

## A functional relation for the Tornheim double zeta function

by

TAKASHI NAKAMURA (Nagoya)

### 1. Introduction

DEFINITION 1.1. The *Tornheim double zeta function*  $T(s, t, u)$ , for  $s, t, u \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(s + u) > 1$ ,  $\Re(t + u) > 1$  and  $\Re(s + t + u) > 2$ , is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad T(s, t, u) := \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s n^t (m+n)^u}.$$

This function  $T(s, t, u)$  is a generalization of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore,  $T(s, t, u)$  is continued meromorphically to  $\mathbb{C}^3$  in [4]. By the definition, we have

$$T(s, t, u) = T(t, s, u), \quad T(s, t, 0) = \zeta(s)\zeta(t).$$

The case of  $t = 0$ , that is  $T(s, 0, u)$ , is called the *Euler–Zagier double zeta function* [10].

The values  $T(a, b, c)$  for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$  were first investigated by Tornheim [7] in 1950 and later Mordell [5] in 1958. Tornheim [7, Theorem 7] showed that  $T(a, b, c)$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $\{\zeta(j) \mid 2 \leq j \leq a + b + c\}$  with rational coefficients when  $a + b + c$  is odd, and that the same is true for  $T(2r, 2r, 2r)$  and  $T(2r - 1, 2r, 2r + 1)$  [7, Theorem 8], but he did not give the coefficients. Mordell [5, Theorem III] proved that  $T(2r, 2r, 2r) = k_r \pi^{6r}$  for some rational number  $k_r$ . In 1985 Subbarao and Sitaramachandrarao [6, Theorem 4.1] explicitly determined  $T(2p, 2q, 2r) + T(2q, 2r, 2p) + T(2r, 2p, 2q)$  ( $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then, by taking  $p = q = r$ , they gave an explicit formula for  $T(2r, 2r, 2r)$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) [6, Remark 3.1]. In 1996 Huard, Williams and Zhang [3, Theorems 1–3] determined  $T(r, 0, N - r)$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq N - 2$ ),  $T(p, q, N - p - q)$  ( $p, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $N \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ ,  $1 \leq p + q \leq N - 1$ ,  $0 \leq p, q \leq N - 2$ ) and  $T(r, r, r)$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ). In 2002 Tsumura [8, Theorem 1]

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11M41.

*Key words and phrases*: Tornheim double zeta function, Euler–Zagier double zeta function.

proved that  $T(p, q, r) + (-1)^p T(p, r, q) + (-1)^{p+r} T(r, q, p)$  is a polynomial in  $\{\zeta(k) \mid 2 \leq k \leq p + q + r\}$  with rational coefficients for  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  with  $p + q \geq 2$  and  $r \geq 2$ . Recently, Espinosa and Moll provided an explicit formula for  $T(x, y, z)$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , in terms of integrals involving Hurwitz zeta functions (see [2, Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4]). Also in 2006 Tsumura [9, Theorem 4.5] proved the following functional relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.2) \quad & T(a, b, s) + (-1)^b T(b, s, a) + (-1)^a T(s, a, b) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \equiv a(2)}}^a (2^{1-a+j} - 1) \zeta(a - j) \sum_{l=0}^{j/2} \frac{(i\pi)^{2l}}{(2l)!} \binom{b-1+j-2l}{j-2l} \zeta(b+j+s-2l) \\
 &\quad - 4 \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \equiv a(2)}}^a (2^{1-a+j} - 1) \zeta(a - j) \sum_{l=0}^{(j-1)/2} \frac{(i\pi)^{2l}}{(2l+1)!} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \equiv b(2)}}^b \zeta(b-k) \\
 &\quad \times \binom{k-1+j-2l}{j-2l-1} \zeta(k+j+s-2l)
 \end{aligned}$$

(where (2) means mod 2), for  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $b \geq 2$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , except for the singular points of each side of this formula.

In this paper, we prove the following result.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  except for the singular points, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.3) \quad & T(a, b, s) + (-1)^b T(b, s, a) + (-1)^a T(s, a, b) \\
 &= \frac{2}{a! b!} \sum_{k=0}^{\max(a,b)/2} \left\{ a \binom{b}{2k} + b \binom{a}{2k} \right\} (a+b-2k-1)! (2k)! \\
 &\quad \times \zeta(2k) \zeta(a+b+s-2k).
 \end{aligned}$$

This functional relation is considerably simpler than that of Tsumura. We are not aware of a direct proof which shows that the right-hand sides of (1.2) and (1.3) are the same. “Mathematica 5.0” shows that they are equal for all  $1 \leq a \leq b \leq 100$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . It therefore seems unlikely that a non-trivial functional relation can be deduced by equating (1.2) and (1.3).

In Section 3, we obtain new proofs of formulas for the special values of  $T(a, b, c)$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$  mentioned in the introduction by using the functional relation (1.3).

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Firstly, we define  $\log t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $t^s$ ,  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$\log t := \log |t| + i \arg t, \quad t^s := e^{s \log t}, \quad 0 \leq \arg t < 2\pi.$$

And for  $s, t, u \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(s + u) > 1$ ,  $\Re(t + u) > 1$  and  $\Re(s + t + u) > 2$ , we put

$$(2.1) \quad S(s, t, u) := \sum_{\substack{m \neq 0, n \neq 0 \\ m+n \neq 0}} \frac{1}{m^s n^t (m+n)^u}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  except for the singular points, we have

$$(2.2) \quad S(a, b, s) = (1 + e^{-\pi i(a+b+s)}) \times (T(a, b, s) + (-1)^b T(b, s, a) + (-1)^a T(s, a, b)).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$T_1(a, b, s) := \sum_{m, n > 0} \frac{1}{m^a n^b (m+n)^s} = T(a, b, s),$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(a, b, s) &:= \sum_{\substack{m < 0, n > 0 \\ n > -m}} \frac{1}{m^a n^b (m+n)^s} = \sum_{\substack{m, n > 0 \\ n > m}} \frac{1}{(-m)^a n^b (n-m)^s} \\ &= (-1)^{-a} \sum_{m, k > 0} \frac{1}{m^a (m+k)^b k^s} = (-1)^{-a} T(s, a, b), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_3(a, b, s) &:= \sum_{\substack{m < 0, n > 0 \\ -m > n}} \frac{1}{m^a n^b (m+n)^s} = \sum_{\substack{m, n > 0 \\ m > n}} \frac{1}{(-m)^a n^b (n-m)^s} \\ &= e^{-\pi i(a+s)} \sum_{n, k > 0} \frac{1}{(n+k)^a n^b k^s} = e^{-\pi i(a+s)} T(b, s, a), \end{aligned}$$

$$T_4(a, b, s) := \sum_{m, n < 0} \frac{1}{m^a n^b (m+n)^s} = e^{-\pi i(a+b+s)} T(a, b, s),$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_5(a, b, s) &:= \sum_{\substack{m > 0, n < 0 \\ -n > m}} \frac{1}{m^a n^b (m+n)^s} = \sum_{\substack{m, n > 0 \\ n > m}} \frac{1}{m^a (-n)^b (m-n)^s} \\ &= e^{-\pi i(b+s)} T(s, a, b), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_6(a, b, s) &:= \sum_{\substack{m > 0, n < 0 \\ m > -n}} \frac{1}{m^a n^b (m+n)^s} = \sum_{\substack{m, n > 0 \\ m > n}} \frac{1}{m^a (-n)^b (m-n)^s} \\ &= (-1)^{-b} T(b, s, a). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^6 T_j(a, b, s) = S(a, b, s).$$

This implies (2.2). We can also see that the convergence of  $S(a, b, s)$  is equivalent to the convergence of  $T(a, b, s)$ . ■

LEMMA 2.2 ([11]). For  $\Re(s) > 1$ ,  $\Re(t) > 1$  and  $\Re(u) > 1$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad S(s, t, u) = \int_0^1 \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i m x}}{m^s} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i n x}}{n^t} \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi i l x}}{l^u} dx.$$

*Proof.* By putting  $l = m + n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(s, t, u) &= \sum_{\substack{m, n, l \neq 0 \\ m+n=l}} \frac{1}{m^s n^t l^u} = \sum_{m, n, l \neq 0} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{2\pi i(m+n-l)x}}{m^s n^t l^u} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{m, n, l \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i(m+n-l)x}}{m^s n^t l^u} dx = \int_0^1 \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i m x}}{m^s} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i n x}}{n^t} \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi i l x}}{l^u} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of summation and integration is justified by absolute convergence. ■

We denote by  $B_j(x)$  the Bernoulli polynomial of order  $j$  defined by

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(x) \frac{t^j}{j!}, \quad |t| < 2\pi.$$

It is known (see [1, p. 266, (22), and p. 267, (24)]) that

$$(2.4) \quad B_{2j} := B_{2j}(0) = (-1)^{j+1} 2(2j)!(2\pi)^{-2j} \zeta(2j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(2.5) \quad B_j(x) = -\frac{j!}{(2\pi i)^j} \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{k=-K \\ k \neq 0}}^K \frac{e^{2\pi i k x}}{k^j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i k x} B_j(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0, & k = 0, \\ -(2\pi i k)^{-j} j!, & k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

In fact, the case of  $k = 0$  is obvious, and in the case of  $k \neq 0$ , we get (2.6) by using (2.5). Next we quote [1, p. 276, 19(b)], for  $p + q \geq 2$ , which is

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &B_p(x)B_q(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\max(p,q)/2} \left\{ p \binom{q}{2k} + q \binom{p}{2k} \right\} \frac{B_{2k} B_{p+q-2k}(x)}{p+q-2k} - (-1)^p \frac{p!q!}{(p+q)!} B_{p+q}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Firstly, we assume  $a, b \geq 2, 1 + e^{-\pi i(a+b+s)} \neq 0$  and  $\Re(s) > 1$ . By using (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_0^1 B_a(x)B_b(x) \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi i l x}}{l^s} dx \\ &= -\int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{\max(a,b)/2} \left\{ a \binom{b}{2k} + b \binom{a}{2k} \right\} \frac{B_{2k}B_{a+b-2k}(x)}{a+b-2k} \sum_{l \neq 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi i l x}}{l^s} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\max(a,b)/2} \left\{ a \binom{b}{2k} + b \binom{a}{2k} \right\} \frac{(a+b-2k-1)!B_{2k}}{(2\pi i)^{a+b-2k}} \\ & \quad \times \zeta(a+b+s-2k)(1+e^{-\pi i(a+b+s)}). \end{aligned}$$

Because of (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain (1.3) in this region. By analytic continuation, we have (1.3) for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a, b \geq 2$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  except for the singular points of each side of this formula.

Next we consider the case of  $a = 1, b \geq 2$ . For  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a, b \geq 2$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  except for the singular points, we define  $K(a, b, s)$  by the right-hand side of (1.3). We quote some basic properties [3, (1.5)] proved by easy computations, for  $s, t, u \in \mathbb{C}$  except for the singular points:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} T(s, t-1, u+1) + T(s-1, t, u+1) = T(s, t, u), \\ T(s, t+1, u-1) - T(s-1, t+1, u) = T(s, t, u), \\ T(s+1, t, u-1) - T(s+1, t-1, u) = T(s, t, u). \end{cases}$$

For  $b \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(2, b, s) &= T(2, b, s) + (-1)^b T(b, s, 2) + (-1)^2 T(s, 2, b) \\ &= T(1, b, s+1) + (-1)^b T(b, s+1, 1) + (-1)T(s+1, 1, b) \\ & \quad + T(2, b-1, s+1) + (-1)^{b-1} T(b-1, s+1, 2) \\ & \quad + (-1)^2 T(s+1, 2, b-1) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.8) and the result in the case  $a, b \geq 2$  which we have already shown. Hence we have to show

$$K(2, b, s) = K(1, b, s+1) + K(2, b-1, s+1), \quad b \geq 2.$$

In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{b!} \left\{ \binom{b}{2k} + b \binom{1}{2k} \right\} + \frac{2}{2!(b-1)!} \left\{ 2 \binom{b-1}{2k} + (b-1) \binom{2}{2k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{2!b!} \left\{ 2 \binom{b}{2k} + b \binom{2}{2k} \right\} (b+1-2k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq b/2. \end{aligned}$$

In the cases of  $k = 0, 1, b/2$ , we have this equation immediately. For  $2 \leq k \leq$

$(b - 1)/2$ , we obtain it by

$$b \binom{b-1}{l} = \frac{b(b-1) \cdots (b-l+1)(b-l)}{l!} = (b-l) \binom{b}{l}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq b.$$

We can prove (1.3) for the case of  $a = b = 1$  similarly. ■

**3. New proofs of known formulas.** In this section, from our theorem we deduce formulas for the special values of  $T(a, b, c)$  ( $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ ) mentioned in the introduction. By taking  $a = 2p, b = 2q, s = 2r$  in (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T(2p, 2q, 2r) + T(2q, 2r, 2p) + T(2r, 2p, 2q) \\ &= \frac{2}{(2p)!(2q)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\max(p,q)} \left\{ 2p \binom{2q}{2k} + 2q \binom{2p}{2k} \right\} (2p + 2q - 2k - 1)!(2k)! \\ &\quad \times \zeta(2k)\zeta(2p + 2q + 2r - 2k). \end{aligned}$$

This formula coincides with [6, Theorem 4.1]. (There is a misprint in [6, Theorem 4.1], “min” is to be replaced by “max”.) Putting  $a = b = s = r$  in (1.3) we have, after easy computations of binomial coefficients,

$$T(r, r, r) = \frac{4}{1 + 2(-1)^r} \sum_{k=0}^{r/2} \binom{2r - 2k - 1}{2k - 1} \zeta(2k)\zeta(3r - 2k).$$

This formula is [3, Theorem 3].

For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $N(a, b, c)$  as half of the right-hand side of (1.3). We recall the harmonic product formula

$$T(a, 0, b) + T(b, 0, a) = \zeta(a)\zeta(b) - \zeta(a + b).$$

Putting  $s = 0$  in (1.3) and multiplying by  $(-1)^a$ , we obtain

$$(-1)^a \zeta(a)\zeta(b) + (-1)^{a+b} T(b, 0, a) + T(a, 0, b) = 2(-1)^a N(a, b, 0).$$

When  $a + b \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ , we can remove  $T(b, 0, a)$  by summing the above two formulas. Hence

$$(3.1) \quad T(a, 0, b) = -\frac{\zeta(a+b)}{2} + \frac{1 + (-1)^b}{2} \zeta(a)\zeta(b) + (-1)^a N(a, b, 0)$$

for all  $a, b \geq 2, a + b \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ . Next by changing the variables in (1.3), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^b T(a, b, c) + T(b, c, a) + (-1)^c T(c, a, b) = 2N(b, c, a), \\ (-1)^a T(a, b, c) + (-1)^c T(b, c, a) + T(c, a, b) = 2N(c, a, b). \end{cases}$$

In the case of  $a + b + c \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ , we can remove  $T(b, c, a)$  and  $T(c, a, b)$  by multiplying the former equality by  $(-1)^b$  and the latter by  $(-1)^a$ , and summing the resulting formulas. Hence we have

$$(3.2) \quad T(a, b, c) = (-1)^b N(b, c, a) + (-1)^a N(c, a, b), \quad a + b + c \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1.$$

By putting  $s = t = 1$  in the first equation of (2.8), we obtain

$$T(1, 1, u) = 2T(1, 0, u + 1).$$

Hence we can calculate  $T(1, 0, c + 1)$  if  $c + 1 \in 2\mathbb{N}$ . Therefore we obtain another proof of [3, Theorems 1, 2]. Moreover we get

$$T(p, q, r) + (-1)^p T(p, r, q) + (-1)^{p+r} T(r, q, p) = 2(-1)^p N(p, r, q)$$

by taking  $a = p$ ,  $b = r$  and  $s = q$  in (1.3), and multiplying by  $(-1)^p$ . Hence we obtain another proof of [8, Theorem 1], because  $N(p, q, r)$  is a polynomial in  $\{\zeta(k) \mid 2 \leq k \leq p + q + r\}$  with rational coefficients for  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  with  $p + q \geq 2$  and  $r \geq 2$ .

**Acknowledgments.** I thank Professors Kohji Matsumoto and Hirofumi Tsumura for useful advice.

### References

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1976.
- [2] O. Espinosa and V. H. Moll, *The evaluation of Tornheim double sums. I*, J. Number Theory 116 (2006), 200–229.
- [3] J. G. Huard, K. S. Williams, and Z. Y. Zhang, *On Tornheim's double series*, Acta Arith. 75 (1996), 105–117.
- [4] K. Matsumoto, *On the analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions*, in: Number Theory for the Millennium, II (Urbana, IL, 2000), M. A. Bennett *et al.* (eds.), A K Peters, 2002, 417–440.
- [5] L. J. Mordell, *On the evaluation of some multiple series*, J. London Math. Soc. 33 (1958), 368–371.
- [6] M. V. Subbarao and R. Sitaramachandrarao, *On some infinite series of L. J. Mordell and their analogues*, Pacific J. Math. 119 (1985), 245–255.
- [7] L. Tornheim, *Harmonic double series*, Amer. J. Math. 72 (1950), 303–314.
- [8] H. Tsumura, *On some combinatorial relations for Tornheim's double series*, Acta Arith. 105 (2002), 239–252.
- [9] —, *On functional relations between the Mordell–Tornheim double zeta functions and the Riemann zeta function*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., to appear; Tokyo Metropolitan Univ. Preprint Ser., 2006, No. 2, 11 pp.
- [10] D. Zagier, *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, in: First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), Progr. Math. 120, Birkhäuser, 1994, 497–512.
- [11] —, *Introduction to multiple zeta values*, lectures at Kyushu Univ., 1999.

Graduate School of Mathematics  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan  
E-mail: m03024z@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Received on 2.2.2006  
and in revised form on 30.7.2006

(5136)