A generalization of the Lindeberg principle

Sourav Chatterjee

- $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ are independent random vectors.
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^3 function.
- If, say, X_i's and Y_i's are all independent and EX_i = EY_i, EX²_i = EY²_i, then what are sufficient conditions on f which ensure that f(X) and f(Y) are close in distribution?
- Reason for considering only first two moments: Can be adjusted using linear transformation.
- Conditions based on first two derivatives cannot suffice: Consider $\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^2$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^3$.

• If we let
$$\mathbf{Z}_i = (X_1, \dots, X_i, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_n)$$
, then
 $\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{Z}_i) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{Z}_{i-1})).$

• Let $\mathbf{Z}_i^0 = (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, 0, Y_{i+1}, \dots, Y_n)$. Taylor expansion gives

$$f(\mathbf{Z}_{i}) - f(\mathbf{Z}_{i-1}) = (X_{i} - Y_{i})\partial_{i}f(\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{0}) + \frac{1}{2}(X_{i}^{2} - Y_{i}^{2})\partial_{i}^{2}f(\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{0}) + \frac{1}{6}X_{i}^{3}\partial_{i}^{3}f(\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{*}) + \frac{1}{6}Y_{i}^{3}\partial_{i}^{3}f(\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{**}).$$

• Under independence, and $\mathbb{E}X_i = \mathbb{E}Y_i$, $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = \mathbb{E}Y_i^2$, first two terms vanish on taking expectation.

- Thus, if third moments are bounded, then $|\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{X}) \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{Y})| \leq n\psi_3$, where ψ_3 denotes the typical size of the third order derivatives of f.
- Note: Moving from expectations to distributions is easy; just work with $g \circ f$ instead of f, where $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with bounded derivatives. Then error bound is like $n \max\{\psi_1^3, \psi_2\psi_1, \psi_3\}$.
- Note: Suppose only that the Y_i 's are independent. Then it suffices that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i|X_1,\ldots,X_{i-1})\approx\mathbb{E}(Y_i)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i^2|X_1,\ldots,X_{i-1})\approx\mathbb{E}(Y_i^2),$$

where the approximations are good enough.

- This means, we only need that the partial sums of the X_i 's behave like Brownian motion.
- Donsker Invariance does not suffice for all problems. For example, scan statistics, random matrices, free energy, etc.

- Scan statistics: Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent, mean zero, unit variance, bounded third moment.
- Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

• Let
$$M(\mathbf{X}) := n^{-1/2} \max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i \in A} X_i$$
.

• Question: When can we replace X_i 's by standard Gaussians?

 Can prove: If Y is a vector of independent standard Gaussians, then for any smooth function g,

 $|\mathbb{E}g(M(\mathbf{X})) - \mathbb{E}g(M(\mathbf{Y}))| \le Cn^{-1/6} (\log |\mathcal{A}|)^{2/3},$

where C is constant depending on g and the third absolute moments of the X_i 's.

• Method: Uniformly approximate $\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} S_A$ by a smooth function using

$$|\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} S_A - L^{-1} \log \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} e^{LS_A}| \le L^{-1} \log |\mathcal{A}|$$

and optimize the resulting bound over L.

• Free energy of the S-K model in spin glasses:

$$N^{-1} \log \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^N} \exp(\sum_{i < j \le N} g_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j)$$

- The limit of this as $N \to \infty$ is known to exist when g_{ij} 's are standard Gaussian.
- Can easily show using our method that same limit holds with g_{ij} 's non-Gaussian. (Already proved by Carmona and Hu.)

- Random matrices: The Empirical Spectral Distribution (ESD) of a matrix is the probability distribution which puts equal mass on each of its eigenvalues.
- Let $X = (x_{ij})_{1 \le i \le p, 1 \le j \le n}$ be a data matrix of i.i.d. N(0,1) variables, and let S be the corresponding sample covariance matrix.
- If $p/n \rightarrow \lambda \in (0, \infty)$, then the ESD of S converges to a nonrandom limiting law depending only on λ (the Marčenko-Pastur family of distributions).
- Known (also, provable by our method) that the x_{ij} 's need not be Normal.

- Question: Can we have a multidimensional version of the bivariate permutation test for correlation?
- More precisely, if we permute each row of a (nonrandom) data matrix independently, does the resulting sample covariance matrix have the same asymptotic properties as in the independent Gaussian case?
- Answer: Yes, at least as far as spectral distributions are concerned.

- Suppose we have exchangeable random variables V₁,..., V_n. What is the version of the previous result in this situation?
- Answer:
 - Let $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i$.
 - Let $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i \hat{\mu})^2$.
 - Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_n be i.i.d. N(0, 1), independent of the V_i 's.
 - Let $Z_i = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma}(Y_i \bar{Y}), i = 1, \dots, n.$

Then, the vector (V_1, \ldots, V_n) "behaves like" (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) , in the same sense as before.

• For a smooth function f, $|\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{V}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{Z})|$ is bounded by

$$\sqrt{n}M^2\psi_2 + nM^3\psi_3,$$

where, as before, ψ_r is the typical size of the r^{th} order derivatives, while M is the typical size of max $|V_i|$.

- Note that using Y_i instead of $Y_i \overline{Y}$ won't work. Example: Sampling without replacement.
- Possible applications: May be used to simplify situations which involve complicated but exchangeable random variables, e.g. occupancy problems, nearest neighbors, permutation statistics, and so on.

Theorem 1 Suppose V_1, \ldots, V_n are exchangeable random variables with finite third moment. Define

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i \text{ and } \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (V_i - \hat{\mu})^2}.$$

Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^3 function, and ψ_2, ψ_3 are monotone functions such that for r = 2, 3, all r^{th} order partial derivatives of f are dominated by the function $\psi_r(\max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|)$. Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_n be i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables, independent of (V_1, \ldots, V_n) . Let $Z_i = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma}(Y_i - \bar{Y}), i = 1, \ldots, n$.

$$|\mathbb{E}f(V_1, \dots, V_n) - \mathbb{E}f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)| \\\leq 10\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}(A^4)^{1/2}\mathbb{E}(\psi_2(R)^2)^{1/2} \\+ 7n\mathbb{E}(A^6)^{1/2}\mathbb{E}(\psi_3(R)^2)^{1/2},$$
(1)

where $A = 2 \max_{1 \le i \le n} |V_i|$ and $R = \max\{2A, \max_{1 \le i \le n} |Z_i|\}.$

- Steps in the proof: First, note that we can assume that $\sum V_i = 0$ and $\sum V_i^2 = n$, since we can standardize the V_i 's and work conditionally given $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$.
- Next, let \mathcal{F}_i be the sigma-algebra generated by V_1, \ldots, V_i , and define

$$X_i = V_i + \frac{1}{n-i+1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} V_j.$$

• Then $\mathbb{E}(X_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 1 + O_P((n-i+1)^{-1/2}).$ We use our previous result to replace the

 X_i 's by i.i.d. N(0,1) variables Y_1, \ldots, Y_n .

• Easy to check:

$$V_i = X_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{X_j}{n-j}$$

• If we let

$$Y'_i = Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{Y_j}{n-j},$$

then for i > j,

$$Cov(Y'_i, Y'_j) = -\frac{1}{n-j} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)^2}.$$

Can manipulate to show that this is approximately

 $Cov(Y_i - \overline{Y}, Y_j - \overline{Y}) + O((n - i \wedge j + 1)^{-2}).$ Similar approximation holds for i = j, too.

 Now use the following result about normal random vectors: **Lemma 1** Let X and Y be independent vectors of centered Gaussian random variables. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable function with bounded derivatives. Then

 $\mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{Y}) - \mathbb{E}f(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\mathbf{Z}_t)\right] \left(\mathbb{E}Y_i Y_j - \mathbb{E}X_i X_j\right) dt$

where $\mathbf{Z}_t = \sqrt{1-t}\mathbf{X} + \sqrt{t}\mathbf{Y}$, provided the expectations on the right side exist.

 Back to matrices: The Stieltjes transform of a probability distribution F is defined on C\ℝ by

$$m_F(z) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x-z} dF(x)$$

• The Stieltjes transform of the ESD of an $n \times n$ matrix A is given by

$$m_A(z) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}((A - zI)^{-1}).$$

 Stieltjes transforms have a continuous characterizing relationship with distribution functions. Stieltjes transforms are amenable to differentiation: Suppose A = A(u) is a matrixvalued function of some scalar parameter u. Let

$$G(u) = (A(u) - zI)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\frac{dG}{du} = -G\frac{dA}{du}G$$

Continuing, we can arrive at a cumbersome but explicit expression for third derivatives.

 Bounds on the derivatives can be obtained using the properties of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm; in particular, the following crucial property:

If A and B are square matrices, and A is normal, with spectral radius ρ , then $||AB|| \leq \rho ||B||$.

This is useful because of the fact that $||G|| \le |\operatorname{Im}(z)|^{-1}$.

• For instance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathsf{Tr}((\partial_{ij}^2 S)G(\partial_{ij}S)G^2)| \\ &\leq \|\partial_{ij}^2 S\| \|G(\partial_{ij}S)G^2\| \\ &\leq \|\partial_{ij}^2 S\| \|\partial_{ij}S\| |\mathsf{Im}(z)|^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

• Returning to the sample covariance matrix, let f denote its Stieltjes transform at a fixed $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. When $p/n \to \lambda \in (0, \infty)$, we can show that

$$\psi_2(f) \leq C n^{-2}, \ \psi_3(f) \leq C n^{-5/2}.$$

• Theorem 1 can now be invoked to complete the argument.

 Stein's method of Normal approximation: If (W, W') is an exchangeable pair of random variables, and

$$\mathbb{E}(W' - W|W) \approx -\lambda W,$$
$$\mathbb{E}((W' - W)^2|W) \approx 2\lambda + o(\lambda),$$
$$\mathbb{E}|W' - W|^3 \ll \lambda^{3/2},$$

where λ is a very small number, then W is approximately standard Gaussian.

• Idea: If we generate a reversible Markov chain W_0, W_1, \ldots , with $W_0 = W$ and $W_1 = W'$, then it behaves like a discrete approximation of a stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. • Let

$$X_i = W_i - (1 - \lambda)W_{i-1}.$$

- Then $\mathbb{E}(X_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \approx 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \approx 2\lambda$.
- Reconstruct W_n from X_1, \ldots, X_n as $W_n = (1 - \lambda)^n W_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda)^{n-i} X_i.$
- Use Lindeberg approach to get a bound on $\mathbb{E}f(W_n) - \mathbb{E}f((1-\lambda)W_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-\lambda)^{n-i}Y_i),$ where Y_i 's are i.i.d. $N(0, 2\lambda)$.
- Finally, note that $\mathbb{E}f(W_n) = \mathbb{E}f(W)$, and take $n \to \infty$.

 This gives an approach to getting general diffusion approximation bounds: Recover the "pretend Brownian motion increments" and write the diffusion as a function of those; then use Lindeberg method on the "reconstruction function" to get error bounds.