

METHODOLOGY ARTICLE

Open Access

A high throughput multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of seven aminoglycoside-resistance genes in Enterobacteriaceae

Xiumei Hu^{1,2,3†}, Banglao Xu^{3†}, Yinmei Yang^{3†}, Dayu Liu³, Mengjie Yang¹, Ji Wang¹, Hongwei Shen¹, Xiaomian Zhou^{3*} and Xuejun Ma^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The aminoglycoside-resistance genes encoding aminoglycoside modifying enzymes and 16S rRNA methyltransferases are main factors contributing to increasing resistance to aminoglycosides. Characterization and distribution of antimicrobial resistance gene profiles provide important information on the potential difficulty of treatment of bacteria. Several molecular methods have been developed to investigate the prevalence of aminoglycoside-resistance genes. These existing methods are time-consuming, labor-intensive, expensive or limited sensitivity in the epidemiological investigation. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a rapid, less-costly and high throughput and sensitive method to investigate the distribution of antimicrobial resistance gene in clinical isolates.

Results: In this study, we developed a GeXP analyzer-based multiplex PCR assay to simultaneously detect seven aminoglycoside-resistance genes, including *aac(3)-II*, *aac(6)-Ib*, *aac(6)-II*, *ant(3)-I*, *aph(3)-VI*, *armA* and *rmtB*, and to analyze the distribution of these genes in clinical Enterobacteriaceae isolates. Under optimized conditions, this assay achieved a limit-of-detection as low as 10 copies of each of the seven genes. The presented method was applied to analyze the distribution of aminoglycoside-resistance genes in 56 clinical Enterobacteriaceae isolates, and the results were compared with that of the conventional single PCR assay. Kappa values of the two methods for detecting each of the seven resistance genes were 0.831, 0.846, 0.810, 0.909, 0.887, 0.810 and 0.825, respectively.

Conclusion: This GeXP assay is demonstrated to be a rapid, cost-effective and high throughput method with high sensitivity and specificity for simultaneously detecting seven common aminoglycoside-resistance genes.

Keywords: Aminoglycosides, Resistance genes, GeXP analyzer, Multiplex PCR, Capillary electrophoresis

Background

Aminoglycosides are potent bactericidal antibiotics targeting the bacterial ribosome, where they bind to the A-site and disrupt protein synthesis. They are particularly active against aerobic, Gram-negative bacteria and act synergistically against certain Gram-positive organisms [1-3]. Unfortunately, their efficacy has been reduced by the surge

and dissemination of resistance. In some cases the levels of resistance reached the point that rendered them virtually useless [4]. There are several considerable mechanisms that cause resistance to aminoglycosides including: 1) the acquisition of modifying enzymes such as acetyltransferases, phosphotransferases and adenylyltransferases, 2) modification of the target by mutation in ribosomal proteins [5] or in 16S rRNA [6], or by 16S rRNA methyltransferase such as ArmA [7], Rmt families [8,9] and NpmA [10], 3) decreased intracellular accumulation of the antibiotic by alteration of outer membrane permeability, diminished inner membrane transport, or active efflux pump [11]. The main factors contributing to the increasing isolates resistant to aminoglycosides were reported to be the widespread genes encoding aminoglycoside-

* Correspondence: zhouxmphd@163.com; maxj@ivdc.chinacdc.cn

†Equal contributors

³Department of Laboratory Medicine, Guangzhou First Municipal People's Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical College, Guangzhou 510180, People's Republic of China

¹Key Laboratory for Medical Virology, Ministry of Health, National Institute for Viral Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, People's Republic of China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

modifying enzymes and 16S rRNA methyltransferase [2,12-14]. The most common aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme gene types are *aac(3)-II*, followed by *aac(6')-I*, *ant(3'')-I*, *aph(3')-II*, and *ant(2'')-I* in *Escherichia coli* [15]. Furthermore, *aac(6')-II* and *aph(3')-VI* are respectively the significant resistance determinants of gentamicin, tobramycin, and amikacin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [4,16]. In addition, modification of 16S rRNA by methylases reduces binding to aminoglycosides, leading to high-level resistance to amikacin, kanamycin, tobramycin and gentamicin [17]. Currently, seven 16S rRNA methylase genes have been identified (*armA*, *rmtA*, *rmtB*, *rmtC*, *rmtD*, *rmtE*, *rmtF* and *npmA*), among which, *armA* and *rmtB* are the most common 16S rRNA methyltransferase genes [9,14,18,19].

Characterization and distribution of antimicrobial resistance gene profiles provide important information on the potential difficulty of treatment of bacteria. This information can be used to facilitate prompt and effective treatment of bacterial infections. In order to investigate the prevalence of aminoglycoside-resistance genes, several methods have been developed, including conventional single PCR and multiplex PCR assays combined with agarose gel electrophoresis analysis, hybridization with DNA probes, and sequence analysis [20,21]. Some drawbacks with these existing methods are time-consuming, labor-intensive, and difficult to analyze multiple genes simultaneously. DNA chips provide a versatile platform for rapidly screening several thousand potential antimicrobial resistance genes in parallel [22,23]. However, it is expensive and time-consuming for detecting numerous clinical isolates in the epidemiological investigation. So it is necessary to develop a rapid, cost effective and high throughput method to investigate the distribution of aminoglycoside resistance gene in clinical isolates.

The GenomeLab Gene eXpression Profiler genetic analysis system (GeXP analyzer) provided by Beckman Coulter Company (Brea, CA, USA) has been adopted by our group and successfully applied in the rapid detection of pandemic influenza A H1N1 virus [24], simultaneous detection of 11 human papillomavirus (HPV)

genotypes [25], sixteen human respiratory virus types/subtypes [26] and nine serotypes of enteroviruses associated with hand, foot, and mouth disease [27] with high sensitivity and specificity. The general analysis procedure of GeXP assay consists of chimeric primer-based multiplex PCR amplification and capillary electrophoresis separation. In this study, a high throughput, cost-effective GeXP analyzer-based multiplex PCR assay (GeXP assay) was developed to simultaneously detect seven aminoglycoside-resistance genes, including five aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes genes [*aac(3)-II*, *aac(6')-Ib*, *aac(6')-II*, *ant(3'')-I* and *aph(3')-VI*] and two 16S rRNA methyltransferase genes [*armA* and *rmtB*], and the results were compared with that of the conventional single PCR assay.

Methods

Bacterial strains

In order to evaluate the efficacy of primers and PCR assay, 8 reference strains listed in Table 1 (JN108884.1, JN119854.1, JN108899.1, HQ880271.1, GU944731.1, GU120473.1, JQ780837.1 and HQ880255.1) and 5 clinical strains (Table 2, including 3 strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 2 strains of *Escherichia coli*) were selected as positive controls, *Escherichia coli* ATCC# 25922 and *Escherichia coli* J53 were used as negative controls. In the initial experiment, the distributions of aminoglycoside resistance genes among those controls strains were confirmed by conventional PCR with the specific primers listed in Table 3. Fifty six clinical isolates of Enterobacteriaceae were used to evaluate the utility of GeXP assay. All the clinical samples were taken as part of standard patient care from the inpatients at Guangzhou First Municipal People's Hospital from January 2008 to December 2009. This protocol was approved by the Committee on the Use of Human Subjects in Research at Guangzhou First Municipal People's Hospital, an affiliated hospital of Guangzhou Medical College. All the informed consents from the inpatients themselves or their guardians were obtained. In initial experiments, the identification of the clinical

Table 1 Distribution of aminoglycoside resistance genes in 8 reference strains

Strains No.	Reference strains	Presence of aminoglycoside resistance genes	GenBank accession no.
NF512663	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>aac(6')-Ib</i> [<i>aacA4</i>]*	JN108884.1
NF802568	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>ant(3'')-II</i> [<i>aadA2</i>] *	JN119854.1
NF811738	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>aac(6')-Ib</i> [<i>aacA4</i>] *& <i>ant(3'')-I</i> [<i>aadA1</i>] *	JN108899.1
NF707346	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>ant(2'')-I</i> [<i>aadB</i>] *& <i>ant(3'')-I</i> [<i>aadA1</i>] *	HQ880271.1
NF802824	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>acc(6)-II</i>	GU944731.1
NF811834	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>aadA5</i>	GU120473.1
NF141160	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	<i>aac(3'')-I</i> [<i>aacC1</i>] * & <i>ant(3'')-I</i> [<i>aadA1</i>] *	JQ780837.1
NF910192	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	<i>aac(6)-II</i> & <i>ant(2'')-I</i> [<i>aadB</i>] *	HQ880255.1

* Synonyms in the bracket.

Table 2 Distribution of aminoglycoside resistance genes in 5 positive control isolates

Strains No.	Species	Presence of aminoglycoside resistance genes						
		<i>aac(3)-II</i>	<i>aac(6')-Ib</i>	<i>aac(6')-II</i>	<i>ant(3'')-I</i>	<i>aph(3')-VI</i>	<i>armA</i>	<i>rmtB</i>
1086	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
1135	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
1136	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
1174	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
1313	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	+	+	-	+	+	+	-

isolates and the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of antibiotics were confirmed by the VITEK[®] 2 system (bioMérieux, France) (Additional file 1). Forty eight of the 56 isolates (including 30 strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 18 strains of *Escherichia coli*) presented resistance to gentamicin (MIC \geq 16 μ g/mL), tobramycin (MIC \geq 16 μ g/mL) and/or amikacin (MIC \geq 64 μ g/mL), the other 8 isolates (including 5 strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 3 strains of *Escherichia coli*) were susceptible to gentamicin (MIC \leq 4 μ g/mL), tobramycin (MIC \leq 4 μ g/mL) and amikacin (MIC \leq 16 μ g/mL) according to the standards of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI 2012).

Bacterial genomic DNAs extraction and mono-resistance gene clone

The bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from overnight cultures using MiniBEST Bacterial Genomic DNA Extraction Kit Ver.2.0 (TAKARA, Dalian, China). The entire coding regions of *aac(3)-II*, *aac(6')-Ib*, *aac(6')-II*, *ant(3'')-I*, *aph(3')-VI*, *armA* and *rmtB* were amplified individually from the positive control isolates with the specific primer listed in Table 3. PCR conditions for the amplifications were as follows: 5 min at 94°C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C,

30 s at 56°C and 1 min at 72°C and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were cloned using the pMD18-T vector (TAKARA, Dalian, China), into *E. coli* JM109 and positive clones were selected using an X-Gal /IPTG LB agar plate containing ampicillin (100 mg/L). Recombinant plasmids were purified with QIAGEN Plasmid Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), treated with the RNase to eliminate residual RNA and subjected to DNA sequencing using T7 and SP6 sequence primers on an AB SOLiDTM 4.0 System (Applied Biosystems, USA). The obtained DNA sequences were compared with relevant sequences in the GenBank database by using the BLAST algorithm (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastn&BLAST_PROGRAMS=megaBlast&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&SHOW_DEFAULTS=on&LINK_LOC=blasthome).

Primers

In this study, a total of one pair of universal primers (Tag-F/Tag-R) and seven pairs of chimeric primers (specific primers linked to the 3' end to the universal primers) were designed (Table 4). Tag-F (AGGTGACACTATAGAATA) and Tag-R (GTACGACTCACTATAGGGA) were quasi-T7 sequences and selected by default using the GeXP

Table 3 Primers for the entire coding regions of 7 aminoglycoside-resistance genes

Primer	Sequence (5'→3')	Reference or source	Size (bp)
<i>aac(3)-II</i>	F: ATATCGCGATGCATACGCGG	[31]	877
	R: GACGGCCTCTAACCGGAAGG		
<i>aac(6')-Ib</i>	F: TTGCGATGCTCTATGAGTGGCTA	[32]	472
	R: CTCGAATGCCTGGCGTGTTT		
<i>aac(6')-II</i>	F: CGACCATTTTCATGTCC	This study*	542
	R: GAAGGCTTGCTCGTGTTT		
<i>ant(3'')-I</i>	F: CATCATGAGGGAAGCGGTG	[33]	787
	R: GACTACCTTGGTGATCTCG		
<i>aph(3')-VI</i>	F: ATGGAATTGCCCAATATTATT	[34]	780
	R: TCAATTCAATTCATCAAGTTT		
<i>armA</i>	F: CCGAAATGACAGTTCCTATC	[13]	846
	R: GAAAATGAGTGCCTTGGAGG		
<i>rmtB</i>	F: ATGAACATCAACGATGCCCTC	[13]	769
	R: CCTTCTGATTGGCTTATCCA		

*The primers have been validated with referenced strains (GU944731.1 and HQ880255.1).

Table 4 Primers information of GeXP assay

Primer	Sequence (5'→3')*	GenBank accession no.	Position	Size (bp)
<i>aac(3)-II</i>	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATAACTGTGATGGGATACGCGTC	DQ449578.1	87359–87378	274
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGACTCCGTCAGCGTTTCAGCYA		87595–87576	
<i>aac(6')-Ib</i>	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATACTGTTCAATGATCCCGAGGT	JN861072.1	101468–101487	188
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGATGGCGTGTTTGAACCATGTA		101619–101600	
<i>aac(6')-II</i>	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATATTCATGTCCGCGAGCACCCC	GU944731.1	1307–1326	215
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGAGACTCTCCGCCATCGCTCT		1485–1466	
<i>ant(3'')-I</i>	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATATGATTTGCTGGTTACGGTGAC	HM106456.1	2207–2229	321
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGACGCTATGTTCTCTTCTTTTG		2490–2470	
<i>aph(3')-VI</i>	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATAACGAAACAGCGTTTATAGAGC	JF949760.1	727–746	288
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGAGGTTTTGCATTGATCGCTTT		975–956	
<i>armA</i>	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATATGCATCAAATATGGGGTCT	FJ410928.1	3953–3972	247
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGATGAAGCCACAACCAAATCT		4162–4143	
<i>rmtB</i>	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATAGCTGTGATATCCACCAGGGA	FJ410927.1	5326–5345	177
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGAAAGCTTAAAAATCAGCGCCA		5465–5446	
Cy5-labeled Tag	F:AGGTGACACTATAGAATA			
	R:GTACGACTCACTATAGGGA			

*Universal tag sequences are underlined.

eXpress Profiler software. The gene-specific sequences of the primers for *aac(3)-II*, *aac(6')-II*, *ant(3'')-I* were previously reported [15,20]. The gene-specific sequences of other four pairs of primers were designed by NCBI Primer-Blast and GeXP eXpress Profiler softwares. The primer for *aac(6')-Ib* also covered the variant gene *aac(6')-Ib-cr* which not only resulted in aminoglycosides resistance but also mediated quinolone resistance [28]. The 5' ends of the forward and reverse universal primers were labeled with fluorescent dye Cy5 and purified with high pressure liquid chromatography. All chimeric primers were purified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.


Evaluation of the specificity of the GeXP assay

The DNA templates were extracted bacterial genomic DNAs of the 8 reference strains, 5 positive control isolates, 2 negative controls and 7 recombinant plasmids harboring each of the 7 resistance genes, respectively. The mono GeXP assay and GeXP assay were developed using single template and each pair of gene-specific primers (for mono GeXP assay) or using single template in a multiplex primer format (for GeXP assay), respectively, to ascertain the actual amplicon size of each target region. The PCR assays were performed with QIAGEN Multiplex PCR kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) in a 25 µl volume containing 12.5 µl of 2× QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Master Mix (HotStarTaq® DNA Polymerase, Multiplex PCR Buffer, dNTP Mix) and 1 µl of DNA templates. The mono GeXP assay contained 50 nM of each pair of

gene-specific chimeric primers individually while the GeXP assay contained 50 nM of each of 7 pairs of gene-specific chimeric primers and 500 nM of the universal Tag primers as the final concentrations, nuclease-free water was added to 25 µl reaction volume. The PCR was performed under the following conditions: 95°C for 10 min, followed by three steps of amplification procedures reaction according to the temperature switch PCR (TSP) strategy [29]: step 1, 10 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; step 2, 10 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 65°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; step 3, 20 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 48°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s (Figure 1).

Separation by capillary electrophoresis (CE) and fragment analysis

PCR products were combined with DNA Size Standard at the volume ratio of 2: 0.25 per reaction in 25 µl of Sample Loading Solution and separated on a GeXP Analyzer by capillary electrophoresis, following the protocols as described previously [27,30]. After amplified fragments were separated, the peaks were initially analyzed using the Fragment Analysis module of the GeXP system software and matched to the appropriate amplified products. The peaks height for each gene was reported in the electropherogram, respectively (Figure 1). The dye signal strength was measured by fluorescence spectrophotometry in arbitrary units (A.U.) of optical fluorescence. For all amplified products, the reaction was considered positive when the value of dye signal was over 1000 A.U. In addition, PCR products were



sequenced and compared with relevant sequences in the GenBank database by using the BLAST algorithm (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastn&BLAST_PROGRAMS=megaBlast&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&SHOW_DEFAULTS=on&LINK_LOC=blasthome).

Evaluation of the limit of detection of the GeXP assay

The limit of detection of GeXP assay was measured by using 7 purified recombinant plasmids containing seven complete resistance genes, respectively. The concentration for each resistance gene was quantitated by spectrophotometry (NanoDrop ND-2000) and serial ten-fold diluted from 10^4 copies to 1 copy per microliter, and then individually subjected to the GeXP assay. The concentrations of specific primers were then optimized according to the amplification efficiency of the GeXP assay using single template. The sensitivity of the optimized GeXP assay for simultaneous detection of seven genes was re-evaluated using pre-mixed recombinant plasmids containing seven resistance genes ranging from 10^4 copies to 1 copies for each resistance gene per microliter for three times on three different days.

Application to clinical isolates

Genomic DNAs extracted from 56 clinical isolates were used to illustrate the clinical performance of the optimized GeXP assay. All the clinical isolates were detected in parallel by conventional single PCR with the specific primers reported by the previous study [13,31-35]. The amplified

products were analyzed by electrophoresis at 100 V for 25 to 30 minutes in a 2% agarose gel stained with SYBR green. Positive PCR products were purified, sequenced using T7 and SP6 sequence primers on AB SOLiDTM 4.0 System (Applied Biosystems, USA) and compared with the sequences in GenBank for gene type identification by using the BLAST algorithm.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 13.0) for Windows. The χ^2 -test and Fisher's exact test were conducted to measure the detection agreement of GeXP assay with conventional single PCR method.

Results and discussion

In this study, we adopted seven pairs of chimeric gene-specific primers to develop a GeXP assay for simultaneous detection of seven common aminoglycoside-resistance genes including five aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes genes [*aac(3)-II*, *aac(6')-Ib*, *aac(6')-II*, *ant(3'')-I* and *aph(3')-VI*] and two 16S rRNA methyltransferase genes [*armA* and *rmtB*].

The principle of proposed GeXP assay is based on the amplification with two sets of primers: the universal primers and the gene-specific chimeric primers (gene-specific primers linked to the 3' ends of universal primer sequences). During the first few cycles of PCR,





Table 5 Comparison of GeXP assay and conventional single PCR for detecting seven aminoglycoside-resistance genes

Resistance genes	GeXP assay	Conventional single PCR			Measures of agreement Kappa values*
		Positive	Negative	Total	
<i>aac(3)-II</i>	Positive	37	2	39	0.831 ($P=0.000$)
	Negative	2	15	17	
	Total	39	17	56	
<i>aac(6')-Ib</i>	Positive	11	3	14	0.846 ($P=0.000$)
	Negative	0	42	42	
	Total	11	45	56	
<i>aac(6')-II</i>	Positive	8	2	10	0.810 ($P=0.000$)
	Negative	1	45	46	
	Total	9	47	56	
<i>ant(3'')-I</i>	Positive	40	2	42	0.909 ($P=0.000$)
	Negative	0	14	14	
	Total	40	16	56	
<i>aph(3')-VI</i>	Positive	10	0	10	0.887 ($P=0.000$)
	Negative	2	44	46	
	Total	12	44	56	
<i>armA</i>	Positive	40	2	42	0.810 ($P=0.000$)
	Negative	2	12	14	
	Total	42	14	56	
<i>rmtB</i>	Positive	14	3	17	0.825 ($P=0.000$)
	Negative	1	38	39	
	Total	15	41	56	

*As a rule of thumb, values of Kappa from 0.40 to 0.59 are considered moderate, 0.60 to 0.79 substantial, and 0.80 outstanding.

amplification is carried out by chimeric forward and reverse primers. In later stages of PCR, amplification is predominantly carried out by universal forward and reverse primers. All gene targets in the multiplex panel are amplified by the correspondent chimeric primers and the universal primers. The universal primer is fluorescently dye-labeled enabling subsequent fluorescence detection of amplicons by capillary electrophoresis. The temperature switch PCR (TSP) strategy was adopted to optimize the amplification parameters. The triphasic PCR parameters of the TSP allow a multiplex PCR to be performed under standardized PCR conditions, and therefore do not require optimization of each individual PCR assay. The optimal settings for three different denaturation temperatures and the amplification cycle conditions were determined in the current protocol. The concentration of the fluorescently dye-labeled universal primers was almost ten times that of the chimeric primers in the GeXP assay, so in the last 20 cycles of PCR, amplification was carried out predominantly with universal forward and reverse tag primers (Figure 1). This should reduce the occurrence of

preferential amplification in the reaction and minimize nonspecific reactions.

Evaluation of the specificity of the GeXP assay

In mono GeXP assay, each pair of gene-specific primers could amplify the target region of the corresponding aminoglycoside resistance gene without nonspecific products. The amplicon size for each target resistance gene was as follows, *aac(3)-II*: 267-269 bp, *aac(6')-Ib*: 189-191 bp, *aac(6')-II*: 217-218 bp, *ant(3'')-I*: 320-322 bp, *aph(3')-VI*: 286-288 bp, *armA*: 248-249 bp and *rmtB*: 174-177 bp. In GeXP assay using seven recombinant plasmids as templates, all the specific amplification peaks were observed presenting the gene-specific target amplicon without cross-amplification (Figure 2). In GeXP assay using 8 reference strains and 5 positive control strains as templates, all the correspondent genes in this study could be detected without nonspecific amplification. The other aminoglycoside resistance genes (e.g., *ant(2'')-I* and *aadA5*) which were not targeted in this study did not generate nonspecific amplification in the GeXP assay. All the amplicons of mono GeXP assay were sequenced and confirmed as the target genes by BLAST comparison with relevant sequences in the GenBank database (data not shown).

Evaluation of the analytic sensitivity of the GeXP assay

The sensitivity of the GeXP assay was measured using quantitative recombinant plasmids. The GeXP assay with 50 nM of each pair of gene-specific chimeric primers could individually detect as few as 5 copies of *armA*, 10 copies of *aac(3)-I*, *aac(6')-Ib* and *rmtB*, about 100 copies of *aac(6')-II*, *aph(3')-VI* and *ant(3'')-I* per reaction. Based on all the amplification efficiency (above analytic sensitivity results) of GeXP assay with single recombinant plasmid template, the concentration of each chimeric primer in the optimized GeXP assay was adjusted as follows: the primers concentrations of *aac(3)-II*, *aac(6')-Ib*, *armA* and *rmtB* were 50 nM, while the concentrations of the other three pairs of chimeric primers [including *aac(6')-II*, *aph(3')-VI* and *ant(3'')-I*] were doubled up to 100 nM. The optimized GeXP assay reduced the potential interference due to the preferred amplification in mixed settings and achieved a sensitivity of 10 copies when seven pre-mixed recombinant plasmids templates were present in three independent experiments on three different days (Figure 3).

Application to clinical specimens and statistical analysis

Fifty six strains of Enterobacteriaceae were detected simultaneously by both the GeXP assay and the conventional single PCR followed by electrophoresis analysis in a 2% agarose gel. The distribution of aminoglycoside resistance genes detected by GeXP assay in 56 clinical isolates was

shown in Additional file 1. All the sequenced amplicons of both assays were confirmed as true target genes by comparing with relevant sequences in the GenBank database (data not shown). All of the 48 resistant isolates (30 strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 18 strains of *Escherichia coli*) harbored at least one modifying enzyme gene and one or two 16S rRNA methylase genes. None of the 8 susceptible isolates harbored these resistance genes by both assays. In comparison with the results of conventional single PCR, the sensitivities of the GeXP assay for detection of *aac(3)-II*, *aac(6')-Ib*, *aac(6')-II*, *ant(3'')-I*, *aph(3')-VI*, *armA* and *rmtB* were 92.50% (37/40), 100% (11/11), 88.89% (8/9), 100% (40/40), 83.33% (10/12), 95.24% (40/42) and 93.33% (14/15), respectively, and the specificities were 88.23% (15/17), 93.33% (42/45), 95.74% (45/47), 87.50% (14/16), 100% (44/44), 85.71% (12/14) and 92.68% (38/41), respectively. The Kappa values of the GeXP assay and conventional single PCR for detecting the seven resistance genes were 0.831, 0.846, 0.810, 0.909, 0.887, 0.810 and 0.825, respectively. Those specimens that were negative by the conventional single PCR but positive by the GeXP assay (Table 5) were confirmed later by mono-GeXP assay and sequenced to be true positives, suggesting the optimized GeXP assay performed a better sensitivity than the conventional method. Meanwhile, some genes were detected as positive by conventional method but negative by multiplex GeXP assay (4th column of Table 5). The false negative genes of multiplex GeXP assay could be detected by mono-GeXP assay with less than 2000 A. U. dye signal strength of the peaks in these false negatives. The later analysis of the distribution of seven aminoglycoside-resistance genes showed that all of false negatives of multiplex GeXP assay harbored more than four genes, and the concentration of each gene in these isolates largely varied, suggesting the false negatives of multiplex GeXP assay were missed due to the ignorance of the lower peak (less than 2000 A. U. dye signal strength) overcast by higher peaks (more than 100000 A. U.).

The GeXP assay in our study can simultaneously detect 7 genes related to resistance to aminoglycosides. The cost is about 5£ per test, versus 5£ using commercial real-time PCR kit for individual gene in a single PCR assay. The whole reaction was completed in one tube within 2 hours, followed by capillary electrophoresis separation on the GeXP analyzer in 45 min, so the total turn around time (TAT) is less than 3 hours, versus 12-24 hours by antibiotic susceptibility test (AST). This strategy of multiplex PCR amplification would be used to detect more resistance genes with high sensitivity and specificity. In addition, two 96-well plates can be placed in parallel in a GeXP machine at the same time, which can be combined with the automation workstation to further increase the throughput of the samples.

Conclusions

The GeXP assay is a time-saving, cost-effective and high throughput method with high sensitivity and specificity for simultaneously detecting seven common aminoglycoside-resistance genes. Further improvement by large-scale studies for determination of the sensitivity, specificity, and clinical utility of this new method will be needed before GeXP assay can be implemented effectively in routine testing environments for molecular epidemiologic survey of resistance genes and offer a directory suggestion for clinical antibiotic therapy.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Minimal inhibitory concentration of antimicrobials and distribution of aminoglycoside resistance genes in 56 clinical isolates.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

We warrant that all authors have seen and approved the manuscript and they have contributed significantly to the work. XH, BX, and YY were involved in the operation of GeXP experiment and collection of the clinical specimens, DL, MY, JW and HS offered great help in the evaluation of GeXP results using conventional methods. XZ and XM designed and coordinated the study, analyzed data. XH, XZ and XM drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Ministry of Science and Technology, China (2011YQ0301240503 and 201102A212028), the NSFC of Guangdong Province of China, Guangzhou (9151008901000190), the Department of Health of Guangdong Province of China, Guangzhou (A2007499 and A2009518), the Municipal Bureau of Science & Technology of Guangzhou of China (2010E3-E0361, 2010U1-E00681 and 2010J-E241-1), the Guangzhou Municipal Bureau of Health of China (2009-Zdi-10 and 201102A212028), Guangdong provincial Science and Technology, China (2012B040304015) and the China Mega-Project for Infectious Disease (2011ZX10004-001, 2012ZX10004-215 and 2013ZX10004-202).

Author details

¹Key Laboratory for Medical Virology, Ministry of Health, National Institute for Viral Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, People's Republic of China. ²Department of Laboratory Medicine, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, People's Republic of China. ³Department of Laboratory Medicine, Guangzhou First Municipal People's Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical College, Guangzhou 510180, People's Republic of China.

Received: 2 December 2012 Accepted: 11 March 2013

Published: 14 March 2013

References

1. Shakil S, Khan R, Zarrilli R, Khan AU: Aminoglycosides versus bacteria—a description of the action, resistance mechanism, and nosocomial battleground. *J Biomed Sci* 2008, **15**(1):5–14.
2. Jana S, Deb JK: Molecular understanding of aminoglycoside action and resistance. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2006, **70**(2):140–150.
3. Kotra LP, Haddad J, Mobashery S: Aminoglycosides: perspectives on mechanisms of action and resistance and strategies to counter resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000, **44**(12):3249–3256.
4. Ramirez MS, Tolmashy ME: Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. *Drug Resist Updat* 2010, **13**(6):151–171.

5. Yamane K, Wachino J, Doi Y, Kurokawa H, Arakawa Y: **Global spread of multiple aminoglycoside resistance genes.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005, **11**(6):951–953.
6. O'Connor M, De Stasio EA, Dahlberg AE: **Interaction between 16S ribosomal RNA and ribosomal protein S12: differential effects of paromomycin and streptomycin.** *Biochimie* 1991, **73**(12):1493–1500.
7. Beauclerk AA, Cundliffe E: **Sites of action of two ribosomal RNA methylases responsible for resistance to aminoglycosides.** *J Mol Biol* 1987, **193**(4):661–671.
8. Zarubica T, Baker MR, Wright HT, Rife JP: **The aminoglycoside resistance methyltransferases from the ArmA/Rmt family operate late in the 30S ribosomal biogenesis pathway.** *RNA* 2010, **17**(2):346–355.
9. Galimand M, Courvalin P, Lambert T: **RmtF, a new member of the aminoglycoside resistance 16S rRNA N7 G1405 methyltransferase family.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012, **56**(7):3960–3962.
10. Wachino J, Shibayama K, Kurokawa H, Kimura K, Yamane K, Suzuki S, Shibata N, Ike Y, Arakawa Y: **Novel plasmid-mediated 16S rRNA m1A1408 methyltransferase, NpmA, found in a clinically isolated Escherichia coli strain resistant to structurally diverse aminoglycosides.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007, **51**(12):4401–4409.
11. Magnet S, Courvalin P, Lambert T: **Resistance-nodulation-cell division-type efflux pump involved in aminoglycoside resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii strain BM4454.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001, **45**(12):3375–3380.
12. Kim C, Mobashery S: **Phosphoryl transfer by aminoglycoside 3'-phosphotransferases and manifestation of antibiotic resistance.** *Bioorg Chem* 2005, **33**(3):149–158.
13. Yan JJ, Wu JJ, Ko WC, Tsai SH, Chuang CL, Wu HM, Lu YJ, Li JD: **Plasmid-mediated 16S rRNA methylases conferring high-level aminoglycoside resistance in Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from two Taiwanese hospitals.** *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004, **54**(6):1007–1012.
14. Ma L, Lin CJ, Chen JH, Fung CP, Chang FY, Lai YK, Lin JC, Siu LK: **Widespread dissemination of aminoglycoside resistance genes armA and rmtB in Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates in Taiwan producing CTX-M-type extended-spectrum beta-lactamases.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009, **53**(1):104–111.
15. Xiao Y, Hu Y: **The major aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme AAC(3)-II found in Escherichia coli determines a significant disparity in its resistance to gentamicin and amikacin in China.** *Microb Drug Resist* 2012, **18**(1):42–46.
16. Vaziri F, Peerayeh SN, Nejad QB, Farhadian A: **The prevalence of aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme genes (aac (6')-I, aac (6')-II, ant (2'')-I, aph (3')-VI) in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.** *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 2011, **66**(9):1519–1522.
17. Xia Q, Wang H, Zhang A, Wang T, Zhang Y: **Prevalence of 16S rRNA methylase conferring high-level aminoglycoside resistance in Escherichia coli in China.** *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011, **37**(4):387–388.
18. Yu FY, Yao D, Pan JY, Chen C, Qin ZQ, Parsons C, Yang LH, Li QQ, Zhang XQ, Qu D, et al: **High prevalence of plasmid-mediated 16S rRNA methylase gene rmtB among Escherichia coli clinical isolates from a Chinese teaching hospital.** *BMC Infect Dis* 2010, **10**:184.
19. Yu YS, Zhou H, Yang Q, Chen YG, Li LJ: **Widespread occurrence of aminoglycoside resistance due to ArmA methylase in imipenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii isolates in China.** *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007, **60**(2):454–455.
20. Clark NC, Olsvik O, Swenson JM, Spiegel CA, Tenover FC: **Detection of a streptomycin/spectinomycin adenyltransferase gene (aadA) in Enterococcus faecalis.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999, **43**(1):157–160.
21. Vakulenko SB, Donabedian SM, Voskresenskiy AM, Zervos MJ, Lerner SA, Chow JW: **Multiplex PCR for detection of aminoglycoside resistance genes in enterococci.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003, **47**(4):1423–1426.
22. Disney MD, Magnet S, Blanchard JS, Seeberger PH: **Aminoglycoside microarrays to study antibiotic resistance.** *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2004, **43**(12):1591–1594.
23. Chen S, Zhao S, McDermott PF, Schroeder CM, White DG, Meng J: **A DNA microarray for identification of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in Salmonella serovars and Escherichia coli.** *Mol Cell Probes* 2005, **19**(3):195–201.
24. Qin M, Wang DY, Huang F, Nie K, Qu M, Wang M, Shu YL, Ma XJ: **Detection of pandemic influenza A H1N1 virus by multiplex reverse transcription-PCR with a GeXP analyzer.** *J Virol Methods* 2009, **168**(1–2):255–258.
25. Yang MJ, Luo L, Nie K, Wang M, Zhang C, Li J, Ma XJ: **Genotyping of 11 human papillomaviruses by multiplex PCR with a GeXP analyzer.** *J Med Virol* 2012, **84**(6):957–963.
26. Li J, Mao NY, Zhang C, Yang MJ, Wang M, Xu WB, Ma XJ: **The development of a GeXP-based multiplex reverse transcription-PCR assay for simultaneous detection of sixteen human respiratory virus types/subtypes.** *BMC Infect Dis* 2012, **12**:189.
27. Hu X, Zhang Y, Zhou X, Xu B, Yang M, Wang M, Zhang C, Li J, Bai R, Xu W, et al: **Simultaneously typing nine serotypes of enteroviruses associated with hand, foot, and mouth disease by a GeXP analyzer-based multiplex reverse transcription-PCR assay.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2012, **50**(2):288–293.
28. Strahilevitz J, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC, Robicsek A: **Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance: a multifaceted threat.** *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2009, **22**(4):664–689.
29. Tabone T, Mather DE, Hayden MJ: **Temperature switch PCR (TSP): Robust assay design for reliable amplification and genotyping of SNPs.** *BMC Genomics* 2009, **10**:580.
30. Rai AJ, Kamath RM, Gerald W, Fleisher M: **Analytical validation of the GeXP analyzer and design of a workflow for cancer-biomarker discovery using multiplexed gene-expression profiling.** *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2009, **393**(5):1505–1511.
31. Arpin C, Dubois V, Coulangue L, Andre C, Fischer I, Noury P, Grobost F, Brochet JP, Jullin J, Dutilh B, et al: **Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in community and private health care centers.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003, **47**(11):3506–3514.
32. Park CH, Robicsek A, Jacoby GA, Sahn D, Hooper DC: **Prevalence in the United States of aac(6)-Ib-cr encoding a ciprofloxacin-modifying enzyme.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006, **50**(11):3953–3955.
33. Gow SP, Waldner CL, Harel J, Boerlin P: **Associations between antimicrobial resistance genes in fecal generic Escherichia coli isolates from cow-calf herds in western Canada.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008, **74**(12):3658–3666.
34. Torres C, Perlin MH, Baquero F, Lerner DL, Lerner SA: **High-level amikacin resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa associated with a 3'-phosphotransferase with high affinity for amikacin.** *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2000, **15**(4):257–263.
35. Kim JY, Park YJ, Kwon HJ, Han K, Kang MW, Woo GJ: **Occurrence and mechanisms of amikacin resistance and its association with beta-lactamases in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a Korean nationwide study.** *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008, **62**(3):479–483.

doi:10.1186/1471-2180-13-58

Cite this article as: Hu et al.: A high throughput multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of seven aminoglycoside-resistance genes in Enterobacteriaceae. *BMC Microbiology* 2013 **13**:58.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

