

# A facility for creating Python extensions in C++

Paul F. Dubois

Computer Science Project Leader, X-Division

LawrenceLivermore National Laboratory<sup>1</sup>

dubois1@llnl.gov

## Abstract

---

Python extensions are usually created by writing the glue that connects Python to the desired new functionality in the C language. While simple extensions do not require much effort, to do the job correctly with full error checking is tedious and prone to errors in reference counting and to memory leaks, especially when errors occur. The resulting program is difficult to read and maintain. By designing suitable C++ classes to wrap the Python C API, we are able to produce extensions that are correct and which clean up after themselves correctly when errors occur. This facility also integrates the C++ and Python exception facilities.

This paper briefly describes our package for this purpose, named CXX. The emphasis is on our design choices and the way these contribute to the construction of accurate Python extensions. We also briefly relate the way CXX's facilities for sequence classes allow use of C++'s Standard Template Library (STL) algorithms on C++ sequences.

## 1.0 Correctness is the problem we wanted to solve

---

### 1.1 There is a special fit between C++ and Python

Anyone who has extended Python using C knows that it is not hard to get something simple working fast. There is even a small Tk-based GUI that will write the framework of a C extension which you can just fill in. However, once you attempt to write an extension of any significant size or complexity, you quickly find it is difficult to maintain correctness, and that the main size and complexity of your program quickly becomes dominated by the extensive coding required for detecting errors and maintaining correct reference counts.

Attempts have been made to enhance the writing of Python extensions within the C language setting. These include work by Don Beaudry<sup>2</sup>, Jim Fulton<sup>3</sup>, and David Beasley<sup>4</sup>. Our work is in a different direction.

We are using Python as part of a steering system for a large program written in C++. There is a strong fit between the characteristics of C++ and the needs of the Python extension writer. We felt that a suitable set of C++ classes could be used to alleviate these difficulties. In particular, C++'s facilities for defining behaviors during object creation, copying, assignment, and destruction allow us to get reference counting right. We describe here the package we have implemented, called "CXX".

Sometimes the most difficult part of writing a Python extension in C is dealing with errors. If you are part way through a complicated method and discover that you are unable to proceed and are going to need to trigger a Python exception, you need to release any references owned by local objects. If you do not, a memory leak will occur. Depending on the flow of logic within a rou-

tine, it may be very tedious to keep track of which owned local references have been created so far.

In C++, the destructors of any local objects that have been fully constructed will be called when an exception is thrown, and so on up the call chain until either the job terminates or the exception is “caught”. This will release precisely the right references, without any effort on our part. By using a simple coding style, the extension author can convert the C++ exceptions thrown in Python extensions into Python exceptions, thus giving the Python user a chance to regain control.

A secondary goal was to bring the power of the STL to Python container classes. By defining a suitable facility, we are able to directly apply STL algorithms (such as *sort*) to Python sequences, and to use iterators over Python sequences. Python sequences can thus be treated in a similar programming style to that used for any other container class.

## 1.2 Availability of CXX

CXX is written in standard C++. The source code is available for free redistribution as a beta release as part of the LLNL Python extensions distribution, which is available at:

<ftp-icf.llnl.gov/pub/python/LLNLDistribution.tgz>

Please see the legal notices enclosed in that package.

C++ compilers are rapidly catching up to the ISO standard. Suitably strong compilers for compiling CXX are available on the platforms of interest to us. Nonetheless, some compilers are not yet able to compile CXX due to its use of modern template techniques and namespaces. A small configuration file provides an attempt at a work-around for the namespace problem. In our own testing, C++ compilers from Microsoft (Visual C++ 5.0 SP3 or later) and from Kuck and Associates have been used successfully. We have heard of other successes but do not have a complete list.

## 2.0 Each Python type is wrapped by a class

---

The CXX class hierarchy is rooted in class `Object`, in namespace `Py`. An instance of `Object` holds a pointer to a Python object and owns a reference to that object. When this instance is destroyed, the destructor decrements the Python object’s reference count. An `Object` can be created that holds a pointer to any kind of Python object. The `Object` class contains methods that correspond to each of the general Python operations. Binary operators such as plus are defined so that we have access to those behaviors for `Objects`. Stream output is defined to use the object’s string representation `str()`.

Sometimes we want to work with the properties of Python objects that are specific to their actual type. We define descendants of `Object` for each of the Python types; these more specific classes test the Python object to which they are going to point to make sure it is a proper member of the desired type. When this test fails, an exception is thrown. Thus, creating an instance of one of these more specific types not only gives us access to the API appropriate to such an object, it also acts as a runtime type-check.

Here is a simple example. The following program fragment is part of the test routine for the `Dict` class. `Dict`, `List`, `String`, and `Int` are CXX classes which correspond to Python’s dictionary, list, string, and integer objects.

```
Dict a, b;
List v;
String s ("two");
a ["one"] = Int(1);
a [s] = Int(2);
a ["three"] = Int(3);

v = a.values ();
sort (v.begin (), v.end ());

b = a;
b.clear();
```

Here we see that a Python dictionary object `a` is created, and three key/value pairs are added to it. Then the list of values is extracted into a Python list object, and the list object is sorted using the STL algorithm `sort`. Finally, a second reference `b` to the dictionary is created, and the dictionary-specific method `clear` is called to empty it.

Note that at any point if an error had occurred in one of the underlying calls to the Python C API, the result

would have been the propagation of an exception. In the act of leaving the routine that contains the above fragment, the objects we had created would be correctly destroyed.

## 2.1 Special facilities are provided for sequences

Note that in the previous example we were able to use the STL algorithm *sort* on an instance of class `List`. This is possible because each of the classes in `CXX` that corresponds to a sequence type in Python has been declared to inherit from a special templated class `SeqBase<T>`. Here the template parameter `T` is intended to be some descendent of `Object` which defines the most specific type expected for the element of the sequence. Of course, for the standard Python sequences, this type is `Object` itself: that is, the most we know about an element of a Python list is that it is an `Object`. Since this is such a common case, the name `Sequence` is available as a short-hand for `SeqBase<Object>`.

`SeqBase<T>` defines a number of facilities that assist the C++ programmer in dealing with sequences. These are:

- `s [i]` is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element of the sequence.
- Contained classes `iterator` and `const_iterator` are defined for read/write and read-only traversals. For example, to print out each item of a list using stream I/O, we might do:

```
List mylist;
mylist = ... some list ...
for (List::const_iterator j = mylist.begin();
     j != mylist.end();
     ++j)
{
    cout << *j;
}
```

If you are not familiar with STL iterators, this doubtless looks very strange to you. Think of an iterator as a kind of pointer that when incremented knows how to advance itself to the next item in a container.

Traditional pointers can only do this with contiguous data structures. Iterators are thus a generalization of C pointers.

Proper inheritance and internal definitions are given to make `SeqBase<T>` a proper STL random-access container, thus allowing use of the full range of STL algorithms.

## 3.0 Creating objects

---

Each of the `CXX` classes has a variety of constructors available, appropriate to the particular type. For example, class `Float` instances can be constructed from C doubles:

```
double d;
Float x (d); // make a Float whose value is d
```

Each of the classes has a constructor which accepts an existing `PyObject*`. The class instance increments the reference count on the object, thus giving itself an owned reference to the object. When the instance is destroyed, its destructor decrements the reference count.

When a Python object is created by a call to the Python C API, the result is often an owned reference. In that case we want the resulting `CXX` class instance to take over this ownership. (Since we wrap a great deal of the Python C API in `CXX` classes, this is more of an issue within `CXX`'s implementation than it is for the end user.) To this end `CXX` defines a helper class `FromAPI` whose net effect is to decrement the reference count on a `PyObject*`. For example, `PyDouble_FromDouble` is a routine in the Python C API that returns an owned reference to a Python float object. Thus, were we to do:

```
double d;
Float x (PyDouble_FromDouble (d)); //Incorrect
```

the reference count would be incorrect. (Of course, we would not normally do this since the constructor `Float x (d)` would do the job much more simply.) Instead, we should write:

```
Float x (FromAPI (PyDouble_FromDouble (d)));
```

### 3.1 No illegal objects permitted

It is part of the philosophy of `CXX` that no illegal objects are ever permitted to exist. Each and every `CXX` constructor must end with its pointer pointing to a legitimate Python object acceptable to that class.

An alternative design is possible, in which the pointer can be null as well. We came to a decision after trying it both ways. The current design has the virtue of eliminating any concern about whether an object represents a legitimate object; in effect, we are eliminating the possibility of a dangling pointer. No consumer of `CXX` ever need test an object to see if it is a legitimate representative of its class, because if it was not its constructor would have thrown an exception.

The downside of this decision is a burden on those extending CXX to add new types. You must be able to (at least temporarily) construct a legal member of the parent class as part of your own constructor process. If you are inheriting directly from Object, there is no problem doing that, since you can use Object's default constructor, which yields a reference to Python's None object.

This is not the only place in CXX where a minor inefficiency was accepted in order to ensure correctness. It is difficult to measure the performance effects of such choices without completely recoding the package a different way. We have not yet had the opportunity to recode an existing C extension to compare performance but have no concerns in this regard; generally, minor inefficiencies in the compiled part of an interpreter extension are insignificant for total performance.

### 3.2 The classes available in CXX

The object hierarchy in CXX is as follows. Inheritance is shown by indentation. Besides the Object family, there is a family of exception classes and some classes to help in the creation of Python modules and extension

objects. All names in CXX are contained within the namespace Py.

---

**TABLE 1. CXX Class Hierarchy**

```

Object
  Type
  Module
  Integer
  Float
  Long
  Complex
  Char (Strings of length 1)
  SeqBase<T>
    Sequence (same as SeqBase<Object>)
  String
  Tuple
  List
  Array (NumPy array)
  MapBase<T>
    Mapping (same as MapBase<Object>)
    Dict
Exception
  StandardError
  IndexError
  RuntimeError
  ... (more classes corresponding to the Python
exception hierarchy).
MethodTable
ExtensionModule
PythonType
PythonExtension<T>
ExtensionType<T>

```

In addition there are a number of functions defined at the global (namespace Py) level. These include the usual binary arithmetic operators and stream output operators.

The documentation shows the tables of methods for each class. Class Object defines a large set of methods that is thereby made available on all of its children. Notable among these are:

- bool accepts (PyObject\* p) tests whether or not a member of this class could be constructed using p, that is, whether p points to an object this class was intended to wrap;

- PyObject\* operator \*() returns the PyObject\* contained in this wrapper; this is also available as the result of method ptr(). You do not own this reference. A new reference to an Object or a PyObject\* can be obtained using the function new\_reference\_to (Object or PyObject\*).
- Type type() returns the (wrapped) type object associated with this object; a series of queries such as isString () are available to test membership in the standard Python object classes.
- Object getAttr (“name”) returns the attribute *name* of the current object; this is equivalent to Python’s ob.name operator.

## 4.0 Making an extension module

---

To make a Python extension module is now straight-forward. Let us begin by examining the form to use for a module method.

### 4.1 Writing a module method

The generic form of the extension module is the same as when using C. First you write a function whose signature is

```
PyObject* mymethod (PyObject* self, PyObject* args)
```

In this form, we know that the argument self is unused, and that the argument args is actually always a tuple. We will therefore always have the same structure to our method:

```
PyObject* mymethod (PyObject* self, PyObject* args)
{
    Tuple the_arguments (args);
    try {
        .... do stuff
        return the_answer;
    }
    except (Exception&) {
        return Null();
    }
}
```

The try/except clause converts any Python API errors or CXX-detected errors into an exception which is caught in this except clause and converted into a Python exception. (You can also catch the exception instance and clear the exception, as explained in the documentation). Keyword arguments can be handled by using the Dict dictionary object in an analogous manner to the use of the Tuple object above.

In writing the “do stuff” part of the method, we are now greatly assisted by CXX:

- We can access the *i*<sup>th</sup> argument as the\_arguments [i]
- We can affirm the required type of an argument by using it in a copy constructor for the desired type. For example, if the first argument must be a string, we could write:

```
String s = the_arguments[0];
```

This will throw an exception if the first argument is not a string, or if there is no first argument. (Class Tuple also has methods which can check for a certain number or a range of numbers of arguments).

- We don't need to worry about keeping track of any temporary objects in case of errors; cleanup is automatic when the exception is thrown.
- We have direct access to the Python API via the methods of the CXX classes, but in a way that completely ensures correct reference counting. If we use the C API directly we have to make correct use of FromAPI but the need for this is infrequent.
- We can carry out sequence operations in a natural manner, much as if we were working directly in Python, rather than using sequences of Python API calls whose errors must all be checked.

Here for example is a method written using CXX that sums the set of float arguments given to it.

using namespace Py;

```
static PyObject *
ex_sum (PyObject* self, PyObject* args)
{
    Tuple a(args);
    try {
        Float f, g;
        int i;
        f = 0.0;
        for (i = 0; i < a.length(); i++) {
            g = a[i];
            f = f + g;
        }
        return new_reference_to (f);
    }
    catch (const Exception&) {
        return Null ();
    }
}
```

The function *new\_reference\_to* (Object *ob*) returns an owned reference to the object *ob*. In this way the Python float object we have created to hold the answer survives the destruction of the variable *f* that occurs when we return from *ex\_sum*. If you want a method that doesn't return anything you return `Nothing()` and to signal a Python exception you return `Null()`.

Note in this example how the assignment `g = a[i]` not only extracted the *i*<sup>th</sup> argument but ensured that it was a float object. It would have also worked perfectly well not to do this step but directly add `f + a[i]`. This might be desired, in fact, if you did not want to insist that the arguments be floats.

## 4.2 Creating the extension module

As usual, we now need an Python “init” function for our extension module. In this routine, which must have C linkage so that Python can find it, we create the extension module and add the method(s) desired to it, as well as any objects we wish to seed into its dictionary (here, as an example, we add the constant *pi*).

```
extern "C" void initexample ();
```

```
void initexample ()
{
    static ExtensionModule example ("example");
    example.add("sum", ex_sum,
               "sum(arglist) = sum of arguments");
    Dict d = example.initialize();
    d ["pi"] = Float(3.14159);
}
```

The declaration of `example` as static is to ensure that the object will survive the call to the routine `initexample`. We could have also declared, outside of `initexample`,

```
static ExtensionModule* example;
```

and then created the module with in `initexample` with:

```
example = new ExtensionModule("example")
```

Note the simplicity compared to writing the same thing in C, with its mysterious “static forward”, Python type tables, etc.

## 5.0 Creating extension object types

---

CXX also contains a facility for construction of new Python types. This facility is not yet completely satisfactory, but we believe it is a step forward. The key point is that to begin a new Python type we inherit from class `PythonExtension`, which in turn inherits from `PyObject`. Thus, at one blow we have made our type a descendant of `PyObject`, created a type object for it, and created a function that will check whether an object is of this new type. Then we initialize the object in a similar manner to the extension module, adding behaviors and their descriptions. We also write the methods in a similar way.

`PythonExtension` is a templated class, and the template argument we give it is, shockingly, the class we are defining. This is an example of what Scott Meyers has called the “Curiously Recursive Template Pattern”<sup>5,6</sup>. `PythonExtension` sets up a Python type object unique to this type, creates a static function

```
static bool check (PyObject *)
```

that tests membership, and sets a deletion behavior that ensures the calling of the class’ destructor in the case of its Python reference count going to zero.

Here, for example, is the start of a class “r” defining new objects of type “r” similar to the Python range object:

```
class r: public PythonExtension<r> {
public:
    long start;
    long stop;
    long step;
    r (long start_, long stop_, long step_ = 1L)
    {
        start = start_;
        stop = stop_;
        step = step_;
    }

    ~r()
    {
        std::cout << "r destroyed " << this << std::endl;
    }
...
}
```

In a method similar to the way we implemented the module method above, we define the Python behaviors of the new object, such as `r_repr`, `r_getattr`, `r_length`, and methods we choose such as `amethod`, `value`, etc.

Then in some module’s initialization procedure is a call to `init_rtype`:

```
void init_rtype () {
    r::behaviors().name("r");
    r::behaviors().doc("r objects: start, stop, step");
    r::behaviors().repr(r_repr);
    r::behaviors().getattr(r_getattr);
    r::behaviors().sequence_length(r_length);
    r::behaviors().sequence_item(r_item);
    r::behaviors().sequence_slice(r_slice);
    r::behaviors().sequence_concat(r_concat);
    r::methods().add("amethod", r_amethod);
    r::methods().add("assign", r_assign);
    r::methods().add("value", r_value);
}
```

Extension objects defined in this way can be used in the same way you usually work with `PyObject` pointers. However, they have an additional desirable property that ordinary Python extension objects do not: they do not have to live on the heap. Of course, as in C++ one must be careful not to retain a reference to a local object after the routine returns. But, with care, one can have the efficiency of objects using stack rather than heap memory. With correct use both new/deleted objects and stack objects will coexist nicely.

A wrapper for this object can be created by using class `Class ExtensionObject<r>`. This gives us a wrapper class that is a child of `Object`. The necessary acceptance test used in this wrapper is `r::check`, defined for us when we inherited from `PythonExtension<r>`. Such a wrapper is useful as a wrapper of “r” objects. Unfortunately, it does not contain any methods specific to class `r`, so to make a really useful extension wrapper for class `r` we would need to write one that inherits from `ExtensionObject<r>` and adds wrappers for the methods of interest.

## 6.0 A good match of capabilities

---

We see that the strengths of C++ are a good match for the weaknesses in the Python language extension process. Use of C++ in this way should lead to much smaller, cleaner, and easier to write extensions, with confidence in their correctness. Reference-counting errors, among the most difficult to prevent and to diagnose when using C, are avoided automatically, even in the difficult case of when an error occurs.

Additional benefits to this approach are the merging of the Python and C++ exception facilities and the ability to write extension modules and objects much more naturally and safely. The facility is completely extensible via inheritance to the users' own classes.

In the future, we would like to eliminate the need for the try/catch blocks in each method and hoist that task up above the call to each method. Geoffrey Furnish has done some work in this area but has not had time to complete it. Recent additions to Python may also allow some improvement in the extension object area.

Research remains on further integrating Python and C++ but we believe CXX represents a significant step forward.

## References

---

1. This work was produced at the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (UC LLNL) under contract no. W-7405-ENG-48 (Contract 48) between the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and The Regents of the University of California (University) for the operation of UC LLNL. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.
2. Don Beaudry's MESS system work sparked an improvement in Python 1.5. See Guido von Rossum's paper "Metaclasses in Python 1.5" at <http://www.python.org/doc/essays/metaclasses/>. References there, and in former comp.lang.python articles, to a MESS website are unfortunately obsolete. Thus the only current source of information is Don himself (donb@tensilica.com).
3. Jim Fulton has produced a facility called "Extension Classes", documented at <http://www.digicool.com/releases/ExtensionClass/>. This work also uses the metaclass facility.
4. Beazley, D.M. "SWIG and automated C/C++ scripting extensions". In Dr. Dobb's Journal, 282 (Feb. 1998),p. 30-36.
5. Scott Meyers, personal communication. Meyers is the author of two renowned C++ books, "Effective C++, 2nd Edition", and "More Effective C++", both from Addison Wesley.
6. Bertrand Meyer (author of Object-Oriented Software Construction, 2nd Edition, Prentice-Hall and other works) was quick to point out to me that this concept should not be all that shocking. It would be natural, for example, for a class Window to inherit from Tree<Window>.