A LOWER ESTIMATE FOR EXPONENTIAL SUMS

BY C. A. BERENSTEIN¹ AND M. A. DOSTAL

Communicated by François Treves, October 8, 1973

1. Introduction. In this note we present two theorems on exponential sums (see Theorems 1 and 2 below). Although seemingly unrelated, both results are motivated by the study of a certain type of lower estimates of exponential sums in the complex domain. Thus while Theorem 2 is related to the validity of this estimate for all *discrete* exponential sums², Theorem 1 essentially says that even a milder estimate of this kind does not hold for a whole class of *continuous* exponential sums (i.e. for certain Fourier transforms).

In addition to the usual notation of the theory of distributions (cf. [2], [3], [7]), the following symbols will be used throughout this note. Given a distribution $\Phi \in \mathscr{E}' = \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the symbol $[\Phi]$ ({ Φ } resp.) denotes the convex hull of the support of Φ (singular support of Φ , resp.). For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, h_A is the supporting function of A, i.e. $h_A(\lambda) = \sup_{x \in A} \langle x, \lambda \rangle$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and r > 0, $\Delta = \Delta(\zeta; r)$ is the closed polydisk with center ζ and radius r; and, if $g(\zeta')$ is any continuous function on $\Delta(\zeta; r)$, we shall write

(1)
$$|g(\zeta)|_r = \max_{\zeta' \in \Delta} |g(\zeta')|.$$

2. Indicators of smooth convex bodies.

DEFINITION. Let $\Phi \in \mathscr{E}'$ be such that

(2)
$$\{\Phi * \Psi\} = \{\Phi\} + \{\Psi\} \qquad (\forall \Psi \in \mathscr{E}').$$

Then Φ will be called a good convolutor.

The relationship of being a good convolutor to the solvability of the convolution equation $\Phi * u = f$ in the appropriate distribution spaces was discovered by L. Hörmander [7], and since then it was discussed by several authors (for references, cf. [2, Chapter I]). However, it is usually not easy to decide whether a given distribution Φ is a good convolutor or not.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 33A10, 32A15, 47G05.

Key words and phrases. Exponential-polynomials, Fourier transforms, several complex variables, convolution equations.

¹ The first author was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) ² And more generally, for all exponential polynomials.

Copyright @ American Mathematical Society 1974

Moreover, few good convolutors are known, and as Theorem 1 below will indicate, even distributions of a very simple nature may fail to be good convolutors.

It can be shown [4, Proposition 2] that the following condition on Φ is sufficient for Φ to be a good convolutor:

CONDITION (\mathbf{R}_{ω}) . There exist constants $t \ge 0$, r > 0, c > 0 and A real (all depending on Φ) so that (cf. (1))

(3)
$$|\mathring{\Phi}(\zeta)|_r \ge c(1+|\xi|)^A \exp(h_{[\Phi]}(\eta))$$

for all $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in C^n$ such that $|\xi| \ge t$ and $|\eta| > t \log(1+|\xi|)$.

Since any distribution Φ with finite support satisfies condition (R_{ω}) (cf. [4, Proposition 6]), we thus obtain a result of Hörmander [7], [8], according to which all distributions with finite support are good convolutors. This in turn can be used to prove the following statement (cf. [4, Proposition 6]):

Let P be an arbitrary compact convex polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^n and χ_P the distribution defined by the characteristic function of P. Then χ_P satisfies condition (\mathbb{R}_{ω}) , hence χ_P is a good convolutor. The same conclusion holds for the surface measure $\chi_{\partial P}$ of density 1, i.e.

$$\chi_{\partial P}(\phi) = \int_{\partial P} \phi(x) \, ds_x, \qquad (\phi \in \mathscr{E})$$

where ds_x is the surface element.

It seems natural to ask whether this proposition holds for smooth convex bodies P as well. At the first glance it seems that it does. Indeed, if, for instance, P is any ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n , then the distribution $\Phi = \chi_P$ satisfies the following weaker version of (2) (cf. the concluding remark in [5]):

$$(2^*) \qquad \{\Psi\} \subseteq \{\Phi * \Psi\} - \{\Phi\} \qquad (\forall \Psi \in \mathscr{E}').$$

Therefore, it is rather surprising that this particular Φ is not a good convolutor [5, Proposition 4]. The following theorem sheds more light on this peculiar situation.

THEOREM 1. Let P be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n (n>1) with a C^{∞} -boundary ∂P . Moreover, it is assumed that the Gaussian curvature of ∂P never vanishes, i.e. K(x)>0 for every $x \in \partial P$. Then neither χ_P nor $\chi_{\partial P}$ is a good convolutor.

REMARK. Both assumptions on ∂P (i.e. smoothness and K>0) can be substantially relaxed.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a detailed study of the asymptotic behavior of the functions $\hat{\chi}_P$ and $\hat{\chi}_{\partial P}$ in the complex domain. For ζ real, estimates of this kind were previously derived by numerous authors (cf. [9], [10], [11] and the references given in [10], [11]). However, for our

688

purposes these estimates must be sharpened. As an illustration, consider the case of the convex surface $S = \partial P$. Given $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\xi \neq 0$, write $r = |\xi|$ and consider $\zeta = r\omega + i\eta$ with ω fixed. Let $x^j = (x_1^j, \dots, x_n^j) \in S$ (j=0, 1) be the points

$$x_{\nu}^{j} = \partial h_{\mathcal{S}}((-1)^{j}\xi)/\partial \xi_{\nu}$$
 $(\nu = 1, \cdots, n).$

Fix arbitrarily the open subsets S^k (k=0, 1, 2) of S so that $S=\bigcup_k S^k$, $S^0 \cap S^1 = \emptyset$, $x^j \in S^j \setminus S^2$ (j=0, 1). Then for any q > n/2 and v > 0 there exist positive numbers a_j , b_j and c_v such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_{S}(\zeta) &= (1-i)^{n-1} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{n-1} r^{(1-n)/2} \sum K(x^{j})^{-1/2} \exp(-i\langle x^{j}, \zeta\rangle) \\ &+ I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}; \\ (4) \qquad |I_{1}(\zeta)| &\leq r^{-n/2} (1+|\eta|)^{q} \sum a_{j} \exp(\langle x^{j}, \eta \rangle), \\ &|I_{2}(\zeta)| &\leq r^{-q} (1+|\eta|)^{2q} \sum b_{j} \exp[h_{S^{j}}(\eta)], \\ &|I_{3}(\zeta)| &\leq c_{v} r^{-v} (1+|\eta|) \exp[h_{S^{2}}(\eta)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum = \sum_{j=0,1}$. Formula (4) combined with a result of Hörmander [8] yields Theorem 1 for $\chi_{\partial P}$. Asymptotic expansions similar to (4) hold for χ_P as well as for the Fourier transforms of certain measures with non-constant density.

3. The discrete case. Generalization of Ritt's theorem. In this part we shall consider finite exponential sums, and more generally, exponential polynomials in several complex variables. If H is an exponential polynomial, i.e. a function of the form

(5)
$$H(\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} h_j(\zeta) \exp(\langle \theta_j, \zeta \rangle) \qquad (\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n)$$

with complex frequencies $\theta_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and polynomial coefficients h_j , the greatest common divisor of the h_j 's, $d_H = (h_1, \dots, h_s)$, will be called the *content* of *H*. Moreover, we shall write $\mathfrak{C}_H(\zeta) = \max_j \operatorname{Re}\langle \theta_j, \zeta \rangle$. Henceforth an *exponential sum* will mean a function of the form (5) with all coefficients h_j constant. The following lower estimate of exponential polynomials was proved in [3], [5]:

(R₀) Given an exponential polynomial H and an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C = C(\varepsilon, H) > 0$ such that for every $\zeta \in C^n$ and any f analytic in $\Delta(\zeta; \varepsilon)$,

(6)
$$|f(\zeta)| \exp(\mathfrak{C}_H(\zeta)) \leq C |f(\zeta)H(\zeta)|_{\varepsilon}^{3}$$

³ Obviously, estimate (R_0) is much stronger than (R_ω).

In this section we shall discuss the following

Question. Let F and G be exponential polynomials in n variables such that the function H=F/G is entire. What can be said about the structure of H? In particular, when is H an exponential polynomial?

Simple examples show that H need not be an exponential polynomial (e.g., n=1, $F=\sin \zeta$, $G=\zeta$). On the other hand, if F and G are exponential sums in one variable such that H is entire, then, according to a theorem of Ritt [12], H is also an exponential sum. Different proofs of Ritt's theorem were given by H. Selberg, P. D. Lax and A. Shields (cf. the references in [12], [13]). In particular, Shields [13] proves that H is an exponential polynomial as long as it is entire and G is an exponential sum. He also mentions that, according to an unpublished result of W. D. Bowsma, the last assumption may be replaced by $d_G=1$. Finally, Avanissian and Martineau [1] generalized the original Ritt's theorem to arbitrary n>1. The following theorem contains all these results as special cases. Moreover, it shows that the above counterexample is in a certain sense the best possible:

THEOREM 2. Let F, G, H be as above $(n \ge 1 \text{ arbitrary})$. Then there exists an exponential polynomial E and a polynomial Q such that H=E|Q. Hence we may assume $(d_E, Q)=1$. Then E and Q are determined uniquely⁴ and Q divides d_G .

The starting point for the proof of Theorem 2 is the following assertion: Let f, g, h be the analytic functionals whose Fourier-Borel transforms are F, G, H respectively. Then h is carried by the polyhedron defined by $\mathfrak{C}_F - \mathfrak{C}_G$. This in turn follows from (\mathbb{R}_0) .

The proofs together with applications of the above theorems will appear elsewhere.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. V. Avanissian, Oral communication, 1970.

2. C. A. Berenstein and M. A. Dostal, *Analytically uniform spaces and their applications to convolution equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 256, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.

3. -----, Some remarks on convolution equations, Ann. Inst. Fourier 23 (1973), 55-74.

4. ———, On convolution equations. I, L'Analyse Harmonique dans le Domaine Complexe, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 336, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973, pp. 79–94.

5. ——, On convolution equations. II, Proc. Colloq. Anal. Rio de Janeiro, 1972 (to appear).

6. M. A. Dostal, An analogue of a theorem of Vladimir Bernstein and its applications to singular supports of distributions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 19 (1969), 553–576. MR 40 #3302.

⁴ Up to a constant multiple.

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

690

7. L. Hörmander, On the range of convolution operators, Ann. of Math. (2) 76 (1962), 148–170. MR 25 #5379.

8. —, Supports and singular supports of convolutions, Acta Math. 110 (1963), 279–302. MR 27 #4070.

9. F. John, Bestimmung einer Funktion aus ihren Integralen über gewisse Mannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Ann. 109 (1934), 488–520.

10. W. Littman, Fourier transforms of surface-carried measures and differentiability of surface averages, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 766–770. MR 27 #5086.

11. ——, Decay at infinity of solutions to partial differential equations with constant coefficients, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 449–459. MR 33 #6110.

12. J. F. Ritt, On the zeros of exponential polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1929), 680-686.

13. A. Shields, On quotients of exponential polynomials, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1963), 27-31. MR 26 #6411.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MARY-LAND 20742

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HOBOKEN, NEW JERSEY 07030

Instituto de Matematica Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil