
A FORMALITY THEOREM FOR POISSON MANIFOLDS

by

Gregory Ginot & Gilles Halbout

Abstract. — Let M be a differential manifold. Using different methods, Kontsevich and Tamarkin have proved a formality theorem, which states the existence of a Lie homomorphism “up to homotopy” between the Lie algebra of Hochschild cochains on $C^\infty(M)$ and its cohomology $(\Gamma(M, \Lambda TM), [-, -]_S)$. Suppose M is a Poisson manifold equipped with a Poisson tensor π ; then one can deduce from this theorem the existence of a star product \star on $C^\infty(M)$. In this paper we prove that the formality theorem can be extended to a Lie homomorphism “up to homotopy” between the Lie algebra of Hochschild cochains on the deformed algebra $(C^\infty(M), \star)$ and the Poisson complex $(\Gamma(M, \Lambda TM), [\pi, -]_S)$. We will first recall Tamarkin’s proof and see how the formality maps can be deduced from Etingof-Kazhdan’s theorem using only homotopies formulas. The formality theorem for Poisson manifolds will then follow.

0. Introduction

Let M be a differential manifold. Formality theorems link commutative objects with non-commutative ones. More precisely, one can define two graded Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 . The first one $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Gamma(M, \Lambda TM)$ is the space of multivector fields on M . It is endowed with a graded Lie bracket $[-, -]_S$ called the Schouten bracket (see [Kos]).

The space \mathfrak{g}_1 can be identified with the cohomology of a cochain complex $\mathfrak{g}_2 = C(A, A) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C^k(A, A)$, the space of Hochschild cochains (generated by differential k -linear maps from A^k to A), where $A = C^\infty(M)$ is the algebra of smooth differential functions over M . The vector space \mathfrak{g}_2 is also endowed with a graded Lie algebra structure given by the Gerstenhaber bracket $[-, -]_G$ [GV]. The differential $b = [m, -]_G$ (where $m \in C^2(A, A)$ is the commutative multiplication in A) makes \mathfrak{g}_2 into a graded differential Lie algebra and the cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_2, b)$ of \mathfrak{g}_2 with respect to b

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — Primary 16E40, 53D55, Secondary 18D50, 16S80.

Key words and phrases. — Deformation quantization, star-product, homotopy formulas, homological methods.

coincides with \mathfrak{g}_1 . More precisely, one can construct a quasi-isomorphism $\phi^1 : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$, (the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg quasi-isomorphism, see [HKR]) between the complexes $(\mathfrak{g}_1, 0)$ and (\mathfrak{g}_2, b) ; it is defined, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$, by

$$\alpha \mapsto ((f_1, \dots, f_n) \mapsto \langle \alpha, df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n \rangle).$$

This map ϕ^1 is not a Lie algebra morphism, but the only obstructions for it to be are given by boundaries for the differential b . In fact, Kontsevich's formality theorem states that ϕ^1 induces a morphism if one relaxes the Lie algebras structures on \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 into Lie algebras "up to homotopy" structures. In other words, setting $\phi^0 = m \in C^2(A, A)$, formality theorems can be seen as a construction of a collection of homotopies $\phi^n : \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that ϕ^1 is the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg morphism and the map $\phi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \phi^n : \Lambda \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ satisfies

$$[\phi, \phi]_G = \phi \circ m_1^{1,1} \tag{0.1}$$

where $m_1^{1,1} : \Lambda \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \Lambda \mathfrak{g}_1$ is the canonical extension of the Schouten Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g}_1 .

The existence of such homotopies was proven by Kontsevich (see [Ko1] and [Ko2]) and Tamarkin (see [Ta]). They use different methods in their proofs. Nevertheless the two approaches are connected (see [KS]). In this paper we will use Tamarkin's methods (which are also well explained in [Hi]) to obtain a version of the formality theorem when the manifold M is equipped with a Poisson structure. Moreover, as in Tamarkin's proof, we will suppose that $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. In some cases the results can be globalized using techniques of Cattaneo, Felder and Tomassini (see [CFT]). More precisely, all our results are valid for a arbitrary manifolds up to Section 5 where acyclicity of the de Rham complex only holds for $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and thus globalization is needed.

One of the goals of this paper is to make the maps ϕ^n given by Tamarkin's proof as explicit as possible. We could try to construct them by induction starting from ϕ^1 (the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg quasi-isomorphism), but we would meet cohomological obstructions to build $(\phi^n)_{n \geq 2}$. Thus a natural idea consists in enlarging the structures in order to reduce the obstructions. More precisely, we know that the graded space $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Gamma(M, \Lambda TM)$ equipped with the Lie bracket $[-, -]_S$ and the exterior product \wedge has a graded Gerstenhaber algebra structure.

Although the complex \mathfrak{g}_2 equipped with the Gerstenhaber bracket and the cup-product is not a Gerstenhaber algebra, Tamarkin [Ta] has proved that \mathfrak{g}_2 can be endowed with a structure of Gerstenhaber algebras up to homotopy (see Section 1) and established the existence of a quasi-isomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras up to homotopy between \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 .

The paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 1, taking our inspiration from the language of operads, we recall the definitions of Lie algebras up to homotopy (L_∞ -algebras for short) and reformulate the problem as follows: the (differential) graded Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 are equivalent to codifferentials d_1 and d_2 on the exterior coalgebras

$\Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{g}_2$. A morphism of Lie algebras up to homotopy between \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 is a morphism of differential coalgebras

$$\phi : (\Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{g}_1, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{g}_2, d_2).$$

We will also recall the definition of Gerstenhaber algebras “up to homotopy” or G_∞ -algebras (similarly given by a differential d on a peculiar coalgebra $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^\otimes$) and morphism between them.

- In Section 2 we recall Tamarkin’s construction of the G_∞ -structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 , given by a differential d_2 on $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^\otimes$.
- In Section 3 we prove (still following Tamarkin’s approach) that there exists a G_∞ -structure on \mathfrak{g}_1 , given by a differential d'_1 on $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes$, and that there exists a G_∞ -morphism $\psi : (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes, d'_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^\otimes, d_2)$.
- In Section 4 we establish the existence of a G_∞ -morphism $\psi' : (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes, d'_1)$, where d_1 defines the Gerstenhaber structure on \mathfrak{g}_1 described in Section 1. We deduce this fact from the acyclicity of the complex

$$\left(\text{Hom}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -] \right),$$

where $[-, -]$ denotes the graded commutator of morphisms.

- In Section 5 we prove that the complex $\left(\text{Hom}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -] \right)$ is acyclic for $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and that homotopy formulas can be written out.
- In Section 6 we show that the G_∞ -morphism $\phi = \psi \circ \psi' : (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^\otimes, d_2)$ induces the desired L_∞ -morphism between \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 and also in the same way an associative algebra morphism “up to homotopy” between these two spaces. Moreover when the manifold M is a Poisson manifold equipped with a Poisson tensor field $\pi \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^2 TM)$ satisfying $[\pi, \pi]_S = 0$, then there exists a star-product on M , that is to say a deformation m_\star of the product m on A whose linear term is π (see [BFFLS1] and [BFFLS2]). In this case $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [-, -]_S, [\pi, -]_S)$ becomes a graded differential Lie algebra (and even a Gerstenhaber algebra) and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [-, -]_G, b_\star)$, where b_\star is the Hochschild differential corresponding to the deformed product m_\star , is a new graded differential Lie algebra.
- In Section 7 we prove a formality theorem between the two differential graded Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_{1\star}, [-, -]_S, [\pi, -]_S)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{2\star}, [-, -]_G, b_\star)$ following the same steps as in Sections 2, 3 and 4.

Remark: In this paper we emphasize the Lie structures of \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 , not their associative algebra structures. Hence, our gradings for the spaces $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2, \dots$ are shifted by one from what is usually done in the literature.

1. Definitions and notations

Let \mathfrak{g} be any graded vector space. The exterior coalgebra $\Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{g}$ is the cofree commutative coalgebra on the vector space \mathfrak{g} . In this paper we deal with graded space.

Henceforth, for any graded vector space \mathfrak{g} , we choose the following degree on $\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}$: if X_1, \dots, X_k are homogeneous elements of respective degree $|X_1|, \dots, |X_k|$, then

$$|X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k| = |X_1| + \dots + |X_k| - k.$$

In particular the component $\mathfrak{g} = \Lambda^1 \mathfrak{g} \subset \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}$ is the same as the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with degree shifted by one. The coalgebra $\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}$ being cofree, any degree one map $d^k : \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ($k \geq 1$) extends into a derivation $d^k : \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}$ of the coalgebra $\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}$.

Let us recall the definition of Lia algebras “up to homotopy”, denoted L_∞ -algebras henceforth.

Definition 1.1. — *A vector space \mathfrak{g} is endowed with a L_∞ -algebra structure if there are degree one linear maps $m^{1, \dots, 1} : \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that if we extend them to maps $\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}$, then $d \circ d = 0$ where d is the derivation*

$$d = m^1 + m^{1,1} + \dots + m^{1, \dots, 1} + \dots .$$

For more details on L_∞ -structures, see [LS]. It follows from the definition that a L_∞ -algebra structure induces a differential coalgebra structure on $\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}$ and that the map $m^1 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a differential.

The Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Gamma(M, \Lambda^1 TM)$ is given by the Schouten bracket (see [Kos]) which extends the Lie bracket of vector fields in the following way:

$$[\alpha, \beta \wedge \gamma]_S = [\alpha, \beta]_S \wedge \gamma + (-1)^{|\alpha|(|\beta|+1)} \beta \wedge [\alpha, \gamma]_S \quad (1.2)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. For $f \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^0 TM) = C^\infty(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^1 TM)$ we set $[\alpha, f]_S = \alpha \cdot f$, the action of the vector field α on f . The grading on \mathfrak{g}_1 is defined by $|\alpha| = n \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{n+1} TM)$

We reformulate the graded Lie algebra structure of \mathfrak{g}_1 into a L_∞ -algebra structure as follows: the Schouten Lie bracket $[-, -]_S$ on \mathfrak{g}_1 is equivalent to a (degree one) map $m_1^{1,1} : \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ that we can extend canonically to $m_1^{1,1} : \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}_1$. The Jacobi identity satisfied by $[-, -]_S$ then corresponds to the identity:

$$d_1 \circ d_1 = 0,$$

where $d_1 = m_1^{1,1}$. Hence the map $m_1^{1,1}$ defines a L_∞ -algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_1 .

In the same way, the Lie algebra structure on the vector space $\mathfrak{g}_2 = C(A, A)$ is given by the Gerstenhaber bracket $[-, -]_G$ defined, for $D, E \in \mathfrak{g}_2$, by

$$[D, E]_G = \{D|E\} - (-1)^{|E||D|} \{E|D\},$$

where

$$\{D|E\}(x_1, \dots, x_{d+e-1}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{|E| \cdot i} D(x_1, \dots, x_i, E(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+e}), \dots).$$

The space \mathfrak{g}_2 has a grading defined by $|D| = k \Leftrightarrow D \in C^{k+1}(A, A)$ and its differential is $b = [m, -]_G$, where $m \in C^2(A, A)$ is the commutative multiplication on A .

The Gerstenhaber bracket on $C(A, A)$ is equivalent to a map $m_2^{1,1} : \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ and the differential b is a degree one map $m_2^1 : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$. These maps extend to maps $\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}_2$. All identities defining the differential Lie algebra structure on

\mathfrak{g}_2 (Jacobi relations for $[-, -]_G$, $b^2 = 0$, compatibility between b and $[-, -]_G$) can be summarized in the unique relation

$$d_2 \circ d_2 = 0,$$

where $d_2 = m_2^1 + m_2^{1,1}$. Hence the maps b and $[-, -]_G$ defines a L_∞ -structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 . In fact any differential Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, b) has a L_∞ -structure, with $m_2^1 = b$, $m_2^{1,1}$ is given by its bracket and $m^{1, \dots, 1} : \Lambda^{k \geq 3} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} = 0$.

Definition 1.2. — A L_∞ -morphism between two L_∞ -algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_1, d_1 = m_1^1 + \dots)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, d_2 = m_2^1 + \dots)$ is a morphism of differential coalgebras

$$\phi : (\Lambda \mathfrak{g}_1, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \mathfrak{g}_2, d_2). \quad (1.3)$$

Such a map ϕ is uniquely determined by a collection of maps $\phi^n : \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ as the differential coalgebras $\Lambda \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\Lambda \mathfrak{g}_2$ are cofree. In the case \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 are respectively the graded Lie algebra $(\Gamma(M, \Lambda TM), [-, -]_S)$ and the differential graded Lie algebra $(C(C^\infty(M), C^\infty(M)), [-, -]_G)$ it is easy to check that Definition (0.1) (from the introduction) and Definition (1.3) coincide.

A shuffle (of length n) is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ($n \geq 1$) such that there exist $p, q \geq 1$ with $p + q = n$ and the following inequalities hold:

$$\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(p), \quad \sigma(p+1) < \dots < \sigma(p+q).$$

For any permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and any graded variables x_1, \dots, x_n we define the sign $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ (the dependance on x_1, \dots, x_n is implicit) by the identity

$$x_1 \dots x_n = \varepsilon(\sigma) x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$$

which holds in the free graded commutative algebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_n .

For any graded vector space \mathfrak{g} , each shuffle σ acts on $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$ by the formula:

$$\sigma \cdot (a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = (-1)^\sigma \varepsilon(\sigma) a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$$

for $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{g}$. We denote $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes n}$ the quotient of $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$ by the image of all the maps $\text{shuf}_{p,q} = \sum \sigma \cdot (-)$, where the sum is over all shuffles of length $n = p + q$ with p, q fixed. The graded vector space $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes n}$ a quotient coalgebra of the tensor coalgebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$. It is well known (see [GK] for example) that this coalgebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes n}$ is the cofree Lie coalgebra on the vector space \mathfrak{g} (with degree shifted by minus one).

Henceforth, for any space \mathfrak{g} , we denote $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$ the graded space $\bigoplus_{m \geq 1, p_1 + \dots + p_n = m} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes p_n}$. We will use the following grading on $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$: for $x_1^1, \dots, x_n^{p_n} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define

$$|\underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes p_n}| = \sum_{i_1}^{p_1} |x_1^{i_1}| + \dots + \sum_{i_n}^{p_n} |x_n^{i_n}| - n.$$

Notice that the induced grading on $\Lambda \mathfrak{g} \subset \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$ is the same than the one introduced above. The cobracket on $\bigoplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$ and the coproduct on $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$ extend to a cobracket and a coproduct on $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$. The sum of the cobracket and the coproduct give rise to a coalgebra structure on $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$. It is well known that this coalgebra structure is cofree (see [Gi], Section 3 for example).

Definition 1.3. — A structure of Gerstenhaber algebra “up to homotopy” (G_∞ -algebra for short) on a graded vector space \mathfrak{g} is given by a collection of degree one maps

$$m^{p_1, \dots, p_n} : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes p_n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

indexed by $p_1, \dots, p_n \geq 1$ such that their canonical extension: $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$ satisfies $d \circ d = 0$ where

$$d = \sum_{m \geq 1, p_1 + \dots + p_n = m} m^{p_1, \dots, p_n}.$$

More details on G_∞ -structures are given in [Gi]. Again, as the coalgebra structure of $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$ is cofree, the map d makes $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$ a differential coalgebra.

Definition 1.4. — A morphism of G_∞ -algebras between two G_∞ -algebras (\mathfrak{g}_1, d_1) and (\mathfrak{g}_2, d_2) is a map $\phi : (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}, d_2)$ of codifferential coalgebras.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_1 of multivectorfields is in fact a Gerstenhaber algebra that is to say a graded Lie algebra structure with a graded commutative algebra structure (for the same space with grading shifted by -1) and a compatibility between the bracket and the product (expressing that the bracket is a derivation for the product) as in (1.2). On the space $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Gamma(M, \Lambda TM)$, the commutative structure is given by the exterior product:

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda TM), \alpha \wedge \beta = (-1)^{(|\alpha|+1)(|\beta|+1)} \beta \wedge \alpha. \quad (1.4)$$

We can reformulate the graded Gerstenhaber structure into a G_∞ -algebra structure as follows. The graded Lie algebra structure is still given by a map $m_1^{1,1} : \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$, and the commutative graded algebra structure is given by a map $m_1^2 : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ (because $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes 2}$ is the quotient of $\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes 2}$ by the 2-shuffles, that is to say the elements $a \otimes b - (-1)^{(|a|+1)(|b|+1)} b \otimes a$). The maps $m_1^{1,1}$, m_1^2 above extend into degree one derivations

$$m_1^{1,1}, m_1^2 : \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}.$$

All the identities defining the Gerstenhaber-algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_1 can be summarized into the unique relation

$$d_1 \circ d_1 = 0$$

where $d_1 = m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2$. Hence the Gerstenhaber bracket and the exterior product define a G_∞ -algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_1 . More generally, any Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, m, [-, -])$ has a canonical G_∞ -structure given by $m^2 = m$, $m^{1,1} = [-, -]$, the other maps being zero.

2. A G_∞ -structure on $\mathfrak{g}_2 = C(A, A)$

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 is also endowed with an associative product. It is the ‘‘cup’’ product \cup defined, for $D, E \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{|D|+|E|+2} \in A$, by

$$(D \cup E)(x_1, \dots, x_{|D|+|E|+2}) = (-1)^\gamma D(x_1, \dots, x_{|D|+1})E(x_{|D|+2}, \dots, x_{|D|+|E|+2})$$

where $\gamma = (|E| + 1)(|D| + 1)$. The projection of this product on the cohomology of (\mathfrak{g}_2, b) is the exterior product \wedge , but unfortunately $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [-, -]_G, \cup, b)$ is not a Gerstenhaber algebra. However the relations (1.2), (1.4) are satisfied up to a boundary for b .

Tamarkin stated the existence of a G_∞ -structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 . Our aim in this section is to build this G_∞ -structure more explicitly. By Definition 1.3. we have to exhibit a differential d_2 on $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes}}$ satisfying, if

$$d_2 = m_2^1 + m_2^{1,1} + m_2^2 + \dots + m_2^{p_1, \dots, p_n} + \dots,$$

1. m_2^1 is the map b and $m_2^{1,1}$ is the map $[-, -]_G$.
2. $d_2 \circ d_2 = 0$.

We first reformulate this problem: let $L_2 = \bigoplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes n}}$ be the cofree Lie coalgebra on \mathfrak{g}_2 (see Section 1 for the notation). Since L_2 is a cofree coalgebra, a Lie bialgebra structure on L_2 is given by degree one maps $l_2^n : \underline{\mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes n}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$, corresponding to the differential, and maps $l_2^{p_1, p_2} : \underline{\mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes p_1}} \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes p_2}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$, corresponding to the Lie bracket. These maps extend uniquely into a coalgebra derivation $L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ and a coalgebra map $L_2 \wedge L_2 \rightarrow L_2$ (still denoted l_2^m and $l_2^{p, q}$). The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.1. — *Suppose we have a differential Lie bialgebra structure on the Lie coalgebra L_2 , with differential and Lie bracket respectively determined by maps l_2^n and $l_2^{p_1, p_2}$ as above. Then \mathfrak{g}_2 has a G_∞ -structure given, for all $p, q, n \geq 1$, by*

$$m_2^n = l_2^n, \quad m_2^{p, q} = l_2^{p, q} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2^{p_1, \dots, p_r} = 0 \text{ for } r \geq 3.$$

Proof: The map $d_2 = \sum_{i \geq 0} l_2^i + \sum_{p_1, p_2 \geq 0} l_2^{p_1, p_2} : \Lambda \cdot L_2 \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot L_2$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on the differential Lie algebra L_2 ; it satisfies $d_2 \circ d_2 = 0$. \square

Thus to obtain the desired G_∞ -structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 , it is enough to define a Lie bialgebra structure on L_2 given by maps l_2^n and $l_2^{p_1, p_2}$ with $l_2^1 = b$ and $l_2^{1,1} = [-, -]_G$.

Let us now give an equivalent formulation of our problem, which is stated in terms of the associated operads in [Ta]:

Proposition 2.2. — *Differential Lie bialgebra structures on the cofree Lie coalgebra $L_2 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes n}}$ are in one to one correspondence with differential bialgebra structures on the cofree tensorial coalgebra $T_2 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes n}$.*

A differential bialgebra structure on the cofree tensorial coalgebra $\bigoplus V^{\otimes n}$ associated to a vector space V is often called a B_∞ -structure on V , see [Ba].

Proof: We follow the proof in [Ta]. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and V^* be the dual space. A differential bialgebra structure on $T = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$ is given by maps $a^n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ ($n \geq 2$), corresponding to the differential, and maps $a^{p_1, p_2} :$

$V^{\otimes p_1} \otimes V^{\otimes p_2} \rightarrow V$ ($p_1, p_2 \geq 0$), corresponding to the product. We can define dual maps of the maps $\sum_{n \geq 0} a^n : T \rightarrow T$ and $\sum_{p_1, p_2 \geq 0} a^{p_1, p_2} : T \otimes T \rightarrow T$, namely $D : \hat{T} \rightarrow \hat{T}$ and $\Delta : \hat{T} \rightarrow \hat{T} \hat{\otimes} \hat{T}$, where \hat{T} is the completion of the tensor algebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{*\otimes n}$. The maps D and Δ are given by maps $a^{n*} : V^* \rightarrow V^{*\otimes n}$ and $a^{p_1, p_2*} : V^* \rightarrow V^{*\otimes p_1} \otimes V^{*\otimes p_2}$, and define a differential bialgebra structure on the complete free algebra \hat{T} . The tensor algebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{*\otimes n}$ is now graded as follows: $|x| = p$ when $x \in V^{*\otimes p}$.

Similarly, if we consider a differential Lie bialgebra structure on the cofree Lie coalgebra $L = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{V}^{\otimes n}$, the duals maps d and δ of the structure maps $\sum_{n \geq 0} l^n$ and $\sum_{p_1, p_2 \geq 0} l^{p_1, p_2}$ induce a differential Lie bialgebra structure on \hat{L} , the completion of the free Lie algebra $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Lie}(V^*)(n)$ on V^* , where $\text{Lie}(V^*)(n)$ is the subspace of element of degree n .

We now replace formally each element x of degree n in \hat{T} (resp. \hat{L}) by $h^n x$, where h is a formal parameter. Letting $|h| = -1$, we easily see that a differential associative (resp. Lie) bialgebra structure on the associative (resp. Lie) algebras $(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{*\otimes n})[[h]]$ (resp. $(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Lie}(V^*)(n))[[h]]$) with the product and coproduct being of degree zero is equivalent to a differential associative (resp. Lie) bialgebra structure on the associative (resp. Lie) algebra \hat{T} (resp. \hat{L}).

Suppose we have a differential free coalgebra (\hat{T}, D, Δ) . We can apply Etingof-Kazhdan's dequantization theorem (see [EK2], Section 2.4): there exists a Lie bialgebra $(\hat{L}', [-, -], \delta)$, generated as a Lie algebra by V^* and an injective map $I_{\text{EK}} : \hat{L}'[[h]] \rightarrow (\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{*\otimes n})[[h]]$ such that

1. the restriction $I_{\text{EK}} : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ is the identity,
2. $I_{\text{EK}}([a, b]) = I_{\text{EK}}(a)I_{\text{EK}}(b) - I_{\text{EK}}(b)I_{\text{EK}}(a)$, for all $a, b \in \hat{L}'$,
3. $(\Delta - \Delta^{\text{op}})I_{\text{EK}} = hI_{\text{EK}}\delta + O(h^2)$,
4. the maps I_{EK} , δ and $[-, -]$ are given by universal formulas depending only on Δ and the product of \hat{T} ,
5. if we apply Etingof-Kazhdan's quantization functor (see [EK1]) to the Lie bialgebra $(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Lie}(V^*)^n[[h]], \delta)$ we get the bialgebra $((\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{*\otimes n})[[h]], \Delta)$ back.

The last condition implies that \hat{L}' is free as a Lie algebra because \hat{T} is free as an algebra so that (\hat{L}', d, δ) is a differential free Lie coalgebra, taking d such that $I_{\text{EK}} \circ d = D \circ I_{\text{EK}}$.

Starting now with a differential free Lie coalgebra L , we can use the same theorems to construct a differential free coalgebra such that this construction is the inverse of the previous one. This proves that differential Lie bialgebra structures on the free Lie coalgebra \hat{L} and differential bialgebra structures on the free tensorial coalgebra \hat{T} are in one to one correspondence and so, taking dual maps, differential Lie bialgebra structures on the cofree Lie coalgebra L and differential bialgebra structures on the cofree tensorial coalgebra T are also in one to one correspondence. Moreover, as the operations build in this correspondence are universal, depending only on the structure maps, the same assertion is still valid when V is the infinite-dimensional space \mathfrak{g}_2 . \square

Since the map I_{EK} defined in the precedent proof is the identity on V^* , the first terms a_2^1 and l_2^1 of the differentials will be the same on $T_2 = \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}_2^{\otimes n}$ and on $L_2 =$

$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{g}_2^*$. For the same reason the first term $l_2^{1,1}$ of the Lie bracket on L_2 will be the antisymmetrization of the first term $a_2^{1,1}$ of the cobracket on T_2 .

By Proposition 2.2, the problem of defining a Lie bialgebra structure on L_2 given by maps l_2^n and $l_2^{p_1, p_2}$ with $l_2^1 = b$ and $l_2^{1,1} = [-, -]_G$, is equivalent to defining a differential bialgebra structure on T_2 given by maps $a_2^n : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $a_2^{p_1, p_2} : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes p_1} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes p_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ where $a_2^1 = b$ and $a_2^{1,1}$ is the product $\{-|- \}$ defined in Section 1. Indeed, the anti-symmetrization of $\{-|- \}$ is by definition $[-, -]_G$. The latter can be achieved using the braces (defined in [GV]) acting on the Hochschild cochain complex $\mathfrak{g}_2 = C(A, A)$ for any algebra A . The braces operations are maps $a_2^{1,p} : \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ ($p \geq 1$) defined, for all homogeneous $D, E_1, \dots, E_p \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes p+1}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_d \in A$ (with $d = |D| + |E_1| + \dots + |E_p| + 1$), by

$$a_2^{1,p}(D_1 \otimes (E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_p))(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_d) = \sum (-1)^\tau D(x_1, \dots, x_{i_1}, E_1(x_{i_1+1}, \dots), \dots, E_p(x_{i_p+1}, \dots), \dots)$$

where $\tau = \sum_{k=1}^p i_k(|E_k| + 1)$. The maps $a_2^{1,p} : \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $a_2^{q \geq 2, p} = 0$ give a unique bialgebra structure on the cofree cotensorial algebra $T_2 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes n}$. Similarly taking a_2^1 to be the Hochschild coboundary b and a_2^2 to be the cup-product \cup , and $a_2^{q \geq 3} = 0$, gives a unique differential bialgebra structure on the tensor coalgebra T_2 . Theorem 3.1 in [Vo] asserts that these maps yield a differential bialgebra structure on the cofree coalgebra T_2 (the proof is a straightforward computation, also see [GV] and [Kh]).

Using this result, we can successively apply Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 to obtain the desired G_∞ -structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 given by maps $m_2^{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ such that $m_2^1 = b$ and $m_2^{1,1} = [-, -]_G$. By construction, the maps $m_2^{p_1, \dots, p_k}$ are 0 for $k > 2$. Moreover, the map m_2^2 coincides, up to a Hochschild coboundary, with the cup-product \cup because, when passing to cohomology, they both give the same map m_1^2 , corresponding to the product \wedge of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [-, -]_S, \wedge)$.

3. A G_∞ -morphism $\psi : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, d_1^1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}, d_2)$

The objective of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. — *There exist a differential d_1^1 on $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}$ and a morphism of differential coalgebras $\psi : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, d_1^1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}, d_2)$ such that the induced map $\psi^1 : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ is the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map of Section 0.*

Proof: For $i = 1, 2$ and $n \geq 0$, let us set

$$V_i^{[n]} = \bigoplus_{p_1 + \dots + p_k = n} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_i^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_i^{\otimes p_k}$$

and $V_i^{[\leq n]} = \sum_{k \leq n} V_i^{[k]}$. Let $d_2^{p_1, \dots, p_k} : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes p_k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ be the components of the differential d_2 defining the G_∞ -structure of \mathfrak{g}_2 (see Definition 1.3) and denote $d_2^{[n]}$ and $d_2^{[\leq n]}$ the sums

$$d_2^{[n]} = \sum_{p_1 + \dots + p_k = n} d_2^{p_1, \dots, p_k} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2^{[\leq n]} = \sum_{p \leq n} d_2^{[p]}.$$

Clearly, $d_2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_2^{[n]}$. In the same way, we denote

$$d_1'^{[n]} = \sum_{p_1 + \dots + p_k = n} d_1'^{p_1, \dots, p_k} \quad \text{and} \quad d_1'^{[\leq n]} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} d_1'^{[k]}.$$

We know from Section 1 that a morphism $\psi : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^\otimes, d_1') \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^\otimes, d_2)$ is uniquely determined by its components $\psi^{p_1, \dots, p_k} : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes p_k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$. Similarly we set

$$\psi^{[n]} = \sum_{p_1 + \dots + p_k = n} \psi^{p_1, \dots, p_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{[\leq n]} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \psi^{[k]}.$$

Again, we have $d_1' = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_1'^{[n]}$ and $\psi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi^{[n]}$.

We have to build both the differential d_1' and the morphism of codifferential ψ . In fact we will build the maps $d_1'^{[n]}$ and $\psi^{[n]}$ by induction. For the first terms, we set

$$d_1'^{[1]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{[1]} = \phi^1,$$

the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map (see Section 0).

Suppose we have built maps $(d_1'^{[i]})_{i \leq n-1}$ and $(\psi^{[i]})_{i \leq n-1}$ satisfying

$$\psi^{[\leq n-1]} \circ d_1'^{[\leq n-1]} = d_2^{[\leq n-1]} \circ \psi^{[\leq n-1]}$$

on $V_1^{[\leq n-1]}$ and $d_1'^{[\leq n-1]} \circ d_1'^{[\leq n-1]} = 0$ on $V_1^{[\leq n]}$. These conditions are enough to insure that d_1' is a differential and ψ a morphism of differential coalgebras. If we reformulate the identity $\psi \circ d_1' = d_2 \circ \psi$ on $V_1^{[n]}$, we get

$$\psi^{[\leq n]} \circ d_1'^{[\leq n]} = d_2^{[\leq n]} \circ \psi^{[\leq n]}. \quad (3.5)$$

If we take now into account that $d_1'^{[1]} = 0$, and that on $V_1^{[n]}$ we have $\psi^{[k]} \circ d_1'^{[l]} = d_2^{[k]} \circ \psi^{[l]} = 0$ for $k + l > n + 1$, the identity (3.5) becomes

$$\psi^{[1]} d_1'^{[n]} + B = d_2^{[1]} \psi^{[n]} + A \quad (3.6)$$

where $B = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \psi^{[\leq n-k+1]} d_1'^{[k]}$ and $A = d_2^{[1]} \psi^{[\leq n-1]} + \sum_{k=2}^n d_2^{[k]} \psi^{[\leq n-k+1]}$ (we now omit the composition sign \circ). The term $d_2^{[1]}$ in (3.6) is the Hochschild coboundary b . So thanks to the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem (3.6) is equivalent to the cochains $B - A$ being Hochschild cocycles. Therefore, in order to prove existence of $d_1'^{[n]}$ and $\psi^{[n]}$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$d_2^{[1]}(B - A) = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

and to show that for any choice of those maps, we have

$$d_1'^{[\leq n]} d_1'^{[\leq n]} = 0 \text{ on } V_1^{[\leq n+1]}. \quad (3.8)$$

• We will first construct $d_1'^{[2]}$: for $n = 2$, we get $A = d_2^{[1]}\psi^{[1]} + d_2^{[2]}\psi^{[1]}$ and $B = 0$ so that

$$\psi^{[1]} d_1'^{[2]} = d_2^{[1]}(\psi^{[2]} + \psi^{[1]}) + d_2^{[2]}\psi^{[1]}.$$

Thus $d_1'^{[2]}$ is the image of $d_2^{[2]}$ through the projection on the cohomology of \mathfrak{g}_2 and as the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map $\psi^{[1]}$ is injective from $\mathfrak{g}_1 = H(\mathfrak{g}_2, b = d_2^{[1]})$ to \mathfrak{g}_2 , we get

$$d_1'^{[2]} = d_1^{[2]}.$$

• Let us prove (3.7): we have $d_2^{[1]}(-A) = -\sum_{k=2}^n d_2^{[1]} d_2^{[k]} \psi^{[\leq n-k+1]}$. Using $d_2 d_2 = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^{[1]}(-A) &= \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\sum_{l=2}^k d_2^{[l]} d_2^{[k+1-l]} \right) \psi^{[\leq n-k+1]} \\ &= \sum_{l=2}^n d_2^{[l]} \left(\sum_{k=l}^n d_2^{[k+1-l]} \psi^{[\leq n-k+1]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have $\sum_{k=l}^n d_2^{[k+1-l]} \psi^{[\leq n-k+1]} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-l+1} d_2^{[k]} \psi^{[\leq n-k+2-l]}$. Using once again $d_1^{[a]} d_1^{[b]} \psi^{[c]} = 0$ on $V_1^{[n]}$ for $a+b+c > n+2$, we add terms $(\psi^{[n-k+2-l+k']})_{0 \leq k' \leq k-1}$ to $\psi^{[\leq n-k+2-l]}$ without changing the previous equality. Thus we have

$$d_2^{[1]}(-A) = \sum_{l=2}^n d_2^{[l]} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-l+1} d_2^{[k]} \right) \psi^{[\leq n+1-l]} = \sum_{l=2}^n d_2^{[l]} d_2^{[\leq n+1-l]} \psi^{[\leq n+1-l]}.$$

Since $(d_2^{[l]})_{l \geq 2}$ map $V_2^{[\leq k]}$ into $V_2^{[\leq k-1]}$, the previous equality has non-trivial terms only on $V_1^{[\leq n-1]}$. Thus we can apply the induction hypothesis $\psi^{[\leq k]} d_1'^{[\leq k]} = d_2^{[\leq k]} \psi^{[\leq k]}$ on $V_1^{[\leq k]}$ for $k \leq n-1$. We get

$$d_2^{[1]}(-A) = \sum_{l=2}^n d_2^{[l]} \psi^{[\leq n+1-l]} d_1'^{[\leq n+1-l]}.$$

We have now

$$d_2^{[1]}(B - A) = d_2^{[1]} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \psi^{[\leq n-k+1]} d_1'^{[k]} + \sum_{l=2}^n d_2^{[l]} \psi^{[\leq n+1-l]} d_1'^{[\leq n+1-l]}.$$

The term corresponding to $l = n$ vanishes since $d_1'^{[1]} = 0$. Using a previous argument on $V_1^{[n]}$ for $d_2^{[a]} \psi^{[b]} d_1'^{[c]}$, we add maps $\psi^{[p+p']}$ ($p' \geq 0$) to $\psi^{[\leq p]}$. If we then reindex the sum with respect to the terms $d_1'^{[l]}$, we get

$$d_2^{[1]}(B - A) = \sum_{l=2}^{n-1} d_2^{[\leq n+1-l]} \psi^{[\leq n-1]} d_1'^{[l]}.$$

Therefore we have proved that $d_2^{[1]}(B - A) = \sum_{l=2}^{n-1} d_2^{[\leq n+1-l]} \psi^{[\leq n+1-l]} d_1^{[l]}$. Since $d_1^{[l]}(V_1^{[\leq k]}) \subset V_1^{[\leq k-1]}$, we can again apply the induction hypothesis, thus getting

$$d_2^{[1]}(B - A) = \sum_{l=2}^{n-1} \psi^{[\leq n+1-l]} d_1^{[\leq n+1-l]} d_1^{[l]} = 0$$

because $d_1^{[1]} = 0$ and $d_1^{[\leq n-1]} d_1^{[\leq n-1]} = 0$ on $V_1^{[\leq n]}$, again by the induction hypothesis.

• We will finally prove (3.8) that is to say $d_1^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} = 0$ on $V_1^{[\leq n+1]}$. As $\psi^{[1]}$ is a quasi-isomorphism between $(\mathfrak{g}_1, 0)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, b = d_2^{[1]})$, this is equivalent to say that $\psi^{[1]} d_1^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]}$ is a boundary on $V_1^{[\leq n+1]}$. Using a previous degree argument, we get the following identity on $V_1^{[\leq n+1]}$:

$$\psi^{[1]} d_1^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} = \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]}.$$

By definition of $d_1^{[\leq n]}$ we can write $\psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} = d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]}$ as $d_1^{[\leq n]}$ maps $V_1^{[\leq n+1]}$ to $V_1^{[\leq n]}$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]}$ is a boundary when restricted to $V_1^{[\leq n+1]}$.

Now we have

$$d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} = b \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} + \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} d_2^{[\leq k]} \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]}.$$

Since $\sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} d_2^{[\leq k]}$ maps $V_2^{[\leq k]}$ to $V_2^{[\leq k-1]}$, the linear combination of maps

$$\sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} d_2^{[\leq k]} \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]}$$

has non-trivial summands only on $V_1^{[\leq n+1]}$. On the latter space we have

$$\sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} d_2^{[\leq k]} \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} = \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} d_2^{[\leq k]} d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]},$$

by definition of $d_1^{[\leq n]}$. Hence, the following identities hold on $V_1^{[\leq n+1]}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} &= b \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} - b d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]} + d_2^{[\leq n]} d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]} \\ &= b \psi^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n]} - b d_2^{[\leq n]} \psi^{[\leq n]} \end{aligned}$$

as $d_2 d_2 = 0$. □

Conclusion : The only tool we have used in this section is the existence of a quasi-isomorphism between the complexes $(\mathfrak{g}_1, 0)$ and (\mathfrak{g}_2, b) . Since we know explicit homotopy formulas for such a quasi-isomorphism (see [DL], [Ha]), we obtain explicit formulas for $d_1^{[k]}$ and $\psi^{[k]}$.

4. A G_∞ -morphism $\psi' : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}, d'_1)$

In this section, we will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. — *If the complex $(\text{Hom}(\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}, \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -])$ is acyclic, then there exists a G_∞ -morphism $\psi' : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}, d'_1)$ such that the induced map $\psi'^{[1]} : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ is the identity.*

We will use the same notations for $V_1^{[n]}$, $V_1^{[\leq n]}$, $d_1^{[n]}$ and $d_1^{[\leq n]}$ as in Section 3. We also denote

$$d_1 = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_1^{[n]} \quad \text{and} \quad d_1^{[\leq n]} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} d_1^{[k]}$$

and similarly

$$\psi' = \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi'^{[n]} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi'^{[\leq n]} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \psi'^{[k]}.$$

Proof: We will build the maps $\psi'^{[n]}$ by induction as in Section 3. For $\psi'^{[1]}$ we have to set:

$$\psi'^{[1]} = \text{Id} \quad (\text{the identity map}).$$

Suppose we have built maps $(\psi'^{[i]})_{i \leq n-1}$ satisfying

$$\psi'^{[\leq n-1]} d_1^{[\leq n]} = d_1'^{[\leq n]} \psi'^{[\leq n-1]}$$

on $V_1^{[\leq n]}$ ($d_1'^{[\leq n]}$ maps $V_1^{[\leq l]}$ to $V_1^{[\leq l-1]}$). Expliciting the equation $\psi' d_1 = d_1' \psi'$ on $V_1^{[n+1]}$, we get

$$\psi'^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[\leq n+1]} = d_1'^{[\leq n+1]} \psi'^{[\leq n]}. \quad (4.9)$$

If we now take into account that $d_1^{[i]} = 0$ for $i \neq 2$, $d_1'^{[1]} = 0$ and that on $V_1^{[n+1]}$ we have $\psi'^{[k]} d_1^{[l]} = d_1'^{[\leq k]} \psi'^{[l]} = 0$ for $k + l > n + 2$, the identity (4.9) becomes

$$\psi'^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[2]} = \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} d_1'^{[k]} \psi'^{[\leq n-k+2]}.$$

We have seen in the previous section that $d_1'^{[2]} = d_1^{[2]}$. Thus (4.9) is equivalent to

$$d_1^{[2]} \psi'^{[\leq n]} - \psi'^{[\leq n]} d_1^{[2]} = \left[d_1^{[2]}, \psi'^{[\leq n]} \right] = - \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} d_1'^{[k]} \psi'^{[\leq n-k+2]}.$$

Notice that $d_1^{[2]} = m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2$. By the acyclicity of the complex $(\text{End}(\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}), [d_1^{[2]}, -])$, the construction of $\psi'^{[\leq n]}$ will be possible when $\sum_{k=3}^{n+1} d_1'^{[k]} \psi'^{[\leq n-k+2]}$ is a cocycle in this complex. Thus, to finish the proof, we have to check that

$$\left[d_1^{[2]}, \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} d_1'^{[k]} \psi'^{[\leq n-k+2]} \right] = 0 \quad \text{on } V_1^{[n+1]}. \quad (4.10)$$

We have

$$D_n = \left[d_1^{[2]}, \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} d_1'^{[k]} \psi'^{[\leq n-k+2]} \right] = \left[d_1^{[2]}, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \psi'^{[\leq k]} \right].$$

It follows that we can write

$$-D_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[d_1^{[2]}, d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \right] \psi'^{[\leq k]} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \left[d_1^{[2]}, \psi'^{[\leq k]} \right]. \quad (4.11)$$

Using the induction hypothesis for $(\psi'^{[\leq k]})_{k \leq n-1}$, we get

$$\left[d_1^{[2]}, \psi'^{[\leq k]} \right] = - \sum_{l=3}^{k+1} d_1'^{[l]} \psi'^{[\leq k-l+2]} = - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} d_1'^{[k+2-l]} \psi'^{[\leq l]}$$

on $V_1^{[\leq k+1]}$. The equation 4.11 then becomes

$$-D_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[d_1^{[2]}, d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \right] \psi'^{[\leq k]} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} d_1'^{[k+2-l]} \psi'^{[\leq l]} \right).$$

Finally, we have

$$-D_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[d_1^{[2]}, d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \right] \psi'^{[\leq k]} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{k=l+1}^{n-1} d_1'^{[n+2-k]} d_1'^{[k+2-l]} \right) \psi'^{[\leq l]}.$$

This implies

$$-D_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\left[d_1^{[2]}, d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \right] + \sum_{p=k+1}^{n-1} d_1'^{[n+2-p]} d_1'^{[p+2-k]} \right) \psi'^{[\leq k]}.$$

But the maps

$$\left[d_1^{[2]}, d_1'^{[n+2-k]} \right] + \sum_{p=k+1}^{n-1} d_1'^{[n+2-p]} d_1'^{[p+2-k]} = \sum_{q=2}^{n+2-k} d_1'^{[q]} d_1'^{[n+4-q-k]}$$

are zero because $d_1' d_1' = 0$ on $V_1^{[\leq n+2-k]}$. This yields the result. \square

5. Acyclicity of the complex $(\text{Hom}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -])$

In this section the manifold M is supposed to be the Euclidian space \mathbb{R}^m for $m \geq 1$. We prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. — *If $M = \mathbb{R}^m$, the cochain complex $(\text{End}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -])$ is acyclic.*

Proof: Since coalgebras maps $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}$ are in one to one correspondence with maps $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$, we are left to check that the cochain complex

$$\left(\text{Hom}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_1), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -] \right)$$

is acyclic.

First we introduce an “external” bigrading on the cochain complex induced by duality from the following bigrading on $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}$:

$$|x|^e = (p_1 - 1 + \dots + p_n - 1, n - 1)$$

if $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes p_n}$. Let the internal degree of $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ be $|x|^i = |x| + 1$, where $|x|$ is the usual degree of an element of \mathfrak{g}_1 . One recovers the usual degree on $\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}$ by

$$|x| = |x|_{\text{tot}}^e + \sum_{i,k} |x_i^k|^i$$

where $|x|_{\text{tot}}^e$ is the sum of the two components of $|x|^e$.

The exterior product m_1^2 makes \mathfrak{g}_1 into an associative algebra which is graded commutative for the inner degree. For any vector space V , the space $\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes V$ is a \mathfrak{g}_1 -module equipped with a \mathfrak{g}_1 -action by multiplication on the first factor. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\text{Hom}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_1), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -] \right) &\cong \left(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_1), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -] \right), \\ &\cong \left(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_1), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -] \right) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{g}_1 acts (on the right and on the left) on itself by the multiplication m_1^2 .

We now prove acyclicity of this last cochain complex. The codifferential $(\delta)^* = [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2, -]$ splits in two parts $(\delta_1^2)^* + (\delta_1^{1,1})^* = (\delta)^*$ where $(\delta_1^2)^*$ is the codifferential of bidegree $(1, 0)$ induced by m_1^2 and $(\delta_1^{1,1})^*$ is the one of bidegree $(0, 1)$ induced by $m_1^{1,1}$. Thus, $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, \mathfrak{g}_1)$ endowed with the bigrading $|\cdot|^e$ is a bicomplex lying in the first quadrant. The bigrading of an element $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes p_n}$ is $|x|^e = (p_1 - 1 + \dots + p_n - 1, n - 1)$.

The codifferential $(\delta_1^2)^*$ is dual to a differential δ_1^2 . It is a standard calculation (see [Lo1], 1.5 for example) to show that δ_1^2 , restricted to each summand $\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}$, is the usual Harrison boundary, that is to say the image of the Hochschild differential d acting on $\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes \cdot+1}$ onto its quotient $\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}$ by the shuffles.

We now use the fact that $(\mathfrak{g}_1, m_1^2) = (\Gamma(M, \Lambda TM), \wedge)$ is a polynomial algebra. Denote by $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ the module of Kähler differential one-forms of the algebra \mathfrak{g}_1 . Let $J : \mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes \cdot+1} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ be the map which sends $x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$ to $x_0 dx_1 \dots dx_n$ and $I : \Lambda \cdot \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes \cdot+1}$ be the anti-symmetrization map given by

$$J(x_0 dx_1 \dots dx_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{(-1)^\sigma}{n!} \varepsilon(\sigma) x_0 \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$$

where S_n is the permutation group of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $(-1)^\sigma$ is the sign of σ and $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ the Koszul-Quillen sign (see Section 1). It is easy to check that $J \circ I = \text{Id}$.

It is known from [Ha] that there exists a homotopy $s : \mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes+2}$ such that $I \circ J = \text{Id} + d \circ s + s \circ d$. We denote P the natural projection $\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}$. It is a standard computation (see [Lo2]) that the map J factors through $\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}$ to give a map $J' : \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$. There is also a map $I' = P \circ J : \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}$. Clearly $J' \circ I' = \text{Id}$. Since the map P commutes with the differential d , the map $s' = P \circ s$ satisfies

$$I' \circ J' = \text{Id} + d \circ s' + s' \circ d.$$

The map s' extends uniquely into a degree 1 homotopy h to $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}$ so that $\Lambda I' \circ \Lambda J' = \text{Id} + \delta_1^2 \circ h + h \circ \delta_1^2$, where $\Lambda I'$, $\Lambda J'$ are the extensions of the degree zero maps I' and J' . Moreover $\Lambda J' \circ \Lambda I' = \text{Id}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ is a (special) deformation retract of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}$ (see [Ha]). We denote $p : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ the projection. Since $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}$ is a bicomplex with differential $\delta = \delta_{1,1}^2 + \delta_1^{1,1}$, it follows from [Ka], Section 3 that there exists a map $u : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}$ and a (degree one) map $H : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}[1]$ such that $pu = \text{Id}$ and $up = \text{Id} + \delta H + H\delta$. Hence the cohomology we are looking for is the cohomology of the complex $(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \mathfrak{g}_1), \delta_1^{1,1})$ which sits in the complex

$$\left(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \mathfrak{g}_1), \delta_1^{1,1} \right) \cong \left(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1), \delta_1^{1,1} \right).$$

In particular, the differential $\delta_1^{1,1}$ is induced by the usual exterior derivative (see [HKR]) on $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1)$. To finish the proof, we proceed as in [Ta] and [Hi]. Recall from the introduction that $A = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is the algebra of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^m . Let $\text{Der}(A) = \Omega_A^*$ be the space of smooth derivations on A . Since \mathfrak{g}_1 is a A -module, by transitivity of the space of Kähler differentials for smooth manifolds, one has

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \cong \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes_A \Omega_A \oplus \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1/A}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cong \Lambda_A^* \text{Der}(A)$, we find that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1/A} \cong \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \text{Der}(A)$ (with grading shifted by minus one on $\text{Der}(A)$). Hence (see [Ta].3.5) there is an isomorphism

$$\left(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \mathfrak{g}_1), \delta_1^{1,1} \right) \cong (\Lambda^{1+} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, d_{dR})$$

where d_{dR} is de Rham's differential (the degree on the left hand of the isomorphism is the one induced by the inner degree of \mathfrak{g}_1). When $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda \mathbb{R}^n)$ this complex is acyclic. \square

Remark: At every step of this proof, it is possible to construct explicit homotopy formulas. So the coefficients $\psi^{[n]}$ built in this section can be expressed in an explicit way from the G_∞ -structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 .

Corollary 5.2. — *If $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m, \Lambda T\mathbb{R}^m)$, then there exists a G_∞ -morphism $\psi' : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}_1^{\otimes}}, d_1')$ such that the induced map $\psi'^{[1]} : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ is the identity.*

Proof: It is an immediate consequence of Propositions 4.1 and 5.1. \square

6. Consequences when M is a Poisson manifold

From the Sections 3, 4 and 5 we know that the map

$$\phi = \psi \circ \psi' : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}, d_2)$$

is a G_∞ -morphism when $M = \mathbb{R}^m$; in other words, we have the identity

$$\phi \circ d_1 = d_2 \circ \phi \text{ on } \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}. \quad (6.12)$$

Since $\phi : \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}$ is a coalgebra map, it restricts to the subcoalgebra $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ to give a coalgebra map $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \rightarrow \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2$. The restriction of d_1 and d_2 are respectively the codifferential induced by $m_1^{1,1}$ and the codifferential induced by $b + m_2^{1,1}$. When we restrict these maps to $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ and $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2$, the previous equality (6.12) still holds with the difference that, now, d_1 and d_2 are the differential defining the L_∞ -structures on \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 of Section 1. So the restriction of ϕ to these coalgebras yields a morphism of differential coalgebras

$$\phi : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1, d_1) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2, d_2).$$

Thus we have constructed the desired L_∞ -morphism between (\mathfrak{g}_1, d_1) and (\mathfrak{g}_2, d_2) .

Remark: Similarly to Definitions 1.1, 1.3, one can define, on a vector space \mathfrak{g} , a C_∞ -algebra structure given by degree one maps $a^m : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that if we extend them to maps $\oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes \cdot}$, then $D = \sum a^m$ satisfies $D \circ D = 0$. In particular, a^2 yields a commutative operation on \mathfrak{g} , a^1 a differential and the product a^2 is associative up to homotopies for the differential a^1 . Let us then consider the free Lie coalgebras $\oplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes n}$ and $\oplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes n}$. They are also subcoalgebras of respectively $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}$ and $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}$. Hence we can restrict ϕ into a coalgebra map $\phi : \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes n}$. Denoting $D_1 = m_1^2$ the codifferential induced by the exterior product \wedge , and D_2 the codifferential induced by $\sum_{n \geq 0} m_2^n$, the map ϕ yields a differential coalgebra morphism

$$\phi : (\oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes n}, D_1) \rightarrow (\oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes n}, D_2),$$

hence, a morphism of C_∞ -algebras between (\mathfrak{g}_1, D_1) and (\mathfrak{g}_2, D_2) . Through the Etingof-Kazhdan equivalence used in Proposition 2.2, this implies that there is a morphism of A_∞ -algebras between (\mathfrak{g}_1, \wedge) and (\mathfrak{g}_2, \cup) . More precisely, it means that there is a morphism $(\oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes n}, \wedge) \rightarrow (\oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes n}, b + \cup)$ of differential coalgebras between the tensor coalgebras of \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 . Details on C_∞ and A_∞ -structures can be found in [GK] and [St].

From now on, we will suppose that the manifold M is a Poisson manifold equipped with a Poisson tensor π (satisfying $[\pi, \pi]_S = 0$). The L_∞ -map ϕ allows us to construct a star-product on M (see [BFFLS1]). If \hbar is a formal parameter and if we impose ϕ to be $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear, ϕ extends to a L_∞ -morphism between $\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]]$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]]$. Set $\Pi_\hbar = \sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \Lambda^n \pi \in \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1$, where $\Lambda^n \pi = \underbrace{\pi \wedge \dots \wedge \pi}_{n \text{ times}}$. If we define $m_\star = \phi(\Pi_\hbar)$, we get

$$[m_\star, m_\star]_G = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

This is a consequence of definition (0.1) of a L_∞ -morphism given in Section 0 and of the fact that $[\pi, \pi]_S = 0$ implies $m_1^{1,1}(\Pi_\hbar) = 0$. The map m_\star being an element of $\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]]$ of degree one, it defines a bilinear map in $C^2(A, A)[[\hbar]]$, where $C^k(A, A)[[\hbar]]$ denotes the set of k - $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear maps in $C^k(A, A)$. The identity 6.13 implies that m_\star is an associative product on $A[[\hbar]]$. Finally, by definition of ϕ , we have:

$$m_\star = m + \hbar\phi^1(\pi) + \sum_{n \geq 2} \hbar^n \phi^n(\pi, \dots, \pi),$$

where $\phi^1(\pi) = \{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket. This proves that m_\star is a star-product on (M, π) .

The spaces \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 can now be endowed with two new structures: the space $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [-, -]_S, [\pi, -]_S)$ becomes a graded differential Lie algebra (and even a Gerstenhaber algebra) whereas $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [-, -]_G, b_\star)$, where b_\star is the Hochschild differential corresponding to the deformed product m_\star , is a new graded differential Lie algebra. As in the case when $\pi = 0$, we have the following result *à la* Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg.

Theorem 6.1. — *The complexes $(\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]], b_\star)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]], [\hbar\pi, -]_S)$ are quasi-isomorphic.*

Proof: Let us denote ϕ_\hbar^1 the $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear map $\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]]$ given by

$$\alpha \mapsto \phi_\hbar^1(\alpha) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \phi^{n+1}(\Lambda^n \pi \wedge \alpha) = \phi_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \Lambda^n \pi \wedge \alpha \right)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, where $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ denotes the projection of ϕ on \mathfrak{g}_1 . Similarly, we write $\phi_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_1}$ for the projection of ϕ on $\mathfrak{g}_1 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_1$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\hbar^1([\hbar\pi, \alpha]_S) &= \phi_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \Lambda^n \pi \wedge [\hbar\pi, \alpha]_S \right) \\ &= \phi_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^{n+1} m_1^{1,1}(\Lambda^{n+1} \pi \wedge \alpha) \right) \\ &= m_2^{1,1} \left(\phi_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_1} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^{n+1} \Lambda^{n+1} \pi \wedge \alpha \right) \right) \\ &= \left[\phi \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \Lambda^n \pi \right), \phi \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \Lambda^n \pi \wedge \alpha \right) \right]_G \\ &= [m_\star, \phi_\hbar^1(\alpha)]_G \\ &= b_\star \phi_\hbar^1(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ϕ_\hbar^1 is a morphism of complexes between $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\hbar\pi, -]_S)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]], b_\star)$. By definition, we can write $\phi_\hbar^1(\alpha) = \phi^1(\alpha) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \hbar^n \phi_\hbar^{1,n}$ where $\phi_\hbar^{1,n}$ are $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear maps. The proof of the theorem is then a consequence of the following lemma. \square

Lemma 6.2. — *Let $\varphi : (B, 0) \rightarrow (D, d)$ be a quasi-isomorphism of cochain complexes. Suppose we have two deformed complexes $(B[[\hbar]], b_{\hbar})$ and $(D[[\hbar]], d_{\hbar})$ with $b_{\hbar} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \hbar^n b_n$ and $d_{\hbar} = d + \sum_{n \geq 1} \hbar^n d_n$, where b_i and d_i are $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear maps. Suppose in addition that there exists a morphism of complexes $\varphi_{\hbar} = \varphi + \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n$ between $(B[[\hbar]], b_{\hbar})$ and $(D[[\hbar]], d_{\hbar})$, where φ_i are $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear maps. Then φ_{\hbar} is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof: Suppose $\delta_{\hbar} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \delta_n \in D[[\hbar]]$ satisfies $d_{\hbar} \delta_{\hbar} = 0$. We will construct $\beta_n \in B$ by induction such that $\beta_{\hbar} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n$ satisfies $b_{\hbar} \beta_{\hbar} = 0$ and $\varphi_{\hbar}(\beta_{\hbar}) = \delta_{\hbar}$. Since $d_{\hbar} \delta_{\hbar} = 0$, we have $d_0 \delta_0 = 0$, so that $\delta_0 = \varphi_0(\beta_0)$, ($\beta_0 \in B$ with $b_0 \beta_0 = 0$). This follows from φ_0 being a quasi-isomorphism between $(B, 0)$ and (D, d_0) . Suppose we have built $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n \in B$ such that for all $k \leq n$,

$$\delta_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \varphi_i(\beta_{k-i}) \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^k b_i \beta_{k-i} = 0. \quad (6.15)$$

We have shown that Relations (6.14) and (6.15) hold for $k = 0$. We will now construct β_{n+1} such that they hold for $k = n+1$. We can reformulate Relation (6.14) as follows:

$$\varphi_0(\beta_{n+1}) = \delta_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \varphi_i(\beta_{n+1-i}).$$

Since φ_0 is a quasi-isomorphism between $(B, 0)$ and (D, d_0) , this is equivalent to say:

$$d_0(\delta_{n+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_0 \varphi_i(\beta_{n+1-i}) = 0. \quad (6.16)$$

Since $d_{\hbar} \delta_{\hbar} = 0$ we have $d_0 \delta_{n+1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i \delta_{n+1-i}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (6.16) &\iff \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i \delta_{n+1-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_0 \varphi_i \beta_{n+1-i} = 0 \\ &\iff \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i \sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-i-j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_0 \varphi_i \beta_{n+1-i} = 0 \\ &\iff \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} d_i \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-j-i}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

($\beta_{n+1} = 0$ by convention). Since φ_{\hbar} is a morphism of complexes, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} d_i \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-j-i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} \varphi_i(b_j \beta_{n+1-j-i}) = \varphi_0 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} b_j \beta_{n+1-j} \right). \quad (6.17)$$

So (6.16) $\iff \varphi_0 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} b_j \beta_{n+1-j} \right) = 0$. This relation will be satisfied provided we have proved Relation (6.15) for $k = n + 1$. As φ_0 is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes between $(B, 0)$ and (D, d_0) we only have to prove that $\varphi_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j \beta_{n+1-j} \right)$ is a boundary. Using Relation (6.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} b_j \beta_{n+1-j} \right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} d_i \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-j-i}) \\ &= d_0 \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1-i} d_i \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-j-i}) \\ &= d_0 \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i \delta_{n+1-i} \quad (\text{thanks to (6.14)}) \\ &= d_0 \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \varphi_j(\beta_{n+1-j}) - d_0 \delta_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

because $d_{\hbar} \beta_{\hbar} = 0$. □

It is clear from the previous proof that we can build explicit homotopy formulas for the map ϕ_{\hbar}^1 since in the proof of Theorem 6.1, we had $\phi_{\hbar}^1 = \phi^1 + O(\hbar)$, with ϕ^1 the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map.

Remark 6.3: Lemma 6.2 also holds for two cochain complexes (B, b) and (D, d) , where $b_{\hbar} = b + \sum_{n \geq 1} \hbar^n b_n$ with $b \neq 0$, but we have no explicit homotopy formulas.

The cochain complexes $(B[[\hbar]], b_{\hbar})$ and $(D[[\hbar]], d_{\hbar})$ are filtered by the powers of \hbar . The p -component of the filtration is

$$F^p(B[[\hbar]]) = \hbar^p B[[\hbar]] \subset B[[\hbar]]$$

and similarly for $D[[\hbar]]$. The filtrations are decreasing and the differentials b_{\hbar} and d_{\hbar} respects the filtrations as well as the morphism φ_{\hbar} . Therefore, there are two spectral sequences with first terms given by

$$EB_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(F^q B[[\hbar]]/F^{q+1} B[[\hbar]])$$

and

$$ED_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(F^q D[[\hbar]]/F^{q+1} D[[\hbar]])$$

converging respectively to $H^{\cdot}(B[[\hbar]], b_{\hbar})$ and $H^{\cdot}(D[[\hbar]], d_{\hbar})$. The morphism φ_{\hbar} induces a map of spectral sequences $\varphi_1^{\hbar} : EB_1^{\cdot} \rightarrow ED_1^{\cdot}$.

It is easy to check that $EB_1^{p,q} \cong H^{p+q}(B)\hbar^q$, $ED_1^{p,q} \cong H^{p+q}(D)\hbar^q$ and that the map φ_1^{\hbar} is induced by the quasi-isomorphism φ , hence is an isomorphism for all p, q . As the spectral sequences are strongly convergent in the sense of [CE], Section 15.2, it follows that φ_{\hbar} induces an isomorphism $H^{\cdot}(B[[\hbar]], b_{\hbar}) \cong H^{\cdot}(D[[\hbar]], d_{\hbar})$.

Now, we are in the situation of Section 1: we have two graded differential Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [-, -]_S, [\pi, -]_S)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [-, -]_G, b_{\star})$ such that $H(\mathfrak{g}_2, b_{\star}) \cong H(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\pi, -]_S)$.

The quasi-isomorphism ϕ_{\hbar}^1 is not a Lie algebra morphism. The aim of the next section is to construct a L_∞ -morphism between $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\pi, -]_S)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, b_\star)$.

7. A formality theorem for a Poisson manifold

In this section we define $d_{1\star}$ the map $d_{1\star} = m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2 + \hbar[\pi, -]_S : \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{1\star} = \mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]]$. As for the case $\pi = 0$, we will construct a G_∞ -structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{2\star} = \mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]]$ given by a differential $d_{2\star} : \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{2\star}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{2\star}^{\otimes \cdot}$, where $d_{2\star} = m_{2\star}^1 + m_{2\star}^{1,1} + \dots$ with $m_{2\star}^1$ corresponding to the differential $b_\star = [m_\star, -]_G$. We will also prove, following the same steps as in the $\pi = 0$ case, that there exists a G_∞ -morphism between the G_∞ -algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_{1\star}, d_{1\star})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{2\star}, d_{2\star})$.

Theorem 7.1. — *One can build a G_∞ -structure on $\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]]$ determined by a differential $d_{2\star} : \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{2\star}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{2\star}^{\otimes \cdot}$ with $d_{2\star} = m_{2\star}^1 + m_{2\star}^{1,1} + \dots + m_{2\star}^{p_1, \dots, p_n} + \dots$, where*

$$m_{2\star}^{p_1, \dots, p_n} : \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2[[\hbar]]^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2[[\hbar]]^{\otimes p_n} \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2[[\hbar]]^{\otimes p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2[[\hbar]]^{\otimes p_n},$$

$m_{2\star}^1 = b_\star = [m_\star, -]_G$ and $m_{2\star}^{1,1} = m_2^{1,1}$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket.

Proof: We can use the same arguments as in Section 2. Thanks to Lemma 2.1, it is enough to define a differential Lie bialgebra structure on the cofree Lie coalgebra $L_{2\star} = \bigoplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2[[\hbar]]^{\otimes n}$. Etingof-Kazhdan's dequantization and quantization theorems can be used in the same way to prove it is enough to have a differential bialgebra structure on the cofree tensorial coalgebra $T_{2\star} = \bigoplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2[[\hbar]]^{\otimes n}$ since the correspondance in Proposition 2.2 was given by universal formulas.

So we want now to define a bialgebra structure on $T_{2\star}$ given by maps $a_{2\star}^n$ and $a_{2\star}^{p_1, p_2}$ such that $a_{2\star}^1 = b_\star$ and $a_{2\star}^{1,1}$ is the product $\{-|\-\}$ defined in Section 1. This can be done using braces as in the end of Section 2. This is because the braces inducing a bialgebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 are independent of the algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 . Thus a G_∞ -structure can be built on \mathfrak{g}_\star with $m_{2\star}^{p_1, \dots, p_n} = 0$ for $n > 2$. \square

We can now state the analogue of Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 7.2. — *There exist a G_∞ -structure on $\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]]$ corresponding to a differential $d'_{1\star} : \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot}$ and a morphism of differential coalgebras*

$$\psi_\star : (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot}, d'_{1\star}) \rightarrow (\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{2\star}^{\otimes \cdot}, d_{2\star})$$

such that the induced map $\mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ is the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map.

Proof: We will follow the proof of Proposition 3.1 and use the same notations. Let us denote

$$V_{i\star}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \hbar^k V_i^{[n-k]} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{i\star}^{(\leq n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n V_{i\star}^{(k)}.$$

There is a decomposition $d_{2\star} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hbar^k d_{2\star}^{\{k\}}$. We denote $d_{2\star}^{\{k\}p_1, \dots, p_l} : \mathfrak{g}_2^{p_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathfrak{g}_2^{p_l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ the components of $d_{2\star} : \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}$. Similarly, we denote $d_{2\star}^{\{k\}[n]}$ the map from $\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2^{\otimes \cdot}$ to itself defined by

$$d_{2\star}^{\{k\}[n]} = \sum_{p_1 + \dots + p_l = n} d_{2\star}^{\{k\}p_1, \dots, p_l}.$$

We have the obvious identity $d_{2\star}^{\{k\}} = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_{2\star}^{\{k\}[n]}$. We can now define

$$d_{2\star}^{(m)} = \sum_{k+n=m} d_{2\star}^{\{k\}[n]}$$

and set

$$d_{2\star} = \sum_{m \geq 1} d_{2\star}^{(m)}, \quad d_{2\star}^{(\leq m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{2\star}^{(i)}.$$

In the same way we set

$$d'_{1\star} = \sum_{m \geq 1} d'_{1\star}{}^{(m)}, \quad d'_{1\star}{}^{(m)} = \sum_{k+n=m} d'_{1\star}{}^{\{k\}[n]}, \quad d'_{1\star}{}^{(\leq m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m d'_{1\star}{}^{(i)},$$

$$\psi_{\star} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \psi_{\star}{}^{(m)}, \quad \psi_{\star}{}^{(m)} = \sum_{k+n=m} \psi_{\star}{}^{\{k\}[n]} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\star}{}^{(\leq m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_{\star}{}^{(i)}.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 can now be reproduced, formally replacing the superscripts $[-]$ with $(-)$. We can build maps $d'_{1\star}$ and ψ_{\star} by induction setting $d'_{1\star}{}^{(1)} = 0$ and $\psi_{\star}{}^{(1)} = \phi^1$, the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg map. The proof then relies again only on the fact that ϕ^1 is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes from $(\mathfrak{g}_1, 0)$ to $(\mathfrak{g}_2, b = m_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 = m_{2\star}^{(1)})$ (for which we have homotopy formulas). Moreover, at order two we have again

$$d'_{1\star}{}^{(2)} = d_{1\star}{}^{(2)} = \hbar[\pi, -]_S + d_1^{1,1} + d_1^2.$$

□

Using the grading $(-)$ along the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can prove in the same way the following.

Theorem 7.3. — *If the complex $(\text{End}(\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes \cdot}), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2 + \hbar[\pi, -]_S, -])$ is acyclic, then there exists a G_{∞} -morphism $\psi' : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot}, d_{1\star}) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot}, d'_{1\star})$ such that the induced map $\mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ is the identity.*

Theorems 7.2 and 7.3 hold for arbitrary Poisson manifolds.

Corollary 7.4. — *If $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m, \Lambda T\mathbb{R}^m)$, there exists a G_{∞} -morphism*

$$\psi' : (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot}, d_{1\star}) \rightarrow (\Lambda \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_{1\star}^{\otimes \cdot}, d'_{1\star}).$$

Proof: Using Theorem 7.3 it is enough to check that the cochain complex

$$\left(\text{Hom}(\Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}, \Lambda \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\otimes}), [m_1^{1,1} + m_1^2 + \hbar[\pi, -]_S, -] \right)$$

is for $M = \mathbb{R}^m$. This follows from Proposition 5.1 and the following Lemma 7.5. \square

Lemma 7.5. — *If a complex (C, d_0) is acyclic, then, for any differential $d_* = d_0 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \hbar^i d_i$, the $\mathbb{R}[[\hbar]]$ -linear complex $(C[[\hbar]], d_0 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \hbar^i d_i)$ is acyclic.*

This follows from Remark 6.3. However, as we wish to be able to construct explicit homotopies we give another proof.

Proof: Suppose we have $x = \sum_{i \geq 0} \hbar^i x_i \in C[[\hbar]]$ satisfying

$$d_* x = 0. \quad (7.18)$$

We will construct by induction $y = \sum_{i \geq 0} \hbar^i y_i$ satisfying $x = d_* y$.

Relation (7.18) at order 0 gives $d_0 x_0 = 0$; so by hypothesis there exists $y_0 \in C$ such that $x_0 = d_0 y_0$. Suppose we have built y_i for $i \leq n-1$ such that $x_k = \sum_{i=0}^k d_i y_{k-i}$ for all $k \leq n-1$. We want to build y_n such that $x_n = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i y_{n-i}$. From the acyclicity of the complex (C, d_0) this is equivalent to

$$d_0 \left(x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_{n-i} \right) = 0. \quad (7.19)$$

We have

$$(7.19) \iff \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_{n-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n d_0 d_i y_{n-i} = 0.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (7.19) &\iff \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_{n-i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i d_j d_{i-j} y_{n-i} = 0 \\ &\iff \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_{n-i} - \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \sum_{i=j}^n d_{i-j} y_{n-i} = 0 \\ &\iff \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_{n-i} - \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} d_i y_{n-i-j} = 0 \\ &\iff \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_{n-i} - \sum_{j=1}^n d_j x_{n-j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result. \square

As in Section 6, it is easy to see that $\phi_* = \psi'_* \circ \psi_*$ is a G_∞ -morphism between \mathfrak{g}_{1*} and \mathfrak{g}_{2*} . Moreover ϕ_* restricts to a L_∞ -morphism

$$\widetilde{\phi}_* : (\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]], \hbar[\pi, -]_S, [-, -]_S) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]], b_*, [-, -]_G)$$

and also to a A_∞ -morphism

$$\check{\phi}_* : (\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]], \hbar[\pi, -]_S, \wedge) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]], b_*, \sum_{i \geq 2} m_{2*}^i).$$

If we now restrict the map ϕ_* to $\phi_*^{[1]} : \mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]]$, we have $\phi_*^{[1]}([\hbar\pi, \alpha]_S) = b_*\phi_*^{[1]}(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. So we have constructed another morphism of complexes $(\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]], \hbar[\pi, -]_S) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]], b_*)$. According to Lemma 6.2, the map $\phi_*^{[1]}$ is a quasi-isomorphism which is *a priori* different from the map ϕ_\hbar^1 . We leave the two following questions unanswered:

Question 1: Are the two maps ϕ_\hbar^1 and $\phi_*^{[1]}$ the same?

Question 2: To prove the existence of the G_∞ -morphism ϕ_* , we have used the grading $(-)$ which imposes the initial condition $\psi_*^{(1)} = \phi^1$, the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg morphism. Is it possible to build a map ϕ_* such that $\phi_*^{[1]} = \phi_\hbar^1$?

Remark: B. Keller helped us to give a partial answer to this second question, using the following proposition (see K. Lefvre [Le] for a proof in the A_∞ case).

Proposition 7.6. — *Let A and B be two L_∞ (respectively A_∞ , or G_∞)-algebras, with structures determined by differentials*

$$d_A : \Lambda A \rightarrow \Lambda A \text{ (respectively } \underline{A}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \underline{A}^{\otimes \cdot} \text{ or } \Lambda \underline{A}^{\otimes \cdot} \rightarrow \Lambda \underline{A}^{\otimes \cdot}),$$

and d_B defined in the same way. Denote $d_A = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_A^n(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)$, where d_A^n is a homogeneous component of d_A (in the G_∞ case, we write $d_A = \sum_{l \geq 0, n_1 + \dots + n_p = l} d_A^{n_1, \dots, n_p}$ with $d_A^{n_1, \dots, n_p} : \underline{A}^{\otimes n_1} \Lambda \dots \Lambda \underline{A}^{\otimes n_p} \rightarrow B$ and we order the maps $d_i^{n_1, \dots, n_p}$ such that $(n_1, \dots, n_p) \geq (m_1, \dots, m_q) \Leftrightarrow (n_1 + \dots + n_p > m_1 + \dots + m_q)$ or $(n_1 + \dots + n_p = m_1 + \dots + m_q$ and $(n_1, \dots, n_p) \geq (m_1, \dots, m_q)$ for the lexicographic order). Suppose there exists a “twisting” element $a \in A$ such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} d_A^n(a, \dots, a) = 0,$$

and a L_∞ (respectively A_∞ , or G_∞)-morphism $\varphi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi^n$ (using the same convention as above) between A and B . Then

- : (a) there exists a “twisted” L_∞ (respectively A_∞ , or G_∞)-algebra structure on A with differential $d_{A_a} = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_{A_a}^n$ given by

$$d_{A_a}^n(\cdot, \dots, \cdot) = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_A^{n+i}(\dots, a, \dots, a, \dots),$$

where the element a is inserted i times;

- : (b) the element $b \in B$ defined by

$$b = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi^n(a, \dots, a)$$

satisfies

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} d_B^n(b, \dots, b) = 0.$$

- : (c) there exists a “twisted” L_∞ (respectively A_∞ , or G_∞)-algebra structure on B with differential $d_{B^b} = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_{B^b}^n$ given by

$$d_{B^b}^n(\cdot, \dots, \cdot) = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_B^{n+i}(\dots, b, \dots, b, \dots)$$

where the element b is inserted i times;

- : (d) there exists a L_∞ (respectively A_∞ , or G_∞)-morphism between the two “twisted” L_∞ (respectively A_∞ , or G_∞)-algebra structures on A and B given by $\varphi_{ab} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_{ab}^n$, where

$$\varphi_{ab}^n(\cdot, \dots, \cdot) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \varphi^{n+i}(\dots, a, \dots, a, \dots)$$

where the element a is inserted i times.

In our case, where $A = \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $B = \mathfrak{g}_2$ and φ is Tamarkin’s L_∞ (respectively A_∞ , or G_∞)-morphism, and $a = \pi$ (the Poisson tensor field), we can apply the previous proposition, but only in the L_∞ case (because otherwise $\sum d_1^k(\pi, \dots, \pi) \neq 0$), and get a deformed L_∞ -morphism between the graded Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_1[[\hbar]], \hbar[\pi, -]_S, [-, -]_S)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2[[\hbar]], b_*, [-, -]_G)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank D. Manchon and B. Keller for many useful suggestions. We also would like to thank C. Kassel for a carefully reading of the paper.

References

- [Ba] J. H. Baues, *The double bar and cobar constructions*, Compos. Math. 43 (1981), 331-341
- [BFFLS1] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, *Quantum mechanics as a deformation of classical mechanics*, Lett. Math. Phys. 1 (1975/77), no. 6, 521–530
- [BFFLS2] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, *Deformation theory and quantization, I and II*, Ann. Phys. 111 (1977), 61-151
- [CE] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. (1956)
- [CFT] A. S. Cattaneo, G. Felder, L. Tomassini, *Fedosov connections on jet bundles and deformation quantization*, math.QA/0111290 (to appear in IRMA Lecture Notes in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics : Deformation Quantization - Proceedings of the Meeting between Mathematicians and Theoretical Physicists, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée de Strasbourg (May 31 - June 2, 2001)
- [DL] M. De Wilde, P. B. A. Lecomte, *A homotopy formula for the Hochschild cohomology*, Compositio Math. 96 (1995), no. 1, 99–109
- [EK1] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras. I*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 2 (1996), no. 1, 1–41

- [EK2] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras. II, III*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 4 (1998), no. 2, 213–231, 233–269
- [GV] M. Gerstenhaber, A. Voronov, *Homotopy G -algebras and moduli space operad*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1995), no. 3, 141–153
- [Gi] G. Ginot, *Homologie et modèle minimal des algèbres de Gerstenhaber*, Preprint Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Strasbourg (2001), no. 42
- [GK] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads*, Duke Math. J. 76 (1994), No 1, 203–272
- [Ha] G. Halbout, *Formule d’homotopie entre les complexes de Hochschild et de de Rham*, Compositio Math. 126 (2001), No 2, 123–145
- [Hi] V. Hinich, *Tamarkin’s proof of Kontsevich’s formality theorem*, math.QA/9803025
- [HKR] G. Hochschild, B. Kostant and A. Rosenberg, *Differential forms on regular affine algebras*, Transactions AMS 102 (1962), 383–408
- [Ka] C. Kassel, *Homologie cyclique, caractère de Chern et lemme de perturbation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 408 (1990), 159–180
- [Kh] M. Khalkhali, *Operations on cyclic homology, the X complex, and a conjecture of Deligne*, Comm. Math. Phys. 202 (1999), no.2, 309–323
- [Ko1] M. Kontsevich, *Formality conjecture. Deformation theory and symplectic geometry*, Math. Phys. Stud. 20, Ascona (1996), 139–156
- [Ko2] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I*, q-alg/9709040
- [KS] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Deformations of algebras over operads and the Deligne conjecture*, Confrence Mosh Flato 1999, Vol. I (Dijon) Math. Phys. Stud. 21 (2000), 255–307
- [Kos] J. L. Koszul, *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie in “Elie Cartan et les mathématisques d’aujourd’hui”*, Astérisque (1985), 257–271
- [LS] T. Lada, J. D. Stasheff, *Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. 32 (1993), No 7, 1087–1103
- [Le] K. Lefèvre, *Sur les A_∞ -catégories*, Thesis, available at <http://www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/lefevre/>
- [Lo1] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic homology*, Springer Verlag (1993)
- [Lo2] J.-L. Loday, *Opérations sur l’homologie cyclique des algèbres commutatives*, Invent. Math. 96 (1989), No. 1, 205–230
- [St] J.D. Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of H -spaces I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), 275–292
- [Ta] D. Tamarkin, *Another proof of M. Kontsevich’s formality theorem*, math.QA/9803025
- [TS] D. Tamarkin, B Tsygan, *Noncommutative differential calculus, homotopy BV algebras and formality conjectures*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology, 6 (2000), no. 2, 85–97
- [Vo] A. Voronov, *Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras, Conférence Mosh Flato 1999, Vol. II (Dijon)*, Math. Phys. Stud., 22, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2000), 307–331

GREGORY GINOT, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université Louis Pasteur – C.N.R.S.,
7, rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France • *E-mail* : ginot@math.u-strasbg.fr

GILLES HALBOUT, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université Louis Pasteur –
C.N.R.S., 7, rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex,
France • *E-mail* : halbout@math.u-strasbg.fr