

A Generalized Wintgen Inequality for Legendrian Submanifolds in Almost Kenmotsu Statistical Manifolds

Ruken Görünüş, İrem Küpeli Erken, Aziz Yazla and Cengizhan Murathan*

(Communicated by Cihan Özgür)

ABSTRACT

Main interest of the present paper is to obtain the generalized Wintgen inequality for Legendrian submanifolds in almost Kenmotsu statistical manifolds.

Keywords: Statistical Manifolds; Cosymplectic Manifolds; Kenmotsu Manifolds; Wintgen inequality; Legendrian submanifold.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): Primary: 53C25 ; Secondary: 53C40; 53D10.

1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental problems in a Riemannian submanifold theory is to establish a simple sharp relationship between intrinsic and extrinsic invariants. The main extrinsic invariants are the extrinsic normal curvature, the squared mean curvature and the main intrinsic invariants include the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature. In 1979, Wintgen [27] obtained a basic inequality involving Gauss curvature K , normal curvature K^\perp and the squared mean curvature $\|H\|^2$ of an oriented surface M^2 in E^4 , that is ,

$$K \leq \|H\|^2 - |K^\perp| \quad (1.1)$$

with the equality holding if and only if the ellipse of curvature of M^2 in E^4 is a circle. The inequality (1.1), now called Wintgen inequality, attracted the attention of several authors.

Over time P. J. De Smet, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken [11] gave a conjecture for Wintgen inequality in an n -dimensional Riemannian submanifold M^n of a real space form $R^{n+p}(c)$, namely,

$$\rho \leq \|H\|^2 - \rho^\perp + c, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle R(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i \rangle, \quad (1.3)$$

is the normalized scalar curvature of M^n

$$\rho^\perp = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq m} \langle R^\perp(e_i, e_j)u_\alpha, u_\beta \rangle^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ respectively orthonormal frames of tangent bundle TM and normal bundle $T^\perp M$ and they also proved that this conjecture holds for codimension $p = 2$. This type of inequality later came to be known as the DDVV conjecture. A special version of the DDVV conjecture,

$$\rho \leq \|H\|^2 + c, \quad (1.5)$$

was proved by B.Y. Chen in [9]. F. Dillen, J. Fastenakels and J. Van der Veken [12] proved that DDVV conjecture is equivalent to an algebraic conjecture. Recently DDVV-conjecture was proven by Z. Lu [19] and by Ge and Z. Tang [15] independently.

In recent years, I. Mihai [20] proved DDVV conjecture for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms and obtained Wintgen inequality for Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms (see [21]). On the other hand, the product spaces $S^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times H^n(c)$ are studied to obtain generalized Wintgen inequality by Q. Chen and Q. Cui [10]. Then J. Roth [24] extended DDVV inequality to submanifolds of warped product manifolds $\mathbb{R} \times_f M^n(c)$. Furuhashi et al. [14] studied on statistical warped product and Kenmotsu statistical manifolds.

Nowadays, Wintgen inequality of statistical submanifolds in statistical manifolds of constant curvature has been studied in [2], [3] and [4]. The generalized Wintgen inequality for statistical submanifolds of statistical warped product manifolds was proved in [22]. Furthermore, in [5], the generalized Wintgen inequality for statistical submanifolds in statistical manifolds of quasi-constant curvature was obtained. Motivated by the studies in [22], we consider generalized Wintgen inequality for Legendrian submanifolds in almost Kenmotsu statistical manifolds in this article.

2. Preliminaries

An almost Hermitian manifold (N^{2n}, g, J) is a smooth manifold endowed with an almost complex structure J and a Riemannian metric g compatible in the sense

$$J^2 X = -X, \quad g(JX, Y) = -g(X, JY)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$. The fundamental 2-form Ω of an almost Hermitian manifold is defined by

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$$

for any vector fields X, Y on N . For an almost Hermitian manifold (N^{2n}, g, J) with Riemannian connection ∇ , the fundamental 2-form Ω and the Nijenhuis torsion of J , N_J satisfy

$$2g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) = g(JX, N_J(Y, Z)) + 3d\Omega(X, JY, JZ) - 3d\Omega(X, Y, Z) \tag{2.1}$$

where $N_J(X, Y) = [X, Y] - [JX, JY] + J[X, JY] + J[JX, Y]$ (see [28]). An almost Hermitian manifold is said to be an almost Kaehler manifold if its fundamental form Ω is closed, that is, $d\Omega = 0$. If $d\Omega = 0$ and $N_J = 0$, the structure is called Kaehler. Thus by (2.1), an almost Hermitian manifold (N, J, g) is Kaehler if and only if its almost complex structure J is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^0 , that is, $\nabla^0 J = 0$ ([28]).

It is known that a Kaehler manifold N^{2n} is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c if and only if

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4}(g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X + g(JX, Z)Y - g(JY, Z)JX + 2g(JX, Y)JZ), \tag{2.2}$$

and is denoted by $N^{2n}(c)$ (see [28]).

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold and ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ tensor field, ξ is a vector field and η is a one-form on M . If $\phi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi$, $\eta(\xi) = 1$ then (ϕ, ξ, η) is called an almost contact structure on M . The manifold M is said to be an almost contact manifold if it is endowed with an almost contact structure [6].

Let M be an almost contact manifold. M will be called an almost contact metric manifold if it is additionally endowed with a Riemannian metric g , i.e.

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{2.3}$$

For such manifold, we have

$$\eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Furthermore, a 2-form Φ is defined by

$$\Phi(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y), \tag{2.5}$$

and usually is called fundamental form.

On an almost contact manifold, the $(1, 2)$ -tensor field $N^{(1)}$ is defined by

$$N^{(1)}(X, Y) = [\phi, \phi](X, Y) - 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi,$$

where $[\phi, \phi]$ is the Nijenhuis torsion of ϕ

$$[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2[X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y].$$

If $N^{(1)}$ vanishes identically, then the almost contact manifold (structure) is said to be normal [6]. The normality condition says that the almost complex structure J defined on $M \times \mathbb{R}$

$$J(X, \lambda \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X + \lambda \xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt}),$$

is integrable.

An almost contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} , with a structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be an almost cosymplectic manifold, if

$$d\eta = 0, \quad d\Phi = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

If additionally normality condition is fulfilled, then manifold is called cosymplectic.

On the other hand, Kenmotsu studied in [16] another class of almost contact metric manifolds, defined by the following conditions on the associated almost contact structure

$$d\eta = 0, \quad d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi. \tag{2.7}$$

A normal almost Kenmotsu manifold is said to be a Kenmotsu manifold.

3. Statistical Manifolds

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and ∇ an affine connection on M . An affine connection ∇^* is said to be dual connection of ∇ if

$$Zg(X, Y) = g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z^* Y) \tag{3.1}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(M)$. The notion of "conjugate connection" is given an excellent survey by Simon [25]. In the triple (g, ∇, ∇^*) is called a dualistic structure on M . It appears that $(\nabla^*)^* = \nabla$. The manifold structure of statistical distributions was first introduced by Amari [1] and used by Lauritzen [17].

A statistical manifold (M, ∇, g) is a Riemannian manifold (M, g) endowed torsion free connection ∇ such that the Codazzi equation

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y g)(X, Z) \tag{3.2}$$

holds for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ (see [1]). If (M, ∇, g) is a statistical manifold, so is (M, ∇^*, g) . For a statistical manifold (M, g, ∇, ∇^*) the difference (1,2) tensor \mathcal{K} of a torsion free affine connection ∇ and Levi-Civita connection ∇^0 is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_X Y = \mathcal{K}(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_X^0 Y. \tag{3.3}$$

Because of ∇ and ∇^0 are torsion free, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_X Y = \mathcal{K}_Y X, \quad g(\mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) = g(Y, \mathcal{K}_X Z) \tag{3.4}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. By (3.1) and (3.3), one can obtain

$$\mathcal{K}_X Y = \nabla_X^0 Y - \nabla_X^* Y. \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.3) and (3.5), we find

$$2\mathcal{K}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_X^* Y. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.3), we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(\mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) + g(\nabla_X^0 Y, Z). \tag{3.7}$$

It can be also shown that any torsion-free affine connection ∇ has a dual connection given by

$$\nabla^0 = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla + \nabla^*), \tag{3.8}$$

where ∇^0 is Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) . If $\nabla = \nabla^*$ then (M, ∇, g) is called trivial statistical manifold.

Denote by R and R^* the curvature tensors on M with respect to the affine connection ∇ and its conjugate ∇^* , respectively. Then the relation between R and R^* can be given as following

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = -g(Z, R^*(X, Y)W) \tag{3.9}$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$.

By using (3.3) and (3.5), we have

$$R(X, Y)Z + R^*(X, Y)Z = 2R^0(X, Y)Z + 2[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}](X, Y)Z,$$

where $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}](X, Y)Z = [\mathcal{K}_X, \mathcal{K}_Y]Z = \mathcal{K}_X\mathcal{K}_Y Z - \mathcal{K}_Y\mathcal{K}_X Z$ (see [23]).

In [29], L.Todjihounde gave a method how to establish a dualistic structure on the warped product manifold. If we adapt this method for $I \times_f N$, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.1 ([29]). *Let (\mathbb{R}, dt, ∇) be a trivial statistical manifold and $(N, g_N, {}^N\nabla, {}^N\nabla^*)$ be a statistical manifold. If the connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^*$ satisfy the following relations on $\mathbb{R} \times N$*

- (a) $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\partial}_t} \bar{\partial}_t = \nabla_{\partial_t} \partial_t = 0,$
- (b) $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\partial}_t} \bar{X} = \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{\partial}_t = \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} X,$
- (c) $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} = {}^N\nabla_X Y - \frac{\langle X, Y \rangle}{f} f'(t) \partial_t,$

and

- (i) $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\partial}_t}^* \bar{\partial}_t = \nabla_{\partial_t}^* \partial_t = 0,$
- (ii) $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\partial}_t}^* \bar{X} = \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}^* \bar{\partial}_t = \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} X,$
- (iii) $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}^* \bar{Y} = {}^N\nabla_X^* Y - \frac{\langle X, Y \rangle}{f} f'(t) \partial_t,$

then $(\mathbb{R} \times_f N, \langle, \rangle, \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^*)$ is a statistical manifold, where \bar{X}, \bar{Y} are vertical lifts of $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$ and $\bar{\partial}_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is horizontal lift of ∂_t and the notation is simplified by writing f for $f \circ \pi$ and $grad f$ for $grad(f \circ \pi)$.

Assuming (\mathbb{R}, dt, ∇) is trivial statistical manifold and denoting R and R^* are curvature tensors respect to the dualistic structure $(\langle, \rangle, \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^*)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times N$ then we can give the following lemma by using Proposition 3.1. In practise, $(-)$ is omitted from lifts.

Lemma 3.1 ([29]). *Let $(\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R} \times_f N, \langle, \rangle, \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^*)$ be a statistical warped product. If $U, V, W \in \Gamma(N)$, then:*

- (a) $R(V, \partial_t) \partial_t = -\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} V,$
- (b) $R(V, U) \partial_t = 0,$
- (c) $R(\partial_t, V) W = -\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} \langle V, W \rangle \partial_t,$
- (d) $R(V, W) U = R^N(V, W) U - \frac{(f'(t))^2}{(f(t))^2} [\langle W, U \rangle V - \langle V, U \rangle W],$

and

- (a*) $R^*(V, \partial_t) \partial_t = -\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} V,$
- (b*) $R^*(V, U) \partial_t = 0,$
- (c*) $R^*(\partial_t, V) W = -\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} \langle V, W \rangle \partial_t,$
- (d*) $R^*(V, W) U = R^{*N}(V, W) U - \frac{(f'(t))^2}{(f(t))^2} [\langle W, U \rangle V - \langle V, U \rangle W]$

where R^{*N} and R^N are curvature tensors of N with respect to the connections ${}^N\nabla$ and ${}^N\nabla^*$.

3.1. Statistical submanifolds

In this section, we will give some basic notations, formulas, definitions taken from reference [26].

Let (M^n, g) be a statistical submanifold of $(\tilde{M}^{n+d}, \langle, \rangle)$. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X^* Y = \nabla_X^* Y + h^*(X, Y), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X^* \xi = -A_\xi^* X + D_X^* \xi, \tag{3.11}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$, respectively. Furthermore, the followings hold :

$$Xg(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X^* Z),$$

$$\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle = g(A_\xi^* X, Y), \quad \langle h^*(X, Y), \xi \rangle = g(A_\xi X, Y)$$

and

$$X \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle D_X \xi, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi, D_X^* \eta \rangle$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$.

The mean curvature vector fields of M are defined with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^*$ by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i) \quad \text{and} \quad H^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h^*(e_i, e_i)$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of the tangent bundle TM of M . By (3.10) and (3.11), we have $2h^0 = h + h^*$ and $2H^0 = H + H^*$, where h^0 and H^0 are second fundamental form and mean curvature with respect to Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}^0$.

Proposition 3.2 ([26]). *Let $(M^m, g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$ be a statistical submanifold of $(\tilde{M}^{m+n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \tilde{\nabla}, \tilde{\nabla}^*)$. Denote \tilde{R} and \tilde{R}^* the curvature tensors on \tilde{M}^{m+n} with respect to connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^*$. Then*

$$\langle \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = g_M(R(X, Y)Z, W) + \langle h(X, Z), h^*(Y, W) \rangle - \langle h^*(X, W), h(Y, Z) \rangle, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\langle \tilde{R}^*(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = g_M(R^*(X, Y)Z, W) + \langle h^*(X, Z), h(Y, W) \rangle - \langle h(X, W), h^*(Y, Z) \rangle, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\langle R^\perp(X, Y)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \tilde{R}(X, Y)\xi, \eta \rangle + g_M([A_\xi^*, A_\eta]X, Y), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\langle R^{*\perp}(X, Y)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \tilde{R}^*(X, Y)\xi, \eta \rangle + g_M([A_\xi, A_\eta^*]X, Y), \quad (3.15)$$

where R^\perp and $R^{*\perp}$ are curvature tensors with respect to D and D^* and

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\xi, A_\eta^*] &= A_\xi A_\eta^* - A_\eta^* A_\xi, \\ [A_\xi^*, A_\eta] &= A_\xi^* A_\eta - A_\eta A_\xi^* \end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$.

4. Almost Kenmotsu statistical manifolds

Definition 4.1 ([13]). Let (M, g, ∇) be a statistical manifold with almost complex structure $J \in \Gamma(TM^{(1,1)})$. Denote by Ω the fundamental form with respect to J and g , that is, $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$. The triplet (∇, g, J) is called a holomorphic statistical structure on M if Ω is a ∇ -parallel 2-form.

Definition 4.2 ([30]). Let $(N^{2n}, g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$ be a statistical manifold. If (N^{2n}, g, J) is an almost Hermitian manifold then $(N^{2n}, g, J, \nabla, \nabla^*)$ is called almost Hermitian statistical manifold. If (N^{2n}, g, J) is an (almost) Kaehler manifold then $(N^{2n}, g, J, \nabla, \nabla^*)$ is called (almost) Kaehler statistical manifold.

Lemma 4.1 ([30]). *For an almost Hermitian statistical manifold we have*

$$(\nabla_X \Omega)(Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) - 2g(\mathcal{K}_X JY, Z), \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X^* \Omega)(Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X^* J)Y, Z) + 2g(\mathcal{K}_X JY, Z) \quad (4.2)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Corollary 4.1 ([30]). *For an almost Hermitian statistical manifold we have*

$$(\nabla_X \Omega)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_X^0 \Omega)(Y, Z) - g(\mathcal{K}_X JY + J\mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X^* \Omega)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_X^{*0} \Omega)(Y, Z) + g(\mathcal{K}_X JY + J\mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) \quad (4.4)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

By Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.1, we have following.

Proposition 4.1 ([13],[30]). *Let (M, g, ∇, J) be a holomorphic statistical manifold and $\mathcal{K}_X JY + JK_X Y = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then following staments are equivalent.*

- (M, g, ∇, J) is a holomorphic statistical manifold,
- (M, g, ∇^*, J) is a holomorphic statistical manifold,
- (M, g, ∇^0, J) is a Kaehler manifold.

Definition 4.3. Let $(M^{2n+1}, g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$ be a statistical manifold. If M^{2n+1} is an almost contact metric manifold then M^{2n+1} is called almost contact metric statistical manifold.

Corollary 4.2 ([30]). *For an almost contact metric statistical manifold we have*

$$(\nabla_X \Phi)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_X^0 \Phi)(Y, Z) - g(\mathcal{K}_X \phi Y + \phi \mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X^* \Phi)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_X^0 \Phi)(Y, Z) + g(\mathcal{K}_X \phi Y + \phi \mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) \tag{4.6}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proposition 4.2 ([30]). *Let $(M^n, g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$ be a statistical manifold and ψ be a skew symmetric $(1, 1)$ tensor field on M . Then we have*

$$g(\mathcal{K}_X \psi Y + \psi \mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) + g(\mathcal{K}_Z \psi X + \psi \mathcal{K}_Z X, Y) + g(\mathcal{K}_Y \psi Z + \psi \mathcal{K}_Y Z, X) = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

If we resort to the relation (4.5) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \Phi)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Z \Phi)(X, Y) + (\nabla_Y \Phi)(Z, X) &= (\nabla_X^0 \Phi)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Z^0 \Phi)(X, Y) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_Y^0 \Phi)(Z, X) \\ &\quad - 2(g(\mathcal{K}_X \phi Y + \phi \mathcal{K}_X Y, Z) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{K}_Z \phi X + \phi \mathcal{K}_Z X, Y) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{K}_Y \phi Z + \phi \mathcal{K}_Y Z, X)) \\ &= (\nabla_X^0 \Phi)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Z^0 \Phi)(X, Y) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_Y^0 \Phi)(Z, X), \end{aligned}$$

where $U, V, W \in \Gamma(TM)$.

This relation shows clearly that

$$\begin{aligned} 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) &= (\nabla_X^0 \Phi)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Z^0 \Phi)(X, Y) + (\nabla_Y^0 \Phi)(Z, X) \\ &= (\nabla_X \Phi)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Z \Phi)(X, Y) + (\nabla_Y \Phi)(Z, X). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Let (N, ∇, g, J) be an almost Hermitian statistical manifold and $(\mathbb{R}, dt, \mathbb{R} \nabla)$ be trivial statistical manifold. Let us consider the warped product $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R} \times_f N$, with warping function $f > 0$, endowed with the Riemannian metric

$$\langle, \rangle = dt^2 + f^2 g.$$

Denoting by $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ the structure vector field on \tilde{M} , one can define arbitrary any vector field on \tilde{M} by $\tilde{X} = \eta(\tilde{X})\xi + X$, where X is any vector field on N and $dt = \eta$. By the help of tensor field J , a new tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$ on \tilde{M} can be given by

$$\phi \tilde{X} = JX, \quad X \in \Gamma(TN), \tag{4.9}$$

for $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$. So we get $\phi \xi = 0, \eta \circ \phi = 0, \phi^2 \tilde{X} = -\tilde{X} + \eta(\tilde{X})\xi$ and $\langle \phi \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \rangle = -\langle \tilde{X}, \phi \tilde{Y} \rangle$ for $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$. Furthermore, we have $\langle \phi \tilde{X}, \phi \tilde{Y} \rangle = \langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \rangle - \eta(\tilde{X})\eta(\tilde{Y})$. Thus $(\tilde{M}, \langle, \rangle, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is an almost contact metric manifold. By Proposition 3.1 and similar argument as in [8] we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \phi) \tilde{Y} = (\nabla_X J)Y - \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \langle \tilde{X}, \phi \tilde{Y} \rangle \xi - \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \eta(\tilde{Y}) \phi \tilde{X}. \tag{4.10}$$

Using Proposition 3.1, we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_X Y = \mathcal{K}_X Y, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_X \xi = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\xi X = 0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\xi \xi = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_X^0 Y$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_X^0 Y$.

By (4.10) and (4.8), it is readily found that the relation

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \Phi)(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) &= f^2(\nabla_X \Omega)(Y, Z) - \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \langle \tilde{X}, \phi \tilde{Y} \rangle \eta(\tilde{Z}) \\ &\quad - \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \eta(\tilde{Y}) \Phi(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

We thus conclude that

$$d\Phi = f^2 d\Omega + 2\left(-\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)}\right) \eta \wedge \Phi \tag{4.11}$$

and Proposition 3.1 leading to the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\mathbb{R}, dt, {}^{\mathbb{R}}\nabla)$ be a trivial statistical manifold. Then the warped product $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R} \times_f N$ is an almost $(-\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)})$ -Kenmotsu statistical manifold if and only if (N, ∇, g, J) is an almost Kaehler statistical manifold. Moreover $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_X Y = \mathcal{K}_X Y, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_X \xi = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\xi X = 0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\xi \xi = 0$, where $\mathcal{K} = \nabla - \nabla^g$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \tilde{\nabla} - \tilde{\nabla}^{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$.*

Choosing $f = \text{const} \neq 0$, we have following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $(\mathbb{R}, dt, {}^{\mathbb{R}}\nabla)$ be a trivial statistical manifold. Then the product manifold $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R} \times N$ is an almost cosymplectic statistical manifold if and only if (N, ∇, g, J) is an almost Kaehler statistical manifold.*

Using same methods as in [18], we get following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\tilde{M} = I \times_f N(c)$ be a statistical warped product manifold and $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W} \in \Gamma(\tilde{M})$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is trivial statistical manifold and $N(c)$ is statistical complex space form. Then the curvature tensors \tilde{R} and \tilde{R}^* are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) &= \tilde{R}^*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \\ &= \left[\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2}\right] [\langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \rangle \langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{W} \rangle - \langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{Z} \rangle \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{W} \rangle] \\ &+ \left[\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} + \frac{f''}{f}\right] [\langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{Z} \rangle \langle \tilde{Y}, \partial_t \rangle \langle \tilde{W}, \partial_t \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \rangle \langle \tilde{X}, \partial_t \rangle \langle \tilde{W}, \partial_t \rangle + \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{W} \rangle \langle \tilde{X}, \partial_t \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}, \partial_t \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{W} \rangle \langle \tilde{Y}, \partial_t \rangle \langle \tilde{Z}, \partial_t \rangle] \\ &+ \frac{c}{4f^2} [\langle \tilde{X}, \phi \tilde{Z} \rangle \langle \phi \tilde{Y}, \tilde{W} \rangle - \langle \tilde{Y}, \phi \tilde{Z} \rangle \langle \phi \tilde{X}, \tilde{W} \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle \tilde{X}, \phi \tilde{Y} \rangle \langle \phi \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W} \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

and $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}] = 0$.

Remark 4.1. In [14] Furuhashi et al. introduced Kenmotsu statistical manifolds. They proved that if M has a holomorphic statistical structure, $(N = \mathbb{R} \times_{e^t} M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \phi, \xi)$ is Kenmotsu manifold satisfying property $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_X Y = \mathcal{K}_X Y, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_X \xi = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\xi X = 0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\xi \xi = \lambda \xi$ then N has a holomorphic statistical structure, where $\lambda \in C^\infty(N)$.

We now give a new example of a statistical warped product manifold.

Example 4.1 ([22]). We consider $(\mathbb{R}^2, \tilde{g} = dx^2 + dy^2)$ Euclidean space and define the affine connection by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Then its conjugate $\tilde{\nabla}^{2*}$ is given as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}^{2*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}^{2*} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0, \\ \tilde{\nabla}^{2*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \tilde{\nabla}^{2*} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Thus we can verify that $(\mathbb{R}^2, \tilde{\nabla}^2, \tilde{g})$ is a statistical manifold of constant curvature -1 . An affine connection and its conjugate connection are defined on (\mathbb{R}, dt^2) Euclidean space as following

$$\tilde{\nabla}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}^{1*} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -0.$$

On the other hand, $(\mathbb{R} \times_{e^t} \mathbb{R}^2, \langle, \rangle = dt^2 + e^{2t}(dx^2 + dy^2))$ is a warped product model of hyperbolic space $(\tilde{H}^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z > 0\}, \tilde{g}_{\tilde{H}^3} = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{z^2})$ and it has natural Kenmotsu structure. We also have $(\mathbb{R} \times_{e^t} \mathbb{R}^2, \langle, \rangle)$ is a statistic manifold with following affine connection $\bar{\nabla}$;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &= 0, \quad \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - e^{2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \bar{\nabla} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -e^{2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \end{aligned}$$

5. Generalized Wintgen Inequality for $(-\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)})$ -Kenmotsu statistical manifold

Let \bar{M}^m be a complex m -dimensional (real $2m$ dimensional) almost Hermitian manifold with Hermitian metric $g_{\bar{M}}$ and almost complex structure J and N^n be a Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric g_N . If $J(T_p N) \subset T_p^\perp N$, at any point $p \in N$, then is called totally real submanifold. In particular, a toatally real submanifold of maximum dimension is called a Lagrangian submanifold.

Let M^n be a submanifold of \tilde{M}^{2m+1} . ϕ maps any tangent space of M^n into the normal space, that is, $\phi(T_p M^n) \subset T_p^\perp \tilde{M}^{2m+1}$, for every $p \in M^n$, then M^n is called anti invarant submanifold. If $\dim(\tilde{M}) = 2 \dim(M) + 1$ and ξ_p is orthogonal to $T_p M$ for all $p \in M^n$ then M^n is called Legendre submanifold.

I. Mihai, [20],[21] obtained the DDVV inequality, also known as generalized Wintgen inequality for Lagrangian submanifold of a complex space form $\tilde{M}^m(4c)$ and Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms,

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^\perp)^2 &\leq (\|H\|^2 - \rho + c)^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-1)}(\rho - c) + \frac{2c^2}{n(n-1)}, \\ (\rho^\perp)^2 &\leq (\|H\|^2 - \rho + c)^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-1)}\left(\rho - \frac{c+3}{4}\right)\frac{c-1}{4} + \frac{(c-1)^2}{8n(n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

In [7], the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 5.1 ([7]). *Let M^n be a Lagrangian submanifold of a holomorphic statistical space form $\bar{M}^m(c)$. Then*

$$(\rho^\perp)^2 \geq \frac{c}{n(n-1)}\left(\rho - \frac{c}{4}\right) + \frac{c}{(n-1)^2}[g(H^*, H) - \|H\| \|H^*\|].$$

Now, we will prove Generalized Wintgen Inequality for almost $(-\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)})$ -Kenmotsu statistical manifold.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\mathbb{R}, dt, {}^{\mathbb{R}}\nabla)$ be a trivial statistical manifold and $N(c)$ be a holomorphic statistical space form. If M^n is a Legendrian submanifold of the statistical warped product manifold $\bar{M} = \mathbb{R} \times_f N(c)$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} &\leq 2\rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} - 8\rho^0 + \frac{1}{4f^2}(2f|c| - c + 4(f')^2) \\ +4 \quad &\|H^0\|^2 + \|H\|^2 + \|H^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let M^n be an n -dimensional Legendrian real submanifold of a $2n + 1$ -dimensional almost $(-\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)})$ -Kenmotsu statistical manifold $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R} \times_f N(c)$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ an orthonormal frame on M^n and $\{e_{n+1} = \phi e_1, e_{n+2} = \phi e_2, \dots, e_{2n} = \phi e_n, e_{2n+1} = \xi\}$ an orthonormal frame in normal bundle $T^\perp M^n$, respectively. By Proposition 4.3 and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= \langle \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle + \langle h^*(X, W), h(Y, Z) \rangle \\ &- \langle h(X, Z), h^*(Y, W) \rangle \\ &= [\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2}][\langle Y, Z \rangle \langle X, W \rangle - \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle] \\ &+ \langle h^*(X, W), h(Y, Z) \rangle - \langle h(X, Z), h^*(Y, W) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(R^*(X, Y)Z, W) &= [\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2}][\langle Y, Z \rangle \langle X, W \rangle - \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle] \\ &+ \langle h(X, W), h^*(Y, Z) \rangle - \langle h^*(X, Z), h(Y, W) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$. Setting $X = e_i = W, Y = e_j = Z$ in (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(R(e_i, e_j)e_i, e_j) &= (\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2})(\langle e_j, e_j \rangle \langle e_i, e_i \rangle - \langle e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_i, e_j \rangle) \\ &+ \langle h^*(e_i, e_i), h(e_j, e_j) \rangle - \langle h(e_i, e_j), h^*(e_i, e_j) \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(R^*(e_i, e_j)e_i, e_j) &= (\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2})(\langle e_j, e_j \rangle \langle e_i, e_i \rangle - \langle e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_i, e_j \rangle) \\ &+ \langle h(e_i, e_i), h^*(e_j, e_j) \rangle - \langle h^*(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Using (5.1) in (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (R^\perp(X, Y)U, V) \rangle &= \frac{c}{4f^2}(-\langle \phi X, U \rangle \langle \phi Y, V \rangle \\ &+ \langle \phi X, V \rangle \langle \phi Y, U \rangle) + g_M([A_U^*, A_V]X, Y), \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

If we make use of the equality (5.2) in (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (R^{*\perp}(X, Y)U, V) \rangle &= \frac{c}{4f^2}(-\langle \phi X, U \rangle \langle \phi Y, V \rangle \\ &+ \langle \phi X, V \rangle \langle \phi Y, U \rangle) + g_M([A_\xi, A_\eta^*]X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Since $\langle \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle$ is not skew-symmetric relative to Z and W . Then the sectional curvature on \tilde{M} can not be defined. But $\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle + \langle R^*(X, Y)Z, W \rangle$ is skew-symmetric relative to Z and W . So the sectional curvature K^{∇, ∇^*} is defined by

$$K^{\nabla, \nabla^*}(X \wedge Y) = \frac{1}{2}[\langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle + \langle R^*(X, Y)Y, X \rangle],$$

for any orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in T_p M, p \in M$, (see [3]).

In [3], the normalized scalar curvature ρ^{∇, ∇^*} and the normalized normal scalar curvature $\rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*}$ are respectively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K^{\nabla, \nabla^*}(e_i \wedge e_j) \\ &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\langle R(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i \rangle + \langle R^*(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho^{\perp, \nabla, \nabla^*} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \sum_{n+1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 2n+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\langle R^\perp(e_i, e_j)e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle + \langle R^{*\perp}(e_i, e_j)e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle)^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_{n+1} = \phi e_1, \dots, e_{2n} = \phi e_n, e_{2n+1} = \xi\}$ are respectively orthonormal basis of $T_p M$ and $T_p^\perp M$ for $p \in M$. Due to the equations (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} &= \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \left[\left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) + \langle h^*(e_i, e_i), h(e_j, e_j) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad - \langle h(e_i, e_j), h^*(e_i, e_j) \rangle + \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \langle h(e_i, e_i), h^*(e_j, e_j) \rangle - \langle h^*(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j) \rangle \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} [\langle h^*(e_i, e_i), h(e_j, e_j) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle h(e_i, e_i), h^*(e_j, e_j) \rangle - 2 \langle h(e_i, e_j), h^*(e_i, e_j) \rangle] \\ &= \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} [\langle h(e_i, e_i) + h^*(e_i, e_i), h^*(e_j, e_j) + h(e_j, e_j) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle h(e_i, e_i), h(e_j, e_j) \rangle - \langle h^*(e_j, e_j), h^*(e_j, e_j) \rangle \\ &\quad - (\langle h(e_i, e_j) + h^*(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j) + h^*(e_i, e_j) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j) \rangle - \langle h^*(e_i, e_j), h^*(e_i, e_j) \rangle)]. \end{aligned}$$

Because of $2h^0 = h + h^*$ and $2H^0 = H + H^*$, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} &= \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} [4 \langle h^0(e_i, e_i), h^0(e_j, e_j) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle h(e_i, e_i), h(e_j, e_j) \rangle - \langle h^*(e_j, e_j), h^*(e_j, e_j) \rangle \\ &\quad - (4 \langle h^0(e_i, e_j), h^0(e_i, e_j) \rangle - \langle h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle h^*(e_i, e_j), h^*(e_i, e_j) \rangle)]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} &= \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} [4n^2 \|H^0\|^2 - n^2 \|H\|^2 - n^2 \|H^*\|^2 \\ &\quad - (4 \|h^0\|^2 - \|h\|^2 - \|h^*\|^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\tau^0 = h^0 - H^0 g$, $\tau = h - H g$ and $\tau^* = h^* - H^* g$ the traceless part of second fundamental forms. Then we find $\|\tau^0\|^2 = \|h^0\|^2 - n^2 \|H^0\|^2$, $\|\tau\|^2 = \|h\|^2 - n^2 \|H\|^2$ and $\|\tau^*\|^2 = \|h^*\|^2 - n^2 \|H^*\|^2$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} &= \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} [4n^2 \|H^0\|^2 - n^2 \|H\|^2 - n^2 \|H^*\|^2 \\ &\quad - (4 \|\tau^0\|^2 + 4n \|H^0\|^2 - \|\tau\|^2 - n \|H\|^2 - \|\tau^*\|^2 - n \|H^*\|^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

This relation gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} &= \frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \\ &\quad + 2 \left\| H^0 \right\|^2 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \|\tau^0\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left\| H \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \|\tau\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left\| H^* \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \|\tau^*\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

From (5.5) and (5.6), the normalized normal scalar curvature satisfies

$$\rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\begin{aligned} &g([A_{e_{n+r}}^*, A_{e_{n+s}}]e_i, e_j) + g([A_{e_{n+r}}, A_{e_{n+s}}^*]e_i, e_j) \\ &+ \frac{2c}{4f^2} (-\langle \phi e_i, e_{n+r} \rangle \langle \phi e_j, e_{n+s} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \phi e_i, e_{n+s} \rangle \langle \phi e_j, e_{n+r} \rangle) \end{aligned} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (5.8)$$

By Proposition 3.1 and the equations (3.10), (3.11), we have

$$A_\xi X = A_\xi^* X = -\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} X. \quad (5.9)$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([A_\xi^*, A_{e_{n+s}}]e_i, e_j) &= g(A_\xi^* A_{e_{n+s}} e_i, e_j) - g(A_{e_{n+s}} A_\xi^* e_i, e_j) \\ &\stackrel{(5.9)}{=} -\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} g(A_{e_{n+s}} e_i, e_j) + \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} g(A_{e_{n+s}} e_i, e_j) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

and by using similar calculation we obtain

$$([A_{e_{n+r}}, A_{e_{n+s}}^*]e_i, e_j) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

On the other hand, we recall

$$\langle \phi X, \xi \rangle = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

Using the equations (5.10), (5.11) and (5.12) in (5.8) we find that

$$\rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\begin{aligned} &g([A_{e_{n+r}}^*, A_{e_{n+s}}]e_i, e_j) \\ &+ g([A_{e_{n+r}}, A_{e_{n+s}}^*]e_i, e_j) \\ &- \frac{2c}{4f^2} (\delta_{ir} \delta_{js} - \delta_{is} \delta_{jr}) \end{aligned} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (5.13)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[\begin{aligned} &4g([A_{e_{n+r}}^0, A_{e_{n+s}}^0]e_i, e_j) + g([A_{e_{n+r}}, A_{e_{n+s}}]e_i, e_j) \\ &+ g([A_{e_{n+r}}, A_{e_{n+s}}^*]e_i, e_j) - \frac{2c}{4f^2} (\delta_{ir} \delta_{js} - \delta_{is} \delta_{jr}) \end{aligned} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (5.14)$$

where $2A_{\xi_r}^0 = A_{\xi_r} + A_{\xi_r}^*$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have the algebraic inequality

$$(\lambda + \mu + \nu + w)^2 \leq 4(\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 + w^2), \forall \lambda, \mu, \nu, w \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.15)$$

We obtain from (5.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} &\leq \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (16g([A_{e_{n+r}}^0, A_{e_{n+s}}^0]e_i, e_j)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g([A_{e_{n+r}}, A_{e_{n+s}}]e_i, e_j)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g([A_{e_{n+r}}, A_{e_{n+s}}^*]e_i, e_j)^2 + \frac{c^2}{4f^2} (\delta_{ir} \delta_{js} - \delta_{is} \delta_{jr})^2 \right) \end{aligned} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\frac{c^2}{4f^2} n^2 (n-1)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s=1}^n \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^m 16g([A_{\xi_r}^0, A_{\xi_s}^0]e_i, e_j)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g([A_{\xi_r}, A_{\xi_s}]e_i, e_j)^2 + g([A_{\xi_r}^*, A_{\xi_s}^*]e_i, e_j)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\frac{c^2}{4f^2} n^2 (n-1)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s=1}^n (16\| [A_{\xi_r}^0, A_{\xi_s}^0] \|^2 + \| [A_{\xi_r}, A_{\xi_s}] \|^2 + \| [A_{\xi_r}^*, A_{\xi_s}^*] \|^2) \end{aligned} \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define sets $\{S_1^0, \dots, S_n^0\}$, $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$, $\{S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*\}$ of symmetric with trace zero operators on $T_p M$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\alpha^0 X, Y \rangle &= \langle \tau^0(X, Y), \xi_\alpha \rangle, \\ \langle S_\alpha X, Y \rangle &= \langle \tau(X, Y), \xi_\alpha \rangle, \\ \langle S_\alpha^* X, Y \rangle &= \langle \tau^*(X, Y), \xi_\alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y, \in T_pM, p \in M$. Clearly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha^0 &= A_{\xi_\alpha}^0 - \langle H^0, \xi_\alpha \rangle I, \\ S_\alpha &= A_{\xi_\alpha} - \langle H, \xi_\alpha \rangle I, \\ S_\alpha^* &= A_{\xi_\alpha}^* - \langle H^*, \xi_\alpha \rangle I \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [S_\alpha^0, S_\beta^0] &= [A_{\xi_\alpha}^0, A_{\xi_\beta}^0], \\ [S_\alpha, S_\beta] &= [A_{\xi_\alpha}, A_{\xi_\beta}], \\ [S_\alpha^*, S_\beta^*] &= [A_{\xi_\alpha}^*, A_{\xi_\beta}^*]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is clear that

$$\rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} \leq \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \left\{ \frac{c^2}{4f^2} n^2(n-1)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s=1}^n (16\|[S_r^0, S_s^0]\|^2 + \|[S_r, S_s]\|^2 + \|[S_r^*, S_s^*]\|^2) \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{5.16}$$

In [19], Lu proved following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 ([19]). *For every set $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ of symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices with trace zero the following inequality holds:*

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \|[B_\alpha, B_\beta]\|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \|B_\alpha\|^2 \right)^2.$$

By Theorem 5.3, (5.16) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} &\leq \frac{|c|}{2f} + \frac{4}{n(n-1)} \sum_{r=1}^n \|S_r^0\|^2 + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{r=1}^n \|S_r\|^2 + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{r=1}^n \|S_r^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|c|}{2f} + \frac{4}{n(n-1)} \|\tau^0\|^2 + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \|\tau\|^2 + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \|\tau^*\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Using (5.7) in (5.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} &\leq \frac{|c|}{2f} + \frac{8}{n(n-1)} \|\tau^0\|^2 + 2\rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} - \frac{2c}{4f^2} + \frac{2(f')^2}{f^2} \\ &\quad - 4 \|\ H^0 \|^2 + \| H \|^2 + \| H^* \|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

On the other hand normalized scalar curvature ρ^0 of M^m with respect to Levi-civita connection ∇^0 can be obtained as

$$\rho^0 = \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} [n^2 \| H^0 \|^2 - \| h^0 \|^2] \tag{5.19}$$

(see [24]).

Now, if we set $\|\tau^0\|^2 = \|h^0\|^2 - n\|H^0\|^2$ in (5.19), then we get

$$\rho^0 = \left(\frac{c}{4f^2} - \frac{(f')^2}{f^2} \right) + \|H^0\|^2 - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \|\tau^0\|^2. \tag{5.20}$$

In view of the equations (5.18) and (5.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} &\leq 2\rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} - 8\rho^0 + \frac{1}{4f^2} (2f|c| - c + 4(f')^2) \\ &\quad + 4 \|\ H^0 \|^2 + \| H \|^2 + \| H^* \|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.1. Let $(\mathbb{R}, dt, \mathbb{R} \nabla)$ be a trivial statistical manifold and $N(c=0) = \mathbb{C}^n$ be a holomorphic statistical space form. If M^n be a Legendrian submanifold of the statistical Kenmotsu manifold $\mathbb{R} \times_{e^t} \mathbb{C}^n$, then we get

$$\rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} \leq 2\rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} - 8\rho^0 + 4 \|H^0\|^2 + \|H\|^2 + \|H^*\|^2 + 1.$$

In this case $\mathbb{R} \times_{e^t} \mathbb{C}^n$ is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space $H^{2n+1}(-1)$.

Corollary 5.2. Let $(\mathbb{R}, dt, \mathbb{R} \nabla)$ be a trivial statistical manifold and $N(c)$ be a holomorphic statistical space form. If M^n be a Legendrian submanifold of the statistical cosymplectic manifold $\mathbb{R} \times N(c)$, then we have

$$\rho^{\perp \nabla, \nabla^*} \leq 2\rho^{\nabla, \nabla^*} - 8\rho^0 + 4 \|H^0\|^2 + \|H\|^2 + \|H^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(2|c| - c).$$

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Amari, S., Differential-Geometrical Methods in Statistics. Lecture Notes in Statistics. 28 Springer, Berlin 1985.
- [2] Aydın, M. E., Mihai, A. and Mihai, I., Some inequalities on submanifolds in statistical manifolds of constant curvature. *Filomat* 29(3) (2015), 465-477.
- [3] Aydın, M.E., Mihai, A. and Mihai I., Generalized Wintgen inequality for statistical submanifolds in statistical manifolds of constant curvature. *Bull. Math. Sci.* 7(1) (2017), 155-166.
- [4] Aydın, M.E. and Mihai I., Wintgen inequality for statistical surfaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 22(1)(2019), 123–132.
- [5] Aytimur, H. and Özgür, C., Inequalities for submanifolds in statistical manifolds of quasi-constant curvature. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 121 (2018), no. 3, 197–215.
- [6] Blair D. E., Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds. Boston. Birkhäuser 2002.
- [7] Boyom, M. N., Aquib, M., Shahid M.H. and Jamali, M., Generalized Wintgen Type Inequality for Lagrangian Submanifolds in Holomorphic Statistical Space Forms. Frank Nielsen • Frédéric Barbaresco (Eds.) Geometric Science of Information Third International Conference, GSI 2017 Paris, France, November 7–9, 2017.
- [8] Carriazo, A. and Perez-Garcia, M.J., Slant submanifolds in neutral almost contact pseudo-metric manifolds. *Differ. Geom. Appl.* 54 (2017), 71–80.
- [9] Chen, B. Y., Mean curvature and shape operator of isometric immersions in real-space forms. *Glasgow Math. J.* 38 (1996), 87-97.
- [10] Chen, Q. and Cui, Q., Normal scalar curvature and a pinching theorem in $S^m \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^m \times \mathbb{R}$. *Science China Math.* 54(9) (2011), 1977-1984.
- [11] De Smet, P. J., Dillen, F., Verstraelen, L. and Vrancken, L., A pointwise inequality in submanifold theory. *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 35 (1999), 115-128.
- [12] Dillen, F., Fastenakels, J. and Van der Veken, J., Remarks on an inequality involving the normal scalar curvature. *Pure and Applied Differential Geometry-PADGE 2007*, 83-92, Ber. Math., Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2007.
- [13] Furuhashi, H., Hypersurfaces in statistical manifolds. *Diff. Geom. Appl.* 27 (2009), 420-429.
- [14] Furuhashi, H., Hasegawa, I., Okuyama, Y. and Sato, K., Kenmotsu statistical manifolds and warped product. *J. Geom.* 108 (2017), 1175–1191.
- [15] Ge, J. and Tang, Z., A proof of the DDVV conjecture and its equality case. *Pacific J. Math.* 237 (2008), 87-95.
- [16] Kenmotsu, K., A class of contact Riemannian manifold. *Tohoku Math. Journal* 24 (1972), 93-103.
- [17] Lauritzen, S., Statistical manifolds. In: Amari, S., Barndorff-Nielsen, O., Kass, R., Lauritzen, S., Rao, C.R. (eds.) *Differential Geometry in Statistical Inference*, 10, 163–216. IMS Lecture Notes Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward 1987.
- [18] Lawn, M. and Ortega, M., A fundamental theorem for hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian warped products. *J. Geom. Phys.* 90 (2015), 55-70.
- [19] Lu, Z., Normal scalar curvature conjecture and its applications. *J. Funct. Analysis* 261 (2011), 1284-1308.
- [20] Mihai, I., On the generalized Wintgen inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms. *Nonlinear Anal.* 95 (2014), 714-720.
- [21] Mihai, I., On the generalized Wintgen inequality for Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms. *Tohoku Math. J.* 69 (2017), 43-53.
- [22] Murathan, C. and Şahin, B., A study of Wintgen like inequality for submanifolds in statistical warped product manifolds. *J. Geom.* 109 (2018), 18 pp.
- [23] Opozda, B., A sectional curvature for statistical structures. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 497 (2016), 134–161.
- [24] Roth, J., A DDVV Inequality for submanifolds of warped products. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 95 (2017), 495–499.
- [25] Simon, U., *Affine Differential Geometry*, ed. by F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen, Handbook of Differential Geometry, Vol. I, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, (2000), 905–961.
- [26] Vos, P. W., Fundamental equations for statistical submanifolds with applications to the Bartlett correction. *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* 41(3) (1989), 429–450.
- [27] Wintgen, P., Sur l'inégalité de Chen-Willmore. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris Sér. A-B* 288 (1979), A993-A995.
- [28] Yano, K. and Kon, M., *Structures on manifolds*, Series in Pure Mathematics, 3. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.
- [29] Todjihounde, L., Dualistic structures on warped product manifolds. *Diff. Geom.-Dyn. Syst.*, 8 (2006), 278-284.
- [30] Yazla, A., Küpeli Erken, İ. and Murathan, C., Almost cosymplectic statistical manifolds. *Quaestiones Mathematicae* in press, <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2019.1576069>.

Affiliations

RUKEN GÖRÜNÜŞ

ADDRESS: Uludağ University, Institute of Science, Bursa -Turkey.

E-MAIL: rukengorunus16@gmail.com

ORCID ID:0000-0003-0442-0814

İREM KÜPELİ ERKEN

ADDRESS: Bursa Technical University, Department of Mathematics, 16330, Bursa-Turkey.

E-MAIL: irem.erken@btu.edu.tr

ORCID ID:0000-0003-4471-3291

AZİZ YAZLA

ADDRESS: Selçuk University, Department of Mathematics, 42003, Konya-Turkey.

E-MAIL: aziz.yazla@selcuk.edu.tr

ORCID ID:0000-0003-3720-9716

CENGİZHAN MURATHAN

ADDRESS: Bursa Uludağ University, Department of Mathematics, 16059, Bursa-Turkey.

E-MAIL: cengiz@uludag.edu.tr

ORCID ID:0000-0002-2273-3243