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## Abstract

A recursive algorithm for estimating linear models with both constant and time-varying parameters is derived by maximization of a likelihood function. Recursive formulas are also derived for derivatives of the likelihood function; the derivatives are needed for numerical evaluation of some parameters. Smoothing formulas are also derived. The estimation algorithm is compared with others for similar classes of models.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years many economists and statisticians have observed that the traditional linear model with constant coefficients is inadequate for the description of several phenomena.. Individual effects across a population or systematically varying behaviour over time offer two examples. The literature on stochastic parameters has provided several arguments justifying the nonconstancy of parameters over different observations, the reader is referred to Rosenberg (1968) and Cooley (1971) among others. A survey of the existing literature can be found in Rosenberg (1973a). The state of the art in estimation techniques for several stochastic parameter models has been summarized in Annals of Economic and Social Measurements, Vol. 2, No. 4 (October 1973).

This paper presents an algorithm for estimating stochastic variations in a model that is more general than the most general model that has been analyzed before, that of Rosenberg (1973b).

In Section 2 the problem that is solved is stated, in Section 3 the recursive formulas for the maximum likelihood estimators are computed, Section:4 restates some well known results about smoothed estimates, and Section 5 gives a brief summary of the results.
2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The model that will be analyzed is the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{t}=A_{t} \alpha+B_{t} \beta_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}  \tag{1}\\
& t=1,2, \ldots, T
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{t}-\ell \times 1 \text { vector of observations at time } t \\
& \alpha-r \times l \text { vector of constant parameters } \\
& \beta_{t}-k \times l \text { vector of randomly varying parameters } \\
& A_{t}, B_{t}-(\ell x r) \text { and ( } \ell \times k \text { ) matrices of observations of explanatory } \\
& \varepsilon_{t}-\ell \times 1 \text { gaussian vector of random terms with the following } \\
& \text { properties } \\
& E\left(\varepsilon_{t}\right)=0 \quad t=1,2, \ldots, T  \tag{2}\\
& E\left(\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma^{2} Q^{\delta}{ }_{i j} \quad i_{1} j=1,2, \ldots, T \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q$ is a symmetric $\ell x \ell$ positive definite matrix, $\sigma^{2}$ is a scale factor, and ${ }^{\delta}{ }_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta.

The random parameters will be assumed to obey a transition relation of the Markov kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{t}=\phi \beta_{t-1}+u_{t-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{t}$ are normal with $E\left(u_{t}\right)=0 t=1,2, \ldots, T$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u_{i} u_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma^{2} R \delta_{i j} \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, T \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $R$ is a symmetric $k x k$ positive definite matrix. The initial vector $B_{1}$ will be assumed constant but unknown.

A model like this can arise for example in the analysis of a crosssection of time series. Rosenberg (1973b) analyzed a model like this with the exception that he did not consider the constant term $\alpha$. The recursive formulas that he presented do not hold when such a vector of constant parameters coexists with the vector of stochastic ones. A particular case of model ( 1 ) with $\ell=1, k=1$ and $B_{t}=l$ was analyzed by Cooley (1971) and Cooley and Prescott (1973). However, their procedures are not recursive and can quickly become unmanageable for lange sample sizes. Sarris (1973) has analyzed a particular case with $A_{t}=0 \quad \ell=1$ but his procedure is also not recursive.

The particular case of $A_{t}=0$ has also been analyzed extensively in the engineering literature under the name of Kalman filters (see e.g. Sage and Melsa (1971)). The difference between those models and the special case of the one considered here (with $A_{t}=0$ ) is that in our case the initial vector $\beta_{1}$ is constant but unknown, instead of having a well defined prior density. The case of constant $\beta_{1}$ gives rise to what is called the starting problem which proved quite troublesome until Rosenberg (1973b) solved it correctly.

We shall assume that the transition matrix $\phi$ depends on a vector . of constant and unknown parameters $\theta_{\phi}$ (whose location in $\phi$ is known).

Furthermore $Q$ and $R$ will be assumed to contain vectors of unknown parameters $\theta_{\mathrm{q}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{r}}$ respectively (with known locations in Q and R ) We shall define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \equiv\left(\theta_{\dot{\phi}}^{-}, \theta_{q}^{-}, \theta_{r}^{-}\right)^{-} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to emphasize the assumptions that $Q$ and $R$ are both positive definite. The most general model one would want to analyze in this framework would be the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{t}={ }^{F} \gamma_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}  \tag{8}\\
& \gamma_{t}=\phi_{\gamma_{t-1}}+\mu_{t-1} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where all the previous assumptions on the random terms hold except that

$$
E\left(\mu_{i} \mu_{j}\right)=\sigma^{2} R \delta_{i j}
$$

with $R$ positive semidefinite. It is clear that ( 1 ) is a special case of this model. If $\gamma_{1}$ is given a proper prior density then the results of the Kalman filter literature cover this problem. However, when $\gamma_{1}$ is constant and unknown, a case which is most prevalent in statistics and econometerics, the Kalman algorithm does not hold and Rosenberg's (1973b) algorithm holds only if $R$ is positive definite. The general problem of arbitrary positive semidefinite $R$ has not as yet been solved.

The complete problem is now restated.
Problem. Estimate $\alpha, \beta_{1}, \sigma^{2}, \theta$ and $\beta_{2} \beta_{3}, \ldots, \beta_{T}$ given the data $y_{t}, A_{t}, B_{t}$ $t=1,2, \ldots \ldots$, T
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{t}=A_{t} \alpha+\beta_{t} \beta_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}  \tag{10}\\
& \beta_{t}=\phi \beta_{t-1}+\mu_{t-1} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

3. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

In this section we shall derive a recursive formula for the likelihood function. Let us make the following definitions

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{i}^{j} & \equiv\left\{y_{i}, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{j}\right\}  \tag{12}\\
\mu & \equiv\left\{\alpha, B_{1}, \sigma^{2}, \theta\right\}  \tag{13}\\
A_{i}^{j} & \equiv\left\{A_{i}, A_{i+1}, \ldots, A_{j}\right\}  \tag{14}\\
B_{i}^{j} & \equiv\left\{B_{i}, B_{i+1}, \ldots, B_{j}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the likelinood of $\mu$ given the data is
$L\left(\mu ; y_{1}^{T}, A_{1}^{T}, B_{1}^{T}\right)=p\left(y_{1}^{T} \mid \mu\right)={\underset{I}{T}=1}_{T}^{p}\left(y_{t} \mid y_{I}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right)$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{1} \mid y_{1} \circ, A_{1}^{1}, B_{1}^{1}, \mu\right)=p\left(y_{1} \mid A_{1}, B_{1}, \mu\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.1 Computation of $p\left(y_{t} \mid y_{1}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right)$

In this subsection we shall compute one of the factors of (16).
In the process we shall also derive the Kalman filter for $\beta_{t}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left(y_{t}, \beta_{t} \mid y_{l}^{t-1}, A_{l}^{t}, B_{l}^{t}, \mu\right)=p\left(y_{t} \mid \beta_{t}, y_{l}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{l}^{t}, B_{l}^{t}, \mu\right) \\
& p\left(\beta_{t} \mid y_{l}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right)=p\left(\beta_{t} \mid y_{1}^{t}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right) \\
& p\left(y_{t} \mid y_{l}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us assume that the density $p\left(\beta_{t} \mid y_{l}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right)$ is normal with mean $\beta_{t \mid t-1}$ and covariance matrix $M_{t \mid t-1}$. From (10) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& p\left(y_{t} \mid \beta_{t}, y_{l}^{t-1}, A_{l}^{t}, B_{l}^{t}, \mu\right)=\frac{1}{(2 n)^{l / 2} \sigma^{l}|Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t}\right)^{-} Q^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t}\right)\right\} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

We thus obtain that the left hand side of (18) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{(2 n) \frac{k+l}{2} \sigma^{k+l}|Q|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left|M_{t \mid t-1}\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left[\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t}\right)^{-} Q^{-1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta\right)+\left(\beta_{t}-\beta_{t \mid t-1}\right) M_{t \mid t-1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{t}-\beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

By rearrangement of the terms inside the brackets of the exponent in (20) we obtain the following equality.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t}\right)^{\prime} Q^{-1}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t}\right)+\left(\beta_{t}-\beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)\right)_{t \mid t-1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{t}-\beta_{t \mid t-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\beta_{t}-\beta_{t \mid t}\right)^{-M_{t \mid t}^{-1}}\left(\beta_{t}-\beta_{t \mid t}\right)+\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} t_{t \mid t-1}\right) . \\
& \left(Q+\left.B_{t} M_{t}\right|_{t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{t \mid t}=\left[M_{t}^{-1} \mid t-1+B_{t}^{\prime} Q^{-1} B_{t}\right]^{-1}=M_{t \mid t-1}-M_{t} \mid t-1 B_{t}^{\prime}\left[Q^{+}+B_{t} M_{t} \mid t-B^{-}\right]^{-1}, \\
& B_{t} M_{t} \mid t-1 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{t \mid t}=M_{t \mid t}\left[M_{t}^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\beta_{t \mid t-1} \beta_{t \mid t-1}+M_{t} M_{t \mid t-1}^{-1} Q_{t}^{-1}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha .\right)\right]=  \tag{23}\\
& \left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{-}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The formulas (22) - (23) are with minor variations the ones known as the Kalman filter in the engineering literature.

It is now easy to see from (18) and (21) that $p\left(\beta_{t} \mid y_{l}^{t}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{I}^{t}, \mu\right)$ is normal with mean $\beta_{t \mid t}$ and covariance matrix $\sigma^{2} M_{t \mid t}$ given in (22) and (23) respectively. Consequently $p\left(y_{t} \mid y_{l}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right)$ is also normal with mean $A_{t} \alpha+B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}$ and covariance matrix equal to $\sigma^{2}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)$. along with the extrapolation formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{t+l \mid t}=\phi \beta_{t \mid t}  \tag{24}\\
& M_{t+1 \mid t}=M_{t \mid t}+R \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

and the initial conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1 \mid 0}=\beta_{1}  \tag{26}\\
& M_{1 \mid 0}=0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2 Computation of the Likelihood Function

After the results of Subsection 3.1 we can apply (16) to obtain an expression for the likelihood function. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & \left(\mu ; y_{1}^{T}, A_{1}^{T}, B_{1}^{T}\right)=\prod_{t=1}^{T} p\left(y_{t} \mid y_{1}^{t-1}, A_{1}^{t}, B_{1}^{t}, \mu\right) \\
& =\prod_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{(2 n)^{l / 2} \sigma^{l}\left|Q+B_{t} M_{t}\right| t-\left.1 B_{t}^{-}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1}^{\left.\left.B_{t}^{-}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)\right\}}\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 n)^{T l / 2} \sigma^{T l} \prod_{t=1}^{T}\left|Q_{t} B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{-}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-A_{t}^{\alpha}-B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\left(Q+B_{t} M t \mid t-1 B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)\right\} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

For maximum likelihood estimation of $\mu$, this quantity or its logarithm must be maximized with respect to $\alpha, \beta_{工}, \sigma^{2}$ and $\theta$.
3.3 Estimation of $\alpha, \beta_{1}, \sigma^{2}$

In this section we present recursive estimators of $\alpha$ and $\beta_{1}$ and an estimator for $\sigma^{2}$. It can be easily seen from (22) - (27), that $M_{j \mid j-1}$ does not depend on $\beta_{1}, \alpha$ or $\sigma^{2}$, and that $\beta_{j \mid j-1}$ depends linearly on both $\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha$. Let us thus denote ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{s \mid k}=\mu_{s \mid k}+e_{s \mid k}^{\alpha+\equiv}{ }_{s \mid k} \beta_{l} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can immediately see from (26) that $\mu_{1 \mid 0}=0,\left.\theta_{1}\right|_{0}=0 \equiv_{1 \mid 0}=I$ (30). (Note that the: $\theta^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and $\equiv$ 's are kxr and kxk matrices respectively). Using the formulas (23) and (24) we can obtain recursive relations for $\mu, \theta$, and $\equiv$. They are the following.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{t+1 \mid t}=\phi \mu_{t \mid t}  \tag{31}\\
& \theta_{t+1 \mid t}=\phi \cdot \theta  \tag{32}\\
& t \mid t  \tag{33}\\
& \equiv_{t+1 \mid t}=\phi \equiv_{t \mid t}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{t \mid t}=\mu_{t \mid t-1}+M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right) \\
& \theta \quad(34) \\
& \xi_{t \mid t}=\theta_{t \mid t-1}-M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(A_{t}+B_{t} \theta_{t \mid t-1}\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

These formulas are identical to those of Rosenberg (1973b) with the addition of (32) and (35).

We rewrite the exponent of the likelihood function in (28) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(Q^{+} B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t} \beta_{t \mid t-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(y_{t}-A_{t} \alpha-B_{t}{ }_{t \mid t-1}{ }^{-B_{t}} \quad \theta \quad t \mid t-1{ }^{\alpha-B_{t} \bar{E}_{t} \mid t-1}{ }^{\beta} l_{1}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)+ \\
& \alpha^{i} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{T}} \alpha+\beta_{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}} \beta_{I}+2 \alpha^{i} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}} \beta_{I}-2 \alpha^{\prime} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}-2 \beta_{1}^{\prime} h_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{T}=\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(A_{t}+B_{t} \theta_{t \mid t-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{1}\right)^{-1}\left(A_{t}+B_{t} \theta t \mid t-1\right)  \tag{38}\\
& H_{T}=\sum_{t=1}^{T} \equiv_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} B_{t} \bar{E}_{t \mid t-1}  \tag{39}\\
& G_{T}=\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(A_{t}+B_{t} \theta_{t \mid t-1}\right)^{-}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} B_{t} \bar{E} t \mid t-1 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{T}=\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(A_{t}+B_{t}{ }^{\theta} t \mid t-1\right)^{-}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} t^{\mu} \mid t-1\right)  \tag{41}\\
& h_{T}=\sum_{t=1}^{T} \equiv t \mid t-1^{B_{1}}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1}^{\left.B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)}\right. \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Maximization of the likelihood function with respect to $\alpha$ and $\beta_{1}$ is equivalent to minimization of the quadratic form in (37). We take the derivatives of that expression and set then equal to zero. We obtain the following two equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{T} \alpha+G_{T} \beta_{1}-f_{T}=0  \tag{43}\\
& H_{T} \beta_{1}+G_{T}^{\alpha} \alpha-h_{T}=0 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}} & \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta_{\mathrm{l}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \\
h_{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(45).
therefore

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha  \tag{46}\\
\beta_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}} & \mathrm{G}_{T} \\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\alpha}=\left(F_{T}-G_{T} H_{T}-1 G_{T}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \quad\left(f_{T}-G_{T} H_{T}^{-1} h_{T}\right)  \tag{47}\\
& \hat{\beta}_{1}=\left(H_{T}-G_{T}^{\prime} F_{T}^{-1} G_{T}\right)^{-1}\left(h_{T}-G_{T}^{-} F_{T}^{-1} f_{T}\right) \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The only thing that needs to be examined is the invertibility of the matrix that premultiplies the parameters in (45). We now state and prove a theorem that will guarantee it. Before we do this we define the following two matrices.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}_{1} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{A}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
A_{T}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{50}\\
\mathrm{X}_{2} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{B}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{2} \phi \\
\mathrm{~B}_{3} \phi^{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}} \phi^{\mathrm{T}-1}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

the theorem can now be stated.
Theorem 1 . A sufficient condition for the invertibility of the matrix $W \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}F_{T} & G_{T} \\ G_{T}^{\prime} & H_{T}\end{array}\right]$ defined in (45) is that the matrix
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}x_{1} & \vdots & x_{2} \\ & \end{array}\right]$ has full column rank.

Proof. Let us assume that the matrix $X \equiv\left[X_{1} \vdots X_{2}\right]$ has full column rank. Then it will be true that the matrix $X^{\prime} X$ is positive definite and hence invertible, and also that the matrix $X^{\prime} \psi X$, where $\psi$ is positive definite, is also positive definite and hence invertible.

We now consider the original data and substitute $\beta_{t}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{t}=\phi \beta_{t-1}+u_{t-1}=\phi^{2} \beta_{t-2}+\phi u_{t-2}+u_{t-1}=\ldots \\
& =\phi^{t-1} \beta_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \phi^{t-1-j_{u_{j}}} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

We then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=A_{1} \alpha+B_{2} \beta_{1}+\varepsilon_{1} \\
& y_{2}=A_{2} \alpha+B_{2} \phi \beta_{1}+B_{2} \mu_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
& y_{T}=-A_{T} \alpha+B_{T} \phi^{T-1} \beta_{1}+B_{T}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{T-1} \phi^{T-1-j_{u}} u_{j}\right)+\varepsilon_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

or more compactly.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=X_{1} \alpha+X_{2} \beta_{1}+v \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $y$ is the vector of left hand side observations and $v$ is the vector of obviously non-spherical residuals. Let us denote the covariance matrix of $v$ by $V$. Then it is well known that if the matrix $X \equiv\left[X_{1}: x_{2}\right]$ is of full column rank, the best linear estimates of $\alpha$ and $\beta_{1}$ are obtained by Aitken's estimator which in this case is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\alpha}  \tag{54}\\
\hat{\alpha}_{\hat{1}} \\
\beta_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left(X^{\prime} V^{-1} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} v^{-1} y
$$

The same exact expression for $\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}_{1}$ is obtained if we write the likelihood function of $y$ from (53) and maximize it with respect to $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$.

However, this is exactly how we arrived at (45) only via a recursive formula. Therefore, the normal equations must give the same solution in both cases. We conclude that the matrix $W$ must be equal to the product of a nonsingular matrix and $X^{\prime} V^{-1} X$. Hence, since $X^{\prime} V^{-1} X$ is invertible we are done. ||.

The maximum likelihood estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ is easy to find after the expressions for $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ are substituted in (28). By differentiating the likelihood function and setting the derivative equal to zero we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\sigma}=\frac{1}{T l} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-A_{t} \hat{\alpha}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}-B_{t} \quad \theta_{t \mid t-1} \hat{\alpha}-B_{t} \equiv t \mid t-1 \quad \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-\hat{A}_{t} \hat{\alpha}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}{ }^{\theta} t \mid t-1 \hat{\alpha}^{\alpha} B_{t} \Xi_{t \mid t-1} \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{T \ell}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}
T \\
\sum_{t=1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)( \\
\left.\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{-}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)\right]-
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left(f_{T}^{\prime} h_{T}^{\prime}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E_{T} & G_{T}^{\prime} \\
G_{T}^{\prime} & H_{T}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{T} \\
h_{T}
\end{array}\right]\right\} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.4 Estimation of $\theta$.

The estimators of $\beta_{t \mid t-1}, M_{t \mid t-1}, \alpha, \beta_{1}$, and $\sigma^{2}$ all depend on the elements of the vector $\theta$. The log-likelihood function for $\theta$ is found after substituting out the estimated values of $\sigma^{2}, \alpha$, and $\beta_{1}$. It is given by the following expression.
$\log L\left(\theta ; y_{l}^{T}, A_{l}^{T}, B_{l}^{T}\right)=-\frac{T l}{2} \log \left\{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)^{\prime}\right.$

$$
\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{*}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(y_{t}-B_{T} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)-f_{T}^{\prime}\left(F_{T}-G_{T} H_{T}^{-1} G_{T}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} f_{T}-h_{T}^{\prime}\left(H_{T}-G_{T}^{\prime} F_{T} G_{T}\right)^{-1} h_{T} \\
& \left.-2 f_{T}^{\prime}\left(F_{T}-G_{T} H_{T}^{-1} G_{T}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} G_{T} H_{T}^{-1} h_{T}\right\}- \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \left|Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right|+\frac{T l}{2}(\log T l+\log 2 \pi+1)(56)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above expression is impossible to maximize analytically with respect to $\theta$. Numerical maximization of it will require the derivatives of this expression with respect to the elements of $\theta$. We now proceed to derive recunsive formulas for these derivatives. The procedure will be to consider each term of (56) separately and obtain its derivatives with respect to each component of $\theta$. We will bear in mind the decomposition of $\theta$ into unknown elements of $\phi, Q$ and $R$ respectively given in (7).

The quantities whose derivatives will be needed are the following:

$$
\mu_{t \mid t-1}, Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1}, B_{t}^{\prime}, \quad\left|Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right|, F_{t}, H_{T}, G_{T}, f_{T}, h_{T}
$$

From (38)-(42) it can be seen that the derivatives of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $h_{T}$ will be determined by the derivatives of $H \quad t \mid t-1, \equiv_{t \mid t-1}$, $\mu_{t \mid t-1}, Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}$

So the quantities whose derivatives must be computed are

$$
\mu_{t \mid t-1}, H_{t \mid t-1}, \equiv_{t \mid t-1}, Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} \quad B_{t}^{\prime} \text { and }\left|Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right|
$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq T$
Referring. to formulas (31) and (34) the derivative of $\mu_{t \mid t-1}$ is easily found.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \mu_{t+i \mid l}}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i j}}}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i j}}} \mu_{t \mid t}+\phi \frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t}}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i j}}} \\
& \frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t}}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i j}}}=\frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial_{\theta_{\phi i j}}}+\frac{\partial M_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i . j}}} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right) \\
& -M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} B_{t} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i j}}} \cdot t-1 B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
& \left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)-M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} B_{t} \frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i j}}} \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

The matrices $\frac{\partial M_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{\phi_{i j}}}$ can be computed recursively by differentiating
(25) and (22).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mu_{t+1 \mid t}}{\partial \theta_{q_{i j}}}=\frac{\phi \partial \dot{\mu}_{t \mid t}}{\partial \theta_{q_{i j}}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t}}{\partial \theta_{q_{i j}}}=\frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{q_{i j}}}+\frac{\partial M_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{q_{i j}}} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)- \\
& { }^{M} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_{q_{i j}}}+B_{t} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \theta_{t \mid t-1}} B_{t} B_{i j}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(Q+B_{t} M t \mid t-1 B_{t}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)-M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} B_{t} \frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta q_{i j}} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial M_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{q_{i j}}} & \text { is again generated recursively by differentiating } \\ & \text { (25) and (22). }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mu_{t+1}}{\partial \theta_{r_{i j}}}=\phi \frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t}}{\partial \theta_{r_{i j}}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t}}{\partial \theta_{r_{i j}}}=\frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{r_{i j}}}+\frac{\partial M_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{r_{i j}}} \quad B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)-
$$

$$
-M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} B_{t} \frac{\partial M_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta r_{i j}} B_{t}^{\prime}\left(Q+B_{t} M_{t} \mid t-1 B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{t}-B_{t} \mu_{t \mid t-1}\right)-M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}\left(Q^{+} B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} B_{t} \frac{\partial \mu_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{r_{i j}}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\partial M_{t \mid t-1}}{\partial \theta_{r_{i j}}}$
is recursively computed by (25) and (22).
The initial conditions for (57)-(62) are all zero.

From (32), (33), (35) and (36) it can be seen that the derivatives of $\theta_{t \mid t-1,} \equiv_{t \mid t-1}, Q+B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{\prime}$ depend on the derivatives of $\mu_{t \mid t-1}$ and $M_{t \mid t-1}$ which we just analyzed.

So the last thing needed to complete the analysis is the computation of the derivatives of the determinant quantity. Let $X$ denote a $n \times n$ matrix with rows $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then the following formula can be proved easily by the definition of the determinant function ( $n$ is a scalar)

$$
\frac{\partial|x|}{\partial n}=\left|\begin{array}{c}
\partial x_{1}  \tag{63}\\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial n} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right|+\left|\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial_{n}} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right|+\ldots+\left|\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial x_{n}}{\partial_{n}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

If we denote the rowis of $B_{t}$ by $b_{i}^{t} \quad i=1, \ldots l$ and the rows of $M_{t \mid t-1}$ by $m_{j}^{t} j=1, \ldots, k$, then the $i j$, th element of $B_{t} M_{t \mid t-1} B_{t}^{-}$is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{B_{t} M_{t} \mid t-1 B_{t}^{-}\right\} \quad i j=\sum_{n=1}^{k} b_{i n} m_{n} b_{j}^{\prime} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can thus be seen that the derivative of the determinant depends upon the derivatives of $M_{t \mid t-1}$ which we analyzed.

These recursive formulas for the derivatives are lengthier than the computation required to compute the numerical derivatives. The advantage, however, is that we obtain the exact derivatives as opposed to numerical approximations. The exact tradeoffs must be evaluated through numerical experiments which will be deferred to a later paper.
4. SMOOTHED ESTTMATES OF $\beta_{t}$

The procedure described in the previous section led to maximum likelihood estimates of all the unknown constants i.e. $\alpha, \beta_{1}, \sigma^{2}$, and $\theta$. We also obtained the maximum likelihood estimator of $\beta_{\mathrm{T}}$ namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\beta_{\mathrm{t} \mid \mathrm{t}} \hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\alpha} ; \hat{\alpha}^{2}, \hat{\theta}\right)=\mu_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{T}}(\hat{\theta})+\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{T}} \hat{\theta}\right) \hat{\alpha}^{1}+\overline{\bar{T}} \mid \mathrm{T}(\hat{\theta}) \hat{\beta}_{1} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the solution to be complete we need estimators for $\beta_{t} l<k T$ that are based on ail the data from 1 to $T$ and not only up to $t$ as we have obtained. We thus need "smoothed" estimates of $\beta_{t}$, e. the quantities $\beta_{t \mid T}$, as opposed to "filtered" estimates $\beta_{t \mid t}$.

What we would like to obtain ideally would be the density $p\left(\beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \ldots, \beta_{T} \mid y_{l}^{T)}\right)$. As we formulated the problem we have available

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{p}}\left(\beta_{2} \mid \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \beta_{1}, \alpha, \sigma^{2}, \theta\right)=\frac{\mathrm{p}\left(\beta^{\frac{T}{2}}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mid \beta_{1}, \alpha, \sigma^{2}, \theta\right)}{\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mid \beta_{1}, \alpha, \sigma^{2}, \theta\right)} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the true values of the unknown conditioning parameters the posterior density if normal. We take the empirical Bayes view and estimate $\beta_{2}^{\frac{T}{2}}$ as the posterior mode of (66) conditioned upon the estimated values of $\beta_{1}, \alpha, \sigma^{2}$ and $\theta$.

Sarris (1973) has shown formulas for the posterior mean and covariance matrix of $\beta^{\frac{T}{2}}$ but those formulas are not recursive and hence of limited practical value. Recursive formulas have been computed by Rauch et. al. (1965) and are repeated here for completeness.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{t \mid T}=\quad \beta_{t \mid t^{+M}} t \mid t \phi^{\prime}\left(R+\phi M_{t \mid t} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(\beta_{\left.t+I \mid T^{-\phi \beta_{t \mid t}}\right)}\right) \\
& M_{t \mid T}=M_{t \mid t}+M_{t \mid t} \phi^{\prime}\left(R+\phi M_{t \mid t^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(M_{t+1 \mid T^{-M}}^{t+l \mid t}\right) \phi M_{t \mid t} \\
& \left(R+\phi M_{t} \mid t^{\phi^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

The procedure starts at time $T$ with $\beta_{T \mid T}$ and $M_{T \mid T}$ computed by the formulas of Section 3 .

Another method that could be used would be to consider the density

$$
\mathrm{p}\left(\beta_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mid \beta_{1, \alpha, \sigma^{2}}, \theta\right)
$$

and maximize it with respect to $\beta_{1}, \alpha, \sigma^{2}, \theta$ as well as $\beta_{2}^{T}$. The conditional estimates of $\beta_{2}^{T}$ conditioned on $\beta_{1}, \alpha, \sigma^{2}, \theta$ would be the same as obtained
above. However, the estimates of $\alpha, \beta_{1}, \sigma^{2}, \theta$ would not be the same. This method has been used by Bar-Shalom (1973) and Masiello (1972). It is not clear at this point how these two different methods of estimating the unknown parameters compare.
5. CONCLUSIONS

The contribution of this paper is two fold. First it presents a recursive solution to the general problem of estimating a combination of constant and time varying parameters. Then it presents recursive formulas for the derivatives that are undoubtedly required to numerically maximize the likelihood function with respect to the parameters that appear nonlinearly.

It is hoped that this type of approach will help the more general problem of estimating combinations of time varying and constant parameters which obey some non-random restrictions.
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[^0]:    * The apostrophe (') denotes transposition.

[^1]:    *. Two bars | | denote determinant.

