1	Title page
2	
3	Title: Global Priority List of TOp TEn resistant Microorganisms at Intensive Care (TOTEM study): A
4	prioritization exercise based on multi-criteria decision analysis.
5	
6	Jordi Rello* ^{1,2} , Vandana Kalwaje Eshwara ³ , Leo Lagunes ^{2,4} , Joana Alves ⁵ , Richard G. Wunderink ⁶ ;
7 8	Andrew Conway-Morris ⁷ ; Jose Nicolas Rojas ⁸ ; Emine Alp ⁹ , Zhongheng Zhang ¹⁰ .
9	1- CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, CIBERES, Barcelona, Spain.
10	2- Vall d'Hebron Institut of Research (VHIR), Barcelona, Spain.
11	3 Department of Microbiology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education,
12	Manipal, India.
13	4- Intensive Care, San Luis Potosi, Mexico.
14	5- Infectious Diseases, São João Hospital Center, Porto, Portugal.
15	6- Pulmonary & Critical Care, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA.
16	7- Division of Anaesthesia, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
17	8- Intensive Care Department, Clinica Santa Fe, Bogota, Colombia.
18	9- Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Infection Control Committee, Erciyes
19	University, Kayseri, Turkey.
20	10- Department of emergency medicine, Sir Run-Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang University School of
21	Medicine, Hangzhou, China.
22	
23	*Corresponding author.
24	
25	
26	
27	Word Count: Body Text: 1151 words. Abstract: 202 words.
28	

Abstract

31	Purpose: The World Health Organization (WHO) proposed a global priority pathogen list (PPL) of multi-
32	drug resistant (MDR) bacteria. Our current objective was to provide global expert ranking of the most
33	serious multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria present at intensive care units (ICU) that have become a
34	threat in clinical practice.
35	Methods: A proposal addressing a pathogens priority list (PPL) for ICU, arising from the WHO Global
36	PPL was developed. Based on the supporting data, the pathogens were grouped in three priority tiers:
37	Critical, high and medium. A multi-criteria decision analyses (MCDA) was used to identify the priority
38	tiers.
39	Results: After MCDA analysis, mortality, treatability and cost of therapy were of highest concern
40	(scores of 19/20, 19/20 and 15/20, respectively) while dealing with PPL, followed by healthcare burden
41	and resistance prevalence. Carbapenen-resistant (CR) Acinetobacter baumannii, Carbapenemase-
42	expressing Klebsiella pneumoniae (KPC) and MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa were identified as critical
43	organisms. High risk organisms were represented by CR Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Methicillin-
44	resistant Staphylococcus aureus, and Extended Spectrum Beta lactamase(ESBL) Enterobacteriaceae.
45	Finally, ESBL Serratia marcescens, Vancomycin-resistant Enterococci and TMP-SMX resistant
46	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia were identified as medium priority.
47	Conclusions: We conclude that education, investigation, funding and development of new
48	antimicrobials for ICU organisms should focus on Carbapenem-resistant Gram negative organisms.
49	

- 50
- 51
- 53

52

- 54

55	Keywords	
56	Multidrug-resistant bacteria, infection control, colonization, prevention, research, antimicrobials,	
57	intensive care, sepsis.	
58 59		
60		
61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		
72		
73		
74		
75		
76		
77		
78		
79		

Text

- 81 Title: Global Priority List of TOp TEn resistant Microorganisms at Intensive Care (TOTEM study): A
 82 prioritization exercise based on multi-criteria decision analysis.
- 83

84 Introduction

85 Multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria have become a health priority [1] and efforts have been made to 86 prevent colonization, infection and decrease mortality [2–7]. The World Health Organization (WHO) 87 proposed a global priority pathogen list (PPL) of MDR bacteria to guide research, discovery and 88 development of new antibiotics [3, 8]. However, critically ill patients are particularly susceptible to 89 infections arising from MDR bacteria [9, 10]. To develop a more solid understanding of the issues 90 facing critically ill patients, we established the TOp TEn resistant Microorganisms (TOTEM) in critical 91 care study group (appendix 1). The scope was to identify the most important resistant bacteria for 92 intensive care units (ICU) for which there is an urgent need for new therapies. The primary objective 93 of the TOTEM study was to describe, as assessed by expert opinion and current evidence, a global list 94 of the top ten most clinically relevant MDR bacteria affecting critically ill patients. The secondary 95 objective was to prioritize the list to focus efforts proportionately according to perceived clinical need.

96 Methods

97 The study consisted of score prioritization by a panel of ten experts invited to prioritize organisms 98 using MCDA. A steering committee (Appendix 2a) with experience of identification, prevention and 99 treatment of MDR bacteria in critically ill patients were invited to participate. They contributed in 100 revision of first drafts of the study protocol and selection of pathogens. Mycobacteria, rickettsia, 101 viruses and parasites were excluded. Panel experts were suggested by the TOTEM project leader (JR) 102 based on their prior experience or their expertise in clinical practice, clinical trials and publications, 103 seeking to provide global geographic coverage and membership from the range of professionals 104 whose roles are impacted by MDR bacteria. MDR bacteria was defined as reported elsewhere [6]. The 105 coordinating group represented intensivists, anesthesiologists, clinical microbiologists and infectious 106 disease (ID) consultants with experience in ICU settings (Appendix 2b). Pediatric and neonatal 107 intensive care units (ICUs) were excluded. The list was ranked using the following (WHO) prioritization 108 factors: all-cause mortality, healthcare and community burden, prevalence of resistance, 5-year trend 109 of resistance, transmissibility and preventability, treatability, current drug pipeline, with the addition 110 of estimated cost of therapy. Definitions for the variables used in the prioritization list were reported 111 elsewhere [8]. For each variable, scores were assigned from 1 (least) to 10 (most) according to 112 importance and the average value was multiplied by two providing a maximal potential score of 20. 113 The study used no patient-specific data and thus the need for ethical research committee approval or 114 informed consent was waived.

115

116 Statistical and MCDA analysis

All responses were categorical variables presented as summary statistics, reporting proportions (percentages). The prioritization exercise was performed through the following steps: 1. Selection of antibiotic resistant organisms to be prioritized. 2. Selection for criteria of prioritization. 3. Data extraction and synthesis. 4: Scoring of the alternatives and weighting of criteria by experts, and 5.

125	Online Resource 1
124	September 2016. Multiple-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) methodology has been detailed in
123	Data sources were PubMed and Ovid databases and did not have time restriction, last update in
122	participants were referred to the evidence-based information released by the WHO final report [8].
121	Finalization of the pathogens' ranking. As a summary of sources of data on the different variables,

127 Results

128 After MCDA analysis, mortality and treatability were of highest concern (Scores of 19/20) while dealing 129 with PPL, followed by cost of treatment, healthcare burden and resistance prevalence. Carbapenem-130 resistant (CR) Acinetobacter baumannii, Klebsiella pneumoniae expressing carbapenemase (KPC), and 131 MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa were classified as critical organisms. High risk organisms were 132 represented by CR P. aeruginosa, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), and extended 133 spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) Enterobacteriaceae. Finally, ESBL Serratia marcescens, Vancomycin 134 resistant Enterococci and TMP-SMX resistant Stenothophomonas maltophilia were identified as 135 medium priority. Distribution of scores is detailed in Table 1. In the PPL scoring, CR A. baumannii, KPC 136 and MDR P. aeruginosa scored high for mortality, treatability and cost of treatment while MDR P. 137 aeruginosa, KPC and ESBL K.pneumoniae were prioritized for healthcare burden. Overall prevalence 138 of resistance was high for ESBL Enterobacteriaceae. Along with other critical and high priority 139 pathogens, S. marcescens too scored high among difficult to treat pathogens. Preventability was worst 140 with KPC followed by MRSA.

141

142

143

145 Discussion

146

147

148 classified as critical organisms (priority 1), confirming the WHO priority pathogens list [8]. In contrast, 149 priority 2 represented by high risk organism is markedly different. However, this finding is not a 150 surprise as the risk factors for the selection of resistant organisms in hospitals vary from the 151 community. Our findings emphasize a global concern regarding Gram negative bacteria. 152 Indeed, while dealing with PPL, mortality and treatability were considered highest priority followed 153 by cost of treatment, healthcare burden and resistance prevalence in MCDA analysis. Carbapenem-154 resistant organisms were indisputably perceived as highest threat for mortality, treatability and cost. 155 The results support the difficulty faced in managing MDR *P.aeruginosa* infections in ICUs [12]. 156 Mortality by CR organisms is contributed particularly by the non-availability of effective drugs rather 157 than increased virulence [13–16]. Currently, the biggest gap exists in the investigational pipeline for 158 compounds active against CR A. baumannii, which is perceived as critical organism for treatability. 159 Our findings suggest that CR A. baumannii is of major concern, despite it is considered 160 conventionally low virulence [17]. Not surprisingly, given the focus on intensive care major concerns, 161 the prioritization list came up with a different ranking of pathogens and resistance markers than the 162 WHO PPL, which takes a more global view. 163 164 WHO reports estimate approximately 30% of ICU patients are affected by at healthcare-associated 165 infections while incidence is 3-fold higher in low and middle-income countries [18]. Several reports

CR Acinetobacter baumannii, CR Klebsiella pneumoniae, and MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa were

from these countries suggest the lack of surveillance data thus having a negative influence on the implementation of preventive measures [19–23]. Two EPIC studies in a span of 10 years have demonstrated 20% increase in prevalence of ICU-acquired infections [24,25].

169 There are a number of limitations to this study. The survey panel have not uniformly represented the 170 regions of global hotspots of MDR infections such as Asia, whereas Europe is over-represented. The 171 study did not take into consideration the current evidence for infections in respect to the frequency 172 and burden, discrepancies in CDC vs ECDC definitions, underlying immune status, sub-classification of 173 infections based on underlying condition (medical, trauma, burns, cardiac surgery, special patient 174 population etc), paediatric patients and public health threats. Other bacterial pathogens causing 175 severe infections that are potentially drug resistant and are acquired at community were not covered. 176 The strengths include the study methodology (MCDA) incorporating expert opinion and evidence 177 based data that showed high stability of the final ranking and its future adaptability for regional 178 updates of the priority pathogen lists.

Conclusions

180	Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii, Carbapenemase expressing Klebsiella pneumoniae,
181	and MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa were classified as critical organisms (priority 1) causing ICU
182	infections. Education, investigation, funding and development of new antimicrobials for ICU
183	organisms should be focused on the identified priorities.
184	
185	
186	
187	
188	
189	
190	
191	
192	
193	
194	
195	
196	
197	
198	
199	
200	
201	
202	

Acknowledgements: We appreciate comments in the design from Nieves Larrosa, Barcelona, Spain.
 The study was developed in part from the Observership Programme, ESCMID, Basel, Switzerland
 (Vandana KE).

207 **Compliance with ethical Standards:**

- 208 Funding: The study was funded in part by Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red de Enfermedades
- 209 Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain and Observership Programme
- 210 from ESCMID, Basel, Switzerland.
- 211 Competing Interests: Dr Rello served in the speaker's bureau or consultant for Pfizer, Anchoagen,
- 212 ROCHE. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 213
- 214 Ethical Approval: Not required.

216 References

- 218 [1] De Waale J, Akova M, Antonelli M et al (2018) Antimictrobial Ressitance and antibiotic
- 219 stewardship programs in the ICU: A position statement from ESICM/ESCMID/WAAAR round
- table on mutidrug resistance. Intensive Care Med 44:189-196
- 221 [2] National Efforts to Combat Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria Through Science | NIH: National
- 222 Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases n.d. https://www.niaid.nih.gov/news-
- 223 events/national-efforts-combat-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria-through-science (accessed
- August 2, 2018)
- 225 [3]Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A et al (2018) Discovery, research and development of new226antibiotics : The WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. Lancet227L for the integral of a state set
- 227 Infect Dis 18:318-327
- Lucet JC, Koulenti D, Zahar JR (2014) Persitsence of colonization with MDRO following
 discharge from the ICU. Intensive Care Med 40:603-5
- 230 [5] Sievert D, Ricks P, Edwards J, Schneider A, Patel J, Srinivasan A et al (2013) Antimicrobial-
- resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data
- 232 reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and
- 233 Prevention, 2009-2010. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 34:1–14
- [6] Magiorakos A-P, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG et al (2012)
- 235 Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an
- 236 international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin
- 237 Microbiol Infect 18:268–281
- 238 [7] Otter JA, Mutters NT, Tacconelli E, Gikas A, Holmes AH (2015) Controversies in guidelines for
- 239 the control of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in EU countries. Clin Microbiol
- 240 Infect 21:1057–1066

242antibiotics for drug resistant bacterial infections, including tuberculosis. Essent Med Heal243Prod 2017:88. doi:WHO reference number: WHO/EMP/IAU/2017.12.244[9]Poulakou G, Matthaiou DK, Bassetti M, Erdem H, Dimopoulos G, Curcio DJ et al (2017)245"Salvage treatment" for infections by extensively- and pan-drug-resistant pathogens is246common and often sub-optimal. Intensive Care Med 43:1164–1166

WHO. Prioritization of pathogens to guide discovery, research and development of new

- Zaragoza R, Ramirez P, Lopez-Pueyo, MJ (2014) Nosocomial Infections in intensive Care Units.
 Enfermedades Infec Mircobiol Clin 32:320-327
- [11] Belton V, Stewart TJ. Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis : an Integrated Approach. Springer
 US; 2002
- [12] Borgatta B, Gattarello S, Mazo CA, Imbiscuso AT, Larrosa MN, Lujan M et al (2017) The clinical
 significance of pneumonia in patients with respiratory specimens harbouring multidrug-
- 253 resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a 5-year retrospective study following 5667 patients in

254 four general ICUs. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 36:2155–2163

- 255 [13] Grundmann H, Glasner C, Albiger B, Aanensen DM, Tomlinson CT, Andrasević AT et al (2017)
- 256 Occurrence of carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli in the
- 257 European survey of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (EuSCAPE): a prospective,
- 258 multinational study. Lancet Infect Dis 17:153–163
- [14] Kern WV (2018) Multidrug reisitan Bacteria: Antibiotic Prescription and antibiotics of last
 resort. DDtsch Med Wochenschr 143:643-650
- [15] Micek ST, Wunderinck RG, Kollef MH et al (2015) An international multicenteer retrospective
 study of Pseudomonas aeruginosa nosocomial pneumonia: impact of multidrug resistance.
- 263 Crit Care 19: 219.

241

[8]

- 264 [16] Zilberberg MD, Shorr AF, Micek ST, Vazquez-Guillamet C, Kollef MH (2014) Multi-drug
- 265 resistance, inappropriate initial antibiotic therapy and mortality in Gram-negative severe

- 266 sepsis and septic shock: a retrospective cohort study. Crit Care 18:596
- 267 [17] Paterson DL, Harris PNA (2015) *Editorial Commentary* : The New Acinetobacter Equation:
- 268 Hypervirulence Plus Antibiotic Resistance Equals Big Trouble. Clin Infect Dis 61:155–156
- 269 [18] Health care-associated infections FACT SHEET.
- http://www.who.int/gpsc/country_work/gpsc_ccisc_fact_sheet_en.pdf. Accessed november
 5th, 2018
- 272 [19] Talaat M, El-Shokry M, El-Kholy J, Ismail G, Kotb S, Hafez S et al (2016) National surveillance of
- 273 health care–associated infections in Egypt: Developing a sustainable program in a resource-

274 limited country. Am J Infect Control 44:1296–1301

- 275 [20] Lim C, Takahashi E, Hongsuwan M, Wuthiekanun V, Thamlikitkul V, Hinjoy S et al (2016)
- 276 Epidemiology and burden of multidrug-resistant bacterial infection in a developing country.
- 277 Elife 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.18082
- 278 [21] Dondorp AM, Limmathurotsakul D, Ashley EA (2018) What's wrong in the control of
- antimicrobial resistance in critically ill patients from low- and middle-income countries?

280 Intensive Care Med 44:79–82

- 281 [22] Alp E, Damani N (2015) Healthcare-associated infections in intensive care units: epidemiology
- and infection control in low-to-middle income countries. J Infect Dev Ctries 9:1040–1045
- 283 [23] Iwuafor AA, Ogunsola FT, Oladele RO, Oduyebo OO, Desalu I, Egwuatu CC et al (2016)
- 284 Incidence, Clinical Outcome and Risk Factors of Intensive Care Unit Infections in the Lagos

285 University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Lagos, Nigeria. PLoS One11:e0165242.

- 286 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165242
- 287 [24] Vincent JL, Bihari DJ, Suter PM, Bruining HA, White J, Nicolas-Chanoin MH et al (1998) The
- 288 prevalence of nosocomial infection in intensive care units in Europe. Results of the European
- 289 Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care (EPIC) Study. EPIC International Advisory Committee.

290 JAMA 274:639–644

291	[25]	Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD et al (2009) International study of
292		the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA 302:2323–2329.
293		doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1754
294		
295		
296		
297		
298		
299		
300		

Appendix 1. TOTEM Study Investigators

303 Argentina: Luna CM, Reina R; Bulgaria: Dobrevska R, China: Deng H, Leiqing L, Liu L, 温, 沈延飞, LX 304 , Wang D, Yuetian Y, Zhang G, Zh Zhang, Zheng C, YW, ZRYHC; Colombia: Del Rio G; Dominican 305 Republic: Rojas R; Ethiopia: Amare D. France: Alfandri S, Argemi X, Dellamonica J. Kernies S, Lesprit 306 P, Greece: Arvanitik K, Papanikolaou M, Tsigou E, Soultati I, Platsouka E, Katsiari M, Nikolaou C, 307 Tsiodras S; Italy: Antonelli M, Cascio A, DiPascale G, Garofalo E, Girardis M, Leone D; India: Vandana 308 KE, Kaniyarakkal V, Munim F, Nath S, Patil S, Suchitra U; Israel: Yahav D; Kenya: Misango DO; 309 Kosovo:Gecaj-Gashi A; Kuwait: Rotimi V; Mexico: Aguilar D, Araujo-Melendez J, Franco-Zendejas R, 310 Lagunes L, Lemus J, Perales Martinez DE, Rivera Chavez M; Netherlands: Schouten J; Oman: Khamis 311 F; Pakistan: Nizamuddin S, Portugal: Santos L, Santos-Ribeiro E; Romania: Miftode E; South Africa: 312 Alekar S, Baker D, Ballot D, Black V, Bhamjee S, Brannigan L, Hunt IA, Kotze J, Lowman W, Levy B, 313 Mer M, Morar R, Michell W, Nana T, Pahad H, Tsai M, Schleicher GK, Shaddock E, Shoul E, Smith C, 314 Richards GA, Van der Merwe L, Welkovics N; Spain: Borges M, Diaz E, J Garnacho-Montero, Maseda 315 E, Mañez R, Rello J, Samso E, Serrano R, Solvio J, Vidaur L, Zaragoza R; Thailand: Wongsurakiat P; 316 Turkey: Abravci N, Akbudak I, Akkoyunlu Y, Altındiş M, Aydin DÇelebi G, Emel A, Emine A, Erdem 317 H, Gulden E, Guner R, Kızmaz Y, Yalçin A, Kepenek E, Sener A, Tekin R, Tulek N, Ulu , Unuvar G; United 318 Kingdom: Buckley J, Conway-Morris A, Dunn M, Hall A, Hobrok M, Felton T, Fletcher S, Marshall B, 319 McConnell H, McKee R, McAuley D, McFie C, Morton B, Naisbitt J, Rooney K, Szakmany T, Yates B, 320 Zochios V; Venezuela: Von der Osten J.

321

323 Appendix 2a- Steering Committee members

Jordi Rello, Spain (Chair); Joana Alves, Portugal; Leonel Lagunes, Mexico; Jeroen Schouten,
Netherlands; Celine Pulcini, France; Nieves Larrosa, Spain; Mervyn Mer, South Africa; Emine Alp,

- 326 Turkey; Zhongheng Zhang, China.
- 327
- 328

329 Appendix 2b- Scoring Committee members

330 Emine Alp, Turkey; Andrew Conway-Morris, UK; Leonel Lagunes, Mexico; Davide Leoni, Italy; Jose

- 331 Nicolas, Colombia; Jordi Rello, Spain, Vandana KE, India; Richard Wunderink, USA; Zhongheng Zhang,
- 332 China.
- 333
- 334
- 335

				Rank order of criteria (Mean score)	of criteria	(Mean s	core)				Priority
Pathogen list	Mortality (19)	Treatability (19)	Cost of treatment (15)	Health care burden (13)	Prevalence of resistance (12)	Preventability (10)	Transmissibility (7)	Current pipeline (7)	Community burden (5)	Sum score	level
Carbapenem-resistant	144.88	137.75	112.50	87.75	67.50	60.00	52.50	47.25	26.25	736.38	Critical
A. baumannii											
Carbapenemase expressing	147.25	130.63	114.38	92.63	52.50	77.50	29.75	47.25	24.29	716.16	
K.pneumoniae (KPC)											
Multidrug resistant	147.25	125.88	110.63	95.88	70.50	57.50	44.63	32.38	25.71	710.34	
P. aeruginosa											
Carbapenem-resistant	144.88	125.88	116.25	68.25	57.00	58.75	33.25	52.50	18.75	675.50	High
P. aeruginosa											
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase	102.13	114.00	63.75	91.00	88.50	56.25	38.50	42.88	42.50	639.50	
K. pneumoniae											
Methicillin-resistant S. aureus	116.38	85.50	80.63	79.63	84.00	67.50	48.13	39.38	33.13	634.25	
(MRSA)											
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase	76.00	90.25	71.25	81.25	97.50	58.75	42.00	42.00	48.75	607.75	
E. coli											

Table 1 Weighting of the criteria and the scores for the priority list of resistant microorganisms at intensive Care units

TMP/SMX resistant S.maltophilia 45.13 73.63 41.25 16.25 24.00 28.75	Serratia spp	Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase 57.00 104.50 52.50	(VRE)	Vancomycin resistant Enterococci64.1364.1371.25
5 16.25		0 48.75		5 53.63
24.00		52.50 42.50		67.50 42.50
28.75		42.50		42.50
14.88		29.75		51.63
14.88 20.13 12.50 276.50		29.75 34.13 25.63		51.63 27.13 21.88
12.50		25.63		21.88
276.50		447.25		463.75 Medium
				Medium