

A FORMAL SYSTEM FOR THE NON-THEOREMS
 OF THE PROPOSITIONAL CALCULUS

XAVIER CAICEDO

Introduction The completeness of the classical propositional calculus allows us to give a deductive system consisting of finitely many *axiom schemas* and finitely many *rules of inference*, that permit us to pass from a formula or a pair of formulae to a syntactically related formula, in such a manner that the formulae obtained inductively from the axioms by repeated application of the rules are exactly the tautologies. In this paper we give an analogous deductive system (more concretely, a Hilbert type system) such that the formulae deduced are exactly those that *are not* tautologies, the non-theorems of the propositional calculus. Obviously, this has to be the most non-standard of the non-classical logics. It is important to note that there are many other algorithms to generate recursively the non-theorems, since the propositional calculus is decidable. Usually they are based in the methodical search for a counterexample, but they lack the inductive character of a Hilbert type system, where every formula involved in a deduction is itself deducible. In our system, unlike semantic tableaux or refutation trees, every formula introduced in a deduction is a non-tautology, and it is introduced only if it is a non-tautological axiom, or it follows by one of the non-tautological rules of inference from non-tautologies introduced earlier in the deduction.

1 *Axioms and rules* We assume that the only connectives are \sim and \supset . p, q, p_1, p_2, \dots denote atomic formulae. $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ denote arbitrary formulae. We define $\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = \{p \mid p \text{ occurs in } \alpha\}$.

Axioms

- A1 $p \supset \sim p$ (p atomic)
 A2 $\sim p \supset p$ (p atomic)

Rules

- R1 (a) $\frac{\alpha}{p \supset \alpha}$ (p atomic, p does not occur in α)

Received May 23, 1977

- R1 (b) $\frac{\alpha}{\sim p \supset \alpha}$ (p atomic, p does not occur in α)
- R2 $\frac{\alpha \supset \beta}{\alpha \supset (\alpha \supset \beta)}$
- R3 $\frac{\alpha \supset \beta}{(\gamma \supset \alpha) \supset \beta}$
- R4 $\frac{\sim \alpha \supset \beta}{(\alpha \supset \gamma) \supset \beta}$
- R5 $\frac{\sim \alpha \supset \beta}{\alpha}$
- R6 $\frac{\alpha \supset \beta}{\sim \sim \alpha \supset \beta}$
- R7 $\frac{\alpha \supset (\beta \supset \gamma)}{\beta \supset (\alpha \supset \gamma)}$
- R8 $\frac{\alpha \supset S, \sim \beta \supset S}{\sim (\alpha \supset \beta) \supset S}$ (where S has the form indicated below)

The formula S in R8 must have the form $S = S_1$ or $S = S_1 \supset (S_2 \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset S_n) \dots)$, with $S_i = p_i$ or $S_i = \sim p_i$, $p_i \neq p_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $\mathcal{P}(\alpha \supset \beta) \subseteq \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$.

Note that the axioms cannot be replaced with schemata, and a substitution rule cannot be allowed, since many non-tautologies become tautologies through substitution. We use the notation $\vdash \alpha$ to indicate that the formula α is deducible in the above system.

Examples

- | | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1. $\vdash (p \supset q) \supset (q \supset p)$ | 1. $\sim p \supset p$ | A2 |
| | 2. p | R5 |
| | 3. $q \supset p$ | R1 |
| | 4. $q \supset (q \supset p)$ | R2 |
| | 5. $(p \supset q) \supset (q \supset p)$ | R3 |
| 2. $\vdash \sim p$ | 1. $p \supset \sim p$ | A1 |
| | 2. $\sim \sim p \supset \sim p$ | R6 |
| | 3. $\sim p$ | R5 |
| 3. $\vdash \sim (p \supset p)$ | 1. $p \supset \sim p$ | A1 |
| | 2. $(p \supset p) \supset \sim p$ | R3 |
| | 3. $\sim \sim (p \supset p) \supset \sim p$ | R6 |
| | 4. $\sim (p \supset p)$ | R5 |
| 4. $\vdash ((p \supset \sim p) \supset (\sim q \supset q)) \supset q$ | | |

We give the "proof" in tree form, since in this example the use of R8 seems essential:

	A2 $\sim q \supset q$	
A2 $\sim q \supset q$	R5 q	R1 $p \supset q$
R5 q	R1 $p \supset q$	R2 $p \supset (p \supset q)$
R1 $p \supset q$	R2 $p \supset (p \supset q)$	R6 $\sim \sim p \supset (p \supset q)$
R2 $p \supset (p \supset q)$	R6 $\sim \sim p \supset (p \supset q)$	A2 $\sim q \supset q$
R8 $\sim (p \supset \sim p) \supset (p \supset q)$		R1 $p \supset (\sim q \supset q)$
R4 $((p \supset \sim p) \supset (\sim q \supset q)) \supset (p \supset q)$		R7 $\sim q \supset (p \supset q)$
R8 $\sim (((p \supset \sim p) \supset (\sim q \supset q)) \supset q) \supset (p \supset q)$		
R5 $((p \supset \sim p) \supset (\sim q \supset q)) \supset q$		

2 Completeness As usual, $\models \alpha$ means that α is a tautology. We show that our system is perfectly *unsound* and completely *antitautological*. In other words, we prove the following

- Theorem A. *If $\vdash \alpha$ then not $\models \alpha$.*
- B. *If not $\models \alpha$ then $\vdash \alpha$.*

Proof: A. We use the symbol $\# \alpha$ to indicate that there is a valuation v such that $v(\alpha) = \mathbf{F}$. It is clear that $\# p \supset \sim p$ and $\# \sim p \supset p$, for p atomic, and rules R1 to R7 preserve this property; in fact, R2, R6, and R7 are logical equivalences and preserve “everything”. The only non-trivial case is that of rule R8. Let S be as explained in the rule, and let v and w be valuations such that $v(\alpha \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$ and $w(\sim \beta \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$. Then $v(S) = w(S) = \mathbf{F}$ and so: $v(S_i) = w(S_i) = \mathbf{T}$ for $i < n$, $v(S_n) = w(S_n) = \mathbf{F}$. But these conditions determine completely the valuations in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , thus $v \uparrow \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} = w \uparrow \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} = v^*$. Since $\mathcal{P}(\alpha) \cup \mathcal{P}(\beta) \subseteq \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$, we have $v^*(\alpha) = v(\alpha) = \mathbf{T}$, $v^*(\beta) = w(\beta) = \mathbf{F}$, $v^*(S) = v(S) = w(S) = \mathbf{F}$, and so $v^*(\sim(\alpha \supset \beta) \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$. This finish the proof.

B. We prove first, by induction in the complexity of the formula α , the following property:

- (*) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \mathcal{P}(\alpha) \subseteq \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}, S = S_1 \supset (S_2 \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset S_n) \dots) \text{ with} \\ p_i \neq p_j \text{ for } i \neq j, \text{ and } S_i = p_i \text{ or } S_i = \sim p_i, \text{ then: } \# \alpha \supset S \text{ implies } \vdash \alpha \supset S. \end{array} \right.$

Case I: $\alpha = p_j$ (atomic). Since $v(p_j \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$, then $v(p_j) = \mathbf{T}$, $v(S_i) = \mathbf{T}$ for $i < n$, and $v(S_n) = \mathbf{F}$.

Subcase I-a: $j < n$. Then $v(S_j) = v(p_j) = \mathbf{T}$, this forces $S_j = p_j$ and $S = S_1 \supset (S_2 \supset \dots (p_j \supset \dots \supset S_n) \dots)$. We have the following derivation of $p_j \supset S$:

	S_n	(as in examples 1 and 2)
R1	$p_j \supset S_n$	
R1	$S_{n-1} \supset (p_j \supset S_n)$	
R7	$p_j \supset (S_{n-1} \supset S_n)$	
(R1 & R7)	⋮	

- $$p_j \supset (S_{j+1} \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset S_n) \dots)$$
- R2 $p_j \supset (p_j \supset (S_{j+1} \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset S_n) \dots))$
 R1 $S_{j-1} \supset (p_j \supset (p_j \supset (S_{j+1} \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset S_n) \dots))$
 R7 $p_j \supset (S_{j-1} \supset (p_j \supset (S_{j+1} \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset S_n) \dots))$

(R1 & R7) :

$$p_j \supset (S_1 \supset \dots (S_{j-1} \supset (p_j \supset (S_{j+1} \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset S_n) \dots))$$

$$\vdash p_j \supset S$$

Subcase I-b: $j = n$. Then $v(S_n) = \mathbf{F}$. Since $v(p_n) = v(p_j) = \mathbf{T}$ by the initial observation for Case I, we must have $S_n = \sim p_n$. We have the deduction:

- A1 $p_n \supset \sim p_n$
 R1 $S_{n-1} \supset (p_n \supset \sim p_n)$
 R7 $p_n \supset (S_{n-1} \supset \sim p_n)$

(R1 & R7) :

$$p_n \supset (S_1 \supset \dots (S_{n-1} \supset \sim p_n) \dots)$$

$$\vdash p_n \supset S$$

Case II: (inductive step) $\alpha = \sim \beta$.

Subcase II-a: $\beta = p_j$ with p_j atomic. It is similar to Case I.

Subcase II-b: $\beta = \sim \gamma$. If $v(\sim \sim \gamma \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$ then $v(\gamma \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$. By induction hypothesis: $\vdash \gamma \supset S$, by R6: $\vdash \sim \sim \gamma \supset S$.

Subcase II-c: $\beta = (\gamma \supset \gamma')$. If $v(\sim (\gamma \supset \gamma') \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$ then $v(\gamma) = \mathbf{T}$, $v(\gamma') = \mathbf{F}$, and $v(S) = \mathbf{F}$. Therefore, $v(\gamma \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$ and $v(\sim \gamma' \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$. By induction hypothesis: $\vdash \gamma \supset S$ and $\vdash \sim \gamma' \supset S$. By R8: $\vdash \sim (\gamma \supset \gamma') \supset S$.

Case III: (inductive step) $\alpha = (\gamma \supset \gamma')$. If $v((\gamma \supset \gamma') \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$ then $v(S) = \mathbf{F}$, and $v(\gamma) = \mathbf{F}$ or $v(\gamma') = \mathbf{T}$. In the first case, $v(\sim \gamma \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$. By inductive hypothesis: $\vdash \sim \gamma \supset S$, and by R4: $\vdash (\gamma \supset \gamma') \supset S$. In the second case, $v(\gamma' \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$. By inductive hypothesis: $\vdash \gamma' \supset S$, and by R3: $\vdash (\gamma \supset \gamma') \supset S$.

To conclude the proof of the theorem, let $v(\alpha) = \mathbf{F}$, $\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ and define $p_i^v = p_i$ if $v(p_i) = \mathbf{T}$, $p_i^v = \sim p_i$ if $v(p_i) = \mathbf{F}$. Then $v(p_i^v) = \mathbf{T}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Form the formula $S = p_1^v \supset (p_2^v \supset \dots (p_{n-1}^v \supset \sim p_n^v) \dots)$. We have $v(S) = \mathbf{F}$ and $v(\sim \alpha \supset S) = \mathbf{F}$. By property (*) above: $\vdash \sim \alpha \supset S$, and by R5: $\vdash \alpha$ Q.E.D.

3 Observations If the propositional language contains the connective v , it is enough to add the following rules to obtain completeness:

- R9 (a) $\frac{\alpha \supset \beta}{(\alpha \vee \gamma) \supset \beta}$
 R9 (b) $\frac{\alpha \supset \beta}{(\gamma \vee \alpha) \supset \beta}$

If the system contains the connective \wedge , the following rule will be enough to take care of it:

$$\text{R10 } \frac{\alpha \supset (\beta \supset \gamma)}{(\alpha \wedge \beta) \supset \gamma}$$

Finally, it is not possible to give a similar deductive system for the non-valid formulae of the first-order predicate calculus because that would imply the decidability of the calculus.

*University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland*

and

*Universidad de los Andes
Bogotá D.E., Colombia*