# A MATHEMATICAL PROBLEM RELATED TO THE PHYSICAL THEORY OF LIQUID CRYSTAL CONFIGURATIONS

Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to explain a mathematical problem in liquid crystal configuration and the mathematical tools that one is naturally lead to use in order to understand it.

It will turn out that one necessarily has to understand the structure of the set of singularities of functions of bounded variation. This was first studied by the fundamental work of De-Giorgi [16], [3] and H. Federer [7]. (see also Vol'pert [15], and Simon [12]). In this note we shall explain a different method that we have used in order to study this basic fact and which is useful to study the liquid crystal problem which we shall explain in Section 2. As a matter of fact we have recovered the well known results mentioned above and furthermore we have obtained new information that we shall be briefly discussing.

Our approach is motivated by the original work of De-Giorgi on the study of the set of singularities of a characteristic function of a set of finite perimeter, see [16] p.3-62 (see also Example (B) in Section 3.2). Roughly speaking we can say that we recover

De-Giorgi's original argument and thereby we unified the theory on the structure of the set of singularities for characteristic functions of sets of finite perimeter and general bounded variation functions.

One advantange of our approach is that it gives information on sets which are not necessarily rectifiable, like rank properties of Hessian measures, see Theorem A. This information is indeed essential to show the rectifiability of relevant sets, for instance the set of points where a function of bounded variation has jump discontinuities. Together with measure theorical arguments, see Section 3.3, this implies the descomposition. Let  $u: \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{R}$ 

be a function of bounded variation, that is u  $\epsilon$  L^1(\Omega), Du (derivative in the distribution sense) is a finite Radon – measure. Then as measure

Du = Du L S U Du L Ω\S

where

- (i) Du L  $\Omega$ \S is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on R<sup>n</sup> and the Lebesgue measure of S is zero. Here Du L S is the measure defined by (Du LS) (A) = (Du) (A\capsilonS) for every Borel set in R<sup>n</sup>;
- (ii) S can be descomposed in S = EULUN where
- (a) E is the set of jump discontinuity of u, that is  $E = \{x \in \Omega: ap \text{ lim sup } u > ap \text{ lim inf } u\}$

where

ap lim supu = sup(
$$\beta$$
: lim sup  $\int X_{\beta}(y)dy/r^n > 0$ )
× r>0  $B_r(x)$ 

where 
$$X_{\beta} = X_{F_{\beta}}$$
 ,  $F_{\beta} = \{x \in \Omega \colon u(x) \ge \beta\}$  is the

characteristic function of the set F . Similarly

ap 
$$\lim_{x} \inf u = \inf \{ \beta: \lim_{r \to 0} \sup_{B_r(x)} (1 - x_{\beta})(y) dy/r^n > 0 \}$$

where  $B_r(x)$  is the open ball of radius r with center at x. Moreover, E is (n-1) rectifiable, that is

$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_j \cup N_0$$
 (disjoint union)

where  $\Gamma_j$  are compact sets contained in  $C^1$  hypersurfaces and  $H_{n-1}(N_0)=0$  where  $H_{n-1}$  denotes the (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

(b) In the set £, u is approximately continuous, that is

and if  $H_{n-1}(A) < \infty$  then  $\left| Du \right| L(\mathcal{L} \cap A) = 0$ .

(c) N is a set of zero (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Current work suggests that our approach also gives important insight to relax the functional

$$u \rightarrow \int f(u, \nabla u) dx$$

for  $u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  where  $f(v,p): \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}$  and

(i) I is continuous in v, I is convex in p,

(ii) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(v, tp)}{t} = f_{\infty} (v,p) \text{ exists}$$

and it is bounded uniformly in v.

If f is independent of v,  $f(\nabla u)$  is defined as a measure which is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak star topology of measures and which can be approximated by smooth functions.

However, if f depends on v the explicit definition of  $f(u, \nabla u)$  for  $u \in B \vee V$  is not in the literature except for the scalar case (m = 1) [5] or for special choice of f when n = 1, see [14].

We here suggest one definition at least when f is positive and homogeneous of degree one in p,

$$f(u, \nabla u) (A) = \int dist_{1} (u_{+}, u_{-}) dH_{n-1} + f(u(x), h(x)) |\nabla u| L(\Omega \setminus S) (A)$$

$$E \cap A$$

with

$$dist_{f}(u_{+}, u_{-}) = inf \int_{0}^{1} f(\vec{v}(t), \vec{v}(t)) dt$$

$$\vec{v}(0) = u_{+}$$

$$\vec{v}(1) = u_{-}$$

where  $u_+$ ,  $u_-$  are the trace of u on E from each side, h(x) is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\nabla u$  with respect to  $|\nabla u|$ , A is a Borel set in  $\Omega$  and  $\delta$  is a  $C^{\&}$  curve that joins  $u_+$  with  $u_-$ . So far we have only checked that  $f(u, \nabla u)$  is lower semicontinuous when u is piecewise constant.

In a suitable form this relaxation problem is important in the liquid crystal problem which is explained in the next section.

### (2) THE LIQUID CRYSTAL PROBLEM, THE SMECTIC STATE.

In the theory of liquid crystal one has a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the region occupied by the liquid crystal and a unit vector field  $\Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2$ , called the optic axis. The alignment of this axis may be influenced by external magnetic or electric fields. In any case, there is associated a free energy density due to Ossen – Frank,

$$W(\nabla u, u) = k_1(\text{div } u)^2 + k_2 (u \cdot \text{curl} u)^2 + k_3 | \text{uxcurl} u |^2 + (k_2 + k_4) (\text{tr } (\nabla u)^2 - (\text{div } u)^2)$$

where the  $k_1$  are constants with  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3 > 0$ . One is interested in infima of the corresponding integral functional subject to appropriate boundary conditions.

In the special case  $k_1=k_2=k_3$  and  $k_4=0$ ,  $W(\nabla u,u)$  reduces to  $|\nabla u|^2$  and critical points are harmonic maps from  $\Omega$  to  $S^2$ . For energy minimizing harmonic maps from  $\Omega$  to  $S^2$  the singularities are at most isolated (Schoen-Uhlenbeck [10]) and there is good information on the asymptotic behavior near a singularity (L. Simon [13], Brezis-Coron-Lieb [2])

For the general W, Hardt-Kinderlehrer-Lin [9] shows that singularities of the solutions have Hausdorff dimension less than one. Moreover, Brezis-Coron-Lieb [2] found restrictions on limit configurations of such singularities. In liquid crystal this state is known as nematic.

In any case, in the smectic state of liquid crystal one consider solutions of the form  $u=\frac{\nabla \psi}{\left|\nabla \psi\right|}$  for some function  $\psi:\Omega\to R$  and the level surfaces of  $\psi$  form a system of parallel surfaces

Experimentally, [11], one observes one dimensional singularities and the singularities lying in surfaces, which cannot be explained by the above theory (above, the singularities of the solution have Hausdorff dimension less that one). Simple examples and physical considerations (Ericksen [17] and Sethna [18]) suggest that the solution of the following relaxition problem explain with acquiricy the phenomena.

We study the energy functional

G (u) = 
$$\int_{\Omega} [(|\nabla u| - 1)^2 + \epsilon (\Delta u)^2] dx$$

where  $\Omega$  is now a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ .

It is clear that if u minimizes G, then u also minimizes  $J(u) = \epsilon^{-1/2} \ G(u). \ \mbox{We now consider the problem}$ 

Min 
$$J_{\varepsilon}(u) = J_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}_{\varepsilon})$$

$$u \in H^2(\Omega) \qquad \qquad u \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = g \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

It is a simple exercise to show that the minimum is achieved. Heuristically we expect

$$u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } H^1(\Omega)$$

 $(u_\epsilon)_{ij} \to (u_0)_{ij} \quad 1 \le i, \ j \le n, \ \ \text{weakly as measures, and}$   $\left| \nabla u_0 \right| = 1, \ \ \text{a.e. in} \ \Omega, \ (u_0)_{ij}, \quad 1 \le i, \ j \le n \ \ \text{are finite Radon}$ 

measures. When n=3  $u_0$  will describe the phenomena of the smectic state of liquid crystal.

We notice at once that we can use the theory described in the introduction to study the structure of the set of singularities of  $\nabla$  u<sub>0</sub>. For instance we can use it to study the following important question: What is the limiting energy? Is this energy lower semicontinuous in the corresponding space?

To understand this question in more details we first observe that

$$J_{\epsilon}(u) \ge \int_{\Omega} 2(||\nabla u|| - 1|||\Delta u|| dx = E_0(u)$$

It is therefore natural to conjecture that a suitable form of the energy  $E_0$  will be the limiting energy. To formalize this, we first has to give meaning to  $E_0(u)$  when  $|\nabla u| = 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , and  $u_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i$ ,  $j \le n$ , are finite Radon measures. Briefly this goes as follows. By the Radon Nikodym theorem the singular part of the measure  $[D^2u]$ , the modulus of the largest eigenvalue of the symmetric matrix  $[u_{ij}]$ , has support in

$$\sum = \left\{ x \in \Omega: \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{[D^2 u] (B_r(x))}{r^n} = \infty \right\}$$

and we may also assume that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{i}\,\mathsf{i}} \; (\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{r}}\;(\mathsf{x}))}{[\mathsf{D}^2\mathsf{u}](\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{r}}(\mathsf{x}))} \; = \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{i}\,\mathsf{j}} \; (\mathsf{x}), \; \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{|\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{i}\,\mathsf{j}} \; | \; (\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{r}}\;(\mathsf{x}))}{[\mathsf{D}^2\mathsf{u}](\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{r}}\;(\mathsf{x}))} \; = \; \big| \; \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{i}\,\mathsf{j}} \; (\mathsf{x}) \, \big|$$

exist. Theorem A, Example A in Section 3.2 and the measure theorical relations of Section 3.3 show that  $(A_{ij})$  has rank 1 on the set

$$S = \left\{ x \in \sum: \infty > \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{[D^2u]}{r^{n-1}} > 0 \right\}$$

and  $S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_j \cup N_0$  (disjoint union) where  $\Gamma_j$  are compact sets which are contained in  $C^{-1}$  hypersurfaces  $C_j$  and  $H_{n-1}(N_0) = 0$ .

The normal to  $\,C_{j}\,\,$  on  $\,\,\Gamma_{j}\,\,$  is the eigenvector of  $\,A_{ij}\,\,$  , it turns out. The proposal definition of  $\,E_{0}\,\,$  is

$$\frac{1}{2} E_0(u) = \sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q} \int_{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n^{-}}}^{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n^{+}}} \left| \sqrt{\tau^{2} + u^{2} - 1} \right| d\tau dH_{n-1}$$

for  $\nabla u \, B \, V(\Omega)$  and  $|\nabla u| = 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Here  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n-} < \frac{\partial u}{\partial n+}$  are the trace of  $\nabla u$  on the normal direction of  $C_j$  and  $u_{tan}$  denotes trace of  $\nabla u$  in the tangential directions of  $C_j$ .

So far, we have only checked this definition is compatible with important cases. The studies mentioned in the introduction suggest that  $E_0(u)$  is lower-semicontinuous in  $\mathcal{M}=\{u\colon\Omega\to R,\ u_{ij},\ 1\le i,\ j\le n\ \text{ are finite Radon measures}\}$  and  $u^k\to u,\ u^k,\ u\in\mathcal{M}$  if  $\nabla\ u\to\nabla\ u$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

To show that  $J_\epsilon \to E_0$  the more natural thing to try is to prove that  $J_\epsilon$  converges to  $E_0$  in the  $\Gamma$ - sense of De-Giorgi ([4], [14]). To verify this, assuming that  $E_0$  is lower semicontinuous in  $\mathcal{M}$ , it suffices to show that for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exists  $u^\epsilon$  in  $H^2(\Omega)$ ,  $u^\epsilon \to u$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $J_\epsilon(u^\epsilon) \to E_0(u)$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ . We believe that this is indeed true.

# 3. SINGULARITIES OF FUNCTION OF BOUNDED VARITION AND RANK PROPERTIES OF HESSIAN MEASURES.

In this section we summarize the results that it will appear in [1].

## 3.1. Rank properties of Hessian Measures.

We work in the following setting. Let u be a function defined in an open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$   $n \geq 1$ , whose second derivatives  $u_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i$ ,  $j \leq n$  are finite Radon – measures in  $\Omega$ . We are interested in the singular part of  $u_{ij}$ . Let

$$\sum = \{x \in \Omega: \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{[D^2 u] (B_r(x))}{r n} = \infty \}$$

where  $[D^2u]$  is the modulus of the largest eigenvalue of the symmetric matrix  $D^2u=(u_{ij})$ . By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, the singular part of  $D^2u$  agrees with  $D^2u$  L $\Sigma$ . We may also assume (by taking off a set of  $D^2u$  measure zero if necessary) that  $x \in \Sigma$ 

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{u_{ij} (B_r(x))}{[D^2 u] (B_r(x))} = A_{ij}(x) \text{ exists}$$

and

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{|u_{ij}| (B_r(x))}{[D^2u] (B_r(x))} = |A_{ij}(x)| \text{ exists}$$

Let now the upper density function be defined by

$$\theta_{\mathbf{q}}^{*} \quad (\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{r \to 0} \sup_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{[D^{2}u] \; (\mathbf{B}_{r} \; (\mathbf{x}))}{r^{\mathbf{q}}}, \; \; n\text{-}1 \leq q < n.$$

Theorem A. Let

$$S_q = \{x \in \sum : \infty > \theta_q^* (x) > 0\} \quad n-1 \le q < n.$$

Then on Sq, (Aii) has rank one.

Note: Examples show that in general Sq is not rectifiable.

3.2. Blowing up and Rectifiability, two examples.

In this section we very briefly indicate that Theorem A, together with a blowing up argument and the Whitney criterium for rectifiability, [16] p.53, can be used to show the rectifiability of two important sets.

Example A. Let  $u: \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that:

- (i)  $H(\nabla u(x)) = 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  where  $H: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function;
- (ii) D<sup>2</sup>u is a symmetric matrix of finite Radon measures.

  Define the lower (n-1) density by

$$\theta_{\kappa}$$
 (x) =  $\lim_{r \to 0} \inf \frac{[D^2 u]}{r - 1}$  (B<sub>r</sub>(x))

Then  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{x \in \Sigma : \infty > \Theta_*(x) > 0\}$  is rectifiable. Moreover, the normal vector for  $x \in \mathcal{R}_1$  is given by the eigenvector of the matrix  $D^2u(x) = (A_{11}(x))$  in Theorem A.

Example B. Let A be a set of finite perimeter, that is the gradient (in the generalized sense) of the characteristic function of the set A,  $\nabla X_A$ , is a finite Radon measure, that is  $|\nabla X_A|(\Omega) < \infty$ . Let

$$\sum = \{x \in \Omega: \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{|\nabla X_A| (B_r(x)) = \infty,}{r}$$

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\nabla \times_A (B_r(x))}{\nabla \times_A (B_r(x))} \text{ exists}$$

and

$$\theta_*$$
 (x) =  $\lim_{r\to 0} \inf \frac{\left|\nabla X_A\right|}{r^{n-1}} (B_r(x))$ .

Then the set  $\mathcal{R}_2 = \{x \in \Sigma : \infty > \theta_*(x) > 0\}$  is rectifiable

In both examples the key idea of the proof is to use the rescaled measures:

$$u_{ij}{}^t(K)=u_{ij}\;(x\,\div\,tK)\;t^{1-n},\;\;x\in\,\mathcal{R}_1$$

(in Example A) or

$$(\nabla X_{\Delta})^{t}$$
 (K) =  $\nabla X_{\Delta}(x + tK)t^{1-n}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{R}_{2}$ 

(in Example B) where K is an open set together with the Whitney criterium for rectifiability.

### 3.3 Relation Among several sets of singularities

In this section we indicate that the results used in Section 2 and De-Giorgi's descomposition of a set of finite perimeter [16] p.3-62, follow from the results in Section 3.2 and the theorem below. Also, the descomposition of a general function of bounded variation (see introduction) follows from Theorem A, a blowing up argument (similar to the one explained in Section 3.2 with the rescaled measures), the Whitney criterium for recifiability and the theorem below. Details of the proof can be found in [1].

Let  $u\colon \Omega \to R$  be a function of bounded variation. By the Radon - Nikodym theorem , we may assume that

(3.1) 
$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{Du (B_r(x))}{|Du| (B_r(x))} = n(x)$$
 exists and  $|n(x)| = 1$ 

(We take off a set of |Du| measure zero, if neccessary) We recall for x that satisfies (3.1)

(i) 
$$\theta^{+}(x) = \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{|D u| (B_{r}(x))}{r^{n-1}}$$

(ii) 
$$\theta_*(x) = \lim_{r \to 0} \inf \frac{|Du|(B_r(x))}{r^{n-1}}$$

(iii) x is a Lebesgue point of u if there exists c such that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{|(B_r(x))|} \int_{B_r(x)} |u(y) - c| dy = 0$$

We denote the set of Lebesgue points of u by  $\mathcal{L}$ . By E we denote the set of jump discontinuities (see introduction). Then we have the following result.

THEOREM B (a) If  $x \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $\theta^*(x) = 0$ 

- (b) If  $x \in E$ , then  $\theta^*(x) > 0$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$  a.e.  $x \in E$ ,  $\Theta_*(x) > 0$ . Furthermore  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$  a.e.  $x \in E$   $\Theta_*(x) = \Theta^*(x)$ .
- (d)  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$  a.e. if  $x \notin E$ , then  $x \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Aknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Bob Kohn for several stimulating conversations and for his encourgement and interest in the present work. The first author would also like to thank Leon Simon for his invitation to visit the Australian National University and for his hospitality during the visit.

### References.

- [1] Aviles, P. and Giga, Y: Singularities of functions of bounded variations and rank properties of Hessian measures, in preparation
- [2] Brezis, H., Coron, J.-M. and Lieb, E.: Harmonic maps with defects, Comm. in Math. Physics, vol 107. (1986) pp. 649-705.
- [3] De-Giorgi, E.: Nuovi theremi relativi alle misure (r-1)-dimensionale in um spazio ad r dimensioni. Ricerche di matematica, vol. 4 (1955) pp. 95-113.
- [4] De-Giorgi, E: Convergence problems for functionals and operators, Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Pitagoria Ed. Bologna, (1978).
- [5] Dal Maso, G.: Integral representation of BV( $\Omega$ ) of  $\Gamma$ -limits of variational integrals, manuscripta math 30(1980), 384-416.
- [6] Demengel, F. and Teman, R.: Convex functions of a measure and applications, Indiana Univ. Math. Journal 33 (1984) 673-709.
- [7] Federer, H.: Geometry Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1969).
- [8] Goffman C. and Serrin, J.: Sublinear functions of measures and variational integrals, Duke Math. J. 31 (1964), 159-178.
- [9] Hardt, R. Kinderlehrer D., and Lin F. H.: Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configurations, Comm in Math. Physics 105, 541-570 (1986).
- [10] Schoen, R and Uhlenbeck, K., A regularity theory for harmonic

- maps, J. Diff. Geom. 17, 307-335 (1982).
- [11] Sethna J. and Kle'man, M.: Spheric domain in smectic liquid crystals, Phsical Review A. vol. 26, No. 5 (1982), 3037-3040.
- [12] Simon, L: <u>Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory.</u>
  Proceeding of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis,
  Australian National University (1983)
- [13] Simon L: Asymptotics for a class of non-linear evolution equations with applications to geometric problems, Ann Math 118, 525-571 (1983).
- [14] Sternberg, P: The effect of a singular perturbation on a nonconvex variational problem, New York University Ph.D thesis, R. Kohn Ph.D advisor (1986).
- [15] Vol'pert, A. I.: The spaces B.V. and quasilinear equations, Math., U.S.S.R-Sbonik, Vol 2 (1967), (English transl.) 225-267.
- [16] Guisti, E. <u>Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded</u> variation. Birkhauser (1984).
- [17] Ericksen, J.: private communication.
- [18] Sethna, J.: private communication.

Patricio Aviles'
Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61801
(visiting U.C.S.D.)

Yoshikazu Giga Department of Mathematics Hakkaido University Sapporo 060, Japan