

A MINIMAX INEQUALITY WITH APPLICATIONS
TO EXISTENCE OF EQUILIBRIUM POINT
AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS

BY

XIE PING DING (CHENGDU) AND
KOK-KEONG TAN (HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA)

1. Introduction. Ky Fan's minimax inequality [8, Theorem 1] has become a versatile tool in nonlinear and convex analysis. In this paper, we shall first obtain a minimax inequality which generalizes those generalizations of Ky Fan's minimax inequality due to Allen [1], Yen [18], Tan [16], Bae–Kim–Tan [3] and Fan himself [9]. Several equivalent forms are then formulated and one of them, the maximal element version, is used to obtain a fixed point theorem which in turn is applied to obtain an existence theorem of an equilibrium point in a one-person game. Next, by applying the minimax inequality, we present some fixed point theorems for set-valued inward and outward mappings on a non-compact convex set in a topological vector space. These results generalize the corresponding results due to Browder [5], Jiang [11] and Shih–Tan [15] in several aspects.

2. Preliminaries. Let X be a non-empty set. We shall denote by 2^X the family of all non-empty subsets of X , by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the family of all non-empty finite subsets of X and by \mathbb{R} the set of all real numbers. If A is a subset of a topological vector space E , we shall denote by $\text{co}(A)$ the convex hull of A and by \bar{A} the closure of A in E . Let X be a topological space and $A \subset X$; then $\text{cl}_X A$ denotes the closure of A in X . A function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ is said to be *upper* (resp. *lower*) *semicontinuous* on A if for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{x \in A : g(x) \geq \lambda\}$ (resp. $\{x \in A : g(x) \leq \lambda\}$) is closed in A . If Y is another topological space, a set-valued map $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be

(i) *upper* (resp. *lower*) *semicontinuous at* $x_0 \in X$ if for each open set G in Y with $T(x_0) \subset G$ (resp. with $T(x_0) \cap G \neq \emptyset$), there exists an open neighborhood U of x_0 in X such that $T(x) \subset G$ (resp. $T(x) \cap G \neq \emptyset$) for all $x \in U$;

This work was partially supported by NSERC of Canada under grant A-8096.

(ii) *upper* (resp. *lower*) *semicontinuous on* X if T is upper (resp. lower) semicontinuous at each point of X ;

(iii) *continuous on* X if T is both lower and upper semicontinuous on X .

If X is a convex subset of a topological vector space, a map $P : X \rightarrow 2^X \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be of *class* L_C if for each $x \in X$, $x \notin \text{co}(P(x))$, and for each non-empty compact subset C of X and for each $y \in X$, $P^{-1}(y) \cap C$ is open in C .

The following Lemma 1 is Theorem 2.5.1 of Aubin [2, p. 67]:

LEMMA 1. *Let* X *and* Y *be topological spaces. Suppose* $W : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *is lower semicontinuous on* $X \times Y$ *and* $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ *is upper semicontinuous at* $x_0 \in X$ *such that* $G(x_0)$ *is compact. Then the function* $U : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ *defined by*

$$U(x) = \inf_{y \in G(x)} W(x, y)$$

is lower semicontinuous at x_0 .

The following Lemma 2 is Theorem 2.5.2 of Aubin [2, p. 69]:

LEMMA 2. *Let* X *and* Y *be topological spaces. Suppose* $W : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *is upper semicontinuous on* $X \times Y$ *and* $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ *is lower semicontinuous at* $x_0 \in X$. *Then the function* $V : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ *defined by*

$$V(x) = \inf_{y \in G(x)} W(x, y)$$

is upper semicontinuous at x_0 .

The proof of Lemma 1 of Fan [7] can be slightly modified to give a proof of the following

LEMMA 3. *Let* X *and* Y *be non-empty sets in a topological vector space* E *and let* $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ *be such that*

- (i) *for each* $x \in X$, $F(x)$ *is closed in* Y ;
- (ii) *for each* $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $\text{co}(A) \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} F(x)$;
- (iii) *there exists an* $x_0 \in X$ *such that* $F(x_0)$ *is compact.*

Then $\bigcap_{x \in X} F(x) \neq \emptyset$.

We shall remark here that even although Fan [7] implicitly assumed all topological vector spaces to satisfy the Hausdorff separation axiom, in proving Lemma 1 in [7], “Hausdorff” is never needed. We note that the above Lemma 3 differs from Lemma 1 of Fan [7] in the following ways: (a) E is not required to be Hausdorff and (b) Y need not be the whole space E .

3. A minimax inequality. We shall first prove the following very general minimax inequality:

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and let $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ be such that*

(i) *for each fixed $x \in X$, $f(x, y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function of y on each non-empty compact subset C of X ;*

(ii) *for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and for each $y \in \text{co}(A)$, $\min_{x \in A} f(x, y) \leq 0$;*

(iii) *there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $f(x, y) > 0$.*

Then there exists $\hat{y} \in K$ such that $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. For each $x \in X$, let

$$K(x) = \{y \in K : f(x, y) \leq 0\}.$$

By (i), $K(x)$ is closed in K for each $x \in X$. We claim that the family $\{K(x) : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property. Indeed, let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be any finite subset of X and let $D = \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$; then D is a compact convex subset of X . First we note that by (ii), $f(x, x) \leq 0$ for each $x \in X$. Define $F : D \rightarrow 2^D$ by $F(x) = \{y \in D : f(x, y) \leq 0\}$. Then

(a) for each $x \in D$, $F(x)$ is closed in D by (i), and hence it is compact;

(b) for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $\text{co}(A) \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} F(x)$.

Indeed, if (b) were false, then there would exist $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ and $y \in \text{co}(A)$ such that $y \notin \bigcup_{x \in A} F(x)$. It follows that $f(x, y) > 0$ for all $x \in A$, which contradicts (ii).

By Lemma 3, $\bigcap_{x \in D} F(x) \neq \emptyset$; that is, there exists $\bar{y} \in D$ such that $f(x, \bar{y}) \leq 0$ for all $x \in D$. By (iii), we must have $\bar{y} \in K$, so that $\bar{y} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n K(x_i)$. This proves that $\{K(x) : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property. By the compactness of K , $\bigcap_{x \in X} K(x) \neq \emptyset$. Take any $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{x \in X} K(x)$; then $\hat{y} \in K$ and $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$. ■

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following minimax inequality, which is essentially Theorem 1 of Bae–Kim–Tan [3], which in turn generalizes minimax inequalities due to Tan [16, Theorem 1] and Fan [9, Theorem 6] (and hence also [8, Theorem 1]).

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and let $f, g : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ be such that*

(a) *$f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $g(x, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$;*

(b) *for each fixed $x \in X$, $f(x, y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function of y on each non-empty compact subset C of X ;*

(c) *for each $y \in X$, the set $\{x \in X : g(x, y) > 0\}$ is convex;*

(d) *there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $f(x, y) > 0$.*

Then there exists $\hat{y} \in K$ such that $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that (a) and (c) imply the condition (ii) of Theorem 1. Suppose not. Then there exist $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $y \in \text{co}(A)$ such that $\min_{x \in A} f(x, y) > 0$; but then by (a), $\min_{x \in A} g(x, y) > 0$; it follows that $A \subset \{x \in X : g(x, y) > 0\}$. By (c), $y \in \text{co}(A) \subset \{x \in X : g(x, y) > 0\}$, so that $g(y, y) > 0$, which contradicts (a). ■

The following result, which is equivalent to Theorem 2.11 of Zhou–Chen [19], is also an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. *Let X be a non-empty compact convex subset of a topological vector space and let $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ be such that for each $x \in X$, $f(x, y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function of y on X . Then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one of the following properties holds:*

- (1) *there exists $\hat{y} \in X$ such that $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq t$ for all $x \in X$;*
- (2) *there exist $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $y \in \text{co}(A)$ such that $\min_{x \in A} f(x, y) > t$.*

Proof. Let $F(x, y) = f(x, y) - t$ for all $x, y \in X$; then for each $x \in X$, $F(x, y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function of y on X . Take $X_0 = K = X$. Then the condition (iii) in Theorem 1 is satisfied trivially. If for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and for each $y \in \text{co}(A)$, $\min_{x \in A} F(x, y) \leq 0$, then by Theorem 1, there exists $\hat{y} \in X$ such that $F(x, \hat{y}) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq t$ for all $x \in X$, and (1) holds. On the other hand, if there exist $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $y \in \text{co}(A)$ such that $\min_{x \in A} F(x, y) > 0$, then $\min_{x \in A} f(x, y) > t$, so that (2) holds. ■

The following result is essentially Theorem 1 of Yen [18].

COROLLARY 2. *Let X be a non-empty compact convex subset of a topological vector space and let $f, g : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ be such that*

- (i) *$f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$;*
- (ii) *for each $x \in X$, $f(x, y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function of y on X ;*
- (iii) *for each $y \in X$, $g(x, y)$ is a quasi-concave function of x on X ; i.e. for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{x \in X : g(x, y) > t\}$ is convex.*

Then the minimax inequality

$$\min_{y \in X} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x)$$

holds.

Proof. It suffices to assume that $t = \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x) < \infty$. We shall show that case (2) of Corollary 1 cannot occur. Indeed, if there exist $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $y \in \text{co}(A)$ such that $\min_{x \in A} f(x, y) > t$, then by (i), we must have $\min_{x \in A} g(x, y) > t$. It follows from (iii) that $g(y, y) > t$, contradicting $t = \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x)$. Hence the conclusion follows from Corollary 1. ■

We observe that for $t = \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x) < \infty$, the above result also follows from Theorem 2 by replacing f and g by $f - t$ and $g - t$ respectively and by taking $X_0 = K = X$.

Next we remark that while Theorem 2 (also Theorem 1 of Tan [13]) is a generalization of Fan's minimax inequality [7, Theorem 1] *from a single function on a compact set to a pair of functions on a non-compact set*, Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 1 of Tan [13] (and hence also of Theorem 1 of Yen [15]) *from a pair of functions to a single function*. We should point out that a function $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the condition (ii) in Theorem 1 is said to be *0-diagonally quasi-concave in y* in [16]. For other related but not comparable results, we refer to Deguire–Granas [6, Theorem 1], Granas–Liu [10, Theorem 5.1] and Shih–Tan [12, Theorem 1].

4. Equivalent forms. Following Ky Fan's idea in [8], we shall now give various equivalent formulations of Theorem 1:

THEOREM 1' (First Geometric Form). *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and let $N \subset X \times X$ be such that*

(i) *for each fixed $x \in X$ and for each non-empty compact subset C of X , the set $\{y \in C : (x, y) \in N\}$ is open in C ;*

(ii) *for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and for each $y \in \text{co}(A)$, there exists $x \in A$ such that $(x, y) \notin N$;*

(iii) *there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $(x, y) \in N$.*

Then there exists a point $\hat{y} \in K$ such that $\{x \in X : (x, \hat{y}) \in N\} = \emptyset$.

THEOREM 1'' (Second Geometric Form). *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and let $M \subset X \times X$ be such that*

(i) *for each fixed $x \in X$ and for each non-empty compact subset C of X , the set $\{y \in C : (x, y) \in M\}$ is closed in C ;*

(ii) *for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and for each $y \in \text{co}(A)$, there exists $x \in A$ such that $(x, y) \in M$;*

(iii) *there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $(x, y) \notin M$.*

Then there exists a point $\hat{y} \in K$ such that $X \times \{\hat{y}\} \subset M$.

THEOREM 1''' (Maximal Element Version). *Let X be non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and let $G : X \rightarrow 2^X \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a set-valued map such that*

(i) *for $x \in X$ and for each non-empty compact subset C of X , $G^{-1}(x) \cap C$ is open in C (where $G^{-1}(x) = \{y \in X : x \in G(y)\}$);*

(ii) *for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and for each $y \in \text{co}(A)$, there exists $x \in A$ such that $x \notin G(y)$;*

(iii) *there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $x \in G(y)$.*

Then there exists $\hat{y} \in K$ such that $G(\hat{y}) = \emptyset$.

Sketch of proofs. Theorem 1 \Rightarrow Theorem 1': Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the characteristic function on N . ■

Theorem 1' \Rightarrow Theorem 1: Define $N = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : f(x, y) > 0\}$. ■

Theorem 1' \Rightarrow Theorem 1'': Let $N = X \times X \setminus M$. ■

Theorem 1'' \Rightarrow Theorem 1': Let $M = X \times X \setminus N$. ■

Theorem 1'' \Rightarrow Theorem 1''': Let $M = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \notin G(y)\}$. ■

Theorem 1''' \Rightarrow Theorem 1'': Define $G : X \rightarrow 2^X \cup \{\emptyset\}$ by $G(y) = \{x \in X : (x, y) \notin M\}$ for all $y \in X$. ■

Theorem 1' (respectively, Theorem 1'') generalizes Theorem 3 (respectively, Theorem 4) of Shih–Tan [13].

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1''', the maximal element version of our minimax inequality, we have the following result:

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and let $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a set-valued map such that*

(i) *for each $y \in X$ and for each non-empty compact subset C of X , $G^{-1}(y) \cap C$ is open in C ;*

(ii) *there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $x \in G(y)$.*

Then there exists $\hat{y} \in X$ such that $\hat{y} \in \text{co}(G(\hat{y}))$.

Proof. Since $G(y) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in X$, by Theorem 1''', there exist $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\hat{y} \in \text{co}(A)$ such that $x \in G(\hat{y})$ for all $x \in A$. Thus $A \subset G(\hat{y})$, so that $\hat{y} \in \text{co}(A) \subset \text{co}(G(\hat{y}))$. ■

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3:

THEOREM 3'. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and let $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a set-valued map such that*

(i) for each $x \in X$ and for each non-empty compact subset C of X , $G^{-1}(x) \cap C$ is open in C ;

(ii) there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $x \in G(y)$;

(iii) for each $y \in X$, $G(y)$ is convex.

Then there exists $\hat{y} \in X$ such that $\hat{y} \in G(\hat{y})$.

Theorem 3' implies the following:

THEOREM 3''. Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space and $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a set-valued map such that

(i) for each $x \in X$ and for each non-empty compact subset C of X , $G^{-1}(x) \cap C$ is open in C ;

(ii) there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there is an $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ with $x \in \text{co}(G(y))$.

Then there exists $\hat{y} \in X$ such that $\hat{y} \in \text{co}(G(\hat{y}))$.

PROOF. By Theorem 3', it remains to show that the map $\text{co}G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ defined by $(\text{co}G)(x) = \text{co}(G(x))$ has the property: for each $x \in X$ and for each non-empty compact subset C of X , $(\text{co}G)^{-1}(x) \cap C$ is open in C . Indeed, if $y \in (\text{co}G)^{-1}(x) \cap C$, then $y \in C$ and $x \in \text{co}(G(y))$; let $y_1, \dots, y_n \in G(y)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $G^{-1}(y_i) \cap C$ is open in C and $y \in G^{-1}(y_i) \cap C$; let $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^n G^{-1}(y_i) \cap C$. Then U is an open neighbourhood of y in C . If $z \in U$, then $z \in C$ and $y_i \in G(z)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, so that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i \in \text{co}(G(z))$ and hence $z \in (\text{co}G)^{-1}(x) \cap C$, for all $z \in U$. Therefore $(\text{co}G)^{-1}(x) \cap C$ is open in C . ■

The above proof that $(\text{co}G)^{-1}(x) \cap C$ is open in C is a modification of the corresponding proof of Lemma 5.1 of Yannelis–Prabhakar [17]. As the condition (ii) of Theorem 3 implies the condition (ii) of Theorem 3'', Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 3''. Therefore Theorems 3, 3' and 3'' are all equivalent. Theorem 3' generalizes Theorem 1 of Browder [4].

5. Application to the existence of an equilibrium point. A quadruple (X, A, B, P) is a *one-person game* or a *one-agent abstract economy* if X is a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space, $A, B : X \rightarrow 2^X \cup \{\emptyset\}$ are constraint correspondences and $P : X \rightarrow 2^X \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is a preference correspondence. An *equilibrium point* for (X, A, B, P) is a point $\hat{x} \in X$ such that $\hat{x} \in \text{cl}_X B(\hat{x})$ and $A(\hat{x}) \cap P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$.

As an application of Theorem 3'', we have the following existence theorem of an equilibrium point for a one-person game:

THEOREM 4. Let (X, A, B, P) be a one-person game such that

- (i) P is of class L_C ;
- (ii) for each $x \in X$, $A(x)$ is non-empty and $\text{co}(A(x)) \subset B(x)$;
- (iii) for each $y \in X$, $A^{-1}(y) \cap C$ is open in each non-empty compact subset C of X ;
- (iv) the map $\text{cl} B : X \rightarrow 2^X$ defined by $(\text{cl} B)(x) = \text{cl}_X B(x)$ is upper semicontinuous;
- (v) there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that for each $y \in X \setminus K$,

$$\text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\}) \cap \text{co}(A(y) \cap P(y)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then (X, A, B, P) has an equilibrium point $\hat{x} \in K$.

PROOF. Suppose that for each $x \in X$, we have either $x \notin \text{cl} B(x)$ or $A(x) \cap P(x) \neq \emptyset$. Define $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} A(x) \cap P(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{cl}_X B(x), \\ A(x) & \text{if } x \notin \text{cl}_X B(x). \end{cases}$$

Let $y \in X$; for each non-empty compact subset C of X , we shall prove that $G^{-1}(y) \cap C$ is open in C . Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \{x \in C : y \in A(x) \cap P(x)\}, \\ U_2 &= \{x \in C : y \in A(x) \text{ and } x \notin \text{cl}_X B(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $U_1 = C \cap A^{-1}(y) \cap P^{-1}(y)$ is open in C by (ii) and P being of class L_C . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &= \{x \in C : y \in A(x)\} \cap \{x \in C : x \notin \text{cl}_X B(x)\} \\ &= (C \cap A^{-1}(y)) \cap [C \cap (X \setminus \{x \in X : x \in \text{cl}_X B(x)\})]. \end{aligned}$$

By (ii), $C \cap A^{-1}(y)$ is open in C . By the upper semicontinuity of $\text{cl} B$, the set $\{x \in X : x \in \text{cl}_X B(x)\}$ is closed in X , so that $C \cap (X \setminus \{x \in X : x \in \text{cl}_X B(x)\})$ is open in C ; it follows that U_2 is also open in C . It is clear that $G^{-1}(y) \cap C = \{x \in C : y \in G(x)\} \subset U_1 \cup U_2$. Conversely, if $x \in U_1$, then $x \in C$ and $y \in A(x) \cap P(x)$. We consider two cases:

- (i) if $x \notin \text{cl}_X B(x)$, then $y \in A(x) \cap P(x) \subset A(x) = G(x)$;
- (ii) if $x \in \text{cl}_X B(x)$, then $y \in A(x) \cap P(x) = G(x)$.

Hence $x \in G^{-1}(y) \cap C$. If $x \in U_2$, then $x \in C$ and $y \in A(x)$ and $x \notin \text{cl}_X B(x)$, so that $y \in G(x)$ and $x \in G^{-1}(y) \cap C$. Therefore $G^{-1}(y) \cap C = U_1 \cup U_2$ is open in C .

By (iv) and the definition of G , for each $y \in X \setminus K$, there exists $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{y\})$ such that $x \in \text{co} G(y)$.

By Theorem 3'' there exists $\hat{y} \in X$ such that $\hat{y} \in \text{co}(G(\hat{y}))$. If $\hat{y} \in \text{cl}_X B(\hat{y})$, then $\hat{y} \in \text{co}(A(\hat{y}) \cap P(\hat{y})) \subset \text{co}(P(\hat{y}))$, which contradicts the as-

sumption that P is of class L_C . If $\hat{y} \notin \text{cl}_X B(\hat{y})$, then $\hat{y} \in \text{co}(A(\hat{y})) \subset B(\hat{y})$, which is impossible. Therefore there must exist $\hat{x} \in X$ such that $\hat{x} \in \text{cl}_X B(\hat{x})$ and $A(\hat{x}) \cap P(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$; that is, \hat{x} is an equilibrium point for (X, A, B, P) . By (v), \hat{x} is necessarily in K . ■

For the existence of equilibrium points for an abstract economy with an infinite set of agents, we refer to Yannelis–Prabhakar [17, Theorem 6.1].

6. Fixed point theorems. In this section, we shall establish several fixed point theorems for set-valued inward and outward mappings in topological vector spaces (which need not be Hausdorff).

THEOREM 5. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space E , and let $G : X \rightarrow 2^E$ be continuous on each non-empty compact subset C of X and such that for each $x \in X$, $G(x)$ is compact and convex. Let $p : X \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

- (a) p is continuous on $C \times E$ for each non-empty compact subset C of X ;
- (b) for each $x \in X$, $p(x, \cdot)$ is a convex function on E .

Suppose that there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that

- (i) *for each $y \in K$ with $y \notin G(y)$, there exist $x \in \overline{y + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X - y)}$ and $v \in G(y)$ such that*

$$p(y, x - v) < \inf_{u \in G(y)} p(y, y - u);$$

- (ii) *for each $y \in X \setminus K$ with $y \notin G(y)$, there exist $x \in \overline{y + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X_0 - y)}$ and $v \in G(y)$ such that*

$$p(y, x - v) < \inf_{u \in G(y)} p(y, y - u).$$

Then G has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Assume that G has no fixed point in X . Define the function $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x, y) = \inf_{u \in G(y)} p(y, y - u) - \inf_{v \in G(y)} p(y, x - v).$$

For each fixed $x \in X$, by the continuity of p and G , it follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that $f(x, y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function of y on each non-empty compact subset C of X .

The condition (ii) of Theorem 1 holds. Indeed, if it does not hold, then there exist $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\bar{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \in \text{co}(A)$ with $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ such that

$$f(x, \bar{y}) = \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) - \inf_{v \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, x - v) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

Hence we have

$$(6.1) \quad \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) > \inf_{v \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, x_i - v) \quad \text{for all } x_i \in A.$$

Since $G(\bar{y})$ is compact and convex and p is continuous, for each $x_i \in A$ there exists $v_i \in G(\bar{y})$ such that

$$\inf_{v \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, x_i - v) = p(\bar{y}, x_i - v_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \in G(\bar{y}).$$

From the convexity of the function $p(x, \cdot)$ and (6.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) &\leq p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - \bar{v}) = p\left(\bar{y}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i - v_i)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p(\bar{y}, x_i - v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \inf_{v \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, x_i - v) \\ &< \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the condition (ii) of Theorem 1 holds.

We claim that the condition (iii) of Theorem 1 holds. Indeed, if it were false, then there would exist $\bar{y} \in X \setminus K$ such that $f(x, \bar{y}) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{\bar{y}\})$. Hence we have

$$\inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) \leq \inf_{v \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, x - v) \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{\bar{y}\}).$$

Note that $\text{co}(X_0 \cup \{\bar{y}\}) = \bar{y} + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1} \lambda(X_0 - \bar{y})$, so we have

$$(6.2) \quad \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) \leq p(\bar{y}, x - v) \quad \text{for all } v \in G(\bar{y}) \text{ and } x \in \bar{y} + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda < 1} \lambda(X_0 - \bar{y}).$$

Since $\bar{y} \notin G(\bar{y})$, by (ii) and the continuity of $p(x, \cdot)$ there exist $x_0 \in X_0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\bar{v} \in G(\bar{y})$ such that $x = \bar{y} + \lambda(x_0 - \bar{y})$ and

$$(6.3) \quad p(\bar{y}, x - \bar{v}) < \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u).$$

By (6.2), we must have $\lambda > 1$ so that

$$x_0 = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \bar{y} + \frac{1}{\lambda} x.$$

By the continuity of $p(\bar{y}, \cdot)$ and the compactness of $G(\bar{y})$, there exists $u_0 \in G(\bar{y})$ such that $p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u_0) = \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u)$. Since $G(\bar{y})$ is convex,

$$w = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} u_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{v} \in G(\bar{y}).$$

Again from the convexity of $p(\bar{y}, \cdot)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p(\bar{y}, x_0 - w) &= p\left(\bar{y}, \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}(\bar{y} - u_0) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(x - \bar{v})\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u_0) + \frac{1}{\lambda}p(\bar{y}, x - \bar{v}) < \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (6.2). Thus the condition (iii) of Theorem 1 also holds.

By Theorem 1, there exists $\hat{y} \in K$ such that $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that

$$(6.4) \quad \inf_{u \in G(\hat{y})} p(\hat{y}, \hat{y} - u) \leq p(\hat{y}, x - v) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } v \in G(\hat{y}).$$

Since $\hat{y} \in K$ and $\hat{y} \notin G(\hat{y})$, by (i) and continuity of $p(\hat{y}, \cdot)$, there exist $\hat{x} \in X$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\hat{v} \in G(\hat{y})$ such that $x = \hat{y} + \lambda(\hat{x} - \hat{y})$ and

$$(6.5) \quad p(\hat{y}, x - \hat{v}) < \inf_{u \in G(\hat{y})} p(\hat{y}, \hat{y} - u).$$

If $\lambda \leq 1$, then $x \in X$ so that (6.5) contradicts (6.4). If $\lambda > 1$, using a similar argument to the above proof, we also obtain a contradiction. Therefore G must have a fixed point in X . ■

Theorem 5 generalizes Theorem 3.3 of Jiang [11] to the non-compact setting and Theorem 10 of Shih–Tan [15], which in turn generalizes Theorem 1 of Browder [5].

THEOREM 5'. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space E , and let $G : X \rightarrow 2^E$ be continuous on each non-empty compact subset C of X and such that for each $x \in X$, $G(x)$ is compact and convex. Let $p : X \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

- (a) p is continuous on $C \times E$ for each non-empty compact subset C of X ;
- (b) for each $x \in X$, $p(x, \cdot)$ is a convex function on E .

Suppose that there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that

- (i) *for each $y \in K$ with $y \notin G(y)$, there exist $x \in \overline{y + \bigcup_{\lambda < 0} \lambda(X - y)}$ and $v \in G(y)$ such that*

$$p(y, x - v) < \inf_{u \in G(y)} p(y, y - u);$$

- (ii) *for each $y \in X \setminus K$ with $y \notin G(y)$, there exist $x \in \overline{y + \bigcup_{\lambda < 0} (X_0 - y)}$ and $v \in G(y)$ such that*

$$p(y, x - v) < \inf_{u \in G(y)} p(y, y - u).$$

Then G has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Define the maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^E$ and $q : X \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(x) = 2x - G(x)$ and $q(x, y) = p(x, -y)$. It is easy to check that F and q satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 5. By Theorem 5, F has a fixed point in X , so that G has a fixed point in X . ■

Theorem 5' generalizes Theorem 2 of Browder [5] to a set-valued map on a non-compact set in a topological vector space which is not necessarily locally convex (as is required in [5]) and Corollary 3.4 of Jiang [11] to the non-compact setting.

COROLLARY 3. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a normed space E , and let $G : X \rightarrow 2^E$ be continuous on each non-empty compact subset C of X and such that for each $x \in X$, $G(x)$ is compact convex. Suppose that there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that*

- (i) *for each $y \in K$, $G(y) \cap \overline{(y + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X - y))} \neq \emptyset$
(respectively, $G(y) \cap \overline{(y + \bigcup_{\lambda < 0} \lambda(X - y))} \neq \emptyset$);*
- (ii) *for each $y \in X \setminus K$, $G(y) \cap \overline{(y + \bigcap_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X_0 - y))} \neq \emptyset$
(respectively, $G(y) \cap \overline{(y + \bigcup_{\lambda < 0} \lambda(X_0 - y))} \neq \emptyset$).*

Then G has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Since E is a normed space, by setting $p(x, y) = \|y\|$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times E$, it follows from Theorem 5 (respectively, Theorem 5') that the conclusion holds. ■

Corollary 3 generalizes Corollary 2 (resp. Corollary 2') of Browder [5] and Corollary 1 of Shih–Tan [15].

THEOREM 6. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space E , and let $G : X \rightarrow 2^E$ be upper semicontinuous on each non-empty compact subset C of X and such that for each $x \in X$, $G(x)$ is compact. Let $p : X \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $C \times D$ for any non-empty compact subsets C and D of X and E , respectively, such that for each $x \in X$, $p(x, \cdot)$ is a convex function on E . Suppose that there exist a non-empty compact convex subset X_0 of X and a non-empty compact subset K of X such that*

- (i) *for each $y \in K$ with $y \notin G(y)$, there exists $x \in y + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X - y)$ such that $p(y, x - u) < p(y, y - u)$ for all $u \in G(y)$;*
- (ii) *for each $y \in X \setminus K$ with $y \notin G(y)$, there exists $x \in y + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X_0 - y)$ such that $p(y, x - u) < p(y, y - u)$ for all $u \in G(y)$.*

Then G has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Assume that G has no fixed point in X . Define the function $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x, y) = \inf_{u \in G(y)} [p(y, y - u) - p(y, x - u)].$$

For each non-empty compact subset C of X , by the assumption on G , $G(C)$ is compact in E . By the continuity assumption on p , for each fixed $x \in X$ the function $W(y, u) = p(y, y - u) - p(y, x - u)$ is continuous on $C \times G(C)$ so that from Lemma 1 it follows that for each fixed $x \in X$, $f(x, y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function of y on each non-empty compact subset C of X .

The condition (ii) of Theorem 1 is satisfied: Indeed, otherwise there would exist $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\bar{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \in \text{co}(A)$ with $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ such that $\min_{x \in A} f(x, \bar{y}) > 0$, so that

$$(6.6) \quad p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) > p(\bar{y}, x - u) \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } u \in G(\bar{y}).$$

Since $p(\bar{y}, \cdot)$ is a convex function, we have, for each $u \in G(\bar{y})$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) &= p\left(\bar{y}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i - u\right) = p\left(\bar{y}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i - u)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p(\bar{y}, x_i - u) < p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) \quad \text{by (6.6),} \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the condition (ii) of Theorem 1 holds.

The condition (iii) of Theorem 1 is also satisfied: Suppose that there exists $\bar{y} \in X \setminus K$ such that

$$(6.7) \quad f(x, \bar{y}) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{\bar{y}\}).$$

Since $\bar{y} \in X \setminus K$, by (ii) there exists $\bar{x} \in \bar{y} + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X_0 - \bar{y})$, say $\bar{x} = \bar{y} + \lambda(x_0 - \bar{y})$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in X_0$, such that

$$(6.8) \quad p(\bar{y}, \bar{x} - u) < p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) \quad \text{for all } u \in G(\bar{y}).$$

Case 1: If $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, then $\bar{x} = \lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)\bar{y} \in \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{\bar{y}\})$, so that by (6.7),

$$0 \geq f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} [p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) - p(\bar{y}, \bar{x} - u)] = p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - \bar{u}) - p(\bar{y}, \bar{x} - \bar{u})$$

for some $\bar{u} \in G(\bar{y})$ since $G(\bar{y})$ is compact; this contradicts (6.8).

Case 2: If $\lambda > 1$ then

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{x} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \bar{y}$$

is a convex combination of \bar{x} and \bar{y} ; as $p(\bar{y}, \cdot)$ is convex, we have, for each $u \in G(\bar{y})$,

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} p(\bar{y}, x_0 - u) &= p\left(\bar{y}, \frac{1}{\lambda}(\bar{x} - u) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}(\bar{y} - u)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} p(\bar{y}, \bar{x} - u) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \frac{1}{\lambda}p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) \quad \text{by (6.8)} \\ &= p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u). \end{aligned}$$

By (6.7), since $x_0 \in X_0 \subset \text{co}(X_0 \cup \{\bar{y}\})$,

$$0 \geq f(x_0, \bar{y}) = \inf_{u \in G(\bar{y})} [p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u) - p(\bar{y}, x_0 - u)] = p(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - u_0) - p(\bar{y}, x_0 - u_0)$$

for some $u_0 \in G(\bar{y})$ as $G(\bar{y})$ is compact; this contradicts (6.9). Hence the condition (iii) of Theorem 1 holds.

By Theorem 1, there exists $\hat{y} \in K$ such that

$$f(x, \hat{y}) = \inf_{u \in G(\hat{y})} [p(\hat{y}, \hat{y} - u) - p(\hat{y}, x - u)] \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

It follows that for each $x \in X$, there exists $u_x \in G(\hat{y})$ such that

$$(6.10) \quad p(\hat{y}, \hat{y} - u_x) \leq p(\hat{y}, x - u_x).$$

Since $\hat{y} \in K$, by (i) there exists $\hat{x} \in \hat{y} + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(X - \hat{y})$, say $\hat{x} = \hat{y} + \lambda(\bar{x} - \hat{y})$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, such that

$$(6.11) \quad p(\hat{y}, \hat{x} - u) < p(\hat{y}, \hat{y} - u) \quad \text{for all } u \in G(\hat{y}).$$

If $\lambda \leq 1$, then $\hat{x} \in X$, so that (6.11) contradicts (6.10). If $\lambda > 1$, then

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\hat{x} + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}\hat{y}$$

and for each $u \in G(\hat{y})$.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\hat{y}, \bar{x} - u) &= p\left(\hat{y}, \frac{1}{\lambda}(\hat{x} - u) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}(\hat{y} - u)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}p(\hat{y}, \hat{x} - u) + \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}p(\hat{y}, \hat{y} - u) \\ &< p(\hat{y}, \hat{y} - u) \quad \text{by (6.11),} \end{aligned}$$

which again contradicts (6.10). Therefore G must have a fixed point in X . ■

Theorem 6 also generalizes Theorem 10 of Shih–Tan [15] and Theorem 1 of Browder [5]. Similar to Theorem 5', Theorem 6 remains valid if in both conditions (i) and (ii), “ $\lambda > 0$ ” is replaced by “ $\lambda < 0$ ”.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Allen, *Variational inequalities, complementarity problems, and duality theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 58 (1977), 1–10.
- [2] J. P. Aubin, *Mathematical Methods of Games and Economic Theory*, revised ed., Stud. Math. Appl. 7, North-Holland, 1982.
- [3] J. S. Bae, W. K. Kim and K. K. Tan, *Another generalization of Fan's minimax inequality and its applications*, submitted.

- [4] F. E. Browder, *The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces*, Math. Ann. 177 (1968), 283–301.
- [5] —, *On a sharpened form of the Schauder fixed point theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74 (1977), 4749–4751.
- [6] P. Deguire et A. Granas, *Sur une certaine alternative non-linéaire en analyse convexe*, Studia Math. 83 (1986), 127–138.
- [7] K. Fan, *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, Math. Ann. 142 (1961), 305–310.
- [8] —, *A minimax inequality and applications*, in: Inequalities III, O. Shisha (ed.), Acad. Press, 1972, 103–113.
- [9] —, *Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems*, Math. Ann. 266 (1984), 519–537.
- [10] A. Granas and F. C. Liu, *Coincidences for set-valued maps and minimax inequalities*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 65 (1986), 119–148.
- [11] J. Jiang, *Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in locally convex spaces*, Acta Math. Sinica 25 (1982), 365–373.
- [12] M. H. Shih and K. K. Tan, *A further generalization of Ky Fan's minimax inequality and its applications*, Studia Math. 77 (1984), 279–287.
- [13] —, —, *The Ky Fan minimax principle, sets with convex sections, and variational inequalities*, in: Differential Geometry, Calculus of Variations and Their Applications, G. M. Rassias & T. M. Rassias (eds.), Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. 100, Dekker, 1985, 471–481.
- [14] —, —, *Covering theorems of convex sets related to fixed point theorems*, in: Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, B.L. Lin and S. Simons (eds.), Dekker, 1987, 235–244.
- [15] —, —, *A geometric property of convex sets with applications to minimax type inequalities and fixed point theorems*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 45 (1988), 169–183.
- [16] K. K. Tan, *Comparison theorems on minimax inequalities, variational inequalities, and fixed point theorems*, J. London Math. Soc. 23 (1983), 555–562.
- [17] N. C. Yannelis and N. D. Prabhakar, *Existence of maximal elements and equilibria in linear topological vector spaces*, Math. Economics 12 (1983), 233–245.
- [18] C. L. Yen, *A minimax inequality and its applications to variational inequalities*, Pacific J. Math. 97 (1981), 477–481.
- [19] J. X. Zhou and G. Chen, *Diagonal convexity conditions for problems in convex analysis and quasi-variational inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 132 (1988), 213–225.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
CHENGDU, SICHUAN
CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
STATISTICS AND COMPUTING SCIENCE
DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY
HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA
CANADA, B3H 3J5

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 7.2.1990;
en version modifiée le 4.3.1991*