A Modified Procedure for the Microdetermination of Citric Acid

By T. G. TAYLOR

Department of Agricultural Chemistry, The University, Reading

(Received 17 October 1952)

During the course of an investigation of the citric acid content of bones and teeth it became necessary to determine amounts of the acid varying from 20 to $100 \,\mu g$. The method of Weil-Malherbe & Bone (1949) was employed and found to be very reliable for 0.2-1.0 mg. citric acid, but with smaller amounts the results were very erratic and recoveries were low and variable. A detailed study of the method applied to the estimation of quantities up to $100 \,\mu g$. was undertaken as a result of which certain modifications are suggested to increase the sensitivity and precision of the method, while at the same time giving increased speed and simplicity of working. The modified technique applies equally well to the determination of larger quantities of citric acid, up to 1 mg.

The method of Weil-Malherbe & Bone is based on the oxidation of citric acid to acetonedicarboxylic acid in the presence of sulphuric acid and the conversion of this compound to pentabromoacetone. The most important respect in which it differs from earlier methods is in the use of vanadic acid as oxidizing agent instead of permanganate. Bromination of the acetonedicarboxylic acid is effected by bromine water, excess of which is removed with sodium thiosulphate. The pentabromoacetone is then extracted with light petroleum, a portion of which is shaken with sodium sulphide solution. The intensity of the yellow colour produced in the aqueous phase is measured with a suitable photoelectric instrument.

The source of error was eventually traced to an excess of thiosulphate, and, in view of the impossibility of avoiding a local excess, it was decided to use another reducing agent. Ferrous sulphate, recommended by Pucher, Sherman & Vickery (1936) and by Taussky (1949) was finally chosen because a slight excess of this reagent does not interfere. A bromide-bromate mixture was substituted for saturated bromine water and the ammonium vanadate incorporated in this solution.

In the original method the tubes containing the citric acid solution are cooled to room temperature after adding 27 N-sulphuric acid, the bromine water and vanadate are then added separately and the tubes heated in a water bath at 50° for 20 min. In the modification suggested here the bromidebromate-vanadate mixture is added immediately after the sulphuric acid, when the temperature

reaches a maximum of approx. 50°. A slightly higher yield of pentabromoacetone is obtained if the reaction is allowed to take place at room temperature, as Goldberg & Bernheim (1944) have shown, but this advantage is outweighed by the delay involved in cooling the tubes after the addition of the sulphuric acid.

Reagents

METHOD Sulphuric acid. 27 N-H₂SO₄ was prepared by diluting 750 ml. of the pure concentrated acid to 1 l.

Bromide - bromate - vanadate solution. This contained 19.836 g. KBr, 5.440 g. KBrO3 and 12.000 g. NH4VO3 in 1 l. This solution is stable for at least 3 months.

Ferrous sulphate solution. 22.0% (w/v) FeSO₄.7H₂O (A.R.) in N-H₂SO₄. This solution is stored under hydrogen. Sodium sulphide (A.R.). 2% solution freshly prepared.

Sodium sulphate. Anhydrous.

Light petroleum, b.p. 80-100°. A.R. aromatic-free grade was found satisfactory.

Citric acid stock solution. 0.2187 g. of the crystalline acid (C₈H₈O₇.H₂O)/l. in N-H₂SO₄. 25 ml. of this solution diluted to 250 ml. with $N-H_8SO_4$ contain 100 µg. anhydrous citric acid/5 ml.

Procedure

5 ml. 27 N-H₂SO₄ are added to 5 ml. of the citric acid solution contained in a test tube $(150 \times 24 \text{ mm.})$, fitted with a ground-glass stopper and, after mixing, 5 ml. of the bromide-bromate-vanadate reagent are run in from a pipette. The tube is stoppered, the contents mixed and allowed to stand for 20-30 min. Ferrous sulphate solution (2 ml.) is then added from a burette connected to a storage bottle and, after mixing, the tube is allowed to stand for 10 min. with occasional shaking to facilitate the removal of Br. from the air space. When all the Br₂ has been destroyed the solution is emerald green in colour, probably due to the formation of the hypovanadate ion, $V_2O_6^{4-}$. Light petroleum (6 ml.) is added and the tube is shaken for 1 min. either mechanically or by hand. The lower aqueous layer is then sucked off as completely as possible by means of a 20 or 25 ml. fine-tipped pipette fitted with a rubber extension tube for convenient suction. Sufficient anhydrous Na₂SO₄ is added to absorb the remaining drops of acid and prevent them from running out, and the petroleum is poured into a dry test tube. A 5 ml. portion is pipetted into a dry stoppered tube $(125 \times 18 \text{ mm.})$ and shaken by hand for 1 min. with Na₂S solution; the volume of this solution used was usually 3 ml. for a Spekker absorptiometer, and 4 ml. for a Unicam spectrophotometer. The yellow aqueous layer is sucked off with a dry pipette and filtered on a dry paper (Whatman no. 1, 4.25 cm.) into a test tube or colorimeter tube.

The absorption of the solution is measured with a Spekker absorptiometer using a 2 cm. microcell and Ilford no. 601 (spectrum violet) filters, or with a Unicam spectrophotometer set at 450 m μ ., using an 0.5 in. tube. If the latter is used the colours are developed three or four at a time and the colour intensification of each solution is followed by taking readings of each in turn until steady maxima are obtained. With a Spekker it is more convenient to measure the light absorption of a batch of eight to ten solutions from 15 to 30 min. after colour development. Colour fading is not serious over this period in subdued light.

If the original solutions contain very small amounts of citric acid 10 ml. may be taken, and double quantities of H_2SO_4 , bromide reagent and $FeSO_4$ added; 6 ml. of petroleum are still used for extraction. Tubes 150×28 mm. are a suitable size.

With these modifications it is possible to complete up to thirty determinations (including standards) in a normal working day.

Precautions. Care must be taken to avoid the two main sources of error stressed by Weil-Malherbe & Bone (1949), namely the incomplete reduction of free Br_2 and the contamination of the petroleum extract with acid. Incomplete removal of Br_2 may be caused either by deterioration of the FeSO₄ during storage or by inadequate shaking, and is easily detected by smelling before the addition of the petroleum. Acid contamination should not occur if sufficient Na₉SO₄ is added and if all glassware with which the Na₉S comes in contact is scrupulously clean.

Colour stability. The yellow colour is somewhat unstable. Initially its intensity increases gradually, reaching a maximum 12–15 min. after the end of the shaking period. Thereafter it slowly fades, particularly if exposed to strong light. In their discussion of colour stability Weil-Malherbe & Bone emphasize the importance of temperature on the intensity of the yellow colour and this has been confirmed. Hence a calibration curve should be prepared with each batch of determinations.

Accuracy. The calibration curve with $20-200 \mu g$. citric acid is a straight line, but it seldom runs exactly through the origin. Data showing the degree of accuracy of the method

compared with the results reported by Weil-Malherbe & Bone are given in Table 1. Recoveries of known quantities of citric acid added to different amounts of a dilute HCl extract of bone, the citric acid content of which was simultaneously estimated, were studied. The results of a particular experiment are given in Table 2.

Table 1. Analysis of pure citric acid solutions

(Using a Unicam spectrophotometer set at 450 m μ . with 0.5 in. tubes and 4 ml. Na₂S solution for colour development.)

Anhydrous citric acid (µg.)	No. of determinations	Coefficient of variation	
20	15	3.51	
50	15	1.64	
100	12	1.87 (4.14)*	
200	14	1·28 (1·57)*	

* Corresponding figures calculated from the data presented by Weil-Malherbe & Bone (1949).

Table 2. Recoveries of	pure citric acid added to
dilute hydrochloric	acid extracts of bone

Citric acid $(\mu g.)$

Bone extract	Added	Total found	Recovery	Recovery (%)
58·3	12.0	70.6	12.3	102.5
58.3	2 4 ·0	82·0	23.7	98 ·8
58.3	48.0	106-4	48.1	100.2
87.5	16.0	103·0	15.5	96.9

SUMMARY

A modified procedure for the estimation of $20-200 \mu g$. of citric acid is described which is well adapted to the routine examination of large numbers of samples.

The author wishes to thank Dr J. Tinsley for valuable criticism received during the course of this work.

REFERENCES

Goldberg, A. S. & Bernheim, A. R. (1944). J. biol. Chem. 156, 33.

Pucher, G. W., Sherman, C. C. & Vickery, H. B. (1936). J. biol. Chem. 113, 235. Taussky, H. H. (1949). J. biol. Chem. 181, 195.
Weil-Malherbe, H. & Bone, A. D. (1949). Biochem. J. 45, 377.