# A genome-wide association study of cleft lip with and without cleft palate identifies risk variants near MAFB and ABCA4 
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#### Abstract

Case-parent trios were used in a genome wide association study of cleft lip with/without cleft palate (CL/P). SNPs near two genes not previously associated with CL/P [MAFB: most significant SNP rs13041247, with odds ratio per minor allele $\mathrm{OR}=0.704 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.635,0.778 ; \mathrm{p}=2.05^{*} 10^{-11}$; and ABCA4: most significant SNP rs560426, with $\mathrm{OR}=1.432$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.292,1.587 ; \mathrm{p}=5.70 * 10^{-12} \mathrm{]}$ and two previously identified regions (chr. 8q24 and IRF6) attained genome wide significance. Stratifying trios into European and Asian ancestry groups revealed differences in statistical significance, although estimated effect sizes were similar. Replication studies from several populations showed confirming evidence, with families of European ancestry giving stronger evidence for markers in 8 q 24 while Asian families showed stronger evidence for MAFB and $A B C A 4$. Expression studies support a role for $M A F B$ in palate development.


[^0]Cleft lip with or without cleft palate (CL/P) is a common human birth defect with documented genetic and environmental risk factors ${ }^{1}$. While CL/P can occur in many Mendelian malformation syndromes, the isolated, non-syndromic form constitutes $70 \%$ of all cases 2 . Evidence for genetic control of CL/P is compelling: recurrence risks are 20-30 times greater than population prevalences 3,4 and both twin and family studies ${ }^{5}$ suggest a major role for genes,

Mutations in IRF6 cause VanderWoude syndrome, the most common Mendelian syndrome including CL/P, and markers in IRF6 have repeatedly shown evidence of association with isolated, non-syndromic CL/ ${ }^{6-9}$. An allele disrupting an AP2 binding site near IRF6 showed particularly strong evidence among European CL families, although multiple risk alleles are likely ${ }^{10}$.

Birnbaum et al. ${ }^{11}$ conducted a case-control genome wide association study (GWAS) in Germany and found significant evidence of association with markers in 8q24.21, and a US case-control GWAS confirmed this region ${ }^{12}$, with rs 987525 being the most significant marker in both studies. Here we present a GWAS using a case-parent trio design in a consortium drawing cases from Europe, the US, China, Taiwan, Singapore, Korea and the Philippines. This design has the advantage of being robust to confounding due to population stratification, which is important when cases from diverse populations are combined.

Because these case-parent trios came from different populations (Table 1), we conducted a principal components analysis (PCA) on all parents to document genetic variation in our consortium (Supplementary Figure 1). Approximately 50\% of parents could be classified as Asian and $45 \%$ as European, with remaining parents being of African or "other" ancestry (including mixed). Transmission disequilibrium tests (TDT) on autosomal SNPs in 1908 CL/ P case-parent trios showed strong evidence of linkage and association for multiple markers (see QQ plot in Supplementary Figure 2), which clustered into specific chromosomal regions (Figure 1a). Multiple SNPs on chr. 8q24 and 4 SNPs in IRF6 showed genome wide significance ( $\mathrm{p}<5 * 10^{-8}$ ). In addition, SNPs in two genes not previously associated with CL/P (ABCA4 on chr. 1p22.1 and MAFB on 20 q 12 ) achieved genome-wide significance (Table 2), and three potential candidate genes (PAX7 on chr. 1p36, VAX1 on 10q25.3 and NTN1 on 17p13) had one or more SNPs near genome-wide significance (Supplementary Table 1). We stratified these trios into 825 trios of European ancestry (Figure 1b) and 1038 of Asian ancestry (Figure 1c) as a check for consistency across racial groups (omitting 45 case-parent trios of African or "other" ancestry). Interestingly, trios of European ancestry (including European Americans) showed stronger support for chr. 8q24, while Asian trios gave the most significant evidence for both new and old candidate genes with weaker evidence for 8 q 24 . However, p -values cannot be the only criteria when interpreting these results.

Multiple SNPs in 8 q24 showed evidence at or near genome-wide significance in the allelic TDT. The strongest individual SNP was rs987525 (Table 2) in both the total sample and the European sub-group ( p -value $=1.43 * 10^{-16}$ in the total sample), as in two previous case-control studies 12,13 . In our trios, rs 987525 showed significant over-transmission of the A allele, giving OR(transmission) $=1.78(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.55-2.05)$. Among 825 trios of European ancestry, this OR (transmission) was larger ( 2.01 with $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.69-2.38$ ); than among Asian trios ( 1.39 with $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.09-1.78$ ). Both groups were nominally significant ( p -value $=5 * 10^{-16}$ for European trios; p-value $=0.00893$ for Asian trios), and both yielded similar patterns of over-transmission despite differences in p-values shown in Figures 1b and 1c.

Conditional logistic regression was used to estimate genotype relative risks under an additive model as the odds ratio of being a case, OR(case), given each additional target allele (arbitrarily defined as the minor allele among parents of European ancestry). Supplementary Figure 3 presents estimated OR(case) for 78 SNPs in a region of signal on $8 q 24$, where multiple SNPs showed distinct over- or under-transmission. Under the additive model, all trios gave an estimated OR(case) $=1.73$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.36-2.03$ ) for AT heterozygotes at rs 987525 and OR(case) $=2.99(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.26-4.10)$ for AA homozygotes. A more general model with separate effects for heterozygotes and homozygotes yielded estimates of OR(case|AT) $=1.58$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.30-$ $1.94)$ and $\mathrm{OR}(\operatorname{case} \mid \mathrm{AA})=3.72(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=2.36-5.87)$ in the total sample. When trios were stratified into European and Asian ancestry groups, the additive model gave OR(case)=1.91 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.57-2.33$ ) among trios of European ancestry, and OR(case) $=1.42$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.08-$ 1.85 ) among trios of Asian ancestry, again with overlapping 95\%CI. A test for heterogeneity between European and Asian trios under this model did not reach statistical significance (likelihood ratio test=3.11 with $1 \mathrm{df} ; \mathrm{p}=0.07$ ).

A lower minor allele frequency (MAF) at rs 987525 among Asians compared to Europeans ( 0.078 vs. 0.260 , respectively), resulting in fewer informative Asian parents, could explain differences in statistical significance. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) patterns for parents of European and Asian ancestry were similar (Supplementary Figure 4). Haplotype analysis of markers in this region strengthened evidence from Asian trios somewhat, but could not overcome limitations due to low MAF (data not shown).

SNPs in or near two other genes yielded genome wide significance: $A B C A 4$ on 1q22.1 and $M A F B$ on 20 q 12 (Table 2). Among 237 SNPs mapping near $M A F B$, a group of 17 SNPs located $20-60 \mathrm{~Kb} 3^{\prime}$ of $M A F B$ 's single exon defined a region of signal including 6 SNPs with $\mathrm{p}<5 * 10^{-8}$. Figure 2a shows $-\log _{10}$ (p-value) of these SNPs;; Figure 2 b shows estimated OR (case) and $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ (the null hypothesis value is always 1) and Figure 2c notes their physical location and the MAFB exon. Supplementary Figure 5 shows LD patterns (as $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) for Asian and European parents.

A total of 210 SNPs mapped to the large $A B C A 4$ gene (with 50 exons) on 1 p 22.1 , and a 78 Kb region encompassing 97 SNPs contained two SNPs yielding genome wide significance and several approaching this level (Figure 3a). Figure 3b presents estimated OR(case) and their $95 \%$ CI and Figure 3c shows their physical position. Supplementary Figure 6 shows LD (as $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ).

Replication in independent samples focused on 5 SNPs (rs987525 in 8q24 region and 2 SNPs each in $M A F B$ and $A B C A 4$ ). Altogether 8,115 individuals from 1,965 CL/P families were drawn from several populations (Supplementary Table 2). Family-based association tests (FBAT, equivalent to the allelic TDT under an additive model in independent trios) were conducted in each population separately and pooled over all families (Supplementary Table 3). Table 3 shows each SNP was nominally significant in populations of similar ancestry to our GWAS sample. Specifically, European ancestry families (both European and European American) gave the strongest evidence for rs 987525 in $8 q 24$, while families of Asian ancestry gave stronger evidence for $M A F B$ and $A B C A 4$. Two SNPs near $M A F B$ showed different levels of significance in families of Asian ancestry compared to families of European ancestry. Interestingly, families from Argentina and Colombia confirmed rs987525 in 8q24, while Guatemalan families (who had more Native American ancestry) did not. In Irish trios, conditional logistic regression gave an estimated OR(case) $=1.75$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.31-2.35$ ) for rs987525, although a nearby SNP (rs1530300) was even stronger ( $\mathrm{p}=0.00008$ ). Haplotype analysis on 11 SNPs across this 8q24 region yielded still stronger evidence from these 293 Irish trios (data not shown).

Among unrelated Irish controls, the A allele frequency at rs 987525 was 0.143 , substantially lower than among Irish case parents ( 0.247 ). Using allele frequencies from independent control samples from Northern Europe (Denmark, Ireland, Norway), population attributable risks (PAR) were: rs 13041247 near $M A F B$ gave $\mathrm{PAR}=11.1 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=6.7-15.4$ ), and rs560426 near ABCA4 gave $\mathrm{PAR}=9.9 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=6.7-13.2$ ). Similar analysis on rs987525 in 8 q 24 in Danish and Irish controls gave $\mathrm{PAR}=10.4 \%(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=8.4-12.5)$.

Supplementary Table 1 presents estimated OR(case) and allele frequencies for genes showing signal at or near genome-wide significance. These included recognized or potential candidate genes: PAX7 on 1p36, VAX1 on 10q25.3, plus SNPs between NTN1 on 17 p 13 and a putative gene $L O C 728685$ (previously predicted to be a protein coding gene). Among 70 SNPs spanning 221 Kb around $P A X 7,6$ had $10^{-7}<\mathrm{p}<10^{-5}$. Among 13 SNPs in $V A X 1$ spanning 90 Kb , two SNPs (rs7078160 and rs4752028) approached genome wide significance with TDT and conditional logistic regression (see Supplementary Table 1 for the latter model). SNP rs 7078160 was among the most significant in the German case-control GWAS ${ }^{11}$ and achieved genome wide significance in an expanded set of case-parent trios ${ }^{13}$. NTN1 on 17 q 13.1 spanned 259 Kb and included 1 SNP (rs9788972) achieving genome wide significance and 6 other SNPs yielding evidence between $10^{-8}<\mathrm{p}<10^{-6}$. SNPs giving strong signals were clustered in the $5^{\prime}$ end of this gene and encompassed LOC728867. Supplementary Table 4 lists all SNPs with $\mathrm{p}<10^{-5}$ among all trios (4a), trios of Asian ancestry (4b) and trios of European ancestry (4c).

We sequenced the single $M A F B$ exon, plus four conserved elements $3^{\prime}$ of $M A F B$, and identified a rare missense variant (H131Q) which was predicted to be damaging to the protein structure (Supplementary Table 5). An additional 357 cases and 360 controls from the Philippines were genotyped, among whom 24 unrelated cases and 5 controls carried this variant. The difference in allele frequencies was significant ( $\mathrm{p}=0.0002$ ), and a TDT on Filipino families was marginally significant ( $\mathrm{p}=0.08$ ), although low MAF meant few informative trios. The H131Q variant was not present in 760 members of the CEPH diversity panel (individuals from 50 populations) nor in 180 European cases and controls. We also sequenced the 50 exons of $A B C A 4$, and identified 27 missense variants, 2 of which were predicted to be damaging (R1443H and N380K, Supplementary Table 5).

Whole mount in situ hybridization analysis of Mafb and immunodetection of expressed $M a f b$ was carried out in mice. $M a f b$ mRNA and protein were expressed in both craniofacial neuroectoderm and neural-crest derived mesoderm between embryonic (e) day 13.5-14.5 (Figure 4). Expression was strong in epithelium around the palatal shelves and in the medial edge epithelium during palatal fusion. After fusion, Mafb expression was stronger in oral epithelium compared to mesenchymal tissue. Similar expression studies for $A b c a 4$ were negative for palatal expression.

## Discussion

Case-parent trio designs have two important advantages: 1) as a family based design they are robust to confounding due to population stratification, a critical concern for multi-center studies; and 2) family based tests can provide greater statistical power compared to case-control designs for rare diseases ${ }^{14}$. Robustness becomes a primary concern when samples from multiple populations are combined, as in this consortium. Large sample sizes are required to achieve extreme levels of statistical significance demanded by GWAS, and for most birth defects this means combining samples across populations. Pooling samples can increase statistical power at the cost of increasing genetic heterogeneity, so checking for heterogeneity among sub-groups remains prudent. As seen here, there can be dramatic differences in p-values between subgroups, even when the direction and estimated magnitude of effects are similar.

In this GWAS, two recognized genes/regions were confirmed (IRF6 and chr. 8q24) and two genes not previously associated with $\mathrm{CL} / \mathrm{P}$ were identified ( $A B C A 4$ and $M A F B$ ). MAFB is expressed in the mouse palatal shelf. A rare missense mutation in MAFB (H131Q) was overrepresented in Filipino cases and absent in other populations. The H residue is strongly conserved across species (Supplementary Figure 7), and this change is predicted to impair protein function. $M A F B$ is a transcription factor shown to play a role in development of the hindbrain structures, thymus, interneurons, pancreatic islet cells and the hematopoetic system ${ }^{15}$. Its expression pattern in the mouse is consistent with some role in the developing lip and palate. $A B C A 4$ is a member of a superfamily of transmembrane proteins, and mutations in $A B C A 4$ play a major role in the etiology of Stargardt disease and related retinopathies (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim). Although there is no evidence of any relationship with clefting, more than 30 missense, frameshift and splice site variants in this large gene have been reported.

It is possible all evidence of linkage and association observed here represents indirect associations with other genes or regulatory elements outside any gene. The success of this CL/ P GWAS reflects its large sample size, the robust family based approach and inclusion of samples from populations of different ancestry which confirmed previous findings and identified new genes needing further study.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
Manhattan plots of $\log _{10}$ (p-values) from transmission disequilibrium test (TDT) for autosomal SNPs on CL/P case-parent trios (omitting SNPs flagged for QC). (a) Results based on all 1908 CL/P trios; (b) Results based on 825 CL/P case-parent trios of European ancestry; (c) Results based on 1038 CL/P case-parent trios of Asian ancestry.


Figure 2.
Significance and effect size for SNPs near $M A F B$ based on all CL/P trios. a) $-\log _{10}$ (p-value) for allelic TDT for 17 SNPs near MAFB on chr. 20q11; b) Estimated OR(case) from a conditional logistic regression and their $95 \%$ CI under an additive model; c) Physical position of tested SNPs and the single exon of $M A F B$.


Figure 3.
Significance and effect size for SNPs in and near $A B C A 4$ based on all CL/P trios. a) $-\log _{10}(\mathrm{p}-$ value) for allelic TDT for 98 SNPs in or near $A B C A 4$ on chr. 1p22.1; b) Estimated OR(case) from a conditional logistic regression and their $95 \%$ CI under an additive model fit to $1908 \mathrm{CL} /$ P case-parent trios; c) Physical position of tested SNPs and combined exons of the ABCA4 gene.


Figure 4.
$M a f b$, and not $A b c a 4$, is expressed during the development of the secondary palate in the mouse. In situ hybridization for $M a f b$ on whole mount e 13.5 embryos (a-d) shows expression in craniofacial ectoderm, vibrissae, and neural-crest derived mesoderm in murine embryos. Signal was also detected in the elevated palatal shelves ( $b$ - view of the roof of the mouth). Immunofluorescence staining for Mafb (red) on e13.5 palatal sections shows Mafb localized in the epithelium of the palatal shelves ( f ) and in the medial edge epithelium during palatal fusion on e14.5 tissue sections ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ ). Expression is also detected at the base of the nasal septum and in the tongue epithelium (g). Note the absence of signal in the sense probe (b, d) and no primary control (e). Immunofluorescence staining for $A b c a 4$ (green) on adult murine retina (i) and e14.5 palatal sections (j) show the presence of $A b c a 4$ in the rim of rods photoreceptor cells of the retina and its absence in orofacial structures. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). v, vibrissae; p, palatal shelf; t , tongue, ns, nasal septum. (Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ panels eh ; $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ panel i ).

Table 1
Number of trios by recruitment site noting complete and incomplete trios (those with 1 parent missing).

| Recruitment Site | CL Trios Complete (Incomplete) | CLP Trios Complete (Incomplete) | Total Trios Complete (Incomplete) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utah | $68(16)$ | $96(20)$ | $164(36)$ |
| Norway | $106(4)$ | $174(8)$ | $280(12)$ |
| Korea | $19(0)$ | $40(2)$ | $59(2)$ |
| Maryland | $19(12)$ | $71(42)$ | $90(54)$ |
| Pittsburgh | $26(2)$ | $70(28)$ | $96(30)$ |
| Singapore | $15(1)$ | $45(7)$ | $60(8)$ |
| Taiwan | $42(4)$ | $176(11)$ | $218(15)$ |
| Iowa | $16(9)$ | $29(11)$ | $45(20)$ |
| Denmark | $6(15)$ | $15(12)$ | $21(27)$ |
| Philippines | $0(0)$ | $94(4)$ | $94(4)$ |
| WuHan | $39(3)$ | $136(9)$ | $175(12)$ |
| Shandong Prov. | $54(21)$ | $129(70)$ | $183(91)$ |
| Western China | $43(3)$ | $63(3)$ | $106(6)$ |
| Total | $453(90)$ | $1138(227)$ | $1591(317)$ |

total includes probands of indeterminate cleft type: 2 in WuHan; 3 in Shandong Prov.
Estimated OR(case) for SNPs showing genome wide significance in 4 regions under an additive model plus minor allele and its frequency among all parents and among parents of European and Asian CL/P cases.

| SNP | Location | OR(case)* | $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{C I}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | MA $^{* *}$ | Overall MAF | Euro. MAF | Asian MAF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr 8q24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs987525 | 130015336 | 1.781 | $(1.550,2.047)$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-16$ | A | 0.167 | 0.276 | 0.079 |
| IRF6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs2073485 | 208029417 | 0.689 | $(0.615,0.771)$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-10$ | A | 0.300 | 0.169 | 0.403 |
| rs2013162 | 208035307 | 0.705 | $(0.636,0.782)$ | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-11$ | A | 0.422 | 0.335 | 0.491 |
| rs861020 | 208043734 | 1.432 | $(1.274,1.609)$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-09$ | A | 0.245 | 0.246 | 0.244 |
| rs10863790 | 208054670 | 0.580 | $(0.504,0.667)$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-14$ | C | 0.198 | 0.015 | 0.342 |
| MAFB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs6072081 | 38694468 | 1.369 | $(1.237,1.515)$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-09$ | A | 0.466 | 0.446 | 0.398 |
| rs6065259 | 38695393 | 0.728 | $(0.656,0.807)$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-09$ | A | 0.387 | 0.384 | 0.389 |
| rs17820943 | 38701930 | 0.707 | $(0.638,0.784)$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-11$ | T | 0.395 | 0.375 | 0.414 |
| rs13041247 | 38702488 | 0.704 | $(0.635,0.778)$ | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-11$ | C | 0.396 | 0.375 | 0.414 |
| rs11696257 | 38704230 | 0.705 | $(0.636,0.781)$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-11$ | T | 0.396 | 0.375 | 0.414 |
| rs6102085 | 38715043 | 1.332 | $(1.205,1.473)$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-08$ | G | 0.465 | 0.372 | 0.462 |
| ABCA4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rs4147811 | 94347644 | 0.745 | $(0.670,0.828)$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ | A | 0.344 | 0.358 | 0.333 |
| rs481931 | 94342604 | 0.750 | $(0.675,0.834)$ | $8.14 \mathrm{E}-08$ | A | 0.339 | 0.351 | 0.332 |
| rs560426 | 94326026 | 1.432 | $(1.292,1.587)$ | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-12$ | G | 0.399 | 0.471 | 0.342 |

[^1]Table 3
P-values for replication of 5 SNPs showing genome wide significance in GWAS using independent families from various populations.

| Source Population | Pedigrees | 8q24 region | MAFB |  | ABCA4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | rs987525 | rs13041247 | rs11696257 | rs560426 | rs481931 |
| East Asian | 331 | 0.6964 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9 0}$ |
| South Asian | 51 | 0.1172 | 0.0638 | 0.1675 | 0.8299 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 8 2}$ |
| European \& Euro.American | 1,149 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}^{*} \mathbf{1 0}^{-16}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 3 1}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5 8}$ | 0.4418 |
| South/Central American | 434 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 3}$ | 0.3375 | 0.9344 | 0.4487 | 0.2378 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}^{*} \mathbf{1 0}^{-16}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}^{*} 10^{-5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 8 7}$ |

*Omitting Irish samples (see Supplementary Table 3)


[^0]:    Corresponding author: THB (tbeaty@jhsph.edu).

[^1]:    In the conditional logistic regression model, the minor allele (MA) among Europeans was set to be the target allele and the OR(case|each MA) was estimated

