

Received April 22, 2019, accepted June 4, 2019, date of publication June 28, 2019, date of current version July 10, 2019.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2924264

A Hybrid Photovoltaic-Fuel Cell for Grid Integration With Jaya-Based Maximum Power Point Tracking: Experimental Performance Evaluation

SANJEEVIKUMAR PADMANABAN¹⁰1, (Senior Member, IEEE), NEERAJ PRIYADARSHI², (Member, IEEE), MAHAJAN SAGAR BHASKAR¹⁰1, (Member, IEEE), JENS BO HOLM-NIELSEN¹, EKLAS HOSSAIN¹⁰3, (Senior Member, IEEE), AND FAROOQUE AZAM⁴

Corresponding author: Sanjeevikumar Padmanaban (san@et.aau.dk)

ABSTRACT This paper deals the grid integration of photovoltaic (PV), fuel cell, and ultra-capacitor with maximum power point tracking (MPPT). The voltage oriented control for the grid-integrated inverter is proposed to regulate dc link voltage. Here, the fuel cell is employed as the main renewable energy source and PV as an auxiliary source with ultra-capacitor, which compensates power variation. An integrated CUK converter is proposed for peak power extraction from PV modules. The Jaya-based MPPT method is employed to achieve fast PV tracking ability with zero deviation around maximum power point (MPP) and has accelerated searched performance in equated with particle swarm optimization (PSO) and artificial bee colony (ABC) techniques. The hybrid PV-fuel cell with ultra-capacitor as energy storage works effectively under varying operating conditions. Compared to other energy storing devices, ultra-capacitor provides a fast dynamic response by absorbing/delivering power fluctuations. The hybrid PV-fuel storage control methodologies are experimentally validated using dSPACE (DS1104) board that provides optimal power extraction with stable power affirmation for a standalone/grid-connected system.

INDEX TERMS Fuel cell, maximum power point tracking, photovoltaic, ultra capacitor, utility grid.

NOMENCLATURE		v ohmic	Offiffic 1035C5
_		V_{conc}	Concentration losses
I_{out}	PV cell current output	I_{Lt} is	Limiting current
I_{Photon}	Photon current		<u> </u>
I_{Diode}	Current through diode	C_{O2}	Dissolved oxygen concentration
	Current through parallel	$t_{m.t}$	Thickness of the membrane
$I_{Parallel}$	5 1	R_{PE}	Parallel equivalent resistance
	resistor	V(T)	Capacitor voltage after t=T
I_{sat}	Diode saturating current	$P(D_{duty})$	Momentary power at D_{duty}
V_{Diode}	Voltage across diode	D_{duty}^{T}	
V_{TH}	Thermal voltage	$D_{duty_{m,n}}^{T}$	Amount of m^{th} variable for n^{th} aspirants
	Fuel cell output voltage		in the interim of T^{th} iteration
V_{Fuel}		D^T	Amount of m^{th} variable for the worst
N_{cell}	Number of cells	$D_{duty_{m,worst}}^{T}$	
V_{SFC}	Single fuel cell voltage		aspirants in the interim of T^{th} iteration
E_{Nernst}	Nernst voltage	n	Number of aspirants $(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots P)$;
$V_{activation}$	Activation losses	$R_{m,1}$ and $R_{m,2}$	Random variables ε [0], [1]
		V_{RD}, V_{RQ}	d-q components of the inverter
The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and		m_D	Diode factor
The associate earlor coordinating the feview of this manuscript and			

 V_{obmic}

Ohmic losses

Boltzmann constant

approving it for publication was Danilo Pelusi.

 K_B

¹Center for Bioenergy and Green Engineering, Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Aalborg 6700, Denmark

²Department of Electrical Engineering, Birsa Institute of Technology (Trust), Ranchi, India

Oregon Renewable Energy Center (OREC), Department of Electrical Engineering and Renewable Energy, Oregon Tech, Klamath Falls, OR 97601, USA

⁴School of Computing and Information Technology, REVA University, Bengaluru 560064, India



 V_{out} Output voltage R_{series} Series resistance T_{Abs} Absolute temperature $Q_{electron}$ Charge on electron $R_{Parallel}$ Resistance in parallel T_{abs} Absolute temperature (K

 P_{H_2} , P_{O_2} Partial pressures of hydrogen and

oxygen (atm)

 I_{Fuel} Fuel cell current R_{ohmic} Ohmic resistance

n is Number of liberated electrons

F Faraday's constant A_C Active cell area

 $r_{r,m}$ Resistivity of membranes R_{SE} Series equivalent resistance,

RC Time constant which evaluates charg-

ing/

discharging of starting capacitor volt-

age

 $V_{initial}$ Initial voltage of the capacitor. D_{duty} Duty ratio and $0 < D_{duty} < 1$ Amount of m^{th} variable for the best aspirants in the interim of T^{th} iteration

M Total variables

P Maximum number of aspirants

 ΔV_D , ΔV_O PI controllers output

 I_D , I_Q d-q components of grid current

I. INTRODUCTION

Because of fossil fuel conventional sources depreciation, the renewable energy sources are in great imposition remarkably. Among entire renewable sources, the photovoltaic (PV) received enormous diligence as it has environmentfriendly nature, safe, clean, portable behavior and free availability [1], [2]. However, installation and fabrication outlay is formidable presently which will depreciate bit by bit with exploration and substantial scale application. The extracted power from the PV module depends on environmental factors which observe power variations under fluctuating operating conditions. Therefore, there is an urgency to incorporate with auxiliary power supply viz. battery, diesel generator, and fuel cell. The battery and diesel generators are unable to provide all load demand and particular duration backup respectively. As PV power generation depends on solar irradiance level and has more fluctuation power with changing atmospheric conditions [3]. The fuel cell is controlled supply which delivers supplementary power requirement and meets inadequate PV-power integration with high efficiency, extensible and fuel adjustable as major benefits [4].

As the non-linear behavior of PV modules, Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) methodology is an essential component to obtain a Maximum Power Point (MPP) [5]. Numerous MPPT techniques have been examined in the literature viz. Perturb & Observe (P&O) [6], Hill Climbing (HC) [7], and Incremental Conductance (INC) [8],

as conventional algorithms. However, these techniques are ruined under fluctuating atmospheric conditions. The intelligent MPPT algorithms like fuzzy logic and neural networks have been employed for peak power extraction from renewable energy sources [9], [10]. Due to complex fuzzy inference rule and large trained data demand, these techniques have not been moved successfully under varying solar irradiance and partial shading situations. Numerous evolutionary algorithms viz. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Firefly Algorithm (FA), Artificial Bee Colony (ABC), Flower Pollination Algorithm (FPA), Gray Wolf Optimization (GWO) [11]–[16] etc have been reviewed in the literature. The major disadvantages associated with PSO are that large number of iteration required for convergence, the divergence of high-speed particle and slow convergence computational period. Nevertheless, the above-mentioned algorithms are not competent to a rapid search of MPP with high convergence velocity, lesser computational load, and minimum execution expense microcontroller. However, the Jaya based MPPT has fast tracking ability of MPP with zero deviation around this point and has accelerated searched performance in equated with PSO and ABC technique [17], [18].

Numerous dc-dc converters are recently proposed for renewable energy applications [20]-[24]. In contrast to distinct dc-dc converters, the CUK converter has minimum switched losses; superior voltage moderation and better efficiency to execute MPPT action. However, classical CUK converters are failed to deliver sharp speed up/down voltage prescribed to distinct utilization. Therefore, in this research work, an integrated CUK converter is considered with high gain which operates continuous conducting states as steep voltage booster [25]. Various inverter controller have been inspected viz. predictive controller [26], Hysteresis Current Control (HCC) [27], Sine Pulse Width Modulation (SPWM) [28]-[30], Space Vector Pulse Width Modulation (SVPWM) [31]-[33] etc. to assure steady PV power injection to the utility grid with proper synchronized inked to accomplish switched pulses to the inverter. Segregated to traditional inverter controllers, the Voltage Oriented Control (VOC) strategy has been recommended to achieve unity power factor by regulating DC-link voltage under varying operating conditions with augmented controlled power to comprehensive tracked grid integration.

In [19], Fuel-ultra capacitor-based hybrid system has been modeled for reduction of fuel cell utilization which provides better efficiency and discussed for a standalone system. However, PV-Fuel-ultra capacitor-based hybrid system using recent MPPT algorithms under different loading conditions has not been discussed experimentally. In [34], a control system for the reduction of low-frequency oscillations as well as improvement of maximum power extraction from fuel cell stack has been discussed. In this work, classical P&O based MPPT algorithm and double stage inverter control method been employed which results in an increment in fuel cell life period, elimination of low-frequency oscillations and prevention of needless reactant consumption.




FIGURE 1. Overall PV-Fuel cell schematic with grid integration.

However, hybrid power system discussion with recent MPPT algorithm is missing in this paper which explains optimal power extraction with stable power affirmation. In [35], genetic and fuzzy based fuel-super capacitor hybrid system applicable for hybrid electric vehicle has proposed which provides smoothness in fuel cell output, improves fuel cell life period and responsible for the decrement of consumption of hydrogen. However, the practical justification of Genetic Algorithm (GA) based optimization of the fuzzy membership function is difficult as authors have presented only simulation results to validate this hybrid energy management system. In [36], hybrid Fuel-PV and battery based DC microgrid system have been proposed in which PV system, Fuel-cell and battery system operates in MPPT, power control modes of operation, respectively and validated only through only simulation platform for the analysis of required active power using DC link voltage regulation. In [18], Jaya DE MPPT algorithm has been implemented for PV system under varying operating situations. This evolutionary algorithm provides quick convergence speed, accurate MPP response and high PV tracking power in every type of changing weather situations. However, employment of Jaya DE algorithm with hybrid PV-Fuel-cell system is missing in this research work. In [37], a Jaya based MPPT algorithm for the PV system has been proposed in which swarm intelligent technique provides iteration based updated solutions compared to recent PSO and FA algorithms. However, application of Java based MPPT method has been discussed in this research paper.

The main contribution of this research work is the experimental realization of Hybrid PV-Fuel cell with Jaya MPPT technique for grid integration has neither been discussed nor validated formerly by the author's prime survey. The voltage oriented inverter controller provides unity power factor with better DC-link utilization and validated using dSPACE (DS 1104) obtained practical responses.

II. OVERALL PV-FUEL CELL SCHEMATIC WITH GRID INTEGRATION

Fig. 1 presents the overall hybrid PV-Fuel system with ultracapacitor responsible for compensation of dynamic power from renewable energy sources. The solar energy delivers power as per atmospheric situations and rest insufficient power is fed by the fuel cell for proper power management of hybrid PV-Fuel cell system. An integrated CUK converter is employed for MPPT action which is connected using common DC-link. A Jaya MPPT algorithm is employed to obtain the utmost PV power tracking. Voltage oriented control method is implemented for the 3-phase inverter. The standalone/ grid integrated mode of hybrid PV-Fuel based power system is analyzed and power management responses have been discussed.

A. PV GENERATOR MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

Fig. 2(a) depicts the equivalent PV cell made by P-N junction. Several series and shunt interconnection of PV cells are arranged to make PV module with considered solar irradiance and ambient temperature. The mathematical relationship describing the PV cell model is expressed as:

$$I_{out} = I_{Photon} - I_{Diode} - I_{Parallel}$$

$$\Rightarrow where, I_{Diode} = I_{sat} \left[e^{\left(\frac{V_{Diode}}{m_D V_{TH}}\right)} - 1 \right]$$
(1)

$$V_{Diode} = V_{out} + I_{out}R_{series}$$

$$V_{TH} = \frac{K_B T_{Abs}}{Q_{electron}}, I_{Parallel} = \frac{V_{Diode}}{R_{Parallel}}$$
(2)

where, I_{out} is PV cell current output; I_{Photon} is photon current; I_{Diode} is current through diode; $I_{Parallel}$ is current through parallel resistor; I_{sat} is diode saturating current; V_{Diode} is voltage across diode; V_{TH} is thermal voltage; m_D is diode factor; K_B is Boltzmann constant; V_{out} is output voltage; R_{series} is






FIGURE 2. Modelling and equivalent circuit (a) Equivalent PV cell schematic, (b) PEMFC Equivalent model, (c) simple equivalent circuit of ultra-capacitor, and (d) integrated CUK converter.

series resistance; T_{Abs} is absolute temperature; $Q_{electron}$ is charge on electron; and $R_{Parallel}$ is resistance in parallel.

B. PEMFC MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

PEMFC mathematical model has been considered with the help of its equivalent model depicted in Fig. 2(b). Fuel cell acts as an electrochemical appliance which transforms hydrogen fuel to electrical energy. Air/Fuel as inputs is transfigured to water and electrical energy using electrochemical regeneration [38]. The fuel cell comprises anode and cathode as electrodes with electrolytes which isolates hydrogen cell liberated charged ions. PEMFC output voltage is expressed mathematically as:

$$V_{Fuel} = N_{cell}.V_{SFC}$$

$$V_{Fuel} == N_{cell} \begin{bmatrix} E_{Nernst} - V_{activation} \\ -V_{ohmic} - V_{conc} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

where, V_{Fuel} is fuel cell output voltage; N_{cell} is number of cells; V_{SFC} is single fuel cell voltage; E_{Nernst} is nernst voltage; $V_{activation}$ is activation losses; V_{ohmic} is ohmic losses; and V_{conc} is concentration losses.

$$E_{Nernst} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.229 - 8.5 * 10^{-4} (T_{abs} - 298.15) \\ +4.308 \times 10^{-5} T (\ln P_{H_2} + \ln P_{O_2}) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (4)

where, T_{abs} is absolute temperature (K); P_{H_2} and P_{O_2} is partial pressures of hydrogen and oxygen (atm).

$$V_{activation} = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 + K_2 T_{Abs} + \\ K_3 T_{Abs} \ln Co_2 + K_4 T_{Abs} \ln I_{Fuel} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (5)

where, K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , K_4 is semi-empirical coefficient, K_1 is -0.95, K_2 is 3.55×10^{-4} , K_3 is 0.8×10^{-7} , K_4 is 0.197×10^{-3} ,

and I_{Fuel} is fuel cell current.

$$V_{Ohmic} = -I_{ohmic}R_{ohmic}$$

$$V_{conc} = \frac{R_{T_{Abs}}}{nF} \ln \left[1 - \frac{I_{Fuel}}{I_{Lt}A_c} \right]$$
(6)

where, R_{ohmic} is ohmic resistance; n is number of liberated electrons; F is Faraday's constant; I_{Lt} is limiting current; A_C is active cell area; and R is 8314.47 Ω .

$$Co_{2} = \frac{P_{O_{2}}}{5.18 \times 10^{-6} \times e^{-498} T_{Abs}}$$

$$R_{ohmic} = \frac{r_{r.m} \times t_{m.t}}{A_{c}}$$
(7)

where, C_{O2} is dissolved oxygen concentration, $r_{r.m}$ is resistivity of membranes, and $t_{m.t}$ is thickness of the membrane.

C. MATHEMATICAL MODELLING OF ULTRA-CAPACITOR

Fig. 2(c) illustrates the simple equivalent circuit of ultracapacitor which comprises a capacitor, equivalent series and a parallel resistor which is responsible for charging/discharging and subjective to discharge deficiency. Ultra-capacitors are effectual storage apparatus which consume/deliver power at DC-link and provides steady voltage level beyond unspecified variation. R_{SE} is a series equivalent resistance, and R_{PE} is parallel equivalent resistance. The ultra-capacitor charged output voltage can be mathematically described as:

$$V(T) = V_{initial} \times e^{-T}/RC$$
 (8)

where, RC is time constant which evaluates charging/discharging of starting capacitor voltage; V(T) is capacitor voltage after t = T; $V_{initial}$ is the initial voltage of




FIGURE 3. Block diagram of control logic (a) voltage oriented control strategy for grid integrated inverter, (b) dc-link decoupled structure, (c) renewable system to utility grid power transmission, and (d) dc-link voltage regulation.




FIGURE 4. Standalone hybrid PV-Fuel cell hardware setup.

the capacitor. Also, energy harassed from ultra-capacitor is mathematically expressed as:

$$E_{ultra-capacitor} = 0.5 \times C \times (V_{initial}^2 - V_{final}^2)$$
 (9)

D. INTEGRATED CUK CONVERTER

Conventional CUK converter combines the characteristics of buck and boost converters. In equated with buck-boost converter, CUK converter comprises opposite feature with good dynamic responses and provides capacitive segregation contrary to switch failing. The major disadvantages of classical CUK converters are unable to deliver sharp speed up/down voltage required to different utilization. Moreover, a swift comparator circuitry is needed to generate high duty ratio for large voltage transformation. However, due to high switched frequency, the control circuitry is collapsed because of less period pre-eminence of the diode. Therefore, this research work proposed integrated CUK converter depicted in Fig. 2(d) comprises diodes (D_A and D_B) and input capacitors (C_A and C_C) which deliver sharp outcomes. Table 1 shows the employed specifications of integrated






FIGURE 5. Experimental results- loading and power waveforms (a) current contributed from PV, fuel cell, ultra-capacitor and load, (b) active/reactive power distributed by distributor generators to the load, (c) grid connected mode hybrid PV-Fuel cell performance at different loading and sun irradiance level, and (d) active/reactive power distributed by distributor generators to the load and grid.

TABLE 1. Integrated CUK converter specifications.

Parameters	Values
Inductors ($L_A \& L_B$)	4mH, 4mH
Capacitors $(C_A, C_B \& C_C)$	$4 \mu F$, $2.5 \mu F$, $4 \mu F$
Load resistor	24Ω

CUK converter. In mode 1 operation (Switch K is ON) L_A inductor gets charged through V_{DC} and capacitors (C_A and C_C) gets discharged and vice versa. Mathematically state-space equations are expressed as:

$$\frac{dI_{L_A}}{dt} = -\frac{(1-d)}{L_A} V_{C_C} + \frac{dV_{DC}}{L_A}
\frac{dI_{L_B}}{dt} = \frac{dV_{C_A}}{L_B} + \frac{dV_{C_C}}{L_B} - \frac{dV_{C_B}}{L_B}, \frac{dV_{C_A}}{dt} = -\frac{d}{C_A} \times I_{L_B}$$


$$\frac{dV_{C_B}}{dt} = \frac{1}{C_B} I_{L_B} - \frac{1}{R_{Load} C_C} V_{C_B}
\frac{dV_{C_C}}{dt} = -\frac{d}{C_C} I_{L_B}$$
(10)


E. JAYA BASED MPPT ALGORITHM


In this research work, the Jaya based recent meta-heuristics methodology is employed which provides less iteration and prominent power rating compared to PSO, ACO and ABC algorithm under highly fluctuating atmospheric conditions. Jaya algorithm was pioneered by Rao in 2015 which comes from Sanskrit word and has context 'Conquest'. This optimized technique is planted on the achievement of triumph by withdrawing defeat. The searched particle approaches the best location by overthrow unfavorable locations which result particle position gets updated. First of all the worst solution is evaluated and further, in every iteration worst solution is updated (Searching better solution) by withdrawing from worst. Hence, the adjacent solution is found superior to the precedent worst result. Where, $P(D_{duty})$ is momentary power at D_{duty} (duty ratio) and $0 < D_{duty} < 1$. The updated position can be evaluated mathematically by considering the preceding best and worst result as:

$$D_{duty_{m,n}}^{T+1} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{duty_{m,n}}^T + R_{m,1} \begin{bmatrix} D_{duty_{m,best}}^T \\ - D_{duty_{m,n}}^T \end{bmatrix} \\ -R_{m,2} \begin{bmatrix} D_{duty_{m,worst}}^T - D_{duty_{m,n}}^T \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

where, $D_{duty_{m,n}}^T$ is the amount of m^{th} variable for n^{th} aspirants in the interim of T^{th} iteration. $D_{duty_{m,best}}^T$ is the amount of m^{th} variable for the best aspirants in the interim of T^{th} iteration. $D_{duty_{m,worst}}^T$ is the amount of m^{th} variable for the worst aspirants in the interim of T^{th} iteration. T is number of iteration










FIGURE 6. Experimental results- THD, grid voltage, grid current, and inverter current waveform. (a) THD spectrum of inverter voltage, (b) THD spectrum of inverter current, (c) steady state 3-ph inverter current, and (d) grid voltage and grid current.

(t = 1, 2, 3, ...N); N is Maximum number of iteration; m is number of variables (m = 1, 2, 3, ...M); M is total variables; n is number of aspirants (n = 1, 2, 3, ...P); P is the maximum number of aspirants; $R_{m,1}$ and $R_{m,2}$ is random variables $\varepsilon[0,1]$.

The ultimate selection progression is described mathematically as:

$$iff \left(D_{duty_{m,n}}^{T}\right) < f\left(D_{duty_{m,n}}^{T+1}\right)
then, D_{duty_{m,n}}^{T+1} = D_{duty_{m,n}}^{T}
= D_{duty_{m,n}}^{T+1}, otherwise$$
(13)

The Jaya based MPPT tracking algorithm is employed with the real-time process by considering sun irradiance (G) and temperature (T) constant

$$P_{G,T} = F(V), \quad 0 < V < V_{open}$$
 (14)

where, V_{open} is open circuit voltage, P_{GT} is conditional power output. Suppose P=F(V) as objective function has been considered for PV generation maximization, where F denotes the real operation of photovoltaic power system with V and P represents voltage setting and power generation. Jaya based MPPT provides achievement of MPP tracking within fewer periods and has minimum searching parameters. This algorithm has no requirement of method based parameters and has simpler implementation without proper tuning. In this method, N PV modules with N local maximum of PV characteristics are employed. The fitness function has

been considered as power generation and larger power generations can be obtained with better voltage setting.

Moreover, maxima have achieved at 0.8 V_{open} and mathematically particle has located initially as with boundaries $0.1V_{open}$ and $0.9~V_{open}$

$$V_{0,i} = 0.8iV_{open_STC}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ..., N$ (15)

where, $V_{0,i}$ is the initial solution (*i*particle).

F. VOLTAGE ORIENTED CONTROL STRATEGY FOR GRID INTEGRATED INVERTER

The voltage oriented control strategy for grid-integrated inverter proposed in this research work to achieve unity power factor by regulating DC-link voltage (V_{DC}) followed by reference DC link (V_{DCRef}) . The output of inverter current becomes pure sine wave by regulating current of the enclosed circuit. Fig. 3(a) depicts the facilitated model of transformer represented by the connecting resistor (R_G) and inductor (L_G) to the circuit. As grid voltage and d-axis components are oriented to each other the V_{Gq} becomes zero. Mathematical relation describing V_{Gd} and V_{Gq} is represented as:

$$V_{Gd} = R_{G}I_{D} + L_{G}\frac{dI_{D}}{dt} + V_{RD} - \omega L_{G}I_{Q} = V_{G}$$

$$V_{Gq} = R_{G}I_{Q} + L_{G}\frac{dI_{Q}}{dt} + V_{RQ} + \omega_{f}L_{G}I_{Q} = 0$$
(16)

where, V_{RD} , V_{RQ} is d-q components of the inverter; I_D , I_Q is d-q components of grid current.






FIGURE 7. Experimental waveforms (a) Grid voltage in phase agreement with Inverter current, (b) inverter voltage, grid voltage, and inverter current, and (c) grid and inverter under dynamic operating conditions.

Assuming insubstantial filter resistance $R_G \approx 0$, the decoupled structure describes mathematically as:

$$V_{RD} = V_G + \omega_f L_G I_Q + \Delta V_D$$

$$V_{RQ} = \Delta V_Q - \omega_f L_G I_D$$

$$(17)$$

where, ΔV_D , ΔV_Q is PI controllers output; DC link voltage controlled is modeled in Fig. 3(b) and stored energy is expressed as:

$$E_{Capacitors} = 0.5 \times C_{DC} \times V_{DC}^2 \tag{18}$$

Non-linear expression governing hybrid, grid and capacitor stored energy is presented in Fig. 3(c) described mathematically as:

$$0.5C_{DC}\frac{dV_{DC}^{2}}{dt} = P_{HDC} - P_{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow K = V_{DC}^{2}, 0.5C_{DC}\frac{dK}{dt} = P_{HDC} - P_{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{G} = \frac{3}{2} \times V_{G} \times I_{D}, P_{HDC} = V_{DC} \times I_{HDC}$$
(19)

Combining these equations, the DC-link voltage regulator is designed using Fig. 3(d). The generated PV power (P) depends on controllable factor V and non-controlled factor G (Sun insolation level) and T_{amb} (ambient temperature) be mathematically described as $P = (V, G, T_{amb})$ and MPPT objective function is mathematically expressed as $F\left(D_{duty}\right) = P^{\max}(D_{duty})$.

III. EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND INVESTIGATIONS

A practical justification of Jaya based MPPT has been carried out with dSPACE real-time platform for 200W grid tied PV-fuel system. The voltage reference control has been produced by sampling PV parameters using ADC component and employed through PI controller. The proper duty ratio has been maintained by minimizing the error between V_{PV} and V_{REF} using PI-controller. Hall sensors (LA-SSP and LV-25) are employed to sense V_{PV} and I_{PV} of PV module. The sensor's output has been fed to ADC unit with dSPACE board and PWM pulses for power converter has been produced to perform MPPT functioning. The practical performance of hybrid PV-Fuel cell for grid integration carried out using a dSPACE platform at 25°C ambient temperature. Fig. 4 depicts the standalone hybrid PV-Fuel cell (Rated power 25 kW) performance (hardware setup) at different loading and sun irradiance level. The current contributed from PV, Fuel cell, ultra-capacitor and load have been demonstrated using obtained practical responses in Fig. 5(a). Active/Reactive power distributed by distributor generators to the load is illustrated using Fig. 5(b). At the start the fuel cell and ultra-capacitor contribute power with zero PV power. Ultra-capacitor is responsible to compensate sluggish reaction of the fuel cell system. At t =2.5 sec, the solar insolation level is increased to 500 W/m^2 which result decrement in fuel cell power contribution and




FIGURE 8. Experimental waveform- power tracking performance, (a) PSO, (b) ABC, and (c) Jaya algorithm.




FIGURE 9. Experimental waveform- tracked trajectory of duty cycle of integrated CUK converter, (a) PSO, (b) ABC, and (c) Jaya Algorithm.

ultra-capacitor is responsible to consume transitory fuel cell generated power. At t=4 sec, the solar insolation level reached to $1000W/m^2$ and PV generated power is sufficient

to drive the load. Additionally, ultra-capacitor is charging with zero contribution from fuel power cell. Moreover, at t = 6 sec, the solar irradiance level decreased to $500W/m^2$






FIGURE 10. Performance of the PV power system under partial shading conditions using algorithms (a) Jaya, (b) ABC, and (c) PSO.

FIGURE 11. Performance of the PV power system under no partial shading conditions using algorithms (a) Jaya, (b) ABC, and (c) PSO.

and ultra-capacitor is responsible to deliver load required power and fuel cell contributes with sleepy respond. In case of grid-integrated mode with 50 kW power rating is considered and surplus power is delivered to the utility grid, subsequently utilized by the local load. Fig. 5(c) portrays the gridconnected mode hybrid PV-fuel cell performance at different loading and sun irradiance level. At the start, the fuel cell and ultra-capacitor contribute power. At t = 1 sec, solar irradiance level is raised to 500W/m². Fuel cell generated power is lowered and ultra-capacitor consumes transitory power. At t = 2 sec, utility grid power is lowered by 10 kW when there is a 30% increment in local load. Solar irradiance level reaches to $500W/m^2$ at t = 6 sec and becomes zero at t = 8 sec respectively which is explained with Fig. 5 (d). Fuel cell delivers the power to the local load with zero shared PV output. Ultra-capacitor consumes and

delivers power as per requirement. At $t=7.5\ sec$, the distributed generated fed power to the utility grid when entire local loads are eliminated. Fig. 6(a) and 6(b) depict the THD spectrum of inverter voltage and inverter current with 2.6% and 2.8% THD respectively which are quite satisfactory and found lower than 5% as per IEEE 519 standard. Fig. 6(c) illustrates the experimentally obtained steady state inverter current which is purely sinusoidal and satisfies IEEE 929-2000 standard. Grid voltage and grid current are the good agreement in the unity power factor with each other and has a quite satisfactory performance with THD less than 5% is depicted with Fig. 6(d).

Fig. 7(a) demonstrates the practically found grid voltage in phase agreement with inverter current and has less THD than 5% which satisfy IEEE 519 standard. The synchronized inverter voltage and inverter current are practically obtained

illustrated in using Fig. 7(b). Fig. 7(c) demonstrates the synchronized waveform of grid and inverter under dynamic operating conditions. The performance of PSO, ABC, Jaya algorithms compared under fluctuating solar irradiance level and partial shade situations. It found that average tracked period to reach MPP for PSO, ABC and Jaya algorithm are 9sec, 5.6 sec, and 1.8 sec respectively. The Jaya algorithm provides improved and fast-tracked performance, less power loss, better PV power tracking efficiency compared to PSO and ABC technique depicted in Fig. 8. The proposed Jaya algorithm delivers rapid convergence speed, converges in less iteration compared to PSO and ABC algorithms with same population size have considered. Moreover, the tracked trajectory of the duty cycle of integrated CUK converter using PSO, ABC, and Java algorithms illustrated using Fig. 9. Noted that the duty ratio of integrated CUK converter has more divergence in PSO and ABC algorithm based MPPT.

To verify the effectiveness of the proposed Jaya controller as a MPPT tracker, the series connected PV stings with no shading, and 50 % shading situations have been considered. The PV responses are realized under 50% partial shading conditions and presented in Fig 10. Fig 11 denotes the PV responses under no partial shading effect in which high PV tracking power is obtained using the proposed Jaya method compared to others MPPT methods.

The performance of Jaya based MPPT method has been equated with PSO and ABC based algorithms under partial shade situations. Practical result shown in Fig. 10 interprets that the MPP tracking using Jaya based MPPT method has rapid progression and other algorithms such as PSO and ABC struggles to track PV power from PV modules. The PV power extraction using proposed Jaya based MPPT has less convergence period as well as negligible oscillation near to MPP area under partial shading condition.

IV. CONCLUSION

The hybrid PV- Fuel cell- Ultra-capacitor based gridconnected system is experimentally realized in standalone/ grid connected mode under changing operating conditions. An integrated CUK converter has been implemented which acts as power balancer between renewable energy source and inverter with Jaya MPPT technique. The performance of Jaya algorithm is equated practically with PSO and ABC algorithm. Compared to PSO and ABC methods, the proposed Jaya based MPPT provides better PV power point tracking, precise responses and least power oscillation near to MPP region. Experimental results also reveal that, proposed Jaya MPPT technique has 5 times rapid PV tracking convergence velocity than PSO method. The voltage oriented control as a grid inverter control is employed and unity power factor is achieved under different operating situations with DC-link voltage regulation. The hybrid PV-Fuel cell-Ultra-capacitor is experimentally validated and reliable power-sharing is realized effectively under different loading and ambient situations using dSPACE (DS 1104) board.

REFERENCES

- S. Padmanaban, N. Priyadarshi, J. B. Holm-Nielsen, M. S. Bhaskar, F. Azam, A. K. Sharma, and E. Hossain, "A novel modified sine-cosine optimized MPPT algorithm for grid integrated PV system under real operating conditions," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 10467–10477, 2019.
- [2] S. Padmanaban, M. S. Bhaskar, P. K. Maroti, F. Blaabjerg, and V. Fedák, "An original transformer and switched-capacitor (T & SC)-based extension for DC-DC boost converter for high-voltage/low-current renewable energy applications: Hardware implementation of a new T & SC boost converter," *Energies*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 783, Apr. 2018.
- [3] P. Sanjeevikumar, M. S. Bhaskar, P. Dhond, F. Blaabjerg, and M. Pecht, "Non-isolated sextuple output hybrid triad converter configurations for high step-up renewable energy applications," in *Advances in Power Sys*tems and Energy Management. Singapore: Springer, 2018, pp. 1–12.
- [4] P. A. Lindahl, S. R. Shaw, and S. B. Leeb, "Fuel cell stack emulation for cell and hardware-in-the-loop testing," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 67, no. 9, pp. 2143–2152, Sep. 2018.
- [5] P. P and V. Agarwal, "Novel solar PV-fuel cell fed dual-input-dual-output dc-dc converter for dc microgrid applications," in *Proc. IEEE 42nd Photovoltaic Spec. Conf. (PVSC)*, New Orleans, LA, USA, vol. 15, Jun. 2015, pp. 1–6.
- [6] S. B. Mahajan, S. Padmanaban, and F. Blaabjerg, "A multistage DC-DC step-up self-balanced and magnetic component-free converter for photo-voltaic applications: Hardware implementation," *Energies*, vol. 10, no. 5, p. 719, May 2017.
- [7] J. Ahmed and Z. Salam, "An enhanced adaptive P&O MPPT for fast and efficient tracking under varying environmental conditions," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1487–1496, Jul. 2018.
- [8] X. Xiao, X. Huang, and Q. Kang, "A hill-climbing-method-based maximum-power-point-tracking strategy for direct-drive wave energy converters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 257–267, Jan. 2016.
- [9] N. Kumar, I. Hussain, B. Singh, and B. K. Panigrahi, "Self-adaptive incremental conductance algorithm for swift and ripple-free maximum power harvesting from PV array," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 2031–2041, May 2018.
- [10] A. El Khateb, N. A. Rahim, J. Selvaraj, and M. N. Uddin, "Fuzzy-logic-controller-based SEPIC converter for maximum power point tracking," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 2349–2358, Jul./Aug. 2014.
- [11] W. M. Lin, C. M. Hong, and C. H. Chen, "Neural-network-based MPPT control of a stand-alone hybrid power generation system," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 3571–3581, Dec. 2011.
- [12] R. B. A. Koad, A. F. Zobaa, and A. El-Shahat, "A novel MPPT algorithm based on particle swarm optimization for photovoltaic systems," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 468–476, Apr. 2017.
- [13] K. Sundareswaran, S. Peddapati, and S. Palani, "MPPT of PV systems under partial shaded conditions through a colony of flashing fireflies," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 463–472, Jun. 2014.
- [14] K. Sundareswaran, P. Sankar, P. S. R. Nayak, S. P. Simon, and S. Palani, "Enhanced energy output from a PV system under partial shaded conditions through artificial bee colony," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 198–209, Jul. 2015.
- [15] N. Priyadarshi, P. Sanjeevikumar, L. Mihet-Popa, F. Blaabjerg, and F. Azam, "Maximum power point tracking for brushless DC motor-driven photovoltaic pumping systems using a hybrid ANFIS-FLOWER pollination optimization algorithm," *Energies*, vol. 11, no. 5, p. 1067, Apr. 2018.
- [16] S. Mohanty, B. Subudhi, and P. K. Ray, "A grey wolf-assisted perturb & observe MPPT algorithm for a PV system," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 340–347, Mar. 2017.
- [17] N. Priyadarshi, S. Padmanaban, P. K. Maroti, and A. Sharma, "An extensive practical investigation of FPSO-based MPPT for grid integrated PV system under variable operating conditions with anti-islanding protection," *IEEE Syst. J.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 1861–1871, Jun. 2019.
- [18] N. Kumar, I. Hussain, B. Singh, and B. K. Panigrahi, "Rapid MPPT for uniformly and partial shaded PV system by using JayaDE algorithm in highly fluctuating atmospheric conditions," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 2406–2416, Oct. 2017.
- [19] C. Huang, L. Wang, R. S.-C. Yeung, Z. Zhang, H. S. Chung, and A. Bensoussan, "A prediction model-guided Jaya algorithm for the PV system maximum power point tracking," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 45–55, Jan. 2018.
- [20] M. S. Bhaskar, S. Padmanaban, R. Kulkarni, F. Blaabjerg, S. Seshagiri, and A. Hajizadeh, "Novel LY converter topologies for high gain transfer ratio—A new breed of XY family," in *Proc. 4th IET Clean Energy Technol. Conf. (CEAT)*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, vol. 16, Nov. 2016, pp. 1–8.



- [21] M. S. Bhaskar, S. Padmanaban, F. Blaabjerg, O. Ojo, S. Seshagiri, and R. Kulkarni, "Inverting Nx and 2Nx non-isolated multilevel boost converter for renewable energy applications," in *Proc. 4th IET Clean Energy Technol. Conf. (CEAT)*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, vol. 16, Nov. 2016, pp. 1–8.
- [22] M. S. Bhaskar, M. Meraj, A. Iqbal, S. Padmanaban, P. K. Maroti, and R. Alammari, "High gain transformer-less double-duty-triplemode DC/DC converter for DC microgrid," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 36353–36370, 2019.
- [23] A. W. Oluwafemi, E. Ozsoy, S. Padmanaban, M. S. Bhaskar, V. K. Ramachandaramurthy, and V. Fedák, "A modified high output-gain cuk converter circuit configuration for renewable applications—A comprehensive investigation," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Energy Convers. (CENCON)*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, vol. 17, Oct. 2017, pp. 117–122.
- [24] A. Iqbal, M. S. Bhaskar, M. Meraj, and S. Padmanaban, "DC-transformer modelling, analysis and comparison of the experimental investigation of a non-inverting and non-isolated Nx multilevel boost converter (Nx MBC) for low to high DC voltage applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 70935–70951, 2018.
- [25] S. Samantara, B. Roy, R. Sharma, S. Choudhury, and B. Jena, "Modeling and simulation of integrated CUK converter for grid connected PV system with EPP MPPT hybridization," in *Proc. IEEE Power, Commun. Inf. Technol. Conf. (PCITC)*, Bhubaneshwar, India, vol. 15, Oct. 2015, pp. 397–402.
- [26] A. Lashab, D. Sera, J. M. Guerrero, L. Mathe, and A. Bouzid, "Discrete model-predictive-control-based maximum power point tracking for PV systems: Overview and evaluation," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 7273–7287, Aug. 2018.
- [27] P. K.-H. Dost and C. Sourkounis, "Flexible dimensioning opportunities of a (virtual) flux-based hysteresis controller for a reduced switching frequency," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 3451–3460, Jul./Aug. 2016.
- [28] N. Priyadarshi, S. Padmanaban, M. S. Bhaskar, F. Blaabjerg, and A. Sharma, "Fuzzy SVPWM-based inverter control realisation of grid integrated photovoltaic-wind system with fuzzy particle swarm optimisation maximum power point tracking algorithm for a gridconnected PV/wind power generation system: Hardware implementation," *IET Electr. Power Appl.*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 962–971, Apr. 2018.
- [29] M. S. Bhaskar, S. Padmanaban, V. Fedák, F. Blaabjerg, and P. Wheeler, "Transistor clamped five-level inverter using non-inverting double reference single carrier PWM technique for photovoltaic applications," in Proc. Int. Conf. Optim. Elect. Electron. Equip. (OPTIM), Int. Aegean Conf. Elect. Mach. Power Electron. (ACEMP), Brasov, Romania, vol. 17, May 2017, pp. 777–782.
- [30] M. S. Bhaskar, S. Padmanaban, F. Blaabjerg, D. M. Ionel, and M. H. Rashid, "A novel calculus based unipolar double reference single carrier PWM for single phase T-multilevel inverter with under modulation (<1) for renewable energy applications: Hardware implementation," in Proc. IEEE Energy Convers. Congr. Expo. (ECCE), Portland, OR, USA, vol. 18, Sep. 2018, pp. 1287–1292.
- [31] P. Sanjeevikumar, G. Grandi, J. O. Ojo, and F. Blaabjerg, "Direct vector controlled six-phase asymmetrical induction motor with power balanced space vector PWM multilevel operation," *Int. J. Power Energy Convers.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 57–83, Jan. 2016.
- [32] P. Sanjeevikumar, M. S. Bhaskar, F. Blaabjerg, M. Pecht, L. Martirano, and M. Manganelli, "Dual six-phase multilevel AC drive with single carrier optimized five-level PWM for star-winding configuration," Adv. Syst., Control Automat. Singapore: Springer, 2018, pp. 733–740.
- [33] B. Chokkalingham, S. Padmanaban, and F. Blaabjerg, "Investigation and comparative analysis of advanced PWM techniques for three-phase threelevel NPC-MLI drives," *Electr. Power Compon. Syst.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 258–269, Feb. 2018.
- [34] A. Giustiniani, G. Petrone, G. Spagnuolo, and M. Vitelli, "Low-frequency current oscillations and maximum power point tracking in grid-connected fuel-cell-based systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 2042–2053, Jun. 2010.
- [35] R. Zhang and J. Tao, "GA-based fuzzy energy management system for FC/SC-powered HEV considering H₂ consumption and load variation," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1833–1843, Aug. 2018.

- [36] S. Mane, P. Kadam, G. Lahoti, F. Kazi, and N. M. Singh, "Optimal load balancing strategy for hybrid energy management system in DC microgrid with PV, fuel cell and battery storage," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Renew. Energy Res. Appl. (ICRERA)*, Birmingham, U.K., vol. 16, Nov. 2016, pp. 851–856.
- [37] M. Uzunoglu and M. S. Alam, "Dynamic modeling, design, and simulation of a combined PEM fuel cell and ultracapacitor system for stand-alone residential applications," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 767–775, Sep. 2006.
- [38] V. Das, S. Padmanaban, K. Venkitusamy, R. Selvamuthukumaran, F. Blaabjerg, and P. Siano, "Recent advances and challenges of fuel cell based power system architectures and control—A review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 73, pp. 10–18, Jun. 2017.



SANJEEVIKUMAR PADMANABAN (M'12–SM'15) received the bachelor's degree from the University of Madras, India, in 2002, the master's degree (Hons.) from Pondicherry University, India, in 2006, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Bologna, Italy, in 2012. He was an Associate Professor with the Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), from 2012 to 2013. In 2013, he joined the National Institute of Technology, Puducherry, India, as a Faculty Member. In 2014,

he was invited as a Visiting Researcher with Qatar University, Qatar, supported by the Qatar National Research Foundation (Government of Qatar) and was also a Lead Researcher with the Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland. He was an Associate Professor with the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, University of Johannesburg, South Africa, from 2016 to 2018. Since 2018, he has been a Faculty Member of the Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Esbjerg, Denmark. He has authored over 350 scientific papers. He has received the Best Paper cum Most Excellence Research Paper Award from IET-SEISCON 2013 and IET-CEAT 2016 and five best paper awards from ETAEERE 2016. He has authored or coauthored Lecture Note in Electrical Engineering Springer. He is a Fellow of the Institute of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineers (FIETE 2018), India, and the Institute of Engineers (FIE 2018), India. He was invited as a member of various committees for several international conferences, including the IEEE and the IET. He serves as an Editor/Associate Editor/or on the Editorial Board for many-refereed journals, in particular, the IEEE Systems Journal, IEEE Access, IET Power Electronics, and the Journal of Power Electronics (South Korea). He serves as the Subject Editor for IET Renewable Power Generation, the IET Generation, Transmission, and Distribution, and FACTS Journal (Canada).



NEERAJ PRIYADARSHI received the M.Tech. degree in power electronics and drives from the Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, India, in 2010, and the Ph. D. degree from the Government College of Technology and Engineering, Udaipur, India. He was with the University of Jammu, the Geetanjali Institute, the Global Institute, and the SS Group, Rajasthan, India. He is currently with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Birsa Institute of Technology (Trust), Ranchi,

India. He has published over 40 papers in journals and conferences. His current research interests include power electronics, control systems, power quality, and solar power generation. He is a Reviewer of the IEEE Systems Journal, the *International Journal of Modeling and Simulation*, and the *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*.



MAHAJAN SAGAR BHASKAR (M'15) received the bachelor's degree in electronics and telecommunication engineering from the University of Mumbai, Mumbai, India, in 2011, the master's degree in power electronics and drives from the Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), India, in 2014, and the Ph.D. degree in the field of power electronics from the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa,

in 2019. He is currently a Postdoctoral Researcher with his Ph.D. Tutor with the Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Esbjerg, Denmark. He was a Visiting Researcher with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar. He was an Assistant Professor and a Research Coordinator with the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Marathwada Institute of Technology (MIT), Aurangabad, India. He has published scientific papers in the fields of power electronics, with a particular reference to X-Y converter family, multilevel dc/dc and dc/ac converter, and high-gain converter. He was a recipient of the Best Paper cum Most Excellence Research Paper Award from IET-CEAT, in 2016, the IEEE-ICCPCT, in 2014, and ETAEERE, in 2016. He has authored or coauthored Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering (Springer). He is a professional active member of the IEEE Industrial Electronics, Power Electronics, Industrial Applications, and Power and Energy, Robotics, and Automation, Vehicular Technology Societies, Young Professionals, and various IEEE Councils and IEEE Technical Communities. He is a Reviewer Member of various international journals and conferences including the IEEE and IET. He was also a recipient of IEEE Access Award Reviewer of Month, for his valuable and thorough feedback on manuscripts, in 2019.



JENS BO HOLM-NIELSEN is currently with the Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, and the Head of the Esbjerg Energy Section. On this research, his activities established the Center for Bioenergy and Green Engineering, in 2009. He has served as the Head of the Research Group. He has vast experience in the field of biorefinery concepts and biogas production—anaerobic digestion. He has implemented projects on bioenergy systems in Denmark with provinces and

European states. He has served as the technical advisor for many industries in his research field. He has executed many large scale European union and United Nation projects in research aspects of bioenergy, biorefinery processes, the full chain of biogas, and green engineering. He has authored over 100 scientific papers. He was invited as invited as a member of various committees for over 250 various international conferences and an organizer of international conferences, workshops, and training programmes in Europe, Central Asia, and China. His research interests include renewable energy, sustainability, and green jobs for all.



EKLAS HOSSAIN (M'09–SM'17) received the B.S. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from the Khulna University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh, in 2006, the M.S. degree in mechatronics and robotics from the International Islamic University of Malaysia, Malaysia, in 2010, and the Ph.D. degree from the College of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukeee. He was involved in the areas of distributed power systems and renewable

energy integration for the last 10 years. He has published a number of research papers and posters in his research field. Since 2015, he has been an Assistant Professor with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Renewable Energy, Oregon Tech., where is currently involved in several research projects on renewable energy and grid-tied microgrid systems. His research interests include the modeling, analysis, design, and control of power electronic devices, energy storage systems, renewable energy sources, the integration of distributed generation systems, microgrids and smart grid applications, robotics, and advanced control systems. He, with his dedicated research team, is looking forward to explore methods to make the electric power systems more sustainable, cost-effective, and secure through extensive research and analysis on energy storage, microgrid systems, and renewable energy sources. He is also a registered Professional Engineer in the state of Oregon, USA.



FAROOQUE AZAM received the bachelor's degree in computer science and engineering from Visvesvaraya Technological University, India, in 2011, and the master's degree in computer science and engineering from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India, in 2013. He is currently with the School of Computing and Information Technology, REVA University, Bengaluru, India. He has authored scientific papers in international journals. His research interest includes the

design and the realization of optimization algorithms in various applications such as renewable energy.