Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

Publications of the Ray W. Herrick Laboratories

School of Mechanical Engineering

4-23-2012

A Miniature-Scale Linear Compressor for Electronics Cooling.

Craig R. Bradshaw Purdue University - Main Campus, cbradsha@purdue.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/herrick

Bradshaw, Craig R., "A Miniature-Scale Linear Compressor for Electronics Cooling." (2012). *Publications of the Ray W. Herrick Laboratories*. Paper 29. http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/herrick/29

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

A MINIATURE-SCALE LINEAR COMPRESSOR

FOR ELECTRONICS COOLING

A Dissertation

Submitted to the Faculty

of

Purdue University

by

Craig R. Bradshaw

In Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree

of

Doctor of Philosophy

May 2012

Purdue University

West Lafayette, Indiana

To Shawna and our family.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my advisors; Profs. Eckhard Groll and Suresh Garimella. You have both challenged me in your own way and never accepted anything but the best I can give. I have come out stronger in the end as a result, and for that, I am eternally grateful. My other committee members, Profs. Charles Krousgrill, and Steve Pekarek have provided wonderful feedback, support, and discussion. I am also thankful to Prof. Doug Adams for his advice and assistance with the compressor short courses, Prof. Patricia Davies for her excellent leadership of Herrick Labs, and Prof. John Abraham for his helpful teaching suggestions.

I also could not have completed this journey without the help and support from the staff at Herrick Lab. Judy, Donna, Ginny, Gil, and Bob, who make Herrick feel like a family. I especially want to thank Frank Lee who was always around with a smile on his face, willing to help, or at least point you in the right direction.

Certainly, I would not have enjoyed, or been as successful, without the help of my fellow labmates. Dr. Jitendra Gupta for your friendship and being an excellent officemate. Drs. Stephan Bertsch and Abhijit Sathe for guiding me through my early years. Drs. Ian Bell and Margaret Mathison for being wonderful friends making one of the best compressor research teams. Christian Bach for entertaining all my coffee break requests. Abhinav Krishna, Bryce Shaffer, Howard Cheung, Brandon Woodland, Seth Holloway, Simbarashe Nyika, Tim Blatchley, Cord Tomforde, Nate Taylor, Steven Caskey, Scott Flueckiger, and Robert Leffler, who have each made my time at Herrick, and Purdue, filled with excellent memories.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	age
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
SYMBOLS	zviii
ABSTRACT	xix
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 Miniature-Scale Refrigeration Systems 1.2 Linear Compressors 1.3 Comprehensive Modeling of Hermetic Compressors 1.4 Objectives and Overview	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 8 \end{array} $
CHAPTER 2. LINEAR COMPRESSOR MODEL	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 23 \\ \end{array} $
CHAPTER 3. CHARACTERIZATION OF LINEAR COMPRESSOR BE- HAVIOR 3.1 Linear Compressor Behavior 3.1.1 Linear Compressor Stiffness 3.1.2 Linear Compressor Damping 3.2 Control Considerations 3.2.1 Calculating the Resonant Frequency 3.2.2 Stroke Control 3.2.3 Compressor Model Operation CHAPTER 4. PROTOTYPE-COMPRESSOR PERFORMANCE AND MODEL	24 24 27 29 30 31 33 34
VALIDATION.	36 36

		Page
4.2	Miniature-Scale Compressor Load Stand	36
	4.2.1 Experimental Uncertainty	39
4.3	Validation of Model Predictions	40
	4.3.1 Resonant Frequency	40
	4.3.2 Massflow Rate, Volumetric, and Overall Isentropic Efficiency	42
4.4	Conclusions	44
СНАРТ	TER 5. SENSITIVITY STUDIES	47
5.1	Loss Analysis	47
5.2	Model Sensitivity to Geometric Parameters	49
	5.2.1 Leakage and Friction	49
	5.2.2 Piston Geometry and Compressor Scaling	52
	5.2.3 Dead Volume/Stroke Control	60
5.3	Capacity Control	63
5.4	Piston Drift	66
5.5	Comparison of a Linear Compressor to Reciprocating Compressor Be-	
	havior	69
5.6	An Improved Design of a Miniature-Scale Linear Compressor for Elec-	
	tronics Cooling	73
5.7	Conclusions	75
СНАРТ	TER 6. MODELING OF A COMMERCIAL LINEAR COMPRESSOR	78
6.1	Description of Commercial Linear Compressor	78
	6.1.1 Measurement of Compressor Geometry and Other Comprehen-	
	sive Compressor Model Inputs	82
6.2	Linear Compressor Model Modifications	86
	6.2.1 Thin Film Friction Model	86
	6.2.2 Model Components	87
	6.2.3 Stroke Calculation	89
	6.2.4 Comprehensive Compressor Model	90
	6.2.5 Vibration Model	91
6.3	Modification of Domestic Refrigerator/Freezer for Testing of a Com-	
	mercial Linear Compressor	92
	6.3.1 Refrigerator/Freezer Operation	94
	6.3.2 Testing Conditions	96
	6.3.3 Validation of the Commercial Linear Compressor Model Pre-	
	dictions	96
6.4	Commercial Linear Compressor Summary	100
СНАРТ	TER 7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	104
7.1	Conclusions	104
7.2	Recommendations	105
LIST O	F REFERENCES	107

vi

APPENDICES	5
Appendix A	A: Prototype Linear Compressor
A.1	Prototype Design Model
A.2	Component and Material Selection
A.3	Valve Design 117
A.4	Prototype Design, Revision 00
A.5	Prototype Design, Revision 01
A.6	Design Revision 02 \ldots 128
A.7	Design Conclusions
Appendix I	3: Compressor Prototype Drawings
Appendix (C: Comprehensive Model Code
C.1	Function <i>centralprogram</i>
C.2	Function $getflag$
C.3	Function $create_struct$
C.4	Function $givens$
C.5	Function $valve_inputs$
C.6	Function $vibration_givens$
C.7	Function $heat_transfer_givens$
C.8	Function <i>vibration</i>
C.9	Function $valve_dynamics$
C.10	Function $x_RK_flux_dom$
C.11	Function $x_RK_pressure_dom \dots \dots$
C.12	Function leakage $\ldots \ldots 201$
C.13	Function Ins_HT
C.14	Function Ins_HT_cv2
C.15	Function $brents$
C.16	Function EOS
C.17	Function Cv
C.18	Function Cv_p
C.19	Function $enthalpy$
C.20	Function $enthalpy_P$
C.21	Function $enthalpy_ref$
C.22	Function internal energy $\ldots \ldots 221$
C.23	Function internal energy P
C.24	Function internal energy_ref $\dots \dots \dots$
C.25	Function internal energy $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 226$
C.26	Function $entropy$
C.27	Function $entropy_P$
C.28	Function $entropy_ref$
C.29	Function pressure $\ldots \ldots 235$
C.30	Function rho
C.31	Function $dP_{-}dT$

			Page
Ap	pendix I	: Modified Comprehensive Model Code to Represent Commer-	
	cial Li	near Compressor	239
	D.1	Function <i>centralprogram</i>	239
	D.2	Function vibration	265
VITA			269

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e	Page
4.1	Summary of experimental data	39
4.2	Linear compressor prototype parameters	41
4.3	Comparison of performance of revision 01 to revision 02 linear compressor.	46
5.1	Key design parameters of the improved linear compressor design compared with the prototype design.	74
5.2	Predicted performance of the improved linear compressor design compared with the prototype design.	76
6.1	List of measured quantities from the commercial linear compressor referencing the nomenclature in Figure 6.5	84
6.2	Experimental measurement devices used for testing commercial linear com- pressor and their uncertainty values.	94
6.3	Measured temperatures from testing of the commercial linear compressor.	96
6.4	Additional experimental results from testing of a commercial linear com- pressor	97
A.1	Design guidelines of o-ring gland dimensions for a static radial seal from allorings.com (2011)	120
A.2	Design guidelines of o-ring gland dimensions for a static axial seal from allorings.com (2011)	121

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	Figure	
1.1	Pressure enthalpy diagram of typical R134a miniature-scale refrigeration cycle for electronics cooling.	3
1.2	Representation of the compression process in a linear compressor	5
1.3	Sectional view of the prototype linear compressor built for this work.	9
2.1	Photos of reed valve assembly used in compressor prototype	13
2.2	Free body diagrams for the valve reed	14
2.3	Free body diagram of linear compressor piston assembly	17
2.4	Representation of the applied load due to spring eccentricities on a typical ground compression spring.	18
2.5	Schematic of linear compressor with displayed paths of heat movement.	20
2.6	Solution flowchart of linear compressor model (equations solved at each step given in blocks)	21
2.7	A typical first piston cycle showing the response of the compressor piston and the applied motor force highlighting the difference in response period between the two.	22
3.1	A typical piston amplitude (left) and piston rotation response (right) as predicted by the model.	25
3.2	Schematic diagram of linear compressor piston dimensions	25
3.3	A typical pressure volume (indicator) diagram for a linear compressor as predicted by the model.	26
3.4	A typical representation of the change in pressure across the piston as a function of piston position predicted by the model.	27
3.5	A typical effective stiffness of compressor piston as a function of piston position	28
3.6	A typical effective damping coefficient of compressor piston as a function of piston position.	30
3.7	Linear compressor model with resonant frequency calculation and force adjustment algorithm added.	35

Figure

Figu	re	Page
4.1	Schematic diagram of miniature-compressor hot gas bypass load stand.	37
4.2	Pressure-enthalpy plot of compressor load stand operation for refrigerant R-134a.	38
4.3	Comparison of experimental and simulated resonant frequencies (measurement error within marker width).	41
4.4	Comparison of experimental and simulated mass flow rates (measurement error within marker width).	42
4.5	Comparison of experimental and simulated volumetric efficiencies	43
4.6	Comparison of experimental and simulated overall isentropic efficiencies.	44
4.7	Deviation of model predicted results with experimental result $(\eta_{exp} - \eta_{model})$ for volumetric and overall isentropic efficiencies compared with experimental power input.	45
5.1	Schematic diagram of linear compressor geometric parameters of interest for sensitivity study.	48
5.2	Leakage mass flow rate as a function of leakage gap width at different spring eccentricities	50
5.3	Compressor volumetric efficiency as a function of leakage gap width at different spring eccentricities.	51
5.4	Overall isentropic efficiency as a function of leakage gap width at different spring eccentricities.	52
5.5	Frictional losses for various leakage gap widths at three eccentricities	53
5.6	Leakage losses as a function of leakage gap at three eccentricities	54
5.7	Volumetric efficiency as a function of stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes	55
5.8	Frictional losses of a linear compressor as a function of the stroke-to- diameter ratio for three displacement volumes	56
5.9	Curve fit of leakage losses of a linear compressor as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes with model data included as points	57
5.10	Compressor piston resonant frequency as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes.	er 58
5.11	Overall isentropic efficiency as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes.	59

T .		
- H'1	011	ro
T. T	gu	цυ
	<u> </u>	

Figu	re	Page
5.12	Volumetric efficiency as function of compressor dead volume for three dry friction coefficients.	61
5.13	Overall isentropic efficiency as a function of compressor dead volume for three dry friction coefficients.	62
5.14	Pressure enthalpy diagram of typical R134a miniature-scale refrigeration cycle for electronics cooling.	64
5.15	Coefficient of Performance and second law effectiveness of a R134a refrig- eration cycle operating at a typical electronics cooling condition with 10 $^{\circ}C$ condenser subcooling with variable capacity predicted by linear com- pressor model	65
5.16	Schematic diagram of linear compressor showing the equilibrium position of the compressor piston at two different conditions	67
5.17	Amount of drift as a function of dead volume (left) and stroke to diameter ratio (right)	68
5.18	Schematic diagram of reciprocating compressor mechanism and free body diagram of compressor piston assuming dry friction contact between piston and cylinder wall.	70
5.19	Comparison of overall isentropic efficiency as a function of compressor dead volume for a linear compressor compared with a reciprocating compressor.	72
5.20	Improved linear compressor design with predicted cooling capacity of 200 W	75
6.1	Schematic diagram of a commercial linear compressor (LG) highlighting the major components of the design and flow of refrigerant through the compressor.	79
6.2	Rear assembly of commercial (LG) linear compressor, with hermetic shell removed, showing the array of mechanical springs and the entrance to the compressor suction muffler.	80
6.3	View of commercial linear compressor piston within the cylinder showing the suction valve.	81
6.4	Overall view of the commercial linear compressor with the discharge valve and muffler assembly removed	82
6.5	Schematic diagrams of commercial linear compressor piston with key geo- metric dimensions highlighted	83
6.6	Test apparatus used to measure stiffness of mechanical springs in commer- cial linear compressor.	84

Figure

Figu	re	Page
6.7	Load as a function of the change of displacement for four compression springs from the commercial linear compressor.	85
6.8	Estimated stroke of the commercial linear compressor as predicted by res- onant frequency model (left) and the experimental mass flow rate as a function of pressure ratio (right).	90
6.9	Modified computational algorithms of comprehensive linear compressor model with a simple geometry model	91
6.10	Model flowchart for comprehensive model of a linear compressor applied to a commercial linear compressor.	92
6.11	Schematic diagram of modified domestic refrigerator/freezer used to test a commercial linear compressor in an environmental chamber. \ldots	93
6.12	Freezer temperature over time for a typical operation of the domestic re- frigerator/freezer	95
6.13	Global view of commercial linear compressor highlighting the heat transfer from the discharge tube into the compressor shell	98
6.14	Experimental suction, discharge, and shell temperatures along with the modified suction temperatures as a function of pressure ratio	99
6.15	Simulated compared against experimental mass flow rate for a commercial linear compressor (measurement error within marker width)	101
6.16	Simulated compared against experimental input power for a commercial linear compressor (measurement error within marker width)	102
6.17	Simulated compared against experimental overall isentropic efficiency for a commercial linear compressor	103
A.1	Linear motor operation from Kim and Murphy (2004)	114
A.2	Linear motor drawing.	115
A.3	Linear compressor prototype Revision 00	119
A.4	Typical o-ring dimensions allorings.com (2011)	119
A.5	Axial sealing gland dimensions allorings.com (2011)	122
A.6	Radial sealing gland dimensions allorings.com (2011)	123
A.7	Damage to compressor cylinder, view from inside compressor, Revision 00	124
A.8	Damage to compressor cylinder, view from outside compressor, Revision 00	125

Figu	re	Page
A.9	Damage to compressor piston, Revision 00	126
A.10	Modified piston assembly with a complete PTFE piston, Revision 01. $$.	127
A.11	Global section view of prototype compressor with revised piston design, Revision 01	128
A.12	Typical dust from compressor operation on valves and body, Revision 01.	129
A.13	Typical dust from compressor operation on piston and cylinder, Revision 01	130
A.14	Close-up view of typical dust from compressor operation on piston, Revi- sion 01	131
A.15	Piston squeeze ring resting inside PTFE piston sleeve, Revision 02. $\ .$.	132
A.16	Piston spacer on the bottom of assembled piston, Revision 02	133
A.17	Piston nut and screw installed, untightened, showing full piston assembly, Revision 02	134
B.1	Prototype linear compressor motor housing page 1	136
B.2	Prototype linear compressor motor housing page 2	137
B.3	Prototype linear compressor piston housing page 1	138
B.4	Prototype linear compressor piston housing page 2	139
B.5	Prototype linear compressor valve body.	140
B.6	Prototype linear compressor valve plenum	141
B.7	Prototype linear compressor valve discharge stopper.	142
B.8	Prototype linear compressor valve discharge reed	143
B.9	Prototype linear compressor valve suction reed	144
B.10	Prototype linear compressor valve plate	145
B.11	Prototype linear compressor back cover.	146
B.12	Prototype linear compressor final piston assembly	147
B.13	Prototype linear compressor piston plate	148
B.14	Prototype linear compressor PTFE piston attachment	149
B.15	Prototype linear compressor piston washer	150
C.1	Linear compressor model flowchart showing which function corresponds to each sub-model	152

Figu	re	Page
C.2	Equation of state flowchart showing which function corresponds to each property subfunction as well as the different methods available for calcu-	
	lating fluid properties.	154

SYMBOLS

A	Area	$[m^2]$
COP	Coefficient of Performance	[—]
COP_{carnot}	Carnot Coefficient of Performance	[—]
C_D	Drag coefficient for flow past reed valve	[—]
C_v	Specific heat capacity at constant volume	$[J \ kg^{-1} \ K^{-1}]$
D	Diameter	[m]
Drift	Piston drift	[m]
E	Total energy in the control volume	[kW]
F_{drive}	Driving force from linear motor	[N]
F_{shear}	Force generated by shear stress between piston and cyli	nder $[N]$
J_{CG}	Rotational moment of inertia of the piston about the C	G $[kg m]$
L_1	Reciprocating compressor crank length	[m]
L_2	Reciprocating compressor connecting rod length	[m]
L_p	Length if linear compressor piston	[m]
M	Moving mass	[kg]
MAE	Mean absolute error	[-]
Ma	Mach number	[—]
N	ISO viscosity grade number	[—]
N	Normal force	[N]
Р	Pressure of gas	[kPa]
P_{high}	Higher pressure	[kPa]
P_{low}	Lower pressure	[kPa]
R	Gas constant	$[kJkg^{-1}K^{-1}]$
R_{amb}	Thermal resistance to the ambient	$[WK^{-1}]$

Re	Reynolds number	[—]
Т	Temperature of gas	[K]
T_o	Oil temperature	[K]
T_{amb}	Ambient temperature	[K]
V	Volume of control volume	$[m^3]$
V_r	Volume ratio	[-]
W	Work	[J]
$\dot{E}_{d,leak}$	Exergy destroyed due to leakage	[kW]
\dot{Q}	Total heat transfer into the control volume	[kW]
\dot{Q}_{cool}	Refrigeration cooling load	[W]
\dot{Q}_{motor}	Heat transfer from the compressor motor	[W]
\dot{W}	Time rate of change of work	[kW]
$\dot{W}_{friction,o}$	Work dissipated by friction generated between oil and	l piston $[W]$
$\dot{W}_{friction}$	Time rate of change of work due to friction	[kW]
$\dot{W}_{in,recip}$	Power input to a reciprocating compressor	[W]
\dot{W}_{in}	Power input to the compressor motor	[W]
\dot{W}_{leak}	Time rate of change of work due to leakage	[kW]
\dot{m}	Mass flow rate	$[kg \ s^{-1}]$
\dot{x}_p	Instantaneous piston velocity	$[ms^{1-}]$
Т	Torque to drive a reciprocating compressor crank	[N-m]
\mathbf{T}_p	Piston oscillation period	[s]
V	Gas velocity	$[m \ s^{-1}]$
\mathbf{V}_{o}	Oil velocity	$[ms^{-1}]$
f	Dry friction coefficient between piston and cylinder	[-]
k	Thermal conductivity	$[Wm^{-1}K^{-1}]$
c_{eff}	Effective damping of piston	$[N\ s\ m^{-1}]$
$c_{friction,o}$	Effective damping of piston due to oil film friction	$[Nsm^{-1}]$
f_{res}	Resonant frequency of piston operation	[Hz]
h	Specific enthalpy	$[kJ \ kg^{-1}]$

k	Stiffness or spring rate	$[N \ m^{-1}]$
n	Polytropic exponent	[—]
q	Heat flux	$[Wm^{-2}]$
r_p	Radius of piston	[m]
S	Specific entropy	$[kJkg^{-1}K^{-1}]$
t	Time	[sec]
u	Internal energy	$[kJ \; kg^{-1}]$
v	Specific volume of gas	$[m^3 kg^{-1}]$
x	Displacement	[m]
x_e	Equilibrium piston position	[m]
x_p	Instantaeous piston displacement	[m]
x_s	Total piston stroke	[m]
x_{dead}	Dead volume gap	[m]
GREEK LET	ITERS	
α	Thermal diffusivity	$[m^2 s^{-1}]$

α	Thermai uniusivity	$[m \ s]$
β_1	Crank angle of reciprocating compressor	[rad]
β_2	Connecting rod angle of reciprocating compressor	[rad]
Δt_{shift}	Difference in period between applied and response frequenci	es $[s]$
Δt_{step}	Time step	[s]
ΔT_{sub}	Subcooling temperature	$[^{\circ}C]$
ϵ	Eccentricity of spring force	[m]
η	Efficiency	[—]
γ	Heat capacity ratio	[—]
μ_o	Oil viscosity	[Pa - s]
ω_n	Natural frequency	$[rad \ s^{-1}]$
ω_d	Damped natural frequency [r	$ad \ sec^{-1}]$
ω_{res}	Resonant frequency	$[rad \ s^{-1}]$
ρ	Density of gas	$[kg m^{-3}]$
$ ho_o$	Oil density	$[kgm^{-3}]$

$ au_{zx}$	Fluid shear stress	[Pa]
θ	Rotational angle of piston	[rad]
$ heta_{max}$	Maximum piston rotation	[rad]
ε_{carnot}	Second law effectiveness of a refrigeration cycle	[-]
ζ	Damping factor	[-]
SUBSCRI	PTS	
amb	ambient	
BDC	Bottom dead center	
cv	control volume	
dis	gas discharged from compression chamber	
err	error	
gas	quantity resulting from gas	
in	into control volume	
leak	leakage	
max	maximum	
mech	mechanical	
o, is	overall isentropic	
out	out of control volume	
port	valve port opening	
rms	root mean square	
shell	compressor shell	
step	Time step	
suc	suction gas	
TDC	Top dead center	
tr	transitional	
v	constant volume process	
valve	reed valve	
vol	volumetric	

ABSTRACT

Bradshaw, Craig R. Ph.D., Purdue University, May 2012. A Miniature-Scale Linear Compressor for Electronics Cooling. Major Professors: Eckhard A. Groll and Suresh V. Garimella, School of Mechanical Engineering.

The power density of electronic devices has increased to a level that requires new technology for heat rejection. An alternative to conventional heat rejection techniques is refrigeration. However, current refrigeration technologies cannot meet the packaging constraints, or perform well at the operating conditions encountered by electronics cooling applications. The compressor is critical to the performance of a vapor compression refrigeration system. However, current compressor technology is not well suited for electronics cooling applications. This points to a need for new compressor technology developed specifically for electronics cooling. In this work, a linear compressor applied to electronics cooling is studied.

Linear compressors are appealing for vapor compression refrigeration applications in electronics cooling. A small number of moving components translates to lower frictional losses. Also the potential for this technology to be scaled to smaller physical sizes is better than for conventional compressors. To test the feasibility of a linear compressor applied to electronics cooling, a comprehensive model of a miniature-scale linear compressor for electronics cooling has been developed.

The model developed here incorporates all of the major components of the linear compressor including the dynamics associated with piston motion. The results of the compressor model were validated using experimental data from a prototype linear compressor custom-built for this study as well as a commercially available linear compress from a domestic refrigerator/freezer. The model results showed good agreement with the experimental results. The resonant frequency of the prototype compressor is predicted with 0.5% Mean Absolute Error (MAE) compared with experimental data. The mass flow rate of the prototype linear compressor is predicted with 16.8% MAE compared with experimental data. The commercial linear compressor mass flow rate, power, and overall isentropic efficiency are predicted to within 4.9%, 6.1%, and 5.2%, MAE, respectively.

A sensitivity study using the model is also presented. This study examined the sensitivity to changes in compressor geometry. The study has identified the leakage gap and piston eccentricity as important parameters to consider when designing a linear compressor. The piston diameter and stroke is also shown to play an important role in overall performance. This study also shows that linear compressor technology scales well to smaller sizes. In addition, the unique ability to provide efficient capacity control is shown. This ability allows a single compressor to cool an electronic device over a wide variety of power input levels with only small changes in overall efficiency.

Using this knowledge, an updated prototype compressor design is presented. This updated design provides 200 W of cooling capacity in an overall cylindrical package size of 50.3 mm diameter and 102 mm length.

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Miniature-Scale Refrigeration Systems

The power density of today's electronics devices is accelerating as a result of an increased number of transistors in silicon chips. The number of transistors on a chip was predicted to double every year by Moore (1965). This trend has slowed in recent years but remains increasing. The International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors predicts that by 2022 the average power consumption for a typically stationary chip to be over 400W and over 800W by 2026 (2011 ed.).

Higher power density leads to higher heat dissipation rates and higher heat flux. The heat flux rejected from a single silicon chip in certain commercial electronics are approaching the limit of what traditional techniques can facilitate Krishnan et al. (2007). This shows a need for cooling solutions which can facilitate a higher heat flux on a silicon chip, such as vapor compression refrigeration.

Miniature refrigeration systems offer distinct advantages for use in electronics cooling relative to other technologies. Only vapor compression refrigeration and thermoelectric cooling devices (TECs) offers the ability to cool components below the ambient temperature. However, as Phelan et al. (2002) pointed out, the efficiency of TECs compared with vapor compression refrigeration is very poor. This makes vapor compression refrigeration an appealing option if the package size can remain small. In addition, the reliability and performance of semi-conductor devices is improved when operating at lower temperatures. Because vapor compression refrigeration utilizes two-phase heat transfer in the evaporator, it is possible to maintain spatially uniform chip temperatures. The major concerns involving vapor compression refrigeration systems are their cost and reliability, as well as miniaturization of the different components. Trutassanawin et al. (2006) reviewed the available technologies for vapor compression refrigeration systems in electronics cooling. Several prototype systems were discussed but there appeared to be no commercially viable solutions which are complete miniature systems. Chiriac and Chiriac (2008) concurred with this assessment in a recent review. Other investigations into refrigeration technology have led to the development of system prototypes (Mongia et al., 2006) and system-level models such as ones by Heydari (2002), Possamai et al. (2008), and Nnanna (2006). However, it has been reported that the overall performance and size of these systems is still not at a level that is desired for desktop and portable electronic systems (Cremaschi et al., 2007). In particular, the compressor is a critical component which can greatly affect the overall size and performance of the refrigeration system, as shown by Trutassanawin et al. (2006) and Davies et al. (2010).

Particular emphasis has been placed on the design and performance of cold-plate evaporators for electronics cooling. Lee and Mudawar (2009) evaluated the two-phase flow performance of a microchannel evaporator for an electronics cooling application. This study found that the performance of two phase flow cold plates depends heavily on the flow regime experienced within cold plate channels. Bertsch et al. (2008a) reviewed the available literature and developed general trends in modeling and performance of microchannel evaporators. Bertsch et al. (2008b) then developed a correlation for flow boiling of refrigerants in microchannel evaporators using data from his own study and the literature. Harirchian and Garimella (2010) developed a flow regime map for flow boiling in microchannels followed by flow-regime based models to predict performance in microchannels (Harirchian and Garimella, 2012). While challenges in practical implementation of microchannel heat sinks still exists the driving mechanisms for performance are becoming well understood.

In an electronics cooling application, an atypical challenge for refrigeration systems is the relatively small temperature lift for cycle operation. The temperature lift is limited on the high-side by the environmental conditions and on the low-side by the desire to eliminate possible water vapor condensation from the ambient within the electronic package. Typically, this leads to a system with a small pressure ratio. This is shown in Figure 1.1, which displays a typical vapor compression refrigeration cycle for electronics cooling using R-134a as the working fluid. The small pressure ratio leads to poor performance for currently available small-scale compressors, which are designed for refrigerator applications with a significantly larger pressure ratio. Some past studies using currently available technology have operated the compressors at a larger pressure ratio, and instead, developed solutions to handle moisture condensation by operating the evaporator and chip assembly in heavily insulated volumes (Heydari, 2002). The disadvantage of this option is a further increase in the cost of the cooling system.



Figure 1.1. Pressure enthalpy diagram of typical R134a miniaturescale refrigeration cycle for electronics cooling.

In addition to condensation the lower pressure ratio experienced also creates a lack of enthalpy increase. This can be seen in Figure 1.1, as the pressure ratio of the refrigeration cycle decreases the change in enthalpy decreases. The change of enthalpy represents the useful energy applied to the system, or working fluid, by the compressor. So at low pressure ratios there is little enthalpy imparted to the fluid but will still require the compressor to utilize power to drive the compressor mechanism (*i.e.* overcome friction, inertia, etc.). At the lower pressure ratios this amount of energy will dominate the enthalpy imparted to the fluid and efficiency will suffer. Therefore, it is important to not only select a fluid that will minimize this burden but also a simple compressor mechanism to minimize friction losses.

Marcinichen et al. (2010) presented an evaluation of working fluids for a vapor compression cycle applied to an electronics cooling application. This work concluded that either R-134a or R-254fa would be suitable candidates for the working fluid in a vapor compression refrigeration system applied to electronics cooling. Sathe (2008) came to the same conclusion. As it is more commonly used, R-134a was selected as the working fluid of choice for this study as a result of these evaluations.

1.2 Linear Compressors

A linear compressor is appealing for electronics cooling applications because it offers several potential advantages over traditional compressor technology. A linear compressor is a positive displacement compressor, similar to a reciprocating compressor. However, a linear compressor does not have a crank mechanism to drive the piston. Instead, the piston is driven directly by a linear motor, as seen in Figure 1.2. The omission of the crank mechanism significantly reduces the frictional losses associated with conventional reciprocating compressors. It also allows oil-free operation which is a significant advantage with respect to the heat transfer performance of the condenser and evaporator in the system.



Figure 1.2. Representation of the compression process in a linear compressor.

In addition, a linear compressor is designed as a resonantly operated device. This means that mechanical springs are used to tune the device to operate at a resonant frequency. Operation at a resonant frequency allows a desirable reduction in size of the motor.

Early investigations of a linear compressor were conducted by Cadman and Cohen (1969a, b) for traditional refrigeration systems. Cadman and Cohen observed that the free piston operation of this device created some peculiar effects such as piston drift, which posed a challenge to modeling efforts and limited the practical application of such devices. Pollak et al. (1979) investigated one-dimensional, nonlinear dynamics of the piston and electrical systems and confirmed such confounding effects.

Van der Walt and Unger (1994) assessed the state of the art in linear compressor technology. Unger and Novotny (2002) ,Unger (1998), and Koh et al. (2002) developed several linear compressor prototypes and performed a feasibility study which showed the potential for linear compressors in cryogenic and miniature systems. There have also been studies on the unique differences in the performance of linear compressors compared to conventional reciprocating compressors (Lee et al., 2001, 2004). Recently, Possamai et al. (2008) developed a prototype refrigeration system for a laptop cooling application which utilized a linear compressor. Another recent study on linear compressors investigated the sensitivity of the device to changes in operating frequency (Kim et al., 2009). This study utilized a single degree of freedom vibration model coupled to an electrodynamic motor model as was done by Pollak et al. (1979).

Minas (1994) also modeled the one-dimensional, nonlinear dynamics of the piston as well as nonlinear effects within a linear motor. The author also presented a relationship between the stroke and resonant frequency of a linear compressor, which provides a unique control challenge that was confirmed by Xia and Chen (2010) and Choe and Kim (2002). In addition to changes in resonant frequency, control of the linear compressor stroke has proven challenging (Cadman and Cohen, 1969a, b; Pollak et al., 1979). Additional attempts to reduce the amount of stroke control needed for a linear compressor have been attempted by Park et al. (2004) as a result of these challenges. Park et al. (2004) concluded that a robust design may not be enough to control the stroke of a compressor effectively.

1.3 Comprehensive Modeling of Hermetic Compressors

Vapor compressors are designed to be some of the most robust positive displacement machines in service. Most compressors have a service life of 10 years, or beyond, with no regular maintenance schedule. This level of robustness requires an intimate knowledge of the working behavior of the compressor. Modeling of hermetic compressors is one mechanism for obtaining this knowledge. A model can be as simple as an efficiency estimation from fundamental thermodynamics (Moran and Shapiro, 2004). Navarro et al. (2007) developed a more advanced compressor model which described the major phenomena within a compressor in terms of its performance metrics, the volumetric and isentropic efficiencies. This model presented a very good fit to experimental data but relied heavily on experimental curve fitting to a specific compressor. A similar modeling approach with similar results was shown by Kim and Bullard (2002). Others have attempted somewhat more detailed and general models, such as Ooi and Wong (1997) who developed an energy and mass balance for a rolling piston compressor using the unsteady first law of thermodynamics. This type of model utilizes information about the internal workings of the compressor and requires inputs from sub-models for mass flow, heat transfer, geometry, and friction. While this approach is more generic, Ooi and Wong (1997) relied heavily on experimental correlations for calculations within the sub-models.

A recent approach to compressor modeling, called the comprehensive approach, has provided a more complete analysis. The comprehensive modeling approach relies on the unsteady mass and energy balance of a control volume, similar to that of Ooi and Wong (1997). However, the sub-models are incorporated as first-principles based models. Chen et al. (2002b) and Chen et al. (2002a) applied a comprehensive approach to the modeling of scroll compressors. In addition, the entire compressor body is included in the analysis as a collection of lumped masses. This allows a comprehensive mass and energy balance to be imposed on the entire compressor. This method yields insight into the operation and efficiency of the compressor mechanism but also provide information about the shell and oil sump conditions. Kim and Groll (2007) utilized the comprehensive approach for the modeling of a novel bowtie compressor. This type of compressor is based on the Beard-Pennock mechanism, which provides capacity control in a fixed volume device. Jovane (2007) applied the comprehensive approach to a novel rotary compressor, called a z-compressor. Finally, more recently, Mathison et al. (2008) developed a comprehensive model for a two-state rotary compressor, which provided insight into the optimum intermediate pressure for the best cycle and compressor efficiency. This work was continued by applying the approach to a rotary compressor with vapor injection as well as a novel rotary spool compressor (Mathison, 2011). Finally, Bell (2011) presented the most recent addition to the applications of comprehensive modeling by examining the impact of oil flooding on scroll compressors. The comprehensive model provided a deeper insight into the unique internal performance of a scroll compressor flooded with oil. This allowed for a numerical optimization of scroll wraps for use in oil flooding, which may have been costly and time consuming to obtain experimentally.

Past models of linear compressors have often employed linearizing assumptions, were restricted to a single degree of freedom in their analysis, or neglected to include the other compressor components such as valves, leakage losses, or heat transfer. Such analyses have either used a greatly simplified representation of device operation or have focused on specific components of the overall system. The present work develops a comprehensive model of a linear compressor which incorporates all of the major dynamics and nonlinearities as well as a second degree of freedom in the piston motion.

1.4 Objectives and Overview

The objective of this work is to determine the feasibility of miniaturizing linear compressor technology and using it in a vapor compression cycle for electronics cooling applications. In addition, the application of the comprehensive compressor modeling approach to the linear compressor is to be explored. To accomplish this objective a combination of modeling and experimental efforts are used.

A prototype linear compressor is developed specifically for this work to be used for model validation and is shown in Figure 1.3. The linear motor and compression springs were purchased components while the remaining components were designed and built for this work. Details on the prototype compressor design can be found in Appendix A. To test this prototype a miniature-scale compressor load stand is also developed. For additional model validation, a commercially available linear compressor was be used.

The linear compressor is modeled using a comprehensive simulation approach. This approach is centered around mass and energy balance equations for a control volume. As inputs to these equations, several sub-models are incorporated to account for the two degree of freedom piston dynamics, friction, leakage, valve dynamics, and heat transfer. Using the developed and validated model, an array of sensitivity studies



Figure 1.3. Sectional view of the prototype linear compressor built for this work.

determine the design parameters that are the most critical for linear compressor design.

Using the validated and exercised model as a tool the overall feasibility of linear compressor technology can be explored. By understanding the mechanisms that determine operation and superior performance, an assessment is made regarding the miniaturization and application of linear compressors to electronics cooling. An improved linear compressor design is presented which displays the miniaturization capability of linear compressors. For additional model validation a LG linear compressor is tested and modeled. This study examines a linear compressor from a different application serves as an additional verification of the modeling approach.

CHAPTER 2. LINEAR COMPRESSOR MODEL

A comprehensive simulation model for a linear compressor is presented in this Chapter. The comprehensive compressor model consists of a solution to two compression process equations that provide the temperature and density and thus, fix the state within each control volume. These equations require inputs from five sub-models representing the valve flows, leakage flows, motor losses, heat transfer from the cylinder, and piston dynamics. The compression process is discretized and an initial guess of temperature and density is made within each control volume. The compression process equations are then used to step through a compression process using the numerical techniques described in Section 2.8.

2.1 Compression Process Equations

The compression process is modeled using mass and energy conservation equations over a control volume. In order to determine the state of the working fluid in the compression chamber at any point during the compression process, it is necessary to determine two independent fluid properties to fix its state. In this analysis, the compressor is split into two control volumes as illustrated in Chapter 1, Figure 1.2. The first control volume is the compression chamber for the compressor, while the second control volume consists of the remaining volume within the compressor. The compression process equations are solved for each control volume at a particular time step and coupled through the leakage, vibration, and heat transfer sub-models.

The fluid is assumed to be superheated throughout the entire compression process. The equation of state for the chosen working fluid, R-134a, is written in terms of temperature and density (Tillner-Roth and Baehr, 1994); the compression process equations are therefore cast in terms of these two variables. The conservation of energy for a general control volume can be written as follows:

$$\frac{dE_{cv}}{dt} = \dot{Q} + \dot{W} + \sum_{in} \dot{m}_{in} h_{in} - \sum_{out} \dot{m}_{out} h_{out}.$$
(2.1)

Assuming that the fluid in the control volume is well mixed, h_{out} becomes h_{cv} and will be denoted as such in the remainder of this work. With a further assumption that the changes in kinetic and potential energies are negligible, the left-hand side of Equation (2.1) can be expanded in terms of changes in internal energy and mass:

$$\frac{dE_{cv}}{dt} = \frac{d(u_{cv}m_{cv})}{dt} = m_{cv}\frac{du_{cv}}{dt} + u_{cv}\frac{dm_{cv}}{dt}.$$
(2.2)

The following thermodynamic relations also hold for the gas in the cylinder:

$$du = C_v dT + \left[T\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_v - P\right] dv$$
(2.3)

$$u = h - Pv. (2.4)$$

Using the relationship in Equations (2.3) and (2.4) the left-hand side of Equation (2.1) can be written as follows:

$$\frac{dE_{cv}}{dt} = m_{cv} \left(C_v \frac{dT}{dt} + \left[T \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} \right)_v - P \right] \frac{dv_{cv}}{dt} \right) + (h - Pv) \frac{dm_{cv}}{dt}.$$
 (2.5)

The work term from Equation (2.1) can be re-written as boundary work done by the piston:

$$\dot{W} = -P\frac{dV}{dt}.$$
(2.6)

In order to eliminate pressure from Equations (2.6) and (2.5), the pressure in the cylinder is expressed as follows:

$$P = T \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_v. \tag{2.7}$$

By combining Equations (2.5) to (2.7):

$$m_{cv}C_v\frac{dT}{dt} + T\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_v \left[\frac{dV}{dt} - \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dm_{cv}}{dt}\right] + h_{cv}\frac{dm_{cv}}{dt} = \dot{Q} + \sum \dot{m}h_{in} - \sum \dot{m}h_{cv} \quad (2.8)$$

in which only temperature is the independent variable. The other independent fluid property is density, which is computed as:

$$\frac{dm_{cv}}{dt} = \frac{d(\rho V)}{dt} = \rho \frac{dV}{dt} + V \frac{d\rho}{dt}.$$
(2.9)

The change in mass in the cylinder can then be written as:

$$\frac{dm_{cv}}{dt} = \frac{dm_{in}}{dt} + \frac{dm_{leak,in}}{dt} - \frac{dm_{out}}{dt} - \frac{dm_{leak,out}}{dt}.$$
(2.10)

Equations (2.8) - (2.10) can now be solved simultaneously for the temperature and density in the two control volumes. Due to the nonlinear nature of these equations, a numerical solution approach is adopted. A number of inputs to this solution must still be determined from various sub-models as will be discussed in the following sections.

2.2 Valve Model

Reed values are used in the present work as is typical for piston-cylinder compressors. These values are essentially cantilevered beams which are displaced when a differential pressure is imposed across them. To ensure that pumping, as opposed to back flow, of the gas occurs, two values are used with limited ranges of operation. The value body is constructed to only allow value motion in the direction of desired flow for each value; this ensures that pumping occurs and can be seen in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1. Photos of reed valve assembly used in compressor prototype.

Valve analysis is split into two modes of operation depending on the location of the valve reed, similar to the analysis of Kim and Groll (2007). Early in its deflection the stagnation pressure driving the valve reed is assumed equal to the high side pressure. As the valve opens further, this assumption becomes less valid, as the movement of the valve is now dominated by the movement of fluid past the valve and the stagnation pressure becomes equal to the low-side pressure. These two modes of operation are referred to as the pressure-dominant and mass-flux-dominant modes, respectively, with free-body diagrams as shown in Figure 2.2. The port area is a fixed quantity and represents the opening that fluid travels through in the valve body. The valve area is an effective area, taken as a circular area based on the width of each valve reed.



Figure 2.2. Free body diagrams for the valve reed.

For each mode of operation an equation can be written based on the free-body diagrams shown in Figure 2.2. Summing the forces in the direction of displacement, the equation of motion for the pressure-dominated and mass flux-dominated modes may be expressed as:

$$M_{valve}\ddot{x}_{valve} + \frac{1}{2}C_D\rho A_{valve}\dot{x}_{valve}^2 + k_{valve}x_{valve} =$$

$$(P_{high} - P_{low})A_{valve} + \frac{1}{2}C_D\rho \mathbf{V}^2 A_{valve}$$

$$(2.11)$$

$$M_{valve}\ddot{x}_{valve} + \left(\frac{1}{2}C_D\rho A_{port} + \rho A_{valve}\right)\dot{x}_{valve}^2 + k_{valve}x_{valve} = \frac{1}{2}C_D\rho \mathbf{V}^2 A_{valve} + \rho \mathbf{V}A_{port}.$$
(2.12)

These second-order, nonlinear equations can be solved for the position of the reeds at each time step throughout the compression process. The valve geometry, shown in Figure 2.1, can be used to determine the required valve and port areas and the density is calculated from the compression process equations. The gas velocity is calculated assuming an isentropic, compressible flow across the valve. The mass and stiffness of the reeds can be calculated by assuming that each reed behaves as an Euler beam. The stiffness is calculated by dividing an arbitrary applied force by the deflection it produces. The effective mass is then found by dividing the total valve mass by a factor of three, as in the case of the effective mass of a spring (Rao, 2004).

At a certain value lift, which is the ratio of the value port opening diameter to the reed value diameter, these two analyses provide the same mass flow rate. This value lift is known as the transitional value lift because below this value the pressuredominated model is used and above the mass-flux dominated model is used. When the value lift is equal to the transitional lift value the pressure-dominant model is used. The transitional value lift is expressed as:

$$x_{tr} = \frac{D_{port}^2}{4D_{valve}}.$$
(2.13)

2.3 Leakage Model

The leakage model only focuses on leakage past the piston. The only other leakage paths, those past the reed valves, are ignored since they are negligibly small compared to the leakage past the piston (Kim and Groll, 2007). It is assumed that the gas velocity is significantly higher than the piston velocity, in general, so the influence of the piston velocity can be ignored. Thus, a compressible, isentropic, flow model is utilized to model the leakage past the piston. To calculate the leakage gas velocity the Mach number of the flow is calculated using the following relationship,

$$\frac{P_{low}}{P_{high}} = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}Ma^2\right)^{\frac{-\gamma}{\gamma - 1}}$$
(2.14)

where the ratio of pressures is found by the difference in pressures between CV_1 and CV_2 and the gas velocity comes from the definition of the Mach number.
$$Ma = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{V}}}{\sqrt{\gamma RT}}.$$
(2.15)

2.4 Heat Transfer Model

The instantaneous heat transfer from each of the control volumes is calculated using the empirical approach of Fagotti and Prata (1998).

$$Nu = \frac{q_{cv}D}{\mathbf{k}[T_{cv} - T_{shell}]} = 0.28Re^{0.65} + 0.25L\frac{T_{shell}}{T_{cv} - T_{shell}}$$
(2.16)

where:

$$L = \frac{\gamma - 1}{V} \frac{dV}{dt} \sqrt{\frac{D^3}{\alpha \dot{x}_p}}.$$
(2.17)

By integrating these instantaneous heat transfer rates over the entire cycle, the total heat transfer from each of the two control volumes is calculated for use in the overall energy balance.

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{1}{t_{step}} \int_{0}^{t} \dot{Q}dt.$$
(2.18)

2.5 Vibration Model

A linear compressor is a free-piston device for which the stroke is not fixed by a crank mechanism but is instead determined by chosen geometry, the linear motor, and the mechanical springs used. The piston dynamics are modeled using a free body diagram of the piston assembly as shown in Figure 2.3.

Both the desired linear motion of the piston, as well as its undesirable rotation due to eccentricity in the mechanical springs are considered. Any eccentricity causes a perpendicular reactionary load from the mechanical spring as it is compressed, since



Figure 2.3. Free body diagram of linear compressor piston assembly.

the spring cannot react to a load along its entire circumference. Thus, the effective load acts at a point offset from the center of mass of the piston. In a traditional compression spring as used in the prototype fabricated for this work, this point is assumed to be along the circumference of the spring where the edge of the spring contacts the piston assembly. This point of load is depicted in Figure 2.4.

The linear motion of the piston as well as its rotation, are modeled as a two-degree of freedom vibration system. By assuming that the piston is a rigid body and the motions in the y and z directions as well as the rotation are small, the following equations describe the motion of the piston:

$$M\ddot{x}_p + c_{eff}\dot{x}_p + (k_{gas} + k_{mech})x_p = k_{mech}\epsilon\theta + F_{drive}$$
(2.19)

$$J_{CG}\ddot{\theta} + k_{mech}\epsilon^2\theta = k_{mech}\epsilon x_p. \tag{2.20}$$

In addition, it is assumed that the driving force and the reactionary forces from the compression chamber are applied directly through the center of mass of the piston.



Figure 2.4. Representation of the applied load due to spring eccentricities on a typical ground compression spring.

The driving force, F_{drive} , is the sinusoidal force applied from the motor. The power transmitted to the piston is calculated by assuming an efficiency for the motor. The stiffness associated with the gas, k_{gas} , is determined by estimating the force generated by the gas at each time step over an entire compression cycle. This gas force is then divided by the instantaneous piston displacement of the compressor which yields an effective spring rate of the gas in the compression chamber:

$$k_{gas} = \frac{d(P_{cv,1} - P_{cv,2})A_p}{dx_p}.$$
(2.21)

This is similar to the approach adopted by Cadman and Cohen (1969a); however, in the current work k_{gas} is continuously updated as the pressures and stroke change.

The effective damping term is made up of two components: a frictional term and the boundary work performed on the gas (Pollak et al., 1979). Both components are computed from an integration of the energy dissipated over a cycle. The effective damping term is then calculated from summing the contributions described above:

$$c_{eff} = \frac{E_{cycle}}{\omega_d x_p \pi} = \frac{W_{gas}}{\omega_d x_p \pi} + \frac{W_{friction}}{\omega_d x_p \pi}.$$
(2.22)

The work done on the gas is calculated by integrating the boundary work expression over the entire compression process (*i.e.* integrating pressure over volume):

$$W_{gas} = -\int P_{cv,1}dV. \tag{2.23}$$

This expression is integrated numerically throughout the compression process. The work done by friction is calculated from (Rao, 2004), and integrated over the entire compression process:

$$W_{friction} = \int_0^{x_s} 4\mathbf{f} N dx \tag{2.24}$$

where the normal force, N, is the reaction force on the piston caused by the cylinder, given by:

$$N = \left(\frac{1}{L_p}\right) \left(k_{mech}\epsilon(x_p - \epsilon\theta)\right).$$
(2.25)

2.6 Overall Energy Balance

To ensure that all of the compressor components satisfy an energy balance, a thermal network is constructed to account for heat transfer from the compression chamber (Chen et al., 2002a; Kim and Groll, 2007; Mathison et al., 2008; Jovane, 2007). The energy balance for the compressor assumes that the heat transfer between the two control volumes is negligible and that the heat only flows to the compressor shell. A lumped-mass thermal network can then be constructed consisting of a single lumped mass to represent the compressor shell with two heat inputs and one heat output to the ambient. The heat output path is calculated using a forced convection correlation for flow over a cylinder to determine the thermal resistance between the compressor shell and the ambient (Hilpert, 1933). The thermal network elements are also shown in Figure 2.5. This network adds the following relation which is solved simultaneously with the compression process equations:

$$\frac{T_{shell} - T_{amb}}{R_{amb}} = \dot{Q}_{cv1} + \dot{Q}_{cv2}.$$
 (2.26)



Figure 2.5. Schematic of linear compressor with displayed paths of heat movement.

2.7 Solution Approach

The model developed above for the linear compressor consists of two non-linear first-order differential equations for the compression process and one non-linear equation from the energy balance. An explicit closed-form solution is not available, and the equations are instead solved numerically. The compression process is discretized into small time steps and each equation is solved using the numerical techniques described in Section 2.8.

The order in which the model steps through the series of equations is shown in Figure 2.6. The key input parameters to the model are the compressor inlet pressure and temperature, the desired discharge pressure, and ambient temperature. For each time step, the model sequentially steps through each sub-model and calculates the solution inputs for the next time step. Once each sub-model has been called the overall compression process solver is called which solves Equations (2.8) to (2.10) and calculates the internal state in the compressor. When the model has iterated through an entire compression cycle Equation (2.26) is solved for T_{shell} . The methods that are used to solve these equations is detailed in the following section.



Figure 2.6. Solution flowchart of linear compressor model (equations solved at each step given in blocks).

2.8 Numerical Considerations

2.8.1 Time Shift

The dynamic behavior of the piston presented some unique challenges for the numerical simulation. The piston is excited with a frequency that is input to the model. However, starting from rest, the piston will respond with a slightly different frequency that relates to the transient response of the system. Numerically this is problematic because if the model steps through a fixed number of points using a timestep based on the input frequency, the piston will require a different number of iterations to complete a full cycle. As an example, Figure 2.7 shows a typical start-up response of the linear compressor piston and the applied sinusoidal force as a function of time. This shows that the piston cycle takes slightly longer time to finish one cycle (oscillation period) compared with the driving force function. This can generate errors in the calculation of power, massflow, and other performance metrics if the compressor piston has not completed an entire cycle. Thus, to remedy this, the response frequency of the piston is allowed to float (via allowing additional time steps) and the system model does not terminate until the piston has completed a full cycle. Then, to ensure that the phase shift from cycle to cycle remains consistent, the calculated phase shift, Δt_{shift} , is calculated and used as an input to the driving force in the next cycle iteration:

$$F_{drive} = F_{drive,max} \cos(\omega_d (t - \Delta t_{shift})). \tag{2.27}$$



Figure 2.7. A typical first piston cycle showing the response of the compressor piston and the applied motor force highlighting the difference in response period between the two.

2.8.2 Numerical Methods and Stability

To solve Equations (2.8) through (2.10) a numerical approach is required. For this work, a combination of Euler and 4th-order Runge-Kutta methods is utilized. The peculiarities of the piston motion noted in the previous section require that the end of the piston cycle be detected. However, since the data is collected at discrete points the exact time that the piston finishes a cycle (i.e. when x_p crosses zero twice) encounters some error which is proportional to the step size:

$$\Delta t_{shift,err} = f(\Delta t_{step}). \tag{2.28}$$

To maintain stability, this error should be minimized which requires a relatively low step size (*i.e.* high number of steps) for good resolution toward the end of the cycle. This requirement eliminated the possibility of using an adaptive method, as such a method could possibly choose a large step size toward the end of the cycle which would cause large error in Δt_{shift} . Large error in the time shift leads to model instability as the phase shift from cycle to cycle becomes inaccurate.

Since the system stability scales linearly with step size, utilizing the more accurate 4th-order Runge-Kutta method was not advantageous as it operated 4 times slower than Euler's method for a given step size. Therefore, Euler's method was used to solve the compression process equations with a 4th order Runge-Kutta method used to solve the vibration and valve sub-models with a minimum number of steps of 8000. When the changes in temperature and density, calculated by the compression process equations, in each of the two control volumes, as well as the change in T_{shell} , is less than 0.01%, a converged solution is available. The initial conditions in both control volumes were set to the inlet conditions for each operating condition that was tested.

This chapter explores the unique behavior of a linear compressor. A characterization of the compressor stiffness and damping as well a study of piston stability is presented. These investigations motivate the need for additional control in a linear compressor. A method for calculating the resonant frequency of a linear compressor is developed. In addition, a numerical control algorithm is also provided that ensures compressor operation at the desired stroke. These additions add robustness and numerical stability to the comprehensive model developed in Chapter 2.

3.1 Linear Compressor Behavior

The typical response a linear compressor piston as a function of time is shown in Figure 3.1. The piston position oscillates about an equilibrium position x_e in a sinusoidal manner between the Top Dead Center (TDC) and Bottom Dead Center (BDC) positions. The piston rotation is a result of the moment caused by spring eccentricities. The piston rotation is restricted by the piston making contact with the cylinder wall. Assuming the piston behaves as a rigid body, the maximum rotation is a function of the leakage gap between the piston and cylinder g, and can be calculated as follows:

$$\theta_{max} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{g}{L_p} \right). \tag{3.1}$$

Since a linear compressor has a fixed piston area the compression chamber volume is proportional to the piston position. Referencing the dimensions given in Figure 3.2 the linear compressor cylinder volume can be calculated as:

$$V = \left(x_p(t) + \frac{x_s}{2}\right)A_p + x_{dead}A_p.$$
(3.2)



Figure 3.1. A typical piston amplitude (left) and piston rotation response (right) as predicted by the model.



Figure 3.2. Schematic diagram of linear compressor piston dimensions.

The Figure 3.3 shows the refrigerant gas pressure as a function of cylinder volume (i.e., an indicator diagram). This diagram displays a variety of the compressor's behavior. This includes the influence of the valves as seen by the under pressurization of the compressor cylinder caused by the suction valve and the over pressurization

caused by the discharge valve and port. These influences are regarded as losses as additional boundary work must be utilized to return the cylinder to either suction or discharge pressure respectively. Admittedly, the discharge process displays a relatively large amount of over pressurization. This is a result of an undersized discharge port and/or a discharge valve that is too stiff. This is included to illustrate the usefulness of the comprehensive model in combination with the indicator diagram to diagnose potential problems with compressor design. The indicator diagram also displays the compression process, expansion processes, and the dead volume. The dead volume on the indicator diagram is the volume remaining when the piston has reached TDC.



Figure 3.3. A typical pressure volume (indicator) diagram for a linear compressor as predicted by the model.

The behavior presented up to this point is typical of any piston-cylinder compressor. However, the dynamics of the linear compressor piston display behavior which is unique. These are explored further in the next two sections which examine the stiffness and damping associated with the linear compressor piston.

3.1.1 Linear Compressor Stiffness

As presented in Section 2.5, the stiffness associated with the dynamics of the piston motion is composed of two components. One component is the stiffness associated with the mechanical springs, while the other is associated with the compression of the gas in the cylinder. The latter may be visualized in Figure 3.4 which shows the change in pressure across the compressor cylinder as a function of the piston position for a typical operating condition.



Figure 3.4. A typical representation of the change in pressure across the piston as a function of piston position predicted by the model.

The value of the stiffness in the compressor is proportional to the slope of this curve. The compression process begins at the lower left portion of this figure where the compression chamber is at a lower pressure than the shell so the difference in pressure is negative. Then, following the left-hand side of the curve, the gas is compressed as the piston moves in a positive direction with an increasing slope, meaning the stiffness is increasing. When the discharge valve opens the change in pressure across the piston levels out, so that the stiffness decreases and even becomes negative as the discharge process continues. The stiffness is large again during the expansion process and close to zero during the subsequent suction process. These changes can be abrupt as seen in Figure 3.5 which shows the total effective stiffness and the mechanical stiffness as a function of piston position for a typical operating condition.



Figure 3.5. A typical effective stiffness of compressor piston as a function of piston position.

The extreme high and low (and even negative) stiffness values throughout a single cycle degrade the overall stability of the numerical system which is why past attempts at linear compressor modeling (Cadman and Cohen, 1969a; Pollak et al., 1979; Kim et al., 2009) have employed a linearizing assumption for the gas stiffness. This assumption estimates the gas stiffness as the line developed on Figure 3.4, which is a constant value based on the operating conditions and stroke. While in general, $k_{mech} > k_{gas}$, Figure 3.5 shows that there are peaks of gas stiffness that are much larger than the mechanical counterpart. As the resonant frequency is related to this stiffness this means that the resonant frequency, and the overall piston dynamics, are changing drastically with stroke.

3.1.2 Linear Compressor Damping

Similar to the stiffness, the damping associated with the dynamics of a linear compressor has two components. One is again associated with the gas compression and the other is associated with friction. The models employed are detailed in Section 2.5. The total effective damping coefficient of the dynamic system as a function of the piston position is shown in Figure 3.6 for a typical set of operating conditions. In addition, the frictional component of the piston damping is also shown.

The nonlinear behavior of the effective damping coefficient has been observed to not cause model instability. Starting at Top Dead Center (TDC) the expansion process begins and the effective damping tends to decrease. As the suction valve opens to begin the suction process the change is cylinder pressure is small so the trend in effective damping tends to follow the frictional damping trend. The damping tends toward a minimum at the piston equilibrium position. At the equilibrium position, where the piston is under no net influence from the mechanical springs there is no side loading, and therefore, no frictional damping occurs. As the piston moves toward Bottom Dead Center (BDC) during the suction process the effective damping increases as the frictional damping increases. As the piston moves from



Figure 3.6. A typical effective damping coefficient of compressor piston as a function of piston position.

BDC toward TDC during the compression process the effective damping decreases due to a decrease in frictional damping but remains higher than during the suction process. During the discharge process the effective damping increases further due to the cylinder over-pressurizing which is caused by the dynamics of the discharge valve. As the piston approaches TDC and the cylinder pressure is reduced the effective damping is also reduced.

3.2 Control Considerations

The combination of the stiffness and damping behavior described in the previous section generate a highly nonlinear dynamic system described by the equations of motion explained in Section 2.5. A characteristic of some nonlinear systems is that it is possible to obtain unexpected responses that are not possible in a linear system. Such an attribute is a sudden change in amplitude, called a jump (Rao, 2004). Other characteristics include bifurcations of the steady-state solution. This means that the system behavior at steady-state not only depends on the initial conditions but also on the conditions along the path to the solution (*i.e.* during the transient). A majority of the sub-models presented in the comprehensive linear compressor model are models of steady-state phenomena and therefore are not accurate in a transient response. Therefore, the (transient) path to solution of the comprehensive linear compressor model may not accurately represent the path to solution. Thus, to ensure model accuracy this dependence on the path to solution must be removed.

A key model output from the comprehensive compressor model is the power required to drive the linear compressor. To accurately calculate this value, the frequency at which the compressor will resonate is needed. To find the resonant frequency, one would typically sweep through frequencies until a maximum amplitude is determined. However, the nonlinearities of this system dictate that the resonant frequency changes based on the stroke and the stroke obtained for a fixed power input changes based on the frequency applied. Therefore,

$$x_p = f(\omega, F_{drive}). \tag{3.3}$$

This coupling generates a path dependence on the final stroke and applied power which needs to be removed to ensure model accuracy. Therefore, the resonant frequency will be decoupled in this relationship so that the compressor output stroke is only dependent on the input driving force from the linear motor.

3.2.1 Calculating the Resonant Frequency

The resonant frequency of the linear compressor depends on the mechanical springs selected in the design as well as the operating conditions. To calculate the resonant frequency of a linear compressor, the stiffness associated with both the mechanical springs and the operating conditions must be estimated. The stiffness of the mechanical springs is typically reported by the manufacturer. The stiffness associated with the operating conditions is the stiffness from gas compression. Using these stiffness values, an estimate of the resonant frequency of oscillation is obtained from the following expression:

$$\omega_{res} = \omega_n \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta^2} \tag{3.4}$$

where the damping ratio is defined as follows (Rao, 2004)

$$\zeta = \frac{c_{eff}}{2M_{mov}\omega_n} \tag{3.5}$$

and ω_n is given by,

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k_{eff}}{M_{mov}}}.$$
(3.6)

The effective stiffness is defined as:

$$k_{eff} = k_{mech} + k_{gas} = k_{mech} + \frac{(P_{CV,1} - P_{CV,2})A_p}{dx_p}$$
(3.7)

and the effective damping as:

$$c_{eff} = \frac{E_{cycle}}{\omega_{res}x_s\pi} = \frac{W_f}{\omega_{res}x_s\pi} + \frac{W_{gas}}{\omega_{res}x_s\pi}$$
(3.8)

with work due to friction and work done on the gas defined as:

$$W_{gas} = -\int P_{CV,1}dV$$

$$W_f = 4\mathbf{f} \int_0^{x_s} N(x_p)dx.$$
(3.9)

Equation 3.4 describes the resonant frequency of a one-dimensional system. The model presented previously in Chapter 2 utilizes a two degree of freedom system to describe the piston motion. These two degrees of freedom are the desired piston translation and the undesired rotation of the piston within the cylinder. However, it has been observed that the resonant frequency of the linear compressor is predicted accurately using a one degree of freedom approach (Pollak et al., 1979; Cadman and Cohen, 1969a, b). In addition, Equations 3.4-3.9 are linearized based on the desired input stroke. This approach estimates the damping and stiffness of the system when the stroke is at a desired condition.

3.2.2 Stroke Control

A consequence of the free-piston design of the linear compressor is that the stroke of the compressor changes with power input and operating conditions. A reciprocating compressor, on the other hand, has a stroke that is fixed by the kinematics of the device and remains constant regardless of operating conditions or power input. The desired piston stroke is one of the necessary specifications in the design of a linear compressor. Therefore, the utility of the linear compressor model developed in Chapter 2 is enhanced here by allowing for the desired stroke to be specified. Since the piston driving force is an input to the two-degree-of-freedom piston vibration model used to determine piston behavior, a numerical algorithm is used to solve for the piston stroke by adjusting the piston driving force. The stroke is controlled to within $0.1 \ \mu m$ for each geometric configuration examined.

The numerical algorithm utilized to control the linear compressor stroke is known as Brent's method (Brent, 1971). This algorithm adjusts the force input to the piston iteratively until the desired stroke is obtained. Brent's method uses a combination of the Secant and Bisection methods for finding the roots. The algorithm depicted in Figure 3.7 presents the modified overall compressor model algorithm. The method requires two different guessed input values of force to begin. For each input value the same calculation algorithm is used. Using the resonant frequency model presented in Section 3.2.1, the compressor resonant frequency is calculated in the first step. Using a guess for force input, the model is solved in the manner previously described in Chapter 2. The calculated stroke is then compared with the input value and an updated value calculated using Brent's method. This calculated force is then entered into the model, which iterates until the desired stroke is achieved.

3.2.3 Compressor Model Operation

This chapter presented a model for calculating the resonant frequency. In addition, a numerical algorithm is presented which allows stroke as an input to the comprehensive linear compressor model. Using these two additions, the overall compressor model exhibits added robustness for practical operation of the comprehensive linear compressor model. Figure 3.7 depicts the modified linear compressor model algorithm with the resonant frequency calculation and power adjustment algorithm added.



Figure 3.7. Linear compressor model with resonant frequency calculation and force adjustment algorithm added.

CHAPTER 4. PROTOTYPE-COMPRESSOR PERFORMANCE AND MODEL VALIDATION.

4.1 Miniature-Scale Linear Compressor Prototype

At the time this study was initiated there were no commercially available linear compressors to test. In addition, most investigations that utilized prototype compressors restricted themselves to much larger scale applications (Lee et al., 2004, 2008; Unger, 1999). Thus, a custom designed linear compressor for electronics cooling application was built. This design was introduced previously in Figure 1.3 and further details of the design are outlined in Appendix A. Since the initiation of this work a linear compressor became commercially available in a domestic refrigerator/freezer; this compressor is examined in Chapter 6. The remainder of this chapter focuses on the testing and model validation of the prototype compressor built specifically for this work.

4.2 Miniature-Scale Compressor Load Stand

The prototype linear compressor was tested on a compressor load stand specifically built for testing miniature-scale compressors. The compressor load stand is based on a hot-gas bypass design; a schematic is shown in Figure 4.1. This type of load stand has been used successfully in previous studies to conduct compressor performance tests (Sathe et al., 2008; Hubacher et al., 2002). The load stand cycle state points are shown in a pressure enthalpy diagram in Figure 4.2. The compressor operates between state points 1 and 2. From state point 2, the gas is expanded across a set of valves to an intermediate pressure at state point 3. The flow from state point 3 is divided into two flow paths. In one path, the refrigerant flows through the condenser and is cooled to a saturated liquid at state point 4. It is then isenthalpically expanded to the compressor suction pressure at state point 5. In the hot-gas bypass flow path, the refrigerant is expanded from the intermediate pressure to the compressor suction pressure at state point 6. The flows at state points 5 and 6 are mixed to provide the compressor suction state at state point 1. By controlling the ratio of these two flows the inlet pressure and temperature can be controlled. The discharge pressure of the compressor is controlled by adjusting the valve between state points 2 and 3.



Figure 4.1. Schematic diagram of miniature-compressor hot gas bypass load stand.

The compressor was tested under a range of operating conditions, which simulate an electronics cooling application. At each specific set of compressor inlet conditions, the compressor was operated until a steady state condition was achieved, at which point data was collected. This process was then repeated for subsequent compressor inlet conditions, which are tabulated in Table 4.1. It is noted that the performance testing of a linear compressor is significantly different from that of conventional positive displacement compressors. During the performance testing of conventional com-



Figure 4.2. Pressure-enthalpy plot of compressor load stand operation for refrigerant R-134a.

pressors, the stroke and frequency of the piston are naturally held constant due to the kinematics of the device. However, a linear compressor requires that the frequency be adjusted to maintain operation at resonance. The power input and operating frequency are varied in these tests so as to maintain similar stroke values throughout the testing. The state points achieved in the experiments are summarized in Table 4.1.

The volumetric efficiency shown in Table 4.1 is defined as follows:

$$\eta_{vol} = \frac{\dot{m}}{\rho_1 x_p f_{res} A_p}.$$
(4.1)

P_{suc}	T_{suc}	P_{dis}	T_{dis}	x_s	\dot{m}	f	\dot{W}_{in}	η_{vol}	$\eta_{o,is}$
kPa	K	kPa	K	cm	$g \ s^{-1}$	Hz	W	_	_
557	296.8	641	300.4	0.5784	0.335	44.1	24.7	0.405	0.057
561	297.0	702	299.8	0.5756	0.143	44.1	24.0	0.173	0.040
559	298.1	709	301.1	0.5951	0.120	44.1	23.6	0.141	0.028
534	295.4	587	300.3	0.6445	0.461	44.6	17.5	0.505	0.037
537	295.3	619	300.6	0.6695	0.380	44.6	17.1	0.398	0.048
537	295.3	651	300.2	0.6682	0.267	44.6	22.0	0.280	0.046

Table 4.1. Summary of experimental data.

This definition accounts for volumetric losses as well as a dependence on the piston dynamics. The overall isentropic efficiency of the device, also listed in Table 4.1, is defined as follows:

$$\eta_{o,is} = \frac{\dot{m}(h_{2,s} - h_1)}{\dot{W}_{in}} \tag{4.2}$$

where \dot{W}_{in} is the power input to the compressor and is calculated as follows:

$$\dot{W}_{in} = \left(F_{drive}\dot{x}_p\right)_{rms} + \dot{Q}_{motor}.$$
(4.3)

The definition for overall isentropic efficiency accounts for all the losses in the compressor, and as a result, is used as an overall performance metric for compressor performance.

4.2.1 Experimental Uncertainty

A variety of measurements were obtained in the experiments including temperature and pressure at the suction and discharge ports of the compressor, mass flow rate of refrigerant, piston stroke, frequency, and input power. The measurements of pressure, temperature, and stroke have absolute uncertainties of 4.6 kPa, 0.5 C, and 25.40 μm , respectively. The Coriolis mass flow meter has relative uncertainty values between 0.350 and 1.25 %, depending on the quantity of mass flow measured. The frequency and input power have absolute uncertainty values which varied from 2.07 x 10^{-4} to 5.10 x $10^{-4}Hz$ and 0.188 and 0.532 W, respectively, which are calculated using a 99.95% confidence interval. The uncertainty in the reported efficiency values is calculated using an uncertainty propagation analysis (Fox et al., 2004). The volumetric and overall isentropic efficiencies have absolute uncertainty value ranges of 0.107 to 0.229 and 0.105 to 0.190, respectively.

4.3 Validation of Model Predictions

The experimental results are compared to the predictions from the model. The model performance is quite sensitive to several parameters: the leakage gap between the piston and cylinder, g, the eccentricity of the piston, ϵ , and the linear motor efficiency, η_{motor} . Since these parameters are difficult to measure, best estimates were used and adjusted within reasonable ranges to provide the best fit with the experimental data. The leakage gap was chosen based on the design dimensions to be in the range of 1 to $23\mu m$. The initial guess of eccentricity was set to the radius of the compression spring used in the prototype compressor, which took values in the range of 9.2 to 11.4mm. The motor efficiency was estimated based on the measured heat loss from the prototype compressor and was varied between 30% and 50%. The final parameter values were selected based on the best agreement to the experimental data. These values are summarized in Table 4.2. The parameters in Table 4.2 along with experimental values for the suction and discharge pressure, suction temperature, frequency, and stroke (Table 4.1) were used as inputs to the model. Where the frequency is calculated in a separate submodel as shown in Section 3.2.1.

4.3.1 Resonant Frequency

Figure 4.3 compares the resonant frequency predicted from this approach and the experimentally obtained resonant frequencies. The model predicted resonant fre-

k_{mech}	A_p	g	η_{motor}	ϵ	$V_{cyl,max}$
$N m^{-1}$	cm^2	μm	_	cm	cm^3
23000	1.217	13	0.4	1.145	3.091

Table 4.2. Linear compressor prototype parameters.

quencies were calculated using the method desribed in Section 3.2.1. The predictions match the experimental measurements very well, with a Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 0.5%.



Figure 4.3. Comparison of experimental and simulated resonant frequencies (measurement error within marker width).

These values are then used as inputs along with the experimentally determined stroke and operating conditions to the comprehensive compressor model which calculates the massflow and power required to drive the device.

4.3.2 Massflow Rate, Volumetric, and Overall Isentropic Efficiency

Figure 4.4 shows a comparison of the experimental massflow rate to the model predicted values. The data are generally predicted well with one outlier point and a MAE of 16.8 %. The predicted volumetric efficiency, shown in Figure 4.5, displays similar trends to the mass flow rate. In the performance testing of conventional compressors the volumetric efficiency is simply a dimensionless mass flow rate. However, for a linear compressor, the volumetric efficiency depends not only on the mass flow rate but also the frequency, as seen in Equation (4.1). This accounts for additional discrepancies seen in the volumetric efficiency agreement. Figure 4.6 shows the experimentally determined overall isentropic efficiency compared with the model predicted values. All but one point fall within 0.03 of the predicted overall efficiency.



Figure 4.4. Comparison of experimental and simulated mass flow rates (measurement error within marker width).



Figure 4.5. Comparison of experimental and simulated volumetric efficiencies.

Figure 4.7 shows the deviation of the experimental efficiencies from the model predicted efficiencies (*i.e.* $\eta_{exp} - \eta_{model}$) compared with experimental power input. This shows that as the power input increases the model performance tends to decrease. This indicates that there is a loss found in the experimental prototype that the model does not account for. However, the prototype compressor displays much room for improvement and this trend could likely be related to the less-than-ideal design. Thus, it is difficult to ascertain from this trend if there some key phenomenon the model does not account for. Therefore, further model validation is needed to determine if the model needs additional modification. This additional model validation is presented in Chapter 6.



Figure 4.6. Comparison of experimental and simulated overall isentropic efficiencies.

4.4 Conclusions

The overall isentropic efficiency of the prototype compressor is significantly lower than for typical commercially available compressors. However, the purpose of the prototype built in this work was merely to validate the model and not to obtain optimized performance. Since no commercial prototype was available which could be tested for this work, the compressor was made in-house, and could admittedly be significantly improved. However, the operating principles underlying the model and the prototype are identical, and therefore, the experiments serve the purpose of validating model predictions. It is expected that the model can form the basis for more optimized prototypes to be designed. The model developed in this work can be applied in the analysis of any linear compressor application.



Figure 4.7. Deviation of model predicted results with experimental result $(\eta_{exp} - \eta_{model})$ for volumetric and overall isentropic efficiencies compared with experimental power input.

The agreement with experiment of the value of the resonant predicted by the model is evidence that the one-dimensional approach to calculate the resonant frequency accurately predicts the performance of the device. The mass flow rates show larger discrepancies which suggests that either the valve model or the leakage model needs further improvement. In other compressor models these flow paths are handled using semi-empirical models for good agreement with the performance of a particular compressor (Mathison et al., 2008; Kim and Groll, 2007; Navarro et al., 2007). The models presented here, in contrast, are based on first principles in an effort to add flexibility to the model for use in parametric studies.

Additionally, the sensitivity to small changes in the values of the key parameters identified in this work (i.e., leakage gap, eccentricity, and motor efficiency) may also explain the errors. It was found that given a particular set of operating conditions, a 5% change in the value of eccentricity could lead to a 24% change in overall isentropic efficiency. Each of the identified parameters is difficult to quantify with a high degree of accuracy. Therefore, it may be concluded that there is potentially a significant amount of uncertainty due to the selection of these parameters, which can explain a portion of the error in matching experimental data.

This theory was confirmed with additional modifications to the prototype linear compressor. As detailed in Appendix A, additional modifications to the prototype compressor were performed after model validation had been completed. The purpose of these efforts was to determine how sensitive the prototype compressor is to changes in the key parameters. Using the changes outlined in Section A.6, the modified compressor performance is compared with previous experimental data, as given in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3. Comparison of performance of revision 01 to revision 02 linear compressor.

Comp.	P_{suc}	T_{suc}	P_{dis}	x_p	\dot{m}	f	\dot{W}_{in}	η_{vol}	$\eta_{o,is}$
[—]	kPa	K	kPa	cm	$g \ s^{-1}$	Hz	W	_	_
Rev 01	561	297.0	702	0.5756	0.143	44.1	24.0	0.173	0.040
$Rev \ 02$	581	294.0	681	0.5800	0.910	44.0	24.0	0.891	0.107

The revision 02 prototype compressor incorporated small changes to reduce the dead volume, flow restrictions, friction losses, and leakage gap. These changes were enough to increase the overall isentropic efficiency by over 100% compared with a similar operating point from the previous revision, shown in Table 4.3. The prototype compressor would still require significant effort to raise efficiency values to be comparable with a commercial compressor. However, this has shown that the prototype compressor configuration is highly sensitive to changes in geometric parameters.

CHAPTER 5. SENSITIVITY STUDIES

A loss analysis is presented which quantifies the frictional and leakage losses within the linear compressor. Using this analysis, sensitivity studies conducted using the comprehensive linear compressor model are presented here for three studies. The first is a leakage and friction study, which examines the sensitivity to changes in the leakage gap g, as well as spring eccentricity ϵ . The second study considers changes in the piston geometry and scaling of the compressor, specifically the piston diameter at various displacement volumes. The third considers the impact of changing the stroke within a compressor with a fixed cylinder size by adjusting the compressor dead volume. The additional geometric parameters are shown in Figure 5.1. The ranges of parameters investigated are first discussed, followed by the results of the studies, and finally the discussion of the results. Additional interesting results are also discussed such as piston drift and capacity control. Finally, a comparison to a traditional reciprocating compressor is presented which highlights the major differences between the two technologies.

5.1 Loss Analysis

While the overall isentropic efficiency yields the total losses, a separate estimation of major loss components provides useful insight into the compressor operation. Leakage and friction are typically two sources of major loss within a positive displacement compressor and are quantified here.

The frictional losses in the compressor are determined as the scalar product of the frictional force and the piston velocity. These two quantities oscillate about a mean value of zero. Therefore, to estimate the mean power dissipated via friction over a compression cycle requires the use of the root mean square (rms):



Figure 5.1. Schematic diagram of linear compressor geometric parameters of interest for sensitivity study.

$$\dot{W}_{friction} = (\mathbf{f}N(x))_{rms} (\dot{x}_p)_{rms}$$
(5.1)

In a linear compressor, the leakage losses represent mass that has been pushed into the compressor shell; the same amount of mass also returns to the compression chamber due to mass being conserved. The net loss represents the work required to move this mass of gas both into and back out of the compressor shell, and represents a loss in available energy. Therefore, to estimate the leakage loss, an exergy analysis is used. Using the compressor control volumes shown in Figure 1.2, the amount of exergy destroyed in moving the leakage mass into the compressor shell and subsequently back into the compression volume is:

$$\dot{E}_{d,leak} = \dot{W}_{leak} = T_{amb} \dot{m}_{leak} (s_{cv,2} - s_{cv,1}) + \left(\frac{T_{amb}}{T_{shell}}\right) \dot{m}_{leak} (h_{cv,2} - h_{cv,1}).$$
(5.2)

5.2 Model Sensitivity to Geometric Parameters

The results from the model validation in Chapter 4 highlighted four parameters that significantly impact the overall performance metrics of the compressor: motor efficiency η_{motor} , dry friction coefficient **f**, spring eccentricity ϵ , and leakage gap g. For simplicity, changes to η_{motor} are not considered here, as changes in this parameter would provide little insight into compressor design; the higher the linear motor efficiency, the better is the compressor overall performance. Thus, the efficiency is fixed at 90% for the rest of this work. The operating conditions are set for all studies at 20 °C, 40 °C, and 5 °C for evaporating, condensing, and superheat temperatures, respectively.

5.2.1 Leakage and Friction

The dry friction coefficient **f** and spring eccentricity both relate to friction between the piston and cylinder and only the spring eccentricity is examined in this study, besides the leakage gap g. The ranges of the values used in the study attempt to represent an extreme set of conditions. For eccentricity, this range spans from 0.1 cm to 0.9 cm, where the upper limit represents an extreme situation. The leakage gap ranged from 1 μm to 23 μm , which spans a realistic range of values for compressor leakage gaps (Kim and Groll, 2007; Jovane, 2007). The stroke is fixed at 2.54 cm (1 in) for these studies. The leakage mass flow rate as a function of leakage gap at different eccentricity values is shown in Figure 5.2. The leakage flow rate increases as the leakage gap increases and does not display a dependency on piston eccentricity. An increase in leakage mass flow rate results in a decrease in the volumetric efficiency of the device; this is shown in Figure 5.3, which presents the compressor volumetric efficiency for different eccentricities as a function of leakage gap.



Figure 5.2. Leakage mass flow rate as a function of leakage gap width at different spring eccentricities.

This decrease in efficiency corresponds to a decrease in mass flow rate from the compressor as a result of increased leakage. Both the leakage mass flow rate and the volumetric efficiency show a negligible dependence on eccentricity. It may be concluded that the flow parameters are not affected significantly by changes in frictional characteristics within the compressor but are highly sensitive to changes in leakage gap width.



Figure 5.3. Compressor volumetric efficiency as a function of leakage gap width at different spring eccentricities.

The overall compressor performance is presented in Figure 5.4, in terms of the overall isentropic efficiency at different leakage gap and eccentricity values. As the eccentricity decreases, the overall isentropic efficiency increases proportionally. This is a result of increased frictional losses at higher eccentricity values. The compressor performance is also reduced at higher leakage gap widths, since the compressor mass flow rate decreases with larger leakage gaps.

Figure 5.5 shows the net frictional losses as a function of leakage gap width for each of the three eccentricity values considered. The frictional losses are seen to be largely independent of the leakage gap width, with a slight increase in loss as the leakage gap is reduced. However, the frictional losses are strongly dependent on eccentricity. Figure 5.6 shows that leakage losses, on the other hand, increase as the leakage gap increases, with little dependence on eccentricity.


Figure 5.4. Overall isentropic efficiency as a function of leakage gap width at different spring eccentricities.

These results show the high level of sensitivity of the linear compressor performance to both the leakage gap between the piston and cylinder and the eccentricity of the spring. Reducing the leakage gap and the eccentricity of the applied spring force would improve the compressor performance. The lower limit on both these parameters is dictated by manufacturing constraints. It is also noted that at the smallest leakage gap widths, a stronger coupling would exist between leakage and friction that is not accounted for by the dry friction model utilized in the current work.

5.2.2 Piston Geometry and Compressor Scaling

The geometry of a compressor piston can have a large impact on the overall performance of the device (Kim and Groll, 2007; Rigola et al., 2005). The impact of scaling



Figure 5.5. Frictional losses for various leakage gap widths at three eccentricities.

of the linear compressor is also of interest to the goal of miniaturizing the compression technology. To explore the impact of scaling, three compressor displacement volumes of 2, 3, and 6 cm^3 are examined. For each displaced volume the piston diameter is varied from 0.8 to 1.7 cm. Fixing the compressor displacement volume and varying the piston diameter requires modification of the compressor stroke. Therefore, the stroke-to-diameter ratio is investigated for three displacement volumes.

The volumetric efficiency of the linear compressor is low at small values of stroketo-diameter ratio, but increases asymptotically as the value of this ratio is increased, as illustrated in Figure 5.7. This behavior is largely unaffected by the choice of displacement volumes. This behavior is the result of a higher dead volume relative to the displaced volume as the piston diameter increases. Figure 5.1 illustrates the dead volume of the linear compressor at TDC that is generated by the small gap between



Figure 5.6. Leakage losses as a function of leakage gap at three eccentricities.

the piston and valve head x_{dead} . This gap is fixed at 3 mm for all the sensitivity studies considered here. Therefore, as the stroke-to-diameter ratio decreases, the dead volume increases in relation to the piston surface area. This increase in dead volume results in a reduction in volumetric performance.

The effects of displaced volume and stroke-to-bore ratio on the frictional and leakage losses of the linear compressor are shown in Figure 5.8 and Figure 5.9. The frictional losses in the linear compressor increase at a super-linear (*i.e.* greater than linear increase) rate with an increase in stroke-to-diameter ratio. An increase in displacement volume leads to increased frictional losses. As the stroke-to-diameter ratio increases, the mean piston velocity increases as a result of the increase in stroke. In addition, the frictional force generated by the contact between the piston and cylinder increases. Thus, as seen from Equation (5.1) where two of the three factors



Figure 5.7. Volumetric efficiency as a function of stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes.

increase, the frictional losses increase at a super-linear rate as the compressor stroketo-diameter ratio increases.

The leakage losses show a different behavior with the stroke-to-diameter ratio: they decrease slightly until a stroke-to-diameter ratio of between 3 and 4, and then increase rapidly as this ratio is increased further. As with the frictional losses, the leakage losses increase with an increase in the displacement volume.

The leakage losses display two distinct trends as shown in Figure 5.9, separated at a stroke-to-diameter ratio of between 3 and 4. As this ratio takes increasing smaller values than 3, the leakage losses slightly increase, whereas the losses increase sharply as this ratio increases beyond a value of 4. This behavior is a result of two competing factors: the change in piston resonant frequency, which changes the time period over which leakage can occur, and the overall leakage area. Figure 5.10 shows the



Figure 5.8. Frictional losses of a linear compressor as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes.

compressor piston resonant frequency as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio. As the stroke-to-bore ratio increases, the resonant frequency decreases. Since the resonant frequency of the piston is related to the piston oscillation period by:

$$f_{res} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{T}_p}.$$
(5.3)

An increase in stroke-to-bore ratio increases the piston oscillation period. An increase in the piston period generates a larger time period over which leakage can occur. Leakage within the compressor is also proportional to the area within the leakage gap:

$$\dot{m}_{leak} = \rho \mathbf{V} A_{leak}. \tag{5.4}$$



Figure 5.9. Curve fit of leakage losses of a linear compressor as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes with model data included as points.

The leakage area is determined by the piston diameter, with larger piston diameters corresponding to larger leakage areas. When the stroke-to-diameter ratio is small, piston period is also small, but the leakage area is large. When the stroke-to-diameter ratio is large, on the other hand, the piston period is large but the leakage area is small. This trade-off is largely dominated by piston period but does exhibit an optimum at a stroke-to-diameter ratio of between 3 and 4.

In contrast to the volumetric efficiency, the overall isentropic efficiency decreases with an increase in stroke-to-diameter ratio, as illustrated in Figure 5.11. In addition, the performance improves with a decrease in displacement volume.

Based on the results presented above for the volumetric performance, leakage and friction losses, the overall isentropic performance would also be expected to exhibit



Figure 5.10. Compressor piston resonant frequency as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes.

a trade-off between volumetric efficiency and frictional and leakage losses. Figure 5.11 shows a degradation in overall isentropic efficiency with an increase in stroke-to-diameter ratio due to increased friction and leakage losses. The degradation in volumetric efficiency seen in Figure 5.7 at small stroke-to-diameter ratios does not seem to manifest as a decrease in the overall isentropic efficiency under these conditions. The volumetric efficiency decreases due to an increase in dead volume, but the overall compressor performance seems to be unaffected by such an increase in dead volume. This peculiar behavior may be attributed to the unique free-piston design of the linear compressor. In a typical positive displacement compressor, the gas trapped in the dead volume is re-expanded during the suction stroke and the energy required to compress this volume is lost. In a free-piston design, the gas trapped in the dead volume is re-expanded during the suction stroke as well. However, in a linear com-



Figure 5.11. Overall isentropic efficiency as a function of the stroketo-diameter ratio for three displacement volumes.

pressor the spring-mass-damper system of the free piston has the unique ability to almost fully recapture the energy required to compress the gas trapped in the dead volume. This ability to recapture the energy back into the piston mechanism during re-expansion translates into a net reduction in power consumption at the cost of a lower mass flow rate.

The overall isentropic efficiency trends also show that as the displaced volume is decreased the overall performance tends to increase. This suggests that as the compressor is scaled down for lower capacity applications the performance would tend to increase. This trend is a result of the net frictional losses decreasing as the displaced volume decreases; which shows the ability of the linear compressor to scale effectively to miniature-scale for electronics cooling applications. However, it is acknowledged that this does not represent a comprehensive survey of the impacts of scaling as the miniaturization of the linear motor needs to be explored.

5.2.3 Dead Volume/Stroke Control

To simulate a variation in compressor capacity the compressor model is operated with varying amounts of dead volume. This is used to simulate a compressor with a variable stroke. It is acknowledged that a linear compressor with a fixed cylinder size would change capacity by reducing the compressor stroke. However, since varying the stroke will also change the calculated resonant frequency it becomes difficult to directly compare the compressor performance metrics from one stroke input to the next, as they depend on the stroke and operating frequency. Thus, an increasing compressor dead volume is used to represent a decreasing compressor stroke. The same piston diameter and stroke is used as in the spring eccentricity and leakage gap studies of 1.24 cm and 2.54 cm respectively. This leads to a fixed displaced/swept volume for the compressor. A fixed value of spring eccentricity, motor efficiency, and leakage gap are 0.5 cm, 0.9, and 3 μm , respectively. The dead volume in the compressor is varied from 10% of displaced volume to 120% of displaced volume. In addition, to explore any influence of friction the dry friction coefficient, **f**, was varied between 0.1 and 0.3.

Figure 5.12 shows the volumetric efficiency as a function of compressor dead volume. As the dead volume increases the volumetric efficiency decreases linearly. With $0.3 \ cm^3$ dead volume the compressor operates at about 90% volumetric efficiency and at 3.6 $\ cm^3$ dead volume the compressor operates at about 5% volumetric efficiency. The three separate dry friction coefficients cannot be distinguished in Figure 5.12 as the volumetric efficiency is very weakly dependent on friction.

The overall isentropic efficiency is also explored as shown in Figure 5.13. At a dead volume of $0.3 \ cm^3$ at each dry friction coefficient the efficiency is maximized. The overall isentropic efficiency decreases slightly until a dead volume of roughly 2.5



Figure 5.12. Volumetric efficiency as function of compressor dead volume for three dry friction coefficients.

 cm^3 where the overall isentropic efficiency degrades rapidly. It can also be noted that as the dry friction coefficient decreases the overall performance increases.

This study yielded results which show that a linear compressor behaves different from a typical positive displacement compressor. Figure 5.12 shows that as the dead volume increases the volumetric efficiency decreases. This is a result of a decay in the amount of massflow provided by the compressor as the dead volume increases. The cause of this relates to the change in volume required to compress a fixed mass of gas. This can be seen by examining an idealized compression process, modeled by a polytropic process:

$$PV^n = const. (5.5)$$



Figure 5.13. Overall isentropic efficiency as a function of compressor dead volume for three dry friction coefficients.

If the compressor is attempting to operate between two pressures P_1 and P_2 then the following can be written:

$$\frac{P_2}{P_1} = \left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right)^n \tag{5.6}$$

then introducing a definition for the volumes that includes the dead volume, and moving the polytropic exponent to the other side yields,

$$\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)^{\binom{1}{n}} = \frac{\pi r_p^2 x_{BDC} + V_{dead}}{\pi r_p^2 x_{TDC} + V_{dead}}.$$
(5.7)

The volume ratio which defines the ratio required to generate P_2 starting with P_1 , defined as,

$$V_r = \frac{A_p x_{BDC}}{A_p x_{TDC}} = \frac{x_{BDC}}{x_{TDC}}$$
(5.8)

because the piston area is fixed. Combining Equations (5.7) and (5.8) and solving for the volume ratio one obtains:

$$V_r = \left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)^{\binom{1}{n}} + \frac{V_{dead}}{\pi r_p^2 x_{TDC}} \left[\left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)^{\binom{1}{n}} - 1 \right].$$
(5.9)

This expression shows that as the dead volume increases from zero (dead volume cannot be less than zero) that the volume ratio required to obtain a certain pressure rise increases proportionally. Therefore, for a fixed volume and pressure ratio as the dead volume increases the massflow obtained will decrease proportionally.

Therefore, one would expect that the overall isentropic efficiency to follow a similar trend. However, as seen in Figure 5.13 the trend is not linear. As the dead volume increases from $0.3 \ cm^3$ to about $2.5 \ cm^3$ the overall efficiency shows only minor degradation. However, Figure 5.12 shows that the massflow is drastically reduced with the same change in dead volume. Thus, it can be concluded that the compressor produces less massflow rate at the higher dead volume but also requires less power. This behavior can then be exploited if the system level performance is considered, as presented in the following section.

5.3 Capacity Control

A reduction in massflow translates to a reduction in refrigeration capacity. By analyzing the refrigeration system for electronics cooling as shown in Figure 5.14 the system cooling capacity can be calculated as follows:

$$\dot{Q}_{cool} = \dot{m}(h_1 - h_4).$$
 (5.10)



Figure 5.14. Pressure enthalpy diagram of typical R134a miniaturescale refrigeration cycle for electronics cooling.

If the system operates under fixed environmental conditions the enthalpies entering and exiting the system evaporator (h_4 and h_1) will remain constant, where h_4 is calculated using the following relationship:

$$h_4 = h_3 = h(T = T_{sat} - \Delta T_{sub}, P_2)$$
(5.11)

where ΔT_{sub} is assumed to be 10 °C. This means that the system cooling capacity is proportional to the massflow generated by the compressor. The power required to drive the compressor is calculated using the comprehensive linear compressor model, and the system Coefficient of Performance (COP) is defined as:

$$COP = \frac{\dot{Q}_{cool}}{\dot{W}_{in}}.$$
(5.12)

For relative comparison to a reversible refrigeration cycle the COP of a Carnot refrigeration cycle is utilized.

$$COP_{carnot} = \frac{T_{evap}}{T_{cond} - T_{evap}}.$$
(5.13)

Using the Carnot COP the second law effectiveness of the refrigeration cycle is defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{carnot} = \frac{COP}{COP_{carnot}}.$$
(5.14)

Using the results from Section 5.2.3 as an input with a dry friction coefficient of 0.1 a variable capacity system can be simulated using the variable massflow simulated by increasing the compressor dead volume. Figure 5.15 shows the predicted system COP and second law effectiveness ε_{carnot} as a function of the cooling capacity.



Figure 5.15. Coefficient of Performance and second law effectiveness of a R134a refrigeration cycle operating at a typical electronics cooling condition with 10 $^{\circ}C$ condenser subcooling with variable capacity predicted by linear compressor model.

At the smallest cooling capacity the linear compressor is producing the smallest massflow rate, which translates to the largest dead volumes in Figures 5.12 and 5.13. As the cooling capacity increases, the dead volume decreases and subsequently the massflow increases. In addition, the overall efficiency of the compressor also increases as the dead volume decreases which generates a better system COP. Figure 5.15 shows that an electronics cooling system can provide a reasonably high system COP over a wide range of cooling capacities. This simulated compressor operates relatively well from roughly 200 W to over 600 W which would provide a sufficient amount of variation to maintain consistent cooling to a future consumer electronic device based on the predictions from the International Roadmap of Semiconductors (2011 ed.). This is another useful feature of the linear compressor for an electronics cooling application.

5.4 Piston Drift

A unique behavior observed from the sensitivity studies is the tendency for the piston to shift its equilibrium, or center of oscillation, during operation. In each initiation of the model the piston begins at rest at an equilibrium position $x_{e,1}$, this is shown in the upper schematic diagram in Figure 5.16. During operation the piston will tend to shift away from the valves to a new equilibrium location, $x_{e,2}$. Therefore, we define the drift of the piston as:

$$Drift = x_{e,1} - x_{e,2}.$$
 (5.15)

The piston drift depends on several factors, one being the amount of gas in the cylinder and another being the size of the piston. Figure 5.17 shows model results from Sections 5.2.2 and 5.2.3 and the amount of drift the model predicted. The dead volume, or amount of gas in the cylinder, appears to show some relationship to drift as the drift tends to increase as the dead volume increases. However, these changes



Figure 5.16. Schematic diagram of linear compressor showing the equilibrium position of the compressor piston at two different conditions.

are relatively small compared with the changes due to the piston size in the geometric studies.

The piston drift as a function of the stroke-to-diameter ratio shows a strong functional dependence. At low stroke-to-diameter ratios the piston diameter tends to be large, and the amount of drift is also large. At large stroke-to-diameter ratios the



Figure 5.17. Amount of drift as a function of dead volume (left) and stroke to diameter ratio (right).

piston diameter decreases and drift also decreases. Therefore, it is likely that the drift depends on the piston diameter as a larger piston diameter corresponds to a larger amount of drift. When the piston diameter is large the force acting on the piston due to pressure in the cylinder is also large. Assuming that the net pressure differential across the piston does not change drastically for a variety of piston diameters, this generates a higher net force on the piston. The higher net force generates a higher amount of drift of the compressor piston.

While the piston drift was not a focus of characterization in this study, it is an important behavior to consider as a piston with a relatively large piston area will behave with more severe drift. If the drift amount begins to approach the same order of magnitude as the stroke, then piston stability over a wide operating band will be compromised.

5.5 Comparison of a Linear Compressor to Reciprocating Compressor Behavior

The unique behavior of a linear compressor shown in the previous sections serves as the motivation to compare a linear compressor to a more well-known compressor technology such as a reciprocating compressor. A reciprocating compressor provides a good benchmark for comparison to a linear compressor because of the unique ability to directly compare the compression mechanism of the two devices. Fundamentally, a linear and reciprocating compressor are very similar technologies as they are both piston-cylinder devices. However, due to the kinematics of a reciprocating compressor the displaced volume is dictated by the design of the compressor and is not a function of the operating conditions or power input. Figure 5.18 shows a kinematic diagram of a reciprocating compressor driven with a torque T and rotational speed ω .

From this, and assuming the compressor components act as rigid bodies, an expression for the motion of the piston as a function of the crank angle may be written as:

$$x_p = L_1 \cos(\beta_1) + \sqrt{L_2^2 - L_1^2 \sin^2(\beta_1)}.$$
(5.16)

Differentiating this expression twice one obtains an expression for the acceleration of the piston may be attained:

$$\ddot{x}_p = -L_1 \omega^2 \cos(\beta_1) - \frac{L_1^2 \omega^2 \cos(2\beta_1)}{\sqrt{L_2^2 - L_1^2 \sin^2(\beta_1)}} - \frac{L_1^4 \omega^2 \cos(2\beta_1)}{2\sqrt[3]{L_2^2 - L_1^2 \sin^2(\beta_1)}}.$$
(5.17)

Additionally, an expression for the equation of motion of a reciprocating compressor can be constructed using the free body diagram given in Figure 5.18:

$$\underbrace{M_{mov}\ddot{x}_p}_{\text{Intertia}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{f}F_2\sin(\beta_2)}_{\text{Damping}} + \underbrace{k_{gas}x_p}_{\text{Stiffness}} = F_2\cos(\beta_2) \tag{5.18}$$

and noting that,



Figure 5.18. Schematic diagram of reciprocating compressor mechanism and free body diagram of compressor piston assuming dry friction contact between piston and cylinder wall.

$$k_{gas}x_p = P_{cv}A_P. (5.19)$$

This equation shows that a reciprocating compressor displays a similar equation of motion compared with the linear compressor. The reciprocating compressor also has inertial, damping, and stiffness terms associated with it. However, unlike a linear compressor a reciprocating compressor has a stiffness that is only associated with the gas compression and is thus much smaller than for a linear compressor (*i.e.* $k_{linear} >> k_{recip}$). The result of this small stiffness term makes it very difficult

to operate a reciprocating compressor at a resonant frequency as it would require operation at a slower speed than A/C rotary motor technology typically operates at (30 - 60 Hz). In addition, the leakage in a compressor is adversely impacted by the result of slowing operational speed which is another reason why operating at lower speeds is typically avoided.

Equation (5.18) is further expanded to determine the required torque from the crank, realizing that β_2 can be written in terms of β_1 as follows:

$$\tan \beta_2 = \frac{\binom{L_1}{L_2} \sin \beta_1}{\sqrt{1 - \left[\binom{L_1}{L_2} \sin \beta_1\right]^2}}.$$
(5.20)

Then the torque required for piston movement can be calculated by solving the equation of motion described in Equation (5.18) for F_2 and using geometry to solve for the torque at the crank:

$$\mathbf{T} = (1+\mu) \left[(M_{mov} \ddot{x}_p + k_{gas} x_p) x_p \tan \beta_2 \right].$$
(5.21)

The power required to drive the reciprocating compressor is then given as:

$$\dot{W}_{in,recip} = T\omega. \tag{5.22}$$

Using these calculations a direct comparison between a reciprocating and a linear compressor can be made. With respect to leakage, a linear compressor behaves similar to a reciprocating compressor and are not considered in this comparison. The frictional losses, are also not considered here and the same friction coefficient, \mathbf{f} of 0.1, is used for the analysis of the reciprocating compressor and linear compressor. Therefore, the sensitivity studies presented in Sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 are not reproduced here with reference to reciprocating compressor performance as no notable comparison can be made. However, changes with respect to dead volume, or capacity control, are presented as this displays one of the significant differences between a reciprocating compressor and a linear compressor. The variation in dead volume for the reciprocating compressor analysis matches that of a linear compressor. It was observed that the volumetric efficiency follows the same trend for both compressors. Therefore, the trends predicted in Figure 5.12 are the same for both compressors as each will tend to lose mass flow as dead volume increases for the reasons explained in Section 5.2.3. However, when comparing the overall isentropic efficiency, as shown in Figure 5.19 one can see that as the dead volume increases the overall isentropic efficiency of a reciprocating compressor tends to decrease linearly as with the volumetric efficiency.



Figure 5.19. Comparison of overall isentropic efficiency as a function of compressor dead volume for a linear compressor compared with a reciprocating compressor.

The reason for the difference is noted in the equations of motion of the two compressors shown in Equations (2.19) and (5.18), where the stiffness term in the linear compressor is much larger due to the mechanical springs. The stiffness in a mechanical system is analogous to a capacitance in an electrical system, and provides a mechanism for a mechanical system to store energy. Therefore, the linear compressor has a higher ability to store energy, or mechanical capacitance. This proves useful when the compressor operates with a variable stroke (or with variable dead volume) as the linear compressor can recapture energy imparted to the gas. The overall isentropic efficiency of the reciprocating compressor decreases linearly with dead volume. This is a result of the power required to drive the compressor mechanism remaining relatively constant, but the net massflow delivered decreasing, as dead volume increases. Conversely, the linear compressor still shows the same reduction in mass flow, but as a result of the high amount of mechanical capacitance, it is able to recapture some of the power typically lost during gas re-expansion and thus operate at a lower power input at smaller capacity levels (*i.e.*, partial load).

5.6 An Improved Design of a Miniature-Scale Linear Compressor for Electronics Cooling

Based on the results obtained in this work, an improved linear compressor design is formulated for an electronics cooling application. A compressor for such an application should be compact, as package size in electronic equipment is often a constraint. The performance of the previous prototype design analyzed in Chapter 4 and detailed in Appendix A can be readily improved, at a lower total compressor package size. The modified design presented here provides an improved overall efficiency and reduced package size.

From the leakage and friction sensitivity studies it was concluded that both the leakage (leakage gap g) and frictional parameters (spring eccentricity ϵ , and dry friction coefficient **f**) should be minimized. However, practical limitations prohibit the

reduction of these parameters to zero. Therefore, a realistic set of values was selected based on input from compressor manufacturers and previous studies on compressor modeling (Dagilis and Vaitkus, 2009; Kim et al., 2009). A leakage gap width of $4 \ \mu m$ was selected as a lower limit on a clearance fit for a mass produced product. A dry friction coefficient of 0.2 represents a reasonable and low value for an engineering polymer (e.g. PEEK, PTFE, or Rulon) and steel. A value for the spring eccentricity of 0.5 cm also appears to be realistically attainable; lower values may be manufacturable and would correspondingly alter the design. Table 5.1 summarizes these values as well as additional design parameters for the linear compressor.

 k_{mech} f D_p f_{res} g ϵ $N mm^{-1}$ Hzcm μm cmModified Design 30.60.21.354 60 0.5Prototype Design 230.351.2171344.51.145

Table 5.1. Key design parameters of the improved linear compressor design compared with the prototype design.

Similar to the design presented in Chapter 4, the improved linear compressor design utilizes an off-the-shelf motor from HW2 Technologies. First, a cooling capacity of 200 W was selected to represent a desktop computer cooling application. The comprehensive compressor model was then run using the design parameters listed in Table 5.1 with various stroke-to-diameter ratios necessary to achieve the required 200 W of cooling. The required stroke-to-diameter ratio and the corresponding continuous driving force dictate the choice of an appropriate H2W Technologies motor (P/N NCM02-17-035-2F). The modeling results from the present work show an increase in compressor performance with a decrease in the stroke-to-diameter ratio. However, the force required to operate the compressor piston increases with a decrease in this ratio. An increase in force requires a larger linear motor, which translates into a larger overall package size. Thus, there is a trade-off between package size and performance. For the current design, a stroke-to-diameter ratio of 0.4 leads to an acceptable overall package size. The proposed design of the improved miniature linear compressor is shown in Figure 5.20. The overall performance of the compressor as well as the corresponding refrigeration system performance are listed in Table 5.2. While the predicted cooling capacity of the linear compressor prototype is lower than with the previous design from Chapter 4, the capacity-to-volume ratio has increased significantly. Therefore, this design lends itself to greater miniaturization of linear compressors.



Figure 5.20. Improved linear compressor design with predicted cooling capacity of 200 W.

5.7 Conclusions

The sensitivity of the performance of a linear compressor to changes in its geometric parameters is analyzed, leading to insights into the design methodology of linear

	V_d	x/D	η_{vol}	$\eta_{o,is}$
	cm^3	_	_	_
Modified Design	2	0.4	0.96	0.86
Prototype Design	3.09	2	0.4	0.08

Table 5.2. Predicted performance of the improved linear compressor design compared with the prototype design.

compressors. These insights allow for a further refinement of the design prototype presented in Chapter 4. In addition, a loss analysis is presented which quantifies the work lost due to friction and leakage.

The sensitivity studies conducted show that the linear compressor is highly sensitive to changes in the leakage gap between the piston and cylinder as well as the spring eccentricity; both parameters should be minimized for optimal performance. Therefore, it is important to quantify and control these parameters in any compressor design that is mass-produced to maximize performance.

This chapter also illustrates the ability of the linear compressor to be readily scaled to smaller capacities. Other types of positive-displacement compressors suffer from performance limitations upon miniaturization due to manufacturing tolerances. The small number of moving parts in the proposed linear compressor design, along with its insensitivity to dead volume, make it an ideal technology for electronics cooling applications. The ability to handle larger amounts of dead volume without performance degradation could also allow this technology to be used to control the capacity of the refrigeration system. Additional interesting behavior called piston drift is noted as a phenomenon that should be avoided to maximize design robustness. Also, the linear compressor is compared to a reciprocating compressor. This study lead to the discovery that a linear compressor has the advantage of efficient capacity control compared with a reciprocating compressor.

The results from the sensitivity analysis are used to inform the scalable design procedure for a linear compressor. An improved linear compressor design for electronics cooling is presented with an overall package size of 50.3 mm diameter by 102 mm length and a predicted refrigeration capacity of 200 W.

CHAPTER 6. MODELING OF A COMMERCIAL LINEAR COMPRESSOR

At the commencement of this study there were no commercially available linear compressors for testing purposes. However, recently one has become available from LG in a domestic refrigerator/freezer. The refrigerator/freezer was purchased (LGC25776SW) to test its linear compressor. This refrigerator also provides the additional feature of capacity control which means the compressor remains operational for longer when the refrigerator cycles on. This feature will be utilized later in the chapter when estimating the compressor stroke. This chapter details modifications made to the comprehensive linear compressor model presented in Chapter 2 as well as the experimental testing of the commercial linear compressor. Finally, the modified comprehensive linear compressor model results are compared with the experimental results from the commercial linear compressor. The chapter begins by examining the compressor design and operating mechanism.

6.1 Description of Commercial Linear Compressor

The commercial linear compressor is a hermetic compressor used to operate a domestic refrigerator/freezer. The operating mechanism is the same as presented in previous chapters with refinements for cost and/or performance benefit. Figure 6.1 shows a full schematic diagram of the commercial linear compressor. This diagram highlights the major components of the commercial linear compressor including the linear motor, piston, suction muffler, discharge valve, and discharge muffler. Figure 6.2 shows a detailed view of the rear of the compressor with the shell removed and highlights the location of the suction muffler, mechanical compression springs, and mechanical spring retainers.



Figure 6.1. Schematic diagram of a commercial linear compressor (LG) highlighting the major components of the design and flow of refrigerant through the compressor.

The linear compressor operates with a low-side shell, meaning the hermetic shell is pressurized at the suction pressure. The suction gas from the refrigerator/freezer evaporator empties directly into the compressor shell. This generates some gas movement within the shell which keeps the motor cool. The suction gas travels from the shell of the compressor into the suction muffler, then into the center of the piston. As shown in Figure 6.1, the suction gas travels from the center of the piston and exits at



Compression Springs

Figure 6.2. Rear assembly of commercial (LG) linear compressor, with hermetic shell removed, showing the array of mechanical springs and the entrance to the compressor suction muffler.

the end of the piston where it passes through the suction ports via the suction reed valve.

Figure 6.3 shows the piston within the compressor cylinder. The suction reed valve is clearly shown as well as outlines for the three suction ports. As gas passes through the suction ports and past the valves it travels into the compression chamber, shown in Figure 6.1. Figure 6.3 presents a view looking into the compression chamber.

Once compressed, gas pushes the discharge valve out of the way and enters a series of two discharge mufflers. Figure 6.4 shows where the gas exits the cylinder and enters the discharge muffler past the discharge valve. In this figure, the discharge assembly



Figure 6.3. View of commercial linear compressor piston within the cylinder showing the suction valve.

has been removed from the cylinder head to display the discharge valve and piston cylinder. The gas then travels from the first discharge muffler into a second and finally into a discharge tube. This discharge tube takes a serpentine path from the second discharge muffler toward the rear of the compressor and exits the compressor shell near the suction line entrance. Figure 6.4 also shows part of the linear motor assembly. The darker pieces on the motor in the figure are the linear motor laminations which cover the copper coils. These laminations, which help generate the electromagnetic field, come in close proximity to the permanent magnet assembly shown attached to the piston in Figure 6.1.



Discharge Valve Discharge Muffler Linear Motor

Figure 6.4. Overall view of the commercial linear compressor with the discharge valve and muffler assembly removed.

6.1.1 Measurement of Compressor Geometry and Other Comprehensive Compressor Model Inputs

During dis-assembly many key dimensions of the commercial linear compressor were gathered. These included the dimensions of the compressor piston, cylinder, and valves. Additionally, the masses of the compression springs, piston assembly, and discharge valve were measured. Table 6.1 shows the results of the measurements with reference to Figure 6.5 which shows the compressor piston with key dimensions highlighted. The linear dimensions were collected using a Mitutoyo 500-341 digital caliper and mass measurements were made using a Acculab SV-30 digital scale.

The mechanical spring rate could not be measured directly; thus an experimental method for measuring the mechanical spring rate of the compression springs was developed. Figure 6.6 shows the test apparatus which is composed of one of the



Figure 6.5. Schematic diagrams of commercial linear compressor piston with key geometric dimensions highlighted.

compressor spring retainer plates from the commercial linear compressor with 4 compression springs attached and pressed against a steel tabletop.

Lead weights were incrementally added to the apparatus to add force to the compression springs. At each incremental amount of load the height between the apparatus and the steel table was measured. Figure 6.7 shows the results of this experiment as a plot showing applied load to the springs as a function of the change in height.

Measurement	Value	Unit
D_p	2.6505(1.0435)	cm (in)
L_p	7.9629(3.135)	cm (in)
$L_{p,h}$	1.4478(0.5700)	cm~(in)
$L_{p,f}$	0.6375(0.2510)	cm~(in)
$D_{p,2}$	2.6378(1.0385)	cm~(in)
$D_{p,3}$	2.6518(1.0440)	cm~(in)
D_{shaft}	2.6212(1.0320)	cm~(in)
$D_{s,v}$	0.5207(0.2050)	cm~(in)
$D_{d,v}$	2.9489(1.1610)	cm~(in)
$L_{s,v}$	1.8491(0.7280)	cm~(in)
$h_{s,v}$	0.1778(7.0)	mm~(thou)
M_{piston}	0.632(1.39)	kg~(lbm)
$M_{springs}$	0.164(0.362)	kg~(lbm)
$M_{d,v}$	4(0.009)	g~(lbm)
k_{mech}	$58.66\ (335)$	$^{N}/_{mm}~\left(^{lbf}/_{in} ight)$
g	1(0.04)	$\mu m \ (thou)$

Table 6.1. List of measured quantities from the commercial linear compressor referencing the nomenclature in Figure 6.5



Figure 6.6. Test apparatus used to measure stiffness of mechanical springs in commercial linear compressor.

This figure shows that as the load is increased, the change in height of the springs decreases linearly. The slope of this curve describes the stiffness of the 4 compression



Figure 6.7. Load as a function of the change of displacement for four compression springs from the commercial linear compressor.

springs (29.33 $^{N}/_{mm}$). However, the commercial linear compressor utilized 2 opposing sets of 4 compression springs for a total of 8 compression springs in parallel, which means the total stiffness of the commercial linear compressor is double the value measured by considering only four springs (58.99 $^{N}/_{mm}$).

During dis-assembly of the compressor, it was also determined that the compressor utilized 160 mL of a synthetic refrigerant oil, which was drained from the compressor oil sump and measured for volume. While the type of oil is unknown, a typical pairing with R134a refrigerant is a POE oil of viscosity grade (ISO-VG) of ISO 32 or 68. Therefore, POE ISO 68 oil is assumed to be the lubricating medium in the remainder of this study.

6.2 Linear Compressor Model Modifications

The comprehensive model developed in Chapter 2 required some minor modifications to better represent the commercial linear compressor tested. In particular, since the commercial compressor utilized oil for lubrication a modification to the friction sub-model within the vibration sub-model is required. The geometry parameters measured in the previous section are also quite different compared with the prototype compressor, so these input parameters had to be modified. The latter set of changes did not require the modification of any of the governing equations.

6.2.1 Thin Film Friction Model

The friction modeling approach utilized is based on the shear force generated between two flat plates sliding past each other with a thin oil film between them. Beginning with the Navier-Stokes equations (*i.e.*, momentum equations) and assuming that the motion of the piston dominates the expression such that $\frac{dP}{dx} = 0$, a velocity profile of the oil film between the piston and cylinder can be modeled as:

$$\mathbf{V}_o = \dot{x}_p \left(\frac{z}{g}\right) \tag{6.1}$$

where a constant leakage gap, g is assumed and z is the vertical distance between the piston and cylinder. An expression for the viscous shear stress between the piston and cylinder is then obtained as:

$$\tau_{zx}|_{z=g} = \left(\mu_o \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_o}{\partial z}\right)_{z=g} = \frac{\mu_o \dot{x}_p}{g}.$$
(6.2)

The force required to move the piston through the cylinder due to shear stress is given by:

$$F_{shear} = \int_{0}^{A} \tau_{zx}|_{z=g} \, dA = \frac{\mu_o \dot{x}_{p,avg} A_p}{g}.$$
 (6.3)

The frictional power is then calculated as:

$$\dot{W}_{friction,o} = F_{shear} \dot{x}_{p,avg}.$$
 (6.4)

Using the same technique as in Section 2.5 the damping associated with the friction of the oil film is recast in terms of an effective damping as follows:

$$c_{friction,o} = \frac{4F_{shear}}{\pi\omega x_p}.$$
(6.5)

Oil viscosity changes in inverse proportionality to temperature with a negligible dependence on pressure (Kim, 2005). Kauzlarich (1998) developed expressions for density ρ , and kinematic viscosity $\mu\rho$, for five classes of lubricants. These lubricant families were paraffines, maphthenics, PFPE, diesters, and polyol esters (*i.e.*, POE lubricants). For POE lubricants the relationships are as follows:

$$\mu_o \rho_o = \left\{ 0.0400 \exp\left[\frac{473.9}{T_o + 123.7}\right] \right\} VG^{\left\{0.2097 \exp\left[\frac{458.4}{T_o + 240.5}\right]\right\}}.$$
(6.6)

where the oil density ρ is equal to,

$$\rho_o = (0.8753 - 0.00062T_o) V G^{0.0374}. \tag{6.7}$$

VG is the ISO-VG number, ρ_o is the oil density in ${}^{kg}/{}_{m^3}$, T_o is the oil temperature in ${}^{\circ}C$ and μ_o is the oil viscosity in cP. These expressions have an accuracy of $\pm 5\%$ at reference temperatures of $40^{\circ}C$ and $100^{\circ}C$ at atmospheric pressure.

6.2.2 Model Components

After modification, the comprehensive linear compressor was split into three components. First, the resonant frequency model is utilized to calculate the stroke of the linear compressor. Next, assuming a sinusoidal piston motion using this calculated stroke the mass flow and leakage is calculated using the compression process
equations. Finally, to calculate the power required to operate the compressor the information from the first two steps is passed to the vibration sub-model.

The necessity for treatment in three components stems from some of the differences in operating conditions and geometry of the commercial linear compressor compared with the prototype compressor developed for electronics cooling. Recall from Equation (2.21) that the gas stiffness during the compression process is proportional to the difference in pressure across the piston as well as the piston area. The commercial linear compressor operates with much higher pressure differences across the piston and has a larger piston area. As a result, the gas stiffness in the commercial linear compressor is significantly higher than that in the prototype compressor. In fact, the gas stiffness is large enough to be of the same order of magnitude as the mechanical stiffness. This causes numerical instability in the model, as the highly non-linear nature of the gas stiffness and damping, as described in Section 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, generates even more extreme changes in piston dynamics as a function of stroke. In addition, the coupling between the compression process equations and the vibration model presents an additional level of nonlinearity that is amplified due to the differences between the prototype and commercial linear compressor. For example, as the stroke increases from rest, the mean pressure in the piston increases and thus the piston drift, as described in Section 5.4, increases. As the drift increases the dead volume increases, which reduces the mean cylinder pressure and the drift. This coupling behavior poses a challenge to model convergence for many operating points and impossible for others. To avoid this, the vibration model is decoupled from the comprehensive compressor model. While this limits the ability of the model to characterize peculiar performance behavior, such as drift, it does not impact the ability of the modeling approach to predict overall performance metrics.

6.2.3 Stroke Calculation

The first step in the comprehensive compressor model presented in Chapter 2 and modified in Chapter 3 involves the calculation of the resonant frequency based on the input stroke to the device and a linearization of the stiffness and damping in the linear compressor piston. The operating stroke of the commercial linear compressor could not be measured, as this would have required opening the hermetic shell and likely destroying the compressor. However, the frequency delivered to the device was measured at each operating point to be 60 Hz. The model presented in Section 3.2.1 to calculate resonant frequency depends on the stroke input and the operating conditions. Since the experimentally obtained operating conditions are known along with the driving frequency, this model can be used in reverse to estimate the compressor stroke. This assumes that the commercial linear compressor is controlled in such a way as to ensure that the compressor operates at or close to a resonant frequency at all operating conditions.

Using Equation (3.4) and inputs from Equations (3.5) through (3.9) a secantmethod numerical routine is used to calculate the required stroke by first guessing stroke values and adjusting the guess of the next step until the appropriate resonant frequency is calculated. Figure 6.8 shows the calculated stroke using the method described as a function of compressor pressure ratio.

Comparing the calculated results to the experimental mass flow measurements, some observations can be made. Generally, as the pressure ratio decreases the compressor responds with a lower mass flow rate. However, at a pressure ratio of about 5 and below, the mass flow remained relatively constant or even increased. Therefore, it may be concluded that there is some change in the feedback control that maintains the stroke in the refrigerator. Similar results were noticed at the highest recorded pressure ratios as the mass flow began to decrease as the pressure ratio increased. Therefore, an assumption is made that the stroke is controlled between two extreme values, a low value of roughly 0.57 cm and a maximum value of roughly 1.55 cm.



Figure 6.8. Estimated stroke of the commercial linear compressor as predicted by resonant frequency model (left) and the experimental mass flow rate as a function of pressure ratio (right).

6.2.4 Comprehensive Compressor Model

Using the calculated stroke from the previous section the next step in the model utilizes the comprehensive compressor model. This operates just as outlined in Chapter 2 with one exception, the vibration model is replaced with a simple geometry model. This model will represent the piston motion as a sinusoidal driving force,

$$x_p = x_{stroke} \cos(\omega t). \tag{6.8}$$

This modification to the comprehensive compressor model algorithm, which is shown in Figure 6.9. The leakage gap, valve, and piston dimensions were utilized as inputs to this model. This model returns mass flow, leakage, temperature, and pressure as key output information. This information is then passed into the vibration model.



Figure 6.9. Modified computational algorithms of comprehensive linear compressor model with a simple geometry model.

6.2.5 Vibration Model

Using the inputs passed from the comprehensive compressor model with a simple geometric model for the piston motion, the vibration model is called. Figure 6.10 shows the overall modified model flowchart. The vibration model is now uncoupled from the compression process equations. This eliminates the nonlinearities associated with the coupling of these equations (i.e., drift) which allows for a more robust operation of the comprehensive model.

Using the vibration model, as before, the force required to drive the piston at the input stroke is calculated. Using this information the power required to operate the compressor is calculated as:

$$\dot{W}_{in} = \left(F_{drive}\dot{x}_p\right)_{rms} + \dot{Q}_{motor}.$$
(6.9)



Figure 6.10. Model flowchart for comprehensive model of a linear compressor applied to a commercial linear compressor.

6.3 Modification of Domestic Refrigerator/Freezer for Testing of a Commercial Linear Compressor

A domestic refrigerator/freezer was purchased for testing of the enclosed commercial linear compressor. This refrigerator/freezer (P/N: LGC25776SW) has a unique feature of capacity control. This is accomplished by adjusting the compressor stroke by varying the input voltage to the device. While the feature is useful for the product, it poses additional difficulty for testing the commercial linear compressor as removing the linear compressor from the refrigerator requires intimate knowledge of the controllers operating the device. Therefore, the refrigerator/freezer was instead placed into an environmental chamber. Figure 6.11 shows a schematic diagram of the modifications to the refrigerator within the environmental chamber.



Figure 6.11. Schematic diagram of modified domestic refrigerator/freezer used to test a commercial linear compressor in an environmental chamber.

The environmental chamber is used to control the pressure ratio across the compressor by controlling the condenser temperature. A heater was also placed in the freezer of the refrigerator to act as a fixed load. This served to extend compressor cycles and aid in steady-state data acquisition. The actual refrigeration cycle was modified with additional sensors including thermocouples at the suction and discharge of the linear compressor, one to measure ambient conditions and three to measure surface temperatures on the linear compressor shell. Pressure is measured at the compressor suction and discharge of the linear compressor. Finally, a mass flow meter is placed in the discharge line between the linear compressor and the condenser coil in addition to sight glasses on either side of the evaporator coil. Linear compressor and heater power were measured with power analyzers and the compressor frequency with an oscilloscope. Table 6.2 gives the product, part numbers, and absolute uncertainty associated with each measurement used in this experiment.

Measurement	Device	Uncertainty
Temperature	T-Type Thermocouple	$0.2 \mathrm{K}$
Pressure	Omega Model PX176	4 kPa
Mass Flow Refrigerant	MicroMotion Model CMF010	0.35%
Compressor Power	Valhallah 2100 Power Analyzer	0.20%
Compressor Frequency	Textronix TPS 2024	0.50%
Heater Power	Kill A Watt P4400	3.00%

Table 6.2. Experimental measurement devices used for testing commercial linear compressor and their uncertainty values.

6.3.1 Refrigerator/Freezer Operation

The modified refrigerator/freezer operated in a cyclic fashion. Figure 6.12 shows the freezer temperature changing with time for a typical set of operating conditions the freezer heater powered off. This behavior is expected, and would be similar to any refrigerator/freezer one would purchase.



Figure 6.12. Freezer temperature over time for a typical operation of the domestic refrigerator/freezer.

At time equal to zero, the refrigerator/freezer is operating in the initial cool down period after first being turned on (*i.e.*, pull down). The freezer temperature pulls down to about -19 °C and then a discontinuity occurs which represents a change in the capacity of the compressor. This change in capacity represents an increase in stroke and subsequent increase in mass flow rate. The increase in mass flow rate allows the temperature of the freezer to be pulled down further until it reaches roughly -27°C. As it begins to stabilize at the lowest temperature, the compressor reduces stroke (and capacity) again and the freezer temperature jumps back to roughly -23 °C. Finally, when temperature is nearly steady at this condition the compressor is shut down when the freezer temperature jumps to up to roughly -18 °C. The refrigerator/freezer controller then detects that this is too warm and the compressor turns back on and the freezer begins to cool again. This cycle then continues with the freezer temperature 'settling in' at various temperatures as intermediate steps. Utilizing the heater in the freezer the period of these cycles could be somewhat extended to allow the compressor to achieve a performance closer to steady state.

6.3.2 Testing Conditions

The compressor was tested using three heater power levels and ambient temperatures ranging from 6 °C to 39 °C. Tables 6.3 and 6.4 show the results of 10 sets data points collected from the modified refrigerator/freezer.

Run	T_{suc}	T_{dis}	T_{ref}	T_{freeze}	$T_{shell,1}$	$T_{shell,2}$	$T_{shell,3}$	T_{amb}
—	$^{\circ}C$	$^{\circ}C$	$^{\circ}C$	$^{\circ}C$	$^{\circ}C$	$^{\circ}C$	$^{\circ}C$	$^{\circ}C$
1	8.08	26.51	4.79	-4.42	16.78	19.79	18.23	6.45
2	5.80	33.36	4.68	-6.09	21.80	25.87	25.14	12.90
3	9.03	29.73	2.61	-8.66	19.74	23.49	22.48	10.16
4	-0.13	29.33	1.94	-11.68	18.55	22.84	21.88	10.21
5	17.84	61.84	1.25	-4.97	41.59	48.30	48.50	29.51
6	18.52	66.37	2.85	-5.88	44.90	52.14	52.58	33.48
7	27.52	74.99	1.18	-4.81	51.84	59.63	60.17	38.42
8	30.82	82.92	2.45	-5.07	58.12	66.51	67.51	43.32
9	13.24	48.33	1.28	-5.14	31.30	36.77	35.75	19.10
10	-0.93	26.97	1.85	-19.37	18.34	22.26	21.90	10.99

Table 6.3. Measured temperatures from testing of the commercial linear compressor.

6.3.3 Validation of the Commercial Linear Compressor Model Predictions

The refinement of the commercial linear compressor required special attention to certain features that were not present in the prototype compressor. This includes a variation of motor efficiency with operating condition as well as the additional superheating of the suction gas as it moves from the shell entrance to the compression chamber. Utilizing these considerations the modified comprehensive linear compressor

Run	P_{suc}	P_{dis}	PR	\dot{m}	\dot{W}_{in}	V	Ι	\dot{W}_{heater}	$\eta_{o,is}$
_	kPa	kPa	—	$^{g}/_{s}$	W	V	A	W	[-]
1	111.81	463.70	4.15	0.959	62.15	167.80	0.684	151	0.517
2	112.38	564.75	5.03	1.041	62.20	167.80	0.684	151	0.635
3	108.90	500.27	4.59	0.923	55.82	172.60	0.663	150	0.599
4	109.66	499.63	4.56	0.931	56.09	172.47	0.663	99	0.576
5	125.47	910.96	7.26	1.487	112.30	227.28	0.875	150	0.650
6	123.23	1017.05	8.25	1.520	123.12	229.91	0.936	150	0.650
7	123.77	1165.43	9.42	1.570	138.41	253.91	1.032	150	0.658
8	124.02	1315.35	10.61	1.528	152.13	268.41	1.129	150	0.623
9	132.31	667.89	5.05	1.404	87.38	201.03	0.763	150	0.624
10	93.28	490.33	5.26	0.712	47.46	165.66	0.636	51	0.575

Table 6.4. Additional experimental results from testing of a commercial linear compressor.

model is applied and the predicted results for mass flow, power, and overall isentropic efficiency are presented.

Motor Efficiency

The commercial linear compressor tested has undergone much refinement and optimization, which is clear from the construction of the device. The linear motor is also expected to operate at near optimum efficiency at its Energy Star rated operating conditions (70 $^{\circ}F$, with periodic door openings) (Energy Star, 2007).

Therefore, it is assumed that as the environmental temperature increases, the motor efficiency will decrease as shown by several studies on linear motors applied to linear compressors (Redlich et al., 1996; Redlich, 1995). As such, the motor is assumed to be 95% efficient at its rated conditions with an efficiency that decreases linearly to 60% at 110 $^{\circ}F$ environmental conditions.

An additional consideration for the modeling of the commercial linear compressor is the heat interactions within the compressor shell. Figure 6.13 shows the serpentine path taken by the discharge line going from the compressor discharge muffler to the exit of the compressor shell.



Figure 6.13. Global view of commercial linear compressor highlighting the heat transfer from the discharge tube into the compressor shell.

Along this path the discharge line is surrounded by refrigerant vapor at suction conditions. Figure 6.14 shows the measured discharge, average shell, and suction temperatures as well as an adjusted suction temperature. The difference between measured suction and discharge temperature ranges from roughly 20 °C to 50 °C. Since both the suction and discharge measurements are taken outside the compressor shell it can be assumed that the temperature in the discharge line within the compressor is significantly higher and cools slightly due to heat transfer to the incoming suction gas. This is visualized in Figure 6.13 which shows the transfer of heat from the discharge line.



Figure 6.14. Experimental suction, discharge, and shell temperatures along with the modified suction temperatures as a function of pressure ratio.

Examining Figure 6.14 it can first be concluded that the actual temperature of the gas entering the compression chamber is between the measured inlet temperature T_{suc} and the measured discharge temperature T_{dis} . In addition, the average shell temperature T_{shell} , is also between the suction and discharge. Since the shell temperature will also likely be slightly warmer than the suction gas entering the compressor, a rough assumption was made that the suction gas entering the compression chamber $T_{suc,adj}$ is given by:

$$T_{suc,adj} = T_{shell} - 10^{\circ}C. \tag{6.10}$$

While this approach is simplistic and relies on experimental data, the goal of the study is to validate the comprehensive modeling approach against a commercially available linear compressor. In contrast, if the goal is to accurately predict the performance of the commercial linear compressor further rigor would be needed to incorporate additional sub-models for the heat interaction within the compressor shell.

Validation Results

Figure 6.15 shows a plot comparing the simulated mass flow rate compared with the experimental mass flow rate. The Mean Absolute Error (MAE) for these results is 4.9% with all points falling within \pm 8% of the experimental value. The lowest mass flow rates correspond with the data points at lower pressure ratios and have mass flow rates which are generally underpredicted. In contrast, the mass flow rates at the highest pressure ratios tend to be overpredicted. Figure 6.16 shows a plot of the simulated input power compared with the experimental input power. The MAE of this fit is 6.1% with all points falling within roughly 18%. Unlike the mass flow rate fit the power input does not display any distinct trends as most points are scattered about the parity line. Figure 6.17 shows a plot of the simulated overall isentropic efficiency, as defined in Equation (4.2), compared with experimentally obtained values. The MAE of these results is 5.2% with all points falling within \pm 12%. The outlier points in this diagram represent points where the error from the previous fits overlapped. In other words, the point with the over predicted overall isentropic efficiency has an under predicted power input and an over predicted mass flow rate.

6.4 Commercial Linear Compressor Summary

A description, model modifications, and experimental validation of a commercial linear compressor is presented in this chapter. This chapter highlighted the unique capacity control operation of the commercial linear compressor. The comprehensive linear compressor model was modified and utilized to analyze the commercial linear compressor. These modifications are: the addition of a thin-film friction model for



Figure 6.15. Simulated compared against experimental mass flow rate for a commercial linear compressor (measurement error within marker width).

the friction interaction between the piston and cylinder and a restructuring of the model algorithm in an effort to improve model robustness.

The domestic refrigerator/freezer that contained the commercial linear compressor was utilized as a test platform for the commercial linear compressor. This test platform was placed in an environmental chamber and the compressor loaded by changing the environmental temperature. The test results were compared with model predictions and it was found that the mass flow and compressor input power matched the experimental results within 4.9 and 6.1% MAE, respectively. The mass flow displayed a trend which tended to under predict the mass flow at lower pressure ratios and over predict at higher. The compressor input power is predicted less accurately but with-



Figure 6.16. Simulated compared against experimental input power for a commercial linear compressor (measurement error within marker width).

out a specific trend. The overall isentropic efficiency is predicted to within 5.2% and shows a reasonable distribution.

This study has shown that a comprehensive approach can indeed be used for predicting the performance of a commercial linear compressor. In combination with the previous validation of the prototype linear compressor in Chapter 4, this shows that the general approach for modeling linear compressors is strong as relatively few modifications to the model presented in Chapter 2 were needed to represent the commercial linear compressor.



Figure 6.17. Simulated compared against experimental overall isentropic efficiency for a commercial linear compressor.

CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Conclusions

Linear compressor technology is reviewed with a particular focus on an electronics cooling application. The need for active cooling solutions for electronics to handle the increasing heat demands serves as motivation for the development of vapor compression refrigeration for electronics cooling employing linear compressors.

A comprehensive model of a miniature-scale linear compressor is presented. This model includes a limited number of assumptions which provides potential for this approach to be applied to any positive displacement device. It also includes sub-models for piston dynamics, valves, leakage, and heat transfer. The nonlinear dynamics of the linear compressor piston presented a unique set of challenges. These challenges necessitated revisions to the comprehensive modeling approach, specific to a linear compressor, which allowed for a more robust overall model. These revisions included the ability of the compressor frequency to change between iterations as well as the decoupling of the vibration model from the compression process equations.

The modeling approach was validated using two separate linear compressors. One linear compressor was custom-built for this work and designed for an electronics cooling application. The other is a commercially available linear compressor used in a domestic refrigerator/freezer. While the two compressors were designed for very different applications, the same modeling techniques were applied successfully to predict the performance of both linear compressors. This provides confirmation for the techniques applied specifically to the linear compressors, but also additional confirmation for the validity of the general comprehensive modeling approach.

This model was exercised to determine the important geometric parameters when designing a linear compressor. It was discovered that the linear compressor is highly sensitive to the leakage gap between the piston and cylinder and moderately sensitive to the changes in frictional parameters such as spring eccentricity. Changes to the piston geometry show that as the displaced volume of the compressor decreases, the performance tends to improve. This means that linear compressor technology would scale well to smaller scales, which is appealing for electronics cooling. The linear compressor also displays some interesting characteristics that set it apart from other compressor technologies. One of its more useful characteristics is its ability for providing capacity control. Compared with a reciprocating compressor a linear compressor has a much stronger ability to control capacity by varying its piston stroke. It has also been shown that doing so results in very little degradation in performance over a wide range of cooling capacities for a given compressor.

A revised linear compressor design is presented to meet the increasing cooling needs for high power electronics. This design represents a collection of lessons learnt that resulted in a design that is efficient, small, and powerful. This shows that the linear compressor provides a promising solution for electronics cooling applications. However, implementation in real applications needs further commercial development.

7.2 Recommendations

Several areas related to linear compressors, compressor modeling, and electronics cooling should be investigated further, as discussed below.

Linear compressors require the following investigations:

- An investigation of appropriate spring types to minimize compressor piston side-loading.
- Further investigation into the performance benefits of energy recovery within linear compressors.
- An investigation into a double-sided compressor/expander which utilizes the energy recovery mechanism in a linear compressor.

• An investigation into the control strategy of a linear compressor and how it can be done to minimize parasitic power draw.

The comprehensive compressor modeling approach requires specific attention with investigations in the following areas:

- An investigation into improvements of mass flow and leakage modeling which incorporate multiple fluid phases/types.
- Numerical techniques for improving computational speed.

Finally, with respect to electronics cooling the following areas should be further investigated:

- A reduced system-level package size of a vapor compression refrigeration system for electronics cooling.
- An application-based study to determine the appropriate compressor technology for a variety of electronics cooling applications.
- Further investigation into the impact of oil on microchannel heat transfer performance.

LIST OF REFERENCES

LIST OF REFERENCES

Energy Star program requirements for residential refrigerators and/or freezers, August 2007.

allorings.com. O-ring gland design charts. Internet, March 2011.

I. H. Bell. Theoretical and Experimental Analysis of Liquid Flooded Compression in Scroll Compressors. PhD thesis, Purdue University, 2011.

S. Bertsch, E.A. Groll, and S.V. Garimella. Review and comparative analysis of studies on saturated flow boiling in small channels. *Nanoscale Microscale Thermophys. Eng*, 12(3):187–227, 2008a.

S.S. Bertsch, E.A. Groll, and S.V. Garimella. Refrigerant flow boiling heat transfer in parallel microchannels as a function of local vapor quality. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 51(19-20):4775–4787, 2008b.

R.P. Brent. An algorithm with guaranteed convergence for finding a zero of a function. *The Computer Journal*, 14(4):422–425, 1971.

R.V. Cadman and R. Cohen. Electrodynamic oscillating compressors: Part 1 Design based on linearized loads. ASME J. Basic Eng, December:656–663, 1969a.

R.V. Cadman and R. Cohen. Electrodynamic oscillating compressors: Part 2 Evaluation of specific designs for gas load. *ASME J. Basic Eng.*, December:664–670, 1969b.

Y. Chen, N. P. Halm, J. E. Braun, and E. A. Groll. Mathematical modeling of scroll compressors - part ii: Overall scroll compressor modeling. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 25(6):751–764, 2002a.

Y. Chen, N. P. Halm, E. A. Groll, and J. E. Braun. Mathematical modeling of scroll compressors - part i: Compression process modeling. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 25(6):731–750, 2002b.

V. Chiriac and F. Chiriac. An overview and comparison of various refrigeration methods for microelectronics cooling. In *Thermal and Thermomechanical Phenomena in Electronic Systems, 2008. ITHERM 2008. 11th Intersociety conference on*, pages 618–625, 2008.

G.S. Choe and K.J. Kim. Theoretical and experimental analysis of nonlinear dynamics in a linear compressor. *Journal of vibration and acoustics*, 124(1):152–154, 2002.

L. Cremaschi, E. A. Groll, and S. V. Garimella. Performance potential and challenges of future refrigeration-based electronics cooling approaches. In *THERMES 2007: Thermal Challenges in Next Generation Electronic Systems*, pages 119–128, 2007.

V. Dagilis and L. Vaitkus. Parametric analysis of hermetic refrigeration compressors. *Mechanika*, 6(80):23–29, 2009.

G.F. Davies, I.W. Eames, P. Bailey, M.W. Dadd, A. Janiszewski, R. Stone, G.G. Maidment, and B. Agnew. Cooling microprocessors using vapor compression refrigeration. In *Thermal and Thermomechanical Phenomena in Electronic Systems* (*ITherm*), 2010 12th IEEE Intersociety Conference on, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2010.

F. Fagotti and A.T. Prata. A new correlation for instantaneous heat transfer between gas and cylinder in reciprocating compressors. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, pages 871–876, 1998.

R.W. Fox, A.T. McDonald, and P.J. Pritchard. *Introduction to Fluid Mechanics*. John Wiley and Sons, 6th. edition, 2004.

T. Harirchian and S. V. Garimella. A comprehensive flow regime map for microchannel flow boiling with quantitative transition criteria. *International Journal of Heat* and Mass Transfer, 53(1314):2694 – 2702, 2010. ISSN 0017-9310.

T. Harirchian and S. V. Garimella. Flow regime-based modeling of heat transfer and pressure drop in microchannel flow boiling. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 55(4):1246 – 1260, 2012. ISSN 0017-9310.

R. Hellinger and P. Mnich. Linear motor-powered transportation: History, present status, and future outlook. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 97(11):1892–1900, 2009.

A. Heydari. Miniature vapor compression refrigeration systems for active cooling of high performance computers. In *Thermal and Thermomechanical Phenomena in Electronic Systems, 2002. ITHERM 2002. The Eighth Intersociety Conference on*, pages 371–378, 2002.

R. Hilpert. Wärmeabgabe von geheizten Drähten und Rohren im Luftstrom (Heat transfer from heated wires and pipes in the air). *Forsch. Geb. Ingenieurwes.*, 4:215, 1933.

B. Hubacher, E.A. Groll, and C. Hoffinger. Performance measurements of a semihermetic carbon dioxide compressor. In *Proceedings of the International Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN USA*, number R11-10, 2002.

The Math Works Inc. Matlab, 2010a.

M.E. Jovane. *Modeling and analysis of a novel rotary compressor*. PhD thesis, Purdue University, 2007.

J. J. Kauzlarich. Viscosity times density equations for five classes of lubricants. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology, 212 no. 3:235–239, 1998.

H. Kim, C. Roh, J. Kim, J. Shin, Y. Hwang, and J. Lee. An experimental and numerical study on dynamic characteristic of linear compressor in refrigeration system. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 32(7):1536–1543, 2009.

J.H. Kim. Analysis of a bowtie compressor with novel capacity modulation. PhD thesis, Purdue University, 2005.

J.H. Kim and E.A. Groll. Feasibility study of a bowtie compressor with novel capacity modulation. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 30(8):1427–1438, 2007.

M.H. Kim and C.W. Bullard. Thermal performance analysis of small hermetic refrigeration and air-conditioning, compressors. *JSME International Journal Series B-Fluids and Thermal Engineering*, 45(4):857–864, NOV 2002. ISSN 1340-8054.

W.J. Kim and B.C. Murphy. Development of a novel direct-drive tubular linear brushless permanent-magnet motor. *International Journal of Control Automation and Systems*, 2:279–288, 2004.

D.Y. Koh, Y.J. Hong, S.J. Park, H.B. Kim, and K.S. Lee. A study on the linear compressor characteristics of the Stirling cryocooler. *Cryogenics*, 42(6-7):427–432, 2002.

S. Krishnan, S.V. Garimella, G.M. Chrysler, and R.V. Mahajan. Towards a thermal moore's law. *IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging*, 30(3):462–474, 2007.

H. Lee, S.S. Jeong, C.W. Lee, and H.K. Lee. Linear compressor for air-conditioner. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, number C047, 2004.

H. Lee, S. Ki, S. Jung, and W. Rhee. The innovative green technology for refrigerators-development of innovative linear compressor. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, number 1419, 2008.

H.K. Lee, J.T. Heo, G.Y. Song, K.B. Park, S.Y. Hyeon, and Y.H. Jeon. Loss analysis of linear compressor. In *Compressors and their Systems: 7th International Conference*, page 305, 2001.

J. Lee and I. Mudawar. Low-temperature two-phase microchannel cooling for highheat-flux thermal management of defense electronics. *IEEE Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies*, 32(2):453 – 465, 2009.

J. B. Marcinichen, J. R. Thome, and B. Michel. Cooling of microprocessors with micro-evaporation: A novel two-phase cooling cycle. *International Journal of Re-frigeration*, 33(7):1264 – 1276, 2010.

M. Mathison. Modeling and Evaluation of Advanced Compression Techniques for Vapor Compression Equipment. PhD thesis, Purdue University, 2011.

M.M. Mathison, J.E. Braun, and E.A. Groll. Modeling of a two-stage rotary compressor. *HVAC&R Research*, 14(5):719–748, 2008.

C. Minas. Nonlinear dynamics of an oilless linear drive reciprocating compressor. Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, Transactions of the ASME, 116(1):79-84, 1994.

R. Mongia, K. Masahiro, E. DiStefano, J. Barry, W. Chen, M. Izenson, F. Possamai, A. Zimmermann, and M. Mochizuki. Small scale refrigeration system for electronics cooling within a notebook computer. In *Thermal and Thermomechanical Phenomena* in Electronics Systems, 2006. ITHERM'06. The Tenth Intersociety Conference on, pages 751–758, 2006.

G.E. Moore. Cramming more components onto integrated circuits, Electronics. *April*, 19:114–117, 1965.

M.J. Moran and H.N Shapiro. *Fundamentals of Engineering Thermodynamics*. John Wiley and Sons, 5th. edition, 2004.

E. Navarro, E. Granryd, J. F. Urchueguia, and J. M. Corberan. A phenomenological model for analyzing reciprocating compressors. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 30(7):1254–1265, 2007.

A.G. Agwu Nnanna. Application of refrigeration system in electronics cooling. Applied Thermal Engineering, 26(1):18 - 27, 2006.

K. T. Ooi and T. N. Wong. A computer simulation of a rotary compressor for household refrigerators. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 17(1):65–78, 1997.

K. Park, E. Hong, K. Choi, and W. Jung. Linear compressor without position controller. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference*, *Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, 2004.

P.E. Phelan, V.A. Chiriac, and T. Tom Lee. Current and future miniature refrigeration cooling technologies for high power microelectronics. *IEEE Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies*, 25(3):356 – 365, 2002.

E. Pollak, W. Soedel, R. Cohen, and F.J. Friedlaender. On the resonance and operational behavior of an oscillating electrodynamic compressor. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 67:121–133, 1979.

F.C Possamai, Lilie D.E.B., A.P. Zummermann, and R.K. Mongia. Miniature vapor compression system. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, number 2932, 2008.

S.S. Rao. Mechanical Vibrations. Prentice Hall, 4th edition, 2004.

R. Redlich. A summary of twenty years experience with linear motors and alternators. In *LDIA*, 1995.

R. Redlich, R. Unger, and N. Van der Walt. Linear motors: motor configuration, modulation and systems. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, number 68, 1996.

J. Rigola, C.D. Perez-Segarra, and A. Oliva. Parametric studies on hermetic reciprocating compressors. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 28(2):253–266, 2005.

A.A. Sathe. *Miniature-scale diaphragm compressor for electronics cooling*. PhD thesis, Purdue University, 2008.

A.A. Sathe, E.A. Groll, and S.V. Garimella. Experimental evaluation of a miniature rotary compressor for application in electronics cooling. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, number 1115, 2008.

R. Tillner-Roth and H.D. Baehr. An international standard formulation for the thermodynamic properties of 1, 1, 1, 2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134a) for temperatures from 170 k to 455 k and pressures up to 70 mpa. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, 23:657, 1994. S. Trutassanawin, E. A. Groll, S. V. Garimella, and L. Cremaschi. Experimental investigation of a miniature-scale refrigeration system for electronics cooling. *IEEE Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies*, 29(3):678, 2006.

R. Unger. Development and testing of a linear compressor sized for the european market. In *In Proceedings at the International Appliance Technology Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN*, number 74, 1999.

R. Unger and S. Novotny. A high performance linear compressor for cpu cooling. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, number C23-3, 2002.

R.Z. Unger. Linear compressors for clean and specialty gases. In *Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA*, volume 1, page 51, 1998.

N.R. Van der Walt and R. Unger. Linear compressors-a maturing technology. In Proceedings of the International Compressor Engineering Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA, pages 239–246, 1994.

M. Xia and X. Chen. Analysis of resonant frequency of moving magnet linear compressor of stirling cryocooler. *International Journal of Refrigeration*, 33(4):739 – 744, 2010. APPENDICES

Appendix A: Prototype Linear Compressor

No linear compressors are commercially available in the capacity and pressure ranges desired for electronics cooling. Therefore, a prototype compressor was customdesigned and built for the purpose of conducting experiments that could serve to validate the model developed in this work. The aim was the development of a prototype that would operate in a vapor compression refrigeration system which has a cooling capacity of at least 400W. This represents a high performance desktop PC total heat rejection. Additional requirements of the design are that the compressor needs to operate oil-free and should minimize the total volume occupied by the device. The design of the prototype is described in this chapter and final design drawings are shown in Appendix B.

A.1 Prototype Design Model

In an effort to determine what size components are needed for the linear compressor prototype a simple model was developed. This model incorporates a single degree of freedom piston dynamics model which was used to estimate the power and spring rate required to operate the prototype compressor. This model was coupled with an idealized vapor compression refrigeration system model to determine the required flow rate of the prototype compressor.

The idealized vapor compression refrigeration model used an evaporation temperature of 20°C, condensing temperature of 40°C, and a fixed compressor superheat of 5 K. Using these assumptions and R-134 refrigerant properties from Tillner-Roth and Baehr (1994), the required volume flow rate of refrigerant required to obtain 400 W of total cooling was determined to be 6.95 $^{L}/_{m}$.

Realizing that the volume flow rate is defined as,

$$\dot{V} = x_p f_{res} A_p \tag{A.1}$$

the prototype design model is needed to estimate both the stroke and frequency of operation. The stroke was to be determined by motor selection and the frequency by spring selection. Thus, the piston area was selected to ensure a proper flow rate is achieved. This selection continued iteratively using a variety of springs and motors until a suitable overall size, piston aspect ratio, and operating frequency were obtained from the selected components.

A.2 Component and Material Selection

In order to develop the most robust design possible, an effort was made to purchase as many off-the-shelf (i.e. pre-engineered) components as possible. This included the motor and compression springs. In addition to specific components, material selection for the piston-cylinder interface and valve reeds were considered of equally critical importance.

Linear Motor

Linear motors have matured significantly in recent years, mainly within the transportation industry (Hellinger and Mnich, 2009). These changes have specifically targeted large-scale applications such as mass transit, or theme park rides. This trend is partially a result of advances in permanent magnet motors. Permanent magnet motors are brush-less and thus, a lower reliability concern than other varieties of motors. A reliable linear motor has expanded the applications from larger-scale transportation applications to smaller devices such as the linear compressor developed in this work (Redlich, 1995; Redlich et al., 1996).

A plate type linear motor, typically used in mass transit, would provide a design challenge which is unnecessary for a hermetic compressor application. For this reason a tubular style linear motor was selected. A tubular-style linear motor consists of a permanent magnet moving core surrounded by a stator with a single coil winding. The excitation of the coil with an electric potential this generates a force onto the permanent magnet as described by the Lorentz-force law from electro-magnetics. The operation of a linear motor is shown by Kim and Murphy (2004) in Figure A.1. The motor selected for the prototype design is manufactured by H2W Technologies Inc., and is shown in Figure A.2. This motor was selected as it met the requirement for rated force needed to operate a compressor with a rated cooling capacity of at least 400 W. Additionally, this motor comes pre-assembled with two linear bearings to support the drive shaft.



Figure A.1. Linear motor operation from Kim and Murphy (2004).



Figure A.2. Linear motor drawing.

Compression Springs

To achieve the desired resonant frequency anticipated from the design model a series of compression springs were selected based on spring rate of each spring. The spring rate desired required two springs (part numbers MCQ11507-MW and MCW20701-MW). These springs are manufactured by Murphy and Reed Spring Company.

Piston-Cylinder Materials

The requirement for the compressor to operate without lubrication requires special consideration when selecting the piston and cylinder materials. Raw Teflon (PTFE) was selected as the piston material to contact the piston wall because of the relatively low friction coefficient. In addition, Teflon tends to wear and develop a fine powder of Teflon particles which act as a type of dry lubrication. The cylinder surface needs to have significantly different hardness to ensure the piston and cylinder do not damage themselves. A T6 aluminum alloy was selected as it was readily available and provided an easy material to machine. The aluminum compliments the PTFE surface as it is much harder which helps reduce overall wear.

Valve Reed Material

While the valve body and plenum only required strength, the valve reeds required strength and a predictable amount of elasticity. This is necessary because the elasticity dictates the dynamic motion of the valve reeds, which has an impact on the overall performance of the compressor. The material selected is a spring steel manufactured in sheets, which is specifically designed for planar-type springs. The spring steel selected is a blue-tempered 0.017 in thick stock.

O-ring Materials

In order to ensure that the compressor would be the most robust machine possible, it was designed with seals that could handle any fluid or lubricant. These seals are PTFE coated silicone o-rings which have the following advantages. The first, is the increased flexibility due to the silicone material. This flexibility allows for increased sealing ability with minimal gland pressure. The second advantage is the increased range of fluid compatibility afforded by the PTFE coating.

A.3 Valve Design

The design of the values include an overlapping reed design. The suction and discharge reeds are orthogonal to each other in orientation with the discharge port traveling through the suction reed. This orientation was utilized in an attempt to place the suction and discharge ports as close to the center of the cylinder as possible.

The suction and discharge reeds are attached on opposite sides of a valve body which sits flush into the cylinder. This valve body is then attached to the compressor body using a valve plenum and screws. The size of the ports are sized to ensure that the Mach number of the incoming or outgoing fluid remains at approximately 0.2 or lower. In addition to the port size, another consideration is flow through the opening between port and reed. To ensure there would be sufficient flow through this opening an estimation of the valve opening height was performed. This analysis also allowed for a determination of a proper discharge stopper height.

While the majority of the valve assembly was manufactured using traditional manufacturing techniques the valve reeds required special treatment due to the thin gage of the material. The process used is known as Electric Discharge Machining (EDM).

A.4 Prototype Design, Revision 00

The final design of the first revision of the linear compressor prototype is shown in Figure A.3. The motor and compression springs were the key purchased components. As a result, these components dictated a majority of the overall component orientation. The motor served as a central hub of assembly and the compression springs are not of sufficient diameter to fit outside of the motor, which forced their placement on one side of the motor.

Compression Spring Orientation

In an effort to keep the compressor design as simple as possible both sets of compression springs were positioned on the compression chamber side of the motor. A piston assembly was manufactured and attached on one side of the motor shaft which included a plate for the springs to seat themselves on. To maintain contact with this place the springs had to be assembled partially compressed. In this way, at top and bottom dead center each set of opposing springs still maintains contact with some amount of force on the plate. This eliminates any movement of the springs within the compressor during operation.

Piston Assembly

The first revision of piston assembly utilized a two-piece design with two PTFE piston rings. The rear piston is a solid piece of 1040 steel alloy which connects directly to the motor shaft with a threaded coupling. This piece is machined with an extra wide plate, seen between the two sets of springs in Figure A.3, for seating the compression springs as mentioned in Section A.4. This shaft continues toward the compression chamber and ends with a flange for seating the piston rings as well as a female thread for attaching the front of the piston. The piston rings are slid onto the rear of the piston and attached with a front piston face which is screwed into the rear piston using a counter-sunk machine screw.

O-ring Gland Design

O-rings offer significant advantages over other sealing techniques but require significantly more precise manufacturing techniques when designing a device to use them. In an effort to reduce potential sealing problems the number of sealed joints was minimized. The linear compressor prototype retains only six o-ring sealed joints in the



Figure A.3. Linear compressor prototype Revision 00.

device. Four of these sealing joints are located within the valve assembly, and two additional are located along the body of the compressor.

To ensure correct sealing of the o-rings, the o-ring glands must be properly sized. This is done using the data from Tables A.1 and A.2 for static radial and axial seals, respectively. The dimensions in Tables A.1 and A.2 reference Figures A.4 to A.6.



Figure A.4. Typical o-ring dimensions allorings.com (2011).

	Actual % (C) Gland Width Groove	Squeeze Squeeze Clearance No Back-Up One Back-Up Two Back-Ups Radius	2 .015 to .023 22 to 32 .002 to .005 .093 to .098 .138 to .143 .205 to .210 .005 to .015	3 .017 to .025 17 to 24 .002 to .005 .140 to .145 .171 to .176 .238 to .243 .005 to .015	3 .022 to .032 16 to 23 .003 to .006 .187 to .192 .208 to .213 .275 to .280 .010 to .025	3 .032 to .045 15 to 21 .003 to .006 .281 to .286 .311 to .316 .410 to .415 .020 to .035	9 .040 to .055 15 to 20 .004 to .007 .375 to .380 .408 to .413 .538 to .543 .020 to .035
)	Actual %	queeze Squeeze Cl	5 to .023 22 to 32 .00	7 to $.025$ 17 to 24 $.00$	2 to .032 16 to 23 .00	2 to .045 15 to 21 00	0 to .055 15 to 20 .00
)	1 Actual	h Squeeze Sq	.052 $.015$ to $.023$ 22	.083 $.017$ to $.025$ 17	.113 .022 to .032 16	.173 $.032$ to $.045$ 15	(229 .040 to .055 1E
)	O-Ring Glan	ross Section Dept	070" +003 .050 to	103" +003 .081 to	139" + - 004 .111 to	210" +005 .170 to	275" + - 006 .226 to
	O-Ring Size	AS568A- Cr	004 to 050 .C	102 to 178 .1	201 to 284 .1	309 to 395.	$410 ext{ to } 475 ext{ .5}$

/	÷
T	
5	5
è	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$
1	\sim
	OID
•	llorings.c
	5
J	Irom
_	_
-	J sea
;	radia
•	tatic
	S
	or a
ح	ĭ
	lensions
÷	ain
-	gland
	0-ring
ب	H
:	elines (
:	g
•	ingu I
	Jesigr
F	
	•
۲	-
<	\triangleleft
	Θ
2	0
2	ā
E	-

O-Ring Size	O-Ring	Gland	Actual	%	Groo	ve Width	Groove
AS568A-	Cross Section	Depth	Squeeze	Squeeze	Liquids	Gas/Vacuum	Radius
004 to 050	.070" +/003	.050 to .054	.013 to $.023$	19 to 32	.101 to .107	.084 to $.089$.005 to $.015$
102 to 178	.103" + /003	.074 to $.080$.020 to .032	20 to 30	.136 to .142	.120 to .125	.005 to $.015$
201 to 284	.139" + /004	.101 to .107	.028 to $.042$	20 to 30	.177 to .187	.158 to $.164$.010 to .025
309 to 395	.210" +/005	.152 to .162	.043 to $.063$	21 to 30	.270 to $.290$.239 to .244	.020 to $.035$
410 to 475	.275" +/006	.201 to .211	.058 to $.080$	21 to 29	.342 to $.362$.309 to .314	.020 to $.035$

	-
ŕ	-
٣	-
9	\supset
્	2
	_
	Ц
	Ξ
	S
	3
	50
	거
	н
	Ч
	2
- 7	Ξ
	σ
	d
	Ξ
	2
¢	日
	_
	ದೆ
	Ű
	\mathbf{v}
-	_
	g
•	2
	9
	2
	Ę.
	ಹ
	녌
	01
	ಹ
	<u> </u>
	5
د	ŭ
	S
	đ
	5
•	Ē
	2
	H
	ž
	д
÷	킁
	0
-	d
	Ц
	ಹ
- 7	5
	οι,
	50
	ď
•	C.
	T
	0
¢	
	0
	'n
	ð
	Ē
:	Ξ
	Ū
-	d
•	Ц
	r r
	-
	Ц
	ЪĊ
•	2
	ð
(う
F	-
	. :
C	N
	÷
1	4
	Ð
-	ž
-	2
r	ğ
	-


(b) Global view of axial gland.

Figure A.5. Axial sealing gland dimensions allorings.com (2011).



Figure A.6. Radial sealing gland dimensions allorings.com (2011).

Design Challenges

The first iteration of the prototype, seen in Figure A.3, presented a series of design challenges. These challenges resulted in the need to re-design specific components of the compressor, particularly the piston assembly.

When first operated the compressor was found to resonate at approximately 68Hz. This value was significantly higher than the design model prediction of 30Hz. In addition, the stroke achieved by the device was found to be only a fraction (approximately 5-10%) of the design stroke. Finally, the noise from the device was observed to be significantly louder than expected. The latter two observations prompted a disassembly of the compressor to investigate potential internal damage.

When the compressor prototype was disassembled, it was discovered that both the piston and cylinder had been severely damaged. As seen in Figures A.7 and A.8 the cylinder bore had been scored from the piston movement. The magnitude of this scoring was considered severe as a significant effort was required to separate piston from cylinder after operation. The piston also displayed similar damage as shown in Figure A.9. The PTFE piston rings on the piston displayed worn to the point that the steel of the piston had directly contacted the cylinder. The steel and aluminum had subsequently rubbed together which caused a destruction of both surfaces. This iteration of the prototype design prompted a redesign effort to address the destruction of the piston and cylinder.



Figure A.7. Damage to compressor cylinder, view from inside compressor, Revision 00.



Figure A.8. Damage to compressor cylinder, view from outside compressor, Revision 00.

A.5 Prototype Design, Revision 01

Piston Redesign

To address the destruction of the piston and cylinder, the piston assembly was redesigned. The root cause of the contact and wear of the piston and cylinder surfaces was determined to be caused excessive side loading of the piston on the cylinder wall caused by spring eccentricities. The excessive loading was theorized to have caused the PTFE rings to deteriorate rapidly. Without any rings the steel and aluminum contacted each other directly and as the hardness of each material is similar, the un-lubricated contact caused damage to each surface. To resolve this issue a revised



Figure A.9. Damage to compressor piston, Revision 00.

piston design was developed to ensure that aluminum and steel contact could be avoided. This modification involved a piston manufactured entirely out of PTFE. While this did not address the root of the problem it would eliminate the two surfaces from destroying each other. The updated piston design is shown in Figure A.10.

Design Challenges

Upon rebuilding the prototype compressor the device operated with a large reduction in noise but still failed to achieve full design stroke. It was hypothesized that the side loading caused by the compression springs was still causing excessive dry friction between the piston and cylinder. In an attempt to reduce this, the larger diameter



Figure A.10. Modified piston assembly with a complete PTFE piston, Revision 01.

outer springs were removed. The outer springs are stiffer and also produce a larger moment arm from the eccentricities. This modification can be seen in Figure A.11.

With the larger spring removed and the redesigned piston assembly the compressor was able to operate for a significant amount of time and produce some useful output. This allowed for data collection which will be explained in more detail in Chapter 4. However, this iteration of the design still performed relatively poor. It is hypothesized that the poor performance is based on significant side loading due to spring eccentricities. While this friction did not destroy the compressor as it did in the first iteration of the design, a break down of the PTFE piston was noted.

After final testing the compressor was taken apart for examination. and wear on the piston was noted as large quantities of black dust. This dust had collected on



Figure A.11. Global section view of prototype compressor with revised piston design, Revision 01.

the inside of the cylinder, the piston, valves and left trace amounts throughout the inside of the compressor. This is seen in Figures A.12 to A.14. This dust is believed to be from a breakdown of the PTFE piston and is a sign that the friction between the piston and cylinder is still significant.

A.6 Design Revision 02

After completion of model validation and further testing of a commercial linear compressor (Chapter 6) additional modifications were made to the prototype linear compressor. The design changes are summarized below:

- The compressor was charged with POE 32 oil for lubrication and sealing purposes.
- The valves were modified to allow for less restricted gas flow into and out of the compressor.
- A squeeze ring was placed inside the PTFE piston sleeve to allow a degree of control over the leakage gap as shown in Figure A.15. By tightening the piston



Figure A.12. Typical dust from compressor operation on valves and body, Revision 01.

screw this engaged this squeeze ring and deformed the piston by expanding it radially. The slightly increased the piston diameter and thus decreases the leakage gap.

• A spacer was placed behind the piston sleeve to reduce the dead volume in the compressor during operation as seen in Figures A.16, and A.17. This spacer directly reduced the amount of dead volume in the machine during normal operation.



Figure A.13. Typical dust from compressor operation on piston and cylinder, Revision 01.

A.7 Design Conclusions

The final design of the linear compressor prototype yielded enough data to assist validating the model. An additional design modification was attempted and displayed how sensitive the linear compressor prototype is to design modifications. This highlighted the potential for improvement of this device. However, as noted in previous sections the current design is still flawed in many ways and would require significant modifications to operate at comparable efficiency to a commercial compressor. One modification would simply be finding springs with smaller potential for side loading. The side loading of the piston caused by the spring eccentricities is the root cause for the lack of performance and thus, should be addressed in iterations moving forward.



Figure A.14. Close-up view of typical dust from compressor operation on piston, Revision 01.



Figure A.15. Piston squeeze ring resting inside PTFE piston sleeve, Revision 02.



Figure A.16. Piston spacer on the bottom of assembled piston, Revision 02.



Figure A.17. Piston nut and screw installed, untightened, showing full piston assembly, Revision 02.

Appendix B: Compressor Prototype Drawings



















Figure B.5. Prototype linear compressor valve body.



Figure B.6. Prototype linear compressor valve plenum.















Figure B.10. Prototype linear compressor valve plate.



Figure B.11. Prototype linear compressor back cover.















Appendix C: Comprehensive Model Code

The main linear compressor simulation code is presented here. The program initiates with a call to the main program function called centralprogram. This script is given below with details for all user written functions given in the subsequent sections in the chapter. Figure C.1 shows the logic of the main script file and correlates the submodels presented in Chapters 2 and 3 with the subfunction files presented in this appendix.

The model initiates with the user places the required input values into an Excel spreadsheet titled *inputs.xls*. This spreadsheet is also used to calculate the resonant frequency as detailed in Chapter 3. These input values are then passed into *centralprogram.m* where the simulation is initiated. Each function developed for this work is presented here. However, two functions used in this work are not standard MATLAB[®] functions but originated from other MATLAB[®] users. These functions are called *crossing.m* and *find_cross.m*. While they are not detailed in this chapter they are available free of charge with a BSD license on the MATLAB[®] file exchange. Additionally, built-in MATLAB[®] functions are not detailed in this chapter.

The equation of state used in the model calculations for R134a is given by Tillner-Roth and Baehr (1994). This equation is written into a series of subfunctions that are called when the function EOS.m is called from within the main model script *centralprogram.m.* Figure C.2 shows the logic built into EOS.m. The user is required to input temperature and either pressure or density as the second independent intensive property. The routine then returns the requested intensive property $(C_v, C_p, u, h, s, \rho \text{ or } P)$. The equation of state given by Tillner-Roth and Baehr (1994) is written in both MATLAB[®] and C and the user is presented with an option to use either the C or MATLAB[®] version. The advantage to the version written in C is computational speed. While the syntax is slightly different between C and MATLAB the equation of state is identical, therefore, only the MATLAB[®] subfunctions are presented. Additionally, the user can decide to use ideal gas relationships to calculate properties by



Figure C.1. Linear compressor model flowchart showing which function corresponds to each sub-model.

assuming constant specific heats. The advantage to this method is also speed as it represents the least computationally intensive option and is useful during debugging.



Figure C.2. Equation of state flowchart showing which function corresponds to each property subfunction as well as the different methods available for calculating fluid properties.

C.1 Function centralprogram

```
1 %Main Model Program
2 %Craig Bradshaw
3 %Initial Revision: June 2009
4 %Final Revision: March 2012
5 %Herrick Labs, cbradsha@purdue.edu
6
7
  try
8
9
        clc
10
        clear all
11
        close all
12
13
       %% Initializations
14
15
        pc_flag=getflag();
16
17
        if pc_flag == 0
18
19
            %How long do I need to run this simulation for? Obtained from
               first two
            %numbers on the inputs.xls file.
20
21
            num_size=xlsread ( 'inputs . xls ', 'A3:A4');
22
            analy_length = (num_size(2)-num_size(1))+1;
23
24
            %Open Excel Activex Server to use xlsread/write for prelim stuff
25
            Excel = actxserver ('Excel. Application');
26
            File=strcat(pwd, '\data_log.xls');
            if ~ exist(File, 'file')
27
28
                ExcelWorkbook = Excel.workbooks.Add;
29
                ExcelWorkbook.SaveAs(File,1);
30
                ExcelWorkbook . Close (false);
31
            end
32
            invoke(Excel.Workbooks, 'Open', File);
33
34
           %Now using modified xlsread/write to work on data_log.xls
35
            [num,txt,raw]=xlsread1('data_log.xls');
36
            [rows, col]=size(raw);
37
            %Adding a note to the batch log so I know what I was doing
38
39
            batch_on=input('ls this a batch file? 1 for yes, 0 for no ');
40
            if batch_on==1
41
                batch_note=input('Please input a string to describe why you
                    are running this batch. ');
42
                batch_note=cellstr(batch_note);
                batch_range=strcat('A', num2str(rows+2), ':A', num2str(rows+2))
43
44
                xlswrite1('data_log.xls',batch_note,batch_range);
45
            end
46
47
           %Shutting down activex server to excel
```

```
48
            invoke(Excel.ActiveWorkbook, 'Save');
49
            Excel. Quit
50
            Excel. delete
51
            clear Excel
52
        elseif pc_flag == 1
53
54
55
            input_data = xlsread('inputs.xls');
56
57
           %How long do I need to run this simulation for? Obtained from
               first two
58
           %numbers on the inputs.xls file.
59
            analy\_length = (input\_data(2,1) - input\_data(1,1))+1;
60
61
        else
62
            error('Invalid pc_flag. Please use either 0 or 1.');
63
            clear all
64
65
       end
66
       %turn annoying warning off
67
       warning('off', 'MATLAB: Print: SavingToDifferentName')
68
69
       70
71
       \% For loop used for batch operation , line by line from input file , z
72
       % loop
73
       74
75
        for z=1:1: analy_length
76
77
            if pc_flag == 0
78
79
                %pulling input data from inputs.xls
                range=strcat('B', num2str(z+2),':','BV', num2str(z+2));
80
                [num_inputs,txt_inputs]=xlsread('inputs.xls',range);
81
82
83
                %creating my variable structure
84
                [p]=create_struct(num_inputs,txt_inputs);
85
86
                %name that I use to generate a save folder
                p.save_name=strcat(p.save_name, '_', num2str(z));
87
88
89
                %Open Excel Activex Server to use xlsread/write for the
                   remainder of
90
                %the analysis
                Excel = actxserver ('Excel. Application');
91
92
                File=strcat(pwd, '\data_log.xls');
93
                if ~ exist (File , 'file ')
                    ExcelWorkbook = Excel.workbooks.Add;
94
95
                    ExcelWorkbook.SaveAs(File,1);
96
                    ExcelWorkbook . Close ( false );
97
                end
```

```
98
                 invoke(Excel.Workbooks, 'Open', File);
99
100
                %Open data log
                 [num,txt,raw]=xlsread1('data_log.xls');
101
102
                 [rows, col]=size(raw);
103
104
             elseif pc_flag == 1
105
106
                 if z == 1
107
                     txt_inputs {8} = 'Euler';
108
                     txt_inputs{56} = input('Name for Study:
                                                                ');
109
                     d_name = strcat(txt_inputs{56}, '.txt');
110
                     diary (d_name)
111
                 end
112
113
                %num_inputs = input_data(z+2,2:61);
114
                 num_inputs = input_data(z, 2:74);
115
                %Create structure of constant variables
116
117
                 [p]=create_struct(num_inputs,txt_inputs);
118
119
                 %name that I use to generate a save folder
120
                 p.save_name=strcat(p.save_name, '_', num2str(z));
121
122
            end
123
        %Time/Volume Calculations
124
125
        error = 0.00001;
                             %1e-4
126
        f_list=p.f_begin:p.f_increment:p.f_end;
127
        w_d_list = f_list * 2*pi;
128
        p.x_stroke_initial = p.x_stroke;
129
        130
        % Loop used for frequency sweeps, I loop
131
132
        °/°/°/°/°/°/°/°/°/°/°/
133
134
        p.resonant_loop = 0;
                                      %initialize variable that tells
            frequency sweep loop if we have reached the maximum frequency.
135
        |=1;
                                     %loop variable for the following loop.
136
        while p.resonant_loop <1 && l<=length(w_d_list)</pre>
137
138
        % for l=1:1:length(w_d_list)
139
140
             close all
141
            p.w_d=w_d_list(l);
            p.Period = 1/f_list(l);
142
                                       %Time of one cycle
143
            p.t_step=1/(f_list(l)*p.n);
                                                %time step in seconds
            p.transient=0;
144
145
             t_adjust_force = 0;
146
            147
148
            %Brents Method estimations
```
149 150 151 a = 1: %loop iteration variable 152 if isnan(num_inputs(72)) && isnan(num_inputs(73)) 153 $p.P_{-}brent(1) = 10;$ %lower power guess $p.P_brent(2) = 15$; %second lower power guess 154 elseif (isnan(num_inputs(72)) || isnan(num_inputs(73))) 155 error ('One Brents method input but not the other, please 156 input two guess values'); 157 else 158 $p.P_brent(1) = num_inputs(72);$ 159 $p.P_brent(2) = num_inputs(73);$ 160 end 161 162 $error_brents = 0.0000005;$ %0.05 microns 163 $error_stroke = 1;$ 164 165 while error_stroke > error_brents %a loop, brents method to back out power for full stroke 166 167 %Initialze residuals and convergence loop iteration counter 168 k = 1; $dT_{-}loop=1;$ 169 170 $drho_loop=1;$ 171 $dT_cv2_loop=1;$ 172 $drho_cv2_loop=1;$ 173 $dT_loop_k = 1;$ 174 $drho_loop_k = 1;$ 175 $dT_cv2_loop_k=1;$ 176 $drho_cv2_loop_k=1;$ 177 $dx_piston = 1;$ 178 if p.brent == 1 179 p. P_electric = p. P_brent(a); 180 end 181 182 %% Initalize Vectors 183 %These are the vectors that are iterated on and are thus not included in 184 %the parameters structure, i loop variables 185 $P=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n);$ 186 dT=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n); 187 $h=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 188 $V=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 189 $dV = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 190 $dm = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 191 $dm_in=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 192 $dm_out=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 193 $drho = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 194 $m = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 195 rho=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n); 196 $x_piston = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$ 197 x_dot_piston=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);

198	$Cv = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
199	iter=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
200	$dP_dT_v = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
201	$M_{a=zeros}(1, p. n_{period * p. n-1});$
202	$dT_check = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
203	$T = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n);$
204	$x_valve=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n);$
205	x_dot_valve=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
206	dm_leak_in=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
207	dm_leak_out=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
208	dm_out_valve=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
209	$dV_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
210	$V_{cv2} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n-1});$
211	$Ma_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
212	$h_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
213	$Cv_cv2 = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
214	dP_dT_v_cv2=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
215	$P_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n);$
216	drho_cv2=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
217	$rho_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
218	$dT_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
219	$T_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);$
220	F_drive=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
221	Q_motor=zeros (1, p. n_period *p. n);
222	theta=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
223	theta_dot=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
224	$dP=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n);$
225	$dx = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n);$
226	theta_dot_dot=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
227	$Q=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n);$
228	Q_cv2=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
229	m_cv2=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
230	c_eff = zeros(1,p.n_period *p.n);
231	c_friction = zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
232	$c_{gas} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n});$
233	x_dot_dot_piston = zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
234	$F_{gas} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n});$
235	% Initialize convergence loop variables, k loop
236	$x_piston_m = 0;$
237	$T_w = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
238	x_stroke_save = zeros(1,p.loop_error);
239	$loop = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
240	$m_dot = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
241	m_dot_leak_in = zeros(1,p.loop_error);
242	$m_dot_leak_out = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
243	$W_{dot} = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
244	$eta_o = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
245	eta_vol = zeros(1,p.loop_error);
246	$Q_{dot} = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
247	$Q_dot_cv2 = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
248	$m_dot_in = zeros(1, p. loop_error);$
249	<pre>loop=1; %loop counter variable , re-initialize</pre>

250	p.dP_max=p.P_d-p.P_i:
251	$P_{electric_temp} = p_{electric}$ %save input value
252	error_res = 1: %initialize error_res
253	error_counter = 0: %count number of times get below
	tolerance
254	<u> </u>
255	% while loop to enforce convergence. k loop
256	9/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
257	
258	while error counter < 2
259	
260	$W_{dot_{in}}(k) = p_{P_{electric}}$
261	······································
262	%% Inital Cylinder Conditions
263	if $k==1$
264	i=1: %initialize i loop counter
265	$T(i)=p$, T_i : %initial temperature
266	rho(i)=p.rho_i: %initial density
267	P(i)=EOS(T(i), rho(i), 'pressure', 'rho'); %calculate
	initial pressure
268	$T_cv2(1)=p_T_i$: %initial temperature. CV2
269	$rho_cv2(1)=p.rho_i$:
	%initial
	density, CV2
270	$%P_{cv2}(1) = P(1);$
271	$P_cv2(1) = (p_P_d + p_P_i)/2;$ %initial pressure, CV2
272	$%$ rho_cv2(1) = EOS(T_cv2(1), P_cv2(1), 'rho', 'P');
273	x_piston(1)=p.x_piston_i; %initial piston position,
	default is (max stroke/2)
274	x_dot_piston(1)=p.x_dot_piston_i; %initial piston
	velocity, default is 0
275	V(1)=(p.x_piston_i)*p.Ap+p.V_dead_valve; %initial
	volume
276	dV(1)=-p.x_dot_piston_i*p.Ap; %initial change in
	volume
277	theta(1)=p.theta_i; %initial piston rotation
278	theta_dot(1)=p.theta_dot_i; %initial change in
	piston rotation
279	p.x_dot_ave=1; %%%%%/MPORTANT, AVERAGE SPEED
280	dP(1)=0; %Change in pressure
281	dx(1)=1; %Change in stroke
282	T_w(k)=p.T_w_i; %initial compressor lump
	temperature (wall temp)
283	x_stroke_save(k)=p.x_stroke_initial; %saved value of
	stroke
284	p.x_stroke = p.x_stroke_initial; %guess stroke
285	$p.dP_max = p.P_d-p.P_i;$
286	t=0;
287	p.dV = 0; %initialize dV
288	$p.P_current = P(i);$
289	else
290	

291	0 <mark>/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/</mark>
292	%Update values for iterations beyond first
293	0/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8
294	T_{-} error = T:
295	rho error = rho
296	$T_{error} cv^2 - T$
207	$r_{1} = r_{1}$
208	x stroke error = p x stroke
290	T(1) - Y = 1(1); ^{(A} Temperatures and procedures equal to
299	$I(I) - X_{II} I(I)$, litemperatures and pressures equal to
200	the end values of the previous iteration r_{1}
300	$rno(1) = A_n (2);$ D(1) = COC(T(1) + C(1)) + C(1) + C(1) + C(1)
301	P(I) = EOS(I(I), rno(I), pressure, rno);
302	$I_{-cv2}(1) = X_{-n-1}(3);$
303	$rho_{cv2}(1) = X_{n-1}(4);$
304	$P_cv2(1) = EOS(T_cv2(1), rho_cv2(1), 'pressure', 'rho')$
305	p.x_stroke=max(x_piston_m)-min(x_piston_m);
	%max stroke, current value
306	p.x_dot_ave=0.707*max(x_dot_piston);
	%%%%%MPORTANT, AVERAGE
	SPEED, RMS value of speed
307	x_stroke_save(k)=p.x_stroke;
	% stroke variable
	saved to see progression
308	$x_piston(1) = x_piston(i)$:
309	x dot piston(1)=x dot piston(i):
310	V(1) = V(1)
311	dV(1) - dV(1)
312	theta(1) - theta(i)
312	theta $dot(1)$ -theta $dot(i)$:
313	+-+(i)
314 21E	I = I (I), J = I (I),
313 316	$\frac{dP(I)}{dV(I)} = \frac{dP(I)}{V}$
310	dx(1)=dx(1); %resets remaining variables to the
217	values of the previous k loop
317	i=1; %resets inner loop variable
318	end
319	
320	%% Initalize inner loop variables
321	t_cross=0; %number of times piston
	crosses zero
322	p.t_force_adjust=0; %adjustment in the time vector to
	account for variable frequency response
323	x_piston_m=0; %initialize x_piston
324	F_drive=0; %initalize driving force
	vector
325	$F_drive_clean = 0;$
326	
327	<u> </u>
328	% Main Loop for calculations, i loop
329	9/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
330	while size(t cross) < n n period $*2-1$
330	winte 5120(1201055) \p. 11_periou *2 -1

332	‰ Motor Model
333	if isempty(p.t_force_adjust) == 1
334	$p.t_force_adjust=0;$
335	end
336	
337	if i == 1 % only want to perform these
	calculations on first time through
338	p.P_motor=p.eta_motor*p.P_electric:
	%power actually delivered to
	piston
339	p.Q_motor=p.P_electric -p.P_motor:
	%Heat transfer from motor
340	
341	%Converts power input to force output by motor.
342	%based on motor literature
343	n k m = 4.6831
344	p.n_m 1.0001,
345	p.F_drive_max=sqrt(p.P_motor)*(p.k_m); %Maximum_Motor_forcein
	Newtons
346	end
347	
348	E drive clean(i)=n E drive max*(cos(n w d*(t(i)-n
310	t force adjust)+pi)).
	force v Time Newtons
340	n dP niston $- P(i) - P cv^2(i)$
350	F drive (i) $-F$ drive clean (i) $-n$ dP niston * n An * 1000
350	$= \operatorname{unve}(1) - \operatorname{unve}(2) \operatorname{unve}(1) - \operatorname{prod}(1) \operatorname{prod}(1) + \operatorname$
351	% Vibration Model and Volume and Piston Position
352	P_{i} dP piston $-P(i) - P_{i} cv^{2}(i)$:
353	$\begin{bmatrix} dV(i+1) & V(i+1) \\ v & viston(i+1) \\ v & dot & viston(i+1) \\ v & dot & viston(i+1) \\ v & viston(i+$
354	theta $(i+1)$, $(i+1)$, $(i+1)$, $(i+1)$, $(i+1)$, $(i+1)$,
	theta dot dot $(i+1)$, $n = 1 - vibration(t+1)$,
	$dx(i) = x \operatorname{piston}(i) + y \operatorname{dot} \operatorname{piston}(i) + \operatorname{piston}(i)$
	theta dot(i) x niston $m(i)$ theta dot dot(i) n):
255	$theta_uot(1), x_piston_in(1), theta_uot_uot(1), p),$
355	c off(i) = p c off
350	$c_{\text{eff}}(i) = p_{\text{c}}c_{\text{eff}},$
359	$c_{1} = p_{1} c_{1} = c_{1} c_{2}$
250	$c_gas(1) = p.c_gas$, v det det nicten(i) = p.v.det det nicten:
260	$x_a d c b c c p s c n (r) = p \cdot x_a d c b c c p s c n ,$
261	$\mathbf{k}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\text{eff}},$ $\mathbf{E}_{\text{resc}}(\mathbf{i}) = (\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{i})) - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\text{eff}},$
260	$F_{gas}(1) = (P(1) - P_{cv2}(1))*p.Ap;$
302	$r_wall(1) = p.r_wall;$
303	p.dv = v(1+1) - v(1);
204 26E	$p.r_{current} = P(1);$
202 266	0/0/ Valume for Second Control Valume
200 267	7000 volume for Second Control Volume
307	$av_{cv2}(i) = av(i);$
308	$v_{cv2}(i) = p \cdot v_{cv2}(i) = p \cdot v_{cv2}(i)$
309	
370	%õ∕o Masstlow (Valve Model)

371	<pre>[x_valve(i+1), x_dot_valve(i+1), dm_valve, Ma(i)] = valve_dynamics(P(i), rho(i), T(i), x_valve(i), x_dot_valve(i), p);</pre>
372	
373	‰ Leakage Flowrates (Leakage Model)
374	<pre>[dm_leak_in(i),dm_leak_out(i),Ma_cv2(i)] = leakage(P(i),P_cv2(i),x_dot_piston(i),T(i),rho(i),T_cv2(i),x_piston(i),p);</pre>
375	
376	%% Total flowrates (Massflow Summations)
377	if dm_valve<0 %flow IN
378	dm_in(i)=abs(dm_valve)+dm_leak_in(i);
379	dm_out(i)=dm_leak_out(i);
380	dm(i)=dm_in(i)-dm_out(i) ;
381	dm_out_valve(i)=0;
382	elseit dm_valve>0 %tlow OUI
383	$dm_in(i) = dm_leak_in(i);$
384	dm_out(i)=dm_valve+dm_leak_out(i);
385	$dm(i) = dm_in(i) - dm_out(i);$
386	dm_out_valve(i)=dm_valve;
387	else
388	$dm_{-in}(i) = dm_{-in}(i);$
389	$dm(i)=dm_leak_in(i)-dm_leak_out(i);$
390	$dm_out(1) = dm_leak_out(1);$
303	$d \Pi_0 d t_v a t ve(t) = 0,$
303	end
304	% Instantaneous Heat Transfer in control volumes
395	[Q(i)] = Ins HT(T(i) rho(i) Tw(k) V(i) dV(i)
	x_piston(i), x_dot_piston(i), p);
396	<pre>[Q_cv2(i)] = Ins_HT_cv2(T_cv2(i), rho_cv2(i), T_w(k), V_cv2(i), dV_cv2(i), x_dot_piston(i), p.Q_motor, p);</pre>
397	
398	%% Mass of the gas in each control volume
399	m(i)=rho(i)*V(i);
400	m_cv2(i)=rho_cv2(i)*V_cv2(i);
401	
402	%% Fluid Properties for approximation
403	<pre>if k==1 %only need to compute this value once for each batch line</pre>
404	p.h_in=EOS(p.T_i,p.rho_i,'enthalpy','rho');
405	end
406	h_out=EOS(T(i),rho(i),'enthalpy','rho');
407	$h(i)=h_out;$
408	$dP_dI_v(i) = dP_dT(T(i), rho(i));$
409	Cv(i) = EUS(I(i), rho(i), 'Cv', 'rho');
410	$h_cv2(i) = EOS(1_cv2(i), rho_cv2(i), 'enthalpy', 'rho');$
411	$dP_dI_v_cv2(i) = dP_dI(I_cv2(i), rho_cv2(i));$
41Z 412	$Cv_cv_2(i) = EUS(i_cv_2(i), rno_cv_2(i), Cv, rno);$
413	if $stromp(p, mothod 'DKA') = 1$
414	Γ stremp(p.method, KK4 $J==1$

415	%% RK4 Approximations
416	
417	error('RK4 not available in this version');
418	
419	elseif strcmp(p.method,'Euler')==1
420	%% Simple Euler Approximation
421	0/0/0/0/0
422	%1st Control Volume Piston Cylinder
423	0/0/0/0/0/
424	dT(i) = (O(i) + T(i) + dP dT + (i) + (1/1000) + ((1/rbo(i)))
424	$dT(T) = (Q(T) + T(T) * dT _ dT _ V(T) * (T / T000) * ((T / T10(T)))$ * dm(i) - dV(i)) - h(i) * dm(i) + dm_in(i) * p. h_in -
	dm_out(i)*h(i))/(m(i)*Cv(i));
425	drho(i)=(dm(i)-rho(i)*dV(i))/V(i);
426	
427	T(i+1)=T(i)+p.t_step*dT(i):
428	rho(i+1)=rho(i)+p.t.step*drho(i):
429	
430	%2nd Control Volume
430	0/0/0/0/0/
431	$\sqrt{0'0/0/0/0}$
432	dm_cv2=dm_leak_out(I)-dm_leak_in(I);
433	dm_in_cv2=dm_leak_out(i);
434	dm_out_cv2=dm_leak_in(i);
435	
436	dT_cv2(i)=(Q_cv2(i)+T_cv2(i)*dP_dT_v_cv2(i) *(1/1000)*((1/rho_cv2(i))*dm_cv2-dV_cv2(i))- h_cv2(i)*dm_cv2+dm_in_cv2*h(i)-dm_out_cv2*
437	h_cv2(i))/(m_cv2(i)*Cv_cv2(i)); drho_cv2(i)=(dm_cv2-rho_cv2(i)*dV_cv2(i))/V_cv2(i))
438	•) ,
430	$T_{cv}2(i+1) - T_{cv}2(i) + n_{cv} + cton + dT_{cv}2(i)$
459	$1 - cv_2(1+1) - 1 - cv_2(1) + p \cdot t - step * d1 - cv_2(1)$,
440	$rno_cv2(1+1)=rno_cv2(1)+p.t_step*arno_cv2(1);$
441	
442	else
443	$i = floor (p. Period / p. t_step) - 1;$
444	disp('Method error, please select a correct ODE Solution Method')
445	end
446	
447	0/
448	% Calculate next step for pressure
440	0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/
449	00/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
450	P(1+1) = EOS(1(1+1), rho(1+1), pressure, rho);
451	P_cv2(i+1)=EOS(I_cv2(i+1), rho_cv2(i+1), pressure ', ' rho');
452	
453	0,0/0,0/0,0/0,0/0,0/0,0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
454	%% Misc other properties
455	0,
456	dP(i+1)=P(i+1)-P(i)
	pressure

457	<pre>dx(i+1)=x_piston(i+1)-x_piston(i); %change in piston position</pre>
458	
459	<u> </u>
460	‰ Loop Adjustments
461	<u> </u>
462	%Section determines when the piston crosses back
463	%through the midpoint, signifying one cycle.
464	if k>1
465	if i>1
466	t_cross=find_cross(t',x_piston_m(1:end-1) ',0);
467	end
468	else
469	if i>1
470	t_cross=find_cross(t',x_piston_m(1:end-1)
	',0);
471	end
472	end
473	t(i+1)=t(i)+p.t_step;
	%initially
	frequency has to float, transient frequency is
	different than driving frequency.
474	i=i+1;
475	
476	if length(t)>=p.n*p.n_period*1.5
477	t_cross=ones(2*p.n_period);
478	disp('Convergence Error, piston did not cross zero twice')
479	end
480	end %End of While loop checking t₋cross, i loop
481	
482	9/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
483	%% Adjustments for Time
484	9/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
485	i=i-1; %step i back one for
	sanity
486	t=t(1:end-1); %step time vector back one
487	<pre>temp(k)=max(t_cross)-max(find_cross(t', F_drive_clean',0));</pre>
488	p.t_force_adjust=p.t_force_adjust+temp(k); %when t_force_adjust is greater
	than 3, stop i loop
489	t_change(k)=p.t_force_adjust;
490	p. $Period=t(end)-t(1);$
	%floating
	period
491	
492	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
493	% Estimate Massflow, Power Input, Heat Transfer, and Efficiencies
494	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

495	%Mass flows calculated using numerical integration
496	m_dot(k)=(trapz(dm_out_valve)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p.Period;
497	$m_dot_leak_out(k) = (trapz(dm_leak_out)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p.$ Period;
498	m_dot_leak_in(k)=(trapz(dm_leak_in)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p. Period;
499	m_dot_in(k) = (trapz(dm_in)*(t(2) - t(1)))/p.Period;
500	
501	%W_dot calculated assuming max(h) is similar to h_avg
502	%(proper calculation of h_avg done after the loop is over,
503	%this value is just for diagnostic purposes)
504	$W_{dot}(k) = m_{dot}(k) * (max(h) - p.h_{in});$
505	
506	%Efficiency estimations diagnostic values
507	eta_o(k)=(m_dot(k)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/(p.P_electric *2); %W_dot is in kW
508	eta_vol(k)=(m_dot(k))/(p.rho_i*f_list(l)*(max(x_piston_m)-min(x_piston_m))*p.Ap);
509	
510	%Power consumed by friction
511	W_dot_friction = p.f_friction*F_wall*2*p.x_stroke*f_list (1);
512	W_dot_friction_ave=mean(W_dot_friction)/1000;
513	
514	%Heat Transfer estimations, numerically integrated.
515	$Q_{dot}(k) = ((trapz(Q)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p.Period):$
516	Q dot $cy2(k) = (trapz(Q cy2)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p$. Period:
517	$()^{-}(-)$
518	We quation is under-relaxed alpha $-1/100$
510	$T_{\rm W}(k+1) = (-0 \det(k) - 0 \det(k) + W \det(k) + W)$
519	$(k+1) = (-Q_u dot(k) - Q_u dot_c v 2(k) + w_u dot_i m c trom_a v e)$ *1000*(p. R_shell)+p. T_amb;
520	$I_W(K+1) = 315;$
521	
522	Q_dot_in=(-Q_dot(k)-Q_dot_cv2(k)+W_dot_friction_ave) *1000;
523	T_w_test(k)=Q_dot_in*p.R_shell+p.T_amb;
524	
525	%Diagnostic values
526	m_{-} change(k) = m(end) - m(1);
527	$m_change_cv2(k) = m_cv2(end) - m_cv2(1);$
528	$dE(k) = -Q_{dot}(k) + m_{dot}(k)*max(h) - (2*p.P_{electric}) / 1000) - m_{dot_{in}(k)*p.h_{in};$
529	resonant_freg(k) = sqrt(p, k_eff/p, M_mov)/2/pi:
530	
531	% Iteration Counter
532	count1 - num2str(k)
532	count2-'Iteration Number ':
533	$count_{count}^2$ $count_{count}^2$
534	$\operatorname{disp}(i = i)$
535	disp()
550 527	uisp (count)
531	тоор (к <i>ј</i> =к ;

538 539 540 %% Residuals 541 542 % Error calculations 543 544 if k > 1545 $res_T(k) = 1-abs(mean(T(1:length(t)-1))/T_error(1:$ length(t)-1)));546 $res_rho(k) = 1-abs(mean(rho(1:length(t)-1)))$ $rho_{-}error(1: length(t)-1)));$ 547 $res_T_cv2(k) = 1-abs(mean(T_cv2(1:length(t)-1)))$ $T_{error_{cv2}}(1: | ength(t) - 1)));$ 548 $res_rho_cv2(k) = 1-abs(mean(rho_cv2(1:length(t)-1)))$ $rho_error_cv2(1: length(t)-1)));$ 549 $res_x(k) = 1-abs(x_stroke_save(k)/x_stroke_error);$ 550 $error_res = [abs(res_T(k)), abs(res_rho(k)), abs($ res_x(k))]; 551 $error_res = max(error_res);$ 552 %adjust error counter...looking for two below, then 553 end 554 if error_res < error 555 $error_counter = error_counter + 1;$ 556 end 557 CV1_res=strcat('CV1 Res.:| ',' DT| ',num2str(res_T(k 558)), 'Drho| ', num2str(res_rho(k)), 'dx| ', num2str $(res_x(k));$ CV2_res=strcat('CV2 Res.:|','DT|', num2str(559 $\operatorname{res}_T \operatorname{cv2}(k)$, ' $\operatorname{Drho}(', \operatorname{num2str}(\operatorname{res}_r \operatorname{ho}_c \operatorname{v2}(k)))$ add_info=strcat('Line: ',num2str(z),' | x_stroke: ', 560 num2str(p.x_stroke), '| a: ',num2str(a), '| P_electric: ',num2str(p.P_electric)); 561 disp(CV1_res) 562 disp(CV2_res) disp(add_info) 563 564 end 565 566 %Error Loop Counter 567 if k>=p.loop_error 568 $error_res = 0;$ 569 $error_counter = 2;$ 570 count='Convergence Error, too many iterations'; 571 disp(count) 572 end 573 574 if k>p.loop_error+5 575 else $X_n = [T(i), rho(i), T_cv2(i), rho_cv2(i), x_stroke_save(k$ 576)];

F_n=[dT(i), drho(i), dT_cv2(i), drho_cv2(i), 577 x_dot_piston(i)]; 578 J = 0: 579 DELTAX_n=0; 580 $X_n_1=X_n+DELTAX_n$; 581 end 582 583 iter(k) = k;584 k = k + 1;585 $p.dP_max = max(P) - p.P_i;$ %Max change in pressure over cycle relative to cylinder 586 if k == 150587 588 error = error + 0.00005;589 elseif k = 200590 error = error + 0.00005;591 end 592 %% Adjustments to power to try and maintain a correct 593 stroke 594 %If stroke is outside of the range of 0.001 and 0.0254 m either %add or substract 1W of power input to try and get it 595 into the 596 %appropriate range 597 if k > 6if $p.x_stroke < 0.0001$ 598 599 $p. P_{-}electric = p. P_{-}electric +1;$ 600 disp('Increase P_electric =') 601 disp(p. P_electric) 602 end end 603 604 end %End of k while loop 605 606 %% Brents Method: Attempting to find the correct power input 607 to 608 %% obtain full stroke 609 610 $[p, error_stroke, a] = brents(p, a, error_brents);$ 611 if p.brent == 1 $P_{electric_save}(I) = p.P_{brent}(a);$ 612 613 $p.P_electric = p.P_brent(a);$ 614 if a == 35 615 error_brents = 0.0000005; %0.5 micron 616 elseif a == 40617 $error_brents = 0.000001; \% 1 micron$ 618 elseif a = 50error_brents = 0.000005; % 5 microns 619 620 elseif a==59 error_brents = 0.00001; %10 microns 621 622 %Find location of minimum error, re-run using that

623	er=abs(p.x_stroke_brent-p.x_stroke_ref);
624	er_min=min(er):
625	ind er=find(er=er min):
626	p. P_electric=p. P_brent(ind_er):
627	P electric save(1)=p. P brent(ind er):
628	elseif $a == 60$
629	error brents $= 1$:
630	%overwrite what brent's method calculates
631	p. P. electric = p. P. brent($a-1$):
632	P electric save $(1)=p$. P brent $(a-1)$:
633	elseif $a > 100$
634	error brents = 1
635	end
636	end
637	end %end a loop
638	08/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/
630	⁰ % Post-Allocation adjust for proper length
640	%% 103t /(1000atton, adjust for proper rength
641	$P - P(1 \cdot length(t))$
642	$dT = dT (1 \cdot length (t));$
643	$h = h(1) \left[ength(t) \right];$
644	V = V(1 : length(t));
645	$dV = dV(1) \ln g \ln (t)$
646	dw = dw(1) + length(t)), dm = dm(1) + length(t));
647	dm in - dm in (1) length (t));
648	$dm_m = dm_m (1 \cdot length(t)),$ $dm_m = ut = dm_m out (1 \cdot length(t)).$
640	$drh_0 - drh_0 (1) ength(t) \rangle$
650	$m_{m}(1) = a m (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)$
651	rho - rho(1; longth(t));
652	$x_{\text{niston}} = x_{\text{niston}} (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)$
653	x dot niston $-x$ dot niston (1) length (t) :
654	$(v - Cv(1) \ln \alpha th(t))$
655	dP dT v = dP dT v (1) length (t));
656	$M_2 = M_2 (1 \cdot length(t)) \cdot M_2 = M_2 (1 \cdot length(t)) \cdot $
657	$T_{T}(1) = r_{T}(1)$
658	$x v_2 v_2 v_2 v_3 v_4 (1 \cdot length(t))$
650	x dot value x dot value $(1 \cdot length (t))$;
660	$dm \mid e_{ak} \mid n - dm \mid e_{ak} \mid n (1) \mid n (1) \mid e_{ak} \mid n (1) \mid n (1)$
661	dm = leak out - dm = leak out (1; length (t));
662	$dm_{1}eak_{0}dt = dm_{1}eak_{0}dt (1)ength(t));$
663	dV =
664	$V_{2}V_{2} = V_{2}V_{2} = V_{1} = 0$
665	$V_{2} = V_{2} = V_{2$
666	$h_c v^2 - h_c v^2 (1 \cdot length(t));$
667	$f_{1} = cv_{2} - f_{1} = cv_{2} (1 + length(t));$
668	dP dT y cy2 = dP dT y cy2(1) longth(t));
660	$P_{cv2} = P_{cv2} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$
670	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}$
671	$a_1a_2c_2 = a_1a_2c_2(1, 1ellg(1)),$ rho $c_2a_2 = rho c_2a_2(1, 1ellg(1)),$
672	$dT = cv^2 - dT = cv^2 (1 + long + b(+))$
673	$T_{v2} - T_{v2} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1$
674	$F_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$
014	, _u, , ve_, _u, , ve (1. , engli (l)),

675 676	$Q_{motor}=Q_{motor}(1:length(t));$	
677	theta dot=theta dot $(1:length(t))$	
678	x niston $m=x$ niston $m(1) [ength(t))$;	
670	dP-dP(1:length(t)):	
680	dx = dx (1 : longth (t));	
601	$u_{x} = u_{x} (1) \operatorname{rength} (1)$).
692	O(1, log = th(t))),
602	Q = Q(1, length(1)),	
005	$Q_{\rm L}cv_2 = Q_{\rm L}cv_2 (11) \operatorname{length}(1));$	
084	$C_{eff} = C_{eff} (1; length(t));$	
085	$c_{\text{triction}} = c_{\text{triction}}(1; \text{lengtn}(t));$	
080	$c_gas = c_gas(1:length(t));$	
687	$x_dot_dot_piston = x_dot_dot_piston(1)$	ength(t));
688	$F_{gas} = F_{gas}(1: length(t));$	
689	$F_wall=F_wall(1:length(t));$	
690	$I_w = I_w(1:k-1);$	
691	$x_stroke_save = x_stroke_save(1:k-1);$	
692	loop = loop(1:k-1);	
693	$m_dot = m_dot(1:k-1);$	
694	$m_dot_leak_in = m_dot_leak_in(1:k-1);$	
695	$m_dot_leak_out = m_dot_leak_out(1:k-1);$	
696	$W_{dot} = W_{dot}(1:k-1);$	
697	$eta_o = eta_o(1:k-1);$	
698	$eta_vol = eta_vol(1:k{-}1);$	
699	$Q_dot = Q_dot(1:k-1);$	
700	$Q_dot_cv2 = Q_dot_cv2(1:k-1);$	
701	$m_dot_in = m_dot_in(1:k-1);$	
702		
703	°%°°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°%°	
704	%% Estimate Massflow, Power Input, Heat	Transfer, and
	Efficiencies	
705	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%	
706	% Estimating exit temperature and entha	lpy
707	if $m_dot(k-1) > 0$	
708	%finding key volumes	
709	$V_1 = max(V);$	%BDC
710	V_3=min(V);	%TDC
711	V_4=min(find_cross(V',P',p.P_i));	%Suction valve open
712	V_2=max(find_cross(V',P',p.P_d)); open	%discharge valve
713		
714	%Find indexes of important volumes	
715	$i_v 1 = find (V = V_1);$	
716	$i_v 3 = find(V = V_3);$	
717	$i_v2_test = crossing(V,[], V_2); \%$	vector of length 2
718	$i_v4_test = crossing(V, [], V_4); \%$	vector of length 2
719	3(,[] ,),	0
720	% test above to see which values co	rrespond to the
	correct	•
721	% pressure	
722	<pre>for i=1:1:length(i_v2_test)</pre>	
723	$diff_P2(i) = abs(P(i_v2_test(i)))$)—p . P _d) ;

724 $diff_P4(i) = abs(P(i_v4_test(i))-p_P_i);$ 725 end 726 727 %indicies of the point where the difference between the 728 %crossover pressure and actual pressure is at a minimum 729 $i_v 2 = i_v 2_t est(find(diff_P 2 = min(diff_P 2)));$ 730 $i_v 4 = i_v 4_t est(find(diff_P4 = min(diff_P4)));$ 731 732 if $i_v 2 < i_v 3$ %does discharge cross the boundary? 733 $T_d = mean(T(i_v2:i_v3));$ 734 $h_2 = EOS(T_d, p. P_d, 'enthalpy', 'P');$ 735 else %yes $T_{d} = mean(cat(2,T(i_v2:end),T(1:i_v3)));$ 736 737 $h_2 = EOS(T_d, p. P_d, 'enthalpy', 'P');$ 738 end 739 %estimate exit temperature and enthalpy 740 741 if T d == 0 $T_{d} = mean(cat(2, find_cross(T', P', p, P_{d}), max(T)));$ 742 743 $h_2 = EOS(T_d, p. P_d, 'enthalpy', 'P');$ 744 end 745 %Boundary work calculations 746 $W_{2_3} = mean(P(i_v2:i_v3))*(V_2 - V_3);$ 747 $W_4_1=mean(cat(2, P(i_v4:end), P(1:i_v1)))*(V_1-V_4);$ 748 749 $W_{-1_{-2}} = mean(mean(P(i_{-}v1:end)))*(V_{-1} - V_{-2});$ $W_{3_4} = mean(P(i_v 3 : i_v 4)) * (V_4 - V_3);$ 750 751 752 %Actual boundary work of real compression process $W_{-}boundary = W_{-}1_{-}2 + W_{-}2_{-}3 - W_{-}3_{-}4 - W_{-}4_{-}1;$ 753 754 $W_dot_boundary = W_boundary * f_list(l);$ 755 756 %Idealized suction and discharge processes 757 $W_{gas_dis_ideal} = p.P_{d*}(V_2 - V_3);$ $W_{gas_suc_ideal} = p.P_{i*}(V_{1} - V_{4});$ 758 759 760 %Hybrid idealized boundary work (compression and expansion 761 %are real) 762 $W_{gas_net_ideal} = W_{gas_dis_ideal} + W_{1_2} - W_{3_4} - W_{1_2} - W_{1_2} - W_{1_3} - W_{1$ W_gas_suc_ideal; 763 W_dot_net_ideal = W_gas_net_ideal * f_list (1); 764 %Valve Losses, based on differences between ideal valves 765 and 766 %real valves, in W 767 $W_dot_suc_loss = 1000*(-W_4_1 + W_gas_suc_ideal)*f_list($ 1); $W_dot_dis_loss = 1000*(W_2_3 - W_gas_dis_ideal)*f_list(I$ 768); 769 770 else

771	$T_d = 0;$
772	$h_{-2} = 0$:
773	$h_2 calc = 0$:
774	W dot suc loss $= 0$:
775	W dot dis loss $= 0$:
776	end
777	
778	0,8,2,8,2,8,2,8,2,8,2,8,2,8,2,8,2,8,2,8,
779	% Losses and power calculations
780	08/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/
781	%Power in the piston shaft energy integrated over one
101	neriod
782	W dot shaft = 0.5*n M mov*x dot niston 2* f list(l)
783	W dot shaft ave $- sum(W dot shaft)/length(W dot shaft):$
784	$W_{uot_{shall_ave}} = sum(W_{uot_{shall}}) + ength(W_{uot_{shall}}),$
785	"Power in the rotation of niston shaft energy integrated
105	over
786	%one period
700	W dot chaft rotation -0.5 mm lethota dot ^2 f list(1):
799	$W_{dot_shaft_rotation} = 0.5*p.5*theta_dot. 2*1_fist(1),$ W/ dot_shaft_rotation_ave_ = sum(W/ dot_shaft_rotation)/length(
100	$W_{dot_{shaft_{rotation}}} = sum(W_{dot_{shaft_{rotation}}})$
780	$w_u ot_s nant_notation)$,
709	% Dower consumed by friction
790	$\frac{1}{2}$ W dot friction - (c off c gas) where max is list (1)^2:
791	$\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}$
192	W_dot_friction);
793	$W_dot_friction = p.f_friction * F_wall * 2*p.x_stroke * f_list(1);$
794	W_dot_friction_ave=mean(W_dot_friction);
795	
796	%Total power consumed by compressor, moving from compression
797	%chamber to motor
798	W_dot_total_ave = W_dot_shaft_ave + W_dot_shaft_rotation_ave + W_dot_friction_ave + p.Q_motor;
799	
800	%Maximum power stored in the mechanical springs
801	W_dot_stored_max = 0.5*p.k_mech*p.x_stroke^2*f_list(l);
802	
803	%Net compressor power into the gas
804	W_dot(k-1)=1000*m_dot(k-1)*(h_2-p.h_in); %W_dot is in W
805	
806	%Efficiency estimations
807	eta_o(k-1)=(m_dot(k-1)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/(p.P_electric *2);
808	eta_o_test = (m_dot(k-1)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/ W_dot_total_ave:
809	$eta_{is} = (p, h_2 - p, h_{in})/(h_2 - p, h_{in})$
810	
811	%Net leakage losses
812	$W_{dot_{eakage_{loss}}} = W_{dot_{shaft}} ave - W_{dot(k-1)} - Q_{dot(k-1)}$
	$-1) - W_{dot_{suc_loss}} - W_{dot_{dot_{suc_loss}}} - W_{dot_{loss}}$
813	W_dot_leak = 1000*mean(m_dot_leak_out(end)*h - m_dot_leak_in (end)*h_cv2);

814	
815	%Linear additions
816	W_dot_in_linear = mean(F_drive_clean.*x_dot_piston);
817	eta_o_linear = (m_dot(k-1)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/
	W_dot_in_linear;
818	, ,
819	%% Other Estimations
820	x_mag(l)=p.x_stroke/p.x_max; %#ok <sagrow></sagrow>
821	x stroke resonant save(1) = $(\max(x \text{ piston } m) - \min(x \text{ piston } m))$
-): %#ok <sagrow></sagrow>
822	f_list_resonant_save() = f_list(): %#ok <sagrow></sagrow>
823	$W_{gas} = ((p, gamma * p, P_i * (max(V) - p, V_dead_valve)) / (p, gamma - 1))$
) * (((p , P_d/p , P_i) ^ ((p , gamma - 1)/p, gamma)) -1); %kJ
824	$W_{dot_{gas}} = W_{gas*f_{list}(l)*1000}$
825	
826	%% Calculating resonant frequency
827	if >1
828	$change_in_stroke = x_stroke_resonant_save(1) -$
	x stroke resonant save (-1) :
829	if change_in_stroke < 0
830	number_change_stroke = number_change_stroke $+1$:
831	end
832	if number_change_stroke < 3
833	$p.resonant_loop = 0;$
834	$f_{resonant_calculated} = 0$:
835	$w_d_resonant_calculated = 0$:
836	elseif number_change_stroke >= 3 % is stroke decreasing
837	$p.resonant_loop = 1;$
838	if length(x_stroke_resonant_save) < 5
839	$f_{resonant_calculated} = 99$;
840	$w_d_resonant_calculated = 99;$
841	elseif length(x_stroke_resonant_save) <= 15 && length(
	x_stroke_resonant_save) >=5
842	coefficients = polyfit(f_list_resonant_save,
	x_stroke_resonant_save ,2);
843	$f_{resonant_calculated} = -coefficients(2)/(2*)$
	coefficients (1));
844	w_d_resonant_calculated = f_resonant_calculated *2* pi
	;
845	else
846	x_stroke_resonant_save = x_stroke_resonant_save(end
	-14: end);
847	f_list_resonant_save = f_list_resonant_save (end -14:
	end) ;
848	coefficients = polyfit(f_list_resonant_save,
	x_stroke_resonant_save ,2);
849	$f_{resonant_calculated} = -coefficients(2)/(2*)$
	coefficients(1));
850	w_d_resonant_calculated = f_resonant_calculated *2* pi
	;
851	end
852	end

853	else
854	$f_resonant_calculated = 0;$
855	$w_d_resonant_calculated = 0;$
856	<pre>number_change_stroke = 0; %initialize variable</pre>
857	$p.resonant_loop = 0;$
858	end
859	
860	%% Saving Important parameters
861	if $pc_flag = 0 \& p.resonant_loop = 1$
862	d=date:
863	save_data={d.char(p.save_name).z.p.w_d.f_list(l).(max(
	$x_{piston_m}) - \min(x_{piston_m})$. $W_{dot(k-1)}$
864	m dot(k-1), $m dot leak out(k-1)$, p , $P i$, p , $P d$, p , $T i$, length(
	t) char(p, method) 2*p. P electric
865	n eta motor n k mech n f friction n ecc 1 x mag(1) n g
000	eta $o(k-1)$ eta $vol(k-1)$ T d
866	$T_{w}(k-1) = 1 Q_{v} dot(k-1) Q_{v} dot cv^{2}(k-1) = P_{v} d/p_{v} P_{v} i res T_{v}$
000	$(k-1)$ res rho $(k-1)$ res T $cv^2(k-1)$ res rho $cv^2(k-1)$
	(K 1), 103 = 100 (K 1), 103 = 1 = 002 (K 1), 103 = 110 = 002 (K 1)
867	res $x(k-1) = 0$ n M mov (max(x niston m)-min(x niston m))
001	n P electric f resonant calculated
	w d resonant calculated n k eff eta is
868	W_{d} resonant_calculated, p. K_eff, eta_rs,
000	W dot friction ave W dot total ave W dot stored max
	W dot suc loss W dot dis loss
860	W dot leakage loss W dot leak mean(x niston m) n
009	V dood volve a error stroke eta e linear
	W/ dot in linear):
970	w_uot_in_innear},
970 971	range $2-\text{streat}(!\Lambda', \text{num}2\text{str}(! \text{rows}), !: ! ! PC!, \text{num}2\text{str}(! \text{rows}))$
071	iange_z=streat(A , numzstr(1+rows), . , DG , numzstr(1+rows))
970	vlowrital ('data log, vlo' save data, range 2)
873	range = range = range
974	$save_name = streat(wxsp_, p.save_name);$
074	$save_name = char(save_name),$
075 976	Save (Save_Hame)
070	olcoif no flag — 1
070	ersen pc_mag == 1
070	any data temp (
879	save_data_tmp = {z, p. w_d, f_list(l), $(\max(x_piston_m) - \min(x_piston_m))$
000	x_piston_m), $w_dot(k-1)$,
880	$m_{aot}(k-1), m_{aot}(k-1), p. P_1, p. P_a, p. 1_1, lengtn($
0.01	t), $2 \times p$. $P_{-}electric$,
881	p.eta_motor,p.k_mecn,p.t_triction,p.ecc_1,x_mag(1),p.g,
000	$eta_0(K-1), eta_Vol(K-1), I_0, \dots$
882	$I_w(K-I), K-I, Q_aot(K-I), Q_aot_cv2(K-I), p. P_a/p. P_1, res_I$
	$(\kappa-1)$, res_rno $(\kappa-1)$, res_1_cv2 $(\kappa-1)$, res_rno_cv2 $(\kappa-1)$
002	
883	$res_x(k-1), U, U, p. NI_mov, (max(x_piston_m)-min(x_piston_m))$
	, p. P_electric , t_resonant_calculated ,
	w_d_resonant_calculated ,p.k_eff , eta_ls ,

```
884
                    eta_o_test , W_dot_shaft_ave , W_dot_shaft_rotation_ave ,
                       W_dot_friction_ave, W_dot_total_ave, W_dot_stored_max,
                       W_dot_suc_loss, W_dot_dis_loss,...
885
                    W_dot_leakage_loss, W_dot_leak, mean(x_piston_m), p.
                       V_dead_valve, a, error_stroke, eta_o_linear,
                       W_dot_in_linear };
886
887
                save_data(z,:) = cell2mat(save_data_tmp);
888
                save_name = strcat('data_log_', p.save_name);
889
                wksp_name = strcat('wksp_', p.save_name);
890
                save_name = char(save_name);
                xlswrite(save_name, save_data);
891
892
                save(char(wksp_name))
893
            end
894
            k=1;
895
            dT_loop=1;
            drho_loop=1;
896
897
            dT_cv2_loop=1;
898
            drho_cv2_loop=1;
899
            |=|+1;
                               %Resonant loop counter update
900
901
               %End of freq sweep while loop, I variable
        end
902
903
            904
           % Diagrams
905
            906
            if pc_flag = 0
               907
908
               % P-V Plot
909
               910
                figure (21)
911
               %set(gcf, 'Visible ', 'off')
912
                handles.plot(21)=plot(V,P); title('Pressure v. Volume');
913
                ylabel('Pressure (kPa)');
                xlabel('Volume (m<sup>3</sup>)');
914
915
                handles. figure (21) = gcf;
916
                handles. a \times is(21) = gca;
917
               918
               % Diagnostic Plots
919
               920
921
                figure (22)
922
                set(gcf, 'Visible', 'off')
923
                handles.plot(22)=plot(Q_dot); title('Heat Transfer v.
                lteration ');
ylabel('Heat Transfer (kW)');
924
925
                xlabel('lteration');
926
                handles.figure(22)=gcf;
927
                handles. a \times is(22) = gca;
928
929
                figure (23)
930
                set(gcf, 'Visible', 'off')
```

931	handles.plot(23)=plot(Q_dot_cv2); title('Heat Transfer v.
932	vlabel('Heat Transfer (kW)'):
933	xlabel('lteration');
934	handles. figure $(23) = gcf$;
935	handles.axis(23)=gca;
936	
937	figure (24)
938	set(gcf, 'Visible', 'off')
939	handles.plot(24)=plot(T_w); title('Shell Wall Temp v.
940	vlabel('Wall Temp (K)'):
941	xlabel('lteration'):
942	handles, figure $(24) = gcf$:
943	handles, $axis(24) = gca$;
944	
945	%Main subplot diagram
946	figure (25)
947	%set(gcf,'Visible','off')
948	<pre>subplot(3,3,1), plot(t,T); title('Temperature v. Time');</pre>
949	<pre>subplot(3,3,2), plot(t,P); title('Pressure v. Time');</pre>
950	<pre>subplot(3,3,3), plot(t,dm); title('Massflow v. Time');</pre>
951	<pre>subplot(3,3,4), plot(t,V); title('Volume v. Time');</pre>
952	<pre>subplot(3,3,5), plot(t,V); title('Volume v. Time');</pre>
953	<pre>subplot(3,3,6), plot(t, rho); title('Density v. Time');</pre>
954	<pre>subplot(3,3,7), plot(t,dV); title('Change in Volume v. Time');</pre>
955	<pre>subplot(3,3,8), plot(t, x_piston); title('Displacement v. Time');</pre>
956	<pre>subplot(3,3,9),plot(t,drho);title('Change in Rho v. Time');</pre>
957	
958	figure (26)
959	%set(gcf,'Visible','off')
960	%plot(t,dT_check);hold on;plot(t,dT,'r');legend(' dT_c_h_e_c_k','dm')
961	<pre>subplot(2,2,1),plot(t,Ma);title('Mach Number v. Time')</pre>
962	subplot(2,2,2), plot(t,x_valve); title('Valve Lift v. Time')
963	<pre>subplot(2,2,3),plot(m_dot_leak_out);title('Leakage Outflow v</pre>
964	<pre>subplot(2,2,4),plot(m_dot);title('Massflow v. Iteration')</pre>
965	
966	% CV2 Plots
967	figure (27)
968	%set(gcf,'Visible','off')
969	<pre>subplot(3,3,1), plot(t, T_cv2); title('Temperature v. Time for CV2'):</pre>
970	<pre>subplot(3,3,2),plot(t,P_cv2);title('Pressure v. Time for CV2 '):</pre>
971	<pre>subplot(3,3,3), plot(t, dm_leak_out); title('Leakage Massflow In v. Time for CV2');</pre>
972	<pre>subplot(3,3,4),plot(t,V_cv2);title('Volume v. Time for CV2')</pre>
973	<pre>subplot(3,3,5),plot(t,rho_cv2);title('Density v. Time');</pre>

```
974
                  subplot(3,3,6), plot(t,h_cv2); title('Enthalpy v. Time');
975
                  subplot(3,3,7), plot(t,dT_cv2); title('Change in Temperature v
                       Time for CV2');
                  subplot(3,3,8),plot(t,Ma_cv2);title('Mach Number v. Time');
976
977
                  subplot(3,3,9), plot(t, drho_cv2); title('Change in Rho v. Time
                       for CV2'):
978
979
                      %% Shutting down activex server to excel
980
                       invoke (Excel. ActiveWorkbook, 'Save');
981
                       Excel. Quit
982
                       Excel. delete
                       clear Excel
983
984
985
              end
986
987
              %% other stuff
988
              disp(strcat('Batch Line No: ',num2str(z)))
989
990
                      %end of z loop (number of batch lines)
          end
991
992
         %turn annoying warning back on
          warning('on', 'MATLAB: Print: SavingToDifferentName')
993
994
          disp('finished')
995
          diary
996
997
     catch ME
998
          disp('finished w/ error')
999
          disp(ME. message)
1000
          disp (ME. cause)
1001
          disp(ME.stack)
1002
          disp(ME)
1003
          diary
1004
1005
          if pc_flag == 0
              %% Shutting down activex server to excel
1006
1007
              invoke(Excel.ActiveWorkbook, 'Save');
1008
              Excel. Quit
              Excel.delete
1009
1010
              clear Excel
              crash_name = strcat('crash_', save_name);
1011
1012
              save(crash_name)
1013
         end
1014
1015
          if pc_flag == 1
1016
              save_name = strcat('data_log_', p.save_name);
              save_name = char(save_name);
1017
1018
              crash_name = strcat('crash_', save_name);
1019
              save(crash_name)
1020
              if |>1
1021
                  xlswrite(save_name, save_data);
1022
              end
1023
          end
```

```
1024 %turn annoying warning back on
1025 warning('on', 'MATLAB: Print: SavingToDifferentName')
1026
1027 end
```

C.2 Function getflag

```
1 function [pc_flag]=getflag()
2 %Get the pc_flag
3
4 pc_flag = 0; %This file is for the pc
```

```
1 function [p]=create_struct(num_inputs,txt_inputs)
2 %This function creates a structure of input parameters that will be used
3 %throughout the program. The title of this structure will be 'p' for
4 %parameter.
5
L_piston_max,g,D_piston,x_stroke,V_max,w_d,V_dead_valve,eta_motor,Ap
       , P_electric , x_max , leakage_on , mass_on , vibration_order , vibration_on ,
       valve_dynamics_on, D_cv2, L_cv2, heat_transfer_on, h_2s, brent, T_s2 =
       givens (num_inputs, txt_inputs);
7 [d_valve_suction,d_valve_discharge,C_d,A_suction_valve,A_discharge_valve
       , l_discharge , l_suction , k_discharge , k_suction , m_eff_discharge ,
       m_eff_suction , A_suction , A_discharge , x_tr_suction , x_tr_discharge ,
       x_stop, a_suction, a_discharge, d_suction, d_discharge = valve_inputs (
       num_inputs , txt_inputs );
  [ M_mov, J, L_1, L_2, k_mech, f_friction, x_piston_i, x_dot_piston_i, theta_i,
8
       theta_dot_i,x_piston_m_i,J_a,ecc_1,ecc_2,L_load_1,L_load_2] =
       vibration_givens(x_o, vibration_order, num_inputs, txt_inputs);
9
   [alpha, k_r, A, B, C, T_w_i, R_shell, T_amb, t_shell, k_alum] =
       heat_transfer_givens (T_i, num_inputs, txt_inputs);
10
11 %%%%%%%
12 %From General Givens
13 %%%%%%%
14
15 p. T_i = T_i;
16 p.rho_i=rho_i;
17 p. P_{-i}=P_{-i};
18 p.R=R;
19 p. P_d = P_d;
20 p.f=f;
21 p.x_o=x_o;
22 p.t_step=t_step;
23 p.gamma=gamma;
24 p.x_d = x_d;
25 p.method=method;
26 p.n=n;
27 p. V_{cv2_i} = V_{cv2_i};
28 p.L_piston_max=L_piston_max;
29 p.g=g;
30 p. D_piston=D_piston;
31 p.x_stroke=x_stroke;
32 p.x_stroke_ref=x_stroke;
33 p.V_max=V_max;
34 p.w_d = w_d;
35 p.V_dead_valve=V_dead_valve;
36 p.eta_motor=eta_motor;
37 p.Ap=Ap;
38 p.P_electric=P_electric;
39 p.x_max=x_max;
```

```
40 p.leakage_on=leakage_on;
41 p.mass_on=mass_on;
42 p.vibration_order=vibration_order;
43 p.vibration_on=vibration_on;
44 p.valve_dynamics_on=valve_dynamics_on;
45 p.heat_transfer_on=heat_transfer_on;
46 p. D_{-}cv2=D_{-}cv2;
47 p.L_cv2=L_cv2;
48 p. h_2_s=h_2_s;
49 p.brent = brent;
50 p.T_s_2 = T_s_2;
51
52
53 %%%%%%%%%
54 %From valve givens
55 %%%%%%%%%%
56
57 p.d_valve_suction=d_valve_suction;
58 p.d_valve_discharge=d_valve_discharge;
59 p.C_d=C_d;
60 p.A_suction_valve=A_suction_valve;
61 p.A_discharge_valve=A_discharge_valve;
62 p.l_discharge=l_discharge;
63 p.l_suction=l_suction;
64 p.k_discharge=k_discharge;
65 p.k_suction=k_suction;
66 p.m_eff_discharge=m_eff_discharge;
67 p.m_eff_suction=m_eff_suction;
68 p.A_suction=A_suction;
69 p.A_discharge=A_discharge;
70 p.x_tr_suction=x_tr_suction;
71 p.x_tr_discharge=x_tr_discharge;
72 p.x_stop=x_stop;
73 p.a_suction=a_suction;
74 p.a_discharge=a_discharge;
75 p.d_suction=d_suction;
76 p.d_discharge=d_discharge;
77
78
79 %%%%%%%%
80 %From Vibration Givens
81 %%%%%%%%
82
83 p.M_mov=M_mov;
84 p.J=J;
85 p.L_1=L_1;
86 p.L_2=L_2;
87 p.k_mech=k_mech;
88 p.f_friction=f_friction;
89 p.x_piston_i=x_piston_i;
90 p.x_dot_piston_i=x_dot_piston_i;
91 p.theta_i=theta_i;
```

```
92 p.theta_dot_i=theta_dot_i;
93 p.x_piston_m_i=x_piston_m_i;
94 p.J_a=J_a;
95 p.ecc_1=ecc_1;
96 p.ecc_2 = ecc_2;
97 p.L_load_1=L_load_1;
98 p.L_load_2=L_load_2;
99
100 %%%%%%%%%%
101 %Heat Transfer Inputs
102 %%%%%%%%%%%
103
104 p.alpha=alpha;
105 p.k_r=k_r;
106 p.A=A;
107 p.B=B;
108 p.C=C;
109 p. T_w_i = T_w_i;
110 p. T_w_cv2_i=T_w_i;
111 p.R_shell=R_shell;
112 p.T_amb=T_amb;
113 p.t_shell=t_shell;
114 p.k_alum=k_alum;
115
116
117 %%%%%%%
118 % Miscellaneous inputs
119 %%%%%%%
120 p.n_period=num_inputs(54);
121 p.loop_error=num_inputs(55);
122 p.save_name=txt_inputs (56);
123 p.save_all=num_inputs(57);
124
125 %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
126 % Frequency Sweep Parameters
127 %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
128
129 p.f_begin=num_inputs(58);
130 p.f_increment=num_inputs(59);
131 p.f_end=num_inputs(60);
```

```
1 function [T_i, rho_i, P_i, R, P_d, f, x_o, t_step, gamma, x_d, method, n, V_cv2_i,
       L_piston_max,g,D_piston,x_stroke,V_max,w_d,V_dead_valve,eta_motor,Ap
       , P_electric , x_max , leakage_on , mass_on , vibration_order , vibration_on ,
       <code>valve_dynamics_on</code> , <code>D_cv2</code> , <code>L_cv2</code> , <code>heat_transfer_on</code> , <code>h_2_s</code> , <code>brent</code> , <code>T_s_2</code> ] \,=\,
       givens(num_inputs,txt_inputs)
2 %A function that intializes all given parameters
3
4 method=txt_inputs(8);
5
6 %Turn model options on and off
7 leakage_on=num_inputs(19);
                                                 %Determines if leakage model is
        on or not. 1 it is open, 0 is no leakage
8
   mass_on=num_inputs(20);
                                                 %Determines if valves open or
       not, 0 valves stay closed, 1 they open normally
9
   vibration_order=num_inputs(21);
                                                 %Order of Vibration model, 1=1
       st order, 2=2nd order, all else is first order
10 vibration_on=num_inputs(22);
                                                 %Determines if vibration model
       is used or not (0 is off, 1 is on) If not the piston is assumed to
       move in a sine wave.
11 valve_dynamics_on=num_inputs(23);
                                                 %Determines if valve dynamics
       are on or off (1 is on, 0 is a digital valve)
12
   heat_transfer_on =1;
                                                 %Heat transfer in cylinder on
       (1) or off (2)
                                                 %Turn Brent's method on or off
13 brent = 1;
14
15 %Inlet Properties
16 T_i=num_inputs(1);
                                       %K
17 T_i = T_i + 273.15;
18 P_{-}i=num_{-}inputs(2);
19 rho_i=EOS(T_i, P_i, 'rho', 'P');
                                       %kg/m^3
20 R=num_inputs(3);
21
22 %Discharge Pressure
23 P_d=num_inputs(4);
24
25 %Input Parameters
26 f=num_inputs(5);
27 n=num_inputs(9);
28 w_d=f * 2 * pi;
                                  %Damped natural frequency, assumed to be the
        driving frequency to drive resonance.
29
   t_step=1/(f*n);
                           %time step in seconds
30 gamma=num_inputs(6);
31
32 %Piston Parameters
33 D_piston=num_inputs(13);
34 x_d = num_inputs(7);
35 x_max=num_inputs(18);
36 x_stroke=num_inputs(14);
                                                %Piston Area in m<sup>2</sup>
37 Ap=(pi * D_piston^2)/4;
38 V_max=Ap*x_max;
                                        %Maximum Cylinder volume, m<sup>3</sup>
```

```
39 x_o = (x_max) / 2;
                                     %Piston Starting Position
40 V_dead_valve=num_inputs(15);
41
42 %Control Volume 2 Givens
43 V_cv2_i=num_inputs(10);
                        %Internal Diameter of CV2
44 D_{cv2} = 2 * (0.0254);
45 L_cv2=10*(0.0254); %Length of CV2
46 L_piston_max=num_inputs(11);
47 g=num_inputs(12);
48
49 %Motor Givens
50 eta_motor=num_inputs(16);
51 P_electric=num_inputs(17);
52
53 %Isentropic Relations, using EOS
54 s_1=EOS(T_i, rho_i, 'entropy', 'rho')
55
56 %Secant Method to Find Exit Temp for Isentropic Compression
               %Initalize change in Temperature
57 dT = 1;
58 T(1) = 320; %Guess Values
59 T(2) = 325;
60 i =2;
61
62 %Secant Loop
63 while abs(dT) > 1e-6
64
       T(i+1)=T(i)-(EOS(T(i), P_d, 'entropy', 'P')-s_1)*(T(i)-T(i-1))/(EOS(T(i)-T(i-1)))
           ),P_d, 'entropy', 'P')-EOS(T(i-1),P_d, 'entropy', 'P'))
65
       dT = T(i+1) - T(i);
66
        i = i + 1;
        if i>500
67
68
            error('stuck in interations')
69
        end
70 end
71
72 %Last Temperature in Iteration is Temperature at Isentropic Input
73 T_s_2 = T(i);
74 %Using Temperature and Discharge Pressure, enthalpy is Calcualted
75 h_2_s = EOS(T_s_2, P_d, 'enthalpy', 'P');
```

```
1 function [d_valve_suction, d_valve_discharge, C_d, A_suction_valve,
       A_discharge_valve, I_discharge, I_suction, k_discharge, k_suction,
       m_eff_discharge, m_eff_suction, A_suction, A_discharge, x_tr_suction,
       x_tr_discharge, x_stop, a_suction, a_discharge, d_suction, d_discharge] =
        valve_inputs (num_inputs, txt_inputs)
2 %inputs to valve model
3
4 %Valve Flapper Geometry Information
5 d_valve_suction= num_inputs(24); %Suction valve flapper diameter in
       meters
6 d_valve_discharge=num_inputs(25); %Discharge valve flapper diameter in
       meters
7 E=num_inputs(26); % (Pa) Young's Modulus of Spring Steel for valves,
       from Marks Std. Handbook
8 h_valve=num_inputs(27);
9 rho_valve=num_inputs(28); % kg/m^3, density of reed valve material
10 C_d=num_inputs(29); %Drag coefficient for the valve
11
12 l_suction_valve=num_inputs(30); %length of suction valve, meters
13 l_discharge_valve=num_inputs(31); %length of discharge valve, meters
14
15 A_suction_valve=num_inputs(32); % Suction Valve area,m<sup>2</sup> (From Pro-E
       Model)
  A_discharge_valve=num_inputs(33); %Discharge Valve area,m^2 (From Pro-E
16
        Model)
17
18 a_discharge=num_inputs(34); % Distance from anchor to force, meters (
       from PRO_E)
19 a_suction=num_inputs(35);
20
21 %Valve flapper strength of materials values
22 l_discharge=(d_valve_suction*h_valve^3)/12; %Moment of Intertia for
       discharge valve,m<sup>4</sup>
23 I_suction = (d_valve_discharge*h_valve^3)/12; % Moment of Intertia for
       suction valve,m<sup>4</sup>
24
   k_discharge = (6*E*I_discharge)/(a_discharge^2*(3*I_discharge_valve - 
25
       a_discharge));
   k_{suction} = (6 \times E \times I_{suction}) / (a_{suction}^2 \times (3 \times I_{suction} \vee a | ve - a_{suction}));
26
27
28 m_{eff_discharge} = (1/3) * rho_{valve} * A_{discharge_valve} * h_{valve};
29 m_eff_suction = (1/3) * rho_valve * A_suction_valve * h_valve ;
30
31 w_n_discharge=sqrt (k_discharge/m_eff_discharge);
32 w_n_suction=sqrt(k_suction/m_eff_suction);
33
34 %Suction Valve Port Geometry Information
35 d_suction=num_inputs(36); %suction oval diameter, meters
36 A_suction = ((pi * ((d_suction ^2)/4)) + 0.12 * 0.0254 * d_suction); %Suction Port
        Area, m<sup>2</sup>
```

37 d_h_suction=(4*A_suction)/(pi*d_suction+2*(0.12*0.0254));
38
39 %Discharge Valve Port Geometry Information
40 d_discharge=num_inputs(37); %discharge diameter in meters
41 A_discharge=(pi*((d_discharge^2)/4)); %Discharge Port area,m^2
42
43 %Transitional valve lift
44 x_tr_suction=0.25*(d_h_suction^2/d_valve_suction); % Transitional Valve
Lift, meters
45 x_tr_discharge=0.25*(d_discharge^2/d_valve_discharge);

- 46 %Discharge Valve stopper distance
- 47 x_stop=num_inputs(38); %Height of discharge stopper in meters

```
1 function [ M_mov, J, L_1, L_2, k_mech, f_friction, x_piston_i, x_dot_piston_i,
       theta_i, theta_dot_i, x_piston_m_i, J_a, ecc_1, ecc_2, L_load_1, L_load_2]
        = vibration_givens(x_o, vibration_order, num_inputs, txt_inputs)
2 %Inputs to vibration model
3
4 L=num_inputs(40);
                               %Total length of piston
5 L_1=num_inputs(41);
                                          %Distance from CG to front of piston
                               %Distance from CG to back of piston
6 L_2 = L - L_1;
                              %Radius of Piston Spring Seats
7 R=num_inputs(42);
8 M_mov=num_inputs(39);
                                     %Piston Equivalent moving mass, in kg
9 M_piston=num_inputs(43);
                                        %Mass of just the piston
10 J = ((1/12) * 0.8 * M_{piston} * L^2) + ((1/12) * 0.2 * M_{piston} * (0.98 * 0.0254)^2);
       %Moment of Inertia from CG (kg-m<sup>2</sup>)
   J_a = ((1/3) * 0.8 * M_piston * L^2) + ((0.25) * 0.2 * M_piston * R^2) + (0.2 * M_piston * L_2)
11
       ^2):
               %Moment of Inertia from back of Piston (kg-m<sup>2</sup>)
12
13 if vibration_order==2
14
        ecc_1=num_inputs(50);
15
        ecc_2=num_inputs(51);
16
  elseif vibration_order==1
17
        ecc_{-}1 = 0;
18
        ecc_{-}2 = 0;
19 else
20
        ecc_{-}1 = 0;
21
        ecc_2 = 0;
22 end
23
  L_load_1 = num_inputs(52);
24
                                            %Distance between spring seats, 1
       is forward spring, 2 is toward motor.
25
   L_load_2=num_inputs(53);
26 k_mech=num_inputs(44);
27
28
   model_exist = exist('friction_model','file');
29
   if model_exist == 2
30
       %new friction model
31
        f_friction=friction_model(num_inputs);
32
   else
33
        f_friction=num_inputs(45);
34
   end
35
36 % % Initial Conditions for vibration model
37 x_piston_i=x_o;
38 x_dot_piston_i=num_inputs(46);
39 theta_i=num_inputs(47);
40 theta_dot_i=num_inputs(48);
41 x_piston_m_i=num_inputs(49);
42
43 end
```

```
1
2
   function [alpha, k_r, A, B, C, T_w_i, R_shell, T_amb, t_shell, k_alum] =
       heat_transfer_givens(T_i, num_inputs, txt_inputs)
3 %Inputs to the heat transfer model
4
5 alpha = 0.0000055;
                                %Thermal diffusivity in m<sup>2</sup>
                               %Thermal conductivity of aluminum, W/m-K
6 k_{alum} = 160;
7 A=0.25;
8 B=0.65:
9 C=0.25;
                               %Coefficients from correlation
10 T_w_i = 22.2 + 273.15;
                                        %Inital wall temperature, in K
11 T_{amb}=22+273.15;
                                      %Ambient Temperature
                                %Thermal conductivity of refrigerant, W\!/m\!-\!K
12 k_{-}r = 15*10^{-3};
13 rho_{-}air = 1.2;
                                  %Density of air, kg/m^3
14 Vel_air =1;
                                  %Velocity of airflow across compressor, m/s
15 D_{-}shell = 2*0.0254;
                                  %Outer Diameter of Piston Cylinder, m
16 L_shell = 10 * 0.0254;
                                  %Length of Compressor, m
                                        %Surface area of compressor shell, m<sup>2</sup>
17 A_shell=pi * D_shell * L_shell;
18 k_{-}air = 30e - 3;
                                   M/m-K , conductivity of air
                                  %Dynamic Viscosity of air, kg/m-s
19 mu_air = 2*10^{-5};
20 nu_air=mu_air/rho_air;
                                  \% Kinematic \ Viscosity \ of \ air , m^2/s
21 alpha_air = 2.2160 * 10^{-5};
                                   %Thermal diffusivity of air, m<sup>2</sup>/s
22 Pr=nu_air/alpha_air;
                                  %Prandtl Number of air flow
23 Re_air=(rho_air * Vel_air * D_shell)/mu_air;
                                                   %Reynolds number of air
                                    %Constants from Table 7.2 in Incropera
24 C_2 = 0.683;
       DeWitt
25 m = 0.466:
26 Nu_D = C_2 * Re_air^m * Pr^(1/3);
  h_shell=(Nu_D*k_air)/D_shell;
                                         %Heat transfer coefficient for
27
       outside heat transfer
                                          %Overall thermal resistance from
28
  R_shell=1/(h_shell*A_shell);
       shell to air
29 t_shell = 0.0254;
                            %Thickness of compressor shell
```

```
1 function [ dV,V,x_piston,x_dot_piston,theta,theta_dot,x_piston_m,
       theta_dot_dot,p ] = vibration( t,dP,dx,x_piston_1,x_dot_piston_1,
       theta_1, theta_dot_1, x_piston_m_1, theta_dot_dot_1, p)
2 %Vibration Model Component of Linear Compressor Model, added ver. 1.4.
3
4
  if p.vibration_on==1
5
6
       V=x_piston_1*p.Ap+p.V_dead_valve;
                                                      %Piston volume, when
           x_piston=0 volume is dead volume in valves.
7
       dV=-x_dot_piston_1*p.Ap;
                                         %x_piston and x_piston_m are
           opposites, x_dot_piston follows x_piston_m but I want it to
           follow x_piston, hence the negative.
8
       p.theta=theta_1;
9
10
       %Linearized vibration model flag
       linear = 0:
11
12
13
        if linear == 1
14
            theta_tmp = atan(p.g/p.L_1);
15
            p \cdot F_wall = (1/p \cdot L_1) * (p \cdot k_mech * (p \cdot x_stroke_ref - p \cdot ecc_1 * theta_tmp) *
               p.ecc_1);
16
            %k_gas adjusted for max stroke
17
            k_gas=((p.P_d-p.P_i)*p.Ap*1000)/p.x_stroke_ref; %Gas spring
                rate, linear estimate
18
            p.V_max=p.Ap*p.x_stroke_ref;
            W_{gas} = ((p.gamma*p.P_i*p.V_max)/(p.gamma-1))*((p.P_d/p.P_i)^((p.max)))
19
               gamma-1)/p.gamma)-1);
                                              %Work done on gas in one cycle,
                kJ
20
            c_friction = (4*p.f_friction *p.F_wall) / (p.w_d*p.x_stroke_ref*pi);
                   %effective damping due to dry friction in cylinder
21
            c_gas=(W_gas*1000)/(p.w_d*p.x_stroke_ref^2*pi); %effecitve
               damping due to work done on gas, 1000 to convert work to J,
               (N-s)/m
22
        else
23
            if abs(theta_1) >= atan(p.g/p.L_1) % if piston is in contact
               with wall, there is friction.
24
                p.F_wall = (1/p.L_1)*(p.k_mech*abs((abs(x_piston_m_1)-p.ecc_1*
                    abs(theta_1)))*p.ecc_1); %Newtons
25
            else
26
                p. F_wall=0;
27
                %keyboard
28
                p.F_wall = (1/p.L_1) * (p.k_mech * abs((abs(x_piston_m_1)-p.ecc_1 *
                    abs(theta_1)))*p.ecc_1); %Newtons
29
            end
30
            p.V_{max} = p.Ap*p.x_{stroke};
31
            if p.dP_max >= (p.P_d-p.P_i)
32
                k_gas=((p.P_d-p.P_i)*p.Ap*1000)/p.x_stroke; %Gas spring
                    rate, linear estimate
33
                W_{gas} = (-p.P_{current*p.dV} + 0.5*p.dV*dP)*1000;
34
            elseif p.dP_max < (p.P_d-p.P_i)
```

```
35
                k_gas=(p.dP_max*p.Ap*1000)/p.x_stroke; %Gas spring rate,
                    linear estimate
36
                W_{-}gas = (-p.P_{-}current*p.dV + 0.5*p.dV*dP)*1000;
37
            end
38
39
            %Testing F_gas instead of k_gas
40
            k_{-}gas = 0;
41
            c_friction = (4*p.f_friction *p.F_wall) / (p.w_d*p.x_stroke*pi);
42
            %%effective damping due to dry friction in cylinder
43
            c_gas = (W_gas) / (p.w_d * p.x_stroke^2 * pi);
44
            ‰effecitve damping due to work done on gas, 1000 to convert
               work to J, (N-s)/m
45
        end
46
47
        k_eff = k_gas + p.k_mech;
                                 %Effective Spring Rate, gas + mechanical
           springs
48
        p.k_eff = k_eff;
49
        c_eff=c_gas+c_friction; %Total effective damping, (N-s)/m
50
        p.c_eff = c_eff;
51
        p.c_friction = c_friction;
52
        p.c_gas = c_gas;
53
       0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
54
       %% Start - dx_vibration replacement
55
56
       57
       %Vector of Timesteps
58
       t_{combined} = [t, t+0.5*p.t_{step}, t+0.5*p.t_{step}, t+p.t_{step}];
59
60
        k_m = 4.6831:
61
        F_drive_max=sqrt (p.eta_motor*p. P_electric)*(k_m);
                                                                    %Maximum
           Motor force, in Newtons.
62
        F_drive = F_drive_max * (cos(p.w_d*(t-p.t_force_adjust)+pi));
                           %Driving force v. Time
63
64
        if linear == 0
65
            F_drive = F_drive - p_dP_piston*p_Ap*1000;
66
        end
67
68
       %Renaming displacements and angles for Runge-Kutta Calculations
69
        x_1 = x_piston_m_1;
70
        x_2 = x_dot_piston_1;
71
        theta_1_calc = theta_1;
72
        theta_2 = theta_dot_1;
73
74
       %Replacing dx_vibration%
75
       %[ F_drive,Q_motor ] = motor( p.w_d,t_combined(1),p.eta_motor,p.
           P_electric , p. t_force_adjust );
76
        F_drive = F_drive_max * (cos(p.w_d * (t_combined(1)-p.t_force_adjust)+pi))
                             %Driving force v. Time
           ;
77
        if linear == 0
78
79
            F_drive = F_drive - p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000;
```

```
80
         end
 81
 82
         num2=(1/p.M_mov)*(p.k_mech*p.ecc_1*theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff*x_2-(
             k_gas+p.k_mech)*x_1);
 83
         num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
         dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
 84
 85
         k1 = p.t_step*dx;
 86
 87
         %Step 2, update displacements and angles
 88
         x_{-1} = x_{-1} + 0.5 * k1(1);
 89
         x_2 = x_2 + 0.5 * k1(2);
         theta_1_calc = theta_1_calc + 0.5 * k1(3);
 90
         theta_2 = theta_2 + 0.5 * k1(4);
 91
 92
 93
         %Replacing dx_vibration%
 94
         %[ F_drive,Q_motor ] = motor( p.w_d,t_combined(2),p.eta_motor,p.
             P_electric , p. t_force_adjust );
 95
         F_drive = F_drive_max * (cos(p.w_d*(t_combined(2)-p.t_force_adjust)+pi))
             ;
 96
 97
         if linear == 0
98
              F_drive = F_drive - p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000;
99
         end
100
         num2 = (1/p.M_mov) * (p.k_mech*p.ecc_1 * theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff * x_2 - (
101
             k_gas+p_k_mech) * x_1);
102
         num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
103
         dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
104
         k2 = p.t_step*dx;
105
106
         %Step 3, update displacements and angles
107
         x_{-1} = x_{-1} + 0.5 * k2(1);
108
         x_2 = x_2 + 0.5 * k2(2);
109
         theta_1_calc = theta_1_calc + 0.5 \times k^2(3);
         theta_2 = theta_2 + 0.5 * k2(4);
110
111
112
         %Replacing dx_vibration%
113
         num2 = (1/p.M_mov) * (p.k_mech*p.ecc_1 * theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff*x_2 - (
             k_gas+p.k_mech)*x_1;
         num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
114
115
         dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
116
         k3 = p.t_step*dx;
117
118
         %Step 4, update displacements and angles
119
         x_{-1} = x_{-1} + k2(1);
120
         x_2 = x_2 + k2(2);
121
         theta_1_calc = theta_1_calc+k2(3);
122
         theta_2 = theta_2 + k2(4);
123
         %Replacing dx_vibration%
124
125
         % [F_drive,Q_motor] = motor(p.w_d,t_combined(4),p.eta_motor,p.
             P_electric , p. t_force_adjust );
```

```
126
         F_drive = F_drive_max * (cos(p.w_d*(t_combined(4)-p.t_force_adjust)+pi))
            ;
127
128
         if linear == 0
129
             F_drive = F_drive - p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000;
130
         end
131
132
         num2=(1/p.M_mov)*(p.k_mech*p.ecc_1*theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff*x_2-(
            k_gas+p.k_mech)*x_1;
133
         num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
134
         dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
135
         k4 = p.t_step*dx;
136
137
        138
        %% End, dx_vibration replacement
139
        140
         dx_total = [x_piston_m_1, x_dot_piston_1, theta_1, theta_dot_1] + (1/6) * (k1)
141
            +2*k^2+2*k^3+k^4);
142
143
         x_piston_m = dx_total(1);
144
         x_dot_piston=dx_total(2);
145
         theta = dx_total(3);
146
         theta_dot=dx_total(4);
147
         theta_dot_dot=k1(4);
148
         p.x_dot_dot_piston=k1(2);
149
         x_piston=-x_piston_m+p.x_o;
150
151
         if x_piston < 0
                            %This second condition does not allow the piston
            to travel beyond the top of the cylinder
152
             x_piston = 0;
153
             x_piston_m = p.x_o;
154
             x_dot_piston=0;
155
         end
156
         if abs(theta) > atan(p.g/p.L_1)%Restricts the rotation to approx 5
157
            deg.
158
             if theta < 0
159
                 theta=-atan(p.g/p.L_1);
                 theta_dot=0:
160
161
             elseif theta > 0
162
                 theta=atan(p.g/p.L_1);
163
                 theta_dot=0;
164
             end
165
         end
166
    elseif p.vibration_on==0
167
168
169
         x_{piston} = p.x_{o} * (cos(p.w_{d} * t + pi) + 1);
170
         V=p.Ap*x_piston+p.V_dead_valve;
171
         dV = -p \cdot Ap * p \cdot x_o * p \cdot w_d * sin(p \cdot w_d * t + pi);
172
         x_dot_piston = -p.x_o*p.w_d*(sin(p.w_d*t+pi));
```

```
173
          theta = 0;
          theta_dot=0;
174
          theta_dot_dot=0;
175
176
          x_piston_m = x_piston_p.x_o;
177
          p \cdot F_wall = 0;
          p.theta = 0;
178
179
          p.k_{-}eff=0;
          p.c_eff = 0;
180
181
          p.c_-friction = 0;
182
          p\,.\,c_{\,-}g\,a\,s\ =\ 0\,;
183
          p.x_dot_dot_piston =0;
184 end
185
186 end
```
```
1 function [ x_valve, x_dot_valve, dm, Ma ] = valve_dynamics (P, rho, T,
       x_valve_1 , x_dot_valve_1 , p)
   %Valve flow model
2
3
4
   Pr_limit = 0.556999;
                                   %Sonic limit to the pressure ratio, for R
        -134a
5
6
   if p.mass_on==1
7
        if p.valve_dynamics_on==1
8
            %If there is flowrate coming in ...
9
10
             if p \cdot P_{-i} > P
11
                 Pr=P/p.P_i;
12
13
                 if Pr > Pr_limit
14
                      Ma = sqrt((2/(p.gamma-1)) * ((Pr^{((1-p.gamma)/p.gamma)}) - 1));
                      if Ma>1
15
16
                          Ma=1;
17
                      end
18
                      V=sqrt(p.gamma*p.R*p.T_i*1000)*Ma;
                                                                %T_i because this
                          flow comes from suction valve
                  else
19
20
                      Ma=1:
21
                      V = sqrt(p.gamma*p.R*p.T_i*1000)*Ma;
                                                                %T_i because this
                          flow comes from suction valve
22
                 end
23
24
                 x_1 = x_v a | v e_1;
25
                 x_2 = x_dot_valve_1;
26
27
                 if x_valve_1>=p.x_tr_suction
28
29
                      k1 = p.t_step*x_RK_flux_dom(x_1, x_2, p.rho_i, p.
                          A_suction_valve, p.k_suction, V, p.m_eff_suction, p.C_d,
                          p.A_suction);
30
                      k_{2} = p.t_step *x_RK_flux_dom(x_1+0.5*k_1(1)), x_2+0.5*k_1(2))
                          p.rho_i, p.A_suction_valve, p.k_suction, V, p.
                          m_eff_suction , p. C_d , p. A_suction );
31
                      k3 = p.t_step *x_RK_flux_dom(x_1+0.5*k2(1), x_2+0.5*k2(2))
                          p.rho_i , p. A_suction_valve , p. k_suction , V, p.
                          m_eff_suction , p. C_d , p. A_suction );
32
                      k4 = p.t_step *x_RK_flux_dom(x_1+k3(1), x_2+k3(2), p.rho_i,
                          p. A_suction_valve, p. k_suction, V, p. m_eff_suction, p.
                          C_d, p. A_suction);
33
                      x_{total} = [x_{1}; x_{2}] + (1/6) * (k_{1}+2*k_{2}+2*k_{3}+k_{4});
34
35
36
                 else
```

37	<pre>k1 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1,x_2,p.rho_i,p. A_suction_valve,p.k_suction,V,p.m_eff_suction,p.C_d, p.P_i,P);</pre>
38	<pre>k2 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1+0.5*k1(1),x_2+0.5*k1 (2),p.rho_i,p.A_suction_valve,p.k_suction,V,p. m eff suction_p.C.d.p.P i_P);</pre>
39	<pre>k3 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1+0.5*k1(1),x_2+0.5*k1 (2),p.rho_i,p.A_suction_valve,p.k_suction,V,p. m.eff_suction_p.C_d_p.P_i_P);</pre>
40	<pre>k4 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1+k1(1),x_2+k1(2),p. rho_i,p.A_suction_valve,p.k_suction,V,p. m eff suction_p_C_d_p_P_i_P);</pre>
41	m_err_succión, p. e_u, p. r_r, r, r,
42	x total $-[x 1 \cdot x 2] + (1/6) * (k1 + 2*k2 + 2*k3 + k4)$
42	$x_1 = (x_1 + x_2) + (x_1 + y_2 + x_2) + (x_1 + y_2 + x_2 + y_3 + x_4)$
45	end
45	
46	if x total $(1) < 0$
47	x_total(1)=0; %This is the hard stop limit, valve cannot have a negative position
48	end
49	
50	if x_total(1)==0 % x_total(1)==1.4*x_stop
51	$x_{total}(2) = 0;$
52	end
53	$x_valve=x_total(1);$
54	$x_dot_valve=x_total(2);$
55	
56	$x_right = 0.5 * p.d_suction + p.a_suction;$
57	$x_left=p.a_suction -0.5*p.d_suction;$
58	
59	<pre>x_ave_suction = (1/(x_right-x_left)) * (((3*x_valve)/p.a_suction</pre>
60	+((9*x_valve)/(2*p.a_suction))*(x_right^2-p.a_suction^2) -3*x_valve*(x_right-p.a_suction));
61 62	
62	A_port=p.A_suction;
03	
64 CF	If $pi*p.d_suction*x_ave_suction < A_port$
05 66	$dm = -p \cdot rho_1 * V * p \cdot p \cdot d_suction * x_a ve_suction;$
00 67	else
07	am=-p.rno_1*v*A_port;
68	end
69 70	
70	% If there is flowrate coming out
/1 70	eiseit $Y \ge p \cdot Y_a$
12	$r = p \cdot r_{-} q / r;$
13	IT $Pr > Pr_{-}$ IIm It Magnetic (0) / (a second 1)) ((D ^/(1 - 1)) / (D ^)) (1)
74 75	Via=sqrt((2/(p.gamma-1))*((Pr((1-p.gamma)/p.gamma))-1));
15 76	
10	IVIa=1;

77	end
78	V = sgrt(p.gamma*p.R*T*1000)*Ma;
79	else
80	Ma=1:
81	V = sgrt(p,gamma*p,R*T*1000)*Ma:
82	end
83	$x_1 = x_v a v e_1$:
84	$x_2 = x_dot_valve_1$;
85	
86	if x_valve_1>=p.x_tr_discharge
87	
88	<pre>k1 = p.t_step*x_RK_flux_dom(x_1,x_2,rho,p. A_discharge_valve,p.k_discharge,V,p.m_eff_discharge, p.C_d,p.A_discharge);</pre>
89	<pre>k2 = p.t_step*x_RK_flux_dom(x_1+0.5*k1(1),x_2+0.5*k1(2), rho,p.A_discharge_valve,p.k_discharge,V,p. m_eff_discharge,p.C_d,p.A_discharge);</pre>
90	k3 = p.t_step*x_RK_flux_dom(x_1+0.5*k2(1),x_2+0.5*k2(2), rho,p.A_discharge_valve,p.k_discharge,V,p. m_eff_discharge,p.C_d,p.A_discharge);
91	<pre>k4 = p.t_step*x_RK_flux_dom(x_1+k3(1),x_2+k3(2),rho,p. A_discharge_valve,p.k_discharge,V,p.m_eff_discharge, p.C_d,p.A_discharge);</pre>
92	
93	$x_total = [x_1; x_2] + (1/6) * (k_1 + 2 + k_2 + 2 + k_3 + k_4);$
94	
95	else
96	
97	<pre>k1 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1, x_2, rho, p. A_discharge_valve, p.k_discharge, V, p.m_eff_discharge, p.C_d, P, p.P_d);</pre>
98	$ \begin{array}{l} k2 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1+0.5*k1(1),x_2+0.5*k1(2),rho,p.A_discharge_valve,p.k_discharge,V,p.\\ m_eff_discharge,p.C_d,P,p.P_d); \end{array} $
99	<pre>k3 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1+0.5*k1(1),x_2+0.5*k1 (2),rho,p.A_discharge_valve,p.k_discharge,V,p. m_eff_discharge,p.C_d,P,p.P_d);</pre>
100	<pre>k4 = p.t_step*x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1+k1(1),x_2+k1(2),rho, p.A_discharge_valve,p.k_discharge,V,p. m_eff_discharge,p.C_d,P,p.P_d);</pre>
101	
102	$x_total = [x_1; x_2] + (1/6) * (k_1 + 2 + k_2 + 2 + k_3 + k_4);$
103	
104	end
105	
106	if x_total(1)<0
107	x_total(1)=0; %This is the hard stop limit, valve cannot have a negative position
108	end
109	if x_total(1)>p.x_stop
110	x_total(1)=p.x_stop; %Hard stop limit for discharge valve

111	end
112	
113	if x_total(1)==0 x_total(1)==p.x_stop
114	$x_total(2)=0;$
115	end
116	$x_valve=x_total(1)$:
117	x dot valve=x total(2):
118	$X = u \partial t = v u \partial t \partial t u \partial t (2)$
110	x right=0.5*n d discharge+n a discharge:
120	x left-n a discharge -0.5*n d discharge:
120	x_rent_p.u_uisenunge o.s.p.u_uisenunge,
122	x_ave_discharge=(1/(x_right-x_left))*(((3*x_valve)/p. a_discharge^2)*(p.a_discharge^3-x_left^3)-((3*x_valve) /(4*p.a_discharge^3))*(p.a_discharge^4-x_left^4)
123	+((9*x_valve)/(2*p.a_discharge))*(x_right^2-p. a_discharge^2)-3*x_valve*(x_right-p.a_discharge));
124	
125	$A_port = (pi * p. d_discharge^2) / 4;$
126	
127	if _pi*p.d_valve_discharge*x_ave_discharge < A_port
128	dm=rho*V*pi*p.d_valve_discharge*x_ave_discharge;
129	else
130	dm=rho*V*A_port;
131	end
132	
133	else
134	dm=0;
135	Ma=0;
136	$x_v a v e = 0;$
137	$x_d o t_v a v e = 0;$
138	end
139	
140	elseif p.valve_dynamics_on==0
141	
142	%If there is flowrate coming in
143	if p.P_i>P
144	Pr=P/p.P_i;
145	$Ma = \operatorname{sqrt}((2/(p.gamma-1))*((\operatorname{Pr}((1-p.gamma)/p.gamma))-1));$
146	$V = sqrt(p.gamma*p.R*(p.T_i)*1000)*Ma;$
147	dm=-p.rho_i*V*p.A_suction; %negative means flow in!!
148	$x_v a v e = 0;$
149	$x_dot_valve=0;$
150	
151	%if there is flowrate coming out
152	elseif P>=p.P_d
153	$Pr=p \cdot P_d/P;$
154	$M_{a=sqrt}(2/(p.gamma-1))*((Pr^{((1-p.gamma)/p.gamma)})-1));$
155	V = sqrt(p.gamma*p.R*(T)*1000)*Ma;
156	dm=rho*V*p.A_discharge; %positive means flow out!!
157	$x_v a v e = 0;$
158	$x_dot_valve=0;$
159	

```
160
             else
161
                dm=0;
162
                Ma=0;
163
                x_{-}valve=0;
164
                x_dot_valve=0;
165
             end
166
         end
167 elseif p.mass_on==0
168
         x_{-}valve=0;
169
        dm=0;
170
         x_dot_valve=0;
171
        Ma=0;
172 else
173
         x_{-}valve=0;
174
        dm=0;
         x_dot_valve=0;
175
176
        Ma=0;
177 end
178
179 end
```

```
1 function [a] = x_RK_flux_dom(x_1, x_2, rho, A_valve, k_valve, V, m_eff, C_d,
A_port)
2 %mass flux dominant valve position derivatives
3
4 a=[x_2;(rho*A_port*(V-x_2)^2-k_valve*x_1+0.5*C_d*rho*V^2*A_valve-0.5*C_d
*rho*x_2^2*A_valve)/m_eff];
5
6 end
```

```
1 function [a] = x_RK_pressure_dom(x_1, x_2, rho, A_valve, k_valve, V, m_eff, C_d
, P_high, P_low)
2 %pressure dominant valve position derivatives
3 
4 a=[x_2;(-k_valve*x_1+0.5*C_d*rho*V^2*A_valve+A_valve*(P_high-P_low)
*1000-0.5*C_d*rho*x_2^2*A_valve)/m_eff];
5 
6 end
```

```
1 function [ dm_leak_in, dm_leak_out, Ma_cv2 ] = leakage( P, P_cv2,
       x_dot_piston ,T, rho , T_cv2 , x_piston ,p )
  %UNTITLED Summary of this function goes here
2
3 %
        Detailed explanation goes here
4
5
   Pr_limit = 0.556999;
                                  %Sonic limit to the pressure ratio, for R
       -134a
6
7
   if p.leakage_on==1
8
9
        Couette-Posille Flow, for when Ma<0.3
10
        mu=5.87509602E-07+3.79308232E-08*T; %approximation for mu between
11
           290 and 390K, in kg/m-s
12
13
        L_piston=p.L_piston_max-x_piston;
14
15
        dP_dx = (P_cv2 - P) / L_piston;
16
        dP_dx = dP_dx * 1000; %convert to N/m<sup>3</sup>
17
18
        u_bar = (-x_dot_piston/2) + (p_g^2/(4*mu)) * (-dP_dx) + (p_g^3/(6*mu)) * dP_dx
           ;
19
20
        A = (pi/4) * (p. D_piston + 2*p.g)^2 - (pi/4) * (p. D_piston^2);
21
22
23
        Ma_1 = abs(u_bar)/sqrt(p_gamma*p_R*T);
24
        Ma_2 = abs(u_bar)/sqrt(p.gamma*p.R*T_cv2);
25
26
27
        if Ma_1>Ma_2
28
            Ma_cv2=Ma_1;
29
        else
30
            Ma_cv2=Ma_2;
31
        end
32
33
        % Isentropic compressible flow when Ma>=0.3
34
35
        if Ma_cv2 >= 0.3
                             %Compressible flow
36
37
            Pr=min(P/P_cv2, P_cv2/P); %grab the PR smaller than one
38
39
            if P \ge P_cv2 %flow into CV2
40
                 Ma_cv2=sqrt((2/(p.gamma-1))*((Pr^((1-p.gamma)/p.gamma))-1));
41
42
                 if Ma_cv2>1
43
                     Ma_cv2=1;
44
                 end
                 u_bar = sqrt(p_gamma * p_R * T * 1000) * Ma_cv2;
                                                             %T because this
45
                    flow comes from compression chamber
```

```
elseif P < P_cv2 %flow into compression chamber
   Ma_cv2 = sqrt((2/(p.gamma-1))*((Pr^((1-p.gamma)/p.gamma))-1));
```

```
Ma_cv2=1;
51
                end
52
53
                u_bar=-sqrt(p.gamma*p.R*T_cv2*1000)*Ma_cv2; %T because
                    this flow comes from CV2
54
55
            else
56
                error('your logic is poor in the leakage sub-model')
57
            end
58
59
        end
60
61
        dm_leak=rho*u_bar*A;
62
63
        if dm_leak < 0
                       %flow into compression chamber
64
            dm_leak_out=0;
65
            dm_leak_in=abs(dm_leak);
                            %flow out of compression chamber
66
        elseif dm_leak>0
            dm_leak_out = abs(dm_leak);
67
68
            dm_leak_in=0;
69
        else
70
            dm_leak_in=0;
71
            dm_leak_out=0;
72
        end
73
74
   elseif p.leakage_on==0
75
76
        Ma_cv2=0;
77
        dm_leak_in=0;
78
        dm_leak_out=0;
79
80
   else
81
82
        Ma_cv2=0;
83
        dm_leak_in=0;
        dm_leak_out=0;
84
85 end
86
87 end
```

46 47 48

49

50

if Ma_cv2>1

```
1 function [Q] = Ins_HT(T, rho, T_w, V, dV, x_piston, x_dot_piston, p)
2 %Instanteneous heat transfer model for heat transfer between the
       cylinder
3 %wall and the compressor gas. Used in all control volumes.
4
5
   if p.heat_transfer_on==1
6
7 %%%%%%%%%%
8 %Approximate Viscosity
9 %%%%%%%%%%
10 mu=5.87509602E-07+3.79308232E-08*T; %approximation for mu between 290
       and 390K, in kg/m-s
11
12 %%%%%%%%
13 %Fagotti Correlation, 1998 Purdue Compressor conference
14
15 %%%%%%%%
16 %Compressibility Number
17 %%%%%%%%
18 L = ((p.gamma-1)/V) * dV * sqrt(p.D_piston^3/(p.alpha * abs(p.x_dot_ave)));
19
20 if isnan(L)
21
       L=0:
22 end
23 %%%%%%%%
24 %Reynolds Numbers for the process
25 %%%%%%%%
26 Re=(rho*abs(p.x_dot_ave)*p.D_piston)/mu;
27
28 %%%%%%%%%
29 %Heat Transfer Area
30 %%%%%%%%
31 A_ht=p. D_piston * pi * x_piston;
32
33 %%%%%%%
34 %Calculate Heat Transfer
35 %%%%%%
36 Q=((A_ht*p.k_r*(T-T_w))/p.D_piston)*(p.A*(Re^p.B)+p.C*L*(T_w/(T-T_w)));
37
38
   if isnan(Q)
39
       Q=0;
40 end
41
42 Q = -Q/1000;
43 else
44
       Q=0;
45 end
46
47 end
```

```
1 function [ Q_cv2 ] = Ins_HT_cv2( T_cv2, rho_cv2, T_w_cv2, V_cv2, dV_cv2, )
       x_dot_piston , Q_motor , p)
2 %UNTITLED3 Summary of this function goes here
3 %
       Detailed explanation goes here
4
5
   if p.heat_transfer_on==1 && p.leakage_on == 1
6
       %%%%%%%%%%
7
       %Heat Transfer Area
       %%%%%%%%%%
8
9
        A_ht_cv2=p.D_cv2*pi*p.L_cv2;
        Q_cv2 = (1/1000) * (p.k_alum * A_ht_cv2 * (T_w_cv2 - T_cv2)) / (p.t_shell); \%
10
           Heat Transfer in kW
11
   else
12
13
        Q_{-}cv2=0;
14 end
15
16 end
```

```
function [p, error_stroke, a, below, above] = brents(p, a, error_brents)
1
   %function help here
2
3
4
   tol = 1e-5;
5
6
   if p.brent == 1
7
        p.x_stroke_brent(a) = p.x_stroke;
8
        if a \ge 2 %a greater than 1
9
10
            if p.x_stroke_brent(a)< p.x_stroke_ref</pre>
11
                p.below = a;
12
            elseif p.x_stroke_brent(a) > p.x_stroke_ref
                p.above = a;
13
14
            end
15
16
            %both previous pts are below desired stroke, brents if there
                exists
            %a point above
17
            if p.x_stroke_brent(a) < p.x_stroke_ref && p.x_stroke_brent(a-1)
18
                 < p.x_stroke_ref
19
                 if isfield(p, 'above') == 1
20
                     b_k = p.P_brent(a);
21
                     a_k = p.P_brent(p.above);
22
                     b_{k_1} = p.P_{brent}(a-1);
23
                    %bisection step
24
                    m = (p \cdot P_brent(a) + a_k)/2;
25
                    %Secant step
                     s=p.P_brent(a) - (p.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.x_stroke_ref)
26
                        *(p.P_brent(a) - p.P_brent(a-1))/(p.x_stroke_brent(a
                         ) - p.x_stroke_brent(a-1));
27
                     if s < b_k \& s > m
28
                         p \cdot P_{-}brent(a+1) = s;
29
                         p.method_n(a)=1;
30
                     else
31
                         p.P_brent(a+1)=m;
32
                         p.method_n(a)=2;
33
                     end
34
                     if a > = 3
35
                         if abs(p.P_brent(a)-p.P_brent(a-1)) < tol
36
                              if p.method_n(a-1) = 1 %used secant method
37
                                  if abs(p.P_brent(a-1)-p.P_brent(a-2)) < tol
38
                                      p.P_brent(a+1)=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
39
                                      p.method_n(a)=2;
40
                                  end
41
                              else
42
                                  p.P_brent(a+1)=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
43
                                  p.method_n(a)=2;
44
                              end
45
                         elseif abs(s-b_k) > 0.5*abs(b_k-b_{k-1})
46
                              if p.method_n(a-1) = 1 %used secant method
```

```
47
                                                                              if abs(s-b_k) > 0.5*abs(b_k_1-p.P_brent(a-2))
48
                                                                                       p.P_brent(a+1)=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
49
                                                                                       p.method_n(a)=2;
50
                                                                             end
51
                                                                    else
52
                                                                             p.P_brent(a+1)=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
53
                                                                             p.method_n(a)=2;
54
                                                                    end
55
                                                          end
                                                end
56
57
                                      else
                                               %Secant Step
58
59
                                                p.P_brent(a+1) = p.P_brent(a) - (p.x_stroke_brent(a) - p
                                                         .x_stroke_ref)*(p.P_brent(a) - p.P_brent(a-1))/(p.
                                                        x_stroke_brent(a) - p.x_stroke_brent(a-1));
60
                                                p.method_n(a)=1; \%1 is secant, 2 is bisection
61
                                      end
62
                            %One below, one above (straddled), employ brents method
63
                            elseif (p.x_stroke_brent(a) < p.x_stroke_ref && p.x_stroke_brent
                                    (a-1) > p.x_stroke_ref) || (p.x_stroke_brent(a) > p.
                                    x_stroke_ref \& p.x_stroke_brent(a-1) < p.x_stroke_ref
64
                                     %If there is only one point below, then...
65
                                      if a = = 2
66
                                               %Bi-section Step
67
                                                p.P_brent(a+1) = (p.P_brent(a)+p.P_brent(a-1))/2;
68
                                                p.method_n(a)=2;
                                      else
                                                      %Brents method start
69
70
                                               %if the current iterate is above the reference
71
                                                if p.x_stroke_brent(a) >= p.x_stroke_ref
72
                                                          b_k = p.P_brent(a);
73
                                                          a_k = p.P_brent(p.below);
74
                                                          b_k_1 = p.P_brent(a-1);
75
                                                         %bisection step
                                                         m = (p \cdot P_brent(a) + a_k) / 2;
76
77
                                                         %Secant step
78
                                                          s=p.P_brent(a) - (p.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.
                                                                  x_stroke_ref) * (p.P_brent(a) - p.P_brent(a-1)) / (p.P_brent(a-1)) / (p.P_brent(a-1)) / (p.P_brent(a-1)) / (p.P_brent(a-1)) / (p.P_brent(a) - p.P_brent(a-1)) / (p.P_brent(a-1)) / (p.P_brent(a-1))
                                                                  .x_stroke_brent(a) - p.x_stroke_brent(a-1));
79
                                                          if s < b_k \& s > m
80
                                                                    p.P_brent(a+1)=s;
81
                                                                    p.method_n(a)=1;
82
                                                          else
83
                                                                    p.P_brent(a+1)=m;
                                                                    p.method_n(a)=2;
84
85
                                                          end
86
                                               %if the current iterate is below the reference
87
                                                else
88
                                                          b_k = p.P_brent(a);
                                                          a_k = p.P_brent(p.above);
89
90
                                                          b_k_1 = p.P_brent(a-1);
91
                                                         %bisection step
```

92	$m = (p \cdot P_brent(a) + a_k) / 2;$
93	%Secant step
94	s=p.P_brent(a) - (p.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.
	$x_stroke_ref)*(p.P_brent(a) - p.P_brent(a-1))/(p$
	.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.x_stroke_brent(a-1));
95	if s $<$ b_k && s $>$ m
96	p. P_{-} brent (a+1)=s;
97	$p.method_n(a)=1;$
98	else
99	p.P_brent(a+1)=m;
100	$p.method_n(a)=2;$
101	end
102	end
103	
104	%Brent's addition to the algorithmn
105	if a>=3
106	if $abs(p, P_brent(a)-p, P_brent(a-1)) < tol$
107	if p.method $n(a-1) = 1$ % used secant method
108	if $abs(p, P, brent(a-1)-p, P, brent(a-2)) < tol$
100	n P brent $(a+1)=(n P brent (a)+a k)/2$
110	p method $n(a) - 2$
111	p . $method_m(a) = 2$,
110	
112	n P brent $(2 \pm 1) = (n P brent (2) \pm 2 k)/2$
113	p. $r_b = b = b = b = b = b = b = b = b = b =$
114	p . method_m(a) = 2,
115	end $a_{1} = b_{1} (a_{1} + b_{2}) > 0 = b_{2} (b_{1} + b_{2} + b_{3})$
110	$elself abs(s-b_K) > 0.5*abs(b_K-b_K_1)$
11/	If p.method_n(a-1) == 1 % used secant method
118	$ \mathbf{T} $
110	
119	$p \cdot P_brent(a+1) = (p \cdot P_brent(a) + a_k) / 2;$
120	$p.method_n(a)=2;$
121	end
122	else
123	$p.P_brent(a+1)=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;$
124	$p.method_n(a)=2;$
125	end
126	end
127	end
128	end %brents method end
129	
130	%both above the desired stroke, if p.below does exist, employ
	brents method
131	<pre>elseif p.x_stroke_brent(a) > p.x_stroke_ref && p.x_stroke_brent(</pre>
	$a-1) > p.x_stroke_ref$
132	if isfield (p, 'below')==0
133	p.P_brent(a+1)=p.P_brent(a-1)*0.4;
134	else
135	%if the current iterate is above the reference
136	if p.x_stroke_brent(a) >= p.x_stroke_ref
137	$b_k = p.P_brent(a);$
138	$a_k = p. P_brent(p. below);$

139	$b_k_1 = p.P_brent(a-1);$
140	%bisection step
141	m=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
142	%Secant step
143	s=p.P_brent(a) - (p.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.
	x_stroke_ref)*(p.P_brent(a) - p.P_brent(a-1))/(p
	.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.x_stroke_brent(a-1));
144	if $s < b_k \& s > m$
145	p. $P_brent(a+1)=s;$
146	p.method_n(a)=1;
147	else
148	$p.P_brent(a+1)=m;$
149	p.method_n(a)=2;
150	end
151	%if the current iterate is below the reference
152	else
153	$b_k = p.P_brent(a);$
154	a_k = p.P_brent(p.above);
155	$b_k_1 = p.P_brent(a-1);$
156	%bisection step
157	m=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
158	%Secant step
159	s=p.P_brent(a) - (p.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.
	$x_stroke_ref)*(p.P_brent(a) - p.P_brent(a-1))/(p$
	.x_stroke_brent(a) - p.x_stroke_brent(a-1));
160	if s $<$ b_k && s $>$ m
161	p. $P_brent(a+1)=s;$
162	p.method_n(a)=1;
163	else
164	$p.P_brent(a+1)=m;$
165	p.method_n(a)=2;
166	end
167	end
168	
169	%Brent's addition to the algorithmn
170	if a>=3
171	if $abs(p.P_brent(a)-p.P_brent(a-1)) < tol$
172	if <code>p.method_n(a-1) == 1</code> %used secant method
173	if $abs(p.P_brent(a-1)-p.P_brent(a-2)) < tol$
174	$p \cdot P_brent(a+1) = (p \cdot P_brent(a) + a_k)/2;$
175	$p.method_n(a)=2;$
176	end
177	else
178	p.P_brent(a+1)=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
179	$p.method_n(a)=2;$
180	end
181	elseif abs(s-b_k) > 0.5*abs(b_k-b_k_1)
182	if p.method_n(a-1) == 1 % used secant method
183	if $abs(s-b_k) > 0.5*abs(b_k_1-p.P_brent(a-2))$
184	$p \cdot P_brent(a+1) = (p \cdot P_brent(a) + a_k)/2;$
185	p.method_n(a)=2;

```
186
                                      end
187
                                  else
188
                                      p.P_brent(a+1)=(p.P_brent(a)+a_k)/2;
                                      p.method_n(a)=2;
189
190
                                 end
191
                            end
192
                        end
                   end %brents method end
193
194
              \mathsf{end}
195
              error_stroke = abs(p.x_stroke_ref - p.x_stroke_brent(a));
196
197
              a = a + 1;
198
          else % a=1
199
200
              error_stroke = 1;
201
              \mathsf{a} \; = \; \mathsf{a} + \mathsf{1};
202
              p.method_n=0;
203
         end % end entry logic
     else %if Brent's method is turned off
204
          error_stroke = 0;
205
206
    end
207
208 end
```

```
function [ property ] = EOS( value1, value2, propertyname, name )
1
2
   %EOS Mex function wrapper
3 %
4 %Equation of state for r-134a calculations
5 %
       give the function two values, temperature is required.
6
   %
       the preferred second value is density, the alternative is pressure.
7
  %
      The name field is 'rho' if the second value is density.
  %
      The name field is 'P' if the second value is pressure.
8
9
   %
      Property name is the property you are looking for:
10
   %
           -rho
11 %
           -pressure
12 %
           -enthalpy
13 %
           -internalenergy
14 %
           -entropy
15 %
           -Cp
16 %
           -Cv
17
   %
           -conductivity
18 %
           -viscosity
19 %
      [ property ] = EOS( value1, value2, propertyname, name )
20
21 % either 'mex' or 'code'
22 code = 'mex';
23
24 switch code
25
       case 'mex'
26
            switch name
27
                case 'rho'
28
29
                   switch propertyname
30
                    case 'rho
31
                         property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 1, 1);
32
                    case 'pressure'
33
                         property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 2, 1);
34
                    case 'enthalpy'
35
                         property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,3,1);
                    case 'internalenergy
36
37
                         property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,4,1);
38
                    case 'entropy'
39
                         property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,5,1);
40
                    case 'Cp'
41
                         property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 6, 1);
42
                    case 'Cv'
43
                         property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,7,1);
44
                    case 'conductivity'
45
                         property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,8,1);
46
                    case 'viscosity'
47
                         property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 9, 1);
48
                    case 'psat'
49
                         property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,10,1);
50
                    otherwise
```

```
error('Incorrect property name.')
51
52
                     end
53
                 case 'P'
54
55
56
                     switch propertyname
                      case 'rho'
57
58
                          property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 1, 2);
59
                      case 'pressure'
60
                          property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 2, 2);
61
                      case 'enthalpy'
62
                          property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 3, 2);
                      case 'internalenergy
63
                          property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,4,2);
64
65
                      case 'entropy'
66
                          property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,5,2);
67
                      case 'Cp'
                          property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,6,2);
68
69
                      case 'Cv'
70
                          property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,7,2);
71
                      case 'conductivity'
72
                          property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,8,2);
73
                      case 'viscosity'
74
                          property=EOS_mex(value1, value2, 9, 2);
75
                      case 'rhosat'
76
                          property=EOS_mex(value1,value2,10,2);
77
                      otherwise
78
                          error('Incorrect property name.')
79
                     end
80
81
82
                 otherwise
83
                      error('Incorrect name input to EOS, either rho or P.')
84
             end
85
86
87
         case 'code'
88
89
             fluid='R134A'; %Used to change the fluid desired, only
                 applicable when using REFPROP to calculate properties.
90
91
92
             method=1; %Operator to determine which calcuation method to use
                  for calculating fluid properties.
93
                          % 1=ideal gas
                          % 2=REFPROP.
94
95
                         % 3=R134a EOS hard-coded
96
97
             if method==1
98
                      Cv_v = 0.7633:
                                     %All these values for r-134a
99
                     R = 0.08149;
100
                      Cp_v = R + Cv_v;
```

101	switch propertyname
102	case 'pressure'
103	property=value2*R*value1;
104	case 'enthalpy'
105	if name="'rho'
106	
107	property=Cp_v*value1;
108	end
109	
110	if name="P'
111	property=Cp_v*value1;
112	end
113	case 'entropy'
114	if name="'rho'
115	property=refpropm(`S`,`T`,value1,`D`,value2, fluid):
116	end
117	
118	if name=='P'
119	property=refpropm('S', 'T', value1, 'P', value2.
	fluid);
120	end
121	case 'internalenergy'
122	if name=='rho'
123	property=Cv_v*value1;
124	end
125	
126	if name="P'
127	property=Cv_v*value1;
128	end
129	case 'Cv'
130	if name=='rho'
131	property=Cv_v;
132	end
133	
134	if name=='P'
135	property=Cv_v;
136	end
137	case 'rho'
138	property=value2 / (R*value1);
139	end
140	elseif method==2
141	switch propertyname
142	case 'pressure'
143	property=refpropm('P','T',value1,'D',value2,fluid);
144	case 'enthalpy'
145	if name=='rho'
146	property=refpropm(`H', 'T',value1, 'D',value2,
1 4 7	fluid);
147	end
148	
149	IT name== P

150	property=refpropm('H','T',value1,'P',value2, fluid);
151	end
152	case 'entropy'
153	if name=='rho'
154	property=refpropm('S','T',value1,'D',value2, fluid);
155	end
156	
157	if name=='P'
158	property=refpropm(`S`,`T`,value1,`P`,value2, fluid);
159	end
160	case 'internalenergy'
161	if name=='rho'
162	property=refpropm(`U`,`T`,value1,`D`,value2, fluid);
163	end
164	
165	if name=='P'
166	property=refpropm(`U`,`T`,value1,`P`,value2, fluid);
167	end
168	case 'Cv'
169	if name=='rho'
170	property=refpropm('O','T',value1,'D',value2, fluid);
171	end
172	
173	if name=='P'
174	property=refpropm('O','T',value1,'P',value2, fluid);
175	end
176	case 'rho'
177	property=refpropm('D', 'T',value1, 'P',value2, fluid);
178	end
179	
180	
181	elseif method==3
182	switch propertyname
183	case 'pressure'
184	property=pressure(value1,value2);
185	case 'enthalpy'
186	if name="'rho'
187	property=enthalpy(value1,value2);
188	end
189	
190	if name=='P'
191	property=enthalpy_P(value1.value2):
192	end
193	case 'entropy'
194	if name="'rho'

195	property=entropy(value1,value2);
196	end
197	
198	if name=='P'
199	property=entropy_P(value1,value2);
200	end
201	case 'internalenergy'
202	if name=='rho'
203	property=internalenergy(value1,value2);
204	end
205	
206	if name="P'
207	property=internalenergy_P (value1 , value2) ;
208	end
209	case 'Cv'
210	if name=='rho'
211	property=Cv(value1 ,value2);
212	end
213	
214	if name=='P'
215	property=Cv_P(value1,value2);
216	end
217	case 'rho'
218	property=rho(value1,value2);
219	end
220	
221	else
222	<pre>disp('Error in EOS, property calculation selection invalid.')</pre>
223	end
224	
225	end

```
function [Cv] = Cv(T, rho)
1
 2
   %This function calculates the specific heat (at constant volume)
3 % for R-134a with a reference state at -40C and saturated
                                                                        liquid.
4
5
6
7
  %Define Constants
   T_{star} = 374.18;
8
                        %K
9
    rho_star = 508;
                        %kg/m^3
10 R=0.08149;
                        %kJ/kg-K
11
12
   a = [0.5586817 e - 1]
13
        0.4982230,
14
        0.2458698e - 1,
15
        0.8570145e - 3.
16
        0.4788584e - 3,
17
         -0.1800808e1,
18
        0.2671641,
19
         -0.4781652e - 1,
20
        0.1423987 e - 1,
21
        0.3324062.
22
         -0.7485907e-2,
23
        0.1017263e - 3,
24
         -0.5184567,
25
         -0.8692288e - 1,
26
        0.2057144,
27
         -0.5000457 e - 2,
28
        0.4603262e - 3,
29
         -0.3497836e - 2,
30
        0.6995038e - 2,
31
         -0.1452184e - 1,
32
         -0.1285458e - 3;
33
34
   t = [-0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1.5, 1.5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 5, 5, 6, 10, 10, 10, 18, 22, 50];
   d = [2, 1, 3, 6, 6, 1, 1, 2, 5, 2, 2, 4, 1, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, 5, 3, 10];
35
36
   N = [8, 11, 17, 20, 21];
37
    a_{-}o = [-1.019535]
38
39
        9.047135,
40
         -1.629789,
41
         -9.723916,
42
         -3.927170];
43
44
   t_{-}o = [0, 1, 0, -0.5, -0.75];
45
46 %%Initial Calculations
47
   tau = T_{star}/T;
48 delta=rho/rho_star;
49
50 %Calculate phi_o_tau_tau
```

```
51
52 t_2 = 0;
53 for i=4:1:5
        t2=t2+a_{0}(i)*t_{0}(i)*(t_{0}(i)-1)*tau^{(i)}(t_{0}(i)-2);
54
55 end
56
57 phi_o_tau_tau = -(a_o(3)/tau^2) + t2;
58
59 %Calculate phi_r_tau_tau
60
61 t1=0;
62
63 for i = 1:1:N(1)
        t1=t1+a(i)*t(i)*(t(i)-1)*(delta^{(i)})*(tau^{(i)}-2));
64
65 end
66
67 t2_{-}a = 0;
68 for k = 1:1:4
69
        t2_{-}b=0;
70
        for i = (N(k)+1): 1: N(k+1)
71
            t2_b=t2_b+a(i)*t(i)*(t(i)-1)*(delta^(d(i)))*(tau^(t(i)-2));
72
        end
73
        t2_a=t2_a+exp(-delta^k)*t2_b;
74
75 end
76
77
   phi_r_tau_tau=t1+t2_a;
78
79 Cv=-R*(tau^2)*(phi_o_tau_tau+phi_r_tau_tau);
80
81 end
```

```
1 function [ Cv ] = Cv_P(T,P)
2 %Cv given T and P
3 %
        Detailed explanation goes here
4
5 %T=284;
6 %P = 426.51;
7
8 clear rho
9 rho(2)=10;
10 rho(1) = 9.5;
11 i=2;
12 dP=1;
13
14
15
   while abs(dP) > 0.00001
        rho(i+1)=rho(i)-(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T))
16
           , rho(i))-pressure(T, rho(i-1)));
17
       dP = pressure(T, rho(i+1)) - P;
18
19
        i = i + 1;
20
   end
21
22
       rho_c=rho(i);
23
      Cv = Cv_P(T, rho_c);
24
25
26 end
```

```
1 function [h]=enthalpy(T,rho)
2 %%Function calculates the enthalpy of R-134a given the temperature and
3 %%density. Reference state is -40C, sat liquid.
4
5 %T=100+273.15;
6 %rho=1/0.50410;
7
8 %Reference value pre-allocated for speed.
9 h=(internalenergy(T,rho)+pressure(T,rho)/rho)-0.020168116568033;
10 %h=(internalenergy(T,rho)+pressure(T,rho)/rho)-enthalpy_ref;
11 %end
```

```
1 function [h] = enthalpy_P(T,P)
    2~ %enthalpy given T and P
    3 %
                                            Detailed explanation goes here
    4
    5 %T=284;
    6 %P = 426.51;
    7
    8 clear rho
    9 rho(2)=10;
10 rho(1) = 9.5;
11 i=2;
12 dP=1;
13
14
                  while abs(dP) > 0.00001
15
16
                                            rho(i+1)=rho(i)-(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-r
                                                                , rho(i))-pressure(T, rho(i-1)));
17
                                          dP = pressure(T, rho(i+1)) - P;
18
19
                                            i = i + 1;
20
                 end
21
22
                                      rho_c=rho(i);
23
24
                                     h=enthalpy(T, rho_c);
25 end
```

```
1 function [h]=enthalpy_ref
2 %%Function calculates the enthalpy of R-134a given the temperature and
3 %%density.
4
5 T=-40+273.15;
6 rho=1/0.0007054;
7 h=internalenergy(T,rho)+pressure(T,rho)/rho;
8
9 end
```

```
1 function [u]=internalenergy (T, rho)
   %%Function calculates the Internal energy of R-134a given the
 2
        temperature and
3
   %/density. Reference state is -40C, sat liquid.
4
5 %T=52+273.15;
6 %rho = 1/0.0009150;
7 %T=284;
8 %rho=20.794;
9
10
11 % Define Constants
12
   T_{-}star = 374.18;
                       %K
                       %kg/m^3
13 rho_star = 508;
14 R=0.08148886;
                           %kJ/kg-K
15
16
   a = [0.5586817 e - 1]
17
        0.4982230,
18
        0.2458698e - 1,
19
        0.8570145e - 3,
        0.4788584e - 3.
20
21
         -0.1800808e1,
22
        0.2671641,
23
         -0.4781652e - 1,
24
        0.1423987 e - 1,
25
        0.3324062,
26
         -0.7485907 e - 2,
27
        0.1017263e - 3,
28
         -0.5184567 ,
29
         -0.8692288e - 1,
30
        0.2057144,
31
         -0.5000457e - 2.
        0.4603262 e - 3,
32
33
         -0.3497836e - 2.
34
        0.6995038e - 2,
35
         -0.1452184e - 1,
36
         -0.1285458e - 3;
37
   t = [-0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1.5, 1.5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 5, 5, 6, 10, 10, 10, 18, 22, 50];
38
39
   d = [2,1,3,6,6,1,1,2,5,2,2,4,1,4,1,2,4,1,5,3,10];
40
   N = [8, 11, 17, 20, 21];
41
42
   a_{-}o = [-1.019535]
43
        9.047135,
44
         -1.629789,
45
         -9.723916,
46
         -3.927170];
47
   t_{-}o = [0, 1, 0, -0.5, -0.75];
48
49
```

```
50 %/Initial Calculations
51 tau=T_star/T;
52 delta=rho/rho_star;
53
54 %%Calculate phi_o_tau%%
55
56 t3=0; %initialize t3
57
   for i = 4:1:5
58
        t3=t3+a_{0}(i)*t_{0}(i)*tau^{(t_{0}(i)-1)}; %calculate the third term
59 end
60 phi_o_tau = a_o(2) + (a_o(3)/tau) + t3; %add up all three terms in phi_o_tau
61
62 %%Calculate phi_r_tau%%
63
64
65 t1=0;
66
   for i = 1:1:N(1)
67
        t1 = t1 + a(i) * t(i) * (delta^{(i)}) * (tau^{(i)} - 1));
68
69
   end
70
71 i=0;
72 t2_{-}a = 0;
73 c=0;
74 for k = 1:1:4
75
        t2_{-}b = 0;
76
        for i = (N(k)+1): 1: N(k+1)
77
            t2_b=t2_b+a(i)*t(i)*(delta(d(i)))*(tau(t(i)-1));
78
            c = c + 1:
79
        end
80
        t2_a=t2_a+exp(-delta^k)*t2_b;
81
82
  end
83
   phi_r_tau=t1+t2_a;
84
85
86 %%Calculate internal energy%%
87
88 %Second number is the reference internal energy, pre-calculated for
       speed
   u=(R*T*tau*(phi_r_tau+phi_o_tau)) -1.481554668959972e+02;
89
90 %u=(R*T*tau*(phi_r_tau+phi_o_tau))-internalenergy_ref;
91
92 h=u+pressure(T, rho)/rho;
93
94
95 %end
```

```
1 function [u] = internalenergy_P(T,P)
    2~\% internal energy given T and P
    3 %
                                          Detailed explanation goes here
    4
    5 %T=284;
   6 %P=426.51;
    7
    8 clear rho
    9 rho(2) = 10;
10 rho(1) = 9.5;
11 i=2;
12 dP=1;
13
14
                 while abs(dP) > 0.00001
15
16
                                          rho(i+1)=rho(i)-(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-r
                                                              , rho(i))−pressure(T, rho(i−1)));
17
                                        dP = pressure(T, rho(i+1)) - P;
18
19
                                          i = i + 1;
20
                end
21
22
                                     rho_c=rho(i);
23
24
                                   u=internalenergy (T, rho_c);
25
26 end
```

```
1 function [u]=internalenergy_ref
 2
   %%Function calculates the Internal energy of R-134a given the
        temperature and
3
   %%density.
4
5
  T = -40 + 273.15;
6
   rho = 1/0.00070540;
7 %T=284;
8
   %rho = 20.794;
9
10
11 % Define Constants
12
    T_{-}star = 374.18;
                        %K
   rho_star = 508;
                        %kg/m^3
13
14
   R = 0.08148886;
                           %kJ/kg-K
15
16
    a = [0.5586817 e - 1]
17
        0.4982230,
18
        0.2458698e - 1,
19
        0.8570145e - 3,
        0.4788584e - 3.
20
21
         -0.1800808e1,
22
        0.2671641,
23
         -0.4781652e - 1,
24
        0.1423987 e - 1,
25
        0.3324062,
26
         -0.7485907 e - 2,
27
        0.1017263e - 3,
28
         -0.5184567,
29
         -0.8692288e - 1,
30
        0.2057144,
31
         -0.5000457e - 2.
        0.4603262 e - 3,
32
33
         -0.3497836e - 2.
34
        0.6995038e - 2,
35
         -0.1452184e - 1,
36
         -0.1285458e - 3;
37
   t = [-0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1.5, 1.5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 5, 5, 6, 10, 10, 10, 18, 22, 50];
38
39
   d = [2,1,3,6,6,1,1,2,5,2,2,4,1,4,1,2,4,1,5,3,10];
40
   N = [8, 11, 17, 20, 21];
41
42
    a_{-}o = [-1.019535]
43
        9.047135,
44
         -1.629789,
45
         -9.723916,
46
         -3.927170];
47
   t_{-}o = [0, 1, 0, -0.5, -0.75];
48
49
```

```
50 %%Initial Calculations
51 tau=T_star/T;
52 delta=rho/rho_star;
53
54 %%Calculate phi_o_tau%%
55
56 t3=0; % initialize t3
57
   for i = 4:1:5
58
        t3=t3+a_{0}(i)*t_{0}(i)*tau^{(t_{0}(i)-1)}; %calculate the third term
59 end
60 phi_o_tau = a_o(2) + (a_o(3)/tau) + t3; %add up all three terms in phi_o_tau
61
62 %%Calculate phi_r_tau%%
63
64
65 t1=0;
66
   for i = 1:1:N(1)
67
        t1=t1+a(i)*t(i)*(delta^{(i)})*(tau^{(i)}-1));
68
69
  end
70
71 i=0;
72 t2_a = 0;
73 c=0;
74 for k = 1:1:4
75
        t2_{-}b = 0;
76
        for i = (N(k)+1): 1: N(k+1)
77
            t2_b=t2_b+a(i)*t(i)*(delta^(d(i)))*(tau^(t(i)-1));
78
            c = c + 1;
79
        end
80
        t2_a=t2_a+exp(-delta^k)*t2_b;
81
82 end
83
84
   phi_r_tau=t1+t2_a;
85
86 %%Calculate internal energy%%
87
88 u=R*T*tau*(phi_r_tau+phi_o_tau);
89
90 h=u+pressure(T, rho)/rho;
91
92
93 %end
```

```
1 function [u]=internalenergy (T, rho)
   %%Function calculates the Internal energy of R-134a given the
 2
        temperature and
3
   %/density. Reference state is -40C, sat liquid.
4
5 %T=52+273.15;
6 %rho = 1/0.0009150;
7 %T=284;
8 %rho=20.794;
9
10
11 % Define Constants
12
   T_{-}star = 374.18;
                       %K
                       %kg/m^3
13 rho_star = 508;
14 R=0.08148886;
                           %kJ/kg-K
15
16
   a = [0.5586817 e - 1]
17
        0.4982230,
18
        0.2458698e - 1,
19
        0.8570145e - 3,
        0.4788584e - 3.
20
21
         -0.1800808e1,
22
        0.2671641,
23
         -0.4781652e - 1,
24
        0.1423987 e - 1,
25
        0.3324062,
26
         -0.7485907 e - 2,
27
        0.1017263e - 3,
28
         -0.5184567 ,
29
         -0.8692288e - 1,
30
        0.2057144,
31
         -0.5000457e - 2.
        0.4603262 e - 3,
32
33
         -0.3497836e - 2.
34
        0.6995038e - 2,
35
         -0.1452184e - 1,
36
         -0.1285458e - 3;
37
   t = [-0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1.5, 1.5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 5, 5, 6, 10, 10, 10, 18, 22, 50];
38
39
   d = [2,1,3,6,6,1,1,2,5,2,2,4,1,4,1,2,4,1,5,3,10];
40
   N = [8, 11, 17, 20, 21];
41
42
   a_{-}o = [-1.019535]
43
        9.047135,
44
         -1.629789,
45
         -9.723916,
46
         -3.927170];
47
   t_{-}o = [0, 1, 0, -0.5, -0.75];
48
49
```

```
50 %/Initial Calculations
51 tau=T_star/T;
52 delta=rho/rho_star;
53
54 %%Calculate phi_o_tau%%
55
56 t3=0; %initialize t3
57
   for i = 4:1:5
58
        t3=t3+a_{0}(i)*t_{0}(i)*tau^{(t_{0}(i)-1)}; %calculate the third term
59 end
60 phi_o_tau = a_o(2) + (a_o(3)/tau) + t3; %add up all three terms in phi_o_tau
61
62 %%Calculate phi_r_tau%%
63
64
65 t1=0;
66
   for i = 1:1:N(1)
67
        t1 = t1 + a(i) * t(i) * (delta^{(i)}) * (tau^{(i)} - 1));
68
69
  end
70
71 i=0;
72 t2_{-}a = 0;
73 c=0;
74 for k = 1:1:4
75
        t2_{-}b = 0;
76
        for i = (N(k)+1): 1: N(k+1)
77
            t2_b=t2_b+a(i)*t(i)*(delta(d(i)))*(tau(t(i)-1));
78
            c = c + 1:
79
        end
80
        t2_a=t2_a+exp(-delta^k)*t2_b;
81
82 end
83
   phi_r_tau=t1+t2_a;
84
85
86 %%Calculate internal energy%%
87
88 %Second number is the reference internal energy, pre-calculated for
       speed
   u=(R*T*tau*(phi_r_tau+phi_o_tau)) -1.481554668959972e+02;
89
90 %u=(R*T*tau*(phi_r_tau+phi_o_tau))-internalenergy_ref;
91
92 h=u+pressure(T, rho)/rho;
93
94
95 %end
```

```
1 function [s]=entropy (T, rho)
   %%Function calculates the Internal energy of R-134a given the
 2
        temperature and
3
   %/density. Reference state is -40C, sat liquid.
4
5
6 %T=100+273.15;
7 %rho = 1/0.50410;
8 %T=284;
9 %rho=20.794;
10
11
   %Define Constants
12
   T_{-}star = 374.18;
                        %K
13
14
   rho_star = 508;
                        %kg/m^3
   R=0.08148886;
                           %kJ/kg-K
15
16
17
   a = [0.5586817 e - 1]
18
         0.4982230,
19
         0.2458698e - 1,
20
         0.8570145e - 3.
21
         0.4788584e - 3,
22
         -0.1800808e1,
23
         0.2671641,
24
         -0.4781652e - 1,
25
         0.1423987e - 1,
26
         0.3324062,
27
         -0.7485907e - 2,
28
         0.1017263e - 3,
29
         -0.5184567,
30
         -0.8692288e - 1,
31
         0.2057144.
32
         -0.5000457e - 2,
33
         0.4603262e - 3,
34
         -0.3497836e - 2,
35
         0.6995038e - 2.
36
         -0.1452184e - 1,
37
         -0.1285458e - 3;
38
39
   t = [-0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1.5, 1.5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 5, 5, 6, 10, 10, 10, 18, 22, 50];
40
   d = [2, 1, 3, 6, 6, 1, 1, 2, 5, 2, 2, 4, 1, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, 5, 3, 10];
41 N = [8, 11, 17, 20, 21];
42
43
   a_{-}o = [-1.019535]
44
         9.047135,
45
         -1.629789,
46
         -9.723916,
47
         -3.927170];
48
49
  t_{-}o = [0, 1, 0, -0.5, -0.75];
```

```
50
  51 %/Initial Calculations
  52 tau=T_star/T;
  53 delta=rho/rho_star;
  54
  55 %%Calculate phi_o_tau%%
  56
          t3=0; %initialize t3
  57
  58
            for i = 4:1:5
                                                                                %MADE A CHANGE HERE
  59
                           t3=t3+a_0(i)*t_0(i)*tau^{(t_0(i)-1)}; %calculate the third term
  60
           end
  61
             phi_o_tau = a_o(2) + (a_o(3)/tau) + t3; %add up all three terms in phi_o_tau
  62
  63 %%Calculate phi_r_tau%%
  64
  65
  66 t1=0;
  67
  68
           for i = 1:1:N(1)
  69
                           t1=t1+a(i)*t(i)*(delta^{(i)})*(tau^{(i)}-1));
  70 end
  71
  72 i=0;
  73 t2_a = 0;
  74 c=0;
  75 for k=1:1:4
  76
                           t2_{-}b = 0;
  77
                            for i = (N(k)+1): 1: N(k+1)
                                         t2_b=t2_b+a(i)*t(i)*(delta(d(i)))*(tau(t(i)-1));
  78
  79
                                        c=c+1;
  80
                           end
  81
                           t2_a=t2_a+exp(-delta^k)*t2_b;
  82
  83 end
  84
  85
            phi_r_tau=t1+t2_a;
  86
  87
            %Calculate phi_o
  88
             phi_o = a_o(1) + a_o(2) + tau + a_o(3) + log(tau) + log(delta) + a_o(4) + (tau^{(-1/2)}) 
  89
                         a_0(5) * (tau^{-3/4});
  90
  91 %Calculate phi_r
  92
  93 i=1;
  94 t1 = 0:
  95 for i=1:1:8
  96
                           t1=t1+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
  97
            end
  98
  99 t2=0;
100 for i = 9:1:11
```
```
t2=t2+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
101
102 end
103 t2=t2*exp(-delta);
104
105 t3_{-}1=0;
106 t_{3-2}=0;
107 for i = 12:1:17
        t3_1=t3_1+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
108
109 end
110 t3_1=t3_1*\exp(-delta^2);
111
112 for i=18:1:20
113
        t3_2=t3_2+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
114 end
115 t3_2=t3_2*\exp(-delta^3);
116 t3=t3_1*t3_2;
117
118 t4=a(21)*exp(-delta^4)*(tau^t(21))*(delta^d(21));
119
120 phi_r=t1+t2+t3+t4;
121
122 %%Calculate entropy
123
124 s=(R*(tau*(phi_o_tau+phi_r_tau)-phi_o-phi_r))-entropy_ref;
```

```
1 function [s] = entropy_P(T,P)
    2 %Cv given T and P
    3 %
                                            Detailed explanation goes here
    4
    5 %T=284;
    6 %P = 426.51;
    7
    8 clear rho
    9 rho(2)=10;
10 rho(1) = 9.5;
11 i=2;
12 dP=1;
13
14
                  while abs(dP) > 0.00001
15
16
                                             rho(i+1)=rho(i)-(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(pressure(T, rho(i))-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-rho(i)-r
                                                                 , rho(i))-pressure(T, rho(i-1)));
17
                                          dP = pressure(T, rho(i+1)) - P;
18
19
                                            i = i + 1;
20
                 end
21
22
                                       rho_c=rho(i);
23
24
                                      s=entropy(T, rho_c);
25
26 end
```

```
1 function [s]=entropy_ref
   %%Function calculates the Internal energy of R-134a given the
 2
        temperature and
3
   %/density. Reference state is -40C, sat liquid.
4
5
6
  T = -40 + 273.15;
   rho = 1/0.0007054;
7
   %T=284;
8
9
   %rho=20.794;
10
11
12
   %Define Constants
   T_{star} = 374.18;
                        %K
13
14
   rho_star = 508;
                        %kg/m^3
   R=0.08148886;
                           %kJ/kg-K
15
16
17
   a = [0.5586817 e - 1]
18
         0.4982230,
19
         0.2458698e - 1,
20
         0.8570145e - 3.
21
         0.4788584e - 3,
22
         -0.1800808e1,
23
         0.2671641,
24
         -0.4781652e - 1,
25
         0.1423987e - 1,
26
         0.3324062,
27
         -0.7485907e - 2,
28
         0.1017263e - 3,
29
         -0.5184567,
30
         -0.8692288e - 1,
31
         0.2057144.
32
         -0.5000457e - 2,
33
         0.4603262e - 3,
34
         -0.3497836e - 2,
35
         0.6995038e - 2.
36
         -0.1452184e - 1,
37
         -0.1285458e - 3;
38
39
   t = [-0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1.5, 1.5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 5, 5, 6, 10, 10, 10, 18, 22, 50];
40
   d = [2, 1, 3, 6, 6, 1, 1, 2, 5, 2, 2, 4, 1, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, 5, 3, 10];
41 N = [8, 11, 17, 20, 21];
42
43
   a_{-}o = [-1.019535]
44
         9.047135,
45
         -1.629789,
46
         -9.723916,
47
         -3.927170];
48
49 t_{-}o = [0, 1, 0, -0.5, -0.75];
```

```
50
  51 %/Initial Calculations
  52 tau=T_star/T;
  53 delta=rho/rho_star;
  54
  55
  56 %%Calculate phi_o_tau%%
  57
  58 t3=0; %initialize t3
  59
           for i = 4:1:5
                                                                   %MADE A CHANGE HERE
                            t3=t3+a_0(i)*t_0(i)*tau^{(t_0(i)-1)}; %calculate the third term
  60
  61 end
             phi_o_tau = a_o(2) + (a_o(3)/tau) + t3; %add up all three terms in phi_o_tau
  62
  63
  64
             %%Calculate phi_r_tau%%
  65
  66
  67 t1=0;
  68
  69
           for i = 1:1:N(1)
  70
                            t1 = t1 + a(i) * t(i) * (delta^{(i)}) * (tau^{(i)} - 1));
  71 end
  72
  73 i=0;
  74 t2_a = 0;
  75 c=0;
  76 for k = 1:1:4
  77
                            t2_{-}b = 0;
  78
                            for i = (N(k)+1): 1: N(k+1)
  79
                                          t2_b=t2_b+a(i)*t(i)*(delta^{(i)})*(tau^{(i)}-1));
  80
                                         c = c + 1;
  81
                            end
  82
                            t2_a=t2_a+exp(-delta^k)*t2_b;
  83
  84
            end
  85
  86
             phi_r_tau=t1+t2_a;
  87
  88 %Calculate phi_o
  89
              phi_o = a_o(1) + a_o(2) * tau + a_o(3) * log(tau) + log(delta) + a_o(4) * (tau^{(-1/2)}) + a_o
  90
                          a_0(5) * (tau^{-3/4});
  91
  92 %Calculate phi_r
  93
  94 i=1:
  95 t1=0;
  96 for i=1:1:8
                            t1=t1+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
  97
  98
            end
  99
100 t_2 = 0;
```

```
101 for i = 9:1:11
        t2=t2+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
102
103 end
104 t2=t2*exp(-delta);
105
106 t3_{-}1=0;
107 t_{3-2}=0;
108 for i = 12:1:17
        t3_1=t3_1+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
109
110 end
111 t3_1=t3_1*exp(-delta^2);
112
113 for i=18:1:20
        t3_2=t3_2+a(i)*(tau^t(i))*(delta^d(i));
114
115 end
116 t3_2=t3_2*exp(-delta^3);
117 t3=t3_1*t3_2;
118
119 t4=a(21)*exp(-delta^4)*(tau^t(21))*(delta^d(21));
120
121 phi_r=t1+t2+t3+t4;
122
123 %%Calculate entropy
124
125 s=(R*(tau*(phi_o_tau+phi_r_tau)-phi_o-phi_r));
```

```
function [P] = pressure(T, rho)
1
 2
   \%Function calculates the pressure of R-134a given the temperature and
3 %%density.
4
5
6
7
   %T=-40+273.15;
   %rho = 1/0.14729;
8
9
10 % Define Constants
11
   T_{-}star = 374.18;
                       %K
12 rho_{-}star = 508;
                       %kg/m^3
13 R=0.08148886;
                           %kJ/kg-K
14
15
   a = [0.5586817 e - 1]
16
        0.4982230,
17
         0.2458698e - 1.
18
        0.8570145e - 3,
19
        0.4788584 e - 3,
20
         -0.1800808e1,
21
        0.2671641.
22
         -0.4781652e - 1,
23
        0.1423987 e - 1,
24
        0.3324062,
25
         -0.7485907e - 2,
26
        0.1017263e - 3,
27
         -0.5184567,
28
         -0.8692288e - 1,
29
        0.2057144,
30
         -0.5000457e-2,
31
        0.4603262e - 3,
32
         -0.3497836e - 2.
33
        0.6995038e - 2,
34
         -0.1452184e - 1,
35
         -0.1285458e - 3;
36
   t = [-0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1.5, 1.5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 5, 5, 6, 10, 10, 10, 18, 22, 50];
37
   d = [2, 1, 3, 6, 6, 1, 1, 2, 5, 2, 2, 4, 1, 4, 1, 2, 4, 1, 5, 3, 10];
38
   N = [8, 11, 17, 20, 21];
39
40
41
42
43 %%Initial Calculations
44 tau=T_star/T;
45
   delta=rho/rho_star;
46
47
48 %%Partial Residuals
49 %/Initalize residual pieces
50
```

```
51 t2_a = 0;
52 t2_b=0;
53 phi_r_delta=0;
54 t_2 = 0;
55 t1=0;
56
57 %%Calculating first term in residual
58
   for i = 1:1:N(1)
59
        t1=a(i)*d(i)*(delta^(d(i)-1))*tau^(t(i));
                                                          %temporary first term
60
        phi_r_delta=t1+phi_r_delta;
                                                          %summation of first
            terms
61
   end
62
63
64
   %%Calculating second term in residual
65
   for k = 1:1:4
        t2_{-}b_{-}t=0;
66
                    %%%% THIS IS THE CRITICAL LINE! %%%%%
67
        t2_{-}b = 0:
        t2_a = exp(-delta^k);
                                    %outer term of the second term
68
69
        for i = N(k) + 1:1:N(k+1)
70
             t2_b_t=a(i)*(d(i)-k*delta^k)*(delta^(d(i)-1))*tau^(t(i));
                                                                                 %
                temporary inner term
            t2_{-}b=t2_{-}b+t2_{-}b_{-}t;
71
                                   % Keep sum of inner loop terms
72
        end
73
        t2_t=t2_a * t2_b;
                                  % temporary multiple of outer and inner
            terms
74
                                  % Keep sum of terms
        t_{2}=t_{-}t_{+}t_{2};
75
   end
76
   phi_r_delta = phi_r_delta + t2;
77
78
79
80 % phi_r_delta = 1;
81
82
83 %%Calculate Pressure
84
85 P=R*T*rho*(1+delta*phi_r_delta);
```

```
1 function [ rho_{-}c ] = rho(T,P)
2 %rho given T and P
3 % Detailed explanation goes here
4
5 %T=284;
6 %P = 426.51;
7
8 clear rho
9 rho=zeros(50);
10 rho(2) = 20;
11 rho(1) = 19.5;
12 i=2;
13 dP=1;
14 P_{loop}=zeros(50);
15
16
17
18
   while abs(dP) > 0.00001
19
        P_loop(i)=pressure(T, rho(i));
        rho(i+1)=rho(i)-(P_loop(i)-P)*(rho(i)-rho(i-1))/(P_loop(i)-P_loop(i))
20
           -1));
21
       dP = pressure(T, rho(i+1)) - P;
22
23
        i = i + 1;
24
   end
25
26
       rho_{-}c=rho(i);
27
28
29
30 end
```

```
1 function [ dP_dT ] = dP_dT( T, rho )
2 %UNTITLED3 Summary of this function goes here
3 % Detailed explanation goes here
4
5 %T=400;
6 %rho=1/0.04;
7
8 P=EOS(T, rho, 'pressure', 'rho');
9
10 dT=0.0001;
11
12 dP_dT=((EOS(T+dT, rho, 'pressure', 'rho')-P)/dT)*1000;
13
14 end
```

Appendix D: Modified Comprehensive Model Code to Represent Commercial Linear Compressor

The comprehensive model code presented in Appendix C was modified to better represent the commercial linear compressor discussed in Chapter 6. The changes made to the solution algorithm are presented in a modified *centralprogram.m* and changes made to the vibration model are presented in *vibration.m*. The remaining functions that construct the model remain unchanged as presented in Appendix C, including the equation of state.

D.1 Function *centralprogram*

```
1
2 %Main Model Program
3 %Craig Bradshaw
4 %Feburary 2012
5
  %Herrick Labs, cbradsha@purdue.edu
6 %
7
8
  try
9
10
        clc
11
        clear all
12
        close all
13
14
       %% Initializations
15
        pc_flag=getflag(); %1 if on Linux, 0 if on PC
16
17
        if pc_flag == 0
18
19
20
            %How long do I need to run this simulation for? Obtained from
               first two
21
           %numbers on the inputs.xls file.
            num_size=xlsread('inputs.xls','A3:A4');
22
23
            analy_length = (num_size(2) - num_size(1)) +1;
24
25
            %Open Excel Activex Server to use xlsread/write for prelim stuff
            Excel = actxserver ('Excel.Application');
26
27
            File=strcat(pwd, '\data_log.xls');
28
            if ~ exist (File , 'file ')
                ExcelWorkbook = Excel.workbooks.Add;
29
30
                ExcelWorkbook.SaveAs(File,1);
31
                ExcelWorkbook.Close(false);
```

```
32
           end
33
           invoke(Excel.Workbooks, 'Open', File);
34
35
           %Now using modified xlsread/write to work on data_log.xls
36
            [num, txt, raw]=xlsread1('data_log.xls');
37
            [rows, col]=size(raw);
38
39
           %Adding a note to the batch log so I know what I was doing
40
            batch_on=input('ls this a batch file? 1 for yes, 0 for no ');
41
            if batch_on==1
42
                batch_note=input('Please input a string to describe why you
                   are running this batch. ');
43
                batch_note=cellstr(batch_note);
44
                batch_range=strcat('A', num2str(rows+2), ':A', num2str(rows+2))
45
                xlswrite1('data_log.xls',batch_note,batch_range);
46
           end
47
48
           %Shutting down activex server to excel
49
           invoke(Excel.ActiveWorkbook, 'Save');
            Excel. Quit
50
            Excel. delete
51
            clear Excel
52
53
54
       elseif pc_flag == 1
55
            row_start = input('Row to start with: ');
56
            row_end = input('Row to end with: ');
57
            analy_length = row_end - row_start + 1;
58
            input_data = xlsread('inputs.xls');
59
       else
60
            error ('Invalid pc_flag. Please use either 0 or 1.');
61
            clear all
62
       end
63
       %turn annoying warning off
       warning('off', 'MATLAB: Print: SavingToDifferentName')
64
65
66
       % For loop used for batch operation, line by line from input file, z
67
68
       % loop
       69
70
71
       for z=1:1:analy_length
72
73
            if pc_flag = 0 % on a PC
74
75
               %pulling input data from inputs.xls
76
                range=strcat('B', num2str(z+2),':','BV', num2str(z+2));
77
                [num_inputs,txt_inputs]=xlsread('inputs.xls',range);
78
79
               %creating my variable structure
80
                [p]=create_struct(num_inputs,txt_inputs);
81
```

82 %name that I use to generate a save folder 83 p.save_name=strcat(p.save_name, '_', num2str(z)); 84 85 %Open Excel Activex Server to use xlsread/write for the remainder of 86 %the analysis 87 Excel = actxserver ('Excel. Application'); 88 File=strcat(pwd, '\data_log.xls'); 89 if ~ exist(File, 'file') 90 ExcelWorkbook = Excel.workbooks.Add; ExcelWorkbook.SaveAs(File,1); 91 92 ExcelWorkbook . Close (false) ; 93 end 94 invoke(Excel.Workbooks, 'Open', File); 95 96 %Open data log 97 [num, txt, raw]=xlsread1('data_log.xls'); 98 [rows, col]=size(raw); 99 100 elseif pc_flag == 1 % n Linux 101 if z == 1 102 $txt_inputs \{8\} = 'Euler';$ txt_inputs {56} = input('Name for Study: 103 '): 104 d_name = strcat(txt_inputs{56}, '.txt'); 105 diary (d_name) 106 end 107 108 %num_inputs = input_data(z+2,2:61); 109 $num_inputs = input_data(z+row_start -1, 2:74);$ 110 111 %Create structure of constant variables 112 [p]=create_struct(num_inputs,txt_inputs); 113 %name that I use to generate a save folder 114 p.save_name=strcat(p.save_name, '_', num2str(z+row_start -1)); 115 116 end 117 %Time/Volume Calculations 118 119 error = 0.000001;%1e-5, total error boundaries 120 f_list=p.f_begin:p.f_increment:p.f_end; 121 $w_d_list = f_list * 2*pi$; 122 p.x_stroke_initial = p.x_stroke; 123 124 125 % Loop used for frequency sweeps, I loop 126 127 128 $p.resonant_loop = 0;$ %initialize variable that tells frequency sweep loop if we have reached the maximum frequency. 129 |=1;%loop variable for the following loop. 130 131 while p.resonant_loop <1 && l<=length(w_d_list)</pre>

```
% for l=1:1:length(w_d_list)
132
133
134
              close all
135
              p.w_d = w_d = list(1);
136
             p. Period = 1/f_{-}list(l);
                                          %Time of one cycle
137
             p.t_step=1/(f_list(l)*p.n);
                                                   %time step in seconds
138
             p.transient=0;
139
              t_adjust_force = 0;
140
141
             %Initialze residuals and convergence loop iteration counter
142
             k=1;
143
              dT_loop=1;
144
              drho_loop=1;
145
              dT_cv2_loop=1;
146
              drho_cv2_loop=1;
147
              dT_loop_k=1;
148
              drho_loop_k = 1;
149
              dT_cv2_loop_k=1;
150
              drho_cv2_loop_k=1;
151
              dx_piston = 1;
152
153
              if p.brent == 1
154
                  %p. P_electric = p. P_brent(a);
155
             end
156
157
             %% Initalize Vectors
             %These are the vectors that are iterated on and are thus not
158
                 included in
159
             %the parameters structure, i loop variables
160
161
             P=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
162
             dT=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
163
             h=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
164
             V = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
165
             dV = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
166
             dm = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
167
             dm_in=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
168
             dm_out=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
169
             drho = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
170
             m = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
171
             rho=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
172
              x_piston=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
173
              x_dot_piston=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
174
             Cv = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
175
              iter=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
176
             dP_dT_v = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
177
             Ma=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
             dT_check = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
178
179
             T=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
180
              x_valve=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
181
              x_dot_valve=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
182
              dm_leak_in=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
```

```
183
              dm_leak_out = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
184
              dm_out_valve=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
185
             dV_cv2 = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
186
             V_{cv2} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n-1});
187
              Ma_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
188
              h_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
189
              Cv_cv2 = zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
190
              dP_dT_v_cv2=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
191
              P_cv2=p. P_i*ones(1, p. n_period*p.n);
192
              drho_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period*p. n-1);
193
              rho_cv2=p.rho_i*ones(1,p.n_period*p.n-1);
194
             dT_cv2=zeros(1, p. n_period * p. n-1);
195
              T_cv2=p.T_i*ones(1, p.n_period*p.n-1);
196
              F_drive=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
197
              Q_motor=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
198
              theta=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
199
              theta_dot=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
200
             dP=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
201
             dx=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
202
              theta_dot_dot=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
             Q=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
203
204
              Q_{cv2} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n});
205
              m_cv2=zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
206
              c_{eff} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n});
207
              c_friction = zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
208
              c_{gas} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n});
209
              x_dot_dot_piston = zeros(1,p.n_period*p.n);
210
             F_{gas} = zeros(1, p. n_{period * p. n});
211
             % Initialize convergence loop variables, k loop
212
             x_piston_m = 0;
213
             T_w = zeros(1, p.loop_error);
214
              x_stroke_save = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
215
              loop = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
216
             m_{dot} = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
217
              m_dot_leak_in = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
218
              m_dot_leak_out = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
219
             W_{dot} = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
220
              eta_o = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
221
              eta_vol = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
222
              Q_{dot} = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
223
              Q_dot_cv2 = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
224
              m_dot_in = zeros(1, p. loop_error);
225
             loop = 1;
                          %loop counter variable, re-initialize
226
             p.dP_max=p.P_d-p.P_i;
227
              P_electric_temp = p. P_electric;
                                                          %save input value
                                        %initialize error_res
228
              error_res = 1:
229
              error_counter = 0;
                                        %count number of times get below
                 tolerance
230
              231
              %% while loop to enforce convergence, k loop
232
```

234	while $error_counter < 2$
235	
236	$W_dot_in(k) = p.P_electric;$
237	%% Inital Cylinder Conditions
238	if k==1
239	i=1;
	%initialize i loop counter
240	$T(i) = p \cdot T_{-i}$:
	%initial temperature
241	$rho(i) = p. rho_i$:
	%initial density
242	P(i)=EOS(T(i).rho(i).'pressure'.'rho'):
	%calculate initial pressure
243	$T_{cv2}(1) = p_{T_i}$
-	%initial temperature. CV2
244	$rho_cv2(1)=p.rho_i$:
	%initial density. CV2
245	P cv2(1) = P(1):
246	P cv2(1) = (p, P d + p, P i)/2:
-	%initial pressure. CV2
247	$%$ rho_cv2(1) = EOS(T_cv2(1), P_cv2(1), 'rho', 'P'):
248	$x_{piston}(1) = p_{x_{piston_i}}$
-	% initial piston position. default is $(max stroke/2)$
249	x_dot_piston(1)=p.x_dot_piston_i;
	%initial piston velocity, default is 0
250	$V(1) = (p \cdot x_p i st o n_i) * p \cdot Ap + p \cdot V_d ead_valve;$
	%initial volume
251	$dV(1) = -p.x_dot_piston_i * p.Ap;$
	%initial change in volume
252	theta $(1) = p$. theta _ i ;
	%initial piston rotation
253	theta_dot(1)=p.theta_dot_i;
	%initial change in piston rotation
254	$p.x_dot_ave=1;$
	%%%%%/MPORTANT, AVERAGE SPEED
255	dP(1) = 0;
	%Change in pressure
256	dx(1) = 1;
	%Change in stroke
257	$T_{w}(k) = p.T_{w_{i}};$
	%initial compressor lump temperature (wall temp)
258	x_stroke_save(k)=p.x_stroke_initial;
	%saved value of stroke
259	p.x_stroke = p.x_stroke_initial;
	%guess stroke
260	$p.dP_max = p.P_d-p.P_i;$
261	t=0;
262	p.dV = 0;
	%initialize dV
263	$p.P_current = P(i);$
264	
265	else

<u>^%^%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/%/</u>
%Update values for iterations beyond first
<u>^</u> /2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2
$T_{-}error = T;$
rho_error = rho;
$T_{error_{cv2}} = T;$
rho_error_cv2 = rho;
x_stroke_error = p.x_stroke;
$T(1) = X_n_1(1);$
%Temperatures and pressures equal to the end values
of the previous iteration
rho(1)=X_n_1(2);
P(1)=EOS(T(1), rho(1), 'pressure', 'rho');
$T_{cv2}(1) = X_{n_1}(3);$
rho_cv2(1)=X_n_1(4);
P_cv2(1)=EOS(T_cv2(1),rho_cv2(1),'pressure','rho');
p.x_stroke=max(x_piston_m)-min(x_piston_m);
%max stroke, current value
p.x_dot_ave=0.707*max(x_dot_piston);
%%%%%MPORTANT, AVERAGE SPEED, RMS value of speed
x_stroke_save(k)=p.x_stroke;
% stroke variable saved to see progression
$x_piston(1) = x_piston(1);$
$x_dot_piston(1) = x_dot_piston(1);$
V(1) = V(1);
dV(1) = dV(1);
theta (I)=theta (I);
theta_dot(1)=theta_dot(1);
t=t(1);
dP(1) = dP(1);
dx(1) = dx(1);
ording provious values of the provious k loop
i = 1
end
enu
‱ Initalize inner loop variables
$t_{-}cross=0;$
%number of times piston crosses zero
p.t_force_adjust=0;
%adjustment in the time vector to account for variable

287	dV(1) = dV(i);
288	theta (1)=theta (i);
289	theta_dot(1)=theta_dot(i);
290	t=t(i);
291	dP(1) = dP(i);
292	dx(1) = dx(i);
	%rest of above resets remaining varial
	ending previous values of the previous
293	i=1; %resets inner loop va
294	end
295	
296	‱ Initalize inner loop variables
297	$t_{-}cross=0;$
	%number of times piston crosses zero
298	$p.t_force_adjust=0;$
	%adjustment in the time vector to account
	frequency response
299	$x_piston_m = 0;$
	%initialize x_piston
300	$F_drive=0;$
	%initalize driving force vector
301	$F_drive_clean = 0;$
302	<u>%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%</u>
303	9% Main Loop for calculations, i loop

	246

306	
307	‰ Motor Model
308	if isempty(p.t_force_adjust) == 1
309	p.t_force_adjust=0;
310	end
311	
312	if i == 1
	%only want to perform these calculations on first
	time through
313	p.P_motor=p.eta_motor*p.P_electric;
	%power actually delivered to piston
314	p.Q_motor=p.P_electric -p.P_motor;
	%Heat transfer from motor
315	$p.k_{-}m = 4.6831;$
316	p.F_drive_max=sqrt(p.P_motor)*(p.k_m);
	%Maximum Motor force, in Newtons.
317	end
318	F_drive_clean (i)=p. F_drive_max *(
	t_force_adjust)+pi)); %Driving force
	v. Time, Newtons
319	$p.dP_piston = P(i) - P_cv2(i);$
320	F_drive(i)=F_drive_clean(i) - p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000;
321	
322	%% Vibration Model and Volume and Piston Position
323	
324	mu_oil = oilvisc(T_w(k),32); %oil viscosity, iso 32
325	$[dV(i+1), V(i+1), x_piston(i+1), x_dot_piston(i+1), theta(i)]$
	$+1)$, <code>theta_dot</code> (<code>i</code> +1) , <code>x_piston_m</code> (<code>i</code> +1) , <code>theta_dot_dot</code> (<code>i</code>
	$+1)$,p] = vibration(t(i),dP(i),dx(i),x_piston(i),
	x_dot_piston(i),theta(i),theta_dot(i),x_piston_m(i),
	theta_dot_dot(i),mu_oil,p_);
326	c_eff(i) = p.c_eff;
327	c_friction(i) = p.c_friction;
328	c_gas(i) = p.c_gas;
329	x_dot_dot_piston(i) = p.x_dot_dot_piston;
330	$k_eff(i) = p.k_eff;$
331	$F_{gas}(i) = p.dP_{piston*p.Ap*1000};$
332	$F_wall(i) = p.F_wall;$
333	p.dV = V(i+1) - V(i);
334	$p.P_current = P(i);$
335	
336	%% Volume for Second Control Volume
337	$dV_cv2(i) = dV(i);$
338	$V_cv2(i) = p \cdot V_cv2_i - V(i);$
339	
340	%% Masstlow (Valve Model)
341	$[x_valve(i+1), x_dot_valve(i+1), dm_valve, Ma(i)] =$
	valve_dynamics(P(I),rho(I),I(I),x_valve(I),
240	x_dot_valve(I),p);
J4∠ 242	0/0/ Laskage Eleverates (Laskage Medal)
543	7070 Leakage Flowfales (Leakage Model)

344	<pre>[dm_leak_in(i),dm_leak_out(i),Ma_cv2(i)] = leakage(P(i) ,P_cv2(i),x_dot_piston(i),T(i),rho(i),T_cv2(i),</pre>
345	x_piston(i),p_);
346	% Total flowrates (Massflow Summations)
347	if dm valve <0 %flow [N]
3/8	dm in(i) = abs(dm valve) + dm leak in(i)
340	$dm_i(r) = dm_i(r) + dm_i(r) + dm_i(r)$
350	$dm_0 dt(1) - dm_1 eak_0 dt(1)$, $dm(i) - dm_i n(i) dm_o ut(i)$
351	$dm_{i} = um_{i} m(i) - um_{i} u u(i),$
353	$diff_odt_valve(1)=0,$
252	$\frac{dm}{dm} \frac{dm}{dm} dm$
202	$\dim_{111}(1) = \dim_{121}(1),$ $\dim_{121}(1) = \dim_{121}(1),$
255	$dm_out(1) = dm_out(2) + dm_out(3),$
300	$dm(1) = dm_1n(1) - dm_0ut(1);$
350	dm_out_valve(1)=dm_valve;
357	
358	$\operatorname{dm}_{\operatorname{In}}(1) = \operatorname{dm}_{\operatorname{Ieak}_{\operatorname{In}}}(1);$
359	$dm(i) = dm_ieak_in(i) - dm_ieak_out(i);$
360	$dm_out(i) = dm_leak_out(i);$
361	dm_out_valve(i)=0;
362	end
363	
364	%% Instantaneous Heat Transfer in control volumes
365	$\begin{bmatrix} Q(1) \end{bmatrix} = Ins_H I(I(1), rho(1), I_w(k), V(1), dV(1), dV$
	x_piston(i), x_dot_piston(i), p);
366	<pre>[Q_cv2(i)] = Ins_HT_cv2(T_cv2(i), rho_cv2(i), T_w(k), V_cv2(i), dV_cv2(i), x_dot_piston(i), p.Q_motor, p);</pre>
367	
368	‱ Mass of the gas in each control volume
369	m(i)=rho(i)*V(i);
370	m_cv2(i)=rho_cv2(i)*V_cv2(i);
371	
372	%% Fluid Properties for approximation
373	if k==1
	%only need to compute this value once for each batch line
374	p.h_in=EOS(p.T_i,p.rho_i,'enthalpy','rho');
375	end
376	h_out=EOS(T(i),rho(i),'enthalpy','rho');
377	$h(i)=h_out;$
378	$dP_dT_v(i) = dP_dT(T(i), rho(i));$
379	Cv(i) = EOS(T(i), rho(i), 'Cv', 'rho'):
380	h cv2(i) = EOS(T cv2(i), rho cv2(i), 'enthalpy', 'rho'):
381	dP dT v cv2(i) = dP dT(T cv2(i)) rho cv2(i))
382	$C_{V} = C_{V} = C_{V$
383	if strcmp(p method ' $RK4$ ')1
384	% RKA Approximations
385	
386	error ('RK4 not available in this version')
387	enor (internot available in this version),
388	elseif stromp(n method 'Fuler')1
389	

390	%% Simple Euler Approximation	
391		
392	%Euler Approximation, lemperature	e and Density
393	°/8′8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8′/8	
394		
395	0 <u>0</u> 00000	
396	%1st Control Volume, Piston Cylin	der
397	<u>%%%%%%%</u>	
398	dT(i)=(Q(i)+T(i)*dP_dT_v(i)*(1/10 i)-dV(i))-h(i)*dm(i)+dm_in(i) (i))/(m(i)*Cv(i));	000)*((1/rho(i))*dm(*p.h_in-dm_out(i)*h
399	drho(i)=(dm(i)-rho(i)*dV(i))/V(i)	;
400		
401	$T(i+1)=T(i)+p.t_step*dT(i);$	
402	rho(i+1)=rho(i)+p.t_step*drho(i);	
403		
404	°/°/°/°/°/°/°/°/	
405	%2nd Control Volume	
406	<u>0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/</u>	
407	dm_cv2=dm_leak_out(i)-dm_leak_in((i):
408	dm in cv2=dm leak out(i)	
409	dm_{i} out $cv^2 - dm_{i}$ leak in (i):	
405		
410	i-floor(n Period/n t sten)-1:	
411 //10	disp('Mathed_arrayplacessalest	a correct ODE
412	Solution Method')	
413	end	
414		
415	0,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,0	\ <mark>0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0</mark>
416	%% Calculate next step for pressure	
417	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%	\ <mark>8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/</mark> 8/8/
418	P(i+1)=EOS(T(i+1) , rho(i+1) , ' pressure '	', 'rho');
419		
420	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%	
421	‱ Misc other properties	
422	<u>%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%</u>	
423	dP(i+1)=P(i+1)-P(i); pressure	%Change in
424	dx(i+1)=x_piston(i+1)-x_piston(i);	%change in
425		
426	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	
420	%% Loop Adjustments	
128	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	
420	$\frac{9}{2}$ Section determines when the nictor	raccac back
429	% through the midneint signifying one	
430	Activities and the interpoint, signifying one	e cycre.
431	16 J. 1	
432	IT K>L	
433		(1, 1, 1)
434	t_cross=find_cross(t [*] ,x_pisto	$n_m(1:end-1)$, 0);
435	end	
436	else	

437	if i>1
438	t_cross=find_cross(t',x_piston_m(1:end-1)',0);
439	end
440	end
441	t(i+1)=t(i)+p.t_step;
	%initially frequency has to float, transient
	frequency is different than driving frequency.
442	i=i+1;
443	if length(t)>=p.n*p.n_period*1.5
444	t_cross=ones(2*p.n_period);
445	disp('Convergence Error, piston did not cross zero
	twice')
446	end
447	end %End of While loop checking t_cross, i loop
448	
449	0,0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0
450	%% Adjustments for Time
451	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
452	i=i-1;
	%step i back one for sanity
453	t=t(1:end-1);
454	%step time vector back one
454 455	temp(k)=max(t_cross)-max(find_cross(t', F_drive_clean', U));
455	p.t_force_adjust=p.t_force_adjust+temp(k);
156	%when t_force_adjust is greater than 3, stop i loop
450	$L_change(k) = p \cdot L_lorce_adjust,$
437	p. refloating pariod
458	Miloating period
450	0/
	, o 9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,
460	%% Estimate Massflow. Power Input.Heat Transfer. and
	Efficiencies
461	%
	9/8/8/0/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/
462	%Mass flows calculated using numerical integration
463	$m_dot(k) = (trapz(dm_out_valve)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p$. Period;
464	m_dot_leak_out(k)=(trapz(dm_leak_out)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p.Period;
465	m_dot_leak_in(k)=(trapz(dm_leak_in)*(t(2)-t(1)))/p. Period;
466	m_dot_in(k) = (trapz(dm_in)*(t(2) - t(1)))/p.Period;
467	
468	%W_dot calculated assuming max(h) is similar to h_avg
469	$\%$ (proper calculation of h_avg done after the loop is over,
470	%this value is just for diagnostic purposes)
471	$W_{dot}(k) = m_{dot}(k) * (max(h) - p.h_{in});$
472	
473	%Efficiency estimations, diagnostic values
474	eta_o(k)=(m_dot(k)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/(p.P_electric*2); %W_dot is in kW

475	eta_vol(k)=(m_dot(k))/(p.rho_i*f_list(l)*(max(x_piston_m)- min(x_piston_m))*p.Ap);
476	
477	%Power consumed by friction
478	W_dot_friction = p.f_friction*F_wall*2*p.x_stroke*f_list(l);
479	$W_dot_friction_ave=mean(W_dot_friction)/1000;$
480	
481	%Heat Transfer estimations, numerically integrated.
482	$Q_{dot}(k) = ((trapz(Q) * (t(2) - t(1))) / p. Period);$
483	$Q_{dot_{cv2}(k) = (trapz(Q_{cv2})*(t(2)-t(1)))/p$. Period;
484	
485	%equation is under-relaxed , alpha = $1/100$;
486	T_w(k+1)=(-Q_dot(k)-Q_dot_cv2(k)+W_dot_friction_ave)*1000*(p . R_shell)+p.T_amb;
487	$T_w(k+1)=315;$
488	
489	Q_dot_in=(-Q_dot(k)-Q_dot_cv2(k)+W_dot_friction_ave)*1000;
490	$T_w_test(k) = Q_dot_in * p. R_shell + p. T_amb;$
491	
492	if pc_flag == 0
493	figure (30)
494	$plot(t, x_piston_m(1; end -1))$
495	hold on
496	end
497	%Diagnostic values
498	m change $(k) = m(end) - m(1)$:
499	m change $cv^2(k) = m cv^2(end) - m cv^2(1)$:
500	$dE(k) = -Q_{dot}(k) + m_{dot}(k)*max(h) - (2*p.P_{electric}/1000) - m_{dot_{in}}(k)*p_{h_{in}}(k)$
501	resonant_freq(k) = sqrt(p, k_eff/p, M_mov)/2/pi:
502	
503	%% Iteration Counter
504	count1=num2str(k):
505	count2='lteration Number ':
506	count=[count2_count1]:
507	disp(''')
508	disp(count)
509	$\log p(k) = k$:
510	
511	0,
512	% Residuals
513	9 <u>8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/8/</u>
514	
515	0,
516	% Error calculations
517	0x/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2
518	if k>1
510	res T(k) = 1-abs(mean(T(1)length(t)-1) / T error(1)length
510	(t) -1)));
520	$\operatorname{res_rmo}(k) = 1 - \operatorname{abs}(\operatorname{mean}(\operatorname{rno}(1:\operatorname{rengtn}(t) - 1), / \operatorname{rno_error}(1:\operatorname{length}(t) - 1)));$

521	$res_T_cv2(k) = 1-abs(mean(T_cv2(1:length(t)-1))/T_error_cv2(1:length(t)-1));$
522	$res_rho_cv2(k) = 1-abs(mean(rho_cv2(1:length(t)-1)./rho_error_cv2(1:length(t)-1))):$
523	$res_x(k) = 1-abs(x_stroke_save(k)/x_stroke_error);$
524	$error_res = [abs(res_T(k)), abs(res_rho(k)), abs(res_x(k))]$
525	error res = max(error res):
526	
527	%adjust error counter looking for two below then end
528	if error res < error
520	error counter $-$ error counter $+$ 1:
520	end
531	
532	if no flag — 1
533	CV1_res=strcat('CV1_Res.: ',' DT ',num2str(res_T(k)),' Drho ',num2str(res_rho(k)),' dx ',num2str (res_x(k)));
534	CV2_res=strcat('CV2 Res.: ',' DT ',num2str(res_T_cv2(k)),' Drho ',num2str(res_rho_cv2(k)))
535	add_info=strcat('Line: ',num2str(z+row_start-1),' x_stroke: ',num2str(p.x_stroke),' P_electric: ' ,num2str(p.P_electric));
536	disp(CV1_res)
537	disp(CV2_res)
538	disp(add_info)
539	else
540	CV1_res=strcat('CV1 Res.: ',' DT ',num2str(res_T(k)),' Drho ',num2str(res_rho(k)),' dx ',num2str (res_x(k)));
541	CV2_res=strcat('CV2_Res.: ','_DT _',num2str(res_T_cv2(k)),'_Drho _',num2str(res_rho_cv2(k))) :
542	add_info=strcat('Line: ',num2str(z),' x_stroke: ', num2str(p.x_stroke),' P_electric: ',num2str(p. P_electric));
543	disp(CV1_res)
544	disp(CV2_res)
545	disp(add_info)
546	end
547	
548	end
549	
550	%Error Loop Counter
551	if k>=p.loop_error
552	$error_res = 0;$
553	$error_counter = 2;$
554	count='Convergence Error. too many iterations':
555	disp(count)
556	end
557	

if k>p.loop_error+5 558 559 560 else 561 $X_n = [T(i), rho(i), T_cv2(i), rho_cv2(i), x_stroke_save(k)];$ 562 $F_n = [dT(i), drho(i), dT_cv2(i), drho_cv2(i), x_dot_piston(i)]$]; 563 J=0: 564 DELTAX_n=0; 565 $X_n_1=X_n+DELTAX_n$; 566 end %stroke is the mean value of the past 10 iterations 567 568 if k > 10569 $p.x_stroke = mean(x_stroke_save(k-10:k));$ 570 end 571 iter(k) = k;572 k=k+1;573 $p.dP_max = max(P) - p.P_i;$ %Max change in pressure over cycle relative to cylinder 574 if k == 150575 error = error + 0.00005;576 elseif k == 200577 error = error + 0.00005;578 end 579 580 5 Module 20 Model was shown to try and maintain a correct stroke % 581 %If stroke is outside of the range of 0.001 and 0.0254 m either %add or substract 1W of power input to try and get it into 582 the 583 %appropriate range 584 if k > 6if $p.x_stroke < 0.0001$ 585 586 $p.P_electric = p.P_electric +1;$ disp('Increase P_electric =') 587 588 disp(p.P_electric) 589 end 590 end %End of k while loop 591 end 592 593 if $pc_flag == 0$ 594 close(30) %close stroke plot 595 end 596 597 %Brents Method estimations 598 599 a = 1:%loop iteration variable 600 if isnan(num_inputs(72)) && isnan(num_inputs(73)) 601 $p.P_brent(1) = 10;$ %lower power guess $p.P_brent(2) = 15$; %second lower power guess 602 603 elseif (isnan(num_inputs(72)) || isnan(num_inputs(73))) 604 error('One Brents method input but not the other, please input two guess values');

```
605
             else
606
                 p.P_brent(1) = num_inputs(72);
607
                 p.P_brent(2) = num_inputs(73);
608
             end
609
             error_brents = 0.0000005;
                                            %0.05 microns
610
             error_stroke = 1;
             p.vibration_on = 1; %turn vibration model back on
611
612
613
             while error_stroke > error_brents
                                                  %a loop, brents method to
                back out power for full stroke
614
615
                 if a == 1
616
                     p.P_electric = p.P_brent(a);
617
                 end
618
                 x_dot_piston_avg = rms(x_dot_piston);
619
                 x_piston = zeros(1, 2*p.n);
620
                 x_dot_piston = zeros(1, 2*p.n);
                 theta = zeros(1, 2*p.n);
621
622
                 theta_dot = zeros(1, 2*p.n);
623
                 theta_dot_dot = zeros(1, 2*p.n);
624
                 p.x_stroke = p.x_piston_i;
625
                 t = 0;
626
                 x_piston_err = 1;
627
                 x_piston_m = 0;
628
                 q = 1;
629
630
                 %loop to ensure piston is at steady state, q loop
631
                 while x_piston_err > 0.0000001
632
                     t_cross = 0:
                                                                            %
                         number of times piston crosses zero
633
                     p.t_force_adjust=0;
                                                                   %adjustment
                         in the time vector to account for variable frequency
                          response
634
                     x_piston_m = 0;
                                                                         %
                         initialize x_piston
635
                     F_-drive = 0;
                                                                            %
                         initalize driving force vector
                     F_drive_clean = 0;
636
637
                     i = 1;
638
                     if q>1
639
                         t = t(end);
640
                     end
641
                     642
                     %% Main Loop for calculations, i loop
643
                     644
                     while size (t_cross) < p.n_period * 2-1
                         if i == 1
645
```

	only want to perform these calculations on first
	time through
646	p.P_motor=p.eta_motor*p.P_electric;
	%power actually delivered to
	piston
647	p.Q_motor=p.P_electric -p.P_motor;
640	%Heat transfer from motor
648	$p.k_m = 4.6831;$
649	p.F_drive_max=sqrt(p.P_motor)*(p.k_m);
	%viaximum viotor force, in
650	inewtons.
651	E drive clean(i)—n E drive max*(cos(n w d*(t(i)—n
001	t force adjust $+ni$):
	force v Time Newtons
652	$p_i dP_i piston = P(i) - P_i cv2(i)$
653	F_drive(i)=F_drive_clean(i) - p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000:
654	$[dV_{tmp}(i+1), V_{tmp}(i+1), x_{piston}(i+1), x_{dot_{piston}})$
	$i+1$, theta (i+1), theta_dot(i+1), x_piston_m(i+1),
	theta_dot_dot(i+1)] = vibration(t(i),dP(i),dx(
	i), x_piston(i), x_dot_piston(i), theta(i),
	theta_dot(i),x_piston_m(i),theta_dot_dot(i),
	mu_oil , p , x_dot_piston_avg) ;
655	0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/0/
656	% Loop Adjustments
657	<u> </u>
658	%Section determines when the piston crosses back
659	%through the midpoint, signifying one cycle.
00U 661	$\begin{array}{c} T \ q > I \\ \vdots f \ \vdots > 1 \end{array}$
662	11 1 > 1
002	$t_cross=rind_cross(t_, x_piston_in(1, end -1))$
663	end , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
664	else
665	if i>1
666	t_cross=find_cross(t',x_piston_m(1:end-1)
	',0);
667	end
668	end
669	$t(i+1)=t(i)+p.t_step;$
670	i=i+1;
671	if length(t)>=p.n*p.n_period*1.5
672	t_cross=ones(2*p.n_period);
673	disp('Convergence Error, piston did not cross
C74	zero twice)
0/4 675	end Wand such through last time
015	end ‰ena cycle through loop one time :_: 1.
010	1 = 1 - 1;

%step i back one for sanity

677 t=t(1:end-1);%step time vector back one 678 temp(q)=max(t_cross)-max(find_cross(t', F_drive_clean',0)); p.t_force_adjust=p.t_force_adjust+temp(q); 679 %when t_force_adjust is greater than 3, stop i loop 680 t_change(q)=p.t_force_adjust; 681 p. Period = t(end) - t(1);%floating period 682 if q < 100683 $x_piston_err = abs(p_x_stroke - (max(x_piston_m)$ min(x_piston_m))); %stroke error 684 else 685 $x_piston_err = 0;$ 686 end 687 $p.x_stroke = max(x_piston_m) - min(x_piston_m); % update$ stroke x_dot_piston_avg = rms(x_dot_piston); %average piston 688 speed %inital conditions for next loop equal to the extra 689 index in %current 690 691 $x_piston(1) = x_piston(end);$ 692 $x_dot_piston(1) = x_dot_piston(end);$ 693 $x_piston_m(1) = x_piston_m(end);$ 694 theta(1) =theta(end);695 $theta_dot(1) = theta_dot(end);$ 696 $theta_dot_dot(1) = theta_dot_dot(end);$ 697 %concate vectors to correct length 698 $n_new = length(t);$ 699 $x_piston = x_piston (1:n_new);$ 700 x_dot_piston = x_dot_piston (1:n_new); 701 $x_piston_m = x_piston_m (1:n_new);$ 702 theta = theta $(1:n_new)$; 703 theta_dot = theta_dot (1:n_new); 704 $theta_dot_dot = theta_dot_dot(1:n_new);$ 705 if $pc_flag == 0$ 706 figure (30) 707 plot(t,x_piston_m) 708 hold on 709 end x_res = strcat('x_res: ', num2str(x_piston_err)); 710 711 disp(x_res) 712 q = q + 1;713 end %end steady state piston loop, q loop if pc_flag == 0 714 close(30)715 716 end 717

718 %% Brents Method: Attempting to find the correct power input to %% obtain full stroke 719 720 721 [p, error_stroke, a] = brents (p, a, error_brents); 722 if p.brent == 1 723 $P_{-}electric_{-}save(1) = p.P_{-}brent(a);$ 724 $p. P_electric = p. P_brent(a);$ 725 p.x_stroke_brent 726 if a == 20 727 $error_brents = 0.000005; \%50 micron$ 728 elseif a == 60 729 $error_brents = 0.000001; \% 1 micron$ 730 elseif a = 70731 error_brents = 0.000005; % 5 microns 732 elseif a==79 $error_brents = 0.00001; \%10$ microns 733 734 %Find location of minimum error, re-run using that 735 er=abs(p.x_stroke_brent-p.x_stroke_ref); 736 er_min=min(er); 737 ind_er=find (er==er_min); 738 p. P_electric=p. P_brent(max(ind_er)); 739 P_electric_save(l)=p.P_brent(max(ind_er)); 740 elseif a = = 80741 $error_brents = 1;$ 742 %overwrite what brent's method calculates 743 $p.P_electric = p.P_brent(a-1);$ 744 $P_{electric_save}(I) = p.P_{brent}(a-1);$ 745 elseif a>100 746 error_brents= 1; 747 end 748 end 749 %end a loop, brents method end °\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\%\% 750 751 %% Post-Allocation, adjust for proper length 752 753 P=P(1: length(t));dT=dT(1: length(t));754 755 h=h(1:length(t));756 V = V(1: length(t));757 dV = dV(1: length(t));758 dm = dm(1: length(t));759 $dm_in=dm_in(1:length(t));$ 760 $dm_out=dm_out(1:length(t));$ 761 drho=drho(1:length(t)); 762 m = m(1: length(t));763 rho=rho(1:length(t));764 $x_piston = x_piston (1: length (t));$ 765 x_dot_piston=x_dot_piston (1: length (t)); 766 Cv = Cv(1: length(t));767 $dP_dT_v = dP_dT_v (1: length(t));$ 768 Ma=Ma(1: length(t));

769	T=T(1:length(t));
770	$x_valve=x_valve(1:length(t));$
771	x_dot_valve=x_dot_valve(1:length(t));
772	dm_leak_in=dm_leak_in (1: length(t));
773	dm_leak_out=dm_leak_out(1:length(t));
774	dm_out_valve=dm_out_valve(1:length(t));
775	$dV_cv2=dV_cv2(1:length(t));$
776	$V_{cv2} = V_{cv2} (1: length(t));$
777	$Ma_cv2=Ma_cv2(1:length(t));$
778	h_cv2=h_cv2(1:length(t));
779	Cv_cv2=Cv_cv2(1:length(t));
780	$dP_dT_v_cv2=dP_dT_v_cv2(1:length(t));$
781	$P_cv2=P_cv2(1:length(t));$
782	$drho_cv2=drho_cv2(1:length(t));$
783	rho_cv2=rho_cv2 (1: length(t));
784	$dT_cv2 = dT_cv2(1: length(t));$
785	T_cv2=T_cv2(1:length(t));
786	F_drive=F_drive(1:length(t));
787	$Q_{motor} = Q_{motor}(1: length(t));$
788	theta=theta(1:length(t));
789	theta_dot=theta_dot(1:length(t));
790	x_piston_m=x_piston_m (1: length (t));
791	dP = dP(1: length(t));
792	dx=dx(1:length(t));
793	theta_dot_dot=theta_dot_dot (1: length(t));
794	Q=Q(1:length(t));
795	Q_cv2=Q_cv2(1:length(t));
796	$c_{eff} = c_{eff}(1: length(t));$
797	c_friction = c_friction(1:length(t));
798	c_gas = c_gas(1:length(t));
799	x_dot_dot_piston = x_dot_dot_piston(1:length(t));
800	$F_{gas} = F_{gas}(1:length(t));$
801	$T_w = T_w (1:k-1);$
802	$x_stroke_save = x_stroke_save(1:k-1);$
803	loop = loop(1:k-1);
804	$m_dot = m_dot(1:k-1);$
805	$m_dot_leak_in = m_dot_leak_in(1:k-1);$
806	$m_dot_leak_out = m_dot_leak_out(1:k-1);$
807	$W_{dot} = W_{dot} (1:k-1);$
808	$eta_{-}o = eta_{-}o(1:k-1);$
809	$eta_vol = eta_vol(1:k-1);$
810	$Q_{dot} = Q_{dot}(1:k-1);$
811	$Q_{dot_{cv2}} = Q_{dot_{cv2}}(1:k-1);$
812	$m_dot_in = m_dot_in(1:k-1);$
813	%
	\$\$\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\&\
814	‰ Estimate Massflow, Power Input,Heat Transfer, and
	Efficiencies
815	% 9/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2
	7 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07

816	% Estimating exit temperature and enthalpy
817	if $m_{dot}(k-1) > 0$
818	%finding key volumes
819	$V_1 = \max(V)$; %BDC
820	$V_{-3} = \min(V)$: %TDC
821	$V_4 = \min(find_cross(V', P', p, P_i))$: %Suction value open
822	V_{2} =max(find cross(V', P', p, P, d)); %discharge value
	open
823	%Find indexes of important volumes
824	$i v_1 = find (V = V_1)$:
825	$i v_3 = find (V = V_3);$
826	$i_v 2_{test} = crossing(V.[], V_2);$ %a vector of length 2
827	$i_v4_test = crossing(V,[], V_4);$ %a vector of length 2
828	% test above to see which values correspond to the
010	correct
829	% pressure
830	for $i=1:1:length(i v2 test)$
831	diff $P2(i) = abs(P(i v2 test(i)) - p P d)$:
832	diff $P4(i) = abs(P(i \lor 4 test(i)) - p P(i))$
833	end $(1) = abs(1(1) - cos(1)) p(1 - 1))$
834	% indicies of the point where the difference between the
835	%crossover pressure and actual pressure is at a minimum
836	$i v_2 = i v_2 \text{ test}(\text{find}(\text{diff } P_2) = \min(\text{diff } P_2)))$
837	$i_1v_2 = i_1v_2 \text{ test}(\text{find}(\text{diff} P4) = \min(\text{diff} P4)));$
838	if $i y^2 < i y^3$ % does discharge cross the boundary?
830	$T d = mean(T(i y_2 \cdot i y_3))$
840	$h_2 = FOS(T_d p_P d_i enthalowi iPi)$
841	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \cos(1 - u_1 p_1 r_2 u_1 + c_1 r_1 a_1 p_2 p_1 r_2 r_1 p_1 r_2 r_1 r_2 r_2 r_2 r_2 r_2 r_2 r_2 r_2 r_2 r_2$
842	$T d = mean(cat(2 T(i y 2 \cdot end) T(1 \cdot i y 3)))$
843	$h_2 = FOS(T_d p_P d_i enthalpy i P_i);$
844	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \cos(1 \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} p \cdot 1 \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} r + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} r + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} r + \frac{1}$
845	%estimate exit temperature and enthalpy
846	if $T d = 0$
847	T d = mean(cat(2 find cross(T' P' n P d) max(T)))
848	$h_2 = FOS(T d p P d 'enthalpy' 'P')$
849	end $(1-2) = 200(1-2) + 120(1-2)$
850	"Boundary work calculations
851	$W = 23 = mean(P(i + y^2 + i + y^3))*(V = -V = 3)$
852	$W = 4 = mean(cat(2 P(i v 4 \cdot end) P(1 \cdot i v 1))) * (V = 1 - V = 4)$
853	$W = 12 - mean(mean(P(i v_1 : end))) * (V = 1 - V = 1))$
854	$W_{122} = mean(neun(1(1-v1).end)))*(v_{11} - v_{12}),$ $W_{34} = mean(P(i,v_{3}:i,v_{4}))*(V_{4} - V_{3}):$
855	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$
856	W boundary = $W 1 2 + W 2 3 - W 3 4 - W 4 1$
857	W dot boundary = W boundary f list(1):
858	% dealized suction and discharge processes
850	W gas disideal $-n P d_*(V 2 - V 3)$:
860	W gas suc ideal = p P i $(V = V = V)$;
861	$\%$ = β =
001	expansion
862	%are real)
863	W gas net ideal — W gas dis ideal \pm W 1.2 \pm W 3.4 \pm
000	W use suc ideal.
	w _gas_suc_lucal,

864	$W_dot_net_ideal = W_gas_net_ideal*f_list(l);$
865	%Valve Losses, based on differences between ideal valves
	and
866	%real valves, in W
867	$W_dot_suc_loss = 1000*(-W_4_1 + W_gas_suc_ideal)*f_list($
	l);
868	$W_dot_dis_loss = 1000*(W_2_3 - W_gas_dis_ideal)*f_list(l$
);
869	else
870	$T_d = 0;$
871	$h_{-}2 = 0;$
872	$h_2_calc = 0;$
873	$W_dot_suc_loss = 0;$
874	$W_dot_dis_loss = 0;$
875	end
876	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
877	%% Losses and power calculations
878	%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
879	%Power in the piston shaft, energy integrated over one
	period
880	$W_dot_shaft = 0.5*p.M_mov*x_dot_piston.^2*t_list(1);$
881	W_dot_shaft_ave = sum(W_dot_shaft)/length(W_dot_shaft);
882	%Power in the rotation of piston shaft, energy integrated over
883	%one period
884	$W_dot_shaft_rotation = 0.5*p.J*theta_dot.^2*f_list(I);$
885	W_dot_shaft_rotation_ave = sum(W_dot_shaft_rotation)/length(W_dot_shaft_rotation);
886	%Power consumed by friction
887	W_dot_friction = p.f_friction*F_wall*2*p.x_stroke*f_list(l);
888	$W_dot_friction_ave=mean(W_dot_friction);$
889	%Total power consumed by compressor, moving from compression
890	%chamber to motor
891	W_dot_total_ave = W_dot_shaft_ave + W_dot_shaft_rotation_ave + W_dot_friction_ave + p.Q_motor;
892	Maximum power stored in the mechanical springs
893	W_dot_stored_max = 0.5*p.k_mech*p.x_stroke^2*f_list(1);
894	%Net compressor power into the gas
895	W_dot(k-1)=1000*m_dot(k-1)*(h_2-p.h_in); %W_dot is in W
896	%Efficiency estimations
897	eta_o(k-1)=(m_dot(k-1)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/(p.P_electric *2);
898	eta_o_test = (m_dot(k-1)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/ W_dot_total_ave:
899	$eta_{is} = (p.h_2_{s} - p.h_{in})/(h_2 - p.h_{in});$
900	%Net leakage losses
901	$W_{dot_{eakage_{loss}} = W_{dot_{shaft_{ave}} - W_{dot(k-1)} - Q_{dot(k)}$
	$-1) - W_{dot_suc_loss} - W_{dot_dis_loss};$
902	W_dot_leak = 1000*mean(m_dot_leak_out(end)*h - m_dot_leak_in (end)*h_cv2);
903	%Linear additions
904	W_dot_in_linear = mean(F_drive_clean.*x_dot_piston);

905	eta_o_linear = (m_dot(k-1)*(p.h_2_s-p.h_in)*1000)/
006	% Other Estimations
900	$\frac{1}{100}$ other Estimations
907	$x_{\text{mag}}(T) = p_{\text{mag}}(T) = p_{\text{mag}}(x_{\text{mag}}) = (m_{\text{mag}}(x_{\text{mag}}) = m_{\text{mag}}(x_{\text{mag}})$
908); $\%$ ok < SAGROW>
909	f_list_resonant_save(l) = f_list(l); %#ok <sagrow></sagrow>
910	W_gas=((p.gamma*p.P_i*(max(V) - p.V_dead_valve))/(p.gamma-1))*(((p.P_d/p.P_i)^((p.gamma-1)/p.gamma))-1); %kJ
911	$W_dot_gas = W_gas * f_list(l) * 1000;$
912	%% Calculating resonant frequency
913	if l>1
914	change_in_stroke = x_stroke_resonant_save(1) -
	$x_{stroke_{resonant_{save}}(-1);$
915	if change_in_stroke < 0
916	number_change_stroke = number_change_stroke +1;
917	end
918	if number change stroke < 3
919	$p_{resonant} o p = 0$
920	f resonant calculated = 0
021	w d resonant calculated -0
022	w_1u_1 else if number change stroke > 3 % is stroke decreasing
023	n reconant loop -1
923 024	if length(x stroke resonant save) < 5
025	f reconant calculated $= 00$:
920	r_1 resonant_calculated $= 99$,
920	$w_u u_r = solitatieu - 99,$
921	$ersen regular (x_stroke_resonant_save) <= 15 & regular (x_stroke_resonant_save) <= 5$
0.20	$x_{stroke_resonant_save} >= 5$
920	$coefficients = polynic (1_nst_resonant_save),$
000	x_stroke_resonant_save ,2);
929	$1_resonant_calculated = -coefficients(2)/(2*)$
020	coefficients (1));
930	$w_d_resonant_calculated = f_resonant_calculated *2* pl$
001	;
931	else
932	x_stroke_resonant_save = x_stroke_resonant_save(end
	-14:end);
933	$f_list_resonant_save = f_list_resonant_save (end -14)$
	end);
934	coefficients = polyfit(f_list_resonant_save ,
	x_stroke_resonant_save ,2);
935	$f_{resonant_calculated} = -coefficients(2)/(2*)$
	coefficients(1));
936	w_d_resonant_calculated = $f_resonant_calculated *2*pi$
	;
937	end
938	end
939	else
940	$f_resonant_calculated = 0;$
941	$w_d_resonant_calculated = 0;$
942	number_change_stroke = 0; %initialize variable
943	p, resonant $\log p = 0$:

944	end
945	‰ Saving Important parameters
946	if pc_flag == 0 %&& p.resonant_loop == 1
947	d=date:
948	save data-{d char(n save name) z n w d f list(l) (max(
540	$x = u_1(y, c_1(y), c_2(y), c_1(y), c_1(y), c_2(y), c$
040	$x_p \text{ piston_m} = \min(x_p \text{ piston_m}), \text{ w_uot}(k-1), \dots$
949	$m_{aot}(k-1), m_{aot}=ak_{out}(k-1), p. P_{1}, p. P_{a}, p. 1_{1}, tengtn(k-1))$
	t), char(p.method), 2*p. P_electric,
950	p.eta_motor,p.k_mech,p.t_triction,p.ecc_1,x_mag(1),p.g,
	eta_o(k -1), eta_vol(k -1), T_d , \dots
951	$T_w(k-1)$, k-1, Q_dot(k-1), Q_dot_cv2(k-1), p. P_d/p. P_i, res_T
	$({\sf k}{-}1)$, <code>res_rho</code> (<code>k{-}1)</code> , <code>res_T_cv2</code> (<code>k{-}1)</code> , <code>res_rho_cv2</code> (<code>k{-}1)</code>
	,····
952	$res_x(k-1), 0, 0, p. M_mov, (max(x_piston_m)-min(x_piston_m))$
	, p. P_electric , f_resonant_calculated ,
	w_d_resonant_calculated .p. k_eff . eta_is
953	eta o test. W dot shaft ave. W dot shaft rotation ave.
	W dot friction ave W dot total ave W dot stored max
	W dot suc loss W dot dis loss
054	$W_{\rm L}$ det laskage lass $W_{\rm L}$ det lask mean (v. nicton m) n
904	W dood value a arrest atrake ate a linear
	V_dead_valve, a, error_stroke, eta_o_linear,
055	<pre>vv_dot_in_linear };</pre>
955	
956	range_2=strcat('A', num2str(1+rows), ':', 'BG', num2str(1+rows))
957	xlswrite1('data_log.xls',save_data ,range_2)
958	save_name = strcat('wksp_',p.save_name);
959	save_name = char(save_name);
960	<pre>save(save_name)</pre>
961	elseif pc_flag == 1
962	$save_data_tmp = \{z + row_start - 1, p. w_d, f_list(l), (max($
	x_{piston_m} (x_{piston_m}), $W_{dot(k-1)}$,
963	$m_{dot}(k-1)$, $m_{dot_{l}}[eak_{out}(k-1), p, P_{i}, p, P_{d}, p, T_{i}]$
	t) 2*n P electric
964	n eta motor n k mech n f friction n ecc 1 x mag(1) n g
504	$p: cta_motor, p: k_motor, p: r_motor, p: ccc_r, k_mag(r), p: g,$
065	$T_{\rm w}(k, 1) = 10 \ dot(k-1), 1 \ dot(k-1), 1 \ dot(k-1) = 0 \ $
905	$\Gamma_w(k-1), k-1, Q_uot(k-1), Q_uot_cv2(k-1), p. F_u/p. F_1, res_1$
	$(k-1)$, res_rno $(k-1)$, res_1_cv2 $(k-1)$, res_rno_cv2 $(k-1)$
0.00	
966	res_x(k-1), U, U, p. NI_mov, $(max(x_piston_m)-min(x_piston_m))$
	, p. P_electric , f_resonant_calculated ,
	w_d_resonant_calculated ,p.k_eff , eta_is ,
967	eta_o_test , W_dot_shaft_ave , W_dot_shaft_rotation_ave ,
	W_dot_friction_ave , W_dot_total_ave , W_dot_stored_max ,
	W_dot_suc_loss, W_dot_dis_loss,
968	W_dot_leakage_loss , W_dot_leak , mean(x_piston_m),p.
	V_dead_valve ,a,error_stroke ,eta_o_linear ,
	W_dot_in_linear };
969	
970	<pre>save_data(z,:) = cell2mat(save_data_tmp):</pre>
971	save name = strcat('data log '.p.save name);
972	wksn name = streat('wksn' n save name)
512	wisp_name = streat(wisp_ ,p.save_name),

```
973
                save_name = char(save_name);
974
                xlswrite(save_name, save_data);
975
                save(char(wksp_name))
976
            end
977
            k = 1;
978
            dT_{-}loop=1;
979
            drho_loop=1;
980
            dT_cv2_loop=1;
981
            drho_cv2_loop=1;
982
            |=|+1;
                               %Resonant loop counter update
                %End of freq sweep while loop, I variable
983
        end
984
            985
            ‰ Diagrams
986
            987
            if pc_flag == 0
988
                989
                % P-V Plot
                990
991
                figure (21)
992
                %set(gcf,'Visible','off')
993
                handles.plot(21)=plot(V,P); title('Pressure v. Volume');
994
                ylabel('Pressure (kPa)');
                xlabel('Volume(m^3)');
995
996
                handles.figure(21)=gcf;
997
                handles. axis(21) = gca;
998
                999
                % Diagnostic Plots
                1000
1001
                figure (22)
                set(gcf, 'Visible', 'off')
1002
1003
                handles.plot(22)=plot(Q_dot); title('Heat Transfer v.
                   lteration ');
1004
                ylabel('Heat Transfer (kW)');
                xlabel('lteration');
1005
1006
                handles.figure(22)=gcf;
1007
                handles. axis(22) = gca;
1008
1009
                figure (23)
1010
                set(gcf, 'Visible', 'off')
1011
                handles.plot(23)=plot(Q_dot_cv2); title('Heat Transfer v.
                   Iteration - CV2');
1012
                ylabel('Heat Transfer (kW)');
1013
                xlabel('lteration');
1014
                handles.figure(23)=gcf;
1015
                handles. axis(23) = gca;
1016
1017
                figure (24)
                set(gcf, 'Visible', 'off')
1018
1019
                handles.plot(24)=plot(T_w); title('Shell Wall Temp v.
                   Iteration - CV2');
1020
                ylabel('Wall Temp (K)');
1021
                xlabel('lteration');
```

1022 handles. figure (24) = gcf; 1023 handles. axis(24) = gca;1024 1025 %Main subplot diagram 1026 figure (25) %set(gcf,'Visible','off') 1027 subplot(3,3,1),plot(t,T);title('Temperature v. Time'); subplot(3,3,2),plot(t,P);title('Pressure v. Time'); 1028 1029 1030 subplot(3,3,3), plot(t,dm); title('Massflow v. Time'); 1031 subplot(3,3,4), plot(t,V); title('Volume v. Time'); subplot(3,3,5), plot(t,V); title('Volume v. Time'); 1032 1033 subplot(3,3,6),plot(t,rho);title('Density v. Time'); 1034 subplot(3,3,7), plot(t,dV); title('Change in Volume v. Time'); 1035 subplot(3,3,8), plot(t, x_piston); title('Displacement v. Time' 1036 subplot(3,3,9), plot(t, drho); title('Change in Rho v. Time'); 1037 1038 figure (26) %set(gcf, 'Visible ', 'off ') 1039 1040 %plot(t,dT_check);hold on;plot(t,dT,'r');legend(' dT_c_h_e_c_k ', 'dm') 1041 subplot(2,2,1), plot(t,Ma); title('Mach Number v. Time') subplot(2,2,2), plot(t,x_valve); title('Valve Lift v. Time') 1042 1043 subplot(2,2,3), plot(m_dot_leak_out); title('Leakage Outflow v Time ') 1044 subplot(2,2,4), plot(m_dot); title('Massflow v. Iteration') 1045 1046 % CV2 Plots 1047 figure (27) %set(gcf, 'Visible ', 'off') 1048 1049 subplot(3,3,1), $plot(t,T_cv2)$; title('Temperature v. Time forCV2'); 1050 subplot(3,3,2), plot(t, P_cv2); title('Pressure v. Time for CV2 '); subplot(3,3,3), plot(t, dm_leak_out); title('Leakage Massflow 1051 In v. Time for CV2'); 1052 subplot(3,3,4), plot(t, V_cv2); title('Volume v. Time for CV2') 1053 subplot(3,3,5), plot(t, rho_cv2); title('Density v. Time'); 1054 subplot(3,3,6),plot(t,h_cv2);title('Enthalpy v. Time'); subplot(3,3,7), plot(t,dT_cv2); title('Change in Temperature v 1055 Time for CV2'); 1056 subplot(3,3,8), plot(t, Ma_cv2); title('Mach Number v. Time'); 1057 subplot(3,3,9), plot(t, drho_cv2); title('Change in Rho v. Time for CV2'); 1058 1059 %% Shutting down activex server to excel invoke (Excel. ActiveWorkbook, 'Save'); 1060 1061 Excel. Quit 1062 Excel. delete 1063 clear Excel 1064 end

```
1065
              %% other stuff
1066
              if pc_flag == 1
                   disp(strcat('Batch Line No: ', num2str(z+row_start -1)))
1067
1068
              else
1069
                   disp(strcat('Batch Line No: ',num2str(z)))
1070
              end
1071
                       %end of z loop (number of batch lines)
          end
1072
1073
         %turn annoying warning back on
1074
          warning('on', 'MATLAB: Print: SavingToDifferentName')
1075
          disp('finished')
1076
          diary
1077
     catch ME
1078
          disp('finished w/ error')
1079
          disp (ME. message)
1080
          disp (ME. cause)
1081
          disp (ME. stack)
1082
          disp(ME)
1083
          diary
1084
          if pc_flag == 0
1085
              %% Shutting down activex server to excel
1086
              invoke(Excel.ActiveWorkbook, 'Save');
              Excel. Quit
1087
1088
              Excel. delete
1089
              clear Excel
1090
              crash_name = strcat('crash_', save_name);
1091
              save(crash_name)
1092
         end
1093
          if pc_flag == 1
              save_name = strcat('data_log_', p.save_name);
1094
1095
              save_name = char(save_name);
1096
              crash_name = strcat('crash_', save_name);
1097
              save(crash_name)
1098
              if |>1
1099
                   xlswrite(save_name, save_data);
1100
              end
1101
          end
     %turn annoying warning back on
1102
1103
     warning('on', 'MATLAB: Print: SavingToDifferentName')
1104
1105
    end
```

```
1 function [ dV,V,x_piston,x_dot_piston,theta,theta_dot,x_piston_m,
       theta_dot_dot,p ] = vibration( t,dP,dx,x_piston_1,x_dot_piston_1,
       theta_1, theta_dot_1, x_piston_m_1, theta_dot_dot_1, mu_oil, p)
2 %Vibration Model Component of Linear Compressor Model, added ver. 1.4.
3
4
  if p.vibration_on==1
5
6
       V=x_piston_1*p.Ap+p.V_dead_valve;
                                                      %Piston volume, when
           x_piston=0 volume is dead volume in valves.
7
       dV=-x_dot_piston_1*p.Ap;
                                         %x_piston and x_piston_m are
           opposites, x_dot_piston follows x_piston_m but I want it to
           follow x_piston, hence the negative.
8
       p.theta=theta_1;
9
10
       %Linearized vibration model flag
       linear = 0:
11
12
13
        if linear == 1
14
            theta_tmp = atan(p.g/p.L_1);
15
            A_p = pi * p. D_piston * p. x_stroke;
16
            p.F_wall = (mu_oil*A_p*x_dot_piston_avg)/p.g; %oil film damping
17
            %k_gas adjusted for max stroke
            k_gas=((p.P_d-p.P_i)*p.Ap*1000)/p.x_stroke_ref; %Gas spring
18
               rate, linear estimate
19
            p.V_max=p.Ap*p.x_stroke_ref;
20
            W_{gas} = ((p.gamma*p.P_i*p.V_max)/(p.gamma-1))*((p.P_d/p.P_i)^{((p.P_d/p.P_i)})
               gamma-1)/p.gamma)-1);
                                              %Work done on gas in one cycle,
                kJ
21
            c_friction = (4*p.f_friction*p.F_wall)/(p.w_d*p.x_stroke_ref*pi);
                   %effective damping due to dry friction in cylinder
                                                                              %
22
            c_gas = (W_gas * 1000) / (p.w_d * p.x_stroke_ref^2 * pi);
               effecitve damping due to work done on gas, 1000 to convert
               work to J, (N-s)/m
23
        else
24
25
            if abs(theta_1) >= atan(p.g/p.L_1) % if piston is in contact
               with wall, there is friction.
26
                A_p = pi * p. D_piston * p. x_stroke;
27
                p.F_wall = (mu_oil*A_p*x_dot_piston_1)/p.g; %oil film
                   damping
28
            else
29
                p.F_wall=0;
30
                A_p = pi * p. D_piston * p. x_stroke;
31
                p.F_wall = (mu_oil * A_p * x_dot_piston_1)/p.g; %oil film
                   damping
32
            end
33
            p.V_max = p.Ap*p.x_stroke;
34
            if p.dP_max >= (p.P_d-p.P_i)
35
                k_gas=((p.P_d-p.P_i)*p.Ap*1000)/p.x_stroke; %Gas spring
                   rate, linear estimate
```
```
W_{gas} = (-p.P_{current*p.dV} + 0.5*p.dV*dP)*1000;
36
37
            elseif p.dP_max < (p.P_d-p.P_i)
38
                k_gas=(p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000)/p.x_stroke; %Gas spring rate,
                    linear estimate
39
                W_{gas} = (-p.P_{current*p.dV} + 0.5*p.dV*dP)*1000;
40
            end
41
            c_friction = (4*p.F_wall)/(p.w_d*p.x_stroke*pi);
42
           ‰effective damping due to dry friction in cylinder
43
           c_gas = (W_gas) / (p.w_d * p.x_stroke^2 * pi);
44
           %%effecitve damping due to work done on gas, 1000 to convert
               work to J, (N-s)/m
45
       end
46
       k_eff=k_gas+p.k_mech;
                                       %Effective Spring Rate, gas +
           mechanical springs
47
       p.k_eff = k_eff;
48
       c_eff=c_gas+c_friction;
                                                          %Total effective
           damping, (N-s)/m
49
       p.c_eff = c_eff;
       p.c_friction = c_friction;
50
51
       p.c_gas = c_gas;
52
       53
       %% Start - dx_vibration replacement
       54
55
       %Vector of Timesteps
       t_{combined} = [t, t+0.5*p.t_{step}, t+0.5*p.t_{step}, t+p.t_{step}];
56
57
       k_m = 4.6831;
58
       F_drive_max=sqrt(p.eta_motor*p.P_electric)*(k_m);
                                                              %Maximum Motor
           force, in Newtons.
59
       F_drive=F_drive_max * (cos(p.w_d*(t-p.t_force_adjust)+pi));
                                                                      %Driving
            force v. Time
60
61
       %Renaming displacements and angles for Runge-Kutta Calculations
62
       x_1 = x_piston_m_1;
63
       x_2 = x_dot_piston_1;
64
       theta_1_calc = theta_1;
65
       theta_2 = theta_dot_1;
66
67
       %Replacing dx_vibration%
68
       F_drive = F_drive_max * (cos(p.w_d*(t_combined(1)-p.t_force_adjust)+pi))
                            %Driving force v. Time
69
       if linear == 0
70
           %F_drive = F_drive - p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000;
71
       end
72
73
       num2=(1/p.M_mov)*(p.k_mech*p.ecc_1*theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff*x_2-(
           k_gas+p.k_mech)*x_1;
74
       num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
75
       dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
76
       k1 = p.t_step*dx;
77
78
       %Step 2, update displacements and angles
79
       x_{-1} = x_{-1} + 0.5 * k1(1);
```

266

```
x_2 = x_2 + 0.5 * k1(2);
theta_1_calc = theta_1_calc + 0.5 \times k1(3);
theta_2 = theta_2 + 0.5 * k1(4);
F_drive = F_drive_max * (cos(p.w_d*(t_combined(2)-p.t_force_adjust)+pi))
    %F_drive = F_drive - p.dP_piston*p.Ap*1000;
num2=(1/p.M_mov)*(p.k_mech*p.ecc_1*theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff*x_2-(
    k_gas+p.k_mech)*x_1);
num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
%Step 3, update displacements and angles
x_{-1} = x_{-1} + 0.5 * k^{2}(1);
x_2 = x_2 + 0.5 * k2(2);
theta_1_calc = theta_1_calc + 0.5 \times k^2(3);
theta_2 = theta_2 + 0.5 * k2(4);
%Replacing dx_vibration%
num2 = (1/p.M_mov) * (p.k_mech*p.ecc_1 * theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff * x_2 - (
    k_gas+p.k_mech)*x_1);
num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
```

```
dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
103
         k3 = p.t_step*dx;
104
```

```
105
         %Step 4, update displacements and angles
106
107
         x_{-1} = x_{-1} + k2(1);
108
         x_{-2} = x_{-2} + k2(2);
109
         theta_1_calc = theta_1_calc+k2(3);
         theta_2 = theta_2+k2(4);
110
111
112
         F_drive=F_drive_max*(cos(p.w_d*(t_combined(4)-p.t_force_adjust)+pi))
            ;
113
         if linear == 0
114
             %F_drive = F_drive - p_dP_piston*p_Ap*1000;
115
116
         end
```

```
117
118
         num2 = (1/p.M_mov) * (p.k_mech*p.ecc_1 * theta_1_calc+F_drive-c_eff * x_2 - (
             k_gas+p.k_mech)*x_1;
119
         num4 = (p.k_mech/p.J) * (x_1-p.ecc_1 * theta_1) * p.ecc_1;
120
         dx = [x_2, num2, theta_2, num4];
```

```
121
         k4 = p.t_step*dx;
```

```
122
123
```

```
124
     %% End, dx_vibration replacement
     125
```

126

80

81

82

83

84 85

86

87

88 89

90

91 92

93 94

95

96

97

98

99 100

101

102

;

end

if linear == 0

 $k2 = p.t_step*dx;$

```
127
         dx_total = [x_piston_m_1, x_dot_piston_1, theta_1, theta_dot_1] + (1/6) * (k1)
             +2*k2+2*k3+k4);
128
         x_piston_m = dx_total(1);
129
         x_dot_piston=dx_total(2);
130
         theta = dx_{-}total(3);
131
         theta_dot=dx_total(4);
132
         theta_dot_dot=k1(4);
133
         p.x_dot_dot_piston=k1(2);
134
         x_piston=-x_piston_m+p.x_o;
135
136
                                              %This second condition does not
         if x_piston < 0
             allow the piston to travel beyond the top of the cylinder
137
              x_piston = 0;
138
              x_piston_m = p.x_o;
139
              x_dot_piston = 0;
140
         end
141
142
         if abs(theta) > atan(p.g/p.L_1)
                                                        %Restricts the rotation to
             approx 5 deg.
143
              if theta < 0
144
                  theta=-atan(p.g/p.L_1);
145
                   theta_dot=0;
146
              elseif theta > 0
147
                  theta=atan(p.g/p.L_1);
148
                   theta_dot=0;
149
              end
150
         end
151
152
     elseif p.vibration_on==0
153
         x_{piston} = p.x_{o} * (cos(p.w_{d} * t + pi) + 1);
154
         V=p.Ap*x_piston+p.V_dead_valve;
155
         dV = -p \cdot Ap * p \cdot x_o * p \cdot w_d * sin(p \cdot w_d * t + pi);
156
         x_dot_piston = -p.x_o*p.w_d*(sin(p.w_d*t+pi));
157
         theta = 0;
158
         theta_dot=0;
159
         theta_dot_dot=0;
160
         x_piston_m=x_piston -p.x_o;
161
         p \cdot F_wall = 0;
162
         p.theta = 0;
         p.k_{-}eff=0;
163
164
         p.c_eff = 0;
165
         p.c_{friction} = 0;
166
         p.c_gas = 0;
167
         p.x_dot_dot_piston =0;
168 end
169
170 end
```

268

VITA

VITA

Mr. Craig R. Bradshaw received his Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering from Purdue University in 2007. As part of the undergraduate honors option Craig studied the movement of fluids between two particles under the advisement of Prof. Carl Wassgren.

Craig began his PhD studies in January of 2008 at Herrick Labs and will finish in May 2012 with a dissertation titled 'A Miniature-Scale Linear Compressor for Electronics Cooling'. Craig's linear compressor research was funded by the Cooling Technology Research Center (CTRC). Craig has also completed a project for Hoosier Energy rural energy cooperative which examined a variety of waste heat recovery technologies. Craig was a 2011 recipient of the Ward A. Lambert Teaching Fellowship allowed Craig to teach Thermodynamics I during the Spring semester of 2012. Craig's research interests include compressor, expander, waste-heat recovery, and renewable energy conversion technologies. Craig also has a passion for educating and will pursue a career that generates knowledge and promotes education.