

Solution to Problem 73-17: A Hadamard-type bound on the coefficients of a determinant of polynomials

Citation for published version (APA):

Lossers, O. P. (1974). Solution to Problem 73-17: A Hadamard-type bound on the coefficients of a determinant of polynomials. *SIAM Review*, 16(3), 394-395. <https://doi.org/10.1137/1016065>, <https://doi.org/10.1137/1016064>

DOI:

[10.1137/1016065](https://doi.org/10.1137/1016065)
[10.1137/1016064](https://doi.org/10.1137/1016064)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1974

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

where M is $r \times r$ and nonsingular. In what follows, it will be assumed without loss of generality that A is already partitioned as above, for if $RAC = BG$ is a nonnegative rank factorization of RAC , then $A = (R^T B)(GC^T)$ is a nonnegative rank factorization of A .

If P is a real matrix with r columns, then define $\mathcal{G}(P) = \{Px | x \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}(P) = \{x \geq 0 | Px \geq 0\}$. Note that $\mathcal{G}(P)$ and $\mathcal{C}(P)$ are polyhedral cones. A cone \mathcal{S} will be said to be *solid* if there exists a nonsingular matrix N of rank r such that $\mathcal{G}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, and *simplicial* if there exists such an N for which equality holds.

THEOREM. *If A is an $m \times n$ nonnegative matrix of rank r where r satisfies $0 < r < \min\{m, n\}$ and A is partitioned as stated previously, then A has a nonnegative rank factorization if and only if there exists a simplicial cone \mathcal{S} satisfying $\mathcal{G}([M, MQ]) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(P)$.*

Proof. If such an \mathcal{S} exists, then $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{G}(X)$, where X is $r \times r$ and nonsingular. Thus $X \geq 0$ and $PX \geq 0$. Since $\mathcal{G}([M, MQ]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, there exist nonnegative matrices Y and Z such that $M = XY$ and $MQ = XZ$. Then A has the nonnegative rank factorization

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ PX \end{pmatrix} \cdot (Y, Z).$$

Conversely, suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ W \end{pmatrix} \cdot (Y, Z)$$

is a nonnegative rank factorization of A , where X and Y are $r \times r$. Since M is nonsingular, then so are the nonnegative matrices X and Y . Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{G}(X)$. Then \mathcal{S} is simplicial and also $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(P)$ since $PX = W$ and W is nonnegative. Since $M = XY$ and $MQ = XZ$, $\mathcal{G}([M, MQ]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

COROLLARY. *Every rank 1 and rank 2 nonnegative matrix has a nonnegative rank factorization.*

Proof. Every solid polyhedral cone in R^1 and R^2 is simplicial.

In light of the corollary, the minimum dimensions and rank possible for a nonnegative matrix A having no nonnegative rank factorization are 4×4 and 3, respectively. The following is such a matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. $P = [-1, 1, 1]$. $\mathcal{C}(P)$ is not simplicial, while $\mathcal{G}([M, MQ]) = \mathcal{C}(P)$.

A. BEN-ISRAEL (The Technion, Haifa, Israel) also gave a similar counterexample.

Problem 73-17, A Hadamard-Type Bound on the Coefficients of a Determinant of Polynomials, by A. J. GOLDSTEIN and R. L. GRAHAM (Bell Telephone Laboratories).

If $A = (a_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, a classical inequality of Hadamard [2, p. 253]

asserts that

$$|\det A| \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \equiv H(A).$$

In recent studies on coefficient growth in greatest common divisor algorithms for polynomials, W. S. Brown [1] was led to inquire about possible analogues of this inequality for the case in which the entries of the matrix are *polynomials*.

Let $A(x) = (A_{ij}(x))$ be a matrix whose elements are polynomials and let a_0, a_1, \dots be the coefficients of the polynomial representation of $\det A(x)$. If $W = (w_{ij})$, where w_{ij} denotes the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of $A_{ij}(x)$, then show that

$$\left(\sum |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq H(W).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. S. BROWN, *On Euclid's algorithm and the computation of polynomial greatest common divisors*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 18 (1971), pp. 478-504.
- [2] F. R. GANTMACHER, *The Theory of Matrices*, vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1960.

Solution by O. P. LOSSERS (Technological University, Eindhoven, the Netherlands).

Since $|A_{kl}(e^{it})| \leq w_{kl}$, it follows from Hadamard's inequality that

$$|\det A(e^{it})|^2 \leq \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n |A_{kl}(e^{it})|^2 \leq \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n w_{kl}^2 = (H(W))^2.$$

However,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\det A(e^{it})|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\left(\sum a_k e^{ikt} \right) \left(\sum \bar{a}_l e^{-ilt} \right) \right) dt = \sum |a_k|^2.$$

Hence

$$\sum |a_k|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\det A(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (H(W))^2 dt = (H(W))^2.$$

Also solved by A. A. JAGERS (Technische Hogeschool Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands) and the proposers.