DOCUMENT RESUME

| ED 044 309 | 24 | SE | 010 | 178 |
|--------------|---|-------------------|-------|-----|
| AUTHOR | Harris, Margaret L.: Harris, Chester W. | | | |
| TITLE | A Factor Analytic Interpretation Strate | qy. | | |
| INSTITUTION | Wisconsin Univ., Madison. Research and Center for Cognitive Learning. | Develo | oment | Ĺ |
| SPONS AGENCY | Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, of Research. | D.C. 1 | Burea | au |
| REPORT NO | TR-115 | | | |
| BUREAU NO | ER5-0216 | | | |
| PUB DATE | Mar 70 | | | |
| CONTRACT | 0EC-5-10-154 | | | |
| NOTE | 43p. | | | |
| EDRS PRICE | EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$2.25 | | | |
| DESCRIPTORS | <pre>*Data Analysis, *Fducational Research, Analysis, Measurement, *Research Method</pre> | *Factor ology, | C | |
| | Statistical Analysis. Statistical Data | | | |

ABSTRACT

The use of a strategy for determining the comparable common factors in a set of data are illustrated in this report. Both orthogonal and oblique derived solutions were obtained for each of several different initial factor methods. The results were compared across the various solutions and three types of factors were determined: comparable common factors, comparable specific factors, and non-comparable factors. Factor results used for this illustration are the reanalyses of the data of nine of the Guilford studies as previously reported by one of these authors. The number of comparable common factors for the data in any one of the matrices is always considerable fewer than the number of common factors obtained by Guilford. A few of the CCF's agree rather closely with common factors obtained by Guilford. In many instances two or more of Guilford's common factors coalesce into one comparable common factor. (Author/FL)





US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF COUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DEPDODUCED ERACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINALING IL – POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIGNS STATED DO NOT NECESSARTLY DEPDISENT OFFICES OF FOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

WISCONSIN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

5

000

ジ

ERIC

PA 24 5E ESEA RC COGNITIVE DE ELOPM

BR 5-0214

•

1

A FACTOR ANALYTIC INTERPRETATION STRATEGY

By Margaret L. Harris and Chester W. Harris

Report from the Technical Section Mary R. Quilling, Director and the Concept Attainment Abilities Project Margaret L. Harris, Project Manager

Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning The University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin

٦

March 1970

27.71

Published by the Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning, supported in part as a research and development center by funds from the United States Office of Education. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. The opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the Office of Education and no official endorsement by the Office of Education should be inferred.

Center No. C-03 / Contract OE 5-10-154



NATIONAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

Samuel Brownell Professor of Urbon Education Graduate School Yale University

Launor F. Carter Senior Vice President on Technology and Development System Development Corporation

Francis S. Chase Professor Department of Education University of Chicago Henry Chauncey President Educational Testing Service

Martin Deutsch Director, Institute for Developmental Studies New York Medical College

Jack Edling Director, Teaching Research Division Oregon State System of Higher Education

Elizabeth Kooniz Wage and tabor Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington

Roderick McPhee President Punahou School, Honolulu

G. Wesley Sowards Director, Elementary Education Flarida State University

Stephen C. Kleene

Donald J. McCarty

Ira Sharkansky Associate Professor of Political Science

Dean, Cuiege of Let ers and Science

Dean School of Education

Patrick Suppes Professor Department of Mathematics Stanford University

*Benton J. Underwood Professor Department of Psychology Northwestern University

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER POLICY REVIEW BOARD

Leonard Berkowitz Chairman Department of Psychology

Archie A. Buchmiller Deputy State Superintendent Department of Public Instruction

Robert E. Grinder Chairmon Department of Educational Psychology

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Edgar F. Borgalta rittingham Professor at Sociology

Anne E. Buchanan Project Specialist R & D Center

Robin S. Chapman Research Associate R & D Center

Vernon L. Allen Professor of Psychology

Ted Czajkówski Assistant Professor of Curriculum and Instruction

Robert E. Davidson

Assistant Protessor of Educational Psychology

Gary A. Davis Associate Antessot of Educational Psychology

M, Vers DeVault

elemon al Curriculuit and Instruction (Mothemotical

Russeli J. Hosler Professor, Curriculum and Estruction

Clauston Jenkins Assistant Director Coordinating Committee for Higher Education

Herbert J. Klausmeier Director, R & D Center Professor of Educational Psychology

Robert E. Davidson

Assistant Professor, Educational Psychology

Frank H. Farley

Associate Professor, Educational Psychology

Russell J. Hosler Professor of Curriculum and Instruction and of Business

*Herbert J. Klausmeler Director, R & D Center Professor of Educational Psychology

B. Robert Tabachnick Chairman, Department of Curriculum and Instruction

Henry C. Weinlick Executive Secretary Wisconsin Education Association

M. Crawford Young Associate Deon The Graduate School

Wayne Otto Professor of Curriculum and Instruction (Reading)

Robert G. Petzold Associate Deon of the School of Education Professor of Curriculum and Instruction and of Music

Richard L. Venezky

Assistant Professor of Erighth and of Computer Sciences

Alan Voelker Assistant Professor of Curriculum and Instruction

FACULTY OF PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

Frank H. Farley Associate Professor of Educational Psychology

Lester S. Golub Lecturer in Curricultum and Instruction and in English

John O. Harvey Associate Professor of Mothematics and of Curriculum and Instruction

Herbert J. Klausmeler Director, R & D. Center Preferant of Educational Psychology

Donald Lange Assistant Professor of Corriculurs and Instructions

Thomas A. Romberg

Associate Director

James Moser Assistant Professor of Mathematics Educations Visiting Scholar

Wayne Otto Professor of Curriculum and Instruction (Reading)

Milton O. Pella Professor of Curriculum and Instruction (Science)

Thomas A. Romberg Associate Director, R.& D. Center Professor of Nothernatics and of Curriction and Instruction

Chairman, Deportment at Carriculum and Instruction

James Walter

Director Disemination Program

MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Herbert J. Klausmeler Director, R.S.D.Cerrer V.A.C. Stempon Professor of Eductional Psychology

Mary R. Cailling

Director technical Development Program



Larry Wilder Assistant Professor of Carriectum and Instruction Peter Wolff Assurant Professor of Educational Psychology

B. Robert Tabachnick

Dan G. Woolpert Dire for Operations and Business

+ COMMITTEE CRAIGRAM

STATEMENT OF FOCUS

This Technical Report is from the Technical Development Program, whose principal function is to identify and invent research and development strategies taking into account current knowledge in the fields of statistics, psychometrics, and computer technology. The Technical Development Program collaborates in applying such strategies in research and development. The translation of theory into practice and presentations of exemplars of methodology are challenges which the Technical Development Program strives to meet.



11-14

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| List of Tables | vii |
| Abstract | ix |
| Introduction Procedure Results | 1 1 3 |
| Recommendation | 34 |
| References | 37 |



e. - .

;

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Numbers of Initial and Derived Common Factors for the Various Methods | 2 |
| 2 | Number of Factors for Each Matrix | 3 |
| 3 | Factor Results for Matrix 23 | 4 |
| 4 | Factor Results for Matrix 08 | 6 |
| 5 | Facior Results for Matrix 09 | 11 |
| 6 | Factor Results for Matrix 12 | 16 |
| ? | Factor Results for Matrix 14 | 20 |
| 8 | Factor Results for Matrix 16A | 22 |
| 9 | Factor Results for Matrix 16B | 25 |
| 10 | Factor Results for Matrix 16C | 28 |
| 11 | Factor Results for Matrix 22 | 31 |
| 12 | Intercorrelations of Oblique Factors for Matrix 23 | 35 |
| 13 | Intercorrelations of Oblique Factors fcr Matrix 08 | 35 |



•- ··

•

ł

ABSTRACT

This paper illustrates the use of a strategy for determining the comparable common factors in a set of data. Both orthogonal and oblique derived solutions were obtained for each of several different initial factor methods. The results were compared across the various solutions and three types of factors were determined—comparable common factors, comparable specific factors, and noncomparable factors.

The factor results used for this illustration are the reanalyses, by seven different methods, of the data of nine of the Guilford studies as reported by Chester W. Harris (1967).

The number of comparable common factors for the data in any one of the matrices is always considerably fewer than the number of common factors obtained by Guilford. In general, a few of the CCFs agree rather closely with common factors obtained by Guilford. In many instances two or more of his common factors coalesce into one comparable common factor.



INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to illustrate the use of a strategy suggested by Chester W. Harris (1967) for determining the common factors in a set of data. He suggested using several different computing algorithms for the initial solution, obtaining derived solutions, both orthogonal and oblique, comparing the results, and regarding as the important substantive findings those factors that are robust with respect to method. This paper illustrates a way of comparing the results.

The factor results used for this illustration of a factor analytic interpretation strategy are the reanalyses, by seven different solutions, of the data from nine of the Guilford studies as reported by C. Harris (1967). The initial component and factor methods used are Incomplete Principal Component (Hotelling, 1933), Alpha (Kaiser & Caffrey, 1965), a Jöreskog method (1963, 1967), and Harris R-S² (1962). The Jöreskog method used for Matrices 08 and 23 is his new Unrestricted Maximum Likelihood Factor Analysis (UML/A) procedure (1967) using a critical value of .05; Jöreskog's early procedure (1963) was used for the other seven matrices. These four methods provide a component solution (incomplete Principal Component), a factor solution with a statistical basis (Jöreskog, 1962 or UMLFA), and two factor solutions with a psychometric basis: one for a minimum number of factors (Alpha) and one for a maximum number of factors (Harris $R-S^2$). Derived orthogonal solutions were obtained for each of the four initial solutions using the Kaiser normal varimax* procedure (1958) and

derived oblique solutions were obtained for the first three initial solutions using the Harris-Kalser independent cluster solution (1964). An oblique solution was not obtained for the Harris R-S² method since it would have certain correspondences to the oblique solution obtained from the Jöreskog (1963) method.

The number of initial factors (components) obtained for each of the four methods is given in Table 1. Also included in this table is the number of common factors (components) obtained for each of the seven derived solutions. A common factor (component) is defined as one having at least two variables with coefficients greater than .30 (absolute). All of the common factors are utilized for the interpretation strategy illustrated in this paper; thus, all of the variables with values greater than .30 (absolute) on one or more common factors appear in the tables. Note that Guilford used a coefficient of .30 (absolute) as a critical value in interpreting his derived orthogonal factors.

PROCEDURE

The procedure involves attempting to find the common factors (components) th' are similar over solutions. This was done by starting with a derived orthogonal component from the Incomplete Principal Component initial method. The reason is that this solution tends to include more variables with coefficients greater than .30 on a particular component than any of 'he other solutions. Then for each other derived orth-conal solution and for each derived oblique solution, a common factor was searched for that seemed to be similar to the component selected, particularly with respect to the large coefficients.

The next step involved determining those factors (components) that are robust with respect to method—factors which tend to include the same variables across methods. A variable



^{*}Guilford and Hoepiner (1969) have compared varimax rotations with rotations to theoretical targets and essentially rejected the former as not giving meaningful results. It seems likely that they would find our results given in Tables 3 through 11, unsatisfactory since these do not reproduce the Structure of intellect model in detail but instead suggest alternative interpretations.

| | | | | | Table 1 | | | | | |
|---------|----|---------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|---------|---------|
| Numbers | of | Initial | and | Derived | Common | Factors | for | the | Various | Methods |

| Matrix | Factor Method | Initial Factors | Orthogonal Common Factors | Oblique Common Factors |
|--------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 08 | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha UMLFA Harris R-S ² | 14 14 19 28 | 13 11 10 10 | 14 13 14 |
| 09 | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha Jöreskog Harris R-S ² | 15 15 * 39 |) 2 1 3 1 1 | 14 13 |
| 12 | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha Jöreskog Harris R-S ² | 13 13 7 30 | 12 10 7 7 | 13 12 7 |
| 14 | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha Jć*eskog Harris R-S ² | 6 6 4 13 | 6 6 4 7 | 6 6 4 |
| 16A | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha Jöreskoy Harris R-S ² | 6 6 4 16 | 5 5 4 7 | 6 5 4 |
| 16B | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha Jöreskog Harris R-S ² | 6 6 4 14 | 6 6 4 7 | 5 5 4 |
| 16C | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha Jöreskog Harris R-S² | 6 6 14 | 6 6 5 6 | 6 6 6 |
| 22 | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha Jöreskog Harris R-S ² | 1 2 ** 7 24 | 11 7 8 | 11 7 |
| 23 | Incomplete Principal Component Alpha UMLFA Harris R-S ² | 5 5 5 17 | 5 5 5 6 | 5 5 5 |

* Went to p-1 factors.

** Did nut converge.

was considered relevant to a factor if it had a coefficient greater than .30 (absolute) on that fantor. A comparable common factor (CCF) was defined as one having two or more of the same relevant variables on at least five of the seven derived factors (components). This means that a comparable common factor is defined by more than two different initial solutions and by both orthogonal and ot lique rotations. Thus, no one initial method can account for a variable's rejection and no one derived method can account for a variable's acceptance on a comparable common factor. Note that for the two matrices for which one of the initial solutions was not



available, Matrix 09 and Matrix 22, a comparable common factor is defined as one having two or more of the same relevant variables on at least four of the five solutions.

Two other types of factors may be found. A comparable specific factor (CSF) is defined as one having only one (the same) relevant variable on at least five of the solutions. A noncomparable factor (NCF) is defined as one not having any one or more of the same relevant variables on at least five of the solutions.

Table 2 contains the number of comparable common factors, comparable specific factors, and noncomparable factors for each of the nine matrices. The number of common factors obtained by Guilford for each matrix is also given in Table 2.

RESULTS

The results for the nine matrices are given in Tables 3 through 11, pages 3 to 34. The matrices included, though not in this order, are:

- 08 Creative thinking
- 09 Evaluative abilities
- 12 Planning
- 14 General reasoning
- 16 Reasoning, creativity, and evaluation (Subdivided into three --16A, 16B, and 16C)
- 22 Problem-solving abilities
- 23 Cognition and convergent production.

The relevant variables are in capital letters and the non-relevant variables (noise?) are in small letters. The order of the factors in the tables is arbitrary within each of the three types of factors (CCFs, CSFs, and NCFs). Guilford's results are presented in each table with the factors of the reanalyses with which they seem to agree most closely.

The two matrices chosen as first illustrations of the strategy are 23 and 08. Matrix 23 was chosen to illustrate the fairly close agreement across methods that can be secured among the various factor solutions. Matrix 08 was chosen as the matrix for which the various factor solutions were in least agreement. Of the nine matrices studied, the results for 08 and 09 seemed to be the most discrepant across the seven derived solutions. Of these two, Matrix 08 was chosen for presentation here because one initial factor method was not available for Matrix 09. For 08 the various solutions agree in part but for some of the factors the results are quite diverse. Table 3 contains the results for Matrix 23 and Table 4 the results for Matrix 08. Note that the variables relevant to the comparable common factors are in capital letters.

For Matrix 23 the factors the rather robust over solutions. There are five comparable common factors for the 30 variables in this matrix and one noncomparable factor. This is in contrast to the 13 common factors obtained by Guilford.

As shown in Table 4, the results for Matrix 08 are not as robust over solutions as they were for Matrix 23; the results from the various

| | | | Guilford | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Matrix | Comparable Common Factors | Comparable Specific Factors | Noncomparable Factors | Common Factors | |
| 08 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 15 | |
| 09 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 14 | |
| 12 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 14 | |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 9 | |
| 16A | 4 | 1 | 5 | 11 | |
| 16B | 5 | 0 | 3 | 9 | |
| 16C | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 | |
| 22 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 13 | |
| 23 | 5 | 0 | 1 . | 13 | |

Table 2 Number of Factors for Each Matrix



| | | | • | Re | analy | ses | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-----|-----|----|------|------|----|----|
| | | | Ortho | gonal | | <u> </u> | hliqu | e | . — | | Guil | ford | | |
| | | 1 | II | ш | IV | I | II | III | E | | | | | |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 1 | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | |
| 9 | LIMITED SUMS | 56 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 48 | 42 | 55 | 37 | | | | | |
| 12 | NUMBER RELATIONS | 46 | 39 | 48 | 39 | 32 | 36 | 57 | | | | | | |
| 14 | NUMERICAL OPERATIONS | 59 | 39 | 45 | 58 | 54 | 39 | 59 | 51 | | | | | |
| 16 | OPERATIONS SEQUENCE | 50 | 45 | 53 | 43 | 37 | 42 | 61 | 1 | | | | | |
| 19 | PICTURE-GROUP NAMING | -66 | -51 | -41 | | -78 | -61 | -67 | | | | | | |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | A | | | | | |
| 2 | CAMOUFLAGED WORDS | 52 | 41 | 39 | 38 | 47 | 40 | 47 | | | | | | |
| 12 | NUMBER RELATIONS | 37 | 37 | 37 | ••• | 34 | 43 | 40 | | | | | | |
| 17 | ORDERING I | 77 | 62 | 52 | 58 | 87 | 79 | 74 | 36 | | | | | |
| 23 | VERBAL COMPREHENSION | 64 | 49 | 57 | 48 | 62 | 50 | 81 | 51 | | | | | |
| 30 | WORD TRANSFORMATIONS | 44 | 39 | 43 | 46 | 33 | 33 | 49 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Alterations | | | 32 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Seeing Trends II | 60 | 34 | 42 | | | | 43 | 32 | | | | | |
| 22 | Symbol Grouping | | - | | | -35 | | -32 |] | | | | | |
| 26 | Word Fluency | | | | | -31 | | | 1 | | | | | |
| 27 | Word Groups | 43 | 38 | 46 | | | | 49 | 41 | | | | | |
| <u>CC</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 3 | | | | | | | | B | D | G | н | L | M |
| 3 | CIRCLE REASONING | 54 | 45 | 46 | 48 | 65 | 52 | 55 | | | | 40 | | |
| 7 | LEITER GROUPING | 53 | 55 | 54 | 51 | 49 | 48 | 46 | ł | | | 40 | | |
| 8 | LETTER TRIANGLE | 69 | 65 | 62 | 68 | 86 | 80 | 72 | | | | 42 | | |
| 13 | NUMBER SERIES CORRECTION | 40 | 40 | 36 | 38 | 37 | 34 | | 44 | | | 31 | | |
| 16 | OPERATIONS SEQUENCE | 55 | 58 | 56 | 56 | 53 | 48 | | | | | | | 52 |
| 18 | PICTURE ARRANGEMENT | 56 | 46 | 45 | 42 | 74 | 62 | 61 | | 55 | | | | |
| 20 | SEEING TRENDS II | 54 | 49 | 48 | 44 | 60 | 54 | 50 | | | 51 | | | |
| 22 | SYMBOL GROUPING | 61 | 53 | 52 | 45 | 75 | 66 | 64 | 1 | | | | 35 | 30 |
| 24 | WORD CHANGES | 64 | 65 | 63 | 64 | 69 | 67 | 56 | 1 | | 35 | | 36 | 49 |
| 27 | WORD GROUPS | 47 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 48 | 41 | 35 | | | | | | |
| 28 | WORD PATTERNS | 43 | 40 | 39 | 31 | 42 | 38 | 39 | | | | | 50 | |
| 29 | WORD RELATIONS | 57 | 56 | 56 | 55 | 59 | 54 | 52 | | | 33 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |

Table 3 Factor Results for Matrix 23*

,

* Decimals have been omitted.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

- I Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III UMLFA
- IV Harris R-S²

- E Numerical Facility
- A Verbal Comprehension
- **B** General Reasoning
- D Ordering

- G Eduction of Symbolic Relations
- H Eduction of Patterns
- L Cognition of Symbolic Implications
- M Convergent Production of Symbolic Systems





| | | | | R | eanaly | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------|---|
| | | | Orthe | gona | <u>1</u> | _0 | blig | ue | . — | | Gui | lford | <u> </u> | |
| | | I | 11 | Ш | IV | I | II | III | В | D | G | н | L | М |
| <u>CC</u> FA | OMPARABLE COMMON CTOR 3 (Continued) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 6 9 10 12 17 21 | Alterations Letter Analogies Limited Sums Number Classification Number Relations Ordering I Ship Destination | 36 32 32 35 | 36 35 36 37 | 36 33 31 33 56 | 36 34 38 | | | 64 | 37 45 50 | 36 | | 31 | 49 | |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | С | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 5 7 10 11 12 13 | FOUR-LETTER WORDS LETTER GROUPING NUMBER CLASTIFICATION NUMBER-GROUP NAMING NUMBER RELATIONS NUMBER SERIES CORRECTION | 45 40 71 83 40 40 | 36 38 56 77 42 34 | 33 36 57 79 35 33 | 33 63 66 37 35 | 44 75 93 31 35 | 33 61 91 | 31 33 66 94 | 45 | 37 41 60 44 32 | | | | |
| 6 19 27 | Letter Analogies Picture-Group Naming Word Groups | 32 37 | | | | 36 | | 38 | 50 32 | | | | | |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 5 | | | | | | | | F | I | _ J | | | |
| 1 2 4 5 15 25 26 28 30 | ALTERATIONS CAMOUFLAGED WORDS DISEMVOWELLED WORDS FOUR-LETTER WORDS OMELET TEST WORD COMBINATIONS WORD FLUENCY WORD PATTERNS WORD TRANSFORMATIONS | 48 43 78 43 73 65 70 44 55 | 48 42 76 38 70 60 55 37 55 | 43 39 77 41 72 60 52 39 50 | 47 44 73 40 71 63 54 34 54 | 41 40 91 44 82 69 89 41 53 | 40 35 96 36 82 64 74 34 49 | 85 36 75 56 61 35 33 | 31 -11 47 31 50 | 44 36 53 | 32 53 43 49 52 | | | |
| 6 7 12 18 27 29 | Letter Analogies Letter Grouping Number Relations Picture Arrangement Word Groups Word Relations | 38 41 | 39 32 42 | 31 38 36 | 37 32 37 | -35 | | | | | | | | |
| <u>NC</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 27 | Seeing Trends II Word Groups | | | | 36 42 | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factors:

- C Naming Abstractions K Cognition of Symbolic Classes
- F Word Fluency
- I Symbolic Redefinition
- J Cognition of Symbolic Units

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

.

•

| | | | | R | eanal | yses | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Orthe | ogona | <u>al</u> | | blig | ue | Guilford |
| | | I | II | 111 | IV | I | 11 | 111 | D |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 35 48 51 52 | PUNCHED HOLES PRACTICAL JUDGMENT MECHANICAL PRINCIPLES ARITHMETIC REASONING | 57 60 80 45 | 49 47 71 44 | 50 38 78 51 | 52 46 69 43 | 48 68 86 36 | 37 56 78 33 | 34 37 80 | 45 32 54 38 |
| 16 34 | Match Problems Word Matrices | 41 31 | 38 | | 34 | | | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | <u>C F</u> |
| 36 37 38 41 42 47 35 | MUTILATED WORDS STREET GESTALT COMPLETION PERCEPTUAL SPEED UNUSUAL DETAILS PENETRATION OF CAMOUFLAGE SPATIAL ORIENTATION (PART I) Punched Holes | 40 70 64 34 76 | 36 62 58 33 67 54 32 | 38 63 54 33 08 50 | 59 57 31 67 53 | 33 71 65 81 59 | 70 47 72 44 | 50 ô4 34 55 | 35 37 44 56 45 40 47 |
| <u> </u> | MENDADIE COMMONIENCEOR 2 | | | | | | | | P |
| 49 50 52 | NUMERICAL OPERATIONS (PART I) NUMERICAL OPERATIONS (PART II) ARITHMETIC REASONING | 83 78 50 | 76 70 45 | 76 77 43 | 74 73 43 | 93 83 43 | 90 79 38 | 80 82 | <u>в</u> 72 73 49 |
| 1 44 47 | Sentence Analysis Ship Destination Spatial Orientation- | 33 | | | | -31 | | | |
| _ | (Part I) | 37 | | | | 35 | | | 37 |

Table 4 Facto⁺ Results for Matrix 08*

٠

* Decimals have been omitted.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

- I Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III UMLFA
- IV Harris R-S²

Key to Guilford Factors:

- D Visualization
- C Perceptual Speed
- F Closure
- **B** Numerical Facility



| | | | <u> </u> | R | eanal | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | Orthe | gon | <u>al</u> | | blig | ue | | Guilford |
| | | I | 11 | Ш | IV | I | 11 | III | A | E |
| cc | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 27 | SENTENCE ANALYSIS PARAGRAPH ANALYSIS SENTENCE GESTALT | 39 49 | 32 35 | 33 35 | | 43 60 | 37 47 | 51 41 | | |
| 33 43 46 53 | (OMISSIONS) SENTENCE SYNTHESIS VOCABULARY INFERENCE TEST SENTENCE GESTALT | -60 65 71 67 42 | -54 64 68 63 46 | 65 70 64 48 | -47 62 70 58 47 | -76 64 74 68 32 | -75 63 74 64 | 57 51 73, | 53 53 65 47 43 | |
| 11 14 15 J7 18 21 28 32 34 44 51 52 | Number Associations (Uncommonness) Circle Square I Circle Square II Sign Changes Implied Uses Associations II Word Transformation Concept Synthesis Word Matrices Ship Destination Mechanical Principles Arithmetic Reasoning | 40 34 32 32 36 34 | 31 43 35 37 37 35 35 35 39 | 34 46 38 42 31 32 39 40 38 42 | 37 39 40 32 32 | | | 32 38 32 | 31 36 35 | 38 42 33 35 |
| 0 | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 5 | • • | | • | • - | | | | N | |
| 24 25 | APPARATUS TEST SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS (DIRECT) | 69 80 | 59 67 | 60 75 | 61 66 | 70 90 | 67 84 | 60 83 | 59 70 | |
| 13 22 41 44 | Consequences (Remote) Unusual Uses Unusual Details Ship Destination | 37 32 31 31 | | | | 31 34 | | | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 6 | | | | | | | | <u>G</u> | н |
| 28 40 53 | WORD TRANSFORMATION DISARRANGED WORDS SENTENCE GESTALT | 72 72 55 | 59 54 49 | 52 57 48 | 58 53 43 | 75 79 44 | 70 62 45 | 57 32 92 | 52 38 56 | 32 |
| 11 14 15 27 36 | Number Associations (Uncommonness) Circle Square I Circle Square II Sentence Gestalt (Omissions) Mutilated Words | 38 31 | 31 | | | | | | 36 44 37 | 33 34 |
| 39 | Controlled Associations | 31 | | 32 | | | | | | 46 |

Table 4 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factors:

by ERIC

N Sensitivity to Problems

- A Verbal Comprehension E General Reasoning
- H Associational Fluency G Word Fluency

| | | | | R | eanal | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|
| | | | Orthe | gona | 1 | | bliq | ue | | Guilford |
| | | I | 11 | III | IV | I | 11 | <u> </u> | к | |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 45 | MATCH PROBLEMS SYMBOL MANIPULATION | 44 62 | 32 40 | 32 44 | | 47 65 | 43 49 | 45 36 | 37 | |
| 17 23 35 38 40 43 53 | Sign Changes F-Test Punched Holes Perceptual Speed Disarranged Words Vocabulary Sentence Gestalt | 35 | | 32 | | -36 35 -31 | 45 -37 -31 | 33 35 43 | 32 | |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 8 | | | | | | | | <u>M</u> | |
| 29 30 | GESTALT TRANSFORMATION PICTURE GESTALT | 61 67 | 50 48 | 48 45 | 38 52 | 56 79 | 39 69 | 53 46 | 37 | |
| 19 23 | Quick Responses (Uncommonness) F-Test | | | | | -36 | | -37 | | |
| 31 41 48 | Object Synthesis Unusual Details Practical Judgment | | | | | 35 | 32 | | 31. 31 | |
| cc | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 9 | | | | | | | | I | |
| 5 6 8 9 | IMPOSSIBILITIES PLOT TITLES (LOW QUALITY) COMMON SITUATIONS BRICK USES (FLUENCY) | 50 70 75 74 | 35 58 50 48 | | 44 57 50 49 | 45 82 69 72 | 39 77 68 66 | | 39 59 55 54 | |
| 12 | CONSEQUENCES TEST (LOW QUALITY) | 71 | 64 | | 65 | 86 | 80 | | 55 | |
| 1 3 4 | Sentence Analysis Figure Aralysis Figure Concepts | 38 43 | | | | 32 35 | | | | |
| 13 | (Uncommonness) Consequences Test (Remotoness) | 33 36 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 24 31 | Unusual Uses Apparatus Test Object Synthesis | 44 35 34 | | | | | | | | |
| 39 41 | Controlled Associations Unusual Details | 52 32 | | | | | | | | |

Table 4 (Continued)

.

\$

Key to the Guilford Factors:

K Adaptive Flexibility

M Redefinition

I Ideational Fluency



| | | | | R | eanal | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|-----|------|---------|----------|----|---------|
| | | | Orthe | ogona | <u>a)</u> | _0 | bliq | ue_ | . — | G | uilford |
| | | 1 | 11 | III | 1V | I | 11 | 111 | 1 | L | |
| cc | MFARABLE COMMON FACTOR 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | BRICK USES (FLEXIBILITY) | 55 | 52 | 50 | 53 | 61 | 58 | 56 | | 43 | |
| 18 | IMPLIED USES | 52 | 38 | 34 | 33 | 65 | 60 | ••• | | | |
| 22 | UNUSUAL USES | 39 | 67 | 69 | 63 | 37 | 50 | 64 | 31 | 39 | |
| 39 | CONTROLLED ASSOCIATIONS | 41 | 50 | 47 | | 40 | 46 | | | | |
| 1 | Sentence Analysis | 31 | 35 | 39 | 35 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Figure Analysis | | 47 | 47 | 44 | | | 43 | 1 | | |
| 4 | Figure Concepts | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | (Uncommonness) | | 53 | 51 | 55 | | | 49 | 32 | | |
| 5 | Impossibilities | | 46 | 53 | 41 | | | 41 | 31 | | |
| 6 | Plot Titles (Low Quality) | | | 34 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Plot Titles (Cleverness) | | 44 | 50 | 42 | | | 45 | 55 | | |
| 8 | Common Situations | | 57 | 68 | 54 | | | 67 | 31 | 33 | |
| 9 | Brick Uses (Fluency) | | 52 | 63 | 49 | | | 67 - | | | |
| 11 | Number Associations | | | | | | | | | | |
| • • | (Uncommonness) | | 51 | 43 | 44 | | | 31 | | | |
| 13 | Consequences Test | | 60 | 65 | 66 | | | 6.2 | 1 42 | 22 | |
| 10 | (Remoteness) | | 02 | 05 | 05 | | | 03 | 42 | 33 | |
| 19 | Quick Responses | | 22 | 22 | 24 | | | | 40 | | |
| 20 | (Uncommonness) | | 33 | 32 | 34 | | | | 49 | | |
| 20 | | | 45 | 43 | 30 | | | | | | |
| 23 | r-rest Apparatus Toot | | 22 | 33 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Social Institution. (Direct) | | 33 | 40 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Object Synthesis | | 32 | 30 | | | | 20 | | | |
| 32 | Concart Synthesis | 34 | 34 | 33 | | 15 | 31 | 33 | | | |
| 34 | Word Matrices | <u>5</u> 4 | | | | 64 | 53 | | 1 | | |
| 27 | Street Gestalt Completion | | | | | 42 | 55 | | | | |
| 38 | Percentual Speed | | | | | 76 | -34 | | | | |
| 41 | Unusual Details | | | 35 | | | 0. | | | | |
| | ondear Boldrid | | | | | | | | { | | |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 11 | | | | | | | | <u>o</u> | | |
| 14 | Circle Square I | | | | | -31 | | | 1 | | |
| 24 | Anuaratus Test | | 34 | 34 | | •- | | 34 | 34 | | |
| 26 | Social Institutions (Indirect) | | 63 | 71 | | 84 | | 74 | 45 | | |
| | | | ••• | | | • • | | | | | |
| <u>NQ</u> | NCOMF/ RABLE FACTOR 12 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | Psrceptual Speed | | | | | | | 63 | | | |
| 47 | Spatial Orientation (Part I) | | | | | | | 53 | | | |
| N10 | NCOMBABADIE ENOROLI 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| NO | NOUMPARABLE FACIOR 13 | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| 40 | Disarranged Words | | | | | | | -35 | | | |
| 52 | Arithmetic Reasoning | | | | | | | 67 | | | |

Table 4 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factors:

J Originality L Spontaneous Flexibility

O "Doublet"

.



| | | | | _R | eanal | yses | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------|------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | Orthe | gona | 1 | | <u>)bliq</u> ı | ie_ | Guilford |
| | | I | II | 111 | IV | I | 11 | ш | |
| NC | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 6 7 | Figure Concepts (Uncommonness) Plot Titles (Low Quality) Plot Titles (Cleverness) | 31 70 | | | | -39 84 | -33 71 | -102 34 | |
| 13 | Consequence s Test (Remoteness) | 39 | | | | 34 | | | |
| 19 48 | Quick Responses (Uncommonness) Practical Judgment | 65 | | | | 63 3C | 31 | | |
| <u>NC</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 15 | Circle Square I Circle Square II | | | | 41 42 | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 23 29 31 32 36 | Sign Changes F-Test Gestalt Transformation Object Synthesis Concept Synthesis Mutilated Words | 47 -34 -56 35 39 | | | | 50 33 -62 39 | 34 -46 | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 11 | Figure Concepts (Uncommonness) Number Associations | 31 | | | | 34 | | | |
| 12 19 | (Uncommonness) Consequences Test (Low Quality) Ouick Responses | 42 | | | | 46 -35 | 34 | | |
| 20 23 29 | (Uncommonness) Associations I F-Test Gestalt Transformation | 64 | | | | 42 75 33 -32 | 47 55 31 -37 | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARAPLE FACTOR 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 18 39 | Number Associations (Uncommonness) Implied Uses Controiled Associations | | | | | | | 35 36 73 | |

Table 4 (Continued)

solutions are comparable (in the sense defined for this strategy) for some factors but not for others. It should be pointed out here that for both 10 and 12 factors the UMLFA method yleided an improper solution since the unique variance for variable Number 27, Sentence Gestait (Omissions), was equal to or less than .02. Jöreskog suggests partialling out any



variables that have a unique variance that is essentially zero (<.02). It was decided, instead, to remove this variable from the intercorrelation matrix. The solution given here for UMLFA is for 15 factors for 52 variables, with variable number 27 omitted. There are ten comparable common factors for the 53 variables in Matrix 08 and eight noncomparable factors. Guilford obtained 15 common factors for this set of data.

The results of the application of our factor analytic interpretation strategy to the remaining seven matrices are given in Tables 5 through 11. As mentioned earlier the seven derived solutions seemed to be very similar for Matrix 23. They are most discrepant for Matrices 08 and 69. The results seem to be fairly similar for Matrices 14 and 16B. For Matrices 12, 16A, 16C, and 22 there is some close agreement and some diversity. The comparable common factors of Matrices 09 and 22 seem to have relatively few relevant variables. In general, the number of comparable common factors is similar to the smallest number of common factors in the derived solutions of the reanalyses. For one matrix (09) the number of CCFs is one less than the smallest number of common factors obtained for any one derived solution. For six of the matrices (08, 12, 16A, 16C, 22, and 23) the number of CCFs is equal to the smallest number of common factors obtained for any one or more derived solutions. The number of CCFs is greater than the smallest number of common factors for a single derived solution for two of the matrices (14 and 16B),

The number of comparable common factors for the data in any one of the matrices is always considerably fewer than the number of common factors obtained by Guilford. In general, a few of the CCFs agree rather closely with common factors obtained by Guilford. In many instances two or more of his common factors coalesce into one comparable common factor.

Table 5 Factor Results for Matrix 09*

| | | Re | | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Orthogonal | | | | bliqu | le | | Guilford |
| I | 11 | III | IV | I | 11 | III | L | <u>.</u> |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | 32 | ** | | 35 | 33 | ** | | |
| 65 | 46 | | | 75 | 54 | | | |
| 63 | 63 | | 74 | 50 | 52 | | 46 | |
| 74 | 73 | | 80 | 70 | 73 | | 62 | |
| 59 | 55 | | 31 | 65 | 65 | | 32 | |
| 36 | 32 | | | | | | | |
| | I 35 65 63 74 59 36 | Ortho I II 35 32 65 46 63 63 74 73 59 55 36 32 | <u>Orthogona</u> I II III 35 32 ** 65 46 63 63 74 73 59 55 36 32 | Reanaly Orthogonal I II III IV 35 32 ** 65 46 63 63 74 74 73 80 59 55 31 36 32 32 | Reanalyses Orthogonal O I II III IV I 35 32 ** 35 35 65 46 75 53 63 74 50 74 73 80 70 59 55 31 65 36 32 4 50 31 65 36 32 | Reanalyses Orthogonal Obligu I II III IV I II 35 32 ** 35 33 65 46 75 54 63 63 74 50 52 74 73 80 70 73 59 55 31 65 65 36 32 59 55 55 | Reanalyses Orthogonal Oblique I II III IV I II III 35 32 ** 35 33 ** 65 46 75 54 63 63 74 50 52 74 73 80 70 73 59 55 31 65 65 36 32 50 52 53 | Reanalyses Orthogonal Oblique I II III II II I 35 32 ** 35 33 ** 65 46 75 54 63 63 74 50 52 46 74 73 80 70 73 62 32 36 32 32 32 32 33 33 |

*Decimals have been omitted.

**Method III went to p-l factors, one less than the number of variables, and is not included here.

Key to Factor Solutions of Peanalyses:

- I Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III Jöreskog
- IV Harris R-S²

Key to Guilford Factors:

L Speed of Evaluation



| | | | | Re | analy | ses | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-----------|----------|
| | | | Orthe | ogona | 1 | | Dblig | ue | | Guilford |
| | | I | II | III | IV | I | II | 111 | 1 | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | CRITICAL EVALUATION | 46 | 42 | | | 34 | 32 | | 38 | |
| 12 | VERBAL CLASSIFICATION | 51 | 53 | | | 42 | 46 | | 48 | |
| 22 | GESTALT TRANSFORMATION | 72 | 53 | | | 89 | 76 | | | |
| 29 | PICTURE CLASSIFICATION | 59 | 50 | | | 58 | 55 | | | |
| 7 | Sentence Evaluation Titles | 35 | 33 | | | -33 | | | 31 | |
| 13 | Word Classification | 33 | 33 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Generalizations | 44 | 40 | | | 32 | | | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 3 | | | | | | | | c | <u>D</u> |
| 36 | RATIO ESTIMATION TEST | 42 | 42 | | 35 | | 31 | | 32 | |
| 40 | PUNCHED HOLES | 75 | 63 | | | 71 | 58 | | 58 | |
| 41 | MECHANICAL PRINCIPLES | 61 | 51 | | | 53 | 36 | | 59 | |
| 43 | ARITHMETIC REASONING | 58 | 62 | | €5 | 49 | 33 | | 32 | 50 |
| 4 | Logical Reasoning | | | | 44 | | | | | |
| n | Logical Classification | | | | | -32 | -53 | | | |
| 14 | Interpretations | | | | | | | | | 30 |
| 16 | Word Selection | | | | | -44 | -55 | | 1 | |
| 18 | Reading Comprehension | 40 | 43 | | 46 | | | | 34 | 31 |
| 23 | Practical Judgment | | | | | | | | | 42 |
| 37 | Vocabulary | | | | | | -35 | | | |
| 42 | Ship Destination | | 32 | | | | | | | 43 |
| 45 | Figure Analogies Completion | 32 | 31 | | | | | | 42 | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | <u> K</u> | |
| 34 | FIGURE ESTIMATION (PART I) | 82 | 59 | | | 90 | 71 | | 34 | |
| 36 | RATIO ESTIMATION TEST | 33 | 34 | | 31 | 32 | 40 | | 50 | |
| 6 | Inferences II | 33 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Generalizations | 34 | | | 65 | 33 | | | | |
| 26 | Sound Grouping | | | | | | | | 33 | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 5 | | | | | | | | <u>B</u> | |
| 38 | SPATIAL ORIENTATION (PART I) | 46 | 40 | | | 37 | 32 | | 35 | |
| 39 | SPATIAL ORIENTATION (PART II) | 78 | 64 | | | 81 | 66 | | 45 | |
| 6 | Inferences II | | | | | -40 | -32 | | | |
| 25 | Social Situations | | | | | -33 | | | | |
| 36 | Ratio Estimation Test | | | | | | | | 37 | |
| 45 | Figure Analysis Completion | 41 | 36 | | | | 33 | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | |

Table 5 (Continued)

ì

Key to Guilford Factors:

I Verbal Classification

C Visualization

K Perceptual EvaluationB Perceptual Speed

D General Reasoning



| Guilford |
|---------------------------------|
| N |
| |
| 43 |
| |
| |
| 30 |
| 39 |
| |
| НЕ |
| 62 26 |
| 61 |
| 62 31 |
| 55 |
| 49 |
| |
| 32 |
| 31 |
| 50 |
| |
| 30 |
| 42 |
| |
| 47 |
| 36 |
| 31 |
| 31 |
| <u>F_M</u> |
| 30 55 |
| 60 |
| |
| 36 |
| 20 |
| 30 20 |
| 36 |
| 13 |
| 36 |
| |
| 35 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 45 |
| 31 |
| 44 |
| 30 |
| |
| 44 30 M Experie Evalua |

Table 5 (Continued)



| Table | 5 | (Continue | ed) |
|-------|---|-----------|-----|
|-------|---|-----------|-----|

i t

| | | | | Re | <u>eanaly</u> | ses | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|------|---------------|----------------------------|--|-----|----------------------|
| | | | <u>Ortho</u> | gona | 1 | 0 | bliqu | le | Guilford |
| | | I | 11 | ш | IV | 1 | 11 | 111 | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 9 10 11 28 37 4 5 7 | FACTS AND OPINIONS CRITICAL EVALUATION TITLES LOGICAL CLASSIFICATION SYMBOLIC JUDGMENT VOCABULARY Logical Reasoning Inference Test Sentence Evaluation | 69 50 59 53 51 39 | 60 42 36 63 46 56 34 32 35 | | 76 33 | 80 35 47 42 91 | 72 34 62 38 86 32 31 | | |
| 13 16 17 40 43 46 | Word Classification Word Selection Evaluation of Comparisons Punched Holes Arithmetic Reasoning Common Situations Test | 34 33 | 32 48 32 | | | 33 41 | 38 38 | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 24 | OBJECT SYNTHESIS II Practical estimation | 79 56 | 53 40 | | | 82 49 | 56 38 | | |
| 47 | Controlled Association | | | | | | 31 | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE SPECIFIC FACTOR 11 | | | | | | | | J |
| 23 | PRACTICAL JUDGMENT | 70 | 47 | | | 70 | 53 | | |
| 11 15 20 22 24 42 47 | Logical Classification Generalizations Object Synthesis Gestalt Transformation Practical Estimation Ship Destination Controlled Association | 33 -31 39 | 47 | | | 34 -44 38 | -38 36 -34 | | 39 30 36 31 |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 12 | | | | | | | | G |
| 18 20 24 46 | Reading Comprehension Object Synthesis Practical Estimation Common Situations Test | 79 | -32 31 65 | | | | -47 67 | | 43 33 55 |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 10 12 20 38 43 | Syllogisms II Titles Verbal Classification Object Synthesis Spatial Orientation (Part I) Arithmetic Reasoning | 31 68 56 | 31 41 | | | 31 38 62 64 | 33 53 42 -32 | | |

J Redefinition

G Ideational Fluency

Full Text Provided by Effic

14

| | | | | Re | analy | ses | | | | |
|--|---|---|-------|-------|----------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|----------|
| | | | Orthe | gonal | l | _0 | bliqu | <u>e</u> | | Guilford |
| | | 1 | II | Iff | ĩ۷ | I | Π | III | A | |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 9 10 13 18 19 27 32 37 46 | Sentence Evaluation Critical Evaluation Titles Word Classification Reading Comprehension Absurdities Figure Classification Word Checking I Vocabulary Common Situations Test | | | | | 32 36 50 49 67 56 50 43 64 ~73 | | | 56 35 42 50 | |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 40 | Ratio Estimation Test Punched Holes | | | | 34 62 | | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 16 | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 46 | Interpretations Common Situations Test | | | | | 75 -36 | | | | |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 17 | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 43 | Ship Destination Arithmetic Reasoning | | | | 81 31 | | | | | |
| <u>N0</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 18 | | | | | | | | ł | |
| 13 37 | Word Classification Voca: :lary | | | | 70 38 | | | | | |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 19 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 45 | Syllogisms III Figure Analogies Completion | | | | 42 81 | | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 5 | Syllogisms I Inference Test | | | | 31 76 | | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 21 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 47 | Syllogisms 1 Controlled Association | | | | 33 65 | | | | | |

Table 5 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factor:

.: Verbal Comprehension



| | | | | R | eanal | yses | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|------|------|-----------|----|----|---------|
| | | | Orthe | ngona | <u>1</u> | _0 | blig | <u>ue</u> | | G | uilford |
| | | I | IL | ш | IV | I | II | III | В | | |
| ∞ | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | ARITHMETIC REASONING | 42 | 39 | 39 | 41 | 40 | 35 | 42 | 43 | | |
| 47 | SIGN CHANGES | 71 | 52 | 48 | 55 | 70 | 52 | 45 | 51 | | |
| 5Û | MECHANICAL PRINCIPLES | - 35 | -33 | -36 | | - 36 | -32 | | | | |
| 52 | NUMERICAL OPERATIONS | 78 | 75 | 68 | 68 | 80 | 76 | 65 | 66 | | |
| 35 | Code Analysis | | | 39 | | | | 31 | | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | PICTURE ARRANGEMENT | 68 | 56 | 46 | 57 | 71 | 59 | 39 | | | |
| 50 | MECHANICAL PRINCIPLES | -59 | -54 | -41 | -35 | - 60 | -53 | -62 | ł | | |
| 27 | Verification | - 3 2 | | | | -32 | | -35 | | | |
| <u>00</u> | MFARABLE COMMON FACTOR 3 | | | | | | | | K_ | c | J |
| q | COMPETITIVE PLANNING | 39 | 40 | 38 | 41 | 36 | 32 | | | | 40 |
| 1Ž | ROUTE PLANNING | 53 | 55 | 60 | 52 | 41 | 35 | 57 | 34 | 34 | 38 |
| 17 | MATCH PROBLEMS II | 69 | 64 | 62 | 64 | 72 | 65 | 63 | 43 | | 32 |
| 33 | PLANNING AIR MANEUVERS | 60 | 47 | 43 | 47 | 63 | 54 | 35 | 4; | | - |
| 46 | MATCH PROBLEMS | 72 | 67 | 61 | δ6 | 77 | 75 | 61 | 57 | | |
| 10 | Symbol Grouping | | | | | | | | | | 36 |
| 21 | Picture Arrangement | | | 31 | | | | | | | |
| 32 | Planning Skills | | | | | -42 | - 39 | | | | |
| 34 | Planning a Circuit | | 39 | 46 | 37 | | | 52 | 35 | | 39 |
| 35 | Code Analysis | 34 | 37 | | 33 | | | - | | | 33 |
| 39 | Arithmetic Reasoning | 37 | 32 | | | 35 | 37 | | | | |
| 40 | Logical Reasoning | 33 | | | | 36 | 36 | | | | |
| 50 | Mechanical Principles | 31 | 34 | | | | | | 32 | 44 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |

1

Table 6 Factor Results for Matrix 12*

* Decimals have been omitted.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

- 1 Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III Jöreskog
- IV Harris R-S²

Key to Guilford Factors:

- **B** Numerical Facility

- K Adaptive Flexibility
 C Visualization
 J Perceptual Foresight



| Table 6 | (Continu | ed) |
|---------|----------|-----|
|---------|----------|-----|

| | | | | Re | eanal | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|----------|-----|----------|----|----|
| | | | gona | 1 | _0 | bliqu | ie | · ····· | G | uilfo | d | |
| _ | ···· | I | 11 | 111 | IV | 1 | П | III | G | М | N | F |
| <u>00</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | PERTINENT OUESTIONS | 74 | 71 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 67 | 79 | 1 | | 58 | |
| 7 | EFFECTS | 79 | 77 | 76 | 76 | 80 | 74 | 77 | | 47 | 46 | |
| 8 | CONSEQUENCES (REMOTENESS- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PART I, 11) | 51 | 48 | 4£ | 46 | 51 | 49 | 32 | { | | 30 | |
| 11 | CONTINGENCIES | 54 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 41 | 43 | 45 | 43 | | 39 | |
| 13 | PLANNING SKILLS II | 68 | 63 | 62 | 63 | 84 | 89 | 72 | | 40 | | |
| 14 | PLANNING ELABORATION | 70 | 66 | 63 | 64 | 80 | 79 | 65 | 30 | 44 | | |
| 15 | FIGURE PRODUCTION | 47 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 41 | | 32 | | 37 | | |
| 16 | ALTERNATE METHODS | 67 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 67 | 53 | 64 | | | 44 | |
| 26 | UNUSUAL METHODS | 60 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 49 | 40 | 47 | | 34 | | |
| 27 | VERIFICATIONS | 48 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 42 | 48 | 36 | 38 | | | |
| 28 | PROCEDURE APPLICATIONS | 47 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 38 | 36 | 33 | | | | |
| 32 | PLANNING SKILLS | 45 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 32 | | 33 | | | | |
| 43 | PLOT TITLES (LOW QUALITY- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PART I, II) | 50 | 44 | 45 | 42 | 59 | 38 | 53 | 1 | | | 37 |
| 44 | CONSEQUENCES (LOW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | QUALITY-PART I, II) | 47 | 44 | 42 | 41 | 48 | | 46 | | | | 49 |
| 45 | CONTROLLED ASSOCIATIONS II | 40 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 36 | | 36 | | | | |
| 18 | Symbol Production | 31 | 34 | 36 | 35 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Outlining (Part II) | | | | | | 36 | | 1 | | | |
| 29 | Essential Operations | | | | | | 46 | | 31 | | | |
| 31 | Seeing Deficiencies | 31 | 31 | 33 | 32 | | | | 46 | | | |
| 38 | Ship Destination | | | | | | 44 | | | | | |
| 40 | Logical Reasoning | | | | | | 39 | | | | | |
| 42 | Plot Titles (Clever- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Part I, II) | 36 | 35 | 33 | 34 | | | | 1 | | | |
| 48 | Verbal Analogies I | | | | | | 35 | | 1 | | | |
| 49 | Practical Judgment | | | | | | | | 30 | | | |
| ω | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 5 | | | | | | | | н | | | |
| 2 | | 72 | 62 | | 62 | 72 | 61 | | 46 | | | |
| 10 1 | VEDDAL ANALOGIES I | 42 | 25 | | 24 | 25 | 26 | | 40 | | | |
| 90 | VERDAL ANALASIES I | 40 | 33 | | 34 | | 30 | | 1 1 | | | |
| 5 | Awareness of Variables | -35 | | | | - 51 | | | | | | |
| 25 | Word Matrices | 39 | | | 31 | 32 | | | | | | |
| ∞ | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 6 | | | | | | | | A. | L | | |
| 22 | | E 4 | 40 | 26 | 4 1 | 42 | | | 1 | 40 | | |
| 21 | SERIENCE URDER | 0 M 5 C | 910 13 | 3ŭ 2▲ | 71 | 9.J E A | 16 | | | 43 24 | | |
| 31 | O A ALDONT COMPETITION ON OFFICIAL | 22 | 23 77 | 39 76 | 22 | 39 76 | 40 | | 71 | 30 | | |
| 30 | UCADITADY | 19 | 11 | 70 | 0U 03 | / U 0 1 | ¶/ CC | 60 10 | | | | |
| 5/ | | 81 (7 | 78 | 11 | 85 | 61 | 22 | 30 | | 20 | | |
| 41 | INTERENUE | 3/ | 31 | 22 | 91/ 20 | 33 | 33 | 36 | 32 | 30 | | |
| 40 | WACTICAL BUDGATAT | 41 C 1 | 30 12 | 30 24 | 30 | 3∠ 73 | 4.2 | 32 | 30 | | | |
| 43 | TAOTICAL JUDOMENT | 51 | ۵ ۳ | 37 | | 13 | 30 | J & | 1 | | | |

Key to Guilford Factors:

G judgment M Elaboration N Conceptual Foresight F Ideational Fluency

H Eduction of Conceptual Relations A Verbal Comprehension L Ordering



•

| | | | | R | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------|--|
| | | _(| <u>)rtho</u> | gona | 1 | _0 | blig | ue_ | Guilford | | |
| | | 1 | 11 | 111 | IV | I | 11 | 111 | A | L | |
| CO FAC | MPARABLE COMMON CTOR 6 (Continued) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 5 | Matrix Order Seeing Trends Awareness of Variables | 44 33 31 | 39 | | | 38 | | | | 33 | |
| 11 20 21 | Contingencies Temporal Ordering Picture Arrangement | 38 42 | 33 38 | | 34 31 | 37 | | | | 36 53 | |
| 25 28 29 39 | Word Matrices Procedure Applications Essential Operations Arithmetic Reasoning | 36 41 42 33 | 38 38 46 36 | | | 32 34 | | | | 44 32 | |
| 40 48 | Logical Reasoning Verbal Analogies I | 32 39 | 38 43 | 31 | 39 | | | | | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 19 | SERIES LINE DRAWING | 59 62 | 35 44 | | 35 34 | 33 73 | 31 56 | | | | |
| 2 18 23 38 | Seeing Trends Symbol Production Outlining (Part I) Ship Destination | 39 3B 35 | 32 | | 48 | -44 | | | | | |
| çç | MPARABLE SPECIFIC FACTOR 8 | | | | | | | | D | E | |
| 38 | SHIP DESTINATION | 54 | 44 | 46 | | 75 | 42 | 68 | 44 | | |
| 1 3 5 6 | Matrix Order Sensitivity to Order Awareness of Variables Series | | | 31 35 33 | | | | 41 35 41 32 | | | |
| 18 21 22 22 | Symbol Production Picture Arrangement Sentence Order Outlining (Part 1) | -34 | | | | | | 59 44 | 21 | | |
| 25 25 27 | Word Matrices Verification | 40 | | 45 33 | | 42 | | 66 | 31 | 21 | |
| 29 30 31 | Ranking of Variables Seeing Deficiencies | 40 | | 36 | | 43 | | 3B 47 | 31 | 31 | |
| 35 36 39 | Code Analysis G-Z Verbal Comprehension Arithmetic Reasoning | 41 | | 37 35 43 | | 38 | | 56 | 35 31 | | |
| 40 41 44 | Logical Reasoning Inference Consequences (Low | 45 | | 56 | | 35 | | 60 | | 46 30 | |
| 48 50 | Quality-Part I, II) Verbal Analogies 1 Mechanical Principles | -36 31 | -34 | 54 34 | | -61 | -71 | 56 | | | |

Key to Guilford Factors: D General Reasoning E Logical Evaluation

Table 6 (Continued)

3

| Table | 6 | (Continued) |
|-------|---|-------------|
|-------|---|-------------|

| | | | | Re | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|----------|--------------|----------|----|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | (| <u>Ortho</u> | gona | 1 | _0 | blig | ue | | Guilford |
| | | 1 | II | 111 | 17 | I | iI | 111 | 1 | |
| $\underline{\infty}$ | MPARABLE SPECIFIC FACTOR 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | SYMBOL PRODUCTION | 35 | 33 | 47 | | 80 | 70 | 64 | 42 | |
| 1 2 6 | Matrix Order Seeing Trends Series | 42 | | 36 21 | | 47 45 40 | 45 33 | 43 39 | 35 | |
| 8 15 19 | Consequences (Remoteness) Figure Production Line Drawing | | | | | | | 34 | 34 30 | |
| 20 23 26 | Temporal Ordering Outlining (Part I) Unusual Methods | 73 | 47 | | | 32 66 35 | 64 34 | 31 | 32 | |
| 42 47 | Plot Titles (Clever-Part I, II) Sign Changes | | | | | 31 | | 37 32 | 36 | |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 30 | Awareness of Variables Ranking of Variables | 33 77 | | | | 80 | | | | |
| | Quality-Part 1, 11) | 42 | | | | 45 | | | | |
| NQ | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 34 | Route Planning Planning a Circuit | 43 69 | | | | 43 70 | 36 54 | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 42 | Symbol Grouping Plot Titles (Clever- | 71 | 41 | | | 73 | 44 | | | |
| | Part 1, 11) | -51 | -33 | | | -52 | -39 | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 13 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 4 36 | Outlining (Part II) G-7 Verbal Comprehension | | | | | -33 | 34 | | | |
| 51 | Symbol Manipulation | | | | | 79 | 63 | | | |

Key to Guilford Factor:

I Originality



ł

| | Tab | le 7 |) | |
|--------|---------|------|--------|-----|
| Factor | Results | for | Matrix | 14* |

| | | | | Re | analy | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------|----------|
| | | |)rtho | gona | 1 | _0 | bliqu | 1 <u>e</u> | . — | G | uilford |
| | · · · · · | I | 11 | ill | IV | I | II | III | A | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 1 | | | | - | | | | | | |
| 8 16 20 22 23 6 9 | NECESSARY FACTS VERBAL COMPREHENSION APTITUDE-VERBAL MECHANICS OF EXPRESSION READING COMPREHENSION Logical Reasoning Numerical Operations | 38 80 86 73 72 36 | 35 71 85 67 66 34 | 37 73 84 65 65 33 | 31 76 83 65 59 | 32 86 89 75 72 | 79 90 74 66 | 76 84 56 60 - 38 | 70 80 64 51 | | |
| co | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | с | F | G |
| 1 3 8 12 13 15 19 | BALANCES CIRCLE SQUARE TRIANGLE NECESSARY FACTS SECRET WRITING SHIP DESTINATION SYMBOL MANIPULATION APTITUDE-SPATIAL | 52 45 46 39 72 39 72 | 34 35 39 33 64 34 58 | 44 47 35 58 64 41 53 | 53 37 42 57 36 62 | 41 31 47 33 74 41 92 | 32 41 77 37 84 | 55 53 37 60 73 32 65 | 33 37 49 | 32 56 | 32 36 |
| 4 5 6 7 9 11 14 17 | Essential Operations Form Reasoning II Logical Reasoning Necessary Arithmetic Operations Numerical Operations Rules Sign Changes II Aptitude-Quantitative, Part I | 34 35 | 34 | 33 53 39 43 | 34 | -42 39 | 37 | 53 38 | | 4 9 37 | 51 44 |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 3 | | | | | | | | D | I | |
| 10 15 21 | PROBLEM SOLVING SYMBOL MANIPULATION II MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT | 73 47 65 | 66 34 42 | 55 34 34 | 54 | 75 33 60 | 7 2 39 | 70 34 39 | 46 36 | 44 48 | |
| 1 5 6 9 14 | Balances Essential Operations Form Reasoning II Iogical Reasoning Numerical Operations Sign Changes II | 35 37 | | 31 31 37 | 41 43 | -44 | | 31 32 38 32 32 | 39 50 | | |
| 17 23 | Aptitude-Quantitative, Part I Reading Comprehension | 41 | 33 | | 32 | | | | 45 | 31 | |

* Decimals have been omitted.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

- I Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III Jöreskog IV Harris R-S²

- A Verbal Comprehension
- C Visualization
- F General Reasoning
- G Handling Complicated Procedures
- D Logical Evaluation
- I Mathematical Achievement



| | | | <u> </u> | analys | ses | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | _0 | rtho | gona | 1 | _01 | oliqu | <u>e</u> | Guilford |
| | <u> </u> | 1 | 111 | IV | I | 11 | 111 | В |
| COMPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 NECESSARY ARITHMETIC OPERATIONS 9 NUMERICAL OPERATIONS 22 MECHANICS OF EXPRESSION | 72 68 33 | 58 47 31 | 5 9 32 33 | 60 | 77 73 36 | 63 54 35 | 65 34 41 | 42 50 |
| Circle Reasoning Necessary Facts Aptitude-Quantitative, Part I Aptitude-Quantitative, Part II Mathematics Achievement | | | 39 34 54 40 32 | 33 | | | 38 31 50 37 31 | |
| COMPARABLE COMMON FACTUR | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | <u>E</u> |
| 2 CIRCLE REASONING | 73 | 51 | | 49 | 76 | 55 | | 47 |
| 17 APTITUDE-QUANITIATIVE, PART I | 48 | 42 | | 42 | 36 | 32 | | 44 |
| 18 APTITUDE-QUANTITATIVE, PART II | 75 | 61 | | 60 | 78 | 68 | | 56 |
| 8 Necessary Facts 11 Rules | 36 43 | 31 35 | | | 39 | | | 31 |
| COMPARABLE COMMON FACTOR | <u>6</u> | | | | | | | <u>H</u> |
| 5 FORM REASONING II 11 RULES 14 SIGN CHANGES II | 74 44 65 | 69 39 43 | | 51 32 49 | 80 40 72 | 81 32 48 | | 42 60 |
| 1 Balances 3 Circle Square Triangle 6 Logical Reasoning 12 Secret Writing 15 Symbol Manipulation II | 34 33 38 49 | 32 34 36 45 33 | | | 33 35 46 | 38 | | 54 |
| NONCOMPARAPLE FACTOR 7 | | | | 20 | | | | |
| 11 Rules 12 Secret Writing | | | | 39 37 | | | | |

- **B** Numerical Facility
- E Eduction of Patterns
- H Trial and Error Manipulation



| | | | | Re | analy | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|----|--------------|------|-------|-----|------|-----|----|-----|--------------|----|
| | | | <u>Drthc</u> | gona | 1 | | blig | ue | | G | <u>uilfo</u> | rd |
| | | I | п | ·III | IV | 1 | 11 | 111 | В | Н | J | С |
| cc | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | COMPLETION OF FIGURAL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CHANGES | 35 | 37 | 31 | | 38 | | 36 | | 31 | | 40 |
| 3 | CORRELATE COMPLETION II | 41 | 43 | 42 | 35 | | 34 | 37 | 1 | 4.9 | | |
| 5 | FIGURE ANALOGIES | 38 | 40 | 32 | | 66 | 36 | 79 | Ì | | | 54 |
| 6 | FIGURE ANALOGIES | 51 | 47 | | | 84 | 54 | 59 | | 53 | | 33 |
| | COMPLETION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | LETTER SERIES | 43 | 45 | 50 | 31 | | 35 | 62 | 41 | 40 | | |
| 14 | NUMBER SERIES | 50 | 49 | 61 | 49 | 32 | 54 | 46 | 36 | | 43 | |
| 17 | PRESCRIBED RELATIONS | 57 | 52 | 35 | 37 | 57 | 56 | 34 | | | | |
| 20 | SHIP DESTINATION | 56 | 43 | 45 | | 44 | 48 | 36 | 61 | | | |
| 22 | SIGN CHANGES II | 70 | 61 | 55 | 48 | 49 | 75 | 38 | 37 | | 39 | |
| 23 | SYMBOL MANIPULATION 11 | 57 | 47 | 41 | 57 | 42 | 50 | | | | 43 | |
| 1 | Circle Reasoning | | | | | | | 66 | | | | |
| 4 | Critical Evaluation | | | | | -67 | -37 | -49 | | | | |
| 8 | Figure Matrix | | | | | 43 | | 67 | | | | 39 |
| 9 | Form Reasoning | | | 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Letter Triangle | 31 | 31 | | | 33 | | 58 | | 33 | | |
| 15 | Perceptual Relations Naming | 32 | 35 | 36 | | | | 66 | | 31 | | 45 |
| 19 | Seeing Trends II | 33 | 34 | 37 | | | | | | 31 | | |
| 21 | Sign Changes | | | 59 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Verbal Analogies I | | | | | 31 | | | | | | |

Table 8 Factor Results for Matrix 16A*

* Decimals have been omitted.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

- 1 Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III Jöreskog
- IV Harris R-S2

- **B** General Reasoning
- H Eduction of Correlates
- J Symbol Manipulation
- C Eduction of Perceptual Relations



| Table 8 (Continu | ed) |
|------------------|-----|
|------------------|-----|

| | | Reanalyses | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|----|----|--------|-----|----------|
| | | | Ortho | gona | 1 | _0 | blig | le | , | C | Guilfe | ord | |
| | | I | 11 | ш | IV | 1 | п | 111 | A | E | F | М | <u> </u> |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | COMPLETION OF FIGURAL CHANGES | 48 | 43 | 52 | 34 | 36 | 42 | 32 | | | | | |
| 6 | FIGURE ANALOGIES COMPLETION | 50 | 40 | 54 | | | 46 | 50 | | | | | |
| 10 | INVENTIVE VERBAL RELATIONS | 43 | 43 | 46 | 65 | 62 | 36 | | 41 | | | 51 | |
| 13 | MATRIX ORDER | 50 | 38 | 40 | 31 | 59 | 46 | | 42 | | | | |
| 16 | PICTURE CLASSIFICATION | 51 | 41 | 32 | 32 | 75 | 61 | | | 37 | | | |
| 24 | VERBAL ANALOGIES I | 75 | 74 | 69 | 45 | 78 | 105 | 72 | 49 | 48 | | | |
| 25 | VERBAL ANALOGIES | 58 | 56 | 60 | 65 | 76 | 65 | | 38 | 38 | | 35 | |
| 27 | VEREAT DELATIONS NAMING | 34 | 34 | 24 | 66 | 53 | | | | | | 54 | |
| 28 | WORD CLASSIFICATION | 69 | 60 | 61 | 39 | 70 | 78 | 69 | 42 | | 45 | 51 | |
| 1 | Circle Reasoning | | | | | | | -49 | Į | | | | |
| 3 | Correlate Completion II | | | 38 | 38 | | | | | | | 31 | |
| 4 | Critical Evaluation | | | | | 65 | | | 39 | | | | |
| 5 | Figure Analogies | 47 | 40 | 44 | | | 48 | | | | | | |
| 11 | Letter Series | | | | 32 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Perceptual Relations Naming | | | | 38 | | | | | | | 30 | |
| 17 | Prescribed Relations | 36 | 33 | 53 | | | | 50 | | | | | 41 |
| 18 | Seeing Trends | | | 45 | | | | 44 | | | | | 59 |
| 19 | Seeing Trends II | | | 41 | 43 | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 22 | Sign Changes II | | | | | -36 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Symbol Manipulation II | | | 41 | | | | 40 | | | | | |
| 26 | Verbal Classification | | | 41 | 47 | | | | | 30 | 32 | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 3 | | | | | | | | G | - | | | |
| 1 | CIRCLE REASONING | 74 | 55 | 48 | 56 | 86 | 77 | | 44 | | | | |
| 8 | FIGURE MATRIX | 52 | 35 | 42 | • • | 39 | 31 | | | | | | |
| ň | LETTER SERIES | 49 | 47 | 47 | 40 | | 36 | | 36 | | | | |
| 12 | LETTER TRIANGLE | 49 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 34 | 34 | | 38 | | | | |
| 15 | PERCEPTUAL RELATIONS NAMING | 56 | 50 | 53 | 33 | 38 | 44 | | | | | | |
| 16 | PICTURE CLASSIFICATION | 44 | 41 | 32 | 34 | •• | 22 | | | | | | |
| 2.7 | VERBAL RELATIONS NAMING | 43 | 42 | 44 | | 33 | 44 | | | | | | |
| 2 | Completion of Figural Changes | | | 32 | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 3 | Correlate Completion II | 34 | 32 | 35 | 32 | | | | | | | | |
| š | Floure Analogies | 50 | 49 | 48 | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 14 | Number Seties | 31 | | 31 | | | | | 32 | | | | |
| 24 | Verhal Analogies 1 | ~. | | | | -35 | -37 | | | | | | |
| 25 | Verbal Analogies Completion | 37 | 39 | 39 | | | 32 | | ł | | | | |
| 29 | Word Classification | ¥1 | | | | -40 | -34 | | 1 | | | | |
| -0 | | | | | | | • • | | 1 | | | | |

Key to Guilford Factors:

- A Verbal Comprehension
- E Eduction of Conceptual Relations
- M Expressional Fluency U Unidentified
- at verations
- F Verbal Classification

G Eduction of Patterns



| | | | | Re | eanaly | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | | | <u>Drthu</u> | gona | 1 | _0 | <u>blig</u> | ue | Guilford |
| | | I | 11 | 111 | IV | 1 | П | ш | к |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 9 14 | CRITICAL EVALUATION FORM REASONING | 60 66 | 43 50 38 | 45 | 62 38 | 50 83 | 48 54 32 | 38 51 | 53 |
| 21 | SIGN CHANGES | 77 | 75 | 37 | 60 | 91 | 84 | 78 | 65 |
| 8 10 15 22 25 27 | Figure Matrix Inventive Verbal Relations Perceptual Relations Naming Sign Changes II Verbal Analogies Completion Verbal Relations Naming | 33 | 33 | 55 36 51 | 31 | | | -31 37 | |
| <u>NC</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 5 | Correlate Completion II Figure Analogies | 42 | 35 | | | 38 -36 | -45 | | |
| 10 16 | Inventive Verbal Relations Picture Classification | 56 | 51 | | | 31 -43 | 36 | | |
| 18 19 22 | Seeing Trends Seeing Trends II Sign Changes II | 70 39 | 49 | | | 85 33 37 | 55 | | |
| 23 25 26 | Symbol Manipulation II Verbal Analogies Completion Verbal Classification | 31 45 53 | 41 40 | | | 43 47 | 33 | | |
| <u>NC</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 6 10 16 22 25 26 27 | Critical Evaluation Figure Analogies Completion Inventive Verbal Relations Picture Classification Sign Changes II Verbal Analogies Completion Verbal Classification Verbal Relations Naming | | | | | | | 47 -35 -31 66 35 79 | |
| <u>NC</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 5 6 16 17 24 | Completion of Figural Changes Figure Analogies Figure Analogies Completion Picture Classification Prescribed Relations Verbal Analogies 1 | | | | 44 49 63 32 38 54 | | | | |
| 25 28 | Verbal Analogies Completion Word Classification | | | | 31 39 | | | | |

Table 8 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factors:

K Symbol Substitution



| | Reanaly | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | Orthogonal | Oblique | Guilford | | |
| | I II III IV | 1 11 111 | | | |
| NONCOMPARABLE FACTOR 8 | | | | | |
| Letter Series Ship Destination | 32 49 | | | | |
| NONCOMPARABLE FACTOR 9 | | | | | |
| 5 Figure Analogies | 34 | | | | |
| 8 Figure Matrix | 49 | | | | |

Table 8 (Continued)

Table 9 Factor Results for Matrix 168*

| | | · | | Re | eanaly | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------|-----|----------------|----|----|-----|----------|----|----|
| | | Orthogonal | | | <u>Oblique</u> | | | | hilliord | | |
| | | 1 | 11 | 111 | IV | I | 11 | 111 | A | L | M |
| $\underline{\infty}$ | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | CRITICAL EVALUATION | 62 | 45 | 42 | 52 | 48 | 44 | 59 | 37 | | |
| 10 | INVENTIVE VERBAL RELATIONS | 55 | 54 | 68 | | | 32 | 80 | 53 | | 47 |
| 12 | PERCEPTUAL RELATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NAMING | 37 | 37 | 41 | | | 31 | 44 | | | 34 |
| 16 | SEEING TRENDS | 58 | - 44 | 34 | | 82 | 60 | 44 | | 34 | |
| 21 | VERBAL COMPREHENSION | 65 | 62 | 60 | 42 | | 40 | 88 | 79 | | |
| 22 | VERBAL RELATIONS NAMING | 50 | 49 | 52 | | 37 | 42 | 54 | | 32 | 33 |
| 25 | WORD-GROUP NAMING | 50 | 48 | 39 | | 41 | 45 | 39 | 31 | 36 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

* Decimals have been omitted.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

- 1 Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III Jöreskog
- IV Harris R-S²

Key to Guilford Factors:

- A Verbal Comprehension
- L Naming Abstractions
- M Expressional Fluency



;

| | | | | Re | analy | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----|----------|----|----------|
| | | | <u>)rtho</u> | gona | <u>1 ·</u> | . <u>o</u> | bliqu | ue | . — | | Guilford |
| | | I | п | 111 | IV | 1 | п | 111 | A | L | м |
| <u>CO</u> FA | MPARABLE COMMON CTOR 1 (Continued) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 3 4 | Associations III Controlled Associations II Correlate Completion II | | 31 | 40 34 31 | | | | | 31 | | 44 |
| 8 13 14 | Figure Matching Picture Classification Picture-Group Naming | | | 32 | | -34 | | -34 | | 38 | |
| 15 18 | Remote Verbal Similarities Verbal Analogies I | 40 | | | | | | 63 | 32 39 | | 41 |
| 23 24 | Verbal Analogies Completion Vocabulary Completion Word Classification | 48 | 46 44 | 55 57 | | 26 | 40 | 42 | 40 39 | | 44 |
| 20 | word Groups | | | | | -30 | -40 | | | | |
| <u>C0</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | <u>P</u> | | |
| 1 2 | ASSOCIATIONS III ASSOCIATIONS IV | 49 76 | 44 58 | | 38 60 | 45 90 | 42 75 | | 46 40 | | |
| 3 7 10 14 15 20 | Controlled Associations II Figure Classification Inventive Verbal Relations Picture-Group Naming Remote Verbal Similarities Verbal Classification | 36 31 39 53 40 37 | 32 43 39 35 | | 31 | 32 31 56 45 | 37 41 33 | | 48 | | |
| 23 | Vocabulary Completion | 38 | 39 | | | | | | 41 | | |
| 6 7 8 | FIGURE ANALOGIES FIGURE CLASSIFICATION FIGURE MATCHING | 70 49 37 | 68 39 32 | 67 32 36 | 65 35 33 | 67 50 33 | 68 58 | 49 | 58 30 | | |
| 9 | FIGURE MATRIX | 76 | 56 | 53 | 57 | 81 | 63 | 41 | 55 | | |
| 3 4 11 12 17 18 | Controlled Associations II Correlate Completion II Letter Grouping Perceptual Relations Naming Seeing Trends II Verbal Analogies I | 32 34 | 36 38 36 | 52 53 44 34 40 | 33 36 31 31 | -36 | | | | | |
| 19 26 | Verbal Analogies Completion Word Groups | | 34 | 36 34 | 32 | | | | | | |

Table 9 (Continued)

;

Key to Guillord Factors:

- • · ·

P Associational FluencyC Eduction of Perceptual Relations



| | | | | Re | <u>eanal</u> | yses | | | | |
|-----------|--|----|-------|------|--------------|------|-------|-----|-----|----------|
| | | (| Ortho | gona | 1 | _0 | bliqu | 16 | . — | Guilford |
| | _ | I | 11 | III | IV | I | п | ш | E | F |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | REMOTE VERBAL SIMILARITIES | 54 | 40 | 39 | | 62 | 48 | 47 | | |
| 18 | VERBAL ANALOGIES I | 60 | 51 | 51 | 53 | 73 | 63 | 52 | 43 | |
| 21 | VERBAL COMPREHENSION | 49 | 51 | 44 | 52 | 81 | 75 | | | |
| 24 | WORD CLASSIFICATION | 66 | 52 | 55 | 61 | 79 | 63 | 68 | | 40 |
| 26 | WORD GROUPS | 42 | 39 | | 35 | | 32 | 47 | | |
| 2 | Associations IV | | | | | | | 44 | | |
| 5 | Critical Evaluation | | | | | 35 | | | | |
| 8 | Figure Matching | 38 | | | | 38 | | | | |
| 10 | Inventive Verbal Relations | | | | 36 | 32 | | | | |
| 11 | Bergentual Relations Naming | | | | | -31 | | | | |
| 13 | Picture Classification | | | | | | | -33 | | |
| 19 | Verbal Analogies Completion | 32 | | 34 | 39 | 38 | | 00 | 37 | |
| 20 | Verbal Classification | •- | | 35 | 32 | | | 38 | 34 | 40 |
| 25 | Word-Group Naming | | | 38 | 35 | 40 | | 34 | | 38 |
| СС | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 5 | | | | | | | | I | D |
| 1 | ASSOCIATIONS III | 17 | 37 | 10 | 41 | 46 | 37 | 47 | | |
| 4 | CORRELATE COMPLETION II | 73 | 66 | 49 | 64 | 84 | 89 | 74 | 50 | |
| 11 | LETTER GROUPING | 67 | 57 | 50 | 56 | 80 | 74 | 89 | 56 | |
| 12 | PERCEPTUAL RELATIONS NAMING | 54 | 40 | ••• | 43 | 63 | 50 | 44 | 39 | |
| 17 | SEEING TRENDS II | 66 | 47 | 35 | 53 | 81 | 64 | 46 | 42 | |
| 23 | VOCABULARY COMPLETION | 55 | 47 | 52 | 48 | 54 | 51 | 47 | | |
| 26 | WORD GROUPS | 64 | 59 | 54 | 54 | 70 | 79 | 47 | 38 | |
| 2 | Associations IV | | | 45 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Controlled Associations II | 37 | | 45 | 33 | 33 | | | | |
| 7 | Figure Classification | | | | | | | | | 37 |
| 10 | Inventive Verbal Relations | 35 | | 4.0 | 31 | | | 2.2 | | 40 |
| 13 | Picture Classification Ricture-Group Naming | | | 43 | | | | 33 | | 4U 33 |
| 15 | Remote Verbal Similarities | | | 30 | | -36 | | 33 | | 33 |
| 19 | Verbal Analogies Completion | 34 | | | | 00 | | | | |
| 20 | Verbal Classification | | | 36 | | | | | | |
| 21 | Verbal Comprehension | | | | | | | -42 | | |
| 22 | Verbal Relations Naming | 39 | | | 37 | 33 | | 32 | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Letter Grouping | 31 | | | | | | | 1 | |
| 13 | Picture Classification | 78 | 56 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Seeing Trends | 44 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Word-Group Naming | 40 | 33 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | |

Table 9 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factors:

E Eduction of Conceptual Relations

I Eduction of Structural Relations

F Verbal Classification

D Perceptual Classification



Table 9 (Continued)

| | | Reanalys | ses | | |
|--|--------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|
| | Orthog | onal | Oblig | <u>ue</u> | Guilford |
| | J 11 | III IV | I II | 111 | |
| NONCOMPARABLE FACTOR 7 | | | | | |
| Inventive Verbal Relations Picture-Group Naming | | 38 48 | | | |
| 22 Verbal Relations Naming | | 31 | | | |
| NONCOMPARABLE FACTOR 8 | | | | | |
| 10 Inventive Verbal Relations | | 31 | | | |
| 23 Vocabulary Completion | | 39 | | | |

| | | | Re | anal | vses | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|--------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|----------|
| | | <u>Drtho</u> | gona | 1 | | bliq | ue | . — | Guilford |
| | I | П | III | IV | I | II | 111 | I | |
| COMPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 LETTER GROUPING | 73 | 67 | 60 | 46 | 70 | 66 | 62 | 49 | |
| 26 WORD GROUPS | 50 | 31 | 43 | 55 | 37 | | 38 | 49 | |
| 4 Cartoors | -35 | | | | -52 | -36 | -42 | | |
| 13 Picture-Group Naming | 40 | | 38 | | | | | | |
| 18 Seeing Deficiencies | | | 34 | | | | | | |
| 25 Word-Group Naming | | | 31 | | | | | | |
| COMPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 GESTALT TRANSFORMATION | 58 | 42 | | | 56 | 42 | 44 | | |
| 18 SEEING DEFICIENCIES | 55 | 41 | | 49 | 55 | 41 | 70 | | |
| 13 Picture-Group Naming | 42 | 32 | | | 41 | | 37 | | |
| 16 Quick Response | -64 | -34 | | | -71 | -39 | | | |
| 19 Seeing Problems | | | | | | -37 | | | |
| 23 Verbal Comprehension | | | | | | | 31 | | |
| 24 Vocabulary Completion | | | | 34 | | | 61 | | |

Table 10 Factor Results for Matrix 16C*

* Decimals have been omitted.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

I Incomplete Principal Component

- II Alpha
- III Jöreskog
- IV Harris R-S²

Key to Guilford Factor:

I Eduction of Structural Relations

28



. .

| | | Reanalyses | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|----|-----|------|-----|--------------|----|----------|----------|----|
| | | (| <u>Orth</u> c | g o na | 1 | _0 | bliq | ue | | C | Guilfo | ord | |
| | | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | A | Р | R | | |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | ASSOCIATIONS III | 73 | 73 | 63 | 66 | 79 | 72 | | 42 | 36 | 35 | | |
| 3 | ASSOCIATIONS IV | 72 | 61 | 57 | 62 | 82 | 63 | 35 | | 47 | | | |
| 7 | CONTROLLED ASSOCIATIONS | 51 | 48 | 57 | 49 | 53 | 42 | 74 | | 56 | | | |
| 8 | CONTROLLED ASSOCIATIONS II | 46 | 39 | 51 | 45 | 46 | 38 | 78 | | 61 | | | |
| 23 | VERBAL COMPREHENSION | 59 | 60 | 60 | 64 | 57 | 47 | 36 | 64 | | | | |
| 24 | VOCABULARY COMPLETION | 67 | 62 | 57 | 60 | 72 | 67 | 37 | 44 | 33 | | | |
| 1 | Apparatus Test | | | | | | -31 | | | | | | |
| 6 | C o nsequences (Remote) | 32 | | 31 | | 32 | | 56 | | | | | |
| 9 | Gestalt Transformation | 37 | 36 | | | 37 | | | | | 48 | | |
| 10 | Letter Grouping | 30 | | | 33 | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 11 | Logical Classification | 31 | 42 | 38 | 43 | | | | 39 | | 44 | | |
| 12 | Object Synthesis | 33 | 34 | | | 32 | | | | | | | |
| i 6 | Quick Response | | | | | | | 38 | | | | | |
| 17 | Remote Verbal Similarities | | 32 | | | | | -39 | 1 | | 34 | | |
| 18 | Seeing Deficiencies | | | | | | | | | | 40 | | |
| 25 | W ord- Gr o up Naming | 41 | 46 | 43 | 51 | | | | 37 | | | | |
| 26 | Word Groups | | | | | -37 | | | | | | | |
| ~~ | | | | | | | | | _T | NT | 0 | 0 | Ŧ |
| <u>u</u> | MPARABLE COMMON PACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | <u>۲</u> | 14 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| 1 | APPARATUS TEST | 79 | 74 | 76 | 79 | 83 | 69 | | | 45 | 51 | | |
| 4 | CARTOONS | 53 | 47 | 42 | 32 | 52 | 53 | | Í | | | 47 | |
| 6 | CONSEQUENCES (REMOTE) | 64 | 62 | 60 | 52 | 51 | 53 | | | | | 32 | 41 |
| 7 | CONTROLLED ASSOCIATION | 59 | 56 | 56 | 53 | 4 | 47 | | | | | 30 | |
| 8 | CONTROLLED ASSOCIATIONS II | 65 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 53 | 65 | | | | | | |
| 12 | OBJECT SYNTHESIS | 52 | 48 | 48 | 42 | 31 | | 63 | 40 | | | | 40 |
| 19 | SEEING PROBLEMS | 71 | 66 | 67 | 70 | 85 | 77 | | 1 | 50 | 40 | | |
| 20 | SIMILARITIES | 69 | 65 | 64 | 61 | 65 | 46 | 48 | | | 48 | | |
| 21 | SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS | 78 | 73 | 69 | 68 | 88 | 87 | | | 38 | 50 | | |
| 22 | UNUSUAL USES | 70 | 65 | 66 | 62 | 67 | 54 | 78 | | | 48 | 35 | 31 |
| 2 | Associations III | | | | | | | 45 | | | | | |
| 3 | Associations IV | | | | | -33 | | 35 | | | | | |
| 5 | Consequences (Low Quality) | 58 | 57 | 55 | 41 | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 9 | Gestalt Transformation | | | | | | | 45 | 1 | | | | |
| 11 | Logical Classification | | | | | | | 59 | | | | | |
| 13 | Picture-Group Naming | | | | | 33 | 34 | | 37 | | | | |
| 14 | Plot Titles (Clever) | 38 | | | | 66 | 67 | | | | | 43 | |
| 15 | Plot Titles (Low Quality) | 52 | 54 | 51 | 36 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Remote Verbal Similarities | | | | | | | 56 | | | | | |
| 18 | Seeing Deficiencies | 31 | | | | 31 | 39 | | | 39 | | | |
| 24 | Vocabulary Completion | 31 | 31 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Word-Group Naming | | | | | 36 | 36 | | 46 | | | | |
| 26 | Word Groups | | | | | 45 | 31 | 40 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |

- A Verbal ComprehensionP Associational Fluency
- N Sensitivity to Problems
 - O Penetration

- R Judgment
- L Naming Abstractions
- Q Originality
- T Spontaneous Flexibility

| | | Reanalyses | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------|--|
| | | | Orthe | ogona | <u>.</u> | _0 | blig | ue | | | Guilferd | |
| | | I | п | ш | IV | I | n | ш | s | | | |
| cc | MPARABLE COMMCN FACTOR 5 | | | | | | | | T | | | |
| 5 15 23 | CONSEQUENCES (LOW QUALITY) PLOT TITLES (LOW QUALITY) VERBAL COMPREHENSION | 47 52 -46 | 31 -33 | | 52 48 | 65 68 -45 | 58 62 -41 | 57 55 -49 | 5 6 | 8 0 | | |
| 6 11 14 25 | Consequences (Remote) Logical Classification Plot Titles (Clever) Word-Group Naming | -32 -55 -36 | - 35 | | | -31 -49 -34 | | -32 -40 | 3 | 4 | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 11 17 20 | Controlled Associations II Logical Classification Remote Verbal Similarities Similarities | 56 72 | 42 34 | | | 50 77 | -32 53 51 32 | | | | | |
| 22 25 26 | Unusual Uses Word-Group Naming Word Groups | 31 41 | | | | 38 | 35 | | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOP 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 21 24 | Seeing Def ciencies Social Institutions Vocabulary Completion | | | 41 34 33 | | | | | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 14 | Cartoons Plot Titles (Clever) | | | | 52 36 | | | | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 3 9 19 21 | Apparatus Test Associations III Associations IV Gestalt Transformation Seeing Problems Social Institutions | | | | | | | 62 -47 -54 -35 58 69 | | | | |
| NO | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 4 9 11 12 17 | Associations III Cartoons Gestalt Transformation Logical Classification Object Synthesis Remote Verbal Similarities | | | 31 31 44 34 31 39 | | | | | | | | |

Table 10 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factor:

.

S Ideational Fluency

30

GPO 817-446-3

| | | | | Re | analy | ses | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------|--|--|--|------|---|--|----------------------|
| | | |)rtho | gon <u>a</u> | 1 | _ 0 | blig | ie | | Guilford |
| _ | | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | с | F |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON VACTOR 1 | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 2 6 11 15 18 20 30 30 3 4 9 10 13 19 23 | APPARATUS TEST (MINOR) BRICK USES (SHIFTS) DIFFERENCES IDEATIONAL FLUENCY I MULTIPLE GROUPING OBJECT SYNTHESIS III SIMILARITIES Associational Fluency I Attribute Listing I Common Needs Contingencies Figure Concepts (Uncommonness) Object Naming (Shifts) Possibilities | 39 67 38 57 56 59 75 33 34 33 | ** | 42 57 48 58 49 54 64 35 42 33 31 | 37 60 36 49 46 59 60 | 53 84 31 50 57 73 31 34 | ** | 50 58 40 32 62 64 37 -34 36 | 36 34 47 33 32 49 31 | 46 37 44 43 |
| 25 | Seeing Problems - Part I | | | 33 | | | | | | u |
| 37 | VERBAL COMPREHENSION | 56 | | 31 | 43 | 54 | | 31 | 52 | <u> </u> |
| 30 | PART I | 75 | | 46 | 71 | 80 | | 84 | 59 | 48 |
| 55 | PART II | 80 | | 48 | 79 | 90 | | 86 | 52 | 46 |
| 3 14 17 25 29 31 40 | Associational Fluency I Gestalt Transformation Missing Links Seeing Problems - Part I Ship Destination Test Transitions (Coherence) Word Grouping | 4 5 50 | | 44 | 32 | 44 -31 61 | | -31 | 38 34 38 | 40 |

Table 11 Factor Results for Matrix 22*

* Decimals have been omitted.

** Method II did not converge.

Key to Factor Solutions of Reanalyses:

- I Incomplete Principal Component
- II Alpha
- III Jöreskog
- IV Harris R-S²

- C Ideational Fluency
- F Associational Fluency A Verbal Comprehension
- H Eduction of Conceptual Correlates



| | | Reanalyses | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|------|----------|-----|-------|----------|----------|----|---------|
| | | | <u>rtho</u> | gona | 1 | _0 | bligu | ie_ | | 0 | uilford |
| | | I | 11 | ш | IV | I | II | III | 1 | M | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | APPARATUS TEST (DRASTIC) | 66 | | 51 | | 73 | | 58 | 47 | | |
| 7 | CARTOONS - PART I | 62 | | 54 | 57 | 37 | | 53 | 30 | 66 | |
| 8 | CARTOONS - PART II | 65 | | 56 | 51 | 43 | | 57 | 40 | 31 | |
| 2 | Apparatus Test (Minor) | | | | | -31 | | | | | |
| 5 | Attribute Listing II | | | | | -38 | | | | | |
| 9 | Common Needs | | | | | | | | 37 | | |
| 22 | Pertinent Questions | | | 34 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Possibilities | 32 | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Sequential Association | | | | | -39 | | | | | |
| 31 | Transitions (Coherence) | 33 | | 32 | | | | | 30 | | |
| со | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 4 | | | | | | | | E | I | к |
| 16 | LOCICAL PERSONUNG | 62 | | 66 | 5.0 | 52 | | 57 | 12 | | 32 |
| 28 | SECUENTIAL ASSOCIATION | 15 | | 40 | 39 41 | 34 | | 37 40 | 42 | 48 | 33 |
| 33 | VERBAL ANALOGIES I - PART I | 67 | | 65 | 64 | 64 | | 67 | 57 | | |
| 34 | VERBAL ANALOGIES I - PART II | 78 | | έ4 | 68 | 88 | | 1 | 55 | | |
| 35 | VERBAL CLASSIFICATION - PART I | 45 | | 41 | 43 | 33 | | - | | | |
| 36 | VERBAL CLASSIFICATION - PART II | 54 | | 51 | 47 | 45 | | | | | |
| 2 | Apparatus Test (Minor) | | | | | -31 | | | | | |
| 3 | Associational Fluency I | | | 34 | | | | | } | | |
| 5 | Attribute Listing II | | | 31 | | | | | | 30 | |
| 17 | Missing Links | | | 31 | | | | | İ | | |
| 23 | Possibilities | | | | | 31 | | | | | |
| 29 | Ship Destination Test | 31 | | 41 | | | | | | | 45 |
| 37 | Verbal Comprehension | 43 | | 55 | 49 | | | | | | |
| 38 | Vocabulary Completion - Part I | | | 39 | | | | | | | |
| 39 | Vocabulary Completion - Part II | | | 33 | | | | | | | |
| <u>co</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 5 | | | | | | | | <u>L</u> | _ | |
| 13 | FIGURE CONCEPTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (UNCOMMONNESS) | 59 | | | 55 | 59 | | 54 | 42 | | |
| 40 | WORD GROUPING | 71 | | | 48 | 71 | | 46 | 46 | | |
| ាន | Multiple Grouping | | | | | | | 34 | | | |
| 24 | Predicaments | | | | | -39 | | 57 | | | |
| 29 | Ship Destination Test | 32 | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| -5 | and a contactor a cost | ~ • | | | | | | | | | |

٠

Table 11 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factors:

- I Originality
- M Cartoons Specific
- E Eduction of Conceptual Relations

- J Deduction K General Reasoning L Convergent Production of Semantic Classes



| | | Reanalyses | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------|----|----------|------|-----|-----|----------|
| | | (| O <u>r</u> tho | ogona | 1 | 0 | bliq | ue | | Guilford |
| | | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | В | D |
| <u>cc</u> | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | SEEING PROBLEMS - PART I | 69 | | 44 | 61 | 79 | | 63 | | 49 |
| 26 | SEEING PROBLEMS - PART II | 56 | | 33 | 53 | 56 | | 69 | | 51 |
| 32 | TRANSITIONS (LOGICAL ASPECTS) | 71 | | 34 | 56 | 83 | | 71 | 1 | 53 |
| 1 | Apparatus Test (Drastic) | | | | | | | | 30 | |
| 5 | Attribute Listing II | | | | | | | 37 | | |
| 7 | Cartoons – Part I | 35 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Contingencies | 34 | | | | 32 | | | 45 | |
| 11 | Differences | 34 | | | | 33 | | | 1 | |
| 15 | Ideational Fluency I | 31 | | | | 41 | | | | |
| 21 | Particed Similarities | 31 | | | 37 | 41 | | 61 | 54 | |
| 23 | Possibilities | 34 | | | 57 | | | 01 | 33 | |
| 24 | Predicaments | 33 | | | 36 | | | 50 | 32 | 31 |
| 31 | Transitions (Coherence) | 37 | | | • | 36 | | | | • - |
| 38 | Vocabulary Completion - Pait I | | | -33 | | | | | | |
| 39 | Vocabulary Completion - Part II | | | -35 | | | | | | |
| 41 | Group Indicator | | | | | | | 54 | 37 | |
| cc | MPARABLE COMMON FACTOR 7 | | | | | | | | G | |
| | | • • | | | 20 | 67 | | 5.2 | 25 | |
| 19 | OBJEUL NAMING (SHIFIS) | 50 71 | | | 38 | 0/ 70 | | 53 | 35 | |
| 21 | SENTENCE FAIRS | /1 | | | 55 | /5 | | 52 | 39 | |
| 3 | Associational Fluency I | | | | 32 | | | | | |
| 24 | Predicaments | 45 | | | | 43 | | | | |
| 29 | Ship Destination Test | 42 | | | | 52 | | | | |
| 35 | Verbal Classification - Part I | 33 | | | | 22 | | 44 | 42 | |
| 30 | Group Indicator | 34 | | | | 33 | | -40 | -30 | |
| 41 | Group marcator | | | | | | | -45 | -30 | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Paired Similarities | | | | | -37 | | | | |
| 22 | Pertinent Questions | 41 | | | | 43 | | | | |
| 41 | Group Indicator | 77 | | | | 79 | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FI C. N. 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Apparatus Test (Minor) | 56 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Associational Fluency I | 31 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Attribute Listing II | 64 | | | | 42 | | | 1 | |
| 14 | Gestalt Transformation | -32 | | | | 65 | | | | |
| 22 | Pertinent Questions | 35 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Possibilities | 40 | | | | 35 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | |

Table 11 (Continued)

Key to Guilford Factors:

B Conceptual ForesightD Sensitivity to Problems

G Conceptual Classification

| | ······································ | | | n | eanaly | ses | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------|--|----------|-----------------|------|----|----------|
| | | | <u>Drthc</u> | gona | 1 | 0 | bliq | ue | Guilford |
| | | I | II | ш | IV | I | п | Ш | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 10 | | | | | | | | _ |
| 9 19 28 | Common Needs Object Naming (Shifts) Seguential Association | 73 -32 43 | | | | 81 -32 39 | | | |
| 29 | Ship Destination Test | 33 | | | | 33 | | | |
| <u>NC</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Cartoons – Part I | | | | | -43 | | | |
| 12 | Episodes | 69 | | | | 65 | | | |
| 14 21 | Paired Similarities | -38 | | | | -32 | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 19 23 27 38 39 | Associational Fluency I Object Naming (Shifts) Possibilities Sentence Pairs Vocabulary Completion - Part I Vocabulary Completion - Part II | | | 41 37 37 43 31 40 | | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE_FACTOR_13 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 31 | Differences Transitions (Coherence) | | | | 37 40 | | | | |
| <u>NO</u> | NCOMPARABLE FACTOR 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 10 22 24 26 32 38 41 | Apparatus Test (Minor) Contingencies Pertinent Questions Predicaments Seeing Problems - Part II Transitions (Logical Aspects) Vocebulary Completion - Part I Group Indicator | | | 34 40 48 39 40 37 37 55 | | | | | |

For all of the initial methods, the derived oblique solutions tend to drop variables with small coefficients from the common factors. Thus, more variables would be relevant to a comparable common factor, but with small coefficients, if only derived orthogonal solutions were used. Two good examples of this can be seen in CCF 3 of Matrix 23 (Table 3) and CCF 4 of Matrix 08 (Table 4).

The intercorrelations of the oblique factors are given, by initial method, in Table 12 for

Matrix 23 and in Table 13 for Matrix 08. These are included as an illustration of the possible comparability in some cases and diversity in other cases of the correlations of the derived oblique factors from the various initial methods that are included on the same CCF.

RECOMMENDATION

For future studies we would recommend obtaining both derived orthogonal and derived



oblique solutions for each of these initial factor methods—Alpha, Harris R-S², and Unrestricted Maximum Likelihood Factor Analysis. A comparable common factor then would be defined as one having two or more of the same relevant variables on at least four of the six derived factors.

I Incomplete Principal Component

Key to Tables 12 and 13:

^bKey to initial solutions:

II Alpha III UMLFA

^aDecimals have been omitted.

Table 12 Intercorrelations of Oblique Factors for Matrix 23^a

| Comparable Common Factor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| 2-Ib | 04 | | | |
| II | 05 | | | |
| III | 54 | | | |
| 3 - I | 28 | 48 | | |
| II | 35 | 60 | | |
| III | 69 | 70 | | |
| 4-I | 25 | 29 | 48 | |
| II | 37 | 39 | 56 | |
| III | 58 | 55 | 55 | |
| 5 - I | 23 | 52 | 61 | 44 |
| II | 32 | 66 | 66 | 53 |
| III | 57 | 70 | 59 | 49 |

| Table 13 | |
|----------|--|

Intercorrelations of Oblique Factors for Matrix 08^a

| Comparable Common Factor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|--------------------------|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 2-I ^b | 31 | | _ | | | | | | _ |
| II | 39 | | | | | | | | |
| III | 29 | | | | | | | | |
| 3-1 | 35 | 32 | | | | | | | |
| II | 45 | 40 | | | | | | | |
| III | 30 | 25 | | | | | | | |
| 4 - I | 34 | 25 | 43 | | | | | | |
| II | 45 | 34 | 53 | | | | | | |
| III | 44 | 41 | 44 | | | | | | |
| 5 - I | 02 | 12 | 15 | 15 | | | | | |
| II | 05 | 17 | 22 | 23 | | | | | |
| III | -06 | 16 | 09 | 13 | | | | | |
| 6-I | 23 | 34 | 44 | 46 | 05 | | | | |
| II | 31 | 44 | 57 | 59 | 07 | | | | |
| III | 31 | 47 | 53 | 63 | 11 | | | | |
| 7 - I | 38 | 25 | 36 | 33 | 01 | 28 | | | |
| II | 57 | 42 | 53 | 47 | 05 | 47 | | | |
| III | 46 | 34 | 44 | 36 | -01 | 36 | | | |
| 1-8 | 28 | 38 | 24 | 39 | 16 | 28 | 24 | | |
| II | 36 | 54 | 34 | 51 | 28 | 40 | 38 | | |
| 111 | 38 | 37 | 22 | 42 | -02 | 32 | 30 | | |
| 9-1 | -01 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 53 | 07 | 05 | 20 | |
| 11 | -01 | 15 | 16 | 19 | 44 | 10 | 09 | 33 | |
| 111 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10-1 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 49 | 31 | 35 | 28 | 43 | 40 |
| 11 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 58 | 42 | 44 | 39 | 57 | 54 |
| 111 | 07 | 25 | 09 | 32 | 42 | 24 | 13 | 03 | |

REFERENCES

- Guilford, J. P. and Hoepfner, Ralph. Comparisons of varimax rotations with rotations to theoretical targets. <u>Educational and Psychological Measurement</u>, 1969, <u>29</u>, 3-22.
- Harris, Chester W. Some Rao-Guttman relationships. <u>Psychometrika</u>, 1962, <u>27</u>, 247-263.
- Harris, Chester W. On factors and factor scores. <u>Psychometrika</u>, 1967, <u>32</u>, 363-379.
- Harris, Chester W. and Kaiser, Henry F. Oblique factor analytic solutions by orthogonal transformations. <u>Psychometrika</u>, 1964, <u>29</u>, 347-362.
- Hotelling, Harold. Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal com-

ponents. <u>Journal of Educational Psychol-</u> ogy, 1933, <u>24</u>, 417-441, 498-520.

- Jöreskog, Karl G. <u>Statistical estimation in</u> <u>factor analysis</u>. Stockholm: Almqvist and Wiksell, 1963.
- Jöreskog, Karl G. Some contributions to maximum likelihood factor analysis. <u>Psycho-</u> <u>metrika</u>, 1967, <u>32</u>, 443-482.
- Kaiser, Henry F. The varimax criterion for analytic rotation in factor analysis. <u>Psy-</u> <u>chometrika</u>, 1958, <u>23</u>, 187-200.
- Kaiser, Henry F. and Caffrey, John. Alpha factor analysis. <u>Psychometrika</u>, 1965, <u>30</u>, 1-14.

