Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 74, No, 2, August 1985, Progress Letters

A General Formulation of Nonequilibrium Thermo Field Dynamics

T. ARIMITSU*' and H. UMEZAWA

Theoretical Physics Institute, Department of Physics The University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2J1 (Received April 22, 1985)

A fundamental framework for construction of a quantum field theory for open systems is built on two basic concepts; *the coarse graining* realized by the projection operator method of the damping theory, and of *the thermal state*, a fundamental concept in the thermo field dynamics.

Coarse graining is a fundamental concept in a microscopic theory of open systems, especially in non-stationary and nonequilibrium situations in which certain dissipation process takes place or need of projecting out some irrelevant subsystems arises. Among many formulations available,^{1)~5)} we find the projection operator method of the damping theory^{1)~3)} quite suitable to our purpose of constructing a field theoretical framework for open systems. As it has been shown by several examples,⁶⁾ the coarse graining of irrelevant subsystems in addition to that of reservoir systems can be well formulated in terms of the *time-convolution-less* (TCL) formulation of the damping theory.^{2),3)}

As for the equilibrium situation, the so-called thermo field dynamics $(TFD)^{7),8}$ extends the usual quantum field theory to the one at finite temperature, preserving many properties of the usual quantum field theory, e.g., the operator formalism, the time-ordered formulation of the Green's functions, the Feynman diagram method in real time, etc. A central concept in $TFD^{7),8}$ is the thermal states which describe equilibrium states and form a linear vector space. This space is built on a vacuum state which will be called the thermal vacuum state. The statistical average is given by the thermal vacuum state expectation value.

In this note we will present a framework for construction of a quantum field theory for open systems by combining the concepts of *the coarse graining* and *the thermal state*. The Liouville equation is mapped on the Liouville space⁹⁾ through a use of the superoperator method,¹⁰⁾ and the reservoir variables are projected out by the damping theory.¹⁾⁻³⁾ The thermal state condition at time *t* determines *the quasi-particle superoper-*

*) Permanent address: Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305. *ators* and *the thermal vacuum state* for the nonstationary and nonequibrium situation, and leads to a quantum field theory for open systems. Only a brief outline of fundamental framework of the theory will be presented here; a detailed account will be published elsewhere.¹¹⁾

The Liouville space⁹⁾ can be spanned by a complete orthonormal basis $\{|mn\rangle\rangle = ||m\rangle\langle n|\rangle\rangle$, which satisfies

$$\langle\langle mn|m'n'\rangle\rangle = \delta_{mm'}\delta_{nn'}, \quad \sum_{mn}|mn\rangle\rangle\langle\langle mn|=\hat{1}, (1)\rangle$$

where $\langle\langle mn|=|mn\rangle\rangle^{\dagger} = \langle\langle (|m\rangle\langle n|)^{\dagger}|=\langle\langle |n\rangle\langle m||$, and $\{|n\rangle=|n_1, n_2\cdots\rangle\}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space which is generated by cyclic operations of the creation operators a_i^{\dagger} on the vacuum $|0\rangle$. These operators obey the commutation relations $[a_i, a_j^{\dagger}]_{\sigma} = \delta_{ij}$, and $[a_i, a_j]_{\sigma}$ $= [a_i^{\dagger}, a_j^{\dagger}]_{\sigma} = 0$, where $[A, B]_{\sigma} = AB - \sigma BA$, and $\sigma = +1(-1)$ for boson (fermion). An element $|A\rangle\rangle$ of the Liouville space can be expanded as

$$|A\rangle\rangle = \sum_{mn} |mn\rangle\rangle \langle\langle mn|A\rangle\rangle,$$

$$\langle\langle mn|A\rangle\rangle = \langle m|A|n\rangle, \qquad (2)$$

and the scalar product in the Liouville space reduces to

$$\langle\langle A|B\rangle\rangle = \operatorname{Tr} AB, \quad \langle\langle A|\equiv (|A^{\dagger}\rangle\rangle)^{\dagger}. \tag{3}$$

Following Schmutz,¹⁰⁾ we define a special set of the superoperators a_i , \tilde{a}_i (in the following we use the same notation for the superoperator a_i as the ordinary operator a_i) by

$$a_{i}|mn\rangle = |a_{i}|m\rangle \langle n|\rangle\rangle,$$

$$\tilde{a}_{i}|mn\rangle = \sigma^{\mu+1}||m\rangle \langle n|a_{i}^{\dagger}\rangle\rangle$$
(4)

with $\mu = \sum_{i} (m_i - n_i)$, where we have used the particle-number eigenstates for $\{|n\rangle: a_i^{\dagger}a_i|n\rangle = n_i|n\rangle$. By using (3) we get

$$a_{i}^{\dagger}|mn\rangle\rangle = |a_{i}^{\dagger}|m\rangle\langle n|\rangle\rangle,$$

$$\tilde{a}_{i}^{\dagger}|mn\rangle\rangle = \sigma^{\mu}||m\rangle\langle n|a_{i}\rangle\rangle.$$
(5)

From (4) and (5), we obtain the commutation relations between the superoperators as $[a_i, a_j^{\dagger}]_{\sigma} = [\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{a}_j^{\dagger}]_{\sigma} = \delta_{ij}$, while the other commutation relations vanish.

From (4) and (5), we obtain

$$|a_i\rangle\rangle = a_i|1\rangle\rangle = \tilde{a}_i^{\dagger}|1\rangle\rangle, |a_i^{\dagger}\rangle\rangle = a_i^{\dagger}|1\rangle\rangle = \sigma\tilde{a}|1\rangle\rangle,$$
(6)

where $|1\rangle\rangle = \sum_{n} |nn\rangle\rangle = \exp(\sum_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger} \tilde{a}_{i}^{\dagger})|00\rangle\rangle$, by using the completeness relation $\sum_{n} |n\rangle\langle n|=1$.

The double-tilde conjugation rule follows from (4) and (5) as $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_i = \sigma a_i$, $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_i^{\dagger \prime \prime} = \sigma a_i^{\dagger}$. It should be noted that we can modify the choice of phase factors in (4) and (5) in such a manner that $\tilde{\tilde{a}}_i = a_i$, etc. hold.^{12,8)} However, in this note we proceed with the choice in (4) and (5).

By applying the mapping rules (4) and (5) to the Liouville equation for a system with a reservoir, we obtain a "Schrödinger equation" in the Liouville space. Then we apply the TCL formulation of the damping theory^{2),3)} (which is more suitable to the treatment of non-stationary and nonequilibrium states than the time-convolution (TC) formulation of the damping theory¹⁾ to this "Schrödinger equation" in order to project out the reservoir variables; the result is a "master equation" in the Liouville space. When we are interested in a still smaller subsystem, we can further apply the TCL formulation of the damping theory to this "master equation" to eliminate still more partial subsystems in order to obtain a "master equation" for the subsystem which we are interested in.3) However, in this paper we confine ourselves to the first step, i.e., reduction of the reservoir variables only. A formulation of the second step³⁾ (i.e., further reduction of partial subsystems, in terms of the superoperators) and its application to some practical problems⁶⁾ will be given in separate publications.

After projecting out the reservoir variables, we obtain the "master equation" in the form $(\hbar = 1, k_B = 1)$

$$\partial_{t} | W_{S}(t) \rangle = -i\hat{H} | W_{S}(t) \rangle, \quad \hat{H} = \hat{H}_{S} + i\hat{\Pi} , \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{\Pi} = i\Delta\hat{H}_{S} - \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \langle \langle 1_{R} | \hat{H}_{SR}(t) \hat{H}_{SR}(0) | W_{R} \rangle \rangle$$

$$= -\sum_{k} \chi_{k} [(1 + 2\bar{\pi}_{\sigma k}) (a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{k} + \tilde{a}_{k}^{\dagger} \tilde{a}_{k}) - 2(1 + \bar{\pi}_{\sigma k}) \tilde{a}_{k} a_{k} - 2\bar{\pi}_{\sigma k} \tilde{a}_{k}^{\dagger} a_{k}^{\dagger}] - 2\sum_{k} \chi_{k} \bar{\pi}_{\sigma k} , \quad (8)$$

where H_s describes the Hamiltonian of the sys-

tem, H_{sR} the interaction between the reservoir and the system, and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{k} &= \operatorname{Re} \, \lambda_{k}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ll 1_{R} | R_{k}(t) \\ &\times [R_{k}^{\dagger}(0) - \tilde{R}_{k}(0)] | W_{R} \gg e^{i\varepsilon t}, \qquad (9a) \\ \bar{n}_{\sigma k} &= \sigma [\exp(\beta \varepsilon_{k}) - \sigma]^{-1}, \quad \beta = 1/T \qquad (9b) \end{aligned}$$

with T being the temperature of the reservoir and ε_k the quasi-particle energy including the chemical potential of the reservoir. Recall the rule in the equilibrium TFD that \hat{H} has the form $(H - \tilde{H})$. This rule does not hold in the nonequilibrium TFD as is seen from (8). We have put \hat{H}_{SR} $= \sum_{k} \lambda_{k} (a_{k} R_{k}^{\dagger} + R_{k} a_{k}^{\dagger} - \tilde{a}_{k} \tilde{R}_{k}^{\dagger} - \tilde{R}_{k} \tilde{a}_{k}^{\dagger}), \text{ where }$ the reservoir operator R_k is assumed to be a boson (fermion)-like operator when a_k is a boson (fermion). The time evolution of the reservoir operator $R_k(t)$ is generated by the Hamiltonian. H_R , of the reservoir, the explicit form of which does not need to be specified in the following formulation. It is worth while to note that the reservoir correlation functions, which determine x_k in (9a), can be calculated systematically by TFD¹³⁾ within the conventional linear response theory¹⁴ when H_R is specified. The state $|W_s(t) \gg$ is constructed by the density operator $W_s(t)$ of the system which is an ordinary operator, and the state $|W_R \gg$ is constructed by the grand canonical density operator W_R of the reservoir with temperature T. The state $\ll 1_R$ is spanned only in the Liouville space of the reservoir. We have used the fact that the density operator is a boson-like operator in general. It should be noted that \hat{H} satisfies the relation $i\tilde{H} = (i\hat{H})^{\sim}$ which relates to the hermitisity of $W_s(t)$.

In deriving (7) we have assumed that the reservoirs of different modes are independent, that $\ll 1_R |\hat{H}_{SR}| W_R \gg = 0$, that the density operator of the total system is factorized as $W_S(t_0) W_R$ at $t = t_0$, and that the correlation time of the reservoir is much shorter than the time we are interested in. Furthermore, for simplicity we have considered a translationally invariant reservoir.

The Heisenberg representation of a superoperator \hat{A} is defined by $\hat{A}(t) = \hat{S}^{-1}(t-t_0)\hat{A}\hat{S}(t-t_0)$, $\hat{S}(t) = \exp(-i\hat{H}t)$, because this together with $|W_s(t) \gg = \hat{S}(t-t_0)|W_s(t_0) \gg$ leads to $\ll 1|\hat{A}|W_s$ $(t) \gg = \ll 1|\hat{A}(t)|W_s(t_0) \gg$. Here use has been made of the relation $\ll 1|\hat{H}=0$ which follows from explicit computation uning (8) and implies the conservation of the probability. It should be ۰.

noted that the time evolution of the mirror operator³⁾ is generated by $\hat{S}^{\dagger}(t)$. A further investigation of the relation between the mirror operation and the superoperator will be given in a forthcoming paper.

Let us now extend the thermal state condition of the equilibrium TFD^{7),8)} to nonequilibrium situation. We do this by using the interaction representation (i.e., the perturbative expansion). Then, \hat{H}_s in the unperturbed Hamiltonian becomes the free Hamiltonian although $\widehat{\Pi}$ remains as it is in (8). The operators a and \tilde{a} in this representation will be said to be semi-free. In this case a_k is a linear sum of $a_k(t) \equiv \widehat{S}^{-1}(t)$ $(-t_0) a_k \widehat{S}(t-t_0)$ and $\widetilde{a}_k^{\dagger \dagger}(t) \equiv \widehat{S}^{-1}(t-t_0) \widetilde{a}_k^{\dagger} \widehat{S}(t)$ $-t_0$). The thermal state condition requires the knowledge of the initial density matrix $W_{s}(t_{0})$. In this note we consider a simple case in which $a|W_s(t_0) \gg = f\tilde{a}^{\dagger}|W_s(t_0) \gg$ with a *c*-number *f*. This condition is satisfied, for example, when the initial state is in equilibrium. Then the thermal state condition at time t reads as

$$\gamma_{k}(t) | W_{s}(t_{0}) \gg = 0, \quad \ll 1 | \tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{\dagger \dagger}(t) = 0, \quad (10)$$

where ·

$$\gamma_{k}(t) = z_{k}^{1/2}(t-t_{0}) [a_{k}(t) - f_{k}(t-t_{0}) \tilde{a}_{k}^{\dagger \dagger}(t)],$$
(11a)

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{\dagger\dagger}(t) = z_{k}^{1/2}(t-t_{0}) \left[\tilde{a}_{k}^{\dagger\dagger}(t) - \sigma a_{k}(t) \right] \quad (11b)$$

with a c-number function $f_k(t-t_0)$. The superoperators $\gamma_k^{\dagger\dagger}(t)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_k(t)$ are given by the tilde conjugation of (11). The normalization factor $z_k^{1/2}(t-t_0)$ is determined by the "canonical commutation relation" $[\gamma_k(t), \gamma_l^{\dagger\dagger}(t)]_{\sigma} = [\tilde{\gamma}_k(t), \tilde{\gamma}_l^{\dagger\dagger}(t)]_{\sigma} = \delta_{kl'}$, etc. The result is $Z_k(t) = 1$ $+ n_{\sigma k}(t)$ with the definition $n_{\sigma k}(t) = \sigma f_k(t) / [1 - \sigma f_k(t)]$. The operators $\gamma_k(t)$, $\tilde{\gamma}_k^{\dagger\dagger}(t)$, etc., will be called *the quasi-particle superoperators*. As is seen from (10), in our formulation, $|W_s(t_0) \gg$ and $\ll 1$ can be interpreted as *the thermal vacuum state*.

When we rewrite a(t) and $\tilde{a}^{\dagger\dagger}(t)$ in terms of the quasi-particle superoperators $\gamma(t)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^{\dagger\dagger}(t)$, the Wick-type formula for nonequilibrium system immediately follows from (10). This Wick-type formula leads to the Feynmantype diagrams for multi-time Green's functions in the interaction representation. We then obtain the Feynman-type diagram method for perturbative calculations for non-stationary and nonequilibrium statistical mechanical problems when a perturbative interaction is istroduced in \hat{H}_s . The perturbative calculation leads us to an expression of the Heisenberg operators in terms of product of quasi-particle superoperators. This is an extension of the concept of dynamical map in the usual quantum field theory to nonequilibrium situations.

The two-point Green's function of the semi-free field is the basic element of the Feynman diagram. It can be given by the Wick formula. The result is

$$G_{k}^{\alpha\beta}(t, s) = -i \ll 1 | T[a_{k}^{\alpha}(t) \bar{a}_{k}^{\beta}(s)] W_{s}(t_{0}) \gg$$

$$= [I_{\bar{\sigma}} W_{\kappa}(t-t_{0}) \mathcal{G}_{\kappa}(t, s)$$

$$\times W_{\kappa}^{-1}(s-t_{0}) I_{\sigma}]^{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (12)$$

where $a_k{}^1(t) = a_k(t), a_k{}^2(t) = \bar{a}_k{}^{\dagger\dagger}(t), \bar{a}_k{}^1(t)$ = $a_k{}^{\dagger\dagger}(t), \bar{a}_k{}^2(t) = -\sigma \tilde{a}_k(t)$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_{k}^{a\beta}(t,s) = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{k}^{1/2}(s-t_{0}) Z_{k}^{-1/2}(t-t_{0}) G_{k}^{T}(t-s), & 0\\ 0, & Z_{k}^{1/2}(t-t_{0}) Z_{k}^{-1/2}(s-t_{0}) G_{k}^{a}(t-s) \end{pmatrix}$$
(13)

with

$$G_k^{T}(t) = -i\theta(t)\exp[-i(\varepsilon_k - ix_k)t], \qquad (14a)$$

$$G_{k}^{a}(t) = i\theta(-t)\exp\left[-i(\varepsilon_{k} + i\varkappa_{k})t\right];$$
(14b)

$$W_{k}^{a\beta}(t) = Z_{k}^{1/2}(t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n_{\sigma k}(t) Z_{k}^{-1}(t) \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad I_{\sigma}^{a\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (15)

Especially in the case where the initial state is of the grand canonical distribution with temperature $T_0 = \beta_0^{-1}$, $n_{\sigma k}(t)$ is given by $n_{\sigma k}(t) = \bar{n}_{\sigma k} + (\bar{n}_{\sigma k}^0 + \bar{n}_{\sigma k}) \exp(-2x_k t)$, where $\bar{n}_{\sigma k}^0$ is defined by putting β_0 instead of β in (9b). It should be noted that, for this choice of the initial state, the Green's function (12) reduces to that derived by Schwinger⁴⁾ when $\sigma = 1$, i.e., boson field. The relation between his method and our formulation will be given in a forthcoming paper in which the other real time-path methods¹⁵⁾ will also be discussed.

With the general framework presented above,

the linear response theory, which was proposed by Kubo,¹⁴⁾ can be made to include the effect of reservoir on the response of the system under consideration.³⁾ A systematic calculation method of the response function can be formulated in terms of the diagram method presented in this paper. This will be discussed in a separate paper.

We expect also that our formalism for nonequilibrium systems may easily accommodate the Ward-Takahashi relations, the renomalization method, and the renormalization group. A study in this direction is currently in progress. We close this paper by noting that the general quantization procedures of the free field¹⁶ can be extended to the cases of semi-free field (i.e., $\hat{\Pi} \neq 0$).¹⁷

One of the authors (T.A.) would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor Y. Takahashi for his invitation to and hospitality at The University of Alberta.

- R. Kubo, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics*, vol. I, ed. W. E. Brittin and L. G. Dunham (1958), p. 120.
 - S. Nakajima, Prog. Theor. Phys. 20 (1958), 948.
 - R. Zwanzig, J. Chem. Phys. 33 (1960), 1338.
- F. Shibata, Y. Takahashi and N. Hashitsume, J. Stat. Phys. **17** (1977), 171.
 S. Chaturvedi and F. Shibata, Z. Phys. **B35** (1979), 297.
 F. Shibata and T. Arimitsu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **49** (1980), 891.
- 3) T. Arimitsu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **51** (1982), 1720; see also ibid., 879.
- 4) J. Schwinger, J. Math. Phys. 2 (1961), 407.
- 5) H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 33 (1965), 423.
- T. Arimitsu and F. Shibata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 51 (1982), 1070.

F. Shibata, T. Arimitsu and N. Hamano, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **51** (1982), 2075.

T. Arimitsu and T. Tominaga, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **51** (1982) 3102.

 7) L. Laplae, F. Mancini and H. Umezawa, Physics Rep. 10C (1974), 151.
 Y. Takahashi and H. Umezawa, C. H. J. Di

Y. Takahashi and H. Umezawa, Collect. Phenom. 2 (1975), 55.

H. Matsumoto, Fortschr. Phys. 25 (1977), 1.

H. Umezawa, H. Matsumoto and M. Tachiki, *Thermo Field Dynamics and Condensed States* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, London, 1982).

H. Umezawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 80 (1985), 126.

H. Matsumoto, in *Progress in Quantum Field Theory*, ed. H. Ezawa and S. Kamefuchi (North-Holland Pub., 1985), to be published.

- 8) I. Ojima, Ann. of Phys. 137 (1981), 1.
- 9) U. Fano, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29 (1957), 74. An interesting investigation will be found in I. Prigogine, C. George, F. Henin and L. Rosenfeld, Chemica Scripta 4 (1973), 5.
- 10) J. A. Crawford, Nuovo Cim. 10 (1958), 698.
 M. Schmutz, Z. Phys. B30 (1978), 97.
- 11) T. Arimitsu, H. Umezawa and M. Ban, in preparation to publication.
- H. Matsumoto, Y. Nakano and H. Umezawa, Phys. Rev. D31 (1985), 429.
- H. Matsumoto, Y. Nakano and H. Umezawa, Phys. Lett. 100A (1984), 125.
- 14) R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 12 (1957), 570.
- L. V. Keldysh, Sov. Phys. -JETP 20 (1965), 1018.
 R. A. Craig, J. Math. Phys. 9 (1968), 605.
 R. Mills, *Propagators for Many-Particle Systems* (Gordon and Breach Science Publisher, N. Y., 1969).
- 16) Y. Takahashi and H. Umezawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 8 (1952), 493.
 H. Umezawa, Quantum Field Theory (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1956).
 Y. Takahashi and H. Umezawa, Nucl. Phys. 51 (1964), 193.
 Y. Takahashi, An Introduction to Field Quantiza-

Y. Takahashi, An Introduction to Field Quantization (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1969).

17) T. Arimitsu and Y. Takahashi, in preparation to publication.