Meas. Sci. Technol. 20 (2009) 095708 (11pp)

A facility for conducting high-temperature oxidation experiments of alloys in helium environments containing part per million levels of impurities

Deepak Kumar, Christopher J Torbet and Gary S Was

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

E-mail: kumardee@umich.edu

Received 21 May 2009, in final form 7 July 2009 Published 30 July 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/MST/20/095708

Abstract

An experimental facility was constructed to study the corrosion of alloys in helium containing part per million (ppm) levels of CO, CO₂, CH₄ and H₂ as impurities, relevant to the environment in the heat exchanger of the Very High Temperature Gas Cooled Reactor. The system provides the capability of exposing multiple specimens in up to seven separate helium environments, simultaneously, for durations of >1000 h and temperatures up to 1200 °C. Impurity concentrations are controlled down to 1 ppm accuracy and analyzed using a discharge ionization detector gas chromatograph. The utility and reliability of the facility in quantitatively accounting for the masses of reactants and products involved in the oxidation of alloy 617 at 900 °C and 1000 °C in the helium gas containing 15 ppm CO and 1.5 ppm CO₂ is confirmed by the weight-gain measurements, gas-phase analysis and post-test microstructural analysis.

Keywords: VHTR, helium coolant, corrosion, experimental facility

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Helium gas is used as a coolant in the Very High Temperature Gas Cooled Reactor (VHTR) and contains ppm levels of CO, CO_2 , CH_4 , H_2 and H_2O as the main impurities. In this design, the He gas with outlet temperature up to 1000 °C will pass through an intermediate heat exchanger where it will transfer heat to a secondary coolant. The viability of the VHTR concept requires an understanding of the gas–metal reactions that will take place between metal surfaces and impurities at these high temperatures. The impurities react with the metallic surfaces of the heat exchanger resulting in oxidation, oxide reduction, carburization or decarburization depending on the impurity concentration, temperature and alloy composition. Oxidation consumes the metal and reduces the load-bearing cross section of the component. Carburization leads to lowtemperature embrittlement [1] and decarburization reduces the creep strength [2]. Thus, the assessment of the mechanisms and rates of degradation are critical to the viability of this concept.

Due to the emergence of the VHTR as a high-priority advanced reactor concept, there is a growing level of interest and activity in evaluating the corrosion and creep strength of heat-exchanger materials in impure helium. Yet only two other facilities exist with the capability of conducting oxidation experiments in impure helium: the CORRALINE facility at CEA, France [3, 4], and the impure helium loop at Idaho National Laboratory (INL), USA [5]. Both facilities are unique and control the impurity levels down to \sim 1 ppm. The CORRALINE facility consists of a horizontal quartz tube



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the controlled-impurity helium flow system. The three major sections, gas mixing section (A1 and A2), exposure section (B), and the gas-analysis section (C1 and C2), are shaded in different colors.

reactor inserted in a high-temperature furnace and is capable of exposing four corrosion coupons with total surface area $\sim 6 \text{ cm}^2$ in two environments simultaneously [4]. The INL facility, on the other hand, consists of concentric quartz tubes housed in a vertical furnace and is capable of exposing multiple samples simultaneously in one environment. Further, in this set up provisions are made to achieve gas flow rate as high as $40 \ 1 \ \text{min}^{-1}$ to study the effect of flow rate [5]. Both facilities have been validated by simple experiments and are currently operational. However, the limitation of these facilities is that at a given time only one or two environments can be evaluated simultaneously. The goal of the facility at the University of Michigan was to construct a system with high throughput in which multiple specimens can be evaluated in multiple gas environments simultaneously. The second goal was to control the experimental variables such as impurity concentrations (in ppm levels), flow rate and temperature for long exposure duration, and to quantitatively evaluate the concentrations of impurities in the range of 1 ppm level in both the inlet and outlet gas streams.

The objective of this paper is to describe and demonstrate the capabilities of the controlled-impurity helium flow system built at the University of Michigan. The paper is divided into the following major sections: section 2 focuses on a description of the capabilities of the facility, section 3 documents on the reliability and repeatability of the system and section 4 describes the dependability of the overall facility determined via a 100 h oxidation experiment of alloy 617 in the helium gas containing 15 ppm of CO and 1.5 ppm of CO₂.

2. Description of the facility

The facility consists of three major sections: a gas mixing section, an exposure section and a gas analysis section. Figure 1 is a schematic of the facility in which the gas mixing section is labeled as 'A', the exposure section as 'B' and the analysis section as 'C'. Figure 2 shows photographs of these major sections of the system. The purpose of the gas mixing section is to obtain the desired concentration of each impurity inside the quartz tube using premixed, bottled gases and a series of mass flow controllers. Helium with controlled levels of impurities is then passed through the exposure section in which the corrosion coupons in the quartz tubes are exposed to the flowing gas mixture. A tee and a needle valve at the furnace entrance control the flow rate of the gas mixture through this section. The impurity levels in the helium gas are analyzed continuously both before and after the entry into the furnace using the discharge ionization detector gas chromatograph (DIDGC) in the analysis section. The experimental variables such as the flow rates of gases and concentration levels of impurities are continuously monitored using a personal computer (PC).



Figure 2. Photograph of the assembled controlled-impurity helium flow system.

2.1. Gas mixing section

The gas mixing section consists of 7 premixed gas bottles (A1 in figures 1 and 2) and 18 mass-flow controllers (A2 in figures 1 and 2). To obtain the gas mixture with the target concentration of each impurity, a certified level of one type of impurity, e.g. 100 ppm of CO, from a pre-mixed gas cylinder of helium and a controlled amount of another impurity from a different certified pre-mixed bottle are combined using electronic massflow controllers from Omega, Inc. If required, the resulting impurity gas mixture is diluted by mixing it with ultra-high purity helium of 99.9999% purity. The electronic mass-flow controller used for gas mixing has an accuracy of $\pm 1 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$ with a full scale operation range of 0-100 ml min⁻¹, and is capable of withstanding pressures up to 500 psig. All of the components involved in transporting and mixing the gases were made up of 316 stainless steel. Whenever possible, weld fittings were used for connecting lines from different gas bottles to avoid the in-leakage of air.

2.2. Exposure section

The exposure section consists of a horizontal, 75 cm long, three-zone tube furnace housing seven 1.25 cm outer diameter quartz tubes. Six out of the seven tubes are arranged along the periphery of the process diameter in the furnace, whereas the seventh tube is inserted in the middle. The six tubes arranged along the periphery are attached to the premixed He gas, thus enabling corrosion studies in six separate environments simultaneously. The seventh tube is attached to an ultra-high purity helium cylinder for reference. If required, this seventh tube may also be used to expose samples in laboratory air. The horizontal tube furnace is rated for temperatures up to 1200 °C and is a split tube design, which can be opened for easy access to the tubes. The three temperature zones of this furnace are controlled by independent controllers with 1 °C resolution.

One R-type thermocouple is inserted into the middle of each zone for temperature monitoring. The temperature of the three zones can be tuned to obtain a uniform temperature zone of length 15 cm, in which the temperature variation, as well as that between tubes, is ± 2 °C. This long uniform temperature zone enables the exposure of multiple samples in each tube, which can be moved from the hot zone to the cold zone at different exposure durations. The length of the cold zone is ~ 20 cm with a temperature less than 100 °C at a helium gas flow rate of 100 ml min⁻¹.

A custom-designed push rod is used to remove the samples while maintaining an airtight seal to the tubes. The push rod consists of a quartz rod with five hooks and an encapsulated steel rod at the end and resides completely inside the quartz tube. A magnet is used to manipulate the push rod. Figures 3(a), (b) and (c) show a photograph of the push rod and the magnet used to manipulate it. The manipulating magnet is marked as 'A', the encapsulated steel rod is marked as 'B' and the five hooks are marked with the letters 'C' to 'G', respectively. The five-hook design of the push rod was adopted to overcome the limitation of the small space available for the manipulation of the push rod. The uniform hot zone, where the corrosion coupons are exposed, lies between 30 and 45 cm from the exit of the furnace. This requires at least 45 cm of the manipulation space beyond the furnace end so that the corrosion coupons can be pulled out from the farthest position in the uniform hot zone. However, the length of the cold zone (the distance beyond the furnace end) is only 20 cm, which is shorter than the required length of 45 cm by 25 cm. The five-hook design in which the hooks are out of phase from each other by 90° helps to overcome this limitation. When the sample is at the farthest position from the furnace end, the first hook (marked as 'G' in figure 3) is engaged in moving the sample for ~ 20 cm after which the second hook ('D' in figure 3) is engaged in moving the sample for the next 20 cm. Before the second hook can be engaged, the push rod is rotated



manipulating magnet

Figure 3. (*a*) Custom-fabricated quartz push rod to move the specimen from the hot zone to cold zone of the furnace without breaking the seal. A is the high-strength magnet, B is the encapsulated steel, C, D, E, F and G are five hooks used to move the samples, (*b*) high magnification of the push rod showing the shape of the hook, the manipulating magnet and encapsulated steel rod (*c*).

by 90° to disengage the first hook and engage the second hook. If required, the third, fourth and fifth hooks are employed in a similar manner to pull the coupons completely out in the cold zone without having to break the seal of the system.

2.3. Gas analysis section

The third section is the gas-analysis section, consisting of the DIDGC and the PC (figures 1 and 2). The DIDGC is used to continuously analyze the gas mixture both before and after the exposure section, and the PC is used to control the GC and to record the data.

The important components in the DIDGC are the columns (K1, K2 and K3 in figure 4) and the detector (DID in figure 4). The columns, which are kept in a temperature-controlled oven, separate the individual components in the gas mixture by first adsorbing them and then later releasing them during a controlled temperature ramp. The gas components thus released are then transported to the detector by an inert carrier gas where, by virtue of their characteristic ionization energies, they are identified and quantified.

B

Figure 4 shows the details of the DIDGC used in the facility. It consists of two sample loops where a total of 0.25 ml of an unknown gas mixture is retained for the analysis, three capillary columns K1, K2, K3 that reside in an oven with temperature control of ± 0.1 °C and separate out the individual components in the gas mixture, and a pulsed discharge ionization detector (DID) which identifies and quantifies the components of the unknown gas mixture. Two pneumatically actuated valves (eight-port and six-port valves in figure 4) are provided for facilitating the appropriate routing of gases during analysis. Helium gas with total impurity <10 ppb is used as the carrier gas. The impurity level is obtained by additional purification of research grade helium of 99.9999% purity through an impurity trapper (HP2, from Valco, Inc.), which traps H₂O, H₂, O₂, N₂, NH₃, CO, CO₂ and CH₄ from helium.

The flow path of the carrier gas during the analysis of an unknown gas mixture through the DIDGC is shown by different colors in figure 4. The arrows on the diagram show the flow direction of the gases during analysis. First the carrier gas from the bottle is divided ('T' in figure 4) into two streams (colored in blue and green). The blue stream flows through sample loop 1, which transports the unknown gas mixture to columns K1 and K2 via port numbers 1' and 2'. Column K2 is a molecular sieve column where the actual separation of H₂, Ar, O₂, N₂ and CH₄ occurs, whereas column K1 acts as a trap which stops the unwanted hydrocarbons from going to column K2. Column K2 cannot separate out CO₂ from the gas mixture. Another column, K3, is used to separate CO₂ from the gas mixture. The green flow path shows the routing of the carrier gas in column K3 and the arrows show its flow direction.

Figure 5 shows a representative chromatogram obtained from the DIDGC for a gas mixture containing 2 ppm of CO₂, H₂, O₂, N₂, CH₄ and H₂ in helium. The elution of the components from the columns was done by holding the oven at 50°C for the first 5 min, followed by ramping to 120 °C at 7 °C min⁻¹ until all gases elute completely from columns K2 and K3. Peaks 1 and 2 in this chromatogram correspond to the unresolved gas mixture peak of the helium matrix and other unresolved trace gases, such as Ne and heavy hydrocarbons. As shown in figure 5(a), the first peak of interest in this chromatogram is CO_2 followed by H_2, O_2, N_2, CH_4 and CO. The CO₂ and H_2 gases are eluted at the oven temperature of 50 °C, whereas other gases come out during ramping of the oven temperatures. The effect of temperature ramping results in a rise of the baseline of the detector's response; however, the quantification of the gases remains unchanged as the shape and area of the peaks above the background do not alter.



Figure 4. Flow path of the carrier gas inside the DIDGC during analysis of an unknown gas mixture. The carrier gas from the bottle splits in two streams at position 'T' and flows in the sample loops 1 (colored in blue) and 2 (colored in light green). The separation of Ar, O_2 , N_2 and CH_4 occurs in column K2, whereas column K3 is used to separate CO_2 from the unknown gas mixture.

For good repeatability of the DIDGC, it is important to completely resolve the individual components in the gas mixture. Figure 5 shows the separation of the CO₂, H₂, Ar, O₂, CH₄ and CO from each other. The magnified portion of the chromatogram near the CO₂ peak and Ar/O₂ peak is also shown in figures 5(b) and (c). As is evident from the figure, peaks 1 and CO₂ are well separated from each other, but the peaks for O₂ and Ar lie very close to each other. Proper care is taken to separate these two peaks; particular attention is paid on maintaining the constant flow rate of the carrier gas through the columns and the precise controlling of the oven temperature which collectively control the elution of components from the columns and hence their separation.

3. Characterization of the facility

The controlled-impurity flow system is designed to control the impurity concentration in the gas stream to ~ 1 ppm. At these low concentrations of impurities it is important to establish the repeatability and reliability of the system. The important capabilities that should be determined are as follows: repeatability of gas analysis through the DIDGC, the tightness to which the concentration levels of reacting species can be controlled in the reaction zone over a long experiment and the adsorption or desorption of gases in an empty quartz tube at high temperatures. Further, since high-temperature oxidation in a dilute environment is subjected to a rapid depletion of the reactive species in the environment [6], which might alter the oxidation mechanism/kinetics, an experiment was conducted to determine the minimum flow rate of the helium gas required to avoid significant depletion of the reactants during an exposure experiment.

3.1. Repeatability of the gas analysis

The repeatability of the gas analysis through the DIDGC was determined for CO, CO_2 , H_2 , O_2 and CH_4 by analyzing the certified gas mixture, from Matheson–Trigas, Inc., in five

Table 1. Composition of the gases used to calibrate the DIDGC.

	CO ₂	H ₂	CH ₄	O ₂	CO
	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)
Cylinder 1	2	50.5	10.1	2	10.1
Cylinder 2	20.1	7492.8	199.8	15	3012.3

Table 2. Repeatability of the gas analysis through the DIDGC in the low concentration range of impurities.

Gas	Certified concentrations (ppm)	Average and standard deviation of five measurements by DIDGC (ppm)
СО	10.1	9.9 ± 0.3
CO_2	2.0	2.2 ± 0.1
CH_4	10.1	10.1 ± 0.1
H_2	50.5	48.4 ± 0.9
O_2	2.0	2.7 ± 0.8

Table 3. Repeatability of gas analysis through the DIDGC in the high concentration range of impurities.

Gas	Expected concentration (ppm)	Average of five runs (ppm)
СО	3012.3	2998.4 ± 4.6
CO_2	20.1	20.7 ± 0.3
CH_4	199.8	193.1 ± 1.8
H_2	3003.2	3017.5 ± 8.0
O_2	15.0	16.7 ± 1.26

separate runs. The temperature was maintained at 21 °C and the flow rate of the gas mixture during analysis was set at 50 ml min⁻¹. Table 1 shows the composition of the certified cylinders 1 and 2 used for the analysis. The composition of the cylinders was chosen such that the repeatability of the DIDGC could be determined at both low (cylinder 1) and high concentrations (cylinder 2) of gases.

Tables 2 and 3 present the results of the analysis of the gases given in column 1. In these tables, columns 2



Figure 5. (*a*) A representative chromatogram obtained for a gas mixture containing 2 ppm of CO_2 , H_2 , O_2 , Ar, N_2 , CH_4 and CO in helium. Peaks 1 and 2 are the unresolved gas mixture peaks, (*b*) portion of the chromatogram showing the peak separation between peak 1 and CO_2 and (*c*) portion of the chromatogram showing the peak separation between Ar and O_2 .

and 3 show the certified concentration of the gases, and the average and standard deviation of the five runs measured by the DIDGC, respectively. The difference between the average of the measured runs and the certified concentration represents the DIDGC's quantification reliability. The standard deviation of the runs (column 3 in tables 2 and 3) represents the repeatability of the gas analysis through the DIDGC. As is evident from the data in table 2, at the low concentration of impurities, the average concentration measured by the DIDGC is close to the certified concentration demonstrating the reliability of the DIDGC in quantifying the gases. Further, the standard deviations of the five runs for various gases are <1 ppm, suggesting that from a practical standpoint, the readings from the DIDGC are reliable up to 1 ppm.



Figure 6. Plot of the percentage deviation of CO_2 at the inlet of the quartz tube over 500 h of exposure duration. The absolute deviation of the inlet concentration is shown on the right-hand side *y*-axis. The maximum deviation of concentration from the target value of 1.5 ppm was 8%, which corresponds to an absolute deviation of 0.1 ppm.

Table 3 shows the repeatability of the DIDGC at the higher concentrations of impurities. As shown in this table, the average concentrations of the five measurements are very close to the certified concentration and also the standard deviation <10 ppm showing that at the high concentrations it is reliable up to 10 ppm.

3.2. Control of gas chemistry in the reaction zone

One of the goals of the facility is to control the inlet impurity concentrations tightly in a long exposure duration experiment so that the oxygen and carbon potentials in helium (set by the impurity concentrations) can be maintained throughout the experiment. Corrosion of alloys in impure helium is governed by the oxygen or carbon potentials in the environment (proportional to the CO/CO2 ratio for a He+CO+CO₂ environment). To evaluate this capability, the deviation of the CO and CO₂ concentrations from the target value was recorded at the inlet of the reaction zone in a 500 h long experiment. Figures 6 and 7 present the deviation of the inlet concentrations of CO2 and CO from the target values as a function of exposure duration. The target values in this case were 1.5 ppm of CO₂ and 1908 ppm of CO, respectively. As shown in figure 6, the maximum deviation of CO_2 at the inlet was 0.1 ppm at the target value of 1.5 ppm, which is below the resolution of the DIDGC. The maximum deviation of CO from the target value of 1908 ppm was 3.5%, which corresponds to an absolute deviation of 69 ppm (figure 7). These results show that in long exposure duration experiments the impurity levels in the reaction zone can be tightly controlled with a maximum deviation of $\pm 3.5\%$ from the target value. The maximum deviation of 3.5% of impurities in the reaction zone corresponds to <10% deviation in the target oxygen or carbon potentials over the exposure duration of 500 h, which from the experimental point of view is acceptable.



Figure 7. Plot of the percentage deviation of CO at the inlet of the quartz tube over 500 h of exposure duration. The absolute deviation of the inlet concentration is shown on the right-hand side *y*-axis. The maximum deviation of concentration from the target value of 1908 ppm was 3.5%, which corresponds to an absolute deviation of 69 ppm.

Table 4. Measured concentration of CO at the inlet and outlet of the tube at four temperatures.

Temperature (°C)	Inlet (ppm)	Outlet (ppm)	% change from the inlet
RT 900 950 1000	$\begin{array}{c} 241 \pm 1.6 \\ 241 \pm 2.1 \\ 243 \pm 1.8 \\ 242 \pm 1.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 243 \pm 1.6 \\ 242 \pm 2.4 \\ 237 \pm 1.9 \\ 234 \pm 2.9 \end{array}$	0.8 0.4 2.5 3.3

3.3. Characterization of the quartz tubes

In order to verify that there was no significant adsorption or desorption of gases from the quartz tubes, helium gas containing 242 ppm CO and 1.5 ppm CO₂ was flowed through an empty quartz tube, and the inlet and outlet concentration of CO at four temperatures, 20 °C, 900 °C, 950 °C and 1000 °C, were measured. Prior to the measurements at high temperature the tube was baked at 1050 °C under the nitrogen gas at a flow rate of 90 ml min⁻¹. Table 4 shows the average and standard deviation of the measured CO concentration at the inlet and outlet of the tube for each of the four temperatures. At each temperature seven separate measurements were made. As is evident from this table, the variation of the inlet and outlet concentrations at the four temperatures is $\sim 3\%$ which is comparable to the repeatability of gas analysis through the DIDGC. Thus, baking of the tube at a temperature above that for the experiment ensures that the quartz tube neither adds nor removes gas from the stream.

3.4. Determination of minimum flow rate to avoid starvation

A rapid depletion of the reacting species adjacent to the metal surfaces can occur when a reactive metal surface is exposed to a flowing gas mixture containing dilute amount of reactive species (in ppm level) in inert gas [6]. In this case the reaction



Figure 8. Plot of percentage depletion of CO versus time at different gas flow rates for a gas mixture consisting of 70 ppm CO and 0.7 ppm CO₂ and an empty tube. Flow rate of gas in the tube in time steps A and C was maintained at 106 ml min⁻¹, whereas the flow rate in the time steps B and D was maintained at 24 ml min⁻¹ and 52 ml min⁻¹, respectively.

becomes starved and the oxidation behavior shown by the metal will not be the representative of the performance in the plant. A sufficiently high flow rate of gases must be used to avoid a significant depletion of the reacting species in the gas mixture.

An experiment was conducted to determine the minimum flow rate of the gas mixture required to avoid the significant depletion of the reactants CO and CO₂, which could cause starvation of the reactant during an experiment. The onset of starvation of reactive species inside the reaction zone was determined by the variation in the degree of depletion (difference in the inlet and outlet concentration) of the reacting species with the flow rate of the gas mixture. The flow rate at which the reactive species at the outlet is depleted by more than 10% from the inlet level was considered to be the onset of starvation and represents the minimum flow rate of the gas mixture that should be maintained in the experiment [7, 8].

A helium gas chemistry of He + 70 ppm CO + 0.7 ppm CO₂ was chosen to determine the starvation limit. A total of seven alloy 617 corrosion coupons, each with dimension 6.25 mm \times 6.25 mm \times 1.5 mm, were exposed at 950 °C with the gas flow rate varying between 24 ml min⁻¹ and 106 ml min⁻¹. The surfaces of the samples were prepared by grit 800 SiC paper. Prior to the experiment, the quartz tubes were baked for 30 h at 1000 °C under nitrogen gas at a flow rate of 90 ml min⁻¹.

Figure 8 is a plot of the percentage depletion of CO at the outlet as a function of the exposure duration at different gas flow rates during the experiment. The flow rate of the gas mixture over the specimens was changed in four successive time steps 'A', 'B', 'C' and 'D' in figure 8. Proper care was taken to ensure that the concentrations of CO and CO_2 at the inlet remained constant, while changing the flow rate in the tube. In time step A, which lasted for the first 56 h, the gas flow rate of 106 ml min⁻¹ was maintained over the corrosion coupons, and then in time step B the flow rate was decreased to 24 ml min⁻¹ for \sim 170 h. In time step C, the flow rate was brought back to an initial flow rate of 106 ml min⁻¹ to replicate the behavior seen in time step A, and finally, in time step D, a flow rate of 52 ml min⁻¹ was maintained over the corrosion coupons and the inlet and outlet CO concentrations were recorded.

As shown in figure 8, during time steps A, C and D, where the flow rate of the gas mixture was either 106 ml min⁻¹ or 52 ml min^{-1} , the difference in the inlet and outlet concentration of CO was less than 10%. However, in time step B with a gas flow rate of 24 ml min⁻¹ the inlet and outlet concentration levels differed by more than 10%. Based on the criterion that the environment should not be depleted in CO by more than 10%, the flow rate in region D (52 ml min⁻¹, corresponding to the flow rate of 6.3 ml cm⁻² surface area of the sample) was determined to be the minimum flow rate to avoid starvation.

4. Validation of the facility

The utility of the system is its capability to determine increases or decreases in the reacting gases between inlet and outlet, and to integrate those values over time to obtain a quantitative measure of the reaction products forming on the exposure coupons. This capability was validated by comparing the amount of the reaction gas produced or consumed with the weight change and microstructural characterization of the samples during the exposure of alloy 617 coupons to helium +15 ppm of CO and 1.5 ppm of CO₂ at 900 °C and 1000 °C.

4.1. System reliability for experiments in $He-CO-CO_2$ gas at 900 °C and 1000 °C

The capability and reliability of the integrated system was verified by comparing the weight of the gases consumed or produced with the measured weight gain in the samples and the post-test microstructural characterization. To accomplish this verification, a total of five corrosion coupons of alloy 617, each of dimension 6.25 mm \times 6.25 mm \times 1.5 mm, were first oxidized for 100 h at 900 °C and then in the subsequent step were decarburized at 1000 °C for additional 100 h. The gas chemistry and flow rate used in this test was He +15 ppm of CO + 1.6 ppm of CO₂ and $5.8 \text{ l} \text{ h}^{-1}$, respectively. Before the experiment was started, the system was conditioned in the following manner: the tube was baked at 1025 °C for 24 h under the nitrogen gas flowing at a rate of 90 ml min⁻¹, and then ultra-pure helium of 99.9999% purity was flowed to flush out the nitrogen and oxygen from the sealed tube. After the oxygen level dropped below the detection limit of \sim 1 ppm, the furnace was brought down to 900 °C, and the CO and CO₂ concentration levels of 15 ppm and 1.6 ppm, respectively, were established. The corrosion coupons, which were initially placed in the cold zone of the tube, were inserted inside the hot zone using the custom push rod shown in figure 3.

After 100 h of exposure at 900 $^{\circ}$ C, all the samples were pushed out of the furnace and into the cold zone. The furnace



Figure 9. Plot of the difference of CO concentration at the outlet and inlet of the tube as a function of exposure duration. For the first 100 h the samples were exposed at 900 $^{\circ}$ C and for the next 100 h the samples were exposed at 1000 $^{\circ}$ C.

temperature was then raised to 1000 °C and four out of the five oxidized samples were returned to the hot zone of the furnace for further exposure at 1000 °C for an additional 6, 16, 45 and 100 h, respectively. At the completion of the exposure at 1000 °C, the samples were removed from the hot zone and were cooled under flowing helium, followed by retrieval and weighing on a microbalance with a resolution of 0.01 mg.

4.1.1. Weight change after exposure at 900 °C and 1000 °C. In order to determine the reliability of the gas analysis through the DIDGC, the measured weight gains in the samples during the experiment were compared with the weight of the gases consumed or produced. The increase in the weight of the sample was measured by a microbalance with a resolution of 0.01 mg, and the weight of the gases consumed or produced was calculated from the analysis of gases through the DIDGC. Figures 9 and 10 present the difference in the concentration levels of CO and CO_2 at the outlet and inlet of the exposure section at 900 °C and 1000 °C, respectively. A negative value in figures 9 and 10 denotes a net consumption of CO or CO_2 causing the concentration level at the outlet to be lower than that at the inlet, whereas a positive value denotes a net production of CO or CO₂ inside the reaction zone.

For the entire 100 h exposure duration at 900 °C, a net consumption of CO and CO₂ occurred by their reaction with the alloy. With the assumption that Cr is the primary reactive element in alloy 617 which forms oxides and carbides, the reaction of CO and CO₂ with the sample increases the weight of the sample as per the following reactions:

$$2Cr + 3CO_2 \rightarrow Cr_2O_3 + 3CO, \tag{1}$$

$$27Cr + 6CO \rightarrow 2Cr_2O_3 + Cr_{23}C_6.$$
 (2)

Reaction of CO_2 increases the weight of the sample via incorporation of oxygen in the sample in the form of Cr_2O_3 (equation (1)), whereas the reaction of CO increases the weight

Table 5. Balance sheet between the weight gain measured by microbalance and that calculated by the gas-phase analysis using equations (3) and (4).

Temperature, time	Net weight of the sample measured by microbalance $(mg mm^{-2}) \times 10^{-3}$	Wt gain calculated from CO consumption measured by the DIDGC as per equation (3) $(\Delta W_{CO}) \text{ (mg mm}^{-2}) \times 10^{-3}$	Wt gain calculated from CO ₂ consumption measured by the DIDGC as per equation (4) (ΔW_{CO_2}) (mg mm ⁻²) × 10 ⁻³	Net weight of the sample calculated from the gas-phase analysis (mg mm ⁻²) × 10 ⁻³ . Net weight = initial wt + $\Delta W_{\rm CO}$ + $\Delta W_{\rm CO_2}$
900 °C, 100 h	$1.6 \pm 0.2 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.2$	1.2	0.1	1.2 + 0.1 = 1.3
1000 °C, 100 h		-2.6	1.1	1.6 - 2.6 + 1.1 = 0.1



Figure 10. Plot of the difference of CO_2 concentration at the outlet and inlet of the tube as a function of exposure duration. For the first 100 h the samples were exposed at 900 °C and for the next 100 h the samples were exposed at 1000 °C.

of the sample via incorporation of both carbon and oxygen in the sample in the form of Cr_2O_3 and internal carbides, which are assumed to be primarily $Cr_{23}C_6$ (equation (2)). Figure 11 shows the low- and high-magnification microstructures of the sample exposed for 100 h at 900 °C. As is evident from the low-magnification image, the inward diffusion of carbon resulted in the precipitation of internal carbides along the grain boundaries and the twin boundaries. The white carbides seen in the microstructure are M_6C carbides, whereas the black carbides are $M_{23}C_6$ type of carbides (where M = Cr, Mo). Further, the high-magnification image in figure 11 shows that the oxygen was mainly present in the sample in the form of an external Cr_2O_3 film.

The importance of tracking the changes in reactant and product gases is that it will provide information on the reactions occurring in the sample. So the change in reactants and products should match those measured on the sample itself by changes in its weight. The increase in weight of the sample due to reaction with CO (equation (1)) and CO₂ (equation (2)) was calculated from the area under the CO and CO₂ curves in figures 9 and 10 using

the weight gain due to CO consumption ($\Delta W_{\rm CO}$)

$$=\frac{I_{\rm CO} \times V \times M_{\rm CO}}{V_{\rm m} \times A},\tag{3}$$





the weight gain due to CO_2 consumption (ΔW_{CO_2})

$$=\frac{I_{\rm CO_2} \times V \times M_{\rm O_2}}{2 \times V_{\rm m} \times A},\tag{4}$$

where I_{CO} and I_{CO_2} correspond to the area between the *x*-axis and the CO (figure 9) and CO₂ (figure 10) curve (in mole-h), V is the flow rate of the gas mixture (in 1 h⁻¹), M_{CO} and M_{O_2} are the molar weights of CO and O₂, respectively, V_m is the molar volume of the gas mixture (in 1 mol⁻¹) ($V_m = \frac{R \times T}{P}$, Ris the universal gas constant, T is 298 K and P is 1 atm) and A is the surface area of the sample (in mm²). The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in equation (4) indicates that only half of the oxygen contained in CO₂ is incorporated in the sample in the form of Cr₂O₃ (see equation (1)).

A balance sheet describing the measured weight gain and the calculated weight gain due to the CO and CO₂ reaction is shown in columns 2 and 4 of table 5, respectively. The net weight gain measured by the microbalance after the 100 h exposure at 900 °C was $1.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ mg mm⁻², which is in reasonably good agreement with the calculated weight gain of 1.3×10^{-3} mg mm⁻² from the gas phase analysis. This analysis shows that in impure helium the CO acts as an oxidizing and carburizing gas, whereas CO_2 acts as an oxidizing gas.

A similar mass balance for the 100 h exposure at 1000 °C was also performed. As shown in figure 9, in contrast to oxidation at 900 °C where CO reacted with the sample, exposure at 1000 °C resulted into a net production of CO inside the reaction zone. The production of CO at 1000 °C has been associated with decarburization of the sample [9, 10], whereas the reaction of CO₂ results into oxidation of the sample as per equation (2). The production of CO will result in a weight loss in the sample, whereas the reaction of CO₂ results in a weight gain in the sample (figure 10). Thus, the total weight of the sample measured by the microbalance is the sum of the weight loss due to CO production and the weight gain due to the reaction with CO_2 . Table 5 shows the balance sheet for the weight change associated with the CO and CO2 reaction and the weight change measured by the microbalance in the sample exposed at 1000 °C for 100 h. The weight loss in the sample due to CO production was -2.6×10^{-3} mg mm⁻² (calculated using equation (3)) and the weight gain in the sample due to CO₂ reaction was 1.1×10^{-3} mg mm⁻²(calculated using equation (4)), which amounts to a net weight loss of $-1.5 \times$ 10^{-3} mg mm⁻² in the sample. Adding this weight loss to the total weight of the sample prior to the exposure at 1000 °C $(1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg mm}^{-2})$ gives a sample weight of 0.1×10^{-3} $\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{mm}^{-2}$, which is comparable to the measured weight of the sample of $0.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg mm}^{-2}$.

Thus, the good agreement between the weight of the samples calculated through gas-phase analysis and the weight change measured by the microbalance confirms the reliability of the system to quantitatively account for the masses of reactants and products involved in the oxidation reaction.

4.1.2. Metallographic analysis of the sample exposed at 900 °C for 100 h. To gain greater confidence in the reliability of the facility to quantitatively account for masses of reactants and products during the high-temperature exposure experiment, the weight of the gases reacted/produced was compared with the weight of the oxygen and carbon content in the alloy from the microstructural analysis.

The weight of oxygen in the alloy can be calculated from the total weight gain in the sample using the identity that 1 mole of CO has an oxygen weight fraction of 0.57 (16/28) and as per equation (2), it should be present in the sample in the form of Cr_2O_3 . Further, 1 mole of CO_2 has an oxygen weight fraction of 0.72 (32/44), out of which only half, i.e. 0.36, is associated with Cr_2O_3 (equation (1)) and the other half is associated with CO. The total weight of oxygen in the sample after 100 h of exposure at 900 °C was calculated to be 0.8×10^{-3} mg mm⁻² by the gas phase analysis. The weight of oxygen in the sample was also calculated by measuring the thickness of the Cr_2O_3 film formed (figure 11) according to the following equation:

weight of oxygen contained in the Cr₂O₃ film

$$= \rho_{\rm Cr_2O_3} \times t_{\rm Cr_2O_3} \times \frac{3M_{\rm O}}{M_{\rm Cr_2O_3}},\tag{5}$$

where $\rho_{Cr_2O_3}$ is the density of Cr₂O₃ (5.21 mg mm⁻³), $t_{Cr_2O_3}$ is the thickness of the Cr₂O₃ film (in mm), M_O is the atomic

Table 6. Balance sheet describing the weight of oxygen in the sample calculated by the gas-phase analysis and microstructural analysis using equation (5).

Temperature, time	Wt of oxygen in the sample $(\text{mg mm}^{-2}) \times 10^{-3}$	Wt of oxygen contained in the Cr_2O_3 film as per equation (5) (mg mm ⁻²) × 10 ⁻³
900 °C, 100 h	0.8	0.9 ± 0.3

weight of oxygen and $M_{Cr_2O_3}$ is the molar weight of Cr_2O_3 (in mg mol⁻¹).

The weight of oxygen associated with the Cr₂O₃ film was estimated to be $0.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ mg mm⁻², which is in a good agreement with the 0.8×10^{-3} mg mm⁻² of oxygen from reaction with CO and CO₂ (table 6). Thus, the microstructural analysis also substantiates that the facility can reliably quantify the masses of reactants and products during oxidation.

5. Summary

A controlled environment facility was constructed for determining the high-temperature corrosion of alloys in helium gas containing part per million levels of CO, CO_2 , H_2 and CH_4 as impurities. The key features of the facility are as follows:

- (i) capability of exposing multiple specimens in up to seven different controlled impurity environments simultaneously (the exposure of multiple specimens facilitates the determination of the oxidation kinetics as a function of helium gas chemistry);
- (ii) control of experimental variables such as inlet gas chemistry ($\pm 3.5\%$ of the target value), flow rate (± 10 ml min⁻¹) and temperature (1200 ± 2 °C) for long exposure durations (>1000 h), which ensures the reliability of the experiment; and
- (iii) reliable gas analysis for quantifying the concentrations of impurities in the range of 1 ppm level in both the inlet and outlet gas streams.

A comparison between the weight gain in a nickel-base alloy 617 sample exposed at 900 °C and 1000 °C measured by microbalance, and by the difference in the inlet and outlet concentrations of the reactants and the products via gas-phase analysis demonstrates the utility and reliability of the integrated facility.

Acknowledgments

Financial support for this project by the United States Department of Energy is greatly acknowledged (under contract number DE-FC07-05ID14660).

References

- Klower J and Heubner U 1985 Carburization of nickel-based alloys and its effect on the mechanical properties *Mater*. *Corros.* 49 237–45
- [2] Shankar P S and Natesan K 2007 Effect of trace impurities in helium on the creep behavior of alloy 617 for very high temperature reactor applications J. Nucl. Mater. 366 28–36

- [3] Rouillard F, Cabet C, Wolski K and Pijolat M 2007 Oxide layer formation and stability on a Nickel-base alloy in impure helium at high temperature *Oxid. Met.* 68 133–48
- [4] Cabet C, Monnier A and Terlain A 2004 Corrosion of high temperature alloys in the coolant helium of a gas cooled reactor *Mater. Sci. Forum* 461–464 1165–72
- [5] Wright R N 2005 Controlled impurity helium high temperature helium materials test loop *INL Draft* No. INL/EXT-05-00653, Idaho Falls, USA, August
- [6] Christ H J, Schwanke D, Uihlein Th and Sockel H G 1988 Mechanism of high temperature corrosion in helium containing small amounts of impurities—I. Theoretical and experimental characterization of the gas phase Oxid. Met. 30 1–26
- [7] Wilson D F 2005 Potential helium test environment for the next generation nuclear plant materials *ORNL Draft* no. ORNL/TM-2005/92, Oak Ridge, USA
- [8] Kimball O F and Plumlee D E 1985 Gas/metal interaction studies in simulated HTGR helium *Report no. 131*, contract no DE-AC03-80ET34034, Schenectady, NY, USA
- [9] Quadakkers W J 1985 Corrosion of high temperature alloys in the primary circuit helium of high temperature gas cooled reactors. Part II—experimental results Werkst. Korros. 36 335–47
- [10] Kumar D and Was G S 2009 Mechanism of decarburization of alloy 617 at 1000 °C in helium containing CO and CO₂ as impurities *Proc. Materials Research Society (Boston, USA,* 5–8 December) vol 1125 paper no. 1125-R06-02