

Title	A generalization of Magnus' theorem
Author(s)	Nakai, Yoshikazu; Baba, Kiyoshi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 14(2) P.403-P.409
Issue Date	1977
Text Version	publisher
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/8846
DOI	10.18910/8846
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

Nakai, Y. and Baba, K. Osaka J. Math. 14 (1977), 403–409

A GENERALIZATION OF MAGNUS' THEOREM

YOSHIKAZU NAKAI* AND KIYOSHI BABA

(Received May 4, 1976)

Let f(x, y) and g(x, y) be polynomials in two variables with integral coefficients. O.H. Keller raised the problem in [1]: If the functional determinant $\partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y)$ is equal to 1, then is it possible to represent x and y as polynomials of f and g with integral coefficients? This problem drew many mathematicians' attension and several attempts have been made by enlarging the coefficient domain to the complex number field C. But no success has been reported yet. On the other hand A. Magnus studied the volume preserving transformation of complex planes and obtained a result which is relevant to Keller's problem ([2]). From his results it is immediately deduced that Keller's problem is answered affirmativiely provided one of f(x, y) and g(x, y)has prime degree. For the proof Maguns used recursive formulas. But these formulas are complicated and not easy to handle. In this paper we shall give a simple proof of his theorem based on the notion of quasi-homogeneity for generalized polynomials. Moreover we shall go one step further than he did. Our results ensure that Keller's problem is valid provided one of f(x, y) and g(x, y) has degree 4 or larger degree is of the form 2p with an odd prime p. Since a complete solution of Keller's problem is not found yet our paper will be of some interest and worth-while publication.

1. Quasi-homogeneous generalized polynomials

Let x and y be two indeterminates. We shall set $\tilde{A} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C} x^i y^j$ where \mathbf{C} is the complex number field and \mathbf{Z} is the ring of rational integers. \tilde{A} is a graded ring and the polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$ is a graded subring. Hereafter we shall call an element f(x, y) of \tilde{A} a generalized polynomial or simply a g-polynomial. We shall denote by S(f) the set of lattice points (i, j) in the real two space \mathbf{R}^2 such that the monomial $x^i y^j$ appears in f(x, y) with a non-zero coefficient. S(f) will be called the *supoprt* of f(x, y). A g-polynomial f(x, y) is called a homogeneous g-polynomial or a g-form if S(f) lies in the straight line of the form X + Y = m where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and is called the degree of the g-form f(x, y).

^{*)} Supported by Takeda Science Foundation.

We shall use the symbol S[f] to denote the set of monomials $x^i y^j$ such that the lattice point (i, j) is in S(f).

Proposition 1. Let f(x, y) and g(x, y) be non-constant g-forms of degrees m and n respectively such that the functional determinant $\partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y)$ is equal to zero. We shall define an integer d by the rule: (a) d is equal to the GCD of |m| and |n| if one of m and n is positive, (b) d is equal to the negative of GCD (|m|, |n|) if both of m and n are negative. We shall set m/d=m' and n/d=n'. Then we have the following:

(i) If one of m and n is zero, so is the other and f(x, y) and g(x, y) are g-polynomials in one variable (y|x).

(ii) If mn < 0, then both of f(x, y) and g(x, y) are monomials and there exist a monomial h(x, y) of degree d such that $f=c_1h^{m'}$, and $g=c_2h^{n'}$ where $c_i(i=1, 2)$ are constants.

(iii) If mn > 0, there exists a g-form h(x, y) of degree d such that $f = c_1 h^{m'}$ and $g = c_2 h^{n'}$.

Proof. Assume first m=0 and $n \neq 0$. It follows from $\partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y)=0$ that we have $\partial f/\partial x = \partial f/\partial y = 0$. This is against the assumption. Since a g-form of degree zero is necessarily of the form $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i(y/x)^i$ we get the assertion (i). To prove (ii) we assume m>0 and n<0 and let $f_1=f^{-n}$ and $g_1=g^m$. Then $\partial(f_1, g_1)/\partial(x_1, y_1)=0$. Since the degrees of f_1 and g_1 differ only in sign we see immediately that $f_1\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x} + g_1\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} = 0$, or equivalently, $\partial(f_1g_1)/\partial x = 0$. Similarly we have $\partial(f_1g_1)/\partial y=0$. Hence f_1g_1 must be a constant. But such a case can occur only when f_1 , hence f, is a monomial because g_1 is a g-polynomial. The rest follows easily from this. The proof of (iii) will be carried out by a similar device and the detailed proof will be omitted.

DEFINITION. A g-polynomial f(x, y) is called a quasi homogeneous gpolynomial (or simply a quasi g-form) if the support S(f) of f(x, y) is contained in the straight line. When the equation of that straight line has the form $Y+\alpha X=\lambda$. We shall say that the quasi g-form f(x, y) is (α)-homogeneous of degree λ .

It should be noticed that if α is an irrational number, monomials only can be (α) -homogeneous g-forms.

Proposition 2. Let f(x, y) and g(x, y) be (α) -homogeneous g-forms of positive degrees λ and μ respectively such that $\partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y)=0$. Assume that α is a rational number q/p with comprime integers p(>0) and q. Let $d = GCD(p\lambda, p\mu)$. Then there exists an (α) -homogeneous g-form h(x, y) of degree d/p such that $f=c_1h^{m'}$ and $g=c_2h^{n'}$ where $m'=p\lambda/d$, $n'=p\mu/d$ and $c_i(i=1, 2)$ are constants.

Proof. Let u, v be new indeterminates and let $x=u^{p}$ and $y=v^{q}$. Then $F(u, v)=f(u^{p}, v^{q})$ and $G(u, v)=g(u^{p}, v^{q})$ are g-forms of degrees $p\lambda$ and $p\mu$ respectively. The rest follows easily from Proposition 1.

Let γ be an arbitrary real number. Then we can define a grading on \hat{A} in the following way. Let λ be a real number and let \tilde{A}_{λ} be the vector space over C generated by the set of g-monomials $x^i y^j$ such that $j + \gamma i = \lambda$. Then we have $\tilde{A} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \tilde{A}_{\lambda}$ where the sum is extended over all real numbers contained in the additive subgroup of R generated by 1 and γ . In case $\gamma = 1$ we have the standard grading and its degree function is the ordinary function. The term "homogeneous" is reserved for this standard grading.

Proposition 3. Let f(x, y) and g(x, y) be g-polynomials in x and y such that $\partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}$. Let α be any real number and fet $f = \bigoplus f_{\lambda}$ and $g = \bigoplus g_{\mu}$ be the direct sum decomposition by the (α) -grading. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda+\mu=s\\1+\alpha+s}}\frac{\partial(f_{\lambda},g_{\mu})}{\partial(x,y)}=0.$$

The proof is immediate and will be mitted.

2. Magnus' Theorem

For future reference we shall give Magnus' Theorem in a slightly different formulation from Magnus' original one.

Theorem 1. Let f(x, y) and g(x, y) be polynomials in two ariables x and y with complex coefficients and let m and n be the degrees of f(x, y) and g(x, y) respectively. Assume that the functional determinant $\partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y)$ is a nonzero constant. If Min(m, n) > 1, then we have GCD(m, n) > 1.

Proof. Assume that GCD(m, n)=1. Let f_m and g_n be the degree forms of f and g respectively. From proposition 1, there is a linear form, say h, such that $f_m = \mathcal{E}_1 h^m$ and $g = \mathcal{E}_2 h^n$. Without loss of generalities we can assume that h=x and $\mathcal{E}_i=1$. We shall pick up a point $P=(p_1, p_2)$ in S(f) in the following way. Let L be the line defined by the equation X=m and let L rotate around the point M=(m, 0) counterclockwise until L meets a point in S(f) other than M. Let l be the line thus obtained. The point in $S(f) \cap l$ with the smallest X-coordinate is the desired point P. Pick up a point $Q=(q_1, q_2)$ in S(g) in a similar way.

Now ssume we have either $(m>) p_2>0$ or $(n>) q_2>0$. Then we easily verify that one of the following situation takes place.

(1) The lines MP and NQ are not parallel where N=(n, 0).

(2) The three points P, Q and the origin are not collinear. If the case (a) occurs let Y. NAKAI AND K. BABA

$$Y+aX = am, Y+bx = bn$$

be the equations of the lines *MP* and *NQ* respectively. Then we have $a \neq b$. If a > b let γ be a real number such that $a > \gamma > b$. If we choose γ near enough to a, then $x^{p_1}y^{p_2}$ will have the highest (γ)-degree in S[f] and x^n will have the highest (γ)-degree in S[g]. Hence by Proposition 3, $\partial(x^{p_1}y^{p_2}, x^n)/\partial(x, y) = np_2 x^{n+p_1-1} y^{p_2-1} = 0$. But this is impossible. Similarly we have a ontradicition if a < b.

Now assume the lines MP and NQ are parallel, *i.e.*, a=b then we have the case (2), *i.e.*, $p_2q_1 \neq q_1p_2$. Let $\gamma = a - \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon < 0$. If we choose ε small enough, then $x^{p_1}y^{p_2}$ will have the highest (γ)-degree in S[f] and $x^{q_1}y^{q_2}$ will have the highest (γ)-degree in S[g]. But this contradicts Proposition 3 because we have $q_1p_2 \neq q_2p_1$.

Thus we have seen that $p_2=q_2=0$, *i.e.*, f(x, y) and g(x, y) are polynomials in x alone. But this is impossible because $\partial(f,g)/\partial(x,y)$ is a non-zero constant, and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

For the sake of reference we shall call the method adopted in this proof "the method of rotation of lines around the points M and N".

3. A generalization of Magnus' Theorem

Theorem 2. Under the same notations and assumptions as Theorem 1, we have the following: If Min(m, n) > 2, then we have GCD(m, n) > 2.

Proof. Assume that Min(m, n) > 2 and GCD(m, n) = 2 and we shall draw a contradiction. Let f_m and g_n be degree forms of f and g respectively. From Proposition 2 it follows that there exists a quadratic form h(x, y) such that $f_m = ah^{m'}$ and $g_n = bh^{n'}$, where m = 2m' and n = 2n'. There are two possibilities.

(I) h is a product of two independent linear forms. In this case we can assume without loss of generalities that $f_m = (xy)^{m'}$ and $g_n = (xy)^{n'}$. Apply the method of rotation of lines around the points $M_1 = (m', m')$ and $N_1 = (n', n')$. Then we can easily see that any point (i, j) in S(f) satisfies the condition $j \le m'$, and any point (s, t) in S(g) satisfies the condition $t \le n'$.

Now consider the (0)-grading in A. The degree forms of f and g are respectively of the forms

$$f_{m'}^{(0)} = y^{m'}(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{m'-1}x^{m'-1} + x^{m'})$$

$$g_{n'}^{(0)} = y^{n'}(b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_{n'-1}x^{n'-1} + x^{n'})$$

From Propositions 2 and 3 there is a linear form c+x such that

$$f_{m'}^{(0)} = y^{m'}(c+x)^{m'}$$
 and $g_{n'}^{(0)} = y^{n'}(c+x)^{n'}$

If we set $x_1 = c + x$ and consider f and g as polynomials in new variables x_1 and

406

 $y_1=y$, then the support $S_1(f)$ have no point (i, j) with $j \ge m'$ except the point (m', m'). Similarly $S_1(g)$ have no point (s, t) with $t \ge n'$. Apply again the method of rotation of lines around the points M_1 and N_1 . Then we can see finally that no point (i, j) with i < j is in S(f) and no point (s, t) with s < t is in S(g). This means that f(x, y) and g(x, y) lack the terms $y^s(s \ge 1)$. This is impossible because of the assumption $\partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y)$ is an element of C^* .

(II) *h* is a power of a linear form: In this case we can assume as before that the degree forms are of the forms $f_m = x^m$ and $g_n = x^n$ respectively. Then we can see, following the method of rotations of lines around the point M = (m, 0) and N(n, 0), that S(f) is contained in the region defined by the inequality $Y + \frac{1}{2}X \le \frac{m}{2}$ and (g) is in the region $Y + \frac{1}{2}X \le \frac{n}{2}$. Consider (1/2)-grading and apply Propositions 2 and 3. Then we see that degree forms of f and g by this grading are

$$(ay+x^2)^{m'}$$
 and $(ay+x^2)^{m'}$

respectively. If a=0 we can proceed further and we see that no point (i, j) with j>0 is in S(f) and no point (s, t) with t>0 is in S(g). This is a contradiction. Hence we must have $a \neq 0$. Then apply de Jonquiere transformation

$$Y_1 = ay + x^2, x_1 = x$$
.

Since we have

$$f(x, y) = (ay + x^2)^{m'} + \sum_{j+i/2 < m'} a_{ij} x^i y^j$$

and

$$g(x, y) = (ay + x^2)^{n'} + \sum_{j+i/2 < n'} b_{ij} x^i y^j$$

We easily see that

$$f_1(x_1, y_1) = y_1^{m'} + \sum_{j+i/2 < m'} a'_{ij} x_1^i y_1^j$$

and

$$g_1(x_1, y_1) = y_1^{n'} + \sum_{j+i/2 < n'} b'_{ij} x_1^i y_1^j$$

where

$$f_1(x_1, y_1) = f(x_1, a^{-1}(y_1 - x_1^2))$$
 and $g_1(x_1, y_1) = g_1(x, a^{-1}(y_1 - x_1^2))$.

By the method of (clockwise) rotation of lines around the points (0, m') and (0, n') applied to the pair of polynomials $f_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $g_1(x_1, y_1)$, we see that

Y. NAKAI AND K. BABA

 $S(f_1)$ is in the half plane $X+Y \le m'$ and $S(g_1)$ is in the half plane $X+Y \le n'$. This means that $f_1(x_1, y_1)$ is of degree m' and $g_1(x_1, y_1)$ is of degree n'. Moreover $\frac{\partial(f_1, g_1)}{\partial(x_1, y_1)} = a^{-1} \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(x, y)}$ is in C^* . Since Min(m, n) > 2, we have Min (m', n') > 1. Moreover GCD(m', n') = 1. This is the situation negated in Theorem 1.

4. Application to Keller's problem

Theorem 3. Let f(x, y) and g(x, y) be polynomials of degrees m and n respectively with complex coefficients and assume that the functional determinant $\partial(f,g)/\partial(x, y)$ is a non-zero constant. Then we have C[x, y] = C[f, y), g(x, y)] in the following three cases:

- (1) *m* or *n* is a prime number;
- (2) m or n is 4;
- (3) $m=2p \ge n$ where p is an odd prime.

Proof. In any case it follows from Theorems 1 and 2 that smaller degree, say n, divides larger degree m. Then from Proposition 2 and 3 the degree forms f_m and g_n are related like this, $f_m = \varepsilon g_n^{m/n}$. Then

$$f_1 = f - (\mathcal{E}^{n/m}g)^{m/n}$$

has lower degree than f and $\partial(f_1, g)/\partial(x, y) = \partial(f, g)/\partial(x, y)$ is a non-zero constant. Thus we can use induction on the sum m+n of degrees to get the conclusion. q.e.d.

Keller's Original problem is also settled in these three cases cited in Theorem 3 because of the following

Proposition 4. Let f(x, y) and g(x, y) be the polynomials in x and y with integer coefficients such that the functional determinant is equal to 1 and C[f, g] = C[x, y]. Then we have necessarily Z[f, g] = Z[x, y].

Proof. It suffices to prove that x and y are in Z[f, g]. By assumption we have

$$x = \sum c_{ij} f^i g^j, c_{ij} \in \boldsymbol{C}$$
.

If we set

$$f(x, y) = f_{10}x + f_{01}y + \cdots$$
$$g(x, y) = g_{10}x + g_{01}y + \cdots$$

then the assumption implies that $f_{10}g_{01} - f_{01}g_{10} = 1$. Apply the unimodular transformation of variables

408

$$x' = f_{10}x + f_{01}y$$

 $y' = g_{10}x + g_{01}y$.

Then Z[x, y] = Z[x', y'] and f = x' + (higher degree terms) and g = y' + (higher degree terms). Hence to prove the assertion we can assume without loss of generalities that linear parts of f and g are x and y respectively. We shall define a linear order in the set (i, j) of lattice points in \mathbb{R}^2 by the way: (i, j) > (i', j') if (i) i+j > i'+j' or (ii) i+j=i'+j' and i > i'. We shall show that every c_{i_j} is in Z by induction on the linear order just defined. Assume every $c_{i'j'}$ with (i', j') < (i, j) is integer. Then the coefficients of the polynomial

$$c_{i,j}f^{i}g^{j}+c_{i+1,j-1}f^{i+1}g^{j-1}+\cdots+c_{0,i+j+1}g^{i+j+1}+\cdots$$

are integers. In this polynomial $x^i y^j$ appears once with the coefficient c_{ij} . Hence c_{ij} must be an integer. Similarly y is in $\mathbb{Z}[f, g]$ and the assertion in proved completely.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] O.H. Keller: Ganze Cremona-Transformationen, Monatsh. Math. und Phys. 47 (1939), 299-306.
- [2] A. Magnus: On polynomial solutions of a differential equation, Math. Scand. 3 (1955), 255-260.