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#### Abstract

In this paper, a monotone Bregan projection algorithm is investigated for solving equilibrium problems and common fixed point problems of a family of closed multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudocontractions. Strong convergence is guaranteed in the framework of reflexive Banach spaces.


## 1. Introduction-Preliminaries

Fixed Point Theory is a fascinating key component of nonlinear functional analysis. It has a large number of theoretical and real world applications in many fields, for example, machine learning, differential equations, game theory, economics, transportation, and control theory; see [2, 13, 20]. During the last decade, many convergence theorems for various convex optimization problems were established in infinite dimensional real Hilbert spaces through fixed point methods; see [8-11, 18, 19, 21, 28, 29] and the references therein. In the Banach setting, the approximation of fixed points via hybrid techniques is important, however, there are few results since the duality mapping is not easy to calculated in Banach spaces. In this paper, we are concerned with an equilibrium problem via a fixed method in the Banach setting.

Let $E$ be a real reflexive Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a convex, proper and lower semi-continuous function. In this paper, we denote the domain of $f$ by $\operatorname{dom} f$, i.e., $\operatorname{dom} f:=\{x \in E: f(x)<+\infty\}$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ be the sets of positive integers and real numbers, respectively. Let any $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ and $y \in E$, the right-hand derivative of $f$ at $x$ in the direction of $y$ is defined by

$$
f^{\circ}(x, y)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x+t y)-f(x)}{t}
$$

Recall that the function $f$ is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if it is Gâteaux differentiable for any $x \in$ int dom $f$; Gâteaux differentiable at $x$ if the limit $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ exists for any $y$; uniformly Fréchet differentiable on a subset $C$ of $E$ if the limit $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ is attained uniformly for $x \in C$ and $\|y\|=1$; Fréchet differentiable at $x$ if the limit $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ is attained uniformly in $\|y\|=1$. For function $f$, the following facts are known. (i) If $f$ is Gâteaux differentiable at $x$, then $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ coincides with $\nabla f(x)$, the value of the gradient $\nabla f$ of $f$ at $x$; (ii) If a continuous convex function $f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Gâteaux differentiable, $\nabla f$ is norm-to-weak* continuous; (iii) If $f$ is Fréchet differentiable, $\nabla f$ is norm-to-norm continuous.

[^0]Let $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$. The subdifferential of $f$ at $x$ is the convex set defined by

$$
\partial f(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}: f(x)-f(y) \leq\left\langle x^{*}, x-y\right\rangle, \quad \forall y \in E\right\} .
$$

The Fenchel conjugate of $f$ is the function $f^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ defined by

$$
f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)=\sup \left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x): x \in E\right\}, \quad \forall x^{*} \in E^{*} .
$$

Recall that a function $f$ is said to be (i) essentially stirctly convex if $(\partial f)^{-1}$ is locally bounded on its domain and $f$ is strictly convex on every convex subset of dom $\partial f$; (ii) essentially smooth if $\partial f$ is both locally bounded and single-valued on its domain; (iii) Legendre, if it is both essentially smooth and essentially strictly convex.

In the framework of reflexive Banach spaces, we have the following facts: (i) $f$ is essentially smooth if and only if $f^{*}$ is essentially strictly convex; (ii) $(\partial f)^{-1}=\partial f^{*}$; (iii) $f$ is Legendre if and only if $f^{*}$ is Legendre; (iv) If $f$ is Legendre, then $\nabla f$ is bijection satisfying $\nabla f=\left(\nabla f^{*}\right)^{-1}, \operatorname{ran} \nabla f=\operatorname{dom} \nabla f^{*}=\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f^{*}$ and $\operatorname{ran} \nabla f^{*}=\operatorname{dom} \nabla f=\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$.

Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a Gâteaux differentiable function. The Bregman distance with respect to $f$ is the function $D_{f}: \operatorname{dom} f \times \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ defined by

$$
D_{f}(y, x):=f(y)-f(x)-\langle\nabla f(x), y-x\rangle .
$$

We remark here that the Bregman distance is not a distance in the usual sense.
Recall that bifunction $V_{f}: E \times E^{*} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ associated with $f$ is defined by

$$
V_{f}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=f(x)+f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)-\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle, \quad \forall x \in E, x^{*} \in E^{*} .
$$

Then $V_{f}$ is nonnegative and satisfies $V_{f}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=D_{f}\left(x, \nabla f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)\right), \forall x \in E, x^{*} \in E^{*} . D_{f}(\cdot, \cdot)$ has the following important property, called "three point identity". For any $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $y, z \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$,

$$
\langle\nabla f(z)-\nabla f(y), x-y\rangle=D_{f}(x, y)+D_{f}(y, z)-D_{f}(x, z)
$$

Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a convex and Gâteaux differentiable function and let $C \subset \operatorname{dom} f$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex set. The Bregman projection $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ onto $C$ is the unique vector $P_{C}^{f}(x) \in C$ satisfying

$$
D_{f}\left(P_{C}^{f}(x), x\right)=\inf \left\{D_{f}(y, x): y \in C\right\}
$$

Letting $f(x)=\|x\|^{2}, \forall x \in E$, we find that the Bregman projection $P_{C}^{f}(x)$ is reduced the generalized projection $\Pi_{C}(x)$, defined by $\Pi_{C}(x)=\arg \min _{y \in C} \phi(y, x)$.

Let $B_{r}:=\{z \in E:\|z\| \leq r\}$ and $S_{E}=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$. Then, a function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be uniformly convex on bounded subsets of $E$ if $\rho_{r}(t)>0$ for all $r, t>0$, where $\rho_{r}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is defined by

$$
\rho_{r}(t):=\inf _{x, y \in B_{r},\|x-y\|=t, \alpha \in(0,1)} \frac{\alpha f(x)+(1-\alpha) f(y)-f(\alpha x+(1-\alpha) y)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} .
$$

Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be Gâteaux differentiable. The modulus of total convexity of $f$ at $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ is the function $v_{f}(x, \cdot):[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ defined by

$$
v_{f}(x, t):=\inf \left\{D_{f}(y, x): y \in \operatorname{dom} f,\|y-x\|=t\right\}
$$

The modulus of the total convexity of the function $f$ on the set $B$ is the function $v_{f}: \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f \times[0,+\infty) \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty]$ defined by $v_{f}(B, t):=\inf \left\{v_{f}(x, t): x \in B \cap \operatorname{dom} f\right\}$.

Recall that a function $f$ is said to be: (i) totally convex at $x$ if $v_{f}(x, t)>0$, whenever $t>0$; (ii) totally convex if it is totally convex at any point $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$; (iii) totally convex on bounded sets if $v_{f}(B, t)>0$ for any nonempty bounded subset $B$ of $E$ and $t>0$.

A function $f$ is said to be: strongly coercive if $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) /\|x\|=\infty$; sequentially consistent if for any two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that the first one is bounded,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 .
$$

Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. We use $C B(C)$ to denote the family of nonempty closed bounded subsets of $C$. Let $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Hausdorff metric on $C B(C)$ defined by

$$
H(A, B)=\underset{y \in B}{\max \left\{\sup _{y \in B} d(y, A), \sup _{x \in A} d(x, B),\right\}, \quad \forall A, B \in C B(C),, ~}
$$

where $d(a, B)=\inf \{\|a-b\|: b \in B\}$ is the distance from point $a$ to subset $B$. Let $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a multi-valued mapping. The fixed point set of $T$ is denoted by $F(T):=\{p \in C: p=T(p)\}$. Recall that $T$ is said to be multi-valued Bregman quasi-nonexpansive with respect to $f$ if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
D_{f}(p, u) \leq D_{f}(p, x), \quad \forall u \in T x, x \in C, p \in F(T) .
$$

If $f(x)=\|x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in E$, it becomes a multi-valued quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping, that is,

$$
\phi(p, u) \leq \phi(p, x), \quad \forall u \in T x, x \in C, p \in F(T) .
$$

Recall that $T$ is said to be multi-valued Bregman quasi-strictly pseudo-contractive with respect to $f$ if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
D_{f}(p, u) \leq D_{f}(p, x)+k D_{f}(x, u), \quad \forall u \in T x, x \in C, p \in F(T) .
$$

If $f(x)=\|x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in E$, it becomes a multi-valued quasi- $\phi$-strictly pseudo-contractive mapping, that is,

$$
\phi(p, u) \leq \phi(p, x)+k \phi(x, u), \quad \forall u \in T x, x \in C, p \in F(T) .
$$

Let $g: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. Recall that the equilibrium problem in the sense of Blum and Oettli [5] is find $\tilde{x}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\tilde{x}, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem is denoted by $E P(g)$. Equilibrium problem 1 provides us a a general and unified framework to study a wide class of problems arising in convex optimization problems; see $[6,12,15,16,22]$ and the references.

In view of the generality and importance of equilibrium problems, fixed point algorithms have been extensively investigated for approximation solutions of problem (1); see $[1,7,14,23,27,33]$ and the references therein. It is known that Picard iterative method may fail to converge for nonexpansive-type mappings whose complementary mappings are monotone. Mann-type iterative method which is one of most popular iterative methods has recently attracted much attention in optimization and analysis communities. Manntype iterative method is efficient for nonexpansive-type mappings, however, it is only weakly convergent in the framework of infinite dimensional spaces. To modify the Mann-type iterative method such that the strong convergence is guaranteed without compact assumptions, hybrid projection techniques were considered; see $[26,30,34]$. Unfortunately, the success achieved in using geometric properties in Hilbert spaces is not easy to carry over to the framework of Banach spaces. The main difficulty is that the normalized duality map appears in most Banach space inequalities This creates very serious technical difficulties in computation. Recently, attempts with the Bregman distance have been made to overcome these difficulties; see $[17,24,25,31,32]$ and the references therein.

In this article, a monotone Bregan projection algorithm is investigated for solving equilibrium problems and common fixed point problems of a family of closed multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudocontractions. Strong convergence is guaranteed in the framework of reflexive Banach spaces. Our algorithm is efficient for an infinite family of mappings, which is one of the highlights of this paper.

To study equilibrium problem (1), we impose the following restrictions on bifunction $g$.
(R-1) $g(x, x) \equiv 0, \forall a \in C$;
$(\mathrm{R}-2) g(x, y) \geq \lim \sup _{t \downarrow 0} g(t z+(1-t) x, y), \forall x, y, z \in C ;$
(R-3) $g(y, x)+g(x, y) \leq 0, \forall x, y \in C$;
(R-4) $y \mapsto g(x, y)$ is convex and weakly lower semi-continuous, $\forall x \in C$.
There are a lot of bifunction satisfying the above restrictions, for example, $g(x, y)=y-x$. For $r>0$, the resolvent operator of bifunction $g$, $\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{g}: E \rightarrow C$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{g}(x)=\{z \in C:\langle y-z, \nabla f(z)-\nabla f(x)\rangle+r g(z, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}, \quad \forall x \in E \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.1. [11] Let E be a reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, continuous, and strongly coercive function which is bounded on bounded subsets and uniformly convex on bounded subsets of $E$. Let $g: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying ( $R-1$ )-( $R-4$ ) and let Res $_{r}^{g}: E \rightarrow C$ be resolvent defined by (2). Then the following statements hold:
(a) Res ${ }_{r}^{g}$ is single-valued;
(b) $F\left(\right.$ Res $\left._{r}^{g}\right)=E P(g)$;
(c) $E P(g)$ is closed and convex;
(d) $D_{f}\left(p, \operatorname{Res}_{r}^{g} x\right)+D_{f}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{r}^{g} x, x\right) \leq D_{f}(p, x), \forall p \in E P(g), \forall x \in E$.

Lemma 1.2. [4] Suppose that $f$ is Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex on int domf. Let $x \in$ int domf and let $C \subset$ int domf be a nonempty, closed and convex set. If $\hat{x} \in C$, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The vector $\hat{x}$ is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$
\langle\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(\hat{x}), \hat{x}-y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

(i) The vector $\hat{x}$ is the unique solution of the inequality

$$
D_{f}(y, \hat{x})+D_{f}(\hat{x}, x) \leq D_{f}(y, x), \quad \forall y \in C
$$

(iii) The vector $\hat{x}$ is the Bregman projection of $x$ onto $C$ with respect to $f$, i.e., $\hat{x}=P_{C}^{f}(x)$.

Lemma 1.3. [3] Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex function. If $x_{0} \in E$ and the sequence $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded too.

Lemma 1.4. [3] Suppose $x \in E$ and $y \in \operatorname{int}$ domf. If $f$ is essentially strictly convex, then $D_{f}(x, y)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y$. Function $f$ is sequentially consistent if and only if $f$ is totally convex on bounded sets.

Lemma 1.5. [3] Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function which is bounded on bounded subsets of $E$. $f^{*}$ is Fréchet differentiable and $\nabla f^{*}$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of dom $f^{*}=E^{*}$ if and only if $f$ is strongly coercive and uniformly convex on bounded subsets of $E$.

Lemma 1.6. Let $f: E \rightarrow R$ be a Legendre function which is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on subsets of $E$. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$ and let $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a multi-valued Bregman quasi-strictly pseudocontractive mapping with respect to $f$. Then, for any $x \in C, u \in T x, p \in F(T)$ and $k \in[0,1)$ the following hold:

$$
D_{f}(x, u) \leq \frac{1}{1-k}\langle x-p, \nabla f(x)-\nabla f(u)\rangle
$$

Proof. Let $x \in C, u \in T x, p \in F(T)$ and $k \in[0,1)$, by the definition of $T$, we have

$$
D_{f}(p, u) \leq D_{f}(p, x)+k D_{f}(x, u)
$$

This implies that

$$
D_{f}(p, x)+D_{f}(x, u)+\langle p-x, \nabla f(x)-\nabla f(u)\rangle \leq D_{f}(p, x)+k D_{f}(x, u)
$$

Hence, one has

$$
D_{f}(x, u) \leq \frac{1}{1-k}\langle x-p, \nabla f(x)-\nabla f(u)\rangle
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 1.7. Let $f: E \rightarrow R$ be a Legendre function which is uniformly Fréchet differentiable on bounded subsets of $E$. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$ and let $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a multi-valued Bregman quasi-strictly pseudocontractive mapping with respect to $f$. Then $F(T)$ is a convex and closed set.
Proof. Let $x, y \in F(T)$ and $p=t x+(1-t) y$ for $t \in(0,1)$. For all $w \in T p$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}(p, w) \leq \frac{1}{1-k}\langle p-y, \nabla f(p)-\nabla f(w)\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}(p, w) \leq \frac{1}{1-k}\langle p-x, \nabla f(p)-\nabla f(w)\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Multiplying (3) by $(1-t)$ and (4) by $t$, we have

$$
D_{f}(p, w) \leq \frac{1}{1-k}\langle p-p, \nabla f(p)-\nabla f(w)\rangle
$$

which implies $D_{f}(p, w)=0$. From Lemma 1.4, we have $p=w$, that is, $F(T)$ is convex.
Next, we show that $F(T)$ is closed. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $F(T)$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We prove that $x^{*} \in F(T)$. In fact, for all $u \in T x^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(x^{*}, u\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\kappa}\left\langle x^{*}-x_{n}, \nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)-\nabla f(u)\right\rangle, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $D_{f}\left(x^{*}, u\right)=0$ by taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5). Using Lemma 1.4 we obtain $x^{*}=u$, that is, $x^{*} \in F(T)$. So $F(T)$ is closed. This completes the proof.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we state and prove our main theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a real reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$. Let $\Pi$ be a index set. Let $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a closed and multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudocontraction with fixed points. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction with $(R-1),(R-2),(R-3)$ and ( $R-4$ ) for each $i \in \Pi$. Assume that $\Omega:=\cap_{i \in \Pi} F\left(T_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Pi} E P\left(g_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{1, i}=C \\
C_{1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{1, i}, \\
x_{1}=P_{C_{1}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right),  \tag{6}\\
y_{n, i}=\nabla f^{*}\left[\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(z_{n, i}\right)\right], \quad z_{n, i} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
r_{n, i} g_{i}\left(u_{n, i}, y\right)+\left\langle y-u_{n, i} \nabla f\left(u_{n, i}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
C_{n+1, i}=\left\{z \in C_{n, i}: D_{f}\left(z, u_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, y_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{1-\kappa}\left\langle x_{n}-z, \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle\right\}, \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{n+i, i} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\kappa \in[0,1), \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n, i}>0$, for $\forall i \in \Pi$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\widehat{p}=P_{\Omega}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)$, where $P_{\Omega}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection from $E$ onto $\Omega$.

Proof. From Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.7, we see that $F(T) \cap E P(g)$ is convex and closed. Hence $P_{F(T) \cap E P(g)}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is well defined. Next, we prove that $C_{n}$ is also convex and closed. It suffices to show that, for each fixed but arbitrary $i \in \Pi, C_{n, i}$ is a convex and closed set. It is obvious that $C_{1, i}=C$ is convex and closed. We now let $C_{m, i}$ is a convex and closed set for some $m \geq 1$. Letting $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ be two arbitrary points in $C_{m+1, i}$, we find that $z_{1}, z_{2} \in C_{m, i}$. Set $z_{1,2}=\lambda z_{1}+(1-\lambda) z_{2}$, where $\lambda$ is a real number in $(0,1)$. Since $f$ is convex, we find that

$$
D_{f}\left(z_{1,2}, u_{m, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z_{1,2}, y_{m, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z_{1,2}, x_{m}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{1-\kappa}\left\langle x_{m}-z_{1,2}, \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{m, i}\right)\right\rangle
$$

In view of $z_{1,2} \in C_{n, i}$, we obtain that $C_{n, i} \in C_{m+1, i}$. This proves that $C_{m+1, i}$ is a convex and closed set. Hence, $C_{n, i}$ is also a convex and closed set. This implies that $\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{n, i}$ is convex and closed. So, $P_{\Omega}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is well defined.

Next, we show that $\Omega \subset C_{n} . \Omega \subset C_{1}=C$ is obvious. Let $\Omega \subset C_{m, i}$. Note that $u_{m}=\operatorname{Res}_{r_{m}}^{g} y_{m}$. For any $w \in \Omega \subset C_{m, i}$, we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{f}\left(w, u_{m}\right)= & D_{f}\left(w, \nabla f^{*}\left[\alpha_{m} \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) \nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right]\right) \\
= & f(w)-\left\langle w, \alpha_{m} \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) \nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +f^{*}\left(\alpha_{m} \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) \nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{m} f(w)-\alpha_{m}\left\langle w, \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)\right\rangle+\alpha_{m} f^{*}\left(x_{m}\right) \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) f(w)-\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right)\left\langle w, \nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right\rangle+\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) f^{*}\left(\nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) D_{f}\left(w, z_{m}\right)+\alpha_{m} D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{m}\right)\left[D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right)+k D_{f}\left(x_{m}, z_{m}\right)\right]+\alpha_{m} D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\left(1-\alpha_{m}\right) k}{1-k}\left\langle x_{m}-w, \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right\rangle+D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{k\left\langle x_{m}-w, \nabla f\left(x_{m}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{m}\right)\right\rangle}{1-k}+D_{f}\left(w, x_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $w \in C_{m+1, i}$. This proves that $\Omega \subset C_{n, i}$, which further implies that $\Omega \subset C_{n}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{n, i}$. Using Lemma 1.2 yields that

$$
\left\langle y-x_{n}, \nabla f\left(x_{1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C_{n},
$$

It follows from $\Omega \subset C_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle w-x_{n}, \nabla f\left(x_{1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall w \in \Omega \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 1.2, one has

$$
D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)=D_{f}\left(P_{C_{n}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(w, x_{1}\right)-D_{f}\left(w, P_{C_{n}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \leq D_{f}\left(w, x_{1}\right)
$$

for each $w \in \Omega$. Therefore, $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. An application of Lemma 1.3 yields that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence. In view of the fact that $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right) \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, and Since $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)$, one has $D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{1}\right)$. This implies that $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence. Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)$ exists. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence and space $E$ is a reflexive space, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup \widehat{p}$. Since $C_{n}$ is closed and convex, we find that $\widehat{p} \in C_{n}$. On the other hand, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(x_{n_{j}}, x_{1}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{1}\right), \quad \forall n_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n_{j}}, x_{1}\right) & =\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\{f\left(x_{n_{j}}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{1}\right), x_{n_{j}}-x_{1}\right\rangle\right\} \\
& \geq f(\widehat{p})-f\left(x_{1}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{1}\right), \widehat{p}-x_{1}\right\rangle  \tag{9}\\
& =D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (8) and (9) that

$$
D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{1}\right) \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n_{j}}, x_{1}\right) \leq \underset{j \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } D_{f}\left(x_{n_{j}}, x_{1}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{1}\right) .
$$

Hence, $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n_{j}}, x_{1}\right)=D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{1}\right)$. Employing Lemma 1.2, one obtains that $D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{n_{j}}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\hat{p}, x_{1}\right)-$ $D_{f}\left(x_{n_{j}}, x_{1}\right)$. Hence, $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{n_{j}}\right)=0$. Using Lemma 1.4 that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{j}}=\widehat{p}$. Since $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is a convergent sequence, one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)=D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1.2, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(\widehat{p}, x_{1}\right)-D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (11), one finds from Lemma 1.4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\widehat{p} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, one has

$$
D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{1-\kappa}\left\langle x_{n}-x_{n+1}, \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle
$$

which together with (12) implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n, i}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n, i}\right)=0
$$

Since $f$ is totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$, and sequentially consistent, one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n, i}\right\|=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{n, i}\right\|=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (12) and (13), one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n, i}\right\|=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n, i}\right\|=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nabla f$ is uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of $E$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\|=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(z_{n, i}\right)\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{n}}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\|=0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1.6, we find from (16) that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n, i}\right\|=0$. Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n, i}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\widehat{p}$. In view of $z_{n, i} \in T_{i} x_{n}$, and from the closedness of $T_{i}$, it follows $\widehat{p} \in F\left(T_{i}\right)$. Hence, $\widehat{p} \in \cap_{i \in \Pi} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.

Next, we prove $\widehat{p} \in \cap_{i \in \Pi} E P\left(g_{i}\right)$. Since $\left\|u_{n, i}-y_{n, i}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n, i}\right\|+\left\|u_{n, i}-x_{n}\right\|$, we find from (14), one obtains that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n, i}-y_{n, i}\right\|=0$. Since $\nabla f$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of $E$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\nabla f\left(u_{n, i}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\|}{r_{n, i}}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with $u_{n}=\operatorname{Res}_{r_{n, i}}^{g} y_{n, i}$ implies that

$$
r_{n, i} g_{i}\left(u_{n, i}, y\right)+\left\langle y-u_{n, i}, \nabla f\left(u_{n, i}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C .
$$

Hence, one has

$$
\left\|y-u_{n, i}\right\| \frac{\left\|\nabla f\left(u_{n, i}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\|}{r_{n, i}} \geq \frac{\left\langle y-u_{n, i}, \nabla f\left(u_{n, i}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle}{r_{n, i}} \geq g_{i}\left(y_{,} u_{n, i}\right), \quad \forall y \in C .
$$

Using (17), one sees that $g_{i}(y, \widehat{p}) \leq 0, \forall y \in C$. For $t_{i} \in(0,1)$ and $y \in C$, letting $y_{t_{i}}=t_{i} y+\left(1-t_{i}\right) \widehat{p}$, we have $g_{i}\left(y_{t_{i}}, \widehat{p}\right) \leq 0$. Hence

$$
0=g_{i}\left(y_{t_{i}}, y_{t_{i}}\right) \leq\left(1-t_{i}\right) g_{i}\left(y_{t_{i}}, p\right)+t_{i} g_{i}\left(y_{t_{i}}, y\right) \leq t_{i} g_{i}\left(y_{t_{i}}, y\right)
$$

Dividing by $t_{i}$, one has $g_{i}\left(y_{t}, y\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. Letting $t \downarrow 0$, one finds that $g_{i}(\widehat{p}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. Hence $\widehat{p} \in \cap_{i \in \Pi} E P\left(g_{i}\right)$. This proves that $\widehat{p} \in \Omega$.

Finally, we take $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (7) and obtain that

$$
\left\langle w-\widehat{p}, \nabla f\left(x_{1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall w \in \Omega .
$$

Using Lemma 1.2, one has $\widehat{p}=P_{\Omega}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)$. This completes the proof.
For the class of multi-valued Bregman quasi-nonexpansive mappings, we find the following result easily.

Corollary 2.2. Let $E$ be a real reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$. Let $\Pi$ be a index set. Let $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a closed and multi-valued Bregman quasi-nonexpansive mapping with fixed points. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction with ( $R-1$ ), ( $R-2$ ), ( $R-3$ ) and ( $R-4$ ) for each $i \in \Pi$. Assume that $\Omega:=\cap_{i \in \Pi} F\left(T_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Pi} E P\left(g_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{1, i}=C, \\
C_{1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{1, i}, \\
x_{1}=P_{C_{1}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right), \\
y_{n, i}=\nabla f^{*}\left[\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(z_{n, i}\right)\right], \quad z_{n, i} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
r_{n, i} g_{i}\left(u_{n, i}, y\right)+\left\langle y-u_{n, i}, \nabla f\left(u_{n, i}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\
C_{n+1, i}=\left\{z \in C_{n, i}: D_{f}\left(z, u_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, y_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{n+i, i}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n, i}>0$, for $\forall i \in \Pi$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\widehat{p}=P_{\Omega}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)$, where $P_{\Omega}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection of $E$ onto $\Omega$.

If $f(x)=\|x\|^{2}, \forall x \in E$, then the class of multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudo-contractions is reduced to the class of multi-valued quasi- $\phi$-strict pseudo-contractions. We have the following result.

Corollary 2.3. Let E be a real reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$. Let $\Pi$ be a index set. Let $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a closed and multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudocontraction with fixed points. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction with ( $R-1$ ), ( $R-2$ ), ( $R-3$ ) and ( $R-4$ ) for each $i \in \Pi$. Assume that $\Omega:=\cap_{i \in \Pi} F\left(T_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Pi} E P\left(g_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in \text { E chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{1, i}=C, \\
C_{1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{1, i}, \\
x_{1}=P_{C_{1}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right), \\
y_{n, i}=J^{-1}\left[\alpha_{n} J\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J\left(z_{n, i}\right)\right], \quad z_{n, i} \in T_{i} x_{n}, \\
r_{n, i} g_{i}\left(u_{n, i}, y\right)+\left\langle y-u_{n, i} J\left(u_{n, i}\right)-J\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\
C_{n+1, i}=\left\{z \in C_{n, i}: \phi\left(z, u_{n, i}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, y_{n, i}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{1-\kappa}\left\langle x_{n}-z, J\left(x_{n}\right)-J\left(z_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle\right\}, \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{n+i, i}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\kappa \in[0,1), \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n, i}>0$, for $\forall i \in \Pi$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\widehat{p}=P_{\Omega}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)$, where $P_{\Omega}^{f}$ is the generalized projection of $E$ onto $\Omega$.

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and let $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. Let $C$ be nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$ and let $A: C \subseteq E \rightarrow E^{*}$ be a nonlinear mapping. The variational inequality problem for mapping $A$ and its domain $C$ is to find $\bar{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A \bar{x}, y-\bar{x}\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions of the variational inequality problem is denoted by $\operatorname{VI}(C, A)$.
Recall that a mapping $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ is called monotone if

$$
\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

A mapping $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ is said to be $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone if there exists $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq \gamma\|A x-A y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C .
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a coercive Legendre function and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ be a continuous monotone mapping. For $s>0$ and $x \in E$, define a mapping Res ${ }_{s}^{f}: E \rightarrow C$ as follows: for all $x \in E$,

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s}^{A}:=\{z \in C:\langle\nabla f(z)-\nabla f(x), y-z\rangle+s\langle A z, y-z\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}
$$

Then the following hold:
(1) Ress $_{s}^{A}$ is single-valued;
(2) $F\left(\operatorname{Res}_{s}^{A}\right)=V I(C, A)$;
(3) $D_{f}\left(p, \operatorname{Res}_{s}^{A} x\right)+D_{f}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{s}^{A} x, x\right) \leq D_{f}(p, x)$, for $p \in F\left(\operatorname{Res}_{s}^{A}\right)$;
(4) $V I(C, A)$ is closed and convex.

Based on above lemma and Theorem 2.1, the following result is not hard to derive.
Corollary 2.5. Let E be a real reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$. Let $\Pi$ be a index set. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction with $(R-1),(R-2),(R-3)$ and ( $R-4$ ) for each $i \in \Pi$. Let $A_{i}: C \rightarrow E^{*}$ be a continuous monotone mapping with a mapping $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{i}}^{A_{i}}: E \rightarrow C$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s_{i}}^{A_{i}}:=\left\{z \in C: s_{i}\left\langle A_{i} z, y-z\right\rangle+\langle\nabla f(z)-\nabla f(x), y-z\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\right\}, \quad \forall x \in E
$$

Assume that $\Omega:=\cap_{i \in \Pi} E P\left(g_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Pi} V I\left(C, A_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative algorithm:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{1, i}=C, \\
C_{1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{1, i}, \\
x_{1}=P_{C_{1}}^{f}\left(x_{0}\right), \\
y_{n, i}=\nabla f^{*}\left[\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(\operatorname{Res}_{s_{i}}^{A_{i}} x_{n}\right)\right], \\
r_{n, i} g_{i}\left(u_{n, i}, y\right)+\left\langle y-u_{n, i}, \nabla f\left(u_{n, i}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n, i}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
C_{n+1, i}=\left\{z \in C_{n, i}: D_{f}\left(z, u_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, y_{n, i}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Pi} C_{n+i, i} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers, $\lim _{\inf }^{n \rightarrow \infty}{ }_{n, i}>0$, for $\forall i \in \Pi$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\widehat{p}=P_{\Omega}^{f}\left(x_{1}\right)$, where $P_{\Omega}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection of $E$ onto $\Omega$.
Remark 2.6. In this paper, we proposed a monotone Bregan projection algorithm for solving equilibrium problems and common fixed point problems of a family of closed multi-valued Bregman quasi-strict pseudocontractions. Our algorithm is strongly convergent wihtout any compact assumption. It deserve mentioning that our algorithm is valid for a family of uncountable many bifunctions and quasi-strict pseudocontractions in the framework of reflexive Banach spaces.
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