primer design.* Six novel LAMP primers were manually designed by eye to target eight DNA regions from a COI reference alignment (3'-COI region), including twenty-three *Spodoptera* species (from Kergoat, et al.⁵⁵ Nagoshi, et al.²⁰). The reference alignment was compiled from existing DNA sequences of *Spodoptera* species available on GenBank (accessed Dec 2020), to the mitochondrial genome from Kim, et al.³⁷. The 3'-COI region was used for primer design as it was found that there were a larger number of DNA sequences available for this region than the standard 5'-COI DNA barcoding region for FAW and related Spodoptera species. The 3'-COI region has also been previously used for identification of host-specific strains within FAW²⁰.

For all primers, the GC content (%), predicted melting temperature (Tm), and potential secondary structure formations (hairpins or dimers) were analysed using the Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) online OligoAnalyzer tool (https://sg.idtdna.com/calc/analyzer), using the qPCR parameter sets. Complete sets of LAMP primers were analysed together to detect potential primer dimer interactions using the Thermo Fisher Multiple Primer Analyzer tool (www.thermofisher.com). Primers were synthesised by Sigma (Australia).

LAMP assay optimisation. Optimisation was performed following the protocols previously outlined in Blacket, et al.³⁰, which include testing multiple primer ratios to obtain optimum amplification time and a consistent anneal derivative temperature. Primers F3 and B3 were used at 10 μ M concentration, whilst FIP, BIP, Bloop and Floop were used at 100 μ M concentration. The primer master mix was prepared to a ratio 1:6:3 by adding 10 μ L of F3 and B3; 6 μ L of FIP and BIP; 3 μ L of Bloop and Floop; and 62 μ L of ultrapure water, for a total volume of 100 μ L. Each LAMP reaction mix was made by adding 10 μ L of primer master mix to 14 μ L of Isothermal Master Mix (ISO-004, OptiGene, UK) and 1 μ L of template DNA into each well of the Genie strip (25 μ L total reaction volume). Each run included a positive control (i.e., known FAW DNA, VAITC 10707), a no-template negative control, and six test samples.

LAMP assays were run in the Genie III at 65 °C for 25 min followed by an annealing curve analysis from 98 to 73 °C with ramping at 0.05 °C/s, visualised in the blue channel. LAMP runs taking approx. 35 min in total. The run date, Genie III serial number and the run number of each LAMP assay completed on the machine were recorded to allow run files to be transferred and analysed using a PC version of the software Genie Explorer version 2.0.7.11. The amplification and anneal derivative curves were visualised on the Genie III screen to ensure

that amplification occurred as expected, with positive amplification plots showing an 'S' shaped sigmoid curve reflecting the increase in fluorescence detected, and negative results staying relatively flat. Positive results were further confirmed through performing the annealing step which results in a single product peak at a specific temperature.

Validation of Kim, et al.³⁷ **primers on Genie III.** The first set of primers tested were prepared in a master mix that included all six primers F3/B3, FIP/BIP and Floop/Bloop to a ratio of 1:6:3. The reaction was run on the Genie III using the OptiGene mastermix (ISO-004, OptiGene, UK) at 65 °C for an extended time of 35 min. The second primer set (colorimetric assay primers) tested consisted of only five primers F3/B3, FIP/BIP and Bloop (i.e. no Floop) at a ratio of 1:8:2 (as per Kim, et al.³⁷). The reaction was run on the Genie III using the OptiGene mastermix at 61 °C for 25 min.

Both 5 and 6-primer sets were tested in two LAMP runs with OptiGene reagents as mentioned above using DNA templates in well 1 to 8 as listed sequentially. The first well containing DNA of the target species (1) *S. frugiperda*, (2) *Spodoptera litura* (Papua New Guinea, PNG), (3) *Spodoptera exigua*, (4) *Helicoverpa armigera conferta*, (5) *Mythimna convecta*, (6) *Leucania loreyi*, (7) no-template negative control and (8) FAW gBlock dilution 10⁶.

Evaluation and design of a gBlock dsDNA fragment for the FAW LAMP assay. We designed a gBlock dsDNA fragment (Integrated DNA Technologies, Iowa, USA) for use as a positive control for the FAW LAMP assay. This DNA fragment consisted solely of concatenated LAMP primers separated by runs of "ccc" and "ggg" to increase the overall Tm of the fragment, and therefore, a different annealing derivative when compared to positive FAW samples.

Sensitivity of the LAMP assay was tested using the serially diluted gBlock DNA. The copy number calculation and ten-fold serial dilution (1:10) of the gBlock was prepared as outlined in³¹. Serial dilutions ranged from ~ 100 million copies down to ~ 10 copies (10⁸ copies to 10 copies) and were run in the Genie III following the same FAW LAMP protocol as previously mentioned. Following this, a second FAW LAMP run was conducted to determine the best dilution to be used as a positive control. The four-fold serial dilution of laboratory extracted FAW larva DNA (VAITC 10726) (40 ng/µL to 0.0391 ng/µL) was used as DNA template to compare with one hundred thousand copies (10⁵) of gBlock DNA. The amount of FAW DNA was then equated from the amplification time of FAW gBlock dilution 10⁵.

Colorimetric FAW LAMP assay with new and published primers. We also tested our FAW LAMP assay primers using an alternative colorimetric LAMP master mix (WarmStart Colorimetric LAMP 2×master mix, DNA & RNA, New England Biolabs Inc.) following published protocols³².

The volume of primer master mix in each reaction mixture was initially optimised using 2.5, 5 and 10 μ L of primer mix. Ten microlitres of primer mix added to the reaction was able to produce colour change from pink to yellow in the shortest time. The reactions were set up in a 25 μ L reaction volume and included 12.5 μ L of colorimetric master mix, 10 μ L of primer mix (1:6:3, F3/B3: F1P/BIP: Floop/Bloop which is the same primer mix as used for new FAW LAMP assay), 1 μ L of template DNA (same order as mentioned in validation of Kim, et al.³⁷ primers) and 1.5 μ L of water, respectively.

Simultaneously, we tested the published colorimetric LAMP assay by Kim, et al.³⁷ using their LAMP primers and published protocol. The reaction was set up in a total volume of 25 μ L. Each reaction mixture included 12.5 μ L of colorimetric master mix 2.5 μ L of primer mix (1:8:2, F3/B3: FIP/BIP: Bloop only, no Floop), 1 μ L of template DNA (same order as mentioned in validation of Kim et al. (2021) primers) and 9 μ L of water, respectively.

We ran both assays side-by-side for comparison, showing a clear timeline for each test. The tubes were incubated on a heat block at 65 °C (our assay) and 61 °C (Kim, et al.³⁷ assay) and the colour change was monitored by photographing with a Canon 5D digital SLR camera every 15 min for 90 min (our assay) and 165 min (Kim, et al.³⁷ assay).

Analytical sensitivity of the FAW LAMP assay compared to real-time PCR²⁸. A four-fold serial dilution (1:4) of biological replicates of FAW late-instar larval thoracic leg (reference specimens VAITC 10707 and 10726) and FAW adult moth leg (reference specimens VAITC 10728 and 10729) laboratory extracted DNA was prepared using Ultrapure water (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Australia). Starting DNA concentration was quantified using a Qubit 2.0 Flourometer (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Australia) following manufacturers protocol. The DNA sample was serially diluted from 40.0 to 2.441×10^{-3} ng/µL (1:1 to 1:16,384) for the FAW larval DNA and 1.0 ng/µL to 6.1×10^{-5} ng/µL (1:1 to 1:16,384) for the FAW adult DNA. Sensitivity of the LAMP assay was tested for each of the four samples using the eight serially diluted DNA samples in the Genie III, following the same assay conditions as described above. The time of amplification and anneal derivative temperature was recorded for all samples.

The same serial dilution of DNA from above was compared for FAW DNA sensitivity using a real-time PCR assay. The primers and probe set (Sigma) and cycling conditions used were as published in²⁸ except that the primer concentration was increased from 0.3 μ M to 0.5 μ M and probe concentration from 0.1 to 0.2 μ M for optimum amplification. Real-time PCR was performed in QuantStudio 3 Real time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a total volume of 25 μ L with technical replicates for each dilution. Each reaction mixture included 12.5 μ L GoTaq Probe qPCR mastermix (Promega), 0.5 μ M of each forward and reverse primers, 0.2 μ M Taqman probe, 4 μ L of template DNA and made up to 25 μ L with RNA-free water. A non-template control with 4 μ L of water instead of DNA was included in each run to check for reagent contamination. The PCR thermal cycling conditions consisted of a one-step denaturation: 2 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of amplification in

a two-step procedure: 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. The average Cq value (cycling quantification value) of the eight dilutions was recorded for comparison with the amplification time from the LAMP assay.

Data availability

GenBank, accession numbers OL539263 - OL539329.

Received: 22 September 2021; Accepted: 23 December 2021 Published online: 21 January 2022

References

- 1. Goergen, G., Kumar, P. L., Sankung, S. B., Togola, A. & Tamo, M. First report of outbreaks of the fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (J E Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a new alien invasive pest in West and Central Africa. PLoS ONE 11, e0165632 (2016)
- 2. Tay, W. T. & Gordon, K. H. J. Going global-Genomic insights into insect invasions. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 31, 123-130 (2019).
- 3. Yee, K. N. et al. First detection report of the fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptra: Noctuidae) on maize in Myanmar. (IPPC Official Pest Report, 2019).
- 4. Jing, D.-P. et al. Initial detections and spread of invasive Spodoptera frugiperda in China and comparisons with other noctuid larvae in cornfields using molecular techniques. Insect Sci. 27, 780-790 (2020).
- 5. Piggott, M. P., Tadle, F. P. J., Patel, S., Gomez, K. C. & Thistleton, B. Corn-strain or rice-strain? Detection of fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in northern Australia. Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42690-021-00441-7 (2021).
- 6. FAO. Global action for fall armyworm control. http://www.fao.org/fall-armyworm/monitoring-tools/faw-map/en/ (2021).
- 7. DPIRD. Fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda). (Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, 2020).
- GRDC. Fall armyworm. https://grdc.com.au/resources-and-publications/resources/fall-armyworm (2021).
 Padhee, A. K. & Prasanna, B. M. The emerging threat of fall armyworm in India. Indian Farming 69, 51–54 (2019).
- 10. Carvalho, R. A., Omoto, C., Field, L. M., Williamson, M. S. & Bass, C. Investigating the molecular mechanisms of organophosphate and pyrethroid resistance in the fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda. PLoS ONE 8, e62268 (2013).
- 11. Guan, F. et al. Whole-genome sequencing to detect mutations associated with resistance to insecticides and Bt proteins in Spodoptera frugiperda. Insect Sci. 28, 627-638 (2021).
- 12. Yainna, S. et al. Geographic monitoring of insecticide resistance mutations in native and invasive populations of the fall armyworm. Insects 12, 468 (2021).
- 13. Mitchell, E. R. et al. Seasonal periodicity of fall armyworm, (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in the Caribbean basin and northward to Canada. J. Entomol. Sci. 26, 39-50 (1991).
- 14. Nagoshi, R. N. & Meagher, R. L. Seasonal distribution of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains in agricultural and turf grass habitats. Environ. Entomol. 33, 881-889 (2004).
- 15. Nagoshi, R. N. & Meagher, R. L. Review of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) genetic complexity and migration. Fla. Entomol. 91, 546-554 (2008).
- 16. Huesing, J. E. et al. in Fall Armyworm in Africa: A Guide for Integrated Pest Management (eds B. M. Prasanna, J. E. Huesing, R. Eddy & V. M. Peschke). (CDMX: CIMMYT, 2018).
- Westbrook, J. K., Nagoshi, R. N., Meagher, R. L., Fleischer, S. J. & Jairam, S. Modeling seasonal migration of fall armyworm moths. Int. J. Biometeorol. 60, 255-267 (2016).
- 18. Martinelli, S., Barata, R. M., Zucchi, M. I., Silva-Filho, M. C. & Omoto, C. Molecular variability of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations associated to maize and cotton crops in Brazil. J. Econ. Entomol. 99, 519-526 (2006)
- 19. Montezano, D. G., Specht, A., Sosa-Gomez, D. R., Roque-Specht, V. F. & Sousa-Silva, J. C. Host plants of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in the Americas. Afr. Entomol. 26, 286-300 (2018).
- 20. Nagoshi, R. N. et al. Genetic characterization of fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) in Ecuador and comparisons with regional populations identify likely migratory relationships. PLoS ONE 14, e0222332 (2019).
- 21. Department of Agriculture and Fisheries. (Queensland Government, 2020).
- Pogue, M. World revision of the genus Spodoptera Guenee: (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Mem. Am. Entomol. Soc. 43, 1-202 (2002).
- 23. EPPO. PM 7/124 (1) Spodoptera littoralis, Spodoptera litura, Spodoptera frugiperda, Spodoptera eridania. Bull. OEPP/EPPO Bull. 45, 410-444 (2015).
- 24. Lewter, J. A. & Szalanski, A. L. Molecular identification of the fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) using PCR-RFLP. J. Agric. Urban Entomol. 24, 51-57 (2007).
- Cock, M. J. W., Beseh, P. K., Buddie, A. G., Cafa, G. & Crozier, J. Molecular methods to detect Spodoptera frugiperda in Ghana, 25. and implications for monitoring the spread of invasive species in developing countries. Sci. Rep. 7, 4103 (2017).
- 26. Nagoshi, R. N. The Fall Armyworm Triose Phosphate Isomerase (Tpi) gene as a marker of strain identity and interstrain mating. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 103, 283-292 (2010).
- 27. Tsai, C.-L. et al. Rapid identification of the invasive fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) using speciesspecific primers in multiplex PCR. Sci. Rep. 10, 16508 (2020).
- 28. van de Vossenberg, B. T. L. H. & van der Straten, M. J. Development and validation of real-time PCR tests for the identification of four Spodoptera species: Spodoptera eridania, Spodoptera frugiperda, Spodoptera littoralis, and Spodoptera littura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 107, 1643-1654 (2014).
- 29. Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Research 28, e63 (2000).
- 30. Blacket, M. J. et al. A LAMP assay for the detection of Bactrocera tryoni Queensland fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). Sci. Rep. 10, 9554 (2020).
- 31. Agarwal, A., Cunningham, J. P., Valenzuela, I. & Blacket, M. J. A diagnostic LAMP assay for the destructive grapevine insect pest, phylloxera (Daktulosphaira vitifoliae). Sci. Rep. 10, 21229 (2020).
- 32. Rako, L. et al. A LAMP (Loop-mediated isothermal amplification) test for rapid identification of Khapra beetle (Trogoderma granarium). Pest Manag. Sci. 77, 5509-5521 (2021).
- 33. Blaser, S. et al. From laboratory to point of entry: development and implementation of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)-based genetic identification system to prevent introduction of quarantine insect species. Pest Manag. Sci. 74, 1504–1512 (2018).
- 34. Kyei-Poku, G., Gauthier, D. & Quan, G. Development of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay as an early-warning tool for detecting emerald ash borer (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) incursions. J. Econ. Entomol. 113, 2480-2494 (2020).
- 35. Rizzo, D. et al. Development of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay for the identification of the invasive wood borer Aromia bungii (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) from frass. 3 Biotech 11, 85 (2021).
- Conte, J., Potoczniak, M. J. & Tobe, S. S. Using synthetic oligonucleotides as standards in probe-based qPCR. Biotechniques 64, 36. 177-179 (2018).

- 37. Kim, J. *et al.* Development of a simple and accurate molecular tool for *Spodoptera frugiperda* species identification using LAMP. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 77, 3145–3153 (2021).
- Congdon, B. S., Webster, C. G., Severtson, D. & Spafford, H. In-field capable loop-mediated isothermal amplification detection of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae using a rapid and simple crude extraction technique. J. Econ. Entomol. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toab168 (2021).
- Kergoat, G. J. et al. A novel reference dated phylogeny for the genus Spodoptera Guenée (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae: Noctuinae): new
 insights into the evolution of a pest-rich genus. Mol. Phylogenet. Evolut. 161, 107161 (2021).
- Dumas, P. et al. Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host-plant variants: two host strains or two distinct species?. Genetica 143, 305–316 (2015).
- 41. Gouin, A. et al. Two genomes of highly polyphagous lepidopteran pests (Spodoptera frugiperda, Noctuidae) with different hostplant ranges. Sci. Rep. 7, 1186 (2017).
- 42. Yainna, S. *et al.* Genomic balancing selection is key to the invasive success of the fall armyworm. *BioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.22541/ au.160363803.32074105/v1 (2020).
- Zhang, L. *et al.* Genetic structure and insecticide resistance characteristics of fall armyworm populations invading China. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 20, 1682–1696 (2020).
- Tay, W. T. et al. Global FAW population genomic signature supports complex introduction events across the Old World. BioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.12.147660 (2020).
- Joomun, N., Ganeshan, S. & Dookun-Saumtally, A. Identification of three armyworm species (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) using DNA barcodes and restriction enzyme digestion. Proc. Int. Soc. Sugar Cane Technol. 27, 1–9 (2010).
- Nam, H. Y., Kwon, M., Kim, H. J. & Kim, J. Development of a species diagnostic molecular tool for an invasive pest, *Mythimna* loreyi, using LAMP. Insects 11, 817 (2020).
- Behere, G. T., Tay, W. T., Russell, D. A. & Batterham, P. Molecular markers to discriminate among four pest species of *Helicoverpa* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Bull. Entomol. Res. 98, 599–603 (2008).
- Nagamine, K., Hase, T. & Notomi, T. Accelerated reaction by loop-mediated isothermal amplification using loop primers. *Mol. Cell. Probes* 16, 223–229 (2002).
- 49. De Barro, P. J., Liu, S.-S., Boykin, L. M. & Dinsdale, A. B. *Bemisia tabaci*: A statement of species status. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 56, 1–19 (2011).
- 50. Storer, N. P., Kubiszak, M. E., King, J. E., Thompson, G. D. & Santos, A. C. Status of resistance to Bt maize in *Spodoptera frugiperda*: Lessons from Puerto Rico. J. Invertebr. Pathol. **110**, 294–300 (2012).
- 51. Bernardi, O. et al. Selection and characterization of resistance to the Vip3Aa20 protein from Bacillus thuringiensis in Spodoptera frugiperda. Pest Manag. Sci. 72, 1794–1802 (2016).
- 52. Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L. & DeWaard, J. R. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proc. R. Soc. B 270, 313-321 (2003).
- 53. Ratnasingham, S. & Hebert, P. D. N. BOLD: the barcode of life data system. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 7, 355–364 (2007).
- 54. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Press, 1989).
- Kergoat, G. J. et al. Disentangling dispersal, vicariance and adaptive radiation patterns: A case study using armyworms in the pest genus Spodoptera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 65, 855–870 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Australian Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment (DAWE) for funding this research (Project Identification Number 188450, completed May 2021). Elizabeth McCrudden (DAWE) and Martin Bluml (AgVic) assisted with project management. Linda Semeraro (AgVic), Lixin Eow (AgVic) and Isabel Valenzuela (AgVic) provided the Victorian CHS surveillance FAW and related species samples for this project. We thank Subramaniam Siva (QDAF) for providing the FAW specimens and other related species collected from FAW pheromone traps in Queensland. CSIRO specimens of *Spodoptera exigua, S. orchrea, S. ornithogalli, S. litura* and *S. littoralis* were provided by DAWE, while *S. cosmoides* and *S. eridania* were provided by Prof. Cecilia Czepak (Federal University of Goias, Brazil; IBAMA Permit Number: 18BR028445/DF). We thank David Britton and Todd Greenwood (DAWE) for providing specimens of *S litura* from Papua New Guinea for LAMP testing; and Elizabeth McCrudden (DAWE) and Bobbie Hitchcock (DAWE) for reviewing the project report and providing helpful suggestions for this study. We also thank Dr Andreas Zwick (CSIRO) for discussion on the taxonomic status of *Leucania loreyi*.

Author contributions

The initial laboratory optimisation and testing of the FAW LAMP assay was conducted by A.A., L.R. and M.J.B. The LAMP primers were designed by M.J.B. The new LAMP assay was validated in a second laboratory by M.K.S. & M.L.S. Samples were sourced by M.J.B., A.A., M.K.S., & W.T.T. All authors contributed to and approved the writing of this manuscript. B.C.R., assisted with implementation of this study and contributed to data analyses and interpretation.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-04496-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.J.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© Crown 2022